Host Cell Engineering for the Production of Methacrylate Esters

Russel Navarro Menchavez

Thesis submitted to the University of Nottingham for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy September 2019

Abstract

Microbial bioprocess serves as an alternative route for the sustainable production of a variety of chemicals. Recent bioprocess development efforts has allowed its application for the commercial production of certain industrially relevant chemicals. However, most are still in the exploratory or precommercialization stage due to a variety of bottlenecks that needs to be addressed prior to commercialization. This includes the bioprocess route being developed by Lucite International for the production of butyl methacrylate, which could be part of an integrated process for the production of methacrylate esters. In this bioprocess, commercial viability is attainable with a butyl methacrylate titre of 10-20% v/v. One of the bottlenecks in this proposed bioprocess is the toxicity of the bioproduct towards the production strain, which could limit the attainable product titre. A previous study on its toxicity led to the isolation of *E. coli* strains that can grow vial cultures with BMA at 20% v/v. However, these strains were unable to demonstrate tolerance in a well-mixed environment. Thus, there is still a need to develop a robust host strain that can tolerate butyl methacrylate at the desired product titre.

E. coli BW25113 was explored as the potential host strain. Adaptive evolution *via* sequential batch and chemostat cultures were used to generate *E. coli* strains with tolerance for butyl methacrylate at 20% v/v. Genome shuffling was also used to further improve growth of *E. coli* with butyl methacrylate at 20% v/v. The possible mechanisms of tolerance for butyl methacrylate were determined with the use of genomic DNA and RNA sequencing of the evolved strains. The ability of the evolved strains to produce BMA was also tested by introduction of the heterologous pathway.

Adaptive evolution, through sequential batch and chemostat cultures, was successful in generating various *E. coli* strains with improved growth in the presence of BMA up to 20% v/v. Each of the evolved strains acquired various mutations that include an *acrR* mutation along with either a *marR*, *soxR*, and *rob*. The mutations acquired allowed increased expression in *acrAB*, which suggests that the AcrAB-ToIC efflux pump might play an important part in the

tolerance for butyl methacrylate. Exposure of the evolved strain to butyl methacrylate stimulated the activation of genes that belong to the oxidative stress, heat shock, phage shock, and acid stress response systems and membrane modifying, energy generating, and essential building block synthesizing enzymes. It also resulted in the repression of the genes related to DNA replication and protein synthesis. The use of the evolved strains as host cell for production did not show an improvement in butyl methacrylate titre in comparison to the parental strain. However, butyl methacrylate production seems to be limited by factors other than toxicity. Thus, there is a need for further investigation and improvement of the production pathway.

Acknowledgements

This PhD thesis would not have been possible without the support of various organizations and individuals. I would like to thank the college of Engineering at the University of Nottingham for funding my PhD study through the Dean of Engineering Research Scholarship for International Excellence and Lucite International for funding my research. Both of these funding opportunities would not have been possible without the support of Prof. Gill Stephens. In addition to these opportunities, I am deeply grateful to Prof. Gill for the supervision, support, guidance and mentorship. I would also like to thank my supervision, support, guidance all throughout the program.

I am very fortunate to be part of an industrially linked project that enabled me to work with various companies and institutions. I would like to especially acknowledge my collaborators for my research project from Lucite International (especially to Graham Eastham, David Johnson, and John Runnacles), Ingenza Ltd (especially to Reuben Carr, Scott Baxter, Alison Arnold, Roxann Cortis, Britta Remakers, and the entire Ingenza team), and members from UoN (Dr. Ian Kerr, Dr. Boyan Bonev, Zoey, Julianna, Laura, Andy, Clemency, Jen, Victoria, Charlotte, and Sophie). I am also very thankful for the various interactions from the past and present members of UoN SPT (especially to Patricio, Allison, Aidan, Ricardo, Simone, Alanna, Matthias, Maria) and the support for project and laboratory related activities from Stephen Hall, Amy Pearson, and Rachael Baines.

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Abbreviations	ix
List of Figures	x
List of Tables	xiii
1. Introduction	1
1.1 General introduction	1
2. Literature Review	11
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Mechanisms of chemical toxicity	13
2.3 Chemical tolerance mechanisms	15
2.4 Host strain engineering for bioproduct tolerance	16
2.4.1 Random approach	17
2.4.2 Reverse/Inverse metabolic engineering	24
2.4.3 Rational/Targetted approach	25
2.4.3.1 Cell membrane engineering	25
2.4.3.2 Overexpression of heat shock proteins (HSPs)	30
2.4.3.3 Modulation of transport protein expression	34
2.4.3.4 Overexpression of regulatory proteins of the multidrug	00
resistance response system (MarA, SoxS, and Rob)	
2.4.3.5 Global transcription machinery engineering (GTME)	41
2.5 Summary of highest bioproduct tolerance enhancement	47
2.6 Concluding remarks	49

Table of Contents

3. Aim and objectives	50
3.1 Aim	50
3.2 Objectives	50
4. Materials and methods	52
4.1 Materials	52
4.1.1 Chemicals	52
4.1.2 Reagents	52
4.1.3 Enzymes	52
4.1.4 Kits	53
4.1.5 DNA oligonucleotides	53
4.1.6 Plasmids	53
4.1.7 Strains	53
4.2 Methods	55
4.2.1 Growth media, agar, and buffer preparations	55
4.2.1.1 Growth media	55
4.2.1.2 Agars	56
4.2.1.3 Buffers	57
4.2.2 Growth studies	58
4.2.3 Adaptive evolution	59
4.2.3.1 Sequential batch cultures	59
4.2.3.2 Chemostat cultures	59
4.2.4 Genome shuffling	60
4.2.4.1 Protoplast formation	60

4.2.4.2 Protoplast fusion	60
4.2.4.3 Protoplast regeneration	61
4.2.5 BMA production	61
4.2.5.1 Biotransformation	61
4.2.6 Molecular biology methods	62
4.2.6.1 Primer design	62
4.2.6.2 DNA/RNA extractions	62
4.2.6.3 PCR	65
4.2.6.4 Chemical transformation	65
4.2.7 Bioinformatics	66
4.2.7.1 DNA/Amino acid sequence	66
4.2.7.2 DNA/Amino acid sequence alignments	67
4.2.7.3 Genome sequencing	67
4.2.7.4 RNA sequencing	67
4.2.8 Analytical Methods	70
4.2.8.1 UV-Vis Spectrophotometry	70
4.2.8.2 Gas Chromatography with Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS)70
4.2.8.3 Agarose gel electrophoresis	71
4.2.8.4 DNA sequencing	71
5. Generation of BMA tolerant <i>E. coli</i> strains <i>via</i> adaptive evolution	72
5.1 Introduction	72
5.2 Effect of BMA concentration of cell growth	72
5.3 Adaptive evolution	73

5.3.1 Adaptive evolution in sequential batch cultures	73
5.3.2 Adaptive evolution in continuous cultures	77
5.4 Growth characterization of BMA tolerant strains	79
5.5 Genomic DNA sequencing	81
5.5.1 Mutations in strain RNM-2	83
5.5.2 Mutations in strain RNM-3	83
5.5.3 Mutations in strain RNM-5	83
5.5.4 Mutations in strain RNM-6	
5.5.5 Mutations in strain RNM-7	
5.5.6 Mutations in strain RNM-18	84
5.5.7 Mutations in strain RNM-8	85
5.5.8 Mutations in strain RNM-19	85
5.5.9 Mutations in strain RNM-20	85
5.5.10 Mutations in strain RNM-21	
5.5.11 Mutations in strain RNM-22	
5.5.12 Mutations in strain RNM-23	
5.6 Correlation of mutations to growth in BMA	
5.7 Conclusions	87
6. Transcriptomics Analysis	88
6.1 Introduction	88
6.2 RNA sequencing	89
6.3 Differentially expressed genes	
6.4 Conclusions	115
7. Genome Shuffling and BMA Production	116
7.1 Introduction	116

7.2 Genome Shuffling 11	7
7.2.1 Genomic DNA resequencing11	8
7.3 1-Butanol susceptibility11	9
7.4 BMA production12	0
7.5 Conclusions12	3
8. Overall Discussions 12	4
9. Conclusions and Recommendations for future work 13	3
9.1 Conclusions13	3
9.2 Recommendations for future work13	4
10. References	6
11. Appendices21	1
11.1 Plasmids used in the study21	1
11.2 Primers	2
11.3 Growth curves	3
11.4 DNA translation21	6
11.5 Mutations found from genome resequencing	7
11.5.1 DNA sequence of affected genes 22	4
11.5.2 Amino acid sequence of affected proteins	.9
11.6 RNA sequencing26	1
11.7 BMA production calibration standards26	9
11.8 Growth of E. coli with butyl isobutyrate27	6

List of Abbreviations

BMA	N-butyl methacrylate
CaCl ₂	Calcium chloride
CoCl ₂	Cobalt (II) chloride
CuSO ₄	Copper (II) sulfate
DI H ₂ O	Deionized water
DMSO	Dimethyl sulfoxide
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
FeCl ₃	Iron (III) chloride
HCI	Hydrochloric acid
KH ₂ PO ₄	Potassium dihydrogen phosphate
K ₂ HPO ₄	Dipotassium hydrogen phosphate
КОН	Potassium hydroxide
LB	Luria Bertani
NH₄CI	Ammonium chloride
MgCl ₂	Magnesium chloride
MgSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O	Magnesium sulfate heptahydrate
MnSO ₄	Manganese (II) sulfate
Na ₂ HPO ₄	Disodium hydrogen phosphate
NaH ₂ PO ₄ ·2H ₂ O	Sodium phosphate monobasic dehydrate
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
NCBI PCR	National Center for Biotechnology Information Polymerase Chain Reaction
PEG	Poly (ethylene glycol)
Tris/HCI	Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane hydrochloride
TAE	Tris-acetate-EDTA
TE	Trace elements
ZnSO ₄	Zinc sulfate

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1 ACH Process for MMA production	2
Fig. 1.2 New ACH Process for MMA production	3
Fig. 1.3 BASF Process for MMA production	4
Fig. 1.4 Alpha Process for MMA production	4
Fig. 1.5 Isobutylene Oxidation Process for MMA production	5
Fig. 1.6 Isobutylene Ammoxidation Process for MMA production	5
Fig. 1.7 Reaction steps for the Eastman-Bechtel route	6
Fig. 1.8 Reaction steps for the propylene route	6
Fig. 1.9 Reaction steps for the propyne route towards MMA	7
Fig. 1.10 Transesterification of BMA to MMA	7
Fig. 1.11 Bioconversion of pyruvate to BMA	8
Fig. 1.12 Simplified schematic diagram of the integrated process for MMA	
production as developed by Lucite International	. 10
Fig. 2.1 Flow diagram of reverse/inverse engineering of a product tolerant	
strain	. 24
Fig. 4.1 Mini-bioreactor set-up for the chemostat cultures	. 59
Fig. 5.1 Effect of BMA concentration on the growth of <i>E. coli</i>	. 73
Fig. 5.2 ADE-1	. 74
Fig. 5.3 ADE-2	. 75
Fig. 5.4 ADE-3	. 76
Fig. 5.5 ADE-4	. 77
Fig. 5.6 ADE-5	. 78
Figure 6.1 Venn diagrams for the differentially expressed genes from the 6	
BMA tolerant strains	. 92
Figure 6.2 Significantly enriched regulating transcription factors of the	
differentially expressed genes relative the parental strain	. 97

Figure 6.3 Heat maps for genes regulated by MarA-SoxS-Rob, AcrR, and GadE-X-W
Figure 6.4 Heat maps for genes regulated by FlhDC, FliZ, and CsgD
Figure 6.5 Enrichment analysis for the regulating transcription factors of the differentially expressed genes after BMA addition
Figure 6.6 Heat map for genes regulated by Fis
Figure 6.7 Heat map of genes related to (a) stringent control and UvrY 105
Figure 6.8 Heat map for genes regulated by Fur and HypT 107
Figure 6.9 Heat map for genes regulated by PspF 108
Figure 6.10 Heat map for genes regulated by CecR (YbiH) 109
Figure 7.1 Comparison of max cell density (dashed line) and growth rate (long dash dot dot line) of BMA tolerant isolates from genome shuffling and strain RNM-18
Figure 7.2 Determination of 1-butanol susceptibility of <i>E. coli</i> strains 119
Figure 7.3 Scheme for BMA production from 2–ketoisovalerate
Fig. 11.1 Plasmid map of pKIV_ara
Fig. 11.2 Plasmid map of pBAD-MMA050_mACX4_corrected (3) 212
Figure 11.3 Growth characterization of isolates from ADE-1, ADE-2, and ADE-3
Figure 11.4 Growth characterization of isolates from ADE-4
Figure 11.5 Growth characterization of isolates from ADE-5
Figure 11.6 Growth characterization of isolates from genome shuffling 215
Figure 11.7 A sample chromatograph for BMA production
Figure 11.8 Chromatograph and spectra of butyl methacrylate
Figure 11.9 Chromatograph and spectra of butyl acetate
Figure 11.10 Chromatograph and spectra of butyl isobutyrate
Figure 11.11 Chromatograph and spectra of butyl isovalerate
Figure 11.12 Standard curve for butyl methacrylate (BMA)

Figure 11.13 Standard curve for butyl acetate (BA)	. 274
Figure 11.14 Standard curve for butyl isobutyrate (BIB)	. 275
Figure 11.15 Standard curve for butyl isovalerate (BIV)	. 275
Figure 11.16 Test for growth of E. coli strains in butyl isobutyrate (BIB)	. 276

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Summary of studies with adaptive evolution as method to generate
bioproduct tolerant strains
Table 2.2 Summary of bioproduct tolerant strains generated from various
random mutagenesis approaches
Table 2.3 Effect of membrane engineering on microbial tolerance towards
bioproducts
Table 2.4 Effect of HSPs overexpression on microbial tolerance towards
bioproducts
Table 2.5 Effect of modulation of transport protein expression on microbial
tolerance towards bioproducts
Table 2.6 Effect of MarA, SoxS, or Rob overexpression on microbial tolerance
towards chemicals
Table 2.7 Effect of GTME on microbial tolerance towards bioproducts 47
Table 2.8 List of reports for enhanced bioproduct tolerance with improvements of at least 50% 48
Table 2.8 List of reports for enhanced bioproduct tolerance with improvements of at least 50%
Table 2.8 List of reports for enhanced bioproduct tolerance with improvements of at least 50%48Table 4.1 List of plasmids used in this study53Table 4.2 List of bacterial strains used in this study54
Table 2.8 List of reports for enhanced bioproduct tolerance with improvements of at least 50%48Table 4.1 List of plasmids used in this study53Table 4.2 List of bacterial strains used in this study54Table 4.3 Contrasts specified for differential analysis69
Table 2.8 List of reports for enhanced bioproduct tolerance with improvements of at least 50%48Table 4.1 List of plasmids used in this study53Table 4.2 List of bacterial strains used in this study54Table 4.3 Contrasts specified for differential analysis69Table 5.1 Growth kinetic parameters of <i>E. coli</i> at various BMA concentrations 73
Table 2.8 List of reports for enhanced bioproduct tolerance with improvements of at least 50% 48 Table 4.1 List of plasmids used in this study 53 Table 4.2 List of bacterial strains used in this study 54 Table 4.3 Contrasts specified for differential analysis 69 Table 5.1 Growth kinetic parameters of <i>E. coli</i> at various BMA concentrations 73 Table 5.2 Growth kinetic parameters of BMA tolerant strains from various ADE experiments 80
Table 2.8 List of reports for enhanced bioproduct tolerance with improvements of at least 50%48Table 4.1 List of plasmids used in this study53Table 4.2 List of bacterial strains used in this study54Table 4.3 Contrasts specified for differential analysis69Table 5.1 Growth kinetic parameters of <i>E. coli</i> at various BMA concentrations 73Table 5.2 Growth kinetic parameters of BMA tolerant strains from various ADE experiments80Table 5.3 List of mutations acquired by the BMA tolerant strains
Table 2.8 List of reports for enhanced bioproduct tolerance with improvements of at least 50%48Table 4.1 List of plasmids used in this study53Table 4.2 List of bacterial strains used in this study54Table 4.3 Contrasts specified for differential analysis69Table 5.1 Growth kinetic parameters of <i>E. coli</i> at various BMA concentrations 73Table 5.2 Growth kinetic parameters of BMA tolerant strains from various ADE experiments80Table 5.3 List of mutations acquired by the BMA tolerant strains90
Table 2.8 List of reports for enhanced bioproduct tolerance with improvements of at least 50% 48 Table 4.1 List of plasmids used in this study 53 Table 4.2 List of bacterial strains used in this study 54 Table 4.3 Contrasts specified for differential analysis 69 Table 5.1 Growth kinetic parameters of <i>E. coli</i> at various BMA concentrations 73 Table 5.2 Growth kinetic parameters of BMA tolerant strains from various ADE experiments 80 Table 5.3 List of mutations acquired by the BMA tolerant strains 82 Table 6.1 Summary of strains used for the transcriptomics analysis 90 Table 6.2 Summary of the number of differentially expressed genes 91

 Table 6.10 List of differentially expressed genes exclusive to the BMA tolerant strains

 111

Table 6.11 Summary of significantly enriched GO terms based on biologicalprocess for the differentially expressed genes exclusive to the BMA tolerantstrains112

Table 6.12 Summary of significantly enriched GO terms based on molecularfunction for the differentially expressed genes exclusive to the BMA tolerantstrains113

Table 6.13 Summary of significantly enriched GO terms based on cellularcomponent for the differentially expressed genes exclusive to the BMAtolerant strains114

 Table 7.2 Cell density and viability E. coli strains during BMA production test

 121

 Table 11.1 Primers used in the study
 212

 Table 11.2 List of codons for amino acids and their abbreviations
 216

Table 11.4 Summary of mutations in genes encoding non-regulatoryfunctional proteins219						
Table 11.5 Summary of mutations in non-coding regions 224						
Table 11.6 List of differentially up and down regulated genes for the BMA tolerant strains with respect to the parental strain						
Table 11.7 List of differentially up and down regulated genes after BMA addition 263						

Introduction

1.1 General introduction

Microbial fermentation provides a promising alternative route for the sustainable production of industrially relevant chemicals (Erickson et al., 2012; Singh, 2011). It exploits the ability of microorganisms to produce a diverse range of compounds that be can be further modified or directly used as a fuel, solvent, food ingredient, fragrance, bioactive compounds, building blocks for polymeric materials, and etc. from renewable feedstocks (Lee et al., 2019; Rabinovitch-Deere et al., 2013; Tsuge et al., 2016). Recently, a number of chemicals are commercially produced with the aid of microorganisms that includes 1,3 propanediol by DuPont Tate & Lyle, 1,4 BDO by Genomatica, 1butanol by Geen Biologics, isobutanol by Gevo, succinic acid by Bioamber, Myriant, REverdia, and Succinity, atemisinin and &-Farnesene by Amyris, polylactic acid (PLA) by NatureWorks LLC, polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) by Metabolix and SyntheZyme, polyethylene by Braskem, and polyols by BiOH (Benjamin et al., 2016; Burgard et al., 2016; Davies, 2013; Erickson et al., 2012; Komesu et al., 2017; Nghiem et al., 2017; Tao et al., 2014). Production of other chemicals via microbial bioprocesses are also being considered by various research and development efforts (Lamsen & Atsumi, 2012; Lu et al., 2019; Meadows et al., 2018), including methyl methacrylate (MMA) by Lucite International and Mitsubishi Chemicals (Eastham et al., 2017; O'malley et al., 2018; Sato et al., 2017).

Methacrylate esters are a versatile group of monomer used in plastics, coatings, adhesives, textile, paper, cosmetics, electronics, lubricants, fuel additives, and oil-field or construction chemicals (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018; Fleischhaker et al., 2014; Nagai, 2001; Slone, 2010). Demands for methyl methacrylate (MMA), the methacrylate ester with largest use and production, is expected to surpass 4.8 million metric tonnes by 2020 (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018). They can be produced from esterification of methacrylic acid (MAA) or trans-esterification of other methacrylate esters (Heeres et al., 2019; Nagai, 2001). Technologies that are under development or currently employed for

commercial production of MAA or MMA utilizes acetone-cyanohydrin (ACH) and light hydrocarbons as feedstocks and heterogeneous metals as catalysts (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018; Nagai, 2001). The earliest commercial production process for MMA was the ACH process. In this process, acetone, hydrogen cyanide (HCN), methanol, and sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) are used as starting materials to produce MMA with cyanohydrin and methacrylamide as intermediates, and ammonium bisulfate (NH₄HSO₄) as waste by-product (Fig. 1.1) (Ballarini et al., 2007; Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018; Nagai, 2001; Zheng et al., 2016). An improved version of the ACH process, developed by Mitsubishi Gas Chemical, eliminates the use of H₂SO₄ and waste by-product NH₄HSO₄ by reacting cyanohydrin with water in the presence MnO₂ to generate the intermediate 2-hydroxyisobutylamide. The second intermediate is further converted to methyl 2-hydroxyisobutylate and formamide via transesterification with methyl formate in the presence of CaO. MMA is finally obtained from the dehydration of 2-hydroxyisobutylate, while HCN can be obtained and recycled into the process via dehydration of formamide (Fig. 1.2) (Abe, 1999; Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018; Nagai, 2001). Another iteration of the ACH process, which also eliminates the use of H₂SO₄, is the AVENEER process developed by Evonik (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018). In the AVENEER process, ammonia, methane, acetone, and methanol are used as feedstocks to produce MMA.



Figure 1.1 ACH Process for MMA production (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018; Nagai, 2001).



Figure 1.2 New ACH Process for MMA production (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018; Nagai, 2001).

MMA is also produced commercially with the use of ethylene as the main feedstock *via* the 4-stage BASF process and 2-stage alpha process developed by Lucite International (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018). In the BASF process (Fig. 1.3), ethylene is first reacted with carbon monoxide (CO) and hydrogen (H₂) to form propionaldehyde, which is then subjected to a condensation reaction with formaldehyde to form methacrolein (MAC). Oxidation of MAC leads to the formation of MAA, which is subsequently esterified with methanol to produce MMA (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018; Duembengen et al., 1985; Merger & Foerster, 1983; Nagai, 2001). On the other hand, the alpha process (Fig. 1.4) generates methyl propionate from the reaction of ethylene with CO and methanol over a Pd catalyst in the first stage. MMA is produced upon reaction of methyl propionate with formaldehyde over the Cs-doped SBA-15 catalyst (Ai, 2005; Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018; Li et al., 2014a).



Figure 1.3 BASF Process for MMA production (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018; Nagai, 2001).



Figure 1.4 Alpha Process for MMA production (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018; Nagai, 2001).

The other processes that were applied in commercial scale by Nihon Methacrylate Monomer and Mitsubishi Rayon involved the usage of isobutylene as feedstock to produce MMA via oxidation of isobutylene to MAC, then MAA, followed by esterification with methanol (Fig. 1.5) (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018; Guan et al., 2008). MMA can also be produced from isobutylene *via* its ammoxidation to methacrylonitrile (MAN) (Fig. 1.6), which was commercialized by Asahi Chemical Company (Nagai, 2001; Onsan & Trimm, 1975).



Figure 1.5 Isobutylene Oxidation Process for MMA production (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018; Guan et al., 2008)



Figure 1.6 Isobutylene Ammoxidation Process for MMA production (a) Mitsubishi Gas Chemicals Process (b) Asahi Chemical Co Process (Nagai, 2001).

Other notable technologies that are still in the development pipeline go through similar routes making use of various C2 (ethylene), C3 (propane, propylene, and propyne), and C4 (isobutane, isobutene) compounds (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018; Guan et al., 2009). A process developed from the research triangle institute (RTI) (Eastman-Bechtel route; Fig. 1.7) starts with ethylene, CO, and H₂O intermediate propionic to generate the acid through the hydroxycarbonylation reaction using metal carbonyl catalyst. A condensation reaction of propionic acid and formaldehyde leads to the formation of MAA, which can be converted to MMA via esterification with methanol (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018; Nagai, 2001; Xu, 2002). In a similar process, called LiMA (Leading in Methacrylates) that was developed and commercialized by Evonik, ethylene, CO, and H₂ are converted to propionaldehyde. Further conversion of propionaldehyde to MAC is achieved through an Aldol condensation reaction with formaldehyde. Finally, an oxyesterification reaction converts MAC and methanol to produce MMA (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018).



Figure 1.7 Reaction steps for the Eastman-Bechtel route (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018).

The use of propylene as starting material goes through hydroxy carbonylation reaction with H_2O and CO to form the intermediate isobutyric acid, which is then further converted to MAA via oxidative dehydrogenation and MMA after esterification with methanol (Fig. 1.8) (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018).



Figure 1.8 Reaction steps for the propylene route (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018; Nagai, 2001).

In an alternative C3 route developed by shell, propyne is used as the feedstock and directly converted to MMA *via* a methoxy carbonylation reaction with CO and methanol over a Pd catalyst (Fig. 1.9) (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018; Drent, 1988; Mizuno et al., 2008).



Figure 1.9 Reaction steps for the propyne route towards MMA (Mizuno et al., 2008).

The current commercial processes and most processes in the development pipeline for production of MAA and MMA relies heavily on petroleum based or sourced feedstocks and expensive metal based catalysts with short lifetimes (Darabi Mahboub et al., 2018; Nagai, 2001). As fossil reserves are limited along with tremendous global concerns for climate change (Gopalakrishnan et al., 2019; Jakob & Hilaire, 2015; Rogelj et al., 2018), there is an urgent need to switch from petroleum based feedstocks to the more sustainable biobased feedstocks (Bennich & Belyazid, 2017; Bennich et al., 2018; Werpy & Petersen, 2004). Lucite International's bioprocess route to MMA production utilizes renewable biobased feedstocks and microbial biocatalysts that can be regenerated through the renewable biobased feedstocks as well (Uppada et al., 2014). The bioprocess route would be part of the integrated process, wherein n-butyl methacrylate (BMA) will be produced as an intermediate for MMA production (Fig. 1.10).



Figure 1.10 Transesterification of BMA to MMA.

BMA can be produced from pyruvate (Eastham et al., 2017; Eiji et al., 2013), which can be produced from various carbon sources (Li et al., 2001), and 1-butanol *via* bioconversion (Fig. 1.11).



Figure 1.11 Bioconversion of pyruvate to BMA.

Two pyruvate molecules are converted to the intermediates acetolactate, 2,3 dihydroxyisovalerate, 3-methyl-2-oxobutanoate, isobutanoyl-COA, and methacrylyl-COA with the aid of the enzymes acetolactate synthase, ketol-acid

reductoisomerase, dihydroxy-acid dehydratase, 3-methyl-2-oxobutanoate dehydrogenase, and isobutyryl-CoA:FAD oxidoreductase, respectively. BMA can then be formed from methacrylyl-CoA and 1-butanol through an alcohol acyltransferase.

In Lucite International's process design, MMA production is economically favourable if BMA can be produced at 10-20% v/v (Fig. 1.12). The high BMA titres will cause phase separation of BMA from the aqueous phase and enable its recovery *via* decantation. One key constraint in achieving the target BMA concentration (10-20% v/v) is the ability of the production host cell to tolerate and be metabolically active in the presence 10-20% v/v BMA (Mukhopadhyay, 2015). This is because BMA, like other organic chemicals produced by microorganisms, can exhibit toxic effects towards the production host cell (Mukhopadhyay, 2015). Both vital cellular functions and product formation are inhibited with increasing bioproduct titres, which eventually leads to cell death and cessation of product formation and limits attainable BMA titres (Foo et al., 2014; Huffer et al., 2011; Lian et al., 2016; McKenna & Nielsen, 2011; Menchavez & Ha, 2019; Mukhopadhyay, 2015). Escherichia coli, which is one of the production host strains being developed by Lucite International is not known to be tolerant towards BMA at 10-20% v/v (Disley, 2018). E. coli strains with improved tolerance for BMA were isolated from a preceding study (Disley, 2018). However, the isolated strains were unable to display tolerance for BMA (10-20% v/v) in a well mixed system (Personal communication from Ingenza Ltd. And Lucite International). Thus, it will be necessary to engineer a more robust potential host strain to be capable of withstanding and performing at target BMA titres.





Legend: In and out of various unit operations (solid lines and arrows), aqueous phase bleed and recycle (blue dotted line), methanol streams (dark blue dashed-line), and butanol streams (green dashed-line).

Literature Review

2.1 Introduction

Microbial fermentation may offer a green and sustainable alternative to established chemicals manufacturing processes, to enable the production of commercially important chemicals that can be utilized as platform chemicals, fuels, therapeutics, fine chemicals, food additives, fragrances, bioactive compounds, and building blocks for various materials (Erickson et al., 2012; Hatti-Kaul et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2019; Soetaert & Vandamme, 2006; Tang & Zhao, 2009; Tsuge et al., 2016). The use of microbial processing (Pickens et al., 2011; Rabinovitch-Deere et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2015) has been greatly aided by advances in metabolic engineering (Kogure & Inui, 2018; Lian et al., 2018; Peña et al., 2018; Pontrelli et al., 2018), enzyme engineering (Li & Cirino, 2014; Newton et al., 2018), synthethic biology (Lee et al., 2018; Tan & Prather, 2017), and systems biology (Chae et al., 2017; Chubukov et al., 2016; Hansen et al., 2017). However, despite these technical breakthroughs in production via microbial bioprocesses, only a limited number of chemicals have reached commercial manufacturing (Benjamin et al., 2016; Burgard et al., 2016; Chubukov et al., 2016; Davies, 2013; Gallage & Møller, 2015; Komesu et al., 2017; Nghiem et al., 2017; Tao et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017). The vast majority of potential bioproducts are still languishing in the development pipeline, since typical product titres are frequently much too low (mg/L to a few g/L) (Lamsen & Atsumi, 2012; Lu et al., 2019; Meadows et al., 2018). Such dilute product streams result in excessive costs for dewatering and product recovery, due to high energy usage, capital intensive recovery processes (Efe et al., 2013; Mariano & Filho, 2012; Salemme et al., 2017) and significant costs for treating process waste and water (Mariano & Filho, 2012). Consequently, product titre, along with product yield and productivity, is among the key parameters that affect production cost and process economics. Therefore, product titre is a key determinant of commercial viability (Chubukov et al., 2016) and environmental impact (Mariano & Filho, 2012) of chemical production via microbial processes.

Until now, the main emphasis for R&D has been to relieve limitations in the metabolic pathway, enzymes, regulatory networks, spatial organization of the metabolites and enzymes, and cellular machineries to enable the bioconversion of sustainable feedstocks to chemical products (Chubukov et al., 2016; Lechner et al.; Lee et al., 2018; Meadows et al., 2018). Although there have been numerous successes, many chemical products are inherently toxic to microorganisms, and this toxicity towards the production host cell has long been recognised as a critical constraint in the product titres that can be obtained either from natural or metabolically engineered production strains (Brennan et al., 2012; Jarboe et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2008; McKenna et al., 2015; Meadows et al., 2018; Ng & Kuek, 2013). Thus, cellular activities and product formation are progressively inhibited with increasing bioproduct concentration until the product reaches a lethal concentration and/or maximum attainable titre (Chong et al., 2014; Dunlop et al., 2011; Foo et al., 2014; Foo & Leong, 2013; Huffer et al., 2011; Lian et al., 2016; Ma & Yu, 2012; McKenna & Nielsen, 2011; Menchavez & Ha, 2019; Mingardon et al., 2015; Tan et al., 2016a; Tan et al., 2017b; Tan et al., 2016b).

Although some microorganisms have mechanisms in place to combat the deleterious effects of toxic bioproducts, the concentrations at which the cells are able to function before bioproduct formation stalls is still well below target concentrations for commercial production (Chong et al., 2014; Dunlop et al., 2011; Foo et al., 2014; Foo & Leong, 2013; Huffer et al., 2011; Lian et al., 2016; Ma & Yu, 2012; McKenna & Nielsen, 2011; Menchavez & Ha, 2019; Mingardon et al., 2015; Tan et al., 2016a; Tan et al., 2017b; Tan et al., 2016b). This limitation can be resolved by engineering the host strain until it is able to tolerate produce at the desired product titre (Dunlop et al., 2011), and attaching/encapsulating the host strain with a protective material (Hinks et al., 2015; Menchavez & Ha, 2019), applying in-situ product recovery options (McKenna et al., 2015; Outram et al., 2017), or combinations thereof. Engineering of the host strain for product tolerance makes use of classical and modern biotechnological techniques to surpass the maximum product titre it can naturally endure before vital cellular and metabolic processes breakdown (Mukhopadhyay, 2015). However, more often than not, the improvements in

product tolerance do not reach product titres that are required for commercial production (Mariano & Filho, 2012; Mukhopadhyay, 2015).

Protective materials can also be used to enhance product tolerance of the host cell via encapsulation in a biocompatible carrier (Menchavez & Ha, 2019) or addition of membrane insertion molecules for cell membrane stabilization (Hinks et al., 2015). In-situ product recovery incorporates conventional or novel separation processes into the fermentation process for partial removal of the bioproduct and maintain its concentration below inhibitory levels to allow continued production (McKenna et al., 2015; Outram et al., 2017). Both of the latter options would entail additional capital costs with the maximum attainable titers still dependent on the product tolerance of the host strain. Thus, engineering the product tolerance of the host strain will still be an important component for these approaches. In some cases, combinations of these approaches might be necessary to for use in an integrated bioprocess to circumvent the production limitations resulting from product toxicity (Menchavez & Ha, 2019; Outram et al., 2017; Qureshi & Blaschek, 2000). In this review, recent strategies and efforts in the engineering of a more tolerant bacterial host strain for a range of bioproducts as an approach to break bioproduct toxicity titer limitations are discussed.

2.2 Mechanisms of chemical toxicity

One key step in solving any problem is understanding the problem itself inorder to formulate a sound approach. Thus, understanding how chemicals affect the host cell and its components to manisfest its toxic nature is an important step for the engineering of the host cell with improved product tolerance. Cell inhibition and death may result from the bioproduct's direct interaction with vital cellular components (proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids) (Asakura et al., 1978; Banerjee et al., 1981; Chen & Rand, 1998; Chu et al., 2013; Jarboe et al., 2013; Ly & Longo, 2004; Modig et al., 2002; Murínová & Dercová, 2014; Osman et al., 1988; Sardessai & Bhosle, 2002; Sikkema et al., 1995; Spears & Fascione, 2016; Tittensor & Walker, 1968; Yuan et al., 2010), alteration of the cellular environment (Ingram, 1981; Jarboe et al., 2013), inherent stress response mechanisms (Ezraty et al., 2017; Jarboe et al., 2013), or a combination of the direct and indirect action of the bioproduct (Ingram, 1981; Jarboe et al., 2013; Martins et al., 2019).

In particular, many chemical products partition from the aqueous phase into the cell membrane and can interact with its lipid and protein components, which are critical components for the maintenance of the membrane's integrity, fluidity, and overall physical properties (Dombek & Ingram, 1984; Los & Murata, 2004; Sikkema et al., 1995; Silhavy et al., 2010; Weber & de Bont, 1996). As the bioproduct concentration increases, the amount of product within the lipid bilayer increases and starts to alter the membrane integrity and fluidity (Los & Murata, 2004; Sikkema et al., 1995; Silhavy et al., 2010; Weber & de Bont, 1996). The changes in membrane properties can disrupt various membraneassociated systems crucial to cellular functions, such as transport, energy conservation mechanisms, signaling, and cell division processes (Ingram, 1981; Lennen et al., 2011; Russell, 1992; Segura et al., 2012; Sikkema et al., 1994; Watson, 2015). Eventually, the bioproduct concentration reaches the threshold limit that results in leakage of essential macromolecules and cofactors, as well as dissipation of the proton motive force that leads to cell death (Cartwright et al., 1986; Hyldgaard et al., 2012; Ingram, 1981; Jarboe et al., 2013; Lennen et al., 2011; Royce et al., 2013b).

Some bioproducts interact directly with cellular components (lipids, protein, DNA, RNA) and inflict damage through denaturation (Asakura et al., 1978; Murínová & Dercová, 2014; Segura et al., 2012) and chemical reaction with the cellular macromolecules (Chu et al., 2013; Osman et al., 1988; Spears & Fascione, 2016; Tittensor & Walker, 1968; Yuan et al., 2010). Their accumulation may also lead to inhibition of enzymes essential for overall cell metabolism (Banerjee et al., 1981; Modig et al., 2002) or enzymes involved in the production pathway (Primak et al., 2011; Tian et al., 2019). Damage of macromolecules can also result from reactive oxygen species (H_2O_2 , O_2^{--} , \cdot OH, ROO-) generated from cell processes, which are exacerbated in the presence of potential bioproducts at elevated concentrations (Pérez-Gallardo et al., 2013). In the case of organic acid production, pH homeostasis can be significantly altered and cause acidification in the cytoplasm (Russell, 1992), which may result in the collapse of the transmembrane pH gradient, decrease

in proton motive force, inhibition of essential cell processes, increase in turgor pressure and osmolarity, and damage to DNA and RNA (Baronofsky et al., 1984; Beales, 2004; Herrero et al., 1985; Huesemann & Papoutsakis, 1986; McLaggan et al., 1994; Raja et al., 1991; Sinha, 1986).

2.3 Chemical tolerance mechanisms

In response to the deleterious effects caused by contact with a variety of toxic compounds, microorganisms may alter their cell envelope structure to maintain membrane integrity and fluidity, expel or prevent entry of toxic compounds, and activate various stress response and damage repair mechanisms (Brynildsen & Liao, 2009; Ezraty et al., 2017; Jarboe et al., 2013; Joly et al., 2010; Karschau et al., 2011; Martins et al., 2019; Petersohn et al., 2001; Sardessai & Bhosle, 2002; Sawant et al., 2016; Segura et al., 2012; Sikkema et al., 1995; Weber & de Bont, 1996; Yung et al., 2016). Microorganisms can maintain membrane integrity and fluidity by adjusting the saturated-to-unsaturated fatty acid ratio, the abundance of branched (iso and anteiso), hydroxy, and cyclopropane fatty acids, the degree of cis-trans isomerization of unsaturated fatty acids, abundance of specific phospholipid head groups, and the type and amount of membrane proteins (Carey & Ingram, 1983; Clark & Beard, 1979; Dombek & Ingram, 1984; Heipieper et al., 2003; Mrozik et al., 2005; Mrozik et al., 2004; Murínová & Dercová, 2014; Segura et al., 2012; Sikkema et al., 1995; Silveira et al., 2004; Sol Cuenca et al., 2015; Weber & de Bont, 1996; Yung et al., 2016; Zu et al., 2014). The changes in membrane composition (Murínová & Dercová, 2014; Sikkema et al., 1995), along with reduced expression of certain porins (Brynildsen & Liao, 2009; Roma-Rodrigues et al., 2010; Royce et al., 2014), also contribute to prevent or reduce the rate of permeation and diffusion of toxic compounds.

On the other hand, expulsion of toxic compounds from the cell is achieved *via* membrane vesicles (Baumgarten et al., 2012; Kobayashi et al., 2000), porins (Zhou et al., 2015), and efflux pumps (Li et al., 1998; Martins et al., 2019; Rojas et al., 2001). As toxic compounds interact with cellular components in a variety of ways, microorganisms can also respond to counteract the combined deleterious effects by recruiting complementary elements with diverse functions

from various stress response and damage repair systems (Martins et al., 2019; Molina-Santiago et al., 2017; Nicolaou et al., 2010; Rau et al., 2016; Shimizu, 2013b; Yung et al., 2016). The notable stress response and damage repair systems that respond to toxic compounds include the phage shock response (Chiou et al., 2004; Joly et al., 2010), acid stress response (Jarboe et al., 2013; Shimizu, 2013b), oxidative stress response (Cao et al., 2017; Ezraty et al., 2017; Rau et al., 2016), envelope stress response (Cao et al., 2017; Grabowicz & Silhavy, 2017), osmotic stress response (Cao et al., 2017; Krämer, 2010), heat and cold shock response (Barria et al., 2013; Brynildsen & Liao, 2009; Cao et al., 2017; Guisbert et al., 2004; Yung et al., 2016), and multidrug resistance (Duval & Lister, 2013), which integrate various functions that allow the cell to maintain membrane stability, expel or prevent entry of toxic compounds, adjust biosynthesis and energy metabolism, and repair or degrade damaged membrane components, protein, DNA, and RNA (Martins et al., 2019).

Even though microorganisms have mechanisms in place to combat deleterious effects of certain toxic chemical products, their action is limited to product concentrations well below the requirements for commercial bioprocess (Lamsen & Atsumi, 2012; Lu et al., 2019; Meadows et al., 2018; Mukhopadhyay, 2015). Thus, it will still be necessary to further improve their product tolerance. Nonetheless, these mechanisms serve as a crucial starting point to further improve the chemical product tolerance of the chosen host strain.

2.4 Host strain engineering for bioproduct tolerance

Host strain engineering for improved bioproduct tolerance has been acknowledged as a key component for successful bioprocesses (Lee & Kim, 2015) and various approaches have been reported for the generation of a host strain with improved bioproduct tolerance (Dunlop et al., 2011). Engineering of the host strain can be achieved either by a random or rational/targeted approach. The random approach makes use of the classical random mutagenesis tools, while the rational or targeted approach builds on knowledge of known tolerance mechanisms from native or engineered strains with the aid of 'omics analysis and systems biology tools.

2.4.1 Random approach

Acquiring spontaneous mutations in a host cell is a rare phenomenon with an approximate rate of 10⁻⁷ mutations/gene/generation (Csörgő et al., 2012), but this can still be exploited to evolve new strains with desirable properties by imposing appropriate selection pressures. Adaptive evolution for product tolerance (Dragosits & Mattanovich, 2013) involves the continued propagation cells in a batch or continuous culture for many generations (100-1000) of the selected host microorganism in a culture medium with the toxic chemical product at the concentration desired for tolerance. This process exploits the random mutations that occur naturally, and any fitter mutants that arise will proliferate due their faster growth rates under the selected population is in proportion to their fitness (Dragosits & Mattanovich, 2013; Winkler & Kao, 2014).

Adaptive evolution through sequential batch cultures has been successfully used to increase E. coli tolerance towards ethanol (Horinouchi et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011), isopropanol (Horinouchi et al., 2017), isobutanol (Minty et al., 2011), and 1-butanol (Menchavez et al., 2018) with improvements ranging from 7.8-100% (Table 2.1). Evolution of *E. coli* for enhanced 1-butanol tolerance was also successfully achieved in a continuous culture resulting in a 62.5% improvement (Reyes et al., 2012). Aside from *E. coli*, the 1-butanol tolerance of Synechocystis. sp. PCC 6803 (Wang et al., 2014) and Clostridium acetobutylicum D64 (Liu et al., 2013) were also improved via adaptive evolution in serial batch transfers. Synechocystis. sp. and C. acetobutylicum gained 150% and 57.7% improvements, respectively. The evolution process for Synechocystis. sp. was accomplished by growing the cultures in a broth with increasing levels of 1-butanol, while C. acetobutylicum was evolved and selected in both broth and plate containing 1-butanol. In the case of C. acetobutylicum, after each increment the cells were plated on YPS agar containing the same 1-butanol concentration. The largest colonies were then transferred to broth containing the same or a higher 1-butanol concentration, and the selection cycle repeated. The final C. acetobutylicum strain was tolerant to 31.2 g/L 1-butanol, which is about 5 g/L less than the minimum target product concentration for 1-butanol production *via* fermentation (Mariano & Filho, 2012; Vane, 2008).

Method	Conditions	Chemical (Max C observed from the parental	Microorganism			Ref			
		strain for T; P in g/L)		С	CD	CV	GR	Р	
Adaptive evolution (batch/ serial transfers)	LB medium + xylose, anaerobic, ~350 generations	Ethanol (20 ; 15.9)	<i>E. coli</i> KC01	100	NT	NT	NT	48.8	(Wang et al., 2011)
	M9 medium + glucose, microaerobic ; 1000 generations	Ethanol (44.7; NT)	E. coli W3110	7.8	NT	NT	100	NT	(Horin ouchi et al., 2010)
	M9 medium +glucose, microaerobic ; 210 generations	lso- propanol (24; NT)	E. coli MDS42	12.3	NT	NT	NT	NT	(Horin ouchi et al., 2017)
	M9 medium + xylose / glucose, microaerobic ,425–500 generations	Isobutanol (7.5; NT) / 10; NT)	<i>E. coli</i> EcNR1	130 / 100	NT	NT	200	NT	(Minty et al., 2011)
	LB medium, aerobic, ~ 300 generations	Isobutanol (6; 19)	E. coli JCL260	25	500	NT	NT	NI	(Atsum i et al., 2010)
	M9 medium	1-Butanol (6; NT)	<i>E. coli</i> BW25113	75	NT	NT	NT	NT	(Menc havez et al., 2018)
	BG11 medium, ~ 700 generations	1-Butanol (1.6; NT)	Synechocystis. sp. PCC 6803	150	NT	NT	NT	NT	(Wang et al., 2014)
Artificial simulation of bio- evolution (batch)	YPS medium, anaeorobic, ~ 1 year total evolution	1-Butanol (19.8; 12.2)	C. acetobutylicum D64	57.7	NT	NT	NT	25.4	(Liu et al., 2013)
Adaptive evolution (conti- nuous)	M9 medium, aerobic, Dilution Rate 0.23 h ⁻¹ , 144 generations	1-Butanol (6.4; NT)	<i>E. coli</i> BW25113	62.5	NT	NT	NT	NT	(Reyes et al., 2012)

Table 2.1 Summary of studies with adaptive evolution as method to generate bioproduct tolerant strains.

C, concentration; CD, cell density; CV, cell viability; GR, growth rate; P, production; T, tolerance (in terms of C, CD, CV, or GR); NT, not tested; NI, no improvement.

An evolved *E. coli* strain with improved tolerance for ethanol also showed 48.8% improvement in ethanol production (Wang et al., 2011). Likewise, the evolved *C. acetobutylicum* was able to produce 25.4% more 1-butanol than the

parental strain (Liu et al., 2013). Although the number of generations required to evolve the desired tolerance usually involves lengthy experiments (Atsumi et al., 2010; Horinouchi et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2013; Minty et al., 2011; Reyes et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014), adaptive evolution presents a very effective method to generate host strains with improved product tolerance and production titres strains.

It is also possible to increase the mutation rate by exposure of the host strain to mutagens. A variety of mutagenic chemicals are known, including base analogues, 2-aminopurine deaminating agents, hydroxylamine, nitrous acid, alkylating agents, ethyl methanesulfonate, mustards, and intercalating agents (Parekh et al., 2000). These mutagens can be used to achieve random mutations, including deletion, addition, substitution (transversions), or breakage of DNA strands. Mutants of *Clostridium beijerinckii* NCIMB 8052 with enhanced 1-butanol tolerance and production were generated by chemical mutagenesis using *N*-methyl-*N*-nitro-*N*-nitrosoguanidine (NTG) (Table 2.2) (Annous & Blaschek, 1991; Qureshi & Blaschek, 2000). One strain gained improvements in 1-butanol tolerance and production by 45.8% and 82.4%, respectively. NTG mutagenesis was also used to generate *C. beijerinckii* strains with enhanced tolerance for isopropanol (Gérando et al., 2016). One strain acquired 11.1% improvement in tolerance for isopropanol, but was unable to produce more isopropanol than the parental strain.

Exposure of microorganisms to UV irradiation (<280-400 nm) serves as an alternative option to accelerate mutant generation, since UV irradiation damages the DNA by causing the formation of cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers, pyrimidine (6-4) pyrimidone photoproducts at dipyrimidine sites, and oxidation through generation of reactive oxygen species (Ikehata & Ono, 2011; Rastogi et al., 2010). The DNA damage caused by UV irradiation results in mutations (tranversions, deletions, frameshifts, and transitions) due to errors in DNA repair and replication (Ikehata & Ono, 2011; Parekh et al., 2000; Rastogi et al., 2010). UV mutagenesis of *C. thermocellum* generated strains with 160% higher tolerance for in ethanol (Table 2.2). This was achieved by subjecting the microorganism to several rounds of UV irradiation and selection with ethanol in liquid cultures until cells were tolerant to 40.0 g/L of ethanol. An isolated mutant

strain produced 250% more than the parental strain, using cellulose as substrate (Tailliez et al., 1989).

Transposon mutagenesis has also been instrumental in improving *C. beijerinkii* NCIMB 8052 tolerance for 1-butanol (Table 2.2). Transposons, or transposable elements, are discrete DNA segments with the ability to relocate between genomic sites, causing a loss-of-function frame shift mutation (Hayes, 2003; Lennen & Herrgård, 2014). Transposon mutagenesis of *C. beijerinckii* NCIMB 8052 generated mutant strains with 75-83% improvements in 1-butanol concentration tolerated (Liyanage et al., 2000). The transposon was found in close proximity to *gldA*, which affected the level of expression of the glycerol dehydrogenase (*gldA*) and consequently reduced its total activity. However, it is uncertain how this contributed to the improved 1-butanol tolerance. Although this method is effective, its use may be limited, since the only expected outcome is reduced or loss of function of the affected gene.

Mutator strains can also be employed to generate mutants, since these strains carry defects in the DNA repair or replication system that increases the rate of spontaneous random mutations (Luan et al., 2013; Selifonova et al., 2001; Shiwa et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2015). When coupled with a selective pressure for product tolerance, tolerant strains can be obtained and then isolated (Table 2.2). 1-Butanol tolerant *E. coli* strains were generated through introduction of a two plasmid module system based on stress induced mutagenesis (SIM) into a mutL deficient E. coli strain (Zhu et al., 2015). Genes encoding stress response regulators (RecA, RpoS and RpoE), error prone polymerases (Pol IV and Pol V), and transcription antitermination (NusA) were tested for SIM, and were expressed from one of the plasmids, and controlled by IPTG induction, whilst the second plasmid contained a gene encoding MutL, required for DNA mismatch repair, under the control of the Tet promoter. The plasmid used in this system was designed such that acceleration of SIM-based mutagenesis could be induced by addition of IPTG, while deceleration could be achieved by addition of anhydrotetracycline (aTc), which binds to TetR without inhibiting translation, and allows transcription of *mutL*. Thus, SIM results from the up regulation of the SIM-inducing genes, and down regulation of the mismatch repair system, resulting in increased mutation rates (Foster, 2007; Galhardo et
al., 2007; Rosche & Foster, 1999; Zhu et al., 2015). The final SIM module comprised a first plasmid containing SIM accelerator genes *dinB* (PolIV), *recA* (RecA), and *rpoS* (RpoS), while a second plasmid harboured the decelerator gene *mutL* (MutIL). Each cycle of the SIM included selection, mutagenesis, isolation, and screening steps. The best performing strain for each cycle was used as the starting strain for the next cycle of evolution (Zhu et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2015). The mutant strain obtained after 10 rounds of mutagenesis was tolerant to 1-butanol concentration of 12.0 g/L, an increase of 71.4% compared with the parental strain (Zhu et al., 2015).

1-Butanol tolerant *E. coli* strains were also generated using a method called "Genome Replication Engineering Assisted Continuous Evolution (GREACE) (Table 2.2) (Luan et al., 2013). GREACE uses mutants of *dnaQ* to generate errors in DNA replication, thus introducing mutations. The gene *dnaQ* encodes the ε subunit of DNA polymerase III, which is the proof-reading element of DNA polymerase. The *dnaQ* mutants were transformed into the parental strain, then selected for 1-butanol tolerance through adaptive evolution by serial transfers in LB broth containing 1-butanol. An isolated strain from GREACE showed 20% higher tolerance for 1-butanol. However, both SIM and GREACE generated 1-butanol tolerant strains were not tested to check whether or not they can produce more 1-butanol than the parental strain.

Both SIM and GREACE boost the mutation rate and lessens the time necessary for evolution and generation of product tolerant strains. However, high mutation rates could also be deleterious for the host strain as they can also accumulate non-beneficial mutations (Sprouffske et al., 2018). Accumulation of too many mutations would also make it difficult to get a better understanding of the tolerance mechanism for the chemical product of choice (Atsumi et al., 2010). Thus, a delicate balance of mutation rate and length of the evolution process coupled with the proper selection scheme to obtain robust product tolerant strains will be necessary for these techniques. Table 2.2 - Summary of bioproduct tolerant strains generated from various random mutagenesis approaches.

Method	Conditions	Chemical (Max C observed from the parental strain for T; P in g/L)	Micro- organism	Improvement (%) Ref					
				С	C D	C V	GR	Ρ	
NTG mutagene- sis	NTG at 50 µg/ml, 15 minute incubation	1-Butanol (12; 10.8)	C. beijerinckii NCIMB 8052	45. 8	NT	NT	NT	82. 4	(Qureshi & Blaschek , 2000)
	NTG at 50 µg/ml, 1 hour incubation	Isopropano I (45; 1.5)	C. beijerinckii DSM 6423	11. 1	NT	NT	NT	NI	(Gérando et al., 2016)
UV mutagene- sis	UV fluence of 5300 J/m2 at 8 W/m2	Ethanol (15; 3.6)	C. thermocellu m NCIB 10682	160	NT	NT	<10 0	250	(Tailliez et al., 1989)
Transposo n mutagene- sis	Tn1545	1-Butanol (6; NT)	C. beijerinckii DSM 6423	83	NT	NT	NT	NT	(Liyanag e et al., 2000)
Stress induced mutagene- sis	LB broth/agar, 10 rounds of evolution	1-Butanol (8; NT)	E. coli SMB07	71. 4	NT	NT	NT	NT	(Zhu et al., 2015)
Genome replication enginee- ring assisted continuous evolution	LB broth, 3 transfers per concentratio n and 36 days in total	1-Butanol (8; NT)	E. coli DH5α	20	NT	NT	NT	NT	(Luan et al., 2013)
Genome Shuffling	NTG mutants as starting strains, 2 rounds of shuffling	Isopropano I (50; 1.5)	C. beijerinckii DSM 6423	N.I.	NT	+	NT	23. 4	(Gérando et al., 2016)
	hybridization with <i>Lb.</i> <i>brevis,</i> 1 round of shuffling	1-Butanol (8.0; NT)	<i>E. coli</i> BW25113	98. 8	NT	NT	NT	NT	(Winkler et al., 2010)
	adaptive evolution mutants as starting culture, 1 round of shuffling	1-Butanol (10.4; NT)	Ē. coli BW25113	7.7	NT	NT	NT	NT	(Reyes et al., 2012)

C, concentration; CD, cell density; CV, cell viability; GR, growth rate; P, production; T, tolerance (in terms of C, CD, CV, or GR); NT, not tested; NI, no improvement; +, growth observed but % improvement not calculated.

Another way to generate chimeras is through genome shuffling. Genome shuffling makes use of the entire genome of a mixed cell population to generate new combinations of mutations by recombination (Biot-Pelletier & Martin, 2014). The genome shuffling process is usually carried out by generating a

genetically diverse starting population, followed by recombination, selection, and screening, which can be repeated a number of times until the desired/acceptable product tolerance is achieved (Biot-Pelletier & Martin, 2014; Gong et al., 2009; Winkler et al., 2010). The starting population can be taken from natural sources or DNA fragment libraries, or generated *via* adaptive evolution, chemical mutagenesis, UV mutagenesis, transposon mutagenesis, or use of mutator strains or unmutated heterologous strains (Atsumi et al., 2010; Gérando et al., 2016; Horinouchi et al., 2010; Liyanage et al., 2000; Luan et al., 2013; Minty et al., 2011; Tailliez et al., 1989; Wang et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014; Winkler et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2015). The method of choice to introduce the DNA for recombination is highly dependent on the host microorganism and the source of diversity, which includes protoplast fusion, conjugation, phagemediated transduction, direct transformation, liposomal delivery, or, in the case of yeast, sexual recombination (Gong et al., 2009).

Genome shuffling has been exploited to improve the tolerance of C. beijerinckii DSM 6423 for various compounds, by protoplast fusion of variants generated by NTG mutagenesis (Table 2.2). The resulting mutant strains exhibited improved tolerance for isopropanol, bromobutyrate, ethylbromobutyrate, and 5methyl bromobutyrate. After 2 rounds of genome shuffling, mutant strains with enhanced tolerance for isopropanol at 50 g/L were generated, while the NTG mutants could barely grow at the same concentration in agar plates (Gérando et al., 2016). Although tolerance was barely improved, isopropanol production of the mutant increased by about 23.0% compared with the parental strain and the mutant produced by NTG mutagenesis. In an attempt to improve 1-butanol tolerance of *E. coli*, hybrid strains of *E. coli* BW25113 and *Lactobacillus brevis* 367 were generated via protoplast fusion of individual cultures without prior mutagenesis (Table 2.2) (Winkler et al., 2010). Two of the mutant hybrid strains exhibited 1-butanol tolerance that was twice the starting tolerance of the E. coli strain (Winkler et al., 2010). The 1-butanol tolerance of E. coli was also improved by 7.7% through genome shuffling of a population taken from a the chemostat culture grown up to 10.4 g/L 1-butanol (Table 2.2) (Reyes et al., 2012).

The different methods of generating bioproduct tolerant strains all seem to be effective to some extent. It will be difficult to identify which are the most effective methods unless the methods are compared systematically, by using the same parental strain and the same compound throughout, and then extending systematically to other organisms and compounds. Nonetheless, adaptive evolution appears to be the method of choice for generation of product tolerant strains *via* the random approach due to its effective and simple nature. Genome shuffling shows considerable promise to further enhance the level of tolerance achieved after adaptive evolution or other random mutagenesis approaches (Gérando et al., 2016; Reyes et al., 2012). However, further work is needed to prove that the resulting strains are sufficiently productive for industrial manufacturing of the chosen bioproduct.

2.4.2 Reverse/Inverse metabolic engineering

Reverse/inverse metabolic engineering (Bailey et al., 2002; Oud et al., 2012) depends on determining the genetic basis of the enhanced chemical product tolerance by comparing the genome sequence of the product tolerant strain with the parental strain, to identify the beneficial mutation/s (Fig. 2.1) (Atsumi et al., 2010; Bailey et al., 2002; Hong et al., 2010; Minty et al., 2011; Oud et al., 2012).



Fig. 2.1 Flow diagram of reverse/inverse engineering of a product tolerant strain.

The mutated gene or set of genes are then introduced into the parental strain, to confirm the role of the gene(s) in the resistance phenotype (Bailey et al., 2002; Hong et al., 2010; Oud et al., 2012). Further confirmation is obtained by reverting the mutation back to the wild type and confirming loss of tolerance.

Once the beneficial mutated genes are identified, they can be used or combined with other beneficial mutated genes to construct a superior product tolerant strain (Atsumi et al., 2010; Bailey et al., 2002; Hong et al., 2010; Minty et al., 2011; Oud et al., 2012).

Genomic sequence analysis and insertion or deletion of mutated genes revealed that mutations in acrA, gatY, tnaA, yhbJ, hipA-flxA, marC, hfq, mdh, acrAB, gatYZABCD and rph genes were beneficial for E. coli in increasing tolerance towards isobutanol from 6-7.5 g/L to 20 g/L (Atsumi et al., 2010; Minty et al., 2011). gatY and acrA were the most important of the mutant genes in conferring product tolerance, since the repair of both mutations in the isobutanol tolerant mutant reduced its cell density by 2-3 fold after growth in LB containing 8 g/L isobutanol for 24 hours as compared to the original isobutanol tolerant strain (Atsumi et al., 2010). Reconstruction of isobutanol tolerance was accomplished by deletion of the genes, acrA, gatY, tnaA, yhbj, and marCRAB, in the parental strain (Atsumi et al., 2010). However, there was no improvement in isobutanol production by the reconstructed strain. Other than this study, rather little work has been done to demonstrate the benefits of reverse engineering for improving chemical tolerance, and more work is necessary to determine whether or not this approach can yield further improvements. However, it serves as a very useful experimental tool to study the significance of each mutation in conferring the observed phenotype of the bioproduct tolerant mutants (Horinouchi et al., 2017).

2.4.3 Rational/Targetted approach

2.4.3.1 Cell membrane engineering

Alteration in fatty acid composition is an important mechanism to improve survival when microorganisms are exposed to toxic organic compounds (Heipieper & de Bont, 1994; Heipieper et al., 1992; Heipieper et al., 2003; Mrozik et al., 2005; Mrozik et al., 2004; Pinkart et al., 1996; Weber et al., 1994). For this reason, membrane engineering has been exploited to engineer improved tolerance of microorganisms for bioproducts (Oh et al., 2012; Si et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2017b; Tan et al., 2016b). Membrane composition can be modifed by altering the expression of the genes relevant to regulation of fatty acid synthesis (Fujita et al., 2007; Oku et al., 2003), introduction of key enzymes to produce the relevant membrane components (Allakhverdiev et al., 2001; Alterman & Hanzlik, 2002; Beck, 2005; Chazarreta Cifré et al., 2013; Courtois et al., 2004; Cronan et al., 1979; Cybulski et al., 2002; Fujita et al., 2007; Grogan & Cronan, 1984; Grogan & Cronan, 1997; Heipieper et al., 2010; Heipieper et al., 2003; Holtwick et al., 1999; Junker & Ramos, 1999; Kaneda, 1977; Kaneda, 1991; Kim & Oh, 2013; Kolattukudy & Walton, 1972; Oku et al., 2003; Oku & Kaneda, 1988; Uttaro, 2006; von Wallbrunn et al., 2003; Wada et al., 1989; Yu et al., 2014; Zhang & Rock, 2009), or supplementation with fatty acids that are not produced naturally by the host strain (Beck, 2005; Kaneda, 1977; Oku et al., 2003; Oku & Kaneda, 1988). Key enzymes for the modification of the fatty acid structure include desaturases for introduction of a double bond (Allakhverdiev et al., 2001; Chazarreta Cifré et al., 2013; Cybulski et al., 2002; Uttaro, 2006; Wada et al., 1989), and *cis-trans* isomerase (Cti) for isomerization of *cis*-unsaturated fatty acids to *trans*-unsaturated fatty acids (Heipieper et al., 2010; Holtwick et al., 1999; Junker & Ramos, 1999; von Wallbrunn et al., 2003). In addition, cytochrome P450 monooxygenases, hydratases, 12-hydroxylases, lipoxygenases, and diol synthases can be used for addition of hydroxyl groups (Alterman & Hanzlik, 2002; Kim & Oh, 2013; Kolattukudy & Walton, 1972), whilst branched chain fatty acid synthetase (Beck, 2005; Kaneda, 1977; Kaneda, 1991; Oku et al., 2003; Oku & Kaneda, 1988), branched-chain α-keto acid decarboxylase (Oku & Kaneda, 1988), branched chain amino acid transferase (Beck, 2005), and malonyl-CoA:ACP transacylase (Oku et al., 2003) can be used for extension with a branched chain. Cyclopropane fatty acid synthase can be utilized for cyclopropane ring formation (Courtois et al., 2004; Cronan et al., 1979; Grogan & Cronan, 1984; Grogan & Cronan, 1997). However, rational optimization of the fatty acid composition could be difficult, as maintenance of membrane fluidity might not depend on changing a single component but rather a delicate balance of changes in amounts of multiple membrane components (Courtois et al., 2004; Eze, 1991; Heipieper & de Bont, 1994; Heipieper et al., 1992; Heipieper et al., 2003; Löbbecke & Cevc, 1995; Mrozik et al., 2005; Mrozik et al., 2004; Murínová & Dercová, 2014; Perly et al., 1985; Pinkart et al., 1996; Segura et al., 1999; Sikkema et al., 1995; Sol Cuenca et al., 2015; Weber & de Bont, 1996; Weber et al., 1994).

Cell membrane engineering approaches such as *cis-trans* isomerisation of unsaturated fatty acids (Tan et al., 2016b), alteration of membrane fatty acid profiles (Sherkhanov et al., 2014), adjustment of the saturated to unsaturated fatty acids ratio (Oh et al., 2012; Si et al., 2016), and changes in the phospholipid head group abundance (Tan et al., 2017b) have been successful in improving tolerance for various chemicals. Cis-trans isomerisation of unsaturated fatty acids is catalysed by the enzyme, *cis-trans* isomerase (Cti) (Heipieper et al., 2003). Cti is expressed naturally in various Pseudomonas and Vibrio species (Heipieper et al., 2003), but not in *E. coli* (Tan et al., 2016b). Heterologous expression of a Cti and its native signal peptide from P. aeruginosa in E. coli led to the isomerisation of cis-unsaturated fatty acids to trans-unsaturated fatty acids, which reduced membrane fluidity, and increased membrane rigidity (Tan et al., 2016b). The strain expressing Cti had a *trans/cis* unsaturated fatty acid ratio of 0.078, and showed a 12.0% increase of growth rate with exogenous octanoic acid (1.4 g/L) and 41.0% improvement in octanoic acid production (Tan et al., 2016b). This strain also exhibited an improved growth rate in the presence of exogenous hexanoic acid (1.2 g/L), decanoic acid (1.7 g/L), 1-butanol (4.8 g/L), hexanol (0.8 g/L), acetate (1.8 g/L), succinate (23.6 g/L), phenol (1.0 g/L), styrene (0.2 g/L), or toluene (0.9 g/L). However, the strain grew less rapidly in the presence of ethanol (15.5 g/L) or isobutanol (4.8 g/L).

E. coli ($\Delta fadD$ BTE) is used to produce medium chain fatty acids (Sherkhanov al., et 2014) but the native 2-acyl-glycerophosphoethanolamine acyltransferase/acyl-ACP synthetase (Aas) catalyses incorporation of medium chain free fatty acids (FFAs) into membrane phospholipids (Hsu et al., 1991; Jackowski et al., 1994; Sherkhanov et al., 2014) and makes the host cell more susceptible to medium chain fatty acid toxicity (Sherkhanov et al., 2014). Although the underlying mechanism of the increase in susceptibility of the cells to the fatty acid was not identified, it was possible to decrease the incorporation of medium chain fatty acids into the membrane lipids by deleting the aas gene (Sherkhanov et al., 2014). This led to partial restoration of the normal membrane fatty acid profile by reduction in the medium chain (C12-14) to long chain (C16) fatty acid ratio in comparison with the control strain. The strain with

the *aas* deletion grew faster, attained higher cell density, and retained at least twice the viable count after 48 h compared to the control strain grown in the presence of exogenous C12:0 (1.0 g/L) or C14:0 (1.0 g/L). It also produced about 20% more FFA (Sherkhanov et al., 2014).

Adjustment of the saturated to unsaturated fatty acid ratio has also been beneficial for the improvement of alkane (Oh et al., 2012) and alcohol tolerance (Oh et al., 2012; Si et al., 2016). FadR represses fatty acid degradation (Feng & Cronan, 2009) and activates expression of two key enzymes (FabA and FabB) for unsaturated fatty acid synthesis (Campbell & Cronan, 2001). Deletion of the *fadR* gene resulted in an increase of the saturated to unsaturated fatty acid ratio in *E. coli*. This improved growth in LBGMg media containing cyclohexane-hexane (14.1 g/L) mixture (1:1 ratio), with a 3-fold increase in cell concentration after 9 hours of growth compared with the wild type (Oh et al., 2012). By contrast, the saturated to unsaturated fatty acid ratio could be decreased by deleting the uncharacterized proteins, YibT or YghW, and this improved the growth of *E. coli* in agar plates containing 1-butanol (6.4 g/L) (Si et al., 2016). However, the growth in liquid culture was not assessed.

The alteration of phospholipid head groups allows cells to adapt and tolerate toxic organic compounds (Clark & Beard, 1979; Weber & de Bont, 1996), complementing alterations of the fatty acid composition. Therefore, manipulation of the amount and head-group composition of phospholipids has been explored as a means to engineer tolerance of microorganisms to bioproducts (Cronan, 2003; Geiger & Sohlenkamp, 2015; Heath et al., 2002; Pieringer, 1968; Smith, 1969; Tan et al., 2017b). The effect of changing the abundance of different phospholipid head groups was tested as a means of improving the tolerance of *E. coli* towards octanoic acid. The pssA, pgsA, and *clsA* genes encode the enzymes necessary for the synthesis of PE, PG, and CL, respectively (Tan et al., 2017b). Over-expression of pssA, pgsA, or clsA resulted in a 7%, 38%, or 12% increase in PE, PG, or CL, respectively. An increase in expression of pssA led to a 29% improvement in growth rate and about 2-fold increase in cell concentration when E. coli was grown with octanoic acid (2.9 g/L) as compared to the control strain. It also led to 1.4 fold improvement in octanoic acid to 0.22 g/L and total carboxylic acid to 0.27 g/L

production. Increased expression of *pssA* also improved resistance to inhibitory compounds from lignocellulosic feedstocks and various other bioproducts (Tan et al., 2017b). However, the level of fatty acid unsaturation increased and the level of cyclic fatty acids decreased, indicating that the membrane composition also changed when the head group composition is altered. This leaves room for discussion whether any effects on chemicals tolerance and production were due solely to the increased PE production or a result of the overall change in membrane composition. By contrast, increased expression of *clsA* did not improve octanoic acid tolerance, while overexpression of *pgsA* caused a 50% decline a growth rate (Tan et al., 2017b).

Table	2.3	Effect	of	membrane	engineering	on	microbial	tolerance	towards
biopro	duct	S.							

Method	Chemical (Max C observed from the parental strain for T: P in	Microorganism	Improvement (%)				Ref	
	g/L)		С	CD	CV	GR	Р	
Overexpression of Cti	Octanoic acid (1.4; 0.31)	<i>E. coli</i> MG1655	NT	NT	NT	12	41	(Tan et al., 2016b)
Deletion of fadR	1:1 v/v Cyclohexan e-hexane mixture (14.1)	<i>E. coli</i> BW25113	NT	200	NT	NT	NT	(Oh et al., 2012)
Deletion of yibT or yghW	1-Butanol (6.4)	E. coli JM109	NT	NT	< 500	NT	NT	(Si et al., 2016)
Overexpression of PssA	Octanoic acid (2.9; 0.22)	<i>E. coli</i> MG1655	NT	NT	NT	29	40	(Tan et al., 2017b)

C, concentration; CD, cell density; CV, cell viability; GR, growth rate; P, production; T, tolerance (in terms of C, CD, CV, or GR); NT, not tested.

Although changes in membrane composition of microorganisms has been widely reported as an adaptation mechanism for chemical tolerance (Murínová & Dercová, 2014; Sardessai & Bhosle, 2002; Segura et al., 2012; Sherkhanov et al., 2014; Sol Cuenca et al., 2015), it is surprising that only very few studies have exploited this mechanism as a target for cell engineering to improve bioproduct tolerance. Also, only a few studies (Sherkhanov et al., 2014; Tan et al., 2017b; Tan et al., 2016b) have demonstrated that such membrane engineering results in improved product titres. These studies were restricted to systems for production of fatty acids, and further studies are needed to test the

impact of membrane engineering on the manufacture of other type of compounds. In addition, the results from the membrane engineering efforts suggest that membrane composition must be tailored specifically for the target bioproduct (Si et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2016b). It should be noted that the key principle for membrane engineering for solvent or bioproduct tolerance is membrane homeostasis (Zhang & Rock, 2008) or restoration of the cell membrane fluidity to the state prior to the perturbation caused by the presence of the toxic compound (Murínová & Dercová, 2014; Peabody et al., 2014; Sardessai & Bhosle, 2002; Segura et al., 2012; Sol Cuenca et al., 2015). As manipulation of metabolic pathways (Sherkhanov et al., 2014; Si et al., 2016), regulatory networks (Aono, 1998; Duval & Lister, 2013; Grkovic et al., 2002; Grkovic et al., 2001; Shimizu, 2013a; Shimizu, 2013b) or altered expression of membrane components (e.g. transporters) (Lennen et al., 2013; Mukhopadhyay, 2015; Tan et al., 2017a; Turner & Dunlop, 2015) could also alter membrane fluidity, membrane engineering should be attempted only after incorporation of the metabolic pathway for the desired bioproduct and other interventions to improve bioproduct tolerance and production titres.

2.4.3.2 Overexpression of heat shock proteins (HSPs)

Based on the observation that expression of certain HSPs were induced during exposure to various alcohols and other toxic chemicals (Anfelt et al., 2013; Blom et al., 1992; Bormann et al., 2014; Brynildsen & Liao, 2009; Cao et al., 2017; Desmond et al., 2004; Fiocco et al., 2007; Foo et al., 2014; Kang et al., 2007; Mann et al., 2012; Rau et al., 2016; Yung et al., 2016), numerous attempts have been made to increase cellular tolerance towards short chain alcohols by overexpressing specific HSPs (Anfelt et al., 2007; Mann et al., 2007; Foo et al., 2014; Kang et al., 2004; Fiocco et al., 2007; Foo et al., 2014; Kang et al., 2007; Mann et al., 2007; Tomas et al., 2007; Foo et al., 2014; Kang et al., 2007; Mann et al., 2012; Tomas et al., 2003; Zingaro & Papoutsakis, 2012; Zingaro & Terry Papoutsakis, 2013) or a combination of HSPs (Zingaro & Papoutsakis, 2012) (Table 2.4). Heat shock proteins (HSPs) protect against protein aggregation, help in refolding/unfolding of damaged proteins, repair damaged proteins, reactivate inactivated proteins, or help degrade irreparably denatured proteins (Guzzo, 2012; Murínová & Dercová, 2014; Richter et al., 2010; Segura et al., 2012; Verghese et al., 2012; Weber & de Bont, 1996; Whitley et al., 1999; Yura et al.,

1993). These proteins are also involved in RNA and DNA repair, metabolism, regulation, cell structure maintenance, and restoration of membrane stability (Guisbert et al., 2004; Richter et al., 2010; Straus et al., 1987).

One of the most studied HSPs is the ATP-dependent GroESL chaperonin system, which aids in the folding/refolding of unfolded/misfolded proteins (Masters et al., 2009; Xu & Sigler, 1998). The overexpression of the native GroESL in C. acetobutylicum increased the cell density in liquid cultures exposed to 1-butanol (6.0 g/L) by 50% as compared with the control strain (Tomas et al., 2003). It also allowed survival of 45% of the cells after exposure to 1-butanol at 15.9 g/L for 2 h, while the control strain failed to survive (Mann et al., 2012). A 30% increase in 1-butanol titres was obtained after overexpression of GroESL in C. acetobutylicum (Mann et al., 2012; Tomas et al., 2003). Similarly, overexpression of Lactobacillus paracasei GroESL in Lb. paracasei and Lactococcus lactis NZ9800 allowed growth in liquid cultures containing 1-butanol (4.0 g/L), while the parental strain failed to grow (Desmond et al., 2004). Homologous overexpression of GroESL in *E. coli* enhanced cell growth in the presence of ethanol (30.4 g/L), 1-butanol (6.0 g/L), 2-butanol (10.0 g/L), isobutanol (7.9 g/L) and 1,2,4-butanetriol (198.3 g/L) by 12, 2.8, 3, 1.8, and 4-fold higher cell density in liquid cultures as compared to the control strain, respectively (Zingaro & Terry Papoutsakis, 2013). It also improved cell viability after exposure to ethanol (51.6 g/L) for 24 hours by 38% with respect to the control strain (Zingaro & Papoutsakis, 2012).

Aside from GroESL, other HSPs were also tested for their ability to improve alcohol tolerance. In *C. acetobutylicum*, GrpE and HtpG were individually overexpressed, leading to 25% and 56% improvements in cell viability (Mann et al., 2012). However, overexpression of GrpE or HtpG did not improve solvent production. Individual overexpression of native GrpE (a co-chaperone in the DnaK chaperone system) (Brehmer et al., 2004; Rüngeling et al., 1999; Wu et al., 1996) and ClpB (a chaperone protein that aids in disaggregation of proteins) (Barnett et al., 2000; Kedzierska et al., 2003; Mogk et al., 2003) in *E. coli* improved its cell viability by 27% and 15%, respectively. (Zingaro & Papoutsakis, 2012). Hsp33, a chaperone protein that helps prevent protein folding and aggregation (Cremers et al., 2010; Jakob et al., 2000), from *Bacillus*

psychrosaccharolyticus was also overexpressed in *E. coli*. This resulted in a higher viability upon exposure to isopropyl alcohol (22.9 g/L) and 1-butanol (15.9-19.8 g/L) (Kang et al., 2007).

The benefit of overexpressing small HSPs to improve alcohol tolerance was also assessed (Anfelt et al., 2013; Fiocco et al., 2007; Foo et al., 2014). Small HSPs are proteins with molecular masses in the range of 15-42 kDa, which have the ability to bind to non-native proteins and prevent their aggregation and irreversible folding (Caspers et al., 1995; Kitagawa et al., 2002; Kuczynska-Wisnik et al., 2002; Narberhaus, 2002; Roy et al., 1999; Török et al., 2001). For example, IbpA and IbpB (Kitagawa et al., 2002; Kuczynska-Wisnik et al., 2002) were overexpressed in *E. coli* (Foo et al., 2014). IbpA overexpression improved the growth rate and cell density in the presence of isopentenol (1.5 g/L) and also improved isopentenol production by 16%, while overexpression of IbpB did not enhance *E. coli* tolerance towards isopentenol (Foo et al., 2014). Since it helps stabilize membranes and prevents protein aggregation, the effect of HspA overexpression was also investigated (Török et al., 2001). Overexpression of native HspA in *Synechocystis* sp. at approximately 10 times the normal level improved cell growth rate and cell density (after 6 days) in the presence of exogenous 1-butanol (4.0 g/L) by around 60% and 50%, respectively (Anfelt et al., 2013). In Lactobacillus plantarum, the over expression of native small HSPs, Hsp18.55 and Hsp19.3 resulted in higher viability exposure to ethanol (84.6 g/L) and 1-butanol (8.0 g/L). On the contrary, the over expression of the small HSP, Hsp18.5, did not change the susceptibility of *Lb. plantarum* towards ethanol and 1-butanol under the same conditions (Fiocco et al., 2007).

Effects of the co-overexpression of various HSPs on alcohol tolerance were also evaluated. The overexpression of GroESL-GrpE and GroESL-ClpB combination in *E. coli* led to a 100% and 1,130% increase in viability after exposure to ethanol (37.6 g/L), respectively (Zingaro & Papoutsakis, 2012). The co-overexpression of GroESL and ClpB also enhanced cell viability of *E. coli* when exposed to 1-butanol (8.0 g/L) and isobutanol (7.9 g/L) by 78% and 25%, respectively (Zingaro & Papoutsakis, 2012). Likewise, co-overexpression of GrpE, GroESL, and ClpB in *E. coli* led to improvements in viability after exposure for to ethanol (51.6 g/L), 1-butanol (8.0 g/L), and 1,2,4-butanetriol

(238 g/L) by 200%, 390%, and 78%, respectively (Zingaro & Papoutsakis, 2012). However, overexpression of other HSPs such as DnaK, DnaJ, IbpA, and IbpB individually or in combinations did not help improve *E. coli* tolerance for alcohols (Zingaro & Papoutsakis, 2012).

Method	Chemical (Max C observed from the parental	Microorganism		Improvement (%)			Ref	
	g/L)		С	CD	CV	GR	Р	
Overexpression of GroESL	1-Butanol (6; 13.0)	C. acetobutylicum ATCC 824	NT	50	NT	NT	30	(Tomas et al., 2003)
	1-Butanol (4; NT)	<i>Lb. paracasei</i> NFBC 338; <i>L.</i> <i>lactis</i> NZ9800	NT	+; +	NT	NT	NT	(Desmo nd et al., 2004)
	Ethanol (51.6; NT); 1-Butanol (6; NT); 2-Butanol (10; NT); Isobutanol (7.9; NT); 1,2,4- Butanetriol (198.3; NT)	E. coli 10-ß	NT	1100; 180; 200; 80; 300	NT	NT	NT	(Zingaro & Terry Papouts akis, 2013)
Overexpression of GroESL/ GrpE/ HtpG	1-Butanol (15.9; 6.7)	C. acetobutylicum ATCC 824	NT	NT	+/ +/ +	NT	30/ -49/ -32	(Mann et al., 2012)
Overexpression of GroESL/ GrpE/ ClpB	Ethanol (51.6; NT)	<i>E. coli</i> MG1655	NT	38/ 27/ 15	NT	NT	NT	(Zingaro & Papouts akis, 2012)
Overexpression of Hsp33	lsopropanol (22.9; NT), 1-butanol (19.8; NT)	E. coli JW176 / E. coli JW49	NT	NT	+,+ / +,+	NT	NT	(Kang et al., 2007)
Overexpression of IbpA	Isopentenol (1.5; 0.83)	<i>E. coli</i> DH1	NT	+	NT	+	16	(Foo et al., 2014)
Overexpression of HspA	1-Butanol (4 g/L)	Synechocystis sp.PCC 6803	NT	50	NT	60	NT	(Anfelt et al., 2013)
Overexpression of Hsp 18.55 ; Hsp 19.3	Ethanol (84.6 g/L), 1-Butanol (8 g/L)	Lb. plantarum	NT	NT	+,+ ; +,+	NT	NT	(Fiocco et al., 2007)
Overexpression of GrpE, GroESL and ClpB	Ethanol (51.6; NT), 1-Butanol (8 ; NT), 1,2,4 Butanetriol (238 g/L)	<i>E. coli</i> MG1655	NT	200 , 390, 78	NT	NT	NT	(Zingaro & Papouts akis, 2012)

Table 2.4 Effect of HSPs overexpression on microbial tolerance towards bioproducts.

C, concentration; CD, cell density; CV, cell viability; GR, growth rate; P, production; T, tolerance (in terms of C, CD, CV, or GR); NT, not tested; NI, no improvement; +, growth observed but % improvement not calculated.

Although the use of some HSPs provided promising improvements in tolerance for short chain alcohols (especially the combinations of several HSPs), the use of HSPs to improve tolerance towards other classes of bioproducts has not been reported. Furthermore, the majority of studies have only shown that overexpression of HSPs improve cell growth or survival (Anfelt et al., 2013; Desmond et al., 2004; Fiocco et al., 2007; Kang et al., 2007; Zingaro & Papoutsakis, 2012; Zingaro & Terry Papoutsakis, 2013), and demonstrations of improved product titres have been limited (Foo et al., 2014; Mann et al., 2012; Tomas et al., 2003). Therefore, it will be interesting to verify if the benefit of the overexpression of HSPs can be extended to improvements in tolerance and titre for bioproducts of different functional groups.

2.4.3.3 Modulation of transport protein expression

Given the involvement of transport proteins in stress responses to toxic chemicals, a number of studies have tested the effects of modulating their expression in attempts to improve bioproduct titres (Table 2.5). The first group of transport proteins that were heavily implicated for their role in toxic compound tolerance are the efflux pumps (Martins et al., 2019; Mukhopadhyay, 2015; Murínová & Dercová, 2014; Sardessai & Bhosle, 2002; Segura et al., 1999; Segura et al., 2012; Sol Cuenca et al., 2015). Efflux pumps are membrane proteins with the ability to actively export compounds through energydependent transport processes. They are divided into six superfamilies: the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) family, the major facilitator namely, superfamily (MFS), the small multidrug resistance (SMR) family, the multidrug and toxic compound exporters (MATE) family, the resistance-nodulationdivision (RND) family (Saier et al., 2006) and the proteobacterial antimicrobial compound efflux (PACE) family (Chitsaz & Brown, 2017; Hassan et al., 2015; Hassan et al., 2018). The ABC pumps utilize ATP as the energy source, whereas the MFS, RND and SMR pumps are H⁺-dependent antiporters, while the MATE pumps are Na⁺ or H⁺-dependent antiporters (Du et al., 2015; Hassan et al., 2018; Wong et al., 2014). The energy source for PACE pumps is not yet known, but they are suspected to operate using electrochemical potential (Hassan et al., 2015). ABC, MFS, SMR, PACE and MATE transporters reside in the inner membrane proteins and are found in both Gram negative and Gram

positive bacteria (Du et al., 2015). On the other hand, RND transport systems are associated with Gram negative bacteria only. RND pumps form a tripartite complex across the cell envelope, including an inner membrane protein (RND type pump), a periplasmic protein (belonging to the membrane fusion proteins family), and an outer membrane porin (belonging to the outer membrane proteins family) (Du et al., 2015; Wandersman, 1992).

In one investigation, a pool of 43 efflux pumps from various microorganisms were selected for their homology with the substrate binding regions of the toluene efflux pump, TtgB, and expressed in *E. coli* (Dunlop et al., 2011). The best pumps were selected using a growth competition assay in the presence of 1-butanol (0-8.0 g/L), iso-pentenol (0-4.2 g/L), geranyl acetate (0-43.6 g/L), geraniol (0-1.3 g/L), α -pinene (0-40.9 g/L), limonene (0.3 g/L), or farnesyl hexanoate (26.2 g/L) (Dunlop et al., 2011). The E. coli strain overexpressing the native efflux pump, AcrAB, exhibited the best tolerance for a number of bioproducts, whilst an uncharacterized pump from Alcanivorax borkumensis provided the best tolerance for limonene, improving limonene tolerance by 50%. The strain overexpressing the efflux pump from A. borkumensis produced about 0.055 g/L of limonene, which is approximately 50% more limonene than the parental *E. coli* strain. However, this low yield is likely to be below the toxic threshold, so further work is needed to prove that this intervention can improve titres to industrially relevant concentrations. Similarly, overexpression of the ABC transporter, MdlB, improved the growth rate of *E. coli* in the presence of isopentenol (1.5 g/L), and improved isopentenol production by 12-60% in comparison with the control strain (0.3-0.8 g/L) (Foo et al., 2014).

Knockout studies revealed the importance of AcrAB-TolC efflux system for 1hexene tolerance in *E. coli* (Mingardon et al., 2015). Overexpression of TolC alone did not improve growth of *E. coli*, while overexpression of AcrAB improved growth of *E. coli* in the presence of 1-hexene by approximately 10fold as compared to the wild-type strain with native expression levels of AcrAB. The co-overexpression of AcrAB-TolC further improved growth of *E. coli* in the presence of 1-hexene by approximately 10-fold as compared to the wild-type strain (Mingardon et al., 2015). The inability of TolC overexpression to improve 1-hexene tolerance of *E. coli* was attributed to the possibility that AcrAB rather than ToIC is the limiting protein in the wild-type *E. coli* strain for the AcrAB-ToIC efflux system (Mingardon et al., 2015).

Aside from efflux systems, the modulation in expression of outer membrane proteins/porins can be an effective strategy for improvement of bioproduct tolerance (Doukyu et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2017a; Zhou et al., 2015). Porins are water-filled channels found in the outer membranes of Gram negative bacteria or in the outer layer of mycobacteria andinvolved in the size-selective diffusion of hydrophilic compounds across the outer membrane (Fernández & Hancock, 2012). They are formed with a monomeric or trimeric transmembrane β -barrels and can be classified as specific or non-specific/general porins, depending on the pore size and the amino acid composition (Vollan et al., 2016). The pore size may vary slightly depending on the environmental conditions, including pH, salinity, temperature and the presence of flexible or constriction loops (Sleator & Hill, 2002; Vollan et al., 2016). Such constriction loops are located inside the channel and, together with the hydrophilic amino acids of the channel, generate an electrostatic field that determines the selectivity of the pore (Fernández & Hancock, 2012; Vollan et al., 2016).

In *E. coli*, deletion of *ompF* (encoding the outer membrane porin F, OmpF; participates in the transport of sugars, ions, protein, and antibiotics) (Tan et al., 2017a), and/or increase in expression *fadL* (encoding the long chain fatty acid outer membrane porin, FadL) improved octanoic acid (1.4 g/L) tolerance, membrane integrity, and fatty acid production (Tan et al., 2017a). Deletion of ompF alone led to a 7%, 15%, 18%, and 10% improvement in growth rate, cell concentration, membrane integrity and fatty acid production, respectively. On the other hand, increased expression of *fadL* improved the growth rate, cell concentration, membrane integrity, and fatty acid production by 8%, 20%, 25%, and 34% respectively. The *E. coli* strain with a combination of *ompF* deletion and increased expression of *fadL* gained higher improvements in growth rate, cell concentration, membrane integrity, and fatty acid production at 18%, 50%, 37%, and 53%, respectively. The total fatty acid titre reached 2.33 g/L, which was 53% more than the control. These improvements were thought to arise from reduced uptake of short chain fatty acids via OmpF and increased uptake of long chain fatty acids via FadL for use in membrane damage repair (Tan et al., 2017a). Similarly, deletion of the gene for any of the three components of the ProU (ProVWX) system for compatible solutes uptake (Wood, 1999) resulted in a 1000 fold improvement in colony forming efficiency of *E. coli* in agar plates overlaid with n-hexane-cyclohexane mixture (1:1 v/v) as compared to the control strain *E. coli* BW25113 or *E. coli* JA300 (Doukyu et al., 2012). About 24% reduction of intracellular n-hexane after 60 min exposure was observed in *E. coli* JA300 with a *proV* deletion compared to the wild type.

Proteomics analysis revealed differential expression of some transport related proteins with growth of *E. coli* in the presence of phenylpropanoids (1.0 g/L), resveratol, naringenin, or rutin (Zhou et al., 2015). Significant increase of OmpW, OmpF, FadL, OppD, and PotG and decrease of LamB, MalK, MalE, ManX, TolC, OppA protein levels were observed. Overexpression of the genes encoding OmpW, OmpF, FadL, OppD, and PotG resulted in a higher growth rate, while silencing of the genes encoding LamB, MalK, MalE, ManX, TolC, and OppA resulted in a lower growth rate as compared to the control strain in the presence of resveratrol (1.0 g/L), naringenin (1.0 g/L), or rutin (1.0 g/L). LamB (maltose outer membrane porin), MalK (maltose/maltodextrin ABC transporter, ATP binding protein), MalE (maltose ABC transporter; maltose transporter subunit), and ManX (fused mannose specific phosphotransferase system enzyme) are part of the maltose transport system for transport of small molecules such as carbohydrates, organic acids, and alcohols (Boos & Shuman, 1998; Davidson et al., 1992; Joly et al., 2004; Okochi et al., 2006).

It is possible that the reduced expression of these proteins may have decreased the influx of the phenylpropanoids into *E. coli*, lessening their toxic effects (Zhou et al., 2015), but further work is needed to confirm this hypothesis. OppA is an ABC transporter with broad specificity for uptake of oligopeptides (Baev et al., 2006), while OppD is another ABC transport system for efflux of oligopeptides that is linked to increased antibiotic resistance (Higgins et al., 1985; Ito et al., 2009). It is also possible that silencing OppA may have decreased the uptake of phenypropanoids and upregulating OppD may have increased their export system for the phenypropanoids (Zhou et al., 2015).

Method	Chemical (Max C observed from the parental strain for T; P in	Microorganism	C	Imp	oroveme	nt (%)	P	Ref
Overexpression of an uncha- racterized pump <i>A.</i> borkumensis	Limonene (0.3; 0.035)	<i>E. coli</i> DH1 ∆acrAB	60	NT	NT	NT	50	(Dunlop et al., 2011)
Overexpression of MdIB	Isopentenol (1.5; 0.35)	<i>E. coli</i> DH1	NT	NI	NT	+	60	(Foo et al., 2014)
Over expression of AcrAB; AcrAB-ToIC	1-Hexene (saturated vapor)	E. coli K-12	NT	NT	10 ³ ; 10 ⁴	NT	NT	(Mingard on et al., 2015)
∆ompF; Overexpression of fadL ; ∆ompF + overexpression of FadL	Octanoic acid (1.4; 1.50)	<i>E. coli</i> MG1655	NT; NT; NT	15; 20; 50	NT; NT; NT	7; 8; 18;	10; 34; 53	(Tan et al., 2017a)
Δ proV, ΔproW, Δ proX	1:1 v/v n-Hexane- cyclohexan e mixture (over laid on top of agar plates)	<i>E. coli</i> BW25113; <i>E. coli</i> JA300	NT; NT	NT; NT;	10⁵; 10 ⁵	NT; NT	NT; NT	(Doukyu et al., 2012)
Overexpression of OmpW, OmpF, FadL, OppD, and PotG or silencing of LamB, MalK, MalE, ManX, and TolC	Resveratrol (1; NT), Naringenin (1; NT), Rutin (1; NT)	<i>E. coli</i> BL21	NT, NT, NT	NT, NT, NT	NT, NT, NT	+, +, +	NT, NT, NT	(Zhou et al., 2015)

Table 2.5 Effect of modulation of transport protein expression on microbial tolerance towards bioproducts.

C, concentration; CD, cell density; CV, cell viability; GR, growth rate; P, production; T, tolerance (in terms of C, CD, CV, or GR); NT, not tested; NI, no improvement; +, growth observed but % improvement not calculated.

Similarly, overexpression of OmpW may have enhanced the efflux of the phenylpropanoids, since it belongs to a family of small outer membrane proteins, linked to export of small hydrophobic molecules (Beketskaia et al., 2014; Hong et al., 2006). PotG is a subunit of PotFGHI which serves as an uptake system for putescrine (Kurihara et al., 2009; Terui et al., 2014), while OmpF and FadL are porins involved in uptake of small molecules and long chain fatty acids (Black, 1988; Call et al., 2016; Jaktaji & Heidari, 2013; Tan et al., 2017a; Ziervogel & Roux, 2013). On the other hand, TolC is an outer membrane protein that is a key part of various efflux systems in *E. coli* (*e.g.* AcrAB-TolC) (Deininger et al., 2011; Zgurskaya et al., 2011). The

improvements in phenylpropanoid tolerance with the increased expression of PotG, OmpF, and FadL or silencing of the gene encoding TolC seems to imply that they function in an opposite manner with phenylpropanoids as compared to their known function as part of uptake or efflux systems; however, it is also possible that the changes in their expression simply altered membrane integrity (Zhou et al., 2015). Thus, further work is needed to establish the mechanisms for improved tolerance to phenylpropanoids.

The modulation of suitable efflux and import systems has shown to be effective in improving both bioproduct tolerance and production titres (Dunlop et al., 2011; Foo et al., 2014; Tan et al., 2017a). A major challenge is the identification of the suitable efflux or import system/s, especially for bio-products not naturally produced by the chosen production host (Doukyu et al., 2012; Dunlop et al., 2011; Foo et al., 2014; Mingardon et al., 2015; Tan et al., 2017a; Zhou et al., 2015).

2.4.3.4 Overexpression of regulatory proteins of the multidrug resistance response system (MarA, SoxS, and Rob)

Aside from functional proteins, the overexpression of regulatory proteins such as MarA, SoxS, and Rob has shown to be effective in conferring tolerance towards toxic compounds (Table 2.6) (Asako et al., 1997; Nakajima et al., 1995; Shah et al., 2013; White et al., 1997). MarA, SoxS, and Rob are responsible for the regulation of the multidrug resistance in *E. coli* (Gambino et al., 1993; Grkovic et al., 2002; Grkovic et al., 2001). In addition to the presence of toxic organic compounds, MarA, SoxS, and Rob responds to the other stresses, including presence of antibiotics, oxidative agents, and changes in environment (*i.e.* pH) (Alekshun & Levy, 1997; Duval & Lister, 2013; Jain & Saini, 2016), which makes them a valuable stress regulatory network for bioproduct tolerance. MarA, SoxS, and Rob are closely related, homologues that are part of the AraC/XyIS family of positive transcriptional regulators (Gallegos et al., 1997). Together they form a system known as the Mar (multiple antibiotic resistance)-Sox (superoxide response)-Rob (right oriC-binding protein) regulon (Grkovic et al., 2002; Grkovic et al., 2001). The Mar-Sox-Rob regulon includes efflux pumps (e.g. AcrAB-TolC) and other stress related proteins, and porins

(e.g. OmpF) (Alekshun & Levy, 1999; Duval & Lister, 2013; Griffith et al., 2009). Many of the genes within the regulon have a similar sequence of 20 bp within the promoter region, known as the marbox (Martin et al., 1999), which is a common binding site for MarA, SoxS, and Rob (Duval & Lister, 2013; Grkovic et al., 2002; Grkovic et al., 2001; Jain & Saini, 2016). For this reason, each of these regulators can activate the same multidrug resistance response, either singly or in combination. Nevertheless, the manner and extent of their activation may vary between particular genes (Martin et al., 2008). Similar systems also exist in different microorganisms, such as *Bacillus subtilis, Staphylococcus aureus, Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, and *P. aeruginosa* (Grkovic et al., 2002; Grkovic et al., 2001).

Table 2.6 Effect of MarA, SoxS, or Rob overexpression on microbial tolerance towards chemicals.

Method	Chemical (Max C observed from the parental strain for T: P in	Microorganism		Imp	oroveme	ent (%)		Ref
	g/L)		С	CD	CV	GR	Р	
Overexpression	Cyclo-	<i>E. coli</i> AG100;	+;	NT	NT	NT	NT	(White et
of MarA, SoxS,	hexane	<i>E. coli</i> AG100K;	+;					al.,
or Rob	(overlaid on	<i>E. coli</i> GC4468;	+;					1997)
	agar plates)	<i>E. coli</i> RA4468;	+;					
		<i>E. coli</i> DJ901	+					
Overexpression	Cyclo-	<i>E. coli</i> W3110;	+;	NT	NT	NT	NT	(Asako
of MarA	hexane	<i>E.coli</i> JA300;	+;					et al.,
	(overlaid on	<i>E.coli</i> MC1061;	+;					1997)
	agar plates)	<i>E.coli</i> FS1576	+					
	geraniol	<i>E.coli</i> DH5α	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	(Shah et
	(8.8; NT)							al.,
								2013)
Overexpression	Cyclo-	<i>E.coli</i> JA300;	+;	NT	NT	NT	NT	(Nakajim
of SoxS	hexane	<i>E.coli</i> OST4251;	+;					a et al.,
	(overlaid on	<i>E.coli</i> MC1061	+					1995)
	agar plates)							
Overexpression	Cyclo-	<i>E.coli</i> JA300;	+;	NT	NT	NT	NT	(Nakajim
of Rob	hexane	<i>E.coli</i> OST4251;	+;					a et al.,
	(overlaid on	<i>E.coli</i> MC1061;	+;					1995)
	agar plates)	<i>E.coli</i> MV1184;	+;					
		E.coli DH1;	+;					
		<i>E.coli</i> FS1576	+					
marR mutation	pine oil	E.coli AG100	343	NT	NT	NT	NT	(Moken
\rightarrow	(8.0; NT)							et al.,
overexpression								1997)
of MarA								

C, concentration; CD, cell density; CV, cell viability; GR, growth rate; P, production; T, tolerance (in terms of C, CD, CV, or GR); NT, not tested; +, growth observed but % improvement not calculated.

The overexpression of either MarA, SoxS, or Rob conferred tolerance towards cyclohexane in *E. coli* (Aono, 1998; Asako et al., 1997; Nakajima et al., 1995; White et al., 1997). The AcrAB-TolC efflux pump was shown to play a key role

in the acquired trait, as deletion of the *acrAB* locus resulted in loss of tolerance towards cyclohexane (Aono, 1998; White et al., 1997). *E. coli* strains that acquired mutations in *marR*, (at amino acid residue 73 Arg \rightarrow Ser) (Asako et al., 1997) or *soxR* (10-amino acid residue truncation at the C-terminus) (Nakajima et al., 1995) also obtained tolerance towards cyclohexane. Pine oil tolerance (35.4 g/L) was acquired by *E. coli* with mutations in *marR*, since this led to overexpression of MarA (Moken et al., 1997).

The overexpression of *MarA* was also successful in improving *E. coli* tolerance for geraniol (8.8 g/L) (Shah et al., 2013). Again, the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump was suggested to play a key role, as the deletion of the *acrAB tolC* genes made *E. coli* more susceptible to geraniol toxicity (Shah et al., 2013). On the contrary, an *E. coli* strain with a *marR* mutation (Ankarloo et al., 2010; Oethinger et al., 2000) that allowed overexpression of MarA did not lead to tolerance towards short chain alcohols (Ankarloo et al., 2010). Deletion of the *mar* or the *acrAB* locus did not increase susceptibility towards these alcohols, suggesting that tolerance to these alcohols is not dependent on the MarA regulatory network nor on the AcrAB-TolC efflux system (Ankarloo et al., 2010). Therefore, the MarA, SoxS, Rob regulatory system serves as a promising target for manipulation in order to obtain tolerance for hydrophobic compounds but may not be a suitable system for short chain alcohols.

2.4.3.5 Global transcription machinery engineering (GTME)

Other transcription factors have also been utilized to generate bioproduct tolerant strains not by overexpression, but *via* directed evolution (Table 2.7) (Alper & Stephanopoulos, 2007; Basak et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2011; Chong et al., 2014; Chong et al., 2013a; Chong et al., 2013b; Klein-Marcuschamer et al., 2009; Klein-Marcuschamer & Stephanopoulos, 2008; Lee et al., 2011; Ma & Yu, 2012; Si et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2016a; Zhang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2012a). This approach, which has been termed as global transcription machinery engineering (GTME), targets key proteins responsible for the regulation of transcription at a global level, resulting in altered gene expression levels of tens to hundreds of genes (Alper & Stephanopoulos, 2007; Zhang et al., 2015).

In GTME, the directed evolution of the target transcription factor is usually achieved via an in vitro random mutagenesis approach (e.g. error prone PCR) to generate a mutant library, which is then cloned into a suitable vector and transformed into the host of choice, prior to selection for tolerance towards the desired bioproduct (Alper & Stephanopoulos, 2007; Zhang et al., 2015). Global transcriptional regulators that have been altered to improve bioproduct tolerance include σ^{70} (RpoD) in *E. coli* (Alper & Stephanopoulos, 2007; Si et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2015), Zymomonas mobilis (Tan et al., 2016a), and Lactobacillus plantarum (Klein-Marcuschamer & Stephanopoulos, 2008); o^A (SigA) in *Rhodococcus ruber (Ma & Yu, 2012)*; and cAMP receptor protein (CRP) in *E. coli* (Chong et al., 2014; Chong et al., 2013a; Chong et al., 2013b; Zhang et al., 2012a). This approach has also been used to cause perturbations in global transcription with the aid of an external global regulator, IrrE, from Deinococcus radiodurans (Chen et al., 2011), an artificial transcription factor (ATF) DNA-binding zinc finger protein fused to *E. coli* CRP (Lee et al., 2011) and mutations in the *E. coli* RNA polymerase subunit a (Klein-Marcuschamer et al., 2009) expressed in E. coli (Table 2.7).

Sigma factors regulate the specificity of RNA polymerase for promoter recognition, and are essential for the regulation and initiation of transcription (Paget, 2015; Paget & Helmann, 2003; Tripathi et al., 2014). In bacteria, the main sigma factor for transcription during normal growth belongs to the σ^{70} family, frequently annotated as RpoD in Gram negative bacteria (Alper & Stephanopoulos, 2007; Klein-Marcuschamer & Stephanopoulos, 2008; Paget, 2015; Paget & Helmann, 2003; Tan et al., 2016a; Tripathi et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015) or σ^{A} (SigA) in Gram positive bacteria (Hu & Coates, 1999; Ma & Yu, 2012; Pátek & Nešvera, 2011). Mutations in σ^{70} (RpoD) of *E. coli* improved the tolerance for ethanol (Alper & Stephanopoulos, 2007), 1-butanol (Si et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2015), and cyclohexane (Zhang et al., 2015) by 40%, 65.6%, and <80%, respectively. RpoD mutants of Z. mobilis grew in the presence of 71.8 g/L ethanol, which is a 22.7% increase in the level of ethanol tolerance as compared to the control strain (Tan et al., 2016a). However, the strains with improved ethanol tolerance were not able to produce more ethanol (16 g/L) under normal conditions. Increased ethanol production was only

observed after external addition of ethanol (65.2 g/L). Pyruvate decarboxylase (PDC) and alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) are key enzymes in the ethanolproducing pathway, which had their specific activities increased significantly in the RpoD mutant when the ethanol concentration was increased to 65.2 g/L (Tan et al., 2016a). Nonetheless, the full significance of this observation is unclear. The best σ^{70} (annotated as RpoD) mutants in *Lb. plantarum* were able to grow at least twice as fast, with 3 times more biomass, and produced 8% more lactate as compared to the wild-type (Klein-Marcuschamer & Stephanopoulos, 2008). Under low pH conditions (pH = 3.85), the strain carrying the mutant RpoD produced 25% more lactate than the control strain (Klein-Marcuschamer & Stephanopoulos, 2008). Effects on gene expression resulting from such RpoD mutations in both *Z. mobilis* (Tan et al., 2016a) and *Lb. Plantarum* (Klein-Marcuschamer & Stephanopoulos, 2008) were not further investigated.

R. ruber was used as a whole cell biocatalyst to produce acrylamide with acrylonitrile as substrate and its native σ^A (SigA) was subjected to directed evolution for improved tolerance for both the substrate and bioproduct. In comparison to the wild-type, the best *R. ruber* σ^A (SigA) mutant achieved a cell density of at least 3 and 2 times higher in the presence of acrylonitrile (0.8 g/L) and acrylamide (6.7 g/L), respectively (Ma & Yu, 2012). The *R. ruber* strain carrying a σ^A mutation also produced 10-37% more acrylamide than the control strain, while being used as a whole cell biocatalyst (Ma & Yu, 2012). However, the underlying mechanism of the improvements observed was not investigated further.

The α -subunit of the RNA polymerase, which is the core enzyme and plays a key role in RNA polymerase assembly (*via* its N-terminal region), interaction with class I transcription factors (e.g. CRP, MarA, Rob, SoxS, and etc.) and the upstream element of the promoter (*via* its C-terminal region) (Murakami et al., 1997), has also been evolved to confer 1-butanol tolerance. A mutant with an altered α -subunit of RNA polymerase achieved a 129% higher cell density in comparison to the wild-type when grown with 1-butanol (7.2 g/L). This mutant also exhibited better tolerance towards 2-butanol (12.7 g/L), 1-pentanol (2.0 g/L), and 3-pentanol(4.9 g/L) (Klein-Marcuschamer et al., 2009). However,

alcohol production was not attempted (Klein-Marcuschamer et al., 2009), and the effects on gene expression resulting from the mutations were not further investigated.

CRP, which is present in enteric bacteria (Soberón-Chávez et al., 2017), is a transcriptional regulator that affects ~ 400 genes and plays a lead role in the activation of key genes for the utilization of non-glucose carbon sources (Basak et al., 2012; Chong et al., 2013a; Gunasekara et al., 2015; Shimada et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012a). It has been evolved and tested for effectiveness in delivering 1-butanol (Zhang et al., 2012a; Zhang et al., 2012b), isobutanol (Chong et al., 2014), ethanol (Chong et al., 2013a), acetate (Chong et al., 2013b), and toluene (Basak et al., 2012) tolerance in *E. coli*. The CRP mutant selected for the best 1-butanol (Zhang et al., 2012a) and isobutanol (Chong et al., 2014) tolerance achieved at least 2 times higher growth rate and cell density as compared to the wild type with 1-butanol (9.7 g/L) (Zhang et al., 2012a) or isobutanol (9.5 g/L) (Chong et al., 2014). Ethanol (62.0 g/L) selection gave rise to a different CRP mutant able to grow as fast as the wild type but with at least 1.5 times the cell density, which also exhibited higher tolerance for propanol (24.2 g/L), 1-butanol (10.4 g/L), and 1-pentanol (3.6 g/L) (Chong et al., 2013a). Selection for acetate tolerance led to the isolation of a CRP mutant that grows about 5 times faster and 3 times higher cell density with sodium acetate (15.0 g/L) (Chong et al., 2013b). The E. coli strain with the mutant CRP also exhibited higher tolerance for sodium formate (5.0 g/L) and sodium propionate (18.0 g/L)as compared to the wild-type (Chong et al., 2013b). Furthermore, it improved acetate production by about 70% more as compared to the control strain (Chong et al., 2013b). CRP mutants were also selected with toluene (1.7-2.0 g/L) at concentrations that prevented growth of the wild type control strain (Basak et al., 2012). The CRP mutations resulted in 1-4 amino acid substitutions located at the N-terminal cAMP binding domain (residues 1-134) (Basak et al., 2012; Chong et al., 2013a; Zhang et al., 2012a), C-terminal DNA binding domain (residues 140-209) (Basak et al., 2012; Chong et al., 2014; Chong et al., 2013a; Zhang et al., 2012a), and/or the hinge (residues 135-139) (Basak et al., 2012; Chong et al., 2013a; Zhang et al., 2012a). These changes in amino acid composition were shown to significantly alter gene expression of

tens to hundreds of genes including stress related genes both in the presence or the absence of the compound selected for (Basak et al., 2012; Chong et al., 2014; Chong et al., 2013a; Zhang et al., 2012a).

A number of DNA-binding artificial transcription factors-fused zinc finger (ATF-ZF) protein mutants with *E. coli* CRP as an effector domain were tested for their ability to confer 1-butanol tolerance in *E. coli* (Lee et al., 2011). Zinc finger (ZF) proteins are a large group of proteins that require zinc for folding and interact with other proteins, lipids, RNA, or DNA (Jantz et al., 2004), with a wide range of cellular functions, including protein folding and assembly, lipid binding, RNA packaging, DNA recognition, and transcriptional regulation (Laity et al., 2001). Their ability to recognize and bind to specific DNA sequences and alter transcriptional regulation have been exploited to modulate gene expression through generation of artificial transcription factors (ATF) ZF proteins (Jantz et al., 2004; Sera, 2009) that are fused with effector domains (Frietze & Farnham, 2011). The best ATF-ZF mutant grew about 9 times faster and with 3 times higher cell density in the presence of 1-butanol (12.0 g/L) as compared to the wild type *E. coli* (Lee et al., 2011). A total of 284 genes were differentially expressed in the strain carrying the mutant ATF-ZF in comparison with strain not carrying any ATF (Lee et al., 2011).

IrrE (a metalloprotease that cleaves the repressor protein DrdO) from *D. radiodurans*, which has been found to be a crucial switch for radiation tolerance (Bauermeister et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2011; Hua et al., 2003; Ludanyi et al., 2014), was used to generate a mutant library and assessed for its ability to confer tolerance towards bioproducts (Chen et al., 2011). Although IrrE was not previously linked to chemicals tolerance, some mutations in IrrE resulted in improvements of ethanol, 1-butanol, or acetate tolerance (Chen et al., 2011). The best mutant IrrE selected for ethanol (37.6 g/L) tolerance was able to grow by at least 48 fold higher cell density as compared to the host cell with or without the wild type IrrE, while the best 1-butanol (7.0 g/L) tolerant mutant achieved at least 3 times cell density as compared to the *E. coli* strains with or without the wild type IrrE. In the case of the acetate (5.0 g/L) tolerant IrrE mutant, at least 50% improvement in cell density compared to the *E. coli* strains with or without the wild type IrrE was observed. The mutations in IrrE caused 1-4 amino acid

residue changes at the N-terminal domain (protease function), HTH domain (DNA binding), and/or C-terminal domain (small molecule sensor function) (Chen et al., 2011). However, the effect of these mutations on global transcription were not investigated further. Although the rationale for using the ATF-ZF fused with *E. coli* CRP (Lee et al., 2011) and IrrE from *D. Radiodurans* (Chen et al., 2011) as targets for GTME were unclear, this study demonstrates that GTME can be expanded with the use of artificial transcription factors and exogenous global regulators to improve bioproduct tolerance of a chosen production host (Chen et al., 2011).

As chemical tolerance is complex and would likely require the alteration of expression of a number of genes (Horinouchi et al., 2017; Molina-Santiago et al., 2017; Murínová & Dercová, 2014; Nicolaou et al., 2010; Peabody et al., 2014; Rau et al., 2016; Rutherford et al., 2010; Sardessai & Bhosle, 2002; Segura et al., 2012; Shimizu, 2013b; Sol Cuenca et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2013; Yung et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2015), GTME presents a very promising targeted approach that allows simultaneous perturbations in expression of multiple genes to confer bioproduct tolerance (Zhang et al., 2015). However, its application has been limited to a few global regulators and potential chemical products (Alper & Stephanopoulos, 2007; Si et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2016a; Zhang et al., 2015) with only a few studies demonstrating an improvement in titres for the desired bioproduct (Chong et al., 2013a; Klein-Marcuschamer & Stephanopoulos, 2008; Ma & Yu, 2012; Tan et al., 2016a). Thus, succeeding works on GTME should focus on enhancing production titres. Nonetheless, the improvement in titres under stress conditions demonstrates some promise for this approach.

Method	Chemical (Max C observed from the parental	Microorganism		In	nprove	ement (%))	Ref
	strain for T; P in g/L)		С	CD	CV	GR	Р	
Directed evolution of RpoD	Ethanol (50; NT)	<i>E. coli</i> DH5α	40	NT	NT	NT	NT	(Alper & Stephan opoulos, 2007)
	1-Butanol (9.6; NT)	<i>E. coli</i> JM109	65.8	NT	NT	NT	NT	(Si et al., 2016)
	Cyclo- hexane (217.2; NT)	<i>E. coli</i> JM109	< 48.3	NT	NT	NT	NT	(Zhang et al., 2015)
	Ethanol (58.5; 16.0)	Z. mobilis ZM4	22.7	NT	NT	NT	NI	(Tan et al., 2016a)
	L-Lactate (5.5; 8.6)	Lb. plantarum	NT	< 200	NT	< 100	~7	(Klein- Marcusc hamer & Stephan opoulos, 2008)
	Acrylonitrile (0.8; NT), Acrylamide (6.7; 300)	R. ruber	NT	200, 100	NT	NT	10- 20	(Ma & Yu, 2012)
Directed evolution of CRP	1-Butanol (9.7; NT)	<i>E. coli</i> DH5α (Δcrp)	NT	NT	NT	100	NT	(Zhang et al., 2012a)
	Isobutanol (9.5; NT)	<i>E. coli</i> DH5α (Δcrp)	NT	NT	NT	260	NT	(Chong et al., 2014)
	Ethanol (62; NT)	<i>E. coli</i> JW5702 (∆crp)	NT	<50	NT	25	NT	(Chong et al., 2013a)
	Acetate (15; 0.16)	<i>E. coli</i> DH5α (Δcrp)	NT	~200	NT	419	70	(Chong et al., 2013b)
	Toluene (0; NT)	<i>E. coli</i> DH5α (∆crp)	+	NT	NT	NT	NT	(Basak et al., 2012)
Directed evolution of an artificial transcription factor fused with CRP	1-Butanol (12.0; NT)	<i>E. coli</i> MG1655	NI	~800	NT	~20	NT	(Lee et al., 2011)
Directed evolution of IrrE	Ethanol (37.6; NT), 1-Butanol (7; NT), Acetate (5; NT)	Ē. coli DH5α	NI, NI, NI	< 4700, 200, <50	NT, NT, NT	NT, NT, NT	NT, NT, NT	(Chen et al., 2011)

Table 2.7 - Effect of GTME on microbial tolerance towards bioproducts.

C, concentration; CD, cell density; CV, cell viability; GR, growth rate; P, production; T, tolerance (in terms of C, CD, CV, or GR); NT, not tested; NI, no improvement; +, growth observed but % improvement not calculated

2.5 Summary of highest bioproduct tolerance enhancement

Although no comprehensive comparison of methods for the enhancement of bioproduct tolerance has been reported, the studies presented in this review showed potential approaches as options for the generation of a bioproduct tolerant strain. The approaches that allowed increase in chemical tolerance (in terms of chemical concentration) by at least 50% are summarized in Table 2.6. Among them adaptive evolution related approaches have been reported the most to give great enhancement (<50 %) in chemical tolerance (Table 2.8), which suggests that adaptive evolution serves as the best option as preliminary approach to enhance bioproduct tolerance of a chosen bacterial host cell.

Method	Chemical	Microorganism	Improven	nent (%)	Ref
	(Max C observed from the parental strain for T; P in g/L)		С	Р	
Adaptive evolution (batch/ serial transfers)	Ethanol (20 ; 15.9)	E. coli KC01	100	48.8	(Wang et al., 2011)
Adaptive evolution (batch/ serial transfers)	Isobutanol +xylose (7.5; NT) / + glucose (10; NT)	<i>E. coli</i> EcNR1	130/ 100	NT	(Minty et al., 2011)
Adaptive evolution (batch/ serial transfers)	1-Butanol (6; NT)	<i>E. coli</i> BW25113	75	NT	(Menchavez et al., 2018)
Adaptive evolution (batch/ serial transfers)	1-Butanol (1.6; NT)	Synechocystis. sp. PCC 6803	150	NT	(Wang et al., 2014)
Artificial simulation of bio-evolution (batch)	1-Butanol (19.8; 12.2)	C. acetobutylicum D64	57.7	25.4	(Liu et al., 2013)
Adaptive evolution (continuous)	1-Butanol (6.4; NT)	<i>E. coli</i> BW25113	62.5	NT	(Reyes et al., 2012)
UV mutagenesis	Ethanol (15; 3.6)	C. thermocellum NCIB 10682	160	250	(Tailliez et al., 1989)
Transposon mutagenesis	1-Butanol (6; NT)	C. beijerinckii DSM 6423	83	NT	(Liyanage et al., 2000)
Stress induced mutagenesis	1-Butanol (8; NT)	E. coli SMB07	71.4	NT	(Zhu et al., 2015)
Genome Shuffling	1-Butanol (8.0; NT)	<i>E. coli</i> BW25113	98.8	NT	(Winkler et al., 2010)
Overexpression of an uncharacterized pump from <i>A.</i> <i>borkumensis</i>	Limonene (0.3; 0.035)	<i>E. coli</i> DH1 ∆acrAB	60	50	(Dunlop et al., 2011)
marR mutation → overexpression of MarA	pine oil (8.0; NT)	E.coli AG100	343	NT	(Moken et al., 1997)
Directed evolution of RpoD	1-Butanol (9.6; NT)	E. coli JM109	65.8	NT	(Si et al., 2016)

Table 2.8 - List of reports for enhanced bioproduct tolerance with improvements of at least 50%

C, concentration; CD, cell density; CV, cell viability; GR, growth rate; P, production; T, tolerance (in terms of C); NT, not tested; NI, no improvement.

2.6 Concluding remarks

Various classical and modern biotechnology tools are available for the engineering of the production host cell for improved bioproduct tolerance. Both the classical random mutagenesis and the modern rational approaches have proven to be effective in generating a host strain with improved bioproduct tolerance. Among the approaches, adaptive evolution has shown to be the most promising approach in generating bioproduct tolerant strains. However, the enhancement in bioproduct tolerance does not necessarily translate to higher product titres. In addition, none of the reported studies has improved bioproduct tolerance at industrial production scale relevant titres (which is the subject of this study). Nevertheless, reports of enhanced production under stress conditions by exogenously adding the bioproduct at near inhibitory concentrations shows a glimpse of the potential of bioproduct tolerant strains to break bioproduct toxicity titre limitations.

Aim and Objectives

3.1 Aim

The overall aim of this study was to develop a robust host cell suitable for the commercial production of methacrylate esters based on Lucite International's butyl methacrylate (BMA) bioprocess route. In line with the overall aim, this study intended to address four objectives.

3.2 Objectives

1. To generate strains with tolerance towards BMA (10-20% v/v)

It is essential for the host strain to have tolerance for BMA at 10-20% in order to realize the bioprocess. The potential host strain explored in this study was E. coli. Based on literature review, preceding study that isolated BMA tolerant E. coli , and preliminary growth studies in the presence of BMA, adaptive evolution was applied to generate BMA tolerant E. coli strains.

2. To understand the cause of BMA tolerance

Understanding the underlying mechanisms of BMA tolerance may help in identifying genes or gene networks that are vital for BMA tolerance, which could be used for further engineering of the host cell. In this regard, Genomics and Transcriptomics were used on a few select BMA tolerant strains to identify the mutations acquired and changes in transcription profile due to the mutations acquired as well as exposure to BMA.

3. To further enhance desirable traits of the BMA tolerant strains

BMA tolerant strains experience different degrees of inhibition as reflected with their growth in the presence of BMA (20% v/v). Tolerant strains either grew at high rate or high cell density. Cell density correlates with the efficiency of resource utilization and allocation. Thus, it would be beneficial to have a host strain that is resource efficient to maximize utilization of resources for growth and product formation. In this regard, genome shuffling was utilized to exploit the diverse mutations found in BMA tolerant strains followed by selection to identify strains with superior cell density grown in the presence of BMA (20% v/v).

4. To test the BMA tolerant strains for actual BMA production

The purpose of engineering the potential host strain to be more tolerant to BMA was to allow production at desired titres that are beyond the previous toxicity limit. In this regard, the BMA tolerant strains were tested for their ability to produce BMA.

Materials and Methods

4.1 Materials

4.1.1 Chemicals

The following chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, Mo, USA): ampicillin, CaCl₂ (\geq 96.0 %), DMSO (\geq 99.9 %), EDTA disodium salt (>99.0 %), FeCl₃ (98.0%), KH₂PO₄ (\geq 98 %), K₂HPO₄ (\geq 98.0 %), KOH (\geq 85 %), MgCl₂ (\geq 98.0 %), MnSO₄·H₂O (\geq 99 %), NaCl (\geq 99.0 %), Na₂HPO₄ (>99.5 %), NaH₂PO₄·2H₂O (\geq 99.0 %), NaOH (\geq 97.0 %), NH₄Cl (\geq 99.5 %), (NH₄)₂SO₄ (\geq 99.0 %), sodium maleate dibasic (98 %), sodium 3-methyl-2-oxobutyrate (95.0 %), sodium maleate dibasic (\geq 98 %), spectinomycin dihydrochloride pentahydrate, Tris/HCl (>99%), agarose, arabinose (\geq 99.0 %), glucose (>99.0 %), glycerol (>98.0 %), sucrose (\geq 99.0 %), BMA (99.0 %), and inhibitor remover (prepacked column). The chemicals MgCl₂ and CuSO₄·5H₂O (99.5%) were purchased from BDH Chemicals Limited, whilst ZnSO₄·7H₂O and CoCl₂·6H₂O were purchased from Acros Chemicals. PEG6000 was purchased from Fluka. Granulated LB broth was purchased from Melford Biolaboratories Ltd. (Ipswich, UK), whilst the bacteriological agar was from Oxoid Ltd. (Altrincham, UK).

4.1.2 Reagents

TAE buffer (50X) for routine gel electrophoresis analysis was purchased from Bio-Rad (Hercules, California). The Quick-Load Purple 1 kb Plus DNA ladder from New England Biolabs (Hitchin, UK) was used to estimate the size of DNA fragments.

4.1.3 Enzymes

The DNase I and Q5® High-Fidelity DNA polymerase were purchased from New England Biolabs (Hitchin, UK), whilst the lysozyme used was purchased from Melford Laboratories (Ipswich, UK).

4.1.4 Kits

GenElute[™] bacterial genomic DNA kit, plasmid miniprep kit, and PCR cleanup kit were used for extraction and purification of genomic DNA, plasmid DNA, and PCR products and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, Mo, USA). QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit was purchased from Qiagen (Hilden, Germany).

4.1.5 DNA oligonucleotides

The oligonucleotides, which include the primers used in this study, were ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies (Leuven, Belgium).

4.1.6 Plasmids

The plasmids pKIV_ara (Appendix Fig. 11.1) and pBAD-MMA050_mACX4_ corrected (3) (Appendix Fig. 11.2) used for testing the production of BMA in *Escherichia coli* strains were kindly provided by Ingenza Ltd. (Roslin, Edinburgh).

Table 4.1 List of plasmids used in this stud	ly
--	----

Plasmid	Features	Reference/Source
pKIV_ara	2-Ketoisovalerate production vector: 11, 242 bp with a p15 ori; Spec ^R ; <i>araC</i> ; <i>ilvC</i> , <i>ilvD</i> , and <i>katE</i> from <i>E. coli</i> ; <i>alsS</i> from <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> ; under the pBAD promoter system	Ingenza Ltd.
pBAD- MMA050_m ACX4_ corrected (3)	BMA from 2-ketoisovalerate production vector: 13, 394 bp with a pUC ori; Amp ^R ; <i>araC</i> ; <i>bkdA1</i> , <i>bkdA2</i> , <i>bkDB</i> , and <i>lpdV</i> from <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> ; <i>acx4</i> from <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> ; <i>aat</i> from <i>Malus</i> <i>domestica</i> ; under the araBAD promoter system	Ingenza Ltd.

Plasmids and their sources are shown. ori - origin of replication, Spec^{R} – spectinomycin resistance marker, Amp^{R} - ampicillin resistance marker, araC – arabinose operon regulatory protein, ilvC – ketol-acid reductoisomerase, ilvD – dihydroxy-acid dehydratase, katE – catalase HPII, alsS – acetolactate synthase, bkdA1 – 2-oxoisovalerate dehydrogenase subunit alpha, bkdA2 - 2-oxoisovalerate dehydrogenase subunit beta, bkdB – lipoamide acyltransferase, lpdV - dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase, acx4 – acyl-coenzyme A oxidase 4, aat – alcohol acyl transferase

4.1.7 Strains

The parental strain *E. coli* BW25113 was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), while the best isolate from the previous study (strain LM-2) (Disley, 2018) was kindly provided by Laura Martins. The other strains

used in the study were generated from *E. coli* BW25113 with their characteristics listed in Table 4.2.

Strain	Features	Source /
		Reference
E. coli	From <i>E. coli</i> MG1655: ∆(araD-araB)567	ATCC
BW25113	Δ (rhaD-rhaB)568 Δ lacZ4787 (::rrnB-3) hsdR514 rph-1	(Grenier et al., 2014)
LM-2	From <i>E. coli</i> MG1655: soxR(R20H) acrR(V29G)	(Disley, 2018)
RNM-2	From <i>E. coli</i> BW25113: <i>acrR</i> (E91fs) <i>rob</i> (R156H) <i>rpoC</i> (L361R) <i>ilvN</i> (C41Y) <i>ygbK</i> (A294E) <i>lpxM</i> (267_272 del) <i>ompT</i> (indels)	This study
RNM-3	From E. coli BW25113: $acrR(E91fs)$ rob(R156H) $ilvN(C41Y)$ phoP(L11F) $acrB(V448L)$ $icd(398Dfs)$ $\Delta ymfD$ $\Delta ymfE$ Δlit $\Delta intE$ $\Delta xisE$ Δymf $I\Delta ymfJ$ $\Delta cohE$ $\Delta croE$ $\Delta ymfL$ $\Delta ymfM$ $\Delta oweE$ $\Delta aaaE$ $\Delta ymfR$ $\Delta beeE$ $\Delta jayE$ $\Delta ymfQ$ $\Delta stfP$ $\Delta tfaP$ $\Delta tfaE$ $\Delta stfE$ $\Delta pinE$ $\Delta mcrA$ yhDE(indels)	This study
RNM-5	From <i>E. coli</i> BW25113: <i>acrR</i> (N214fs) <i>soxR</i> (Leu139X) <i>mscK</i> (indels) 580116(G>T)	This study
RNM-6	From E. coli BW25113: acrR(Y77fs) soxR(A146del)	This study
RNM-7	From E. coli BW25113: acrR(E91fs) rob(A70V) stfP(indels)	This study
RNM-18	From <i>E. coli</i> BW25113: <i>acrR</i> (A191fs) <i>rob</i> (A70T) <i>creA</i> (V85V) <i>yohJ</i> (L109R) <i>dnaK</i> (V377G) 927777(C>T) <i>cra</i> (I270fs) <i>clsA</i> (A448fs) <i>rpoC</i> (K215fs) <i>opgH</i> (R95P) <i>cpxA</i> (P177Q) <i>ompX</i> (indels) <i>atpl</i> (indels) Δ <i>psuT</i> Δ <i>psuG</i> Δ <i>psuK</i> Δ <i>fruA</i> Δ <i>fruK</i> Δ <i>fruB</i> Δ <i>setB</i>	This study
RNM-8	From <i>E. coli</i> BW25113: <i>acrR</i> (K53fs) <i>rob</i> (R156H) <i>rpoB</i> (T1037P) <i>torY</i> (A87T)	This study
RNM-19	From <i>E. coli</i> BW25113: <i>acrR</i> (L34) <i>marR</i> (V84G) <i>rpoC</i> (R1075C) <i>ompR</i> (R15S) <i>acrB</i> (T379I) <i>yieL</i> (indels) <i>mioC</i> (indels)	This study
RNM-20	From <i>E. coli</i> BW25113: <i>acrR</i> (L34) <i>marR</i> (V84G) <i>rpoC</i> (R1075C) <i>ompR</i> (R15S) <i>yhiN</i> (indels) <i>pitA</i> (indels)	This study
RNM-21	From <i>E. coli</i> BW25113: <i>acrR</i> (L34) <i>marR</i> (V84G) <i>rpoC</i> (R1075C) <i>rpoC</i> (A787V) <i>ompR</i> (R15S) <i>acrB</i> (V901I) ΔyhhJ ΔrbbA ΔyhiI ΔyhiJ ΔyhiL ΔyhiM ΔyhiN ΔpitA	This study
RNM-22	From <i>E. coli</i> BW25113: <i>acrR</i> (K53Yfs) <i>rob</i> (R156H) <i>rpoB</i> (T1037P) <i>groL</i> (P279L) <i>tor</i> Y(A87T) 1197659(C>A)	This study
RNM-23	From <i>E. coli</i> BW25113: <i>acrR</i> (E74fs) <i>soxR</i> (R20L) <i>rpoC</i> (r1075C) <i>torY</i> (A87T) 2133236(T>A) 3915915(T>G)	This study

Table 4.2 List of bacterial strains used in this study

4.2 Methods

4.2.1 Growth media, agar, and buffer preparations

4.2.1.1 Growth media

M9 minimal medium

Stock solutions of M9 salts (5X concentrate), MgSO₄ (1M), CaCl₂ (1M), glucose (40% w/v) were prepared and autoclaved separately. M9 salts (5X concentrate) stock solution contained Na₂HPO₄ (33.89 g), KH₂PO₄ (15 g), NaCl (2.5 g), and NH₄Cl (5.0 g) in deionized (DI) water (1L). M9 minimal medium (amount from stock solution; final concentration) was prepared by adding the autoclaved M9 salts aseptically (200 mL; 1X), MgSO₄ (2.0 mL; 2 mM), CaCl₂ (0.1 mL; 0.1 mM), and glucose (25.0 mL; 10 g/L) to DI H₂O (773 mL).

MSX minimal medium

Stock solutions of MSA, MSB, Vishniac trace elements, and glucose (40% w/v) were prepared and autoclaved separately. Vishniac trace elements solution (1L) was prepared by combining EDTA disodium salt (50 g) with DI H₂O (800 mL) and dissolved by addition of KOH pellets (1 at a time). The salts were added in the following order: $ZnSO_4$ (2.2 g), $CaCl_2$ (5.54 g), $MnCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ (5.06 g), $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ (5 g), $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_24 \cdot 4H_2O$ (1.1 g), $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ (1.57 g) and $CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (1.61 g). The pH of the salt solution with EDTA was adjusted to 6 using KOH (1M) and DI H₂O was added to make up for the desired final volume (1L). MSA was prepared by dissolving KH_2PO_4 (6.0 g) and Vishniac trace elements (2.0 mL) in DI H₂O (660 mL). The pH of the solution was adjusted to 7 with addition of KOH (1M) and DI H₂O was prepared by dissolving NH_4CI (3.0 g) and $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ (0.4 g) in DI H₂O (200 mL). The final MSX solution was prepared by aseptically adding the autoclaved MSA (760 mL), MSB (200 mL), 40% w/v glucose solution (25 mL), and DI H₂O (15 mL).

Lund medium

Stock solutions of 5X salt solution, glycerol solution (40% w/v), MgSO₄ (1M), yeast extract (50 g/L), trace elements (TE) solution, and arabinose (20% w/v) were prepared and autoclaved separately. Stock solutions of Ampicillin (0.1 g/L)and Spectinomycin (0.05 g/L) were prepared and filter sterilized separately. The 5X salt solution was prepared by dissolving (NH₄)₂SO₄ (10.0 g), K₂HPO₄ (73.0 g), NaHPO₄·2H₂O (18.0 g), and ammonium citrate (2.5 g) in DI H₂O (800 mL). The pH of the 5X salt solution was adjusted to pH 7.0 and DI H₂O was added to reach the desired final volume (1L). The TE solution was prepared by dissolving EDTA disodium salt dihydrate (22.3 g), CaCl₂·2H₂O (0.5 g), FeCl₃ (10.03 g), ZnSO₄·7H₂O (0.18 g), CuSO₄·5H₂O (0.16 g), MnSO₄·H₂O (0.15 g), and CoCl₂·6H₂O (0.18 g) in DI H₂O (800 mL). The pH of the solution was adjusted to pH 7.0 and DI H₂O was further added to reach the desired volume (1L). The Lund medium was prepared by aseptically adding the autoclaved 5X salt solution (200 mL), yeast extract (15.4 mL), MgSO₄ solution (2.0 mL), TE solution (2.0 mL), glycerol solution (25.0 mL), Antibiotic solution (1.0 mL) and DI H₂O (755 mL).

4.2.1.2 Agars

LB agar

LB agar was prepared by adding LB (25.0 g) and bacteriological agar (15.0 g) with DI H₂O (1 L). The mixture was sterilized via autoclave and allowed to cool down (55-60 °C) prior to addition of antibiotic for selection (if necessary) and poured onto sterile disposable Petri dish (~25 mL). Once the agar has solidified, the agar was allowed to dry (45-60 min) inside the sterile microbiological safety cabinet prior to use or storage at 4°C for later use.

M9 agar

M9 agar was prepared in a similar manner as preparing M9. In M9 agar, the bacteriological agar (1.5 g) was dissolved in DI H₂O (773 mL) then sterilized by autoclave and allowed to cool down to 55-60 °C. The stock solutions of M9 salts (200 mL), MgSO₄ (2.0 mL), CaCl₂ (0.1 mL), and glucose (25.0 mL) were preheated to 55-60 °C prior to aseptic addition into the sterile agar solution (773
mL). The M9 agar solution was poured onto sterile disposable Petri dish (~25 mL). Once the agar has solidified, the agar was allowed to dry (45-60 min) inside the sterile microbiological safety cabinet prior to use or storage at 4°C for later use.

MSX agar

MSX agar was prepared in a similar manner as preparing M9. In MSX agar, the bacteriological agar (1.5 g) was dissolved in MSA (760 mL) then sterilized by autoclave and allowed to cool down to 55-60 °C. The stock solutions of MSB (200 mL), glucose (40% w/v; 25.0 mL), and DI H₂O (15 mL) were preheated to 55-60 °C prior to aseptic addition into the sterile agar solution (773 mL). The MSX agar solution was poured onto sterile disposable Petri dish (~25 mL). Once the agar has solidified, the agar was allowed to dry (45-60 min) inside the sterile microbiological safety cabinet prior to use or storage at 4°C for later use.

Agarose gel

Agarose gel for DNA gel electrophoresis was prepared by dissolving agarose (10 g) in TAE buffer (1X; 1L).

4.2.1.3 Buffers

Tris/HCl buffer

A stock of Tris/HCl buffer (0.1 M) was prepared by dissolving TRIS hydrochloride (15.76 g) in DI H₂O (800 mL). The pH of the TRIS hydrochloride solution was adjusted to pH 8.0 with KOH (10 M) and DI H₂O was further added to reach the desired final volume (1L). A 0.01 M Tris/HCl buffer (pH = 8.0) was prepared by addition of the autoclaved Tris/HCl stock solution (100 mL) to DI H₂O (900 mL).

SMM Buffer

Stock solutions of sodium maleate (40 mM) with MgCl₂ (40 mM) and sucrose (1M) were prepared and autoclaved separately. Sodium maleate (40 mM) with MgCl₂ (40 mM) stock solution was prepared by dissolving sodium maleate dibasic salt (6.40 g) and MgCl₂ (3.81 g) in DI H₂O (800 mL). The pH of the salt

solution was adjusted to pH 6.5 by addition of HCI (1 M) and DI H₂O was added to reach the desired total volume (1 L). The sucrose solution (1M) was prepared by dissolving sucrose (342.3 g) in DI H₂O (800 mL) and DI H₂O was further added to attain the desired total volume (1 L). SMM buffer (amount from stock solution; final concentration) was prepared by aseptically combining the autoclaved solution of sodium maleate with MgCl₂ (500 mL; 20 mM of each) and solution (500 mL; 0.5 M) (Dai et al., 2005).

PEG buffer

Stock solutions PEG6000 (60% w/v) with the salts sodium maleate (30 mM), MgCl₂ (30 mM), and CaCl₂ (15 mM) and sucrose (2.5 M) were prepared and sterilized separately. PEG6000 (600 g), sodium maleate (4.80 g), MgCl₂ (2.86 g), and CaCl₂·H₂O (2.21 g) were dissolved in DI H₂O (900 mL). The pH of the mixture was adjusted to 6.5 and DI H₂O was further added to reach the desired total volume (1 L) then filter sterilized. The sucrose solution (1M) was prepared by dissolving sucrose (855.75 g) in DI H₂O (800 mL) and DI H₂O was further added to attain the desired total volume (1 L) then silter sterilized total volume (1 L) then autoclaved. The PEG buffer (amount from stock solution; final concentration) was prepared by aseptically combining the filter sterilized stock solution of PEG6000 (666 mL; 40% w/v) with the salts sodium maleate (15 mM), MgCl₂ (15 mM), and CaCl₂ (10 mM) and sucrose solution (200 mL; 0.5 M), filter sterilized DMSO (50 mL; 5% vol.), and autoclaved DI H₂O (84 mL) (Dai et al., 2005).

4.2.2 Growth studies

E. coli strains were grown in M9 minimal medium (50 mL) with glucose (10 g/L) contained in a conical flask (250 mL) fitted with a rubber Suba-seal using a shaker incubator (Innova®40, New Brunswick Scientific, NJ, USA) at 37°C and 200 rpm. BMA in appropriate amounts were added immediately after inoculation. The maximum growth rate at the exponential growth phase was estimated using the slope in the plot of In OD600 vs time (h) (Hall et al., 2013).

4.2.3 Adaptive evolution

4.2.3.1 Sequential batch cultures

E. coli strains were grown in M9 minimal medium (10 mL) with glucose (10 g/L) contained in a Falcon tube (50 mL) at vertical position using a shaker incubator (Innova®40, New Brunswick Scientific, NJ, USA) at 37°C and 200 rpm. BMA in appropriate amounts was added immediately after inoculation.

4.2.3.2 Chemostat cultures

Cells were grown in M9 minimal medium with glucose (1 g/L) using a homemade jacketed mini-bioreactor (Fig. 4.1) with a working volume of 55 mL at 37°C and an aeration rate of 0.3 L/h. The bioreactor was fitted with a mini pH probe (Mini-pH probe; 180 mm, Cole-Parmer) attached to a pH controller (Fermac 200, Electrolab) for automatic pH control. The fresh media, base, and BMA were fed separately using peristaltic pumps (120U, Watson Marlow).



Fig. 4.1 Mini-bioreactor set-up for the chemostat cultures.

4.2.4 Genome shuffling

4.2.4.1 Protoplast formation

Protoplasts of the BMA tolerant E. coli strains were generated using a modified protocol of Dai et al. (2005) and Reyes et al. (2012). Cells were grown in LB medium (30 mL) in a 250 mL baffled flasks at 37°C and 200 RPM until an OD (600 nm) of about 1.0. Cells were harvested by centrifugation (5000g; 4°C; 10 min) and washed with ice cold Tris/HCI buffer (30mL; 0.01 M; pH 8.0) for three times. After the final wash, cells were pelleted via centrifugation (5000g; 4°C; 10 min) and re-suspended in Tris/HCI (27 mL; 0.01 M; pH 8.0) containing sucrose (0.5 M). EDTA disodium salt (0.1 M; pH 8.0) in Tris/HCI (0.01 M; pH 8.0) was added to the re-suspended cells as three separate aliquots (1 mL/aliquot) at 10 min intervals to a final concentration of 0.01 M. The resuspended cells were incubated at 37°C and 200 RPM for an additional 20 min to initiate removal of the outer membrane. After the incubation, cells were again harvested by centrifugation (5000g; 4°C; 10 min) and washed with ice cold SMM buffer (30 mL) for two times. This was followed by the re-suspension of the cells in ice cold SMM buffer (30 mL) containing lysozyme (2 mg/mL) and incubation at 37°C at 200 RPM for 1 h to allow digestion of the peptidoglycan layer (Dai et al., 2005; Reyes et al., 2012).

4.2.4.2 Protoplast fusion

Protoplasts were fused by mixing protoplasts from each of the 12 unique BMA tolerant strains. The volume of the protoplasts were added in proportion to their OD (600 nm) such that the final cell density will be equal for each strain (i.e. 0.5 mL for OD 1.0). Once the protoplasts were mixed, DNasel (1 U) was added to digest DNA released from lysed cells and prevent transformation. The mixed protoplasts was incubated at 25°C for 10 min, then harvested by centrifugation (3000g; 4 °C; 20 min) and re-suspended in PEG buffer (0.5 mL). The resuspended protoplasts in PEG buffer was incubated for another 30 min at 25°C to allow the fusion of the protoplasts (Dai et al., 2005; Reyes et al., 2012).

4.2.4.3 Protoplast regeneration

SMM buffer (1.0 mL) was added to the fused protoplasts in PEG buffer prior to harvesting by centrifugation (3000g; 4 °C; 20 min) and re-suspended in LB medium (0.5 mL) containing sucrose (0.5 M). Serial dilutions of the re-suspended fused protoplasts were immediately spread on soft LB plates (8 g/L agar) containing sucrose (0.5 M) using a plastic spreader and incubated at 37°C for 48 h (Dai et al., 2005; Reyes et al., 2012). Regenerated cells were recovered from plates by addition of LB medium (2.0 mL) to the plates followed by careful scraping for cell suspension. The cells in suspension were transferred to conical flasks (500 mL) with LB medium (100 mL) and incubated overnight at 37°C and 200 RPM. The overnight cultures were used to generate cryogenic stocks for future use.

4.2.5 BMA production

4.2.5.1 Biotransformation

An overnight culture of an *E. coli* strain carrying the plasmid pBAD-MMA050-ARA was inoculated (initial OD600 = 0.1) in Lund medium (65 mL) with glycerol (10 g/L) using a conical flask (250 mL) and incubated with aeration (0.1 L/h) at 37°C and 250 rpm. After 12 h of incubation, an aliquot (15 mL) was taken as pre-induction sample. The cells were induced with arabinose (50 µL) from a stock solution (20% w/v). After induction, stock solutions (initial concentration; volume added; final concentration) of (NH₄)₂SO₄ (20% w/v; 250 µL; 1 g/L), glycerol (40% w/v; 375 µL; 3 g/L), sodium 3-methyl-2-oxobutyrate (500 mM; 2.0 mL; 20 mM), and 1-butanol (250 mM; 1.0 mL; 5 mM) were added. Two further additions of 1-butanol (1.0 mL) from a stock solution (250 mM) were added at 1 h intervals to reach the desired total 1-butanol added (15 mM). Aliquote samples (13-14 mL) were taken 6 and 24 h after induction and was used to prepare samples for GC-MS analysis. Samples for GC-MS analysis were prepared by centrifugation of the aliquote from the biotransformation mixture at 10, 000 RPM for 10 min. The supernatant (10 mL) was mixed with an equal volume of ethyl acetate and mixed vigorously for 1 min. Aliquotes (1 mL) of the ethyl acetate phase was used sample for analysis.

4.2.6 Molecular biology methods

4.2.6.1 Primer design

Designed primers were checked for its melting temperature (Tm) and potential secondary structures with the aid of the OligoAnalyzer tool from Integrated DNA Technologies (US).

4.2.6.2 DNA/RNA extractions

Genomic DNA extraction

The buffers and binding column used for genomic extractions were all taken from the GenElute[™] Bacterial Genomic DNA kit. A single colony from a cryogenic stock of the desired strain plated overnight was picked and inoculated in LB (10 mL) using a Falcon tube (50 mL) and incubated at 37°C and 200-250 RPM for 12-16 h. An aliquot (1.5-2.0 mL) of the overnight culture was transferred to a microcentrifuge tube (2.0 mL) and pelleted using a microcentrifuge (MiniSpin[™], Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) at ≥12,000 g for 2 min. After the supernatant liquid was discarded, the cell pellet was resuspended in Lysis Solution T (180 µL) and followed by addition of RNase A (20 μ L). After incubation at room temperature for 2 min, Proteinase K (20 g/L; 20 µL) was added to the suspended cells and incubated at 55°C for 30 min. This was followed by addition of Lysis solution C (200 µL) and incubation at 55°C for an additional 10 min. Ethanol (200 µL) was added to the lysed cells and inverted for 3-5 times to allow gentle mixing. A Column Preparation Solution (500 μ L) was added to the binding column in a collection tube (2.0 mL) and centrifuged at ≥6,500 g for 2 min. After the flow through liquid was discarded, the lysate with ethanol was loaded to the binding column and centrifuged at ≥6,500 g for 2 min. The flow through liquid lysate was discarded and the Wash Solution (500 µL) was loaded to the column and centrifuged at ≥12,000 g for 2 min. The flow through of the wash solution was discarded and the column was centrifuged at ≥12,000 g for 2 min to allow removal of excess ethanol. The binding column was transferred to a fresh collection tube. Genomic DNA was eluted by addition of molecular biology grade water (50-100 μ L) to the binding column and centrifugation at \geq 12,000 g for 3 min.

Plasmid DNA extraction

The buffers and binding column used for plasmid DNA extractions were all taken from the GenElute[™] Plasmid Miniprep kit. A single colony from a cryogenic stock of the strain carrying the desired plasmid plated overnight was picked and inoculated in LB (10 mL) using a Falcon tube (50 mL) and incubated at 37°C and 200-250 rpm for 12-16 h. An aliquot (1.5-2.0 mL) of the overnight culture was transferred to a microcentrifuge tube (2.0 mL) and pelleted using a microcentrifuge at ≥12,000 g for 2 min. After the supernatant liquid was discarded, the cell pellet was resuspended using the Resuspension Solution (200 µL). The resuspended cells were lysed by addition of the Lysis Solution (200 µL) and incubated for 5 min. The lysed cell suspension was neutralized by addition of the Neutralization Solution (350 μ L) and centrifugation at \geq 12,000 g for 10 min. A Column Preparation Solution (500 µL) was added to the binding column in a collection tube (2.0 mL) and centrifuged at ≥12,000 g for 2 min. After the flow through of the Column Preparation Solution, the supernatant of the neutralized cells was added to the binding column in a collection tube and centrifuged at ≥12,000 g for 2 min. The flow through of the lysate was discarded prior to addition of the Wash Solution (750 µL) to the binding column and centrifugation at \geq 12,000 g for 2 min. The flow through of the wash solution was discarded and the column was centrifuged at ≥12,000 g for 2 min to allow removal of excess ethanol. The binding column was transferred to a fresh collection tube. Plasmid DNA was eluted by addition of molecular biology grade water (50 μ L) to the binding column and centrifugation at \geq 12,000 g for 3 min.

DNA extraction from agarose gel electrophoresis

The buffers and binding column used for DNA extractions from agarose gel were all taken from the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit. The desired DNA fragment was excised from the agarose gel using a scalpel. The excised gel was weighed and dissolved by addition of Buffer QG ($300 \mu L$ / 100 mg of gel) and incubation at 50°C for 15-30 min. Once the gel was visibly dissolved, isopropanol ($100 \mu L$ / 100 mg of gel) was added to the solution and mixed gently. The gel solution was applied to QIAquick column in a collection tube (2.0 mL) and centrifuged at $\geq 12,000$ g for 3 min. After the flow through of the solution was discarded,

Buffer PE (750 µL) was added to the QIAquick column in the same collection tube and centrifuged at \geq 12,000 g for 2 min. The flow through was again discarded with the QIAquick column in the collection tube centrifuged for an additional 2 min to remove residual ethanol. The QIAquick column was transferred to a fresh collection tube (1.5 mL). DNA was eluted by addition of molecular biology grade water (10-50 µL) to the binding column and centrifugation at \geq 12,000 g for 3 min.

RNA extraction

The mRNA extraction was handled by Ingenza Ltd (Roslin Innovation Centre, Edinburgh, UK) as part of a collaborative effort for the project with Lucite International. All buffers and binding column used for RNA purification were taken from the RNeasy® Mini Kit (Qiagen), while RNase-Free DNase Set (Qiagen) was used for removal of DNA. A single colony from a cryogenic stock of the desired strain plated in MSX agar plate overnight was picked and inoculated in MSX (10 mL) using a Falcon tube (50 mL) and incubated at 37°C and 200-250 rpm for 12-16 h. An aliquot of the overnight culture was inoculated in MSX (120 mL) for an initial OD600 of 0.05 using conical flasks fitted with Suba seals and incubated at 37°C and 200 rpm. A sample (50 mL) was taken into a Falcon tube (50 mL) when the OD600 of the culture reached 0.3 and kept on ice prior to RNA extraction. Immediately after the first sample was taken BMA (13 mL) was added to the flask cultures. The cultures were incubated for an additional 1 h prior to taking another sample for RNA extraction. Samples were processed immediately for RNA extraction.

The cells from the samples were harvested by centrifugation at 5000 g for 5 min at 4 °C. After the supernatant was discarded, the cell pellet was resuspended by addition of Buffer RLT (350 μ L) followed by vortexing for 5-10 s. The suspension was transferred into a Safe-Lock tube (2 mL) that contains acid washed beads (25-50 mg) and vortexed vigorously for 5 min. The ruptured cell mixture was centrifuged at ≥12,000 g for 10 s with the resulting supernatant transferred to an RNase free microcentrifuge tube (2.0 mL). Ethanol (70 vol. %; 350 μ L) was added to the supernatant and the mixture was gently mixed by pipetting. The lysate was transferred to an RNase free do an RNase free to an RNase free do an RNase free microcentrifuge tube (2.0 mL).

tube (2 mL) and centrifuged at \geq 8000 x g for 15 s. After the lysate flow through was discarded, Buffer RW1 (350 µL) was added to the RNeasy spin column in a collection tube (2 mL) and centrifuged at \geq 8000 x g for 15 s. The wash solution flow through was discarded. A mixture of DNase I stock solution (27.2 Kunitz/ μ L; 10 μ L) and Buffer RDD (70 μ L) from the RNase-Free DNase Set was loaded into the RNeasy spin column membrane and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. After incubation, Buffer RW1 (350 µL) was loaded into the RNeasy column and centrifuged at ≥8000 x g for 15 s. The wash solution flow through was discarded, which was followed by addition of Buffer RPE to the RNeasy spin column (500 μ L) and centrifugation at ≥8000 x g for 15 s. The flow through was discarded, followed by addition of fresh Buffer RPE (500 μ L) and centrifugation at ≥8000 x g for 2 min. The RNeasy spin column was transferred to a fresh collection tube (2 mL) and centrifuged at \geq 12000 x g for 2 min. The RNeasy spin column was transferred to another fresh collection tube (1.5 mL) and RNase free water (30-50 µL) was loaded directly to the spin column membrane. RNA was eluted by centrifugation at \geq 8000 x g for 1 min.

4.2.6.3 PCR

General PCR reactions were performed by mixing the Reaction Buffer (5X; 10 μ L), dNTPs (10 mM; 1 μ L), forward primer (10 μ M; 2.5 μ L), reverse primer (10 μ M; 2.5 μ L), template DNA (1-1000 ng), Q5 High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (0.5 μ L), and nuclease free water (to 50 μ L) using a PCR tube (0.2 mL). The PCR mixture in the tube were incubated at 98°C for 30 s (initial denaturation) in a thermocycler (Mastercycler®-Personal, Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). This was followed by sequential incubation at 98°C for 10 s, 50-72°C for 30 s/kb of desired amplification, and 72 °C for 30 s, which was repeated for 25-35 cycles. A final extension step was implemented by further incubation 72 °C for 5-10 min. The reaction was stopped by storing the PCR tubes at 4-10 °C.

4.2.6.4 Chemical transformation

Chemical transformation was used for introduction of plasmid into the *E. coli* strains used.

Preparation of competent cells

A single colony from a cryogenic stock of the desired strain plated overnight in LB (with antibiotics if necessary) was picked and inoculated in LB (10 mL; with antibiotics if necessary) using a Falcon tube (50 mL) and incubated at 37 °C and 200-250 rpm for 12-16 h. An aliquot of the overnight culture was inoculated in a fresh LB medium (50 mL; with antibiotics if necessary) at a starting OD600 of 0.1 using a baffled flask (250 mL) and incubated at 30 or 37 °C at 250 rpm. The cells were transferred to a Faclon tube (50 mL) when the OD600 reached 0.6-0.7 and placed on ice for at least 5 min. The ice cold cell culture were centrifuged at 5000 g and 4 °C for 10 min. After the supernatant was discarded, the cell pellet was resuspended in an ice cold CaCl₂ solution (0.1 M; 10 mL). The resuspended cells were placed on ice for 10 min prior to centrifugation at 5000 g and 4 °C for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded and the cell pellet was resuspended with CaCl₂ solution (0.1 M; 2 mL) (Dagert & Ehrlich, 1979).

Transformation of competent cells

An aliquot (200 μ L) of the chemical competent cell was transferred into a sterile microcentrifuge tube (1.5 mL) and placed on ice. The plasmid (1-50 ng) was added to the chemical competent cells and the mixture was placed on ice for 30 min. The transformation mixture was then incubated in a water bath at 42 °C for 30 s and immediately placed on ice for 2 min. LB broth (1 mL) was added to the ice cold mixture and incubated at 30/37 °C and 250 rpm for 1-2 h. The transformed cells were selected by plating in LB agar plates containing appropriate antibiotics.

4.2.7 Bioinformatics

4.2.7.1 DNA/Amino acid sequence

The National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) gene data bank (Maglott et al., 2005) was used as the database of the reference DNA sequence, while the universal protein (UniProt) knowledgebase (UniProt Consortium, 2018) was used as a database for the reference protein/amino acid sequence. The Translate tool from ExPASy: SIB bioinformatics resource portal (Artimo et al., 2012) was used to determine the amino acid sequence from a given DNA sequence.

4.2.7.2 DNA/Amino acid sequence alignments

Alignment of DNA sequences was implemented using the BLASTN tool from NCBI, while the EMBOSS Water tool from the European Bioinformatics Institute (EMBL-EBI) (Kanz et al., 2005) was used for amino acid sequence alignments.

4.2.7.3 Genome sequencing

The Genomic DNA sequencing and data processing were handled by Edinburgh Genomics (The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK) as part of a Genomic DNA sequencing package service. Genomic DNA sequence was analyzed using MiSeq v2 150PE (Illumina[®]) to yield at least 11M + 11M reads per run.

Trimming

Reads were trimmed to a minimum length of 36 for quality at the 3' end with a threshold of 30 and adapter sequences of the Nextera XT kit (CTGTCTTATA) using Cutadapt version 1.12 (Martin, 2011).

Alignment and variant calling

Genome alignment and variant calling were undertaken with the Snippy pipeline to identify the difference in genomic DNA sequence between the isolated strains and parental strain/reference genome (*Escherichia coli* strain BW25113, assembly ASM75055v1, annotation version 34 from Ensembl) (Grenier et al., 2014). Snippy version 3 was used with a minimum of 10 reads covering each position, and 0.9 as the minimum fraction of the reads that must differ from the reference. VCFtools was used for any manipulation of VCF files not done from within Snippy (Danecek et al., 2011).

4.2.7.4 RNA sequencing

RNA sequencing and differential analysis were conducted by Edinburgh Genomics (The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK) as part of an RNA sequencing package service.

Trimming

Cutadapt1 (version cutadapt-1.9.dev2) (Martin, 2011) was used to trim the reads for quality at the 3' end with a quality threshold of 30 for adapter sequences of the TruSeq Stranded Total RNA with RiboZero rRNA Removal kit kit (AGATCGGAAGAGC). Reads after trimming had a minimum length of 50.

Alignment

The genome of *E. coli* BW25113 was used as reference for mapping, while annotations for *E. coli* K-12 group strain was used for counting (Baba et al., 2006; Grenier et al., 2014). STAR (version 2.5.2b) (Dobin et al., 2013) was used to align the reads to the reference genome. Paired-end reads were specified with the options –outSAMtype BAM Unsorted, while all other parameters were set as default.

Read counting by feature

The program featureCounts (version 1.5.1) (Liao et al., 2013) was used to read counts. The reads were assigned to features of type 'exon' in the input annotation grouped by gene_id in the reference genome. A minimum alignment quality of 10 was specified with the strandedness set to 'reverse'.

Count preprocessing

Filtering

Filtering of the raw counts was accomplished by removal of genes consisting mostly of virtually zero counts, filtering on counts per million (CPM) to avoid artefacts due to library depth. A row of the expression matrix was required to obtain values of no less than 0.1 in at least 3 samples. This corresponds to the smallest sample group as defined by Group, once any samples were removed.

Normalisation

Normalisation of the reads was achieved using the weighted trimmed mean of M-values method (Robinson & Oshlack, 2010) with 'TMM' as the method to the calNormFactors method of edgeR (version 3.16.5) (Robinson et al., 2010).

Analysis

Differential analysis

Differential expression of genes were calculated with the aid of edgeR (version 3.16.5) (Robinson et al., 2010) with the contrasts shown in Table 4.3. The default settings of edgeR was used to estimate the fold changes. Prior to fitting a model, a small read count in proportion to the library sized is added to avoid infinite fold changes in genes with zero or close to zero counts. The statistical assessment of differential expression was accomplished with the quasi-likelihood (QL) F-test using the contrast shown in Table 4.3.

Table 4.3 Contrasts specified for differential analysis

Group 1	Group 2
A_BW25113	A_RNM_2
A_BW25113	A_RNM_5
A_BW25113	A_RNM_18
A_BW25113	A_RNM_21
A_BW25113	A_RNM_22
A_BW25113	A_RNM_23
A_RNM_2	B_RNM_2
A_RNM_5	B_RNM_5
A_RNM_18	B_RNM_18
A_RNM_21	B_RNM_21
A_RNM_22	B_RNM_22
A RNM 23	B RNM 23

Notes: A – Prior to BMA addition, B – After BMA addition.

Venn diagrams

From the differential analysis, differentially expressed genes were sorted according to their fold changes with respect to reference strain or state. Genes with log-fold change of 1 and -1, were considered as up and down regulated genes, respectively provided that they have a p-value of <0.05 (Yung et al., 2016).

Gene list comparison

Gene lists were compared using the Multiple List Comparator tool from molbiotools.com.

Enrichment analysis

In order to facilitate functional characterization and understanding of how the differentially expressed genes relate to cellular process, function, component, and regulatory networks enrichment analysis were performed. Enrichment analysis for the differentially expressed genes belonging to the Gene Ontology (GO) (Ashburner et al., 2000; The Gene Ontology Consortium, 2018) terms biological process, molecular function, and cellular component were achieved with the Cytoscape plug-in ClueGo (version 2.5.4) (Bindea et al., 2009), while enrichment analysis for the differentially expressed genes belonging to regulatory networks were implemented in FunRich (version 2.1.1) (Pathan et al., 2015).

Heat maps

Heat maps were generated using the GENE-E (Broad Institute) to facilitate visualization of the changes in gene expression.

Genome sequence viewing

The complete genome sequencing results visualization was aided by the use of the Integrative Genomics Viewer from Broad Institute (Robinson et al., 2011).

4.2.8 Analytical Methods

4.2.8.1 UV-Vis Spectrophotometry

Growth of *E. coli* strains was monitored by measuring the absorbance of the culture at 600 nm (OD600) using a spectrophotometer (UV mini 1240, Shimadzu).

4.2.8.2 Gas Chromatography with Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS)

Butyl methacrylate, butyl acetate, butyl isobutyrate, and butyl isovalerate were detected and quantified using a gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890 A, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with an electron ionization source, an inert mass selective detector (MSD), a quadrupole mass analyser (Agilent 5975C Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), and an Agilent 19091s-433 column (30 m x 250 μ m x 0.25 μ m). Helium at flowrate of 1.1971

mL/min was used as a carrier gas. Samples (1µL) were injected using an automated liquid sampler with a split ratio of 10:1. The inlet temperature was set at 280°C. The temperature of the oven was initially set at 45 °C for 5 min and was increased to 300 °C at a rate of 20 °C/min. The final temperature was kept constant for 10 min. To improve the sensitivity for detection of the butyl esters, the most characteristic ions were monitored in selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode with a cutoff value of 50 m/z. Amount of the butyl esters was estimate from a standard calibration curve (Appendix Figures 11.12-11.15).

4.2.8.3 Agarose gel electrophoresis

The size and concentration of DNA fragments were estimated using the agarose gel electrophoresis with Quick-Load Purple 1 kb Plus DNA ladder as reference. A typical gel electrophoresis involved casting a melted agarose gel onto a cast with the desired well combs and the gel staining agent SYBR Safe (5-10 μ L). Once the gel has fully solidified, the well combs were carefully removed and the gel was transferred to the electrophoresis cell containing enough TAE buffer to fully soak the gel (350-400 mL). The DNA ladder (1.5-2.0 μ L) and samples (5-25 μ L) were loaded to the wells. A typical agarose gel electrophoresis run was at 90 V for 45-50 min (Powerpac, Bio-Rad, California, USA).

4.2.8.4 DNA sequencing

All of the DNA sequence were analysed through Sanger method of DNA sequencing (Sanger et al., 1977) by Eurofins Genomics (Ebersberg, Germany). The purified DNA sample (15 μ L) was combined with a primer (2 μ L) in a microcentrifuge tube (1.5 mL). Samples were sent *via* the TubeSeq Service of Eurofins Genomics.

Generation of BMA tolerant E. coli strains via adaptive evolution

5.1 Introduction

A previous work investigated the toxicity of BMA in *E. coli* using glass vials containing BMA (Disley, 2018). In the first 24 h, no growth was observed in the vial containing 20% v/v BMA. Surprisingly, growth was observed after the culture was left in the incubator for an additional 48 h. This led to the isolation of strains with the ability to grow in a glass vial containing BMA (20% v/v). However, these strains were unable to grow in a well-mixed environment containing BMA (20% v/v) (Personal communication from Ingenza Ltd. and Lucite International). Thus, a more robust strain has to be developed. In order to generate more robust strains, adaptive evolution (ADE) through sequential batch cultures and continuous cultures was used as an initial approach.

5.2 Effect of BMA concentration of cell growth

In order to get a rough idea on the *E. coli* tolerance for BMA and BMA concentrations that can be used to initiate the adaptive evolution experiments, the effect of BMA at various concentrations on the growth of *E. coli* was investigated. This was done by adding exogenous BMA to the growth medium at increasing concentrations from 0.01% to 20% v/v (Fig. 5.1). Growth of *E. coli* with 0.01% and 0.05% v/v BMA was very similar to its growth in the absence of BMA, but with a slight decline in its maximum growth rate (Fig. 5.1 and Table 5.1). As the BMA concentration was increased further to 0.1% v/v a decline in cell density followed by growth after a long lag (18 h) was observed (Fig. 5.1 and Table 5.1), which suggests that BMA inhibits the growth of *E. coli* at 0.1% v/v or higher. As the exogenous BMA concentration was raised further to 0.5-20% v/v (Fig. 5.1), cell density declined and no growth was observed after 36 h of incubation. This suggests that *E. coli* growth was completely inhibited with BMA at 0.5-20% v/v.



Figure 5.1 Effect of BMA concentration on the growth of *E. coli*. Growth of *E. coli* in M9 minimal medium (50 mL) containing BMA at 0% (\blacksquare), 0.01% (\blacklozenge), 0.05% (\blacktriangle), 0.1% (\bullet), 0.5% (x), 1.0% (+), 5.0% (-), 10% (\square), and 20% (\diamondsuit) v/v in conical flasks (250 mL) at 37°C and 200 RPM.

BMA content	Max cell density	Growth rate	Lag phase (h)
(% v/v)	(OD at 600nm)	(/h)	
0	3.303 ± 0.149	0.783 ± 0.016	1
0.01	3.173 ± 0.055	0.758 ± 0.001	1
0.05	3.377 ± 0.257	0.724 ± 0.009	1
0.1	3.441 ± 0.187	0.725 ± 0.003	18

Table 5.1 Growth kinetic parameters of E. coli at various BMA concentrations

5.3 Adaptive evolution

5.3.1 Adaptive evolution in sequential batch cultures

Two short ADE experiments (ADE-1 and ADE-2) were used as an initial attempt to generate *E. coli* strains with tolerance for BMA at 20% v/v. In ADE-1 (Fig. 5.2), three separate cultures were grown in parallel. The cultures were transferred sequentially in parallel, while the BMA concentration was increased after each sequential transfer. ADE-2 was completed in a similar manner with ADE-1, except that the culture with highest cell density was used as starting culture for the subsequent cultures (Fig. 5.3). In both cases (ADE-1 and ADE- 2), cell growth was observed for each of the sequential cultures at all BMA concentrations (Figs. 5.2 and 5.3).



Figure 5.2 ADE-1. Adaptive evolution in serial batch cultures with sequential increases in BMA concentration. *E. coli* was grown in M9 minimal medium (10 mL) with BMA at 37°C and 200 RPM in 3 parallel FALCON® tubes (50 mL); tube 1 (Solid Line), tube 2 (dotted line), and tube 3 (dashed line). BMA concentration was increased in each sequential transfer at 0.1% BMA (x), 0.5% BMA (\blacksquare), 1 % BMA (\blacklozenge), 5 % (\blacktriangle), 10% (\blacklozenge), and 20% (+) using each separate tube as seed culture for subsequent transfer.



Figure 5.3 ADE-2. Adaptive evolution in serial batch cultures with sequential increases in BMA concentration. *E. coli* was grown in M9 minimal medium (10 mL) with BMA at 37°C and 200 RPM in 3 parallel FALCON® tubes (50 mL); tube 1 (Solid Line), tube 2 (dotted line), and tube 3 (dashed line). BMA concentration was increased in each sequential transfer at 0.1% BMA (x), 0.5% BMA (\blacksquare), 1 % BMA (\blacklozenge), 5 % (\blacktriangle), 10% (\blacklozenge), and 20% (+) using culture with highest cell density as starting culture for each of the tubes.

In an attempt to generate BMA-tolerant *E. coli* strains with better fitness and more diversity, a longer evolution experiment (ADE-3) was performed (Dragosits & Mattanovich, 2013). ADE-3 (Fig. 5.4) was done in a similar way as ADE-1 (Fig. 5.2), but with 5 serial transfers at the same BMA concentration before subsequent culture to a medium with higher BMA content. The increase in the number of sequential transfers corresponds to a greater number of generations for evolution, which could facilitate selection and enrichment of fitter BMA tolerant strains (Atwood et al., 1951; Barrick et al., 2009; Dragosits & Mattanovich, 2013; Elena & Lenski, 2003). As with the short evolution experiments (ADE-1 and ADE-2), cell growth was observed in ADE-3 for every serial transfer at all BMA concentrations tested (Fig. 5.4).



Figure 5.4 ADE-3. Adaptive evolution in serial batch cultures with 5 sequential transfers at each BMA concentration prior to increase. *E. coli* was grown in M9 minimal medium (10 mL) with BMA at 37°C and 200 RPM in 3 parallel FALCON® tubes (50 mL); tube 1 (Solid Line), tube 2 (dotted line), and tube 3 (dashed line). BMA concentration was gradually increased to 0.1% BMA (x), 0.5% BMA (\blacksquare), 1 % BMA (\blacklozenge), 5 % (\blacktriangle), 10% (\bullet), and 20% (+) using each separate tube as seed culture for subsequent transfer.

Another long term evolution experiment for the generation of BMA tolerant was performed (ADE-4). It was initially used to test whether or not a culture previously grown with 0.1% v/v BMA will grow well when subcultured to a medium containing 10% v/v BMA (Fig. 5.5). Considerable growth was observed after 24 h, which suggests that the previous culture may have contained strains that could tolerate BMA at 10% v/v or strains that were able to evolve and obtain tolerance for 10% v/v BMA (Cairns & Foster, 1991; Foster, 1993; Luria & Delbrück, 1943; Rosenberg, 2001). After another sequential transfer to a medium with 10% v/v BMA, the culture reached a higher cell density after 24 h than the previous culture. The experiment was further continued to investigate whether or not a superior BMA tolerant strain can be generated from an extended evolution in the presence of 20% v/v BMA with the use of the culture with the highest cell density as inoculum for the subsequent culture (Barrick et al., 2009; Blount et al., 2008; Dragosits & Mattanovich, 2013; Elena & Lenski,

2003). This was accomplished by transferring the culture grown at 10% v/v BMA for forty five sequential transfers in a medium containing 20% v/v BMA (Fig. 5.5).



Figure 5.5 ADE-4. Adaptive evolution in serial batch cultures with 1, 2, and 45 sequential transfers at 0.1%, 10%, and 20% v/v BMA, respectively. *E. coli* cultures were grown in M9 minimal medium (10 mL) with BMA at 37°C and 200 RPM in 3 parallel FALCON® tubes (50 mL); tube 1 (Solid Line), tube 2 (dotted line), and tube 3 (dashed line). BMA concentration was increased from 0.1% BMA (x) to 10% BMA (\blacksquare) and 20% BMA (\blacklozenge) using culture with highest cell density as starting culture for each of the tubes.

5.3.2 Adaptive evolution in continuous cultures

An evolution experiment was also performed in a stirred bioreactor (Fig. 2.1) in a continuous culture (ADE-5) to generate more BMA tolerant *E. coli* strains and potentially expand their diversity. The use of a stirred bioreactor would allow increased dispersion of BMA throughout the culture and be more comparable to an actual industrial bioprocess as compared to the set-up used for evolution in sequential batch transfers (Kadic & Heindel, 2014). A chemostat culture, one of the most widely used mode of continuous culture for adaptive evolution (Dragosits & Mattanovich, 2013; Manch et al., 1999; Notley-McRobb & Ferenci, 1999; Reyes et al., 2012; Weikert et al., 1997), was applied to generate *E. coli* strains with tolerance for BMA at 20% v/v.



Figure 5.6 ADE-5. Adaptive evolution and selection of BMA tolerant E. coli in a chemostat. A Chemostat culture of *E. coli* in a mini-bioreactor (55 mL working volume) was grown in M9 minimal medium containing 1 g/L glucose at 37°C and aeration rate of ~ 0.3 L/h with BMA concentration (\blacklozenge) gradually increased from 0 to 20% v/v and dilution rate (\blacksquare) varied from 0.33 to 0.55 /h. Cell density (\bullet) was reported as OD at 600 nm. Samples for strain isolation were taken at various points (\circ).

ADE-5 was established at a starting dilution rate of 0.33 /h (Fig. 5.6). The BMA concentration was gradually increased in a step-wise manner from 0 to 20% v/v under a constant dilution rate. A stable cell density was achieved from 0 to 5% BMA, but the cell density declined with further increases in BMA concentration to 10% and 20% v/v. A dip in cell density occured as the BMA concentration was increased but recovered within 24-36 h. The occasional dip in cell density observed after increase in BMA concentration is likely due to inhibition of BMA at the increased concentration to cell growth, which could have facilitated selection of strains with the ability to tolerate BMA at the exposure concentration and grow at a rate equal to or greater than that of the dilution rate (Dragosits & Mattanovich, 2013; Dykhuizen & Hartl, 1983; Harder et al., 1977).

After a stable cell density was attained at 20% v/v BMA, the dilution rate of the chemostat culture was increased between 0.33 and 0.55 /h to select for fast growing strains (Dykhuizen & Hartl, 1983; Harder et al., 1977; Weikert et al.,

1997). A huge decline in cell density was observed with every increase in dilution rate until 0.46 /h, but recovered within 12-36 h (Fig. 5.7). However, once the dilution was further elevated to 0.55 /h, the culture washed out and did not recover even after 36h, so the feed media and BMA flow into the bioreactor were stopped to allow recovery.

Once the cell density recovered to the previous level, the medium and BMA feed was started again (dilution rate 0.55 /h). However, the culture washed out again. Once more, the cells were allowed to grow and recover to its previous cell density. The dilution rate was adjusted to 0.44 /h and 0.46 /h before the evolution experiment was halted. The chemostat culture was stopped due to a recurring blockage at the effluent side arm, which was likely caused by the polymerized BMA. Nevertheless, it is apparent that the critical dilution rate of the evolved population grown with 20% v/v BMA is between 0.46 and 0.55 /h (Dykhuizen & Hartl, 1983; Harder et al., 1977). BMA tolerant *E. coli* strains from the selected population were isolated from samples taken at the various dilution rates tested for further characterization.

5.4 Growth characterization of BMA tolerant strains

Individual colonies were isolated by plating onto LB plates an aliquot sample from each of the ADE experiments and from a flask culture with 20% v/v BMA from preliminary growth tests for the parental strain where growth was observed (not shown). Single colonies were picked from the plates and stored for further characterization. The individual BMA tolerant isolates were grown in the presence of 20% v/v BMA to assess the growth characteristics of each strain and allow comparison of fitness under BMA stress. Growth curves (Appendix Figures 11.3, 11.4, and 11.5) were used to estimate the growth characteristics of each strain (Table 5.2) (Kovárová-Kovar & Egli, 1998).

_	Max Cell	_	Lag	_
Strain;	Density	Growth Rate	time	Source
% BMA (v/v)	(OD at 600	(/h)	(h)	
	nm)			
Wild Type; 0	3.793 ± 0.561	0.728 ± 0.011	2	(Grenier et al., 2014)
LM-2 ; 20	0.883 ± 0.006	0.578 ± 0.050	4	(Disley, 2018)
RNM-2 ; 20	1.720 ± 0.137	0.532 ± 0.028	6	F.C.
RNM-3 ; 20	2.087 ± 0.200	0.566 ± 0.010	4	ADE-1
RNM-5 ; 20	1.576 ± 0.255	0.625 ± 0.052	5	ADE-3
RNM-6 ; 20	1.553 ± 0.076	0.620 ± 0.029	4	ADE-3
RNM-7 ; 20	1.500 ± 0.132	0.613 ± 0.033	4	ADE-3
RNM18 ; 20	2.733 ± 0.091	0.709 ± 0.015	5	ADE-4
RNM-8 ; 20	1.150 ± 0.026	0.712 ± 0.015	5	ADE-5
RNM-19 ; 20	1.727 ± 0.060	0.701 ± 0.007	5	ADE-5
RNM-20 ; 20	1.557 ± 0.031	0.719 ± 0.041	5	ADE-5
RNM-21 ; 20	1.157 ± 0.057	0.703 ± 0.030	5	ADE-5
RNM-22 ; 20	1.382 ± 0.066	0.701 ± 0.015	4	ADE-5
RNM-23 ; 20	1.396 ± 0.061	0.722 ± 0.019	4	ADE-5

Table 5.2 Growth kinetic parameters of BMA tolerant strains from various ADE experiments

Note: F.C. – a flask culture of *E. coli* BW25113 with 20% v/v BMA

Preliminary flask culture experiments with 20% BMA yielded a number of tolerant strains. Of these, strain RNM-2 was the best growing strain and was able to grow in the presence of 20% BMA but with lower cell density, lower growth rate, and longer lag time as compared to the parental strain grown without BMA (Table 5.2). The BMA tolerant strains, RNM-3 and RNM-4, isolated from ADE-2 had similar growth rates as RNM-2, but achieved higher cell densities and shorter lag times (Table 5.2). Strains RNM-5, RNM-6, and RNM-7 were isolated from ADE-3, with the longer evolution period as compared to the ADE-2 isolates, and exhibited a comparable cell density to RNM-2. The isolates from ADE-3 were able to grow with similar lag times but with superior growth rates as compared to RNM-2 and the strains isolated from ADE-2.

The BMA tolerant strain taken from ADE-4, which was the longest evolution experiment (Fig. 5.5), was able to achieve the highest cell density and maximum growth rates among the batch culture-ADE isolates. This suggests that longer ADE may have facilitated further evolution, selection, and enrichment of superior BMA tolerant strains (Barrick et al., 2009; Blount et al., 2008; Dragosits & Mattanovich, 2013; Elena & Lenski, 2003). Isolates from the chemostat evolution (ADE-5) grown with 20% v/v BMA were able to achieve very high growth rates, which were comparable to the parental strain grown in

the absence of BMA. However, these strains attained lesser cell densities as compared to the parental strain grown in the absence of BMA and the isolates from ADE-1 and ADE-4 (Table 5.2).

In comparison to the BMA tolerant *E. coli* strain isolated from a prior study (Disley, 2018), the BMA tolerant strains generated in this study *via* adaptive evolution were all able to reach a higher cell densities when grown in the presence of BMA (20% v/v). Growth rate-wise, the strains generated from ADE-4 and ADE-5 (chemostat culture) were superior.

In general, the BMA tolerant strains grown in the presence of 20% v/v BMA had longer lag times, lower cell densities, and growth rates lower or comparable to the parental strain grown without BMA (Table 5.2). Some of the isolates had very similar growth patterns and kinetic parameters, which could be due to isolation of an exactly the same strains, strains with similar changes/mutations, or completely different strains just growing in a similar manner (Atsumi et al., 2010; Barrick et al., 2009; Elena & Lenski, 2003; Lennen et al., 2019; Minty et al., 2011). The contrasting attributes of the BMA tolerant strains likely resulted from the difference in modes of evolution, selection, and enrichment (Dragosits & Mattanovich, 2013). In the sequential batch culture ADE, cells were allowed to grow until stationary phase prior to sequential transfer and isolation. Thus, likely to give a higher probability of selecting tolerant strains with ability to achieve higher cell densities. On the other hand, ADE in chemostat culture provided a selection based on growth rate, allowing cells with maximum growth rates equal to or higher than the dilution rate to remain in the culture (Dragosits & Mattanovich, 2013; Dykhuizen & Hartl, 1983; Fraleigh et al., 1989; Harder et al., 1977).

5.5 Genomic DNA sequencing

In order to get an idea of the genetic basis of the enhanced tolerance for BMA in the isolated strains and potentially clues on the mechanism of BMA tolerance, the genomic DNA sequence of the BMA tolerant strains generated from the ADE experiments were analysed and compared to the parental strain *E. coli* BW25113 (Tables 5.3). Each of the BMA tolerant strains had at least two alterations in its genomic DNA sequence, confirming that all had acquired

mutations during the adaptive evolution process (Atsumi et al., 2010; Barrick & Lenski, 2013; Dragosits & Mattanovich, 2013; Foster, 1993; Gordo & Sousa, 2010; Luria & Delbrück, 1943; Minty et al., 2011; Rosenberg, 2001; Royce et al., 2013a). The mutations acquired include point mutations, indels (insertions/deletions), and deletions that caused silent, missense, frameshift, and nonsense mutations in the affected genes (Griffiths et al., 1999). Except for the indels, the effect on the amino acid sequence of the proteins coded by the affected genes on the mutations acquired were also determined using a DNA to the amino acid sequence translation tool ExPASy (Artimo et al., 2012) (Appendix Tables 11.4 and 11.5).

Strain	Mutations acquired	Source
RNM-2	acrR(E91fs)	F.C.
	<i>ygbK</i> (A294E) <i>lpxM</i> (267_272 del) <i>ompT</i> (indels)	
RNM-3	acrR(E91fs)	ADE-1
	acrB(V448L) icd(398Dfs) Δ ymfD Δ ymfE Δ lit Δ intE	
	Δ xisE Δ ymf I Δ ymfJ Δ cohE Δ croE Δ ymfL Δ ymfM	
	ΔoweE ΔaaaE ΔymfR ΔbeeE ΔjayE ΔymfQ ΔstfP	
	$\Delta tfaP \Delta tfaE \Delta stfE \Delta pinE \Delta mcrA yhdE(indels)$	
RNM-5	<i>acrR</i> (N214fs) <i>soxR</i> (L139X) <i>mscK</i> (indels)	ADE-3
	580116(G>T)	
RNM-6	acrR(Y77fs) soxR(A146del)	ADE-3
RNM-7	acrR(E91fs) rob(A70V) ompT(indels)	ADE-3
RNM-18	acrR(A191fs)	ADE-4
	dnaK(V377G) 927777(C>T) cra(I270fs) clsA(A448fs)	
	<i>rpoC</i> (212_217 del)	
	ompX(indels) atpl(indels) ΔpsuT ΔpsuG ΔpsuK	
	ΔfruA ΔfruK ΔfruB ΔsetB	
RNM-8	<i>acrR</i> (K53fs)	ADE-5
RNM-19	acrR(L34)	ADE-5
	<i>acrB</i> (T379I) <i>yieL</i> (indels) <i>mioC</i> (indels)	
RNM-20	acrR(L34)	ADE-5
	<i>yhiN</i> (indels) <i>pitA</i> (indels)	
RNM-21	acrR(L34) marR(V84G) rpoC(R1075C) rpoC(A787V)	RNM-21
	ompR(R15S) acrB(V901I) ΔyhhJ ΔrbbA Δyhil ΔyhiJ	
	ΔyhiL ΔyhiM ΔyhiN ΔpitA	
RNM-22	acrR(K53Yfs) rob(R156H) rpoB(T1037P)	ADE-5
	groL(P279L) torY(A87T) 1197659(C>A)	
RNM-23	acrR(E74fs) soxR(R20L) rpoC(R1075C) torY(A87T)	ADE-5
	2133236(T>A) 3915915(T>G)	

Table 5.3 List of mutations acquired b	by the BMA tolerant strains
--	-----------------------------

Note: F.C. - a flask culture of E. coli BW25113 with 20% v/v BMA

5.5.1 Mutations in strain RNM-2

Strain RNM-2 acquired point mutations in *rob*, *rpoC*, *ilvN*, and *ygbK*, an insertion mutation in *acrR*, an 18 bp deletion in *lpxM*, and an indel in *ompT* (Table 5.3 and Appendix Tables 11.3, and 11.4). The point mutations observed in *rob*, *rpoC*, *ilvN*, and *ygbK* caused a single amino acid change at residue 156 (Arg \rightarrow His), 361 (Leu \rightarrow Arg), 41 (Cys \rightarrow Tyr), and 294 (Ala \rightarrow Glu) for Rob, RpoC, IlvN, and YgbK, respectively. The insertion mutation in *acrR* led to a change in amino acid sequence starting residue 91 and resulted in truncation of the protein at residue 101, instead of residue 215. The deletion mutation in *lpxM* caused removal of amino acid residues 267-272 but left the remaining amino acid sequence the same as the WT protein (Appendix Tables 11.3 and 11.4).

5.5.2 Mutations in strain RNM-3

In strain RNM-3, point mutations in *rob, ilvN, phoP*, and *acrB*, an insertion mutation in *acrR*, an 15,096 bp deletion that affected the genes *icd, ymfD, lit, intE, ymfl, cohE, ymfL, aaaE, beeE, ymfQ, tfaP, stfE, pinE*, and *mcrA*, and an indel mutation in *yhdE* were observed. The point mutations in *rob, ilvN, phoP,* and *acrB*, caused a single amino acid change at residue 156 (Arg \rightarrow His), 41 (Cys \rightarrow Tyr), 11 (Leu \rightarrow Phe), and 448 (Val \rightarrow Leu) for Rob, IlvN, PhoP, and AcrB, respectively. Insertion mutation in *acrR* caused a change in amino acid sequence starting residue 91 and resulted in truncation of the protein at residue 101, instead of residue 215. On the other hand, the 15,096 bp deletion affected the last 58 bp of icd and caused the removal of the entire gene of *ymfD, lit, intE, ymfl, cohE, ymfL, aaaE, beeE, ymfQ, tfaP, stfE, pinE,* and *mcrA* (Table 5.3 and Appendix Tables 11.3 and 11.4).

5.5.3 Mutations in strain RNM-5

Strain RNM-5 gained a point mutation in *soxR*, an insertion mutation in *acrR*, an indel in *mscK*, and a nucleotide base change at the intergenic region in the position 580116 (G \rightarrow T) (Grenier et al., 2014). The point mutation in *soxR* caused a change in a single amino acid at residue 139 (Val \rightarrow Leu), while the insertion mutation in *acrR* caused a change in amino acid starting residue 214 and increased the length of AcrR to 249 residues. The change in nucleotide base at position 580116 affected the intergenic region 230 bp downstream and

19 bp downstream of *appY* and *ompT*, respectively (Table 5.3 and Appendix Tables 11.3, 11.4, and 11.5).

5.5.4 Mutations in strain RNM-6

A 3 bp deletion mutation in *soxR* and an insertion mutation in *acrR* were observed in strain RNM-6. The 3 bp deletion in *soxR* resulted in the removal of residue 146 (Ala) without changing the succeeding sequence. On the other hand, the insertion mutation in *acrR* caused a change in amino acid sequence starting residue 77 that resulted in a truncation at residue 84 for resulting protein (Table 5.3 and Appendix Tables 11.3 and 11.4).

5.5.5 Mutations in strain RNM-7

The strain RNM-7 acquired a point mutation in *rob*, an insertion mutation in *acrR*, and an indel mutation in *ompT*. The point mutation in *rob* caused a change in a single amino acid at residue 70 (Ala \rightarrow Val) for Rob, whilst the insertion mutation in *acrR* caused a change in amino acid sequence starting residue 91 that resulted in the truncation of the resulting protein at residue 96 (Table 5.3 and Appendix Tables 11.3 and 11.4).

5.5.6 Mutations in strain RNM-18

Strain RNM-18 obtained point mutations in *rob, creA, yohJ, dnaK, opgH*, and *cpxA*, 1 bp deletion mutations in *acrR, cra, clsA*, an 18 bp deletion in *rpoC*, and indels in *ompX* and *atpl*. It also acquired an 8136 bp deletion mutation that affected the genes *psuT, psuG, psuK, fruA, fruK, fruB*, and *setB* and a nucleotide change in the DNA sequence at position 92777 (C \rightarrow T). The point mutations in *rob, yohJ, dnaK, opgH*, and *cpxA* caused a single amino acid change at residue 70 (Val \rightarrow Thr), 109 (Leu \rightarrow Arg), 377 (Val \rightarrow Gly), 95 (Arg \rightarrow Pro), and 177 (Pro \rightarrow Gln) for Rob, YohJ, DnaK, OpgH, and CpxA, respectively. In the case of the point mutation in *creA*, it did not cause a change in the amino acid residue for the affected region. The 1 bp deletion mutations in *acrR, cra,* and *clsA* led to a change in amino acid sequence starting residue 319, and a change in amino acid sequence starting at residue 270 and truncation at residue 319, and a change in amino acid sequence starting residue 448 and truncates at residue 465 for

AcrR, Cra, and ClsA, respectively. On the other hand, the 18 bp deletion in *rpoC* caused the removal amino acid residues at 211-217, while keeping the subsequent amino acid sequence unchanged. The 8,136 bp deletion caused the removal of the first 446 bp of *psuT* and deletion of the entire gene of *psuG*, *psuK*, *fruA*, *fruK*, *fruB*, and *setB*. The change in nucleotide base at position 927777 (C \rightarrow T) affected the intergenic region 271 bp upstream and 274 bp upstream of *lrp* and *trxB*, respectively (Table 5.3 and Appendix Tables 11.3, 11.4, and 11.5).

5.5.7 Mutations in strain RNM-8

Strain RNM-8 acquired point mutations in *rob*, *rpoB*, and *torY* as well as an 11 bp deletion in *acrR*. The point mutations in *rob*, *rpoB*, and *torY* led to a change in a single amino acid at residue 156 (Arg \rightarrow His), 1037 (Thr \rightarrow Pro), and 87 (Ala \rightarrow Thr) for Rob, RpoB, and TorY, respectively. On the other hand, the 11 bp deletion in *acrR* caused a change in the amino acid sequence starting at residue 53 and increase in amino acid residues from 215 to 244 for AcrR (Table 5.3 and Appendix Tables 11.3 and 11.4).

5.5.8 Mutations in strain RNM-19

Strain RNM-19 had point mutations in *marR*, *rpoC*, *ompR*, and *acrB*, an insertion mutation in *acrR*, and indels in *yieL* and *mioC*. The point mutations in *marR*, *rpoC*, *ompR*, and *acrB* caused a change in a single amino acid at residue 84 (Val \rightarrow Gly), 1075 (Arg \rightarrow Cys), 15 (Arg \rightarrow Ser), and 379 (Thr \rightarrow Ile) for MarR, RpoC, OmpR and AcrB, respectively. On the other hand, the insertion mutation in *acrR* caused the truncation for the resulting protein at residue 34 (Table 5.3 and Appendix Tables 11.3 and 11.4).

5.5.9 Mutations in strain RNM-20

Strain RNM-20 had point mutations in *marR*, *rpoC*, and *ompR*, an insertion mutation in *acrR*, and indels in *yieL*, *mioC*, *pitA*, and *stfP*. The point mutations in *marR*, *rpoC*, and *ompR*, caused a change in a single amino acid at residue 84 (Val \rightarrow Gly), 1075 (Arg \rightarrow Cys), and 15 (Arg \rightarrow Ser), for MarR, RpoC, and OmpR, respectively. On the other hand, the insertion mutation in *acrR* caused

the truncation for the resulting protein at residue 34 (Table 5.3 and Appendix Tables 11.3 and 11.4).

5.5.10 Mutations in strain RNM-21

Strain RNM-20 acquired point mutations in *marR*, *ompR*, and *acrB*, two point mutations in *rpoC*, an insertion mutation in *acrR*, and a 15,894 bp deletion that affected *yhJ*, *rbbA*, *yhiI*, *yhiJ*, *yhiL*, *yhiM*, *yhiN*, and *pitA*. The point mutations in *marR*, and *ompR*, and *acrB* caused a change in a single amino acid at residue 84 (Val \rightarrow Gly), 15 (Arg \rightarrow Ser), and 901 (Val \rightarrow IIe) for MarR, OmpR, and AcrB, respectively. On the other hand, the point mutations in *rpoC* caused changes in amino acid at residues 787 (Ala \rightarrow Val) and 1075 (Arg \rightarrow Cys). The insertion mutation in *acrR* caused the truncation for the resulting protein at residue 34. In the case of the 15,894 bp deletion, it resulted in the removal of the entire gene of *yhJ*, *rbbA*, *yhiI*, *yhiJ*, *yhiL*, *yhiM*, *yhiN*, and *pitA* (Table 5.3 and Appendix Tables 11.3 and 11.4).

5.5.11 Mutations in strain RNM-22

Strain RNM-22 gained point mutations in *rob*, *rpoB*, and *groL*, and *torY*, an 11 bp deletion mutation in *acrR*, and a nucleotide change in the DNA sequence at position 1197659. The point mutations in *rob*, *rpoB*, *groL*, and *torY* caused a change in a single amino acid at residue 156 (Arg \rightarrow His), 1037 (Thr \rightarrow Pro), 279 (Pro \rightarrow Leu), and 87 (Ala \rightarrow Thr) for Rob, RpoB, GroL, and TorY, respectively. The 11 bp deletion mutation in *acrR* caused a change in the amino acid sequence starting at residue 53 and increase in amino acid residues from 215 to 244 for AcrR. The change in nucleotide base at position 1197659 (C \rightarrow A) affected the intergenic region 45 bp downtream and 120 bp upstream of *asmA* and *yegH*, respectively (Table 5.3 and Appendix Tables 11.3, 11.4, and 11.5).

5.5.12 Mutations in strain RNM-23

Strain RNM-23 acquired point mutations in *soxR*, *rpoC*, and *torY*, an insertion mutation in *acrR*, and a nucleotide change in the DNA sequence at positions 2133236 and 3915915. The point mutations in *soxR*, *rpoC*, and *torY*, caused a change in a single amino acid at residue 20 (Arg \rightarrow Leu), 1075 (Arg \rightarrow Cys), and 87 (Ala \rightarrow Thr), for SoxR, RpoC, and TorY, respectively. On the other hand, the

insertion mutation in *acrR* caused a change in the amino acid sequence starting at residue 74 and truncation at residue 84 for the resulting protein. The changes in nucleotide base at position 2133236 (T \rightarrow A) and 3915915 (T \rightarrow G) affected the intergenic region 4 bp downtream and 270 bp upstream of *asmA* and *yegH* and 115 bp upstream of *atpl* and 502 bp downstream of *rsmG*, respectively (Table 5.3 and Appendix Tables 11.3, 11.4, and 11.5).

5.6 Correlation of mutations to growth in BMA

It can be observed that each of the BMA tolerant strains carry a mutation in *acrR* along with a mutation in *marR*, *soxR*, or *rob*, which suggests that the MarA-SoxS-Rob regulatory network could play a vital role for growth in the presence of BMA (Grkovic et al., 2002; Grkovic et al., 2001). In addition, each of the BMA tolerant strain grown in the presence of BMA (20% v/v) that has maximum growth rate of <0.70 /h acquired a mutation in either *rpoB* or *rpoC*. This suggests the potential role of the *rpoB* and *rpoC* mutation for the observed high growth rate for the strains isolated from the chemostat culture and strain RNM-18 (Tables 5.2 and 5.3).

5.7 Conclusions

ADE using sequential batch and chemostat cultures was successfully applied to generate various *E. coli* strains with improved growth in the presence of BMA up to 20% v/v. Selection and enrichment of strains with the ability to grow at a relatively high cell density and high rate in the presence of BMA (20% v/v) were achieved with ADE through sequential batch and chemostat cultures, respectively. The resequencing of the BMA tolerant strains generated *via* ADE revealed that various mutations were acquired along the evolution process. Presence of an *acrR* mutation along with either a *marR*, *soxR*, and *rob* mutation indicate the involvement of the MarA-SoxS-Rob regulatory network in BMA tolerance, possibly through the AcrAB-ToIC efflux system.

Transcriptomics Analysis

6.1 Introduction

In Chapter 5, the generation of *Escherichia coli* strains with tolerance for BMA at 20% v/v via adaptive evolution (ADE) in sequential batch and chemostat cultures was done. The changes in genome sequence of selected BMA tolerant strains was also determined to obtain an insight into the molecular basis of the adaptive mutations acquired from the evolution process (Atsumi et al., 2010; Lang & Desai, 2014; Lennen et al., 2019; Minty et al., 2011; Nishant et al., 2009). Although genome resequencing demonstrated the genetic basis of evolution and, in part, the potential mechanism of BMA tolerance, it is not sufficient to unravel the complexity of tolerance mechanisms for BMA in E. coli (Ge et al., 2013; Horinouchi et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010). It will also be necessary to get an idea of how the adaptive mutations affected various cellular processes (Cao et al., 2017). This can be obtained from the changes in gene/protein expression and abundance of cellular metabolic products (Ge et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2010). The changes can be quantitatively measured and functionally characterized with the aid of the emerging 'omic technologies, such as transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics (Ge et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2010).

Transcriptomics allows the analysis of the changes in gene expression from the strain of interest relative to the parental strain or reference condition; wherein, the whole set of mRNA (transcripts) generated by the cells under specific environmental conditions and biological state are measured (Aslam et al., 2017; Hoeijmakers et al., 2013; Lowe et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2010). Proteomics and metabolomics are very similar to transcriptomics. Instead of mRNA, proteins (proteome) and cellular metabolic products (metabolome) are extracted, measured, and characterized for proteomics and metabolomics, respectively (Aslam et al., 2017; Graves & Haystead, 2002; Guo et al., 2013; Hill et al., 2015; Krastanov, 2010; Zhang et al., 2010). Ideally, a combination of the 'omic tools are used and integrated to gain a global overview of the cellular state and response for bioproduct tolerance (Manzoni et al., 2016;

Zhang et al., 2010). However, utilization of all the 'omic tools rarely happens. This can be partly attributed to the cost of analysis and the amount of data generated and processed (Zhang et al., 2010).

Both proteomics and metabolomics suffer coverage/quantification difficulties. The lack of protein amplification methods, difficulties in isolation of membrane proteins, detection of low abundance proteins and insoluble proteins are among the issues faced in proteomics. Similarly, only 15-30% of the entire mass spectra for metabolites can be identified and quantified. Thus, the amount and usefulness of information is limited. In contrast, transcriptomics benefit from the advancements of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies that enables amplification, sequencing, and identification of the transcripts (Misra et al., 2019). Due to the maturity and reliability of the RNA sequencing technology, transcriptomics analysis is used in this chapter to gain further understanding on the possible mechanism of BMA tolerance by the BMA tolerant *E. coli* strains generated from ADE (Chapter 5).

6.2 RNA sequencing

Six out of the 12 unique BMA tolerant strains generated from ADE (Chapter 5) were chosen based on the variety of acquired mutations and growth characteristics (Table 6.1) and analysed for the changes in their transcriptomes. In order to understand how the mutations altered the gene expression of the evolved strains, transcripts from the strains grown in minimal medium from OD600 0.05 to 0.3 were analysed and compared to the parental strain (Cao et al., 2017). The cultures grown until OD600 of 0.3 were added with BMA (20% v/v) and the changes in their transcriptomes 1 h after BMA addition was determined to get an overview of the BMA tolerant *E. coli* strains' response under BMA stress (Yung et al., 2016). Both approaches may help elucidate the underlying mechanisms of BMA tolerance for the evolved strains (Cao et al., 2017; Yung et al., 2016).

Strain number	Genotype	Source
RNM-2	acrR(E91fs) rob(R156H) rpoC(L361R) ilvN(C41Y) ygbK(A294E) lpxM(267_272 del) ompT(indels)	Flask culture
RNM-5	<pre>soxR(Leu139X) acrR(indels) mscK(indels) 580116(G>T)</pre>	ADE-2 (Batch)
RNM-18	rob(A70T) creA(V85V) yohJ(L109R) dnaK(V377G) 927777(C>T) cra(I270fs) acrR(A191fs) clsA(A448fs) rpoC(K215fs) opgH(R95P) cpxA(P177Q) ompX(indels) atpl(indels) $\Delta psuT \Delta psuG \Delta psuK \Delta fruA$ $\Delta fruK \Delta fruB \Delta setB$	ADE-4 (Batch)
RNM-21	acrR(L34) marR(V84G) rpoC(R1075C) rpoC(A787V) ompR(R15S) acrB(V901I) ΔyhhJ ΔrbbA Δyhil ΔyhiJ ΔyhiL ΔyhiM ΔyhiN ΔpitA	ADE-5 (Chemostat)
RNM-22	acrR(K53Yfs) rob(R156H) rpoB(T1037P) groL(P279L) torY(A87T) 1197659(C>A)	ADE-5 (Chemostat)
RNM-23	acrR(E74fs) soxR(R20L) rpoC(R1075C) torY(A87T) 2133236(T>A) 3915915(T>G)	ADE-5 (Chemostat)

Table 6.1 Summary of strains used for the transcriptomics analysis

6.3 Differentially expressed genes

The RNA sequencing results was reported as read counts, while the relative abundance to a particular strain or condition was reported as fold changes or log_2 fold change (logFC). A differentially upregulated gene with a logFC value of ≤ 1.0 and *p*-value of < 0.05 was classified as differentially upregulated gene, while a gene with value of ≥ -1.0 and *p*-value of < 0.05 was classified as differentially downregulated gene (Yung et al., 2016). These logFC values chosen correspond to at least a 2-fold change in the gene mRNA relative to the reference strain or condition. The mutations gained by the BMA tolerant strains resulted in the differential expression of hundreds to thousands of genes with respect to the parental strain (Table 6.2 and Appendix Table 11.6).

Excluding RNM-2, there seems to be a correlation between the number of mutations/affected genes and the number of differentially expressed genes with respect to the parental strain (Tables 6.1 and 6.2). This pattern can be observed with the RNM-18 and RNM-21, which had the highest number of affected genes (14-20) and highest number of differentially expressed genes (1017-1107). This was followed by RNM-22 and 23, which had 6 mutations each and 827-863 differentially expressed genes. Finally, RNM-5, which only acquired 4

mutations, had the lowest number of differentially expressed genes at 181. By contrast, RNM-2 had 7 affected genes, but the number of differentially expressed genes only totalled 192. It is possible that most of the mutations acquired by RNM-2 only had a subtle effect on gene expression and/or that the overall effect from the combination of mutations balanced out the perturbations in gene expression (Chong et al., 2014).

Addition of BMA at 20% v/v evoked a huge response from the BMA tolerant *E. coli* cells (Table 6.2 and Appendix Table 11.7), which accounted for 25-50% of the total annotated genes for *E. coli BW25113* (Grenier et al., 2014). The strain RNM-18 had the largest total number of differentially expressed genes (2219), while RNM-2 had the smallest (1155).

BMA	Number of differentially expressed genes					
tolerant	with reference to the parental strain		After BMA addition		ion	
strain	Total	Up	Down	Total	Up	Down
RNM-2	192	83	109	1155	500	655
RNM-5	181	62	119	1494	724	770
RNM-18	1017	395	622	2219	1041	1178
RNM-21	1107	532	575	1729	861	868
RNM-22	863	373	490	2173	1055	1118
RNM-23	827	319	508	1956	939	1017

Differentially expressed genes were identified as genes with at least a logFC of \leq 1.0 and \geq -1.0 for up- and down-regulated genes, respectively with a p-value of < 0.05 as cutoff (Yung et al., 2016).

Although all the genes differentially expressed can potentially give an insight on the possible mechanisms of BMA tolerance, it will be necessary to distinguish between the strain specific response and response fundamental for BMA tolerance. This can be accomplished by identifying the differentially expressed genes that are common to each of the strains, which is likely to include the genes crucial for BMA tolerance. The differentially expressed genes from each strain (Appendix Tables 11.6 and 11.7) were compared to determine the number (Fig. 6.1) and identity (Table 6.3) of the genes common in the six strains.










Figure 6.1 Venn diagrams for the differentially expressed genes from the 6 BMA tolerant strains. Legend: a - upregulated, b - downregulated genes; 1 - relative to the parental strain, 2 - after BMA addition.

Among the differentially expressed genes from six different BMA tolerant strains with respect to the parental strain, only 5 and 49 genes were commonly upregulated and downregulated, respectively (Fig. 6.1-1; Table 6.3). On the other hand, only 244 and 216 genes were commonly upregulated and downregulated, respectively after BMA addition (Fig. 6.1-2; Table 6.3). Although this thoroughly narrows down the list of differentially expressed genes that are likely to include those indispensable for BMA tolerance, it will still take a tremendous time and effort to individually look up the differentially expressed genes in a systematic and comprehensible manner (Bindea et al., 2009).

Table 6.3 List of differentially up or down regulated genes common in 6 BMA tolerant strains

Cor	ndition	Gene names
with re to pare strain	ference ental	acrA acrB inaA nfsA ybjC arrS cheA cheB cheR cheW cheY cheZ dctR fimA fimC fimF fimG fimH fimI flgH flgK flgL flhE fliA fliC fliD fliI fliJ fliQ fliS fliT fliZ flxA gadA gadB gadC gadE glsA hdeA hdeB hdeD mdtE mdtF motA motB slp tap tar tsr ybaT ycgR yhiD yhiM yhjH
After a 20% v/	ddition of ⁄v BMA	aceE aceF adhP adiY agp ahr aldB araH ariR aroF aroL bhsA bssR bssS cbpA cbpM cdd cfa chrR clpB cpsG csgA csgB cspD csrB csrC curA dacC degQ deoB deoC deoD dicF dkgA dmlA dnaK dps ecnB elaB elbB eutD eutE eutG eutM fbaB fucU fxsA gabD gabP gabT gadA gadB gadC galU garR ggt glgS glk glmY glmZ glxR grcA grxA gstB gudD hchA hdeA hdeB hdhA higA higB hokD hspQ ibpA ibpB ilvC ivy katE ldhA ldtA ldtE leuD loiP lolA mcbA mlaC mliC mqsA mqsR mscS msrA narU narV narW narY narZ nuoK ompX omrA omrB osmB osmC osmE osmY paoB paoD patD pfkA pfkB pgl pgpC pheL preT psiF pspA pspB pspC pspD pspE pspG pstS ptwF putA qmcA qorA qorB raiA rclA relB relE rmf rof rpoH rpoS rrlC rrlH rrsH ryfA ryjA sbmC sfmA slt slyB sodB sodC spy sra ssrA talA tisB tktB treF tyrA udp ushA uspA uspB uspD uspF uspG wrbA yaeH yagG yagH yahK yahO yaiY yajL ybbJ ybeD ybeL ybfA ybgS ybhF ybhG ybhL ybhR ybhS ybiB ybiH ybjP ybjQ vcaC yccJ ycfJ ychH yciE yciF yciG ycjF ydcF ydel ydeP ydgU ydhR ydiZ yeaO yeaQ yegP yfdQ yfdY yfeO yffR yfiL ygaM ygaU ygdl ygdR yghA yghU ygiW yhaK yhbO yhbW yhcN yhhA yhjX yiaG yibT yihQ yjdJ yjfY ylil ymdF ymgA ymgC ymgE yneM ynfD ynfM yniA yodD yohC yohJ yohK ypeC ypfM yphA yqaE yqjD yqjG ytfK ytjA zraP alaW alaX alsR amiC argC argQ argU argV argX argY argZ arpA artP asnU asnV carA cdh cmk coaA cobC cspA cspB cspF cspG cspH cysT dinF dnaB dsrA dusB efeB efeO efeU entD entS epmB essD fecA fecB fecC fecE fecI fecR fepC fepE fepG fes fhuB fhuC fhuD fhuF flgB flgJ fliF fliI fliM folK ftsB galS glnU glnW gltU gltV gluQ glyU glyW glyY gnsA gpp gpt gspM gtrA hda hisR holD hyfA icIR infA insA insJ intZ ispD kdgT leut leuW leuX leuz lpxH lpxP lysQ lysT lysV lysW lysY lysZ mdtL mepS metT metU metV metY micC mltD mnmA mreC mreD ompF opgE pcnB pheV pncB potA ppdD priA proM prs purN pyrD queA queD rcnA recG recQ renD rimI rimM rlmA rlmC rlmG rlmH rluB rluC rluE rnpA rph rpmB rpsP rpsT rpsU rseC rsfS rspR rsxA rsxB rsxC rtn rttR sdiA secG serU serV suhB tgt tonB trmH trmL trmN tsaD tyrT tyrV ubiX udk valT valY valZ waaA yacC yadS yael ybaN yb

Notes: Text in bold-italics are differentially upregulated genes, while regular-italics are differentially downregulated genes.

To facilitate grouping of functionally related differentially expressed genes, an enrichment analysis based on the GO terms biological process, molecular function, and cellular component (Ashburner et al., 2000; The Gene Ontology Consortium, 2018) and regulating transcription factors

(Gama-Castro et al., 2016) was performed with the aid of the enrichment analysis tool ClueGO (Bindea et al., 2009) and Funrich (Pathan et al., 2015), respectively. Heat maps for genes regulated by significantly enriched transcription factors and genes of potential significance to the transcriptomics analysis were generated using GENE-E (Broad Institute) to facilitate visualization of the changes in gene expression.

Based on the GO terms biological process, a number of differentially expressed genes relative to the parental strain are related to cell motility, cellular monovalent inorganic cation homeostasis, cellular nitrogen compound metabolic process, chemotaxis, drug transmembrane transport, regulation of locomotion, and response to pH (Table 6.4).

Table 6.4 Summary of significantly enriched GO terms based on biological process for the differentially expressed genes with respect to the parental strain

GO term	Count; % associated	Associated differentially expressed genes
	genes;	
	Corrected P-value	
GO:0048870;	17; 25.37; 9.02x10 ⁻²²	cheY cheZ flgH flgK flgL fliC fliD fliI fliJ fliS fliT fliZ
cell motility		motA motB tsr ycgR yhjH
GO:0030004;	3; 30; 0.0031	gadA gadB gadC
cellular monovalent		
inorganic cation		
homeostasis	_	
GO:0034641;	6; 0.16; 1.58x10⁵	dctR fliA fliI fliT fliZ gadE
cellular nitrogen		
compound metabolic		
process	10.00.101.1015	
GO:0006935;	12; 29; 1.04x10 ⁻¹⁵	cheA cheB cheR cheW cheY cheZ fliJ motA motB tap
chemotaxis		tar tsr
GO:0006855;	4; 8; 0.029	acrA acrB mdtE mdtF
drug transmembrane		
transport	0.45.0.007	
GO:0040012;	3; 15; 0.027	chez fliz ycgR
regulation of locomotion		
GO:0009268;	5; 20; 5.05x10 ⁻³	gisa naea naeb naeb yba l
response to pH		

Notes: Text in bold-italics are differentially upregulated genes, while regular-italics are differentially downregulated genes.

Glutamate decarboxylase activity, motor activity, nucleic acid binding, and transmembrane receptor activity emerged as the most significantly enriched GO terms for molecular function (Table 6.5).

Table 6.5 Summary of significantly enriched GO terms based on molecular function for the differentially expressed genes with respect to the parental strain

GO term	Count; % associated genes;	Associated differentially expressed genes
	Corrected P-value	
GO:0004351; glutamate decarboxylase activity	2; 66.67; 0.0044	gadA gadB
GO:0003774; motor activity	2; 25.0; 0.038	flgH fliJ
GO:0003676; nucleic acid binding	4; 0.14; 0.001	dctR fliA fliZ gadE
GO:0004888; transmembrane signalling receptor activity	4; 36.36; 1.68x10⁻⁵	fliZ tap tar tsr

Notes: Text in bold-italics are differentially upregulated genes, while regular-italics are differentially downregulated genes.

As for the GO terms cellular component, the most significantly enriched differentially expressed genes belonged to bacterial-type flagellum, bacterial type flagellum filament, and pilus (Table 6.6).

Table 6.6 Summary of significantly enriched GO terms based on cellular component for the differentially expressed genes with respect to the parental strain

GO term	Count; % associated genes; Corrected Pavalue	Associated differentially expressed genes
	Corrected F-value	
GO:0009288;	10; 35.71; 7.71x10 ⁻¹⁴	cheZ flgH flgK flgL flhE fliC fliD fliJ fliQ ycgR
bacterial-type flagellum		
GO:0009420;	2; 66.67; 0.0036	fliC fliD
bacterial-type flagellum		
filament		
GO:0042995;	15; 13.89; 9.61x10 ⁻¹⁶	cheZ fimA fimF fimG fimH fimI flqH flqK flqL flhE fliC
cell projection	, ,	fliD fliJ fliQ ycqR
GO:0009289;	5; 6.25; 0.0065	fimA fimF fimĞ fimH fimI
Pilus		

Notes: Text in bold-italics are differentially upregulated genes, while regular-italics are differentially downregulated genes.

On the other hand, the enrichment analysis for which transcription factors that regulate the differentially expressed genes relative to the parental strain revealed potential involvement of Rob, SoxS, MarA, EnvR, MprA, AcrR, OxyR, PhoP, GadX, GadW, GadE, H-NS, FliZ, FlhDC, RcsB, GadE-RcsB, YdeO, TorR, MatA, Lrp, AdiY, SutR, CsgD, EvgA, and CpxR regulons (Fig. 6.2).



Figure 6.2 Significantly enriched regulating transcription factors of the differentially expressed genes relative the parental strain.

The enriched transcription factors (Fig. 6.2) were closely related to the significantly enriched GO terms (Tables 6.4, 6.5, and 6.6). MarA, SoxS, Rob, AcrR, EnvR, MprA, and CpxR regulate multidrug resistance related genes in *E. coli* (Dorel et al., 2006; Grkovic et al., 2002; Grkovic et al., 2001; Hirakawa et al., 2008; Lomovskaya et al., 1995), while PhoP, GadX, GadW, GadE, GadE-RcsB, H-NS, YdeO, AdiY, and EvgA regulate genes related to acid resistance (Castanié-Cornet et al., 2010; Eguchi et al., 2011; Giangrossi et al., 2005; Hommais et al., 2004; Sayed et al., 2007; Stim-Herndon et al., 1996; Tramonti et al., 2002). Transcription factors involved in the regulation of genes related to chemotaxis, motility, flagellum and pili biosynthesis, and biofilm formation that were significantly enriched include RcsB, H-NS, Fliz, FlhDC, MatA, Lrp, CsgD, and CpxR (Calvo & Matthews, 1994; Claret & Hughes, 2002; Donato & Kawula, 1999; Dorel et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2006; Lehti et al., 2012; Loferer et al., 1997; Pesavento & Hengge, 2012; Soutourina et al., 1999).

All of the differentially upregulated genes with respect to the parental strain were part of the of the MarA-SoxS-Rob regulatory system (Gama-Castro et al.,

2016; Paterson et al., 2002) (Fig. 6.3). Among them, only *acrA* and *acrB* were associated with the significantly enriched GO terms (Table 6.4).



Figure 6.3 Heat maps for genes regulated by MarA-SoxS-Rob, AcrR, and GadE-X-W. Gene logFC of BMA tolerant strain relative to parental strain (columns 1-6) and BMA tolerant strain after BMA addition relative to before BMA addition (columns 7-12).

Another key result from the enrichment analysis is the emergence of differentially downregulated genes related to chemotaxis, motility, flagellum and pili biosynthesis, biofilm formation, and acid resistance (Tables 6.4-6.6; Fig. 6.2-6.4).



Figure 6.4 Heat maps for genes regulated by FlhDC, FliZ, and CsgD. Gene logFC of BMA tolerant strain relative to parental strain (columns 1-6) and BMA tolerant strain after BMA addition relative to before BMA addition (columns 7-12).

Addition of BMA resulted in the differential expression of genes related to the DNA metabolic process, RNA processing, cation homeostasis, nucleic acid metabolic process, nucleotide catabolic process, organonitrogen compound metabolic process, protein secretion, response to ethanol, response to oxidative stress, response to pH, response to temperature, and ribonucleotide metabolic process (Table 6.7). The differentially expressed genes were also linked to various molecular functions that include DNA binding, RNA binding, intramolecular transferase activity, iron-chelate transport, nucleotidyl-transferase activity, oxidoreductase activity acting on the aldehyde or oxo group of donors, purine ribonucleotide binding, ribonucleoprotein complex binding (Table 6.9), which are either a members of the cytoplasmic part or the integral component of membrane (Table 6.9).

CO term	Count:	Associated differentially expressed genes
	% associated genes:	Associated underentially expressed genes
	Corrected P-value	
GO:0006259;	20; 1.23; 1.01x10 ⁻¹¹	argU cspD dnaB dnaK efeB hda holD insA intZ
DNA metabolic process		mcbA priA recG recQ rlmC rpoH rpsP sbmC yacC vhdJ vmaE
GO:0006396;	21; 14.58; 2.62x10 ⁻⁴	dusB gluQ mnmA pcnB queA rimM rlmA rlmC rlmG
RNA processing		rlmH rluB rluC rluE rnpA rof rph tgt trmH trmL trmN tsaD
GO:0055080; cation homeostasis	21; 25.61; 6.27x10 ⁻⁹	<pre>dps efeB efeU fecA fecB fecC fecE fecI fecR fepC fepE fepG fes fhuB fhuC fhuD fhuF gadA gadB gadC vaiH</pre>
GO:0090304;	74; 2.52; 3.04x10 ⁻⁷	adiY alsR argU clpB cspA cspB cspD cspF cspG
nucleic acid metabolic		cspH curA dnaB dnaK dusB efeB entS fecI galS gluQ
process		masA masR pcnB priA pspA pspB pspC putA queA
		recG recQ relB relE rimM rlmA rlmC rlmG rlmH rluB
		rluC rluE rnpA rof rph rpoH rpoS rpsP rspR sbmC sdiA tat trmH trmL trmN tsaD vacC vaiL vbeE vbfE
		ybiH ycjW ydiZ yhdJ yiaG yihG ymgE
GO:0009166;	6; 50; 0.0017	deoB deoC gpp gpt udp ushA
process		
GO:1901564; organonitrogen compound metabolic process	82; 7.26; 1.55x10 ⁻⁴	aceE aceF amiC argC aroF aroL carA cdd cmk coaA cobC dacC deoD elbB entD eutD eutE eutG eutM fbaB fill folK gabD gabP gabT gadA gadB ggt glk gluQ glxR gpp gpt grcA gstB gtrA gudD hda higB ilvC infA ldhA ldtA ldtE leuD mepS mioC mltD mgsR
		nuoK paoB patD pfkA pfkB pgl pncB preT prs purN putA pyrD queA queD raiA relE rmf rpmB rpsP rpsT rpsU rsfS rsxB slt sra talA tgt tyrA udk udp yajL vahU vhbO
GO:0009271; phage shock	4; 10; 0.0013	pspA pspB pspD pspG
GO:0009306; protein secretion	2; 0.59; 0.032	flil secG
GO:0045471; response to ethanol	3; 100; 0.030	adhP aldB uspB
GO:0006979; response to oxidative stress	19; 21.35; 1.68x10 ⁻⁶	ariR glyU katE msrA osmC qorA rclA rseC sodB sodC uspF wrbA yajL ychH ydel ygiW yhbO yhcN vodD
GO:0009268; response to pH	7; 28; 0.023	hchA hdeA hdeB ydeP yhbO yhcN yodD
GO:0009266; response to temperature stimulus	17; 19.1; 6.35x10⁵	clpB cspA cspB cspG dnaK eutD hspQ ibpA ibpB IdhA lpxP pncB pspA raiA rpoH yajL yhbO
GO:0009119; ribonucleoside metabolic	21; 17.8; 7.91x10 ⁻⁶	aceE aceF carA cdd coaA fbaB flil glk gpp gpt ldhA nuoK paoB pfkA pfkB pyrD queA queD tgt udk udp

Table 6.7 Summary of significantly enriched GO terms based on biological process for the differentially expressed genes after BMA addition

Notes: Text in bold-italics are differentially upregulated genes, regular-italics are differentially downregulated genes.

GO term	Count;	Associated differentially expressed genes
	% associated genes;	
	Corrected P-value	
GO:0003677; DNA binding	52; 2.17; 1.78x10 ⁻⁸	adiY alsR argU ariR cbpA cspA cspB cspD cspF cspG cspH dnaB dps efeB fecI galS hda higA hspQ icIR insA insJ intZ mnmA mqsA osmE pgpC priA pspB pspC putA recG recQ relB rpoH rpoS rpsP rspR sbmC sdiA trmN tsaD ybeF ybfE ybgS ybiB ybiH yciW yhdJ yiaG ynaE yoaA
GO:0003723; RNA binding	24; 9.41; 0.032	cspA cspB cspD dusB gluQ hda higB infA mnmA pcnB qorA raiA relE rlmC rluB rluC rluE rmf rnpA rph rpsT trmH trmL ybiB
GO:0016866; intramolecular transferase activity	8; 19.51; 0.042	cpsG deoB epmB leuD rluB rluC rluE tyrA
GO:0015623; iron-chelate-transporting ATPase activity	7; 58.33; 2.64x10 ⁻⁵	fecB fecC fepC fepG fhuB fhuC fhuD
GO:0016779; nucleotidyltransferase activity	9; 1.40; 0.0054	galU holD ispD loIA pcnB rph rpoH yacC yeaP
GO:0016903; oxidoreductase activity, acting on the aldehyde or oxo group of donors	11; 18.64; 0.0049	aceE aceF aldB argC eutE gabD gtrA paoB patD putA ydeP
GO:0032555; purine ribonucleotide binding	35; 2.11; 3.63x10 ⁻⁵	aroL artP carA clpB cmk coaA dnaB dnaK fecE fepC fhuC flil folK glk gluQ loIA mnmA pcnB pfkA pfkB potA priA prs recG recQ rof sbmC udk uspF yadS vbbF vddA veaP voaA voil
GO:0043021; ribonucleoprotein complex binding	8; 20.51; 0.030	infA raiA relE rimM rlmH rmf rsfS yqjD

Table 6.8 Summary of significantly enriched GO terms based on molecular function for the differentially expressed genes after BMA addition

Notes: Text in bold-italics are differentially upregulated genes, regular-italics are differentially downregulated genes.

Table 6.9 Summary of significantly enriched GO terms based on cellular component for the differentially expressed genes after BMA addition

GO term	Count; % associated genes; Corrected P-value	Associated differentially expressed genes
GO:0044444; cytoplasmic part	105; 8.60; 5x10 ⁻⁹	aceE aceF adiY argC carA cdd cfa chrR clpB cmk coaA cobC cpsG cspA cspB cspD cspG deoB deoC deoD dkgA dnaB dnaK dusB elaB elbB eutG fbaB fhuF gabT gadA gadB galU glk gluQ gnsA gpt grcA gstB hchA hda hdhA ibpA iclR ilvC infA ispD katE IdhA leuD IoIA mioC mnmA msrA osmC patD pcnB pfkA pfkB pgI pncB ppdD prs pspA purN pyrD qorA qorB queA raiA recG rimM rlmG rluB rluC rluE rph rpmB rpoH rpsP rpsT rpsU rsfS sodB sra suhB taIA tgt tktB trmN tsaD udk udp uspD wrbA yajL ybeD
GO:0016021; integral component of membrane	114; 3.11; 7.61x10 ⁻¹²	araH artP cdh cfa cysT dacC degQ dinF efeU elaB entD entS essD fecA fecC fecR fepC fepE fepG fhuB fliF ftsB fxsA gabP gadC glk gspM gtrA hokD kdgT lolA lpxP mdtL mepS mlaC mreC mreD mscS narU narV nuoK ompF ompX opgE pgpC potA ppdD pspB pspC pspD pspG pstS qmcA raiA rcnA relE rlmH rph rpoS rseC rsxA rtn secG ssrA tisB tonB trmN uspB waaA yadS yagG yaiY ybaN ybbJ ybfA ybhG ybhL ybhR ybhS ycfJ ychH ycjF ydcD yddA ydgU yeaQ yeiB yfdY yfeO yfhR yfjV ygaM yhcN yhjV yhjX yidD yidX yihG ymcE ymgE yneM ynfM yniI yohC yohJ yohK yohO yojl yphA yqaE yqjD yraJ ytjA zraP

Notes: Text in bold-italics are differentially upregulated genes, regular-italics are differentially downregulated genes.

Enrichment analysis for transcription factors revealed that some of the differentially expressed genes after BMA addition were under the regulation of PspF, RcsB, McbR, CecR, CytR, FliZ, RelB-RelE, RelB, BluR, MqsA, GadW, UvrY, CsiR, HigB-HigA, HigA, DeoR, GadX, AdiY, H-NS, TorR, Fis, Fur, HypT, or PurR (Fig. 6.5). These transcription factors are linked to various stress response systems in *E. coli* such as phage shock response (PspF) (Flores-Kim & Darwin, 2016), multidrug resistance (CecR) (Yamanaka et al., 2016), acid resistance (BluR, GadW, GadX, AdiY, TorR, H-NS, and Fis) (Bordi et al., 2003; Bradley et al., 2007; Giangrossi et al., 2005; Gong et al., 2003; Ma et al., 2002; Tschowri et al., 2009), and oxidative stress response (HypT, Fis, and Fur) (Bradley et al., 2007; Drazic et al., 2013; Tardat & Touati, 1993).



Figure 6.5 Enrichment analysis for the regulating transcription factors of the differentially expressed genes after BMA addition.

Transcription factors with roles in regulation of genes involved in chemostaxis, motility, and biofilm formation (H-NS, RcsB, BluR, FliZ, McbR, MqsA, and Fis) (Bradley et al., 2007; Krin et al., 2010; Pesavento & Hengge, 2012; Tschowri et al., 2009; Yamaguchi et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2008) and DNA and RNA biosynthesis, transport, and utilization (CytR, DeoR, and PurR) (Cho et al.,

2011; Munch-Petersen & Jensen, 1990; Sernova & Gelfand, 2012) were also well represented.

The seemingly complex involvement of various regulatory networks, stress response systems, and cellular processes in response to exposure to BMA is in accordance with reports from recent efforts to understand the cellular response upon exposure of bacteria with various other industrially relevant chemical products such as biofuels and other solvent like molecules (Brynildsen & Liao, 2009; Rau et al., 2016; Shimizu, 2013b; Yung et al., 2016). This is exemplified by the most significantly perturbed regulon under the transcription of Fis (Figs. 6.5 and 6.6) that regulates a diverse set of genes from various operons that are related to transport, acid stress response, oxidative stress response, cell structure, chemotaxis, motility, biofilm formation, virulence, carbon compound metabolism, central intermediary metabolism, amino acid metabolism, and nucleotide metabolism (Bradley et al., 2007).

Fis is abundant during cell growth, but repressed during stationary phase under the control of the stringent response (Shimizu, 2013b). The regulation of the stringent response is highly linked to CsrA. CsrA is a dimeric RNA binding protein that plays a huge role in the regulation of translation and/or stability of the bound transcript, which effectively affects global gene expression including those related to virulence factors, quorum sensing, motility, biofilm formation, carbon metabolism, and etc. (Edwards et al., 2011). The binding of CsrA to its regulated transcript can be disrupted upon interaction with the non-coding RNAs CsrB and CsrC, which consequently affects gene expression of CsrA regulated genes (Edwards et al., 2011; Mondragón et al., 2006; Suzuki et al., 2002).

Both *csrB* and *csrC*, both under the regulation of BarA-UvrY (Edwards et al., 2011; Mondragón et al., 2006), were observed to be differentially upregulated after addition of BMA (Table 6.3; Fig. 6.6). BarA-UvrY regulatory system can be activated by the abundance of guanosine pentaphosphate ((p)ppGpp), which can be synthesized by ReIA or hydrolysed to its tetraphosphate form by SpoT (Edwards et al., 2011; Shimizu, 2013b).

103



Figure 6.6 Heat map for genes regulated by Fis. Gene logFC of BMA tolerant strain relative to parental strain (columns 1-6) and BMA tolerant strain after BMA addition relative to before BMA addition (columns 7-12).

Gene expression of *relA* was relatively unperturbed, while *spoT* was slightly downregulated (Fig. 6.7 a). The slight downregulation of *spoT* may have contributed to the increase in (p)ppGpp level due to reduced hydrolysis and subsequent activation of BarA-UvrY for the increased expression of *csrB* and *csrC* (Fig. 6.7 UvrY). The escalation in CsrB and CsrC levels may have contributed to the observed distortion in gene expression of genes for various cellular processes under the regulation of Fis (Edwards et al., 2011).

Fis



Figure 6.7 Heat map of genes related to (a) stringent control and UvrY. Gene logFC of BMA tolerant strain relative to parental strain (columns 1-6) and BMA tolerant strain after BMA addition relative to before BMA addition (columns 7-12).

RpoS is another important global regulator that has been reported to be positively regulated by the level of (p)ppGpp. However, the mechanism of its regulation is unclear (Hengge-Aronis, 2002; Shimizu, 2013b). RpoS is a sigma factor that acts as a master regulator for various stress response systems in *E. coli* that includes oxidative, lethal heat shocks, hyperosmolarity, acid, ethanol, near UV- irradiation and potentially yet to be identified stress (Hengge-Aronis, 2002). The expression of *rpoS* was observed to be differentially upregulated after addition of BMA (Table 6.3 and Fig. 6.7), which could have contributed to the activation/deactivation genes from various stress response systems in *E. coli* (Table 6.7). Among them, the oxidative stress was the most significantly enriched (Table 6.7).

The oxidative stress response acts to relieve the cells from the harmful reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated during cell growth such as hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), superoxide anions (O2⁻·), hydroxyl radicals (OH⁻·), and etc. as well as the damage caused by these ROS (Chiang & Schellhorn, 2012; Ezraty et al., 2017). The enzymes encoded by the differentially upregulated genes *katE, sodB*, and *sodC* directly converts H₂O₂ (Seaver & Imlay, 2001; Sorkin & Miller, 1997) and O2⁻· (Sorkin & Miller, 1997) into H₂O and H₂O₂, while *osmC*, *qorA*, and *wrbA* reduces organic hydroperoxides (Lesniak et al., 2003) and quinones (Maruyama et al., 2003; Patridge & Ferry, 2006). Furthermore, protein damage through oxidation of sulfur in methionine and reaction with glyoxals can be repaired by the enzymes encoded by *msrA* (St John et al., 2001), *yajL* (Abdallah et al., 2016), and *yhbO* (Abdallah et al., 2007; Abdallah et al., 2016). Another

gene that could play an important role in the oxidative stress response is the differentially downregulated gene, *rseC* (Table 6.8). The gene product of *rseC*, along with *rsxABCDGE*, has been proposed to be responsible in re-reduction of [2Fe-2S] cluster in SoxR, which restores SoxR into its inactive state (Koo et al., 2003). Since *rseC* is downregulated, it could lessen inactivation of SoxR and allow SoxR mediated oxidative stress response to remain active. However from the enrichment analysis of transcription factors and heat map (Figs. 6.3 and 6.4), the SoxS-MarA-Rob oxidative stress response related genes (e.g. *sodA*, *fur*) are not consistently up or downregulated after BMA addition. This suggests that the oxidative stress response exhibited by the BMA tolerant strains might not be reliant on SoxRS regulated oxidative stress response (Chiang & Schellhorn, 2012).

An important aspect in the oxidative stress response is the iron homeostasis (Chiang & Schellhorn, 2012). Iron in either Fe²⁺ or Fe³⁺ configuration facilitates the formation of hydroxyl radicals and hyperoxide radicals via the Fenton and the Haber-Weiss reactions (Andrews et al., 2003; Touati, 2000). Thus, excessive iron would favour further production of ROS that can damage cellular components and interrupt vital processes. In order to limit further production of ROS, cells regulate the amount of iron within the cell that can participate in the formation of more ROS (Andrews et al., 2003; Cornelis et al., 2011; Lau et al., 2015; Touati, 2000). A number of genes that are related to transport of Fe³⁺ with the aid of siderophores (efeB, efeU, fecA, fecB, fecC, fecI, fecR, fepC, fepE, fepG, fhuB, fhuC, and fhuD) (Andrews et al., 2003; Cao et al., 2007; Ochs et al., 1995) and their release within the cell (fes and fhuF) (Caza et al., 2015; Matzanke et al., 2004) were differentially downregulated, while a gene (*dps*) that is involved with the sequestration and storage of Fe²⁺ (Nair & Finkel, 2004) was differentially upregulated (Tables 6.7 and 6.8; Fig. 6.8). A number of these genes and their operons are regulated by HypT and Fur (Fig. 6.8), which acts as their repressor when activated. HypT is activated via methionine oxidation in HypT itself (Drazic et al., 2013), while Fur binds with its corepressor Fe²⁺ to increase its repression activity (Andrews et al., 2003). The changes in the gene expression of these iron-homeostasis related genes could have aided in limiting the iron available for further production of ROS.



Figure 6.8 Heat map for genes regulated by Fur and HypT. Gene logFC of BMA tolerant strain relative to parental strain (columns 1-6) and BMA tolerant strain after BMA addition relative to before BMA addition (columns 7-12).

HypT

VS WT VS WT VS WT BMA BMA + + BMA + BMA

gene

cydA cydE cysH fecA fecB fecC fecD fecE metB metI metL

RNNM-RRNNM-RRNNM-RNNM

The next most significantly represented stress response is the response to temperature stimulus (Table 6.7). Genes that encode for heat shock proteins (*clpB, dnaK, hspQ, ibpA, ibpB, ldhA, eutD,* and *rpoH*) and cold shock proteins (*cspA, cspB,* and *cspG*) were differentially upregulated and downregulated, respectively. Thus, suggesting the potential role of the heat shock response in BMA tolerance (Brynildsen & Liao, 2009; Cao et al., 2017; Rau et al., 2016). Heat shock response allow cells to adapt and survive during exposure to

various environmental stress conditions such as heat shock, metabolically harmful substances, and complex metabolic processes that cause protein damage or other cellular components (e.g. membranes and nucleic acids) (Arsène et al., 2000; Richter et al., 2010; Whitley et al., 1999). The heat shock related proteins include those that aid with repair/degradation of denatured proteins (*clpB*, *dnaK*, *hspQ*, *ibpA*, and *ibpB*) (Bertelsen et al., 2009; Kuczynska-Wisnik et al., 2002; Shimuta et al., 2004; Zolkiewski, 1999), energy generation (*ldhA* and *eutD*) (Bologna et al., 2010; Bunch et al., 1997), and activation of the heat shock response itself (*rpoH*) (Arsène et al., 2000).

The third most significantly enriched stress response system was the phage shock response (Table 6.7), which also had its regulating transcription factor PspF significantly enriched (Fig. 6.5). PspF is responsible for the activation of *pspABCDE* and *pspG* operons (Fig. 6.9).



Figure 6.9 Heat map for genes regulated by PspF. Gene logFC of BMA tolerant strain relative to parental strain (columns 1-6) and BMA tolerant strain after BMA addition relative to before BMA addition (columns 7-12).

The response to pH was the fourth most significantly enriched stress related GO (Table 6.7). This set includes the acid stress resistance genes such as *hdeA*, *hdeB*, and *ydeP*. Aside from these genes, *gadA*, *gadB*, and *gadC*, which are known for their role in acid resistance, were also upregulated (Fig. 6.6). This suggested the possible role of acid stress response in BMA tolerance. The proteins encoded by *hdeA* and *hdeB* function as molecular chaperones that prevents low pH protein aggregation at the periplasm (Kern et al., 2007). YdeP, an oxidoreductase, overexpression has been reported to improve acid resistance (Masuda & Church, 2003). The genes *gadA* and *gadB* encode the enzyme isoforms with the ability to convert glutamate to gamma-aminobutyrate

(GABA), while consuming H⁺ in the reaction. Thus, they aid in pH maintenance (Braun et al., 2017). On the other hand, GadC acts as an antiporter for glutamate and GABA (Capitani et al., 2003).

The last most significantly enriched stress response GO term of the biological process category is the response to ethanol (Table 6.8). The genes adhP (Thomas et al., 2013) and aldB (Ho & Weiner, 2005) encode enzymes that catalyze oxidation-reduction reactions of short alcohols and aldehydes, respectively. On the other hand, uspB encodes a universal stress protein induced upon exposure of *E. coli* to ethanol (Farewell et al., 1998). Their increased expression can aid with energy generation from ethanol as well detoxification by reduction of ethanol concentration (Ho & Weiner, 2005; Thomas et al., 2013). However, their role in BMA tolerance is uncertain. The overexpression of these genes can also be a consequence from the activation of RpoS regulated genes as aldB and uspB are known to be under the regulation of RpoS (Farewell et al., 1998; Ho & Weiner, 2005).

Aside from the stress response systems enriched from the GO terms, the emergence of CecR (also known as YbiH) from the transcription factor enrichment analysis (Fig. 6.4) suggests the involvement of another multidrug resistance mechanism (Yamanaka et al., 2016) might be activated in the presence of BMA.



Figure 6.10 Heat map for genes regulated by CecR (YbiH). Gene logFC of BMA tolerant strain relative to parental strain (columns 1-6) and BMA tolerant strain after BMA addition relative to before BMA addition (columns 7-12).

The CecR regulon contains *ybhFRS*, *ybhG*, and *ybiH*, which encode an ATPbinding cassette (ABC)-type transporter, a predicted inner membrane of unknown function, and the transcription factor itself, respectively (Yamanaka et al., 2016).

Genes that are involved in DNA replication were also differentially expressed after exposure of BMA tolerant strains to BMA. A number of genes that encode proteins known to inhibit DNA replication were upregulated (*cspD* and *higB*) (Uppal et al., 2014; Wood & Wood, 2016), while genes that promote DNA replication were observed to be downregulated (*dnaB*, *priA*, *recG*, *recQ*, and yoaA) (Brown et al., 2015; Cooper et al., 2015; Dou et al., 2004; Harami et al., 2015; LeBowitz & McMacken, 1986; Manhart & McHenry, 2013) (Tables 6.7 and 6.8). Various genes that can be linked to protein synthesis were also differentially expressed (Tables 6.7 and 6.8). Downregulation of genes related to transfer RNA maturation (*mnmA*, *trmN*, *trmL*, *tsaD*, *dusB*, *queA*, and *rlmC*) (Benítez-Páez et al., 2010; Bou-Nader et al., 2017; Desmolaize et al., 2011; Deutsch et al., 2012; Dineshkumar et al., 2002; Fislage et al., 2012; Kambampati & Lauhon, 2003), ribosomal RNA maturation (rlmC, rlmG, rluB, *rluC*, and *rluE*) (Conrad et al., 1998; Czudnochowski et al., 2014; Desmolaize et al., 2011; Pan et al., 2007; Sergiev et al., 2006), and translational initiation (*infA*) (Milón et al., 2012) were observed. On the other hand, genes that are linked to inihibition of protein synthesis (*relE* and *rmf*) (Bøggild et al., 2012; Yoshida et al., 2009) were upregulated. The observed differential expression in DNA and RNA related processes suggests that exposure to BMA inhibits DNA replication and protein synthesis, which could consequentially inhibit various cellular processes including growth.

A notable significantly represented GO term related to molecular function is the oxidoreductase acitivity acting on the aldehyde or oxo group donors (Table 6.8). The upregulated genes (*aceE*, *aceF*, *aldB*, *eutE*, *gabD*, *paoB*, *patD*, *putA*, and *ydeP*) (Becker & Thomas, 2001; Bologna et al., 2010; Langendorf et al., 2010; Masuda & Church, 2003; Neumann et al., 2009; Patel et al., 2014; Schneider & Reitzer, 2012; Xu & Johnson, 1995) encode enzymes involved in oxidation and energy generation, while the downregulated genes (*argC* and *gtrA*) (Baicha & Vogel, 1962; Kim et al., 2009) encode enzymes involved in reduction

reactions. The differential expression of these genes suggests potential role of energy generation for BMA tolerance.

Changes in the transcriptome of the parental strain upon exposure to BMA was also determined as a control experiment. A total of 2316 genes were differentially expressed with 1081 and 1235 differentially upregulated and downregulated, respectively (Appendix Table 11.7). The differentially upregulated genes in the parental strain after BMA addition were compared to the differentially upregulated genes common to the six BMA tolerant strains with respect to the wild-type and after BMA addition. On the other hand, the differentially downregulated genes in the parental strain after BMA addition were compared to the differentially downregulated genes common to the six BMA tolerant strains with respect to the wild-type and after BMA addition. This was done to determine the difference in the response of the parental strain and BMA tolerant strains upon exposure to BMA. It could potentially give an insight of the additional response necessary to enable growth of the parental strain in the presence of BMA (20% v/v). A total of 81 genes were differentially expressed in the BMA tolerant strains but not in the parental strain after BMA addition (Table 6.10).

Table 6.10 List of differentially expressed genes exclusive to the BMA tolerant strains

Condition	Gene names
with reference to parental strain	cheW cheY cheZ fimA fliC flxA gadC hdeB slp tar tsr yhiM
After addition of 20% v/v BMA	aceE aceF adhP agp ahr aldB cbpA cfa chrR csgA csgB eutD eutE eutG eutM fbaB gabD gabP gabT gadA gadB gadC ggt glxR gstB hdeA hdeB katE leuD mscS narU narW narY narZ nuoK patD pfkA pfkB pgl psiF putA rclA sfmA talA tktB ushA yahK yahO ycaC ydiZ yfdQ yghU yiaG ymgA ymgC yniA amiC ttsB bufA kdaT lavB pncB pndD rsnA rsoC ytbcE ycaK yiiE yibB ynfN ycbO

amiC ftsB hyfA kdgT lpxP pncB ppdD rcnA rseC ybeF yegK yijF yjhR ynfN yohO Notes: Text in bold-italics are differentially upregulated genes, regular-italics are differentially downregulated genes.

An enrichment analysis based on the GO terms biological process, molecular function and cellular for these genes was also done to facilitate grouping of genes with similar functionality (Tables 6.11, 6.12, and 6.13).

Table 6.11 Summary of significantly enriched GO terms based on biological process for the differentially expressed genes exclusive to the BMA tolerant strains

GO term	Count; % associated genes; Corrected P-value	Associated differentially expressed genes
GO:0030004; cellular monovalent inorganic cation	3; 30; 0.015	gadA gadB gadC
GO:0006935; Chemotaxis	5; 12.20; 0.006	cheW cheY cheZ tar tsr
GO:0030198; extracellular matrix organization	2; 100; 0.015	csgA csgB
GO:0009450; gamma-aminobutyric acid catabolic process	3; 75; 6.34x10 ⁻⁴	gabD gabP gabT
GO:0046496; nicotinamide nucleotide metabolic process	8; 14.04; 8.97x10 ⁻⁶	ace aceF adhP agp ahr amiC cfa eutD eutE eutG eutM fbaB gabD gabP gabT gadA gadB glxR leuD lpxP narU narW narY narZ nuoK patD pfkA pfkB pgl pncB putA talA ushA ybeF ydiZ yiaG
GO:0042126; nitrate metabolic process	4; 18.18; 0.008	narU narW narY narZ
GO:0090304; nucleic acid metabolic process	4; 0.14; 5.40x10 ⁻⁸	putA ybeF ydiZ yiaG
GO:0055114; oxidation- reduction process	22; 2.30; 0.005	aceE aceF adhP ahr aldB chrR eutE eutG gabD glxR gstB hyfA katE narW narY narZ patD rclA vahK vohU
GO:0045471; response to ethanol	2; 66.67; 0.041	adhP aldB
GO:0044712; single-organism catabolic process	17; 3.51; 2.72x10 ⁻⁴	aceE aceF adhP agp amiC eutD eutE eutG eutM fbaB gabD gabP gabT gadA gadB ggt glxR gstB leuD nuoK patD pfkA pfkB pgl pncB putA talA ushA vahU
GO:0044281; small molecule metabolic process	30; 2.43; 2.65x10 ⁻⁵	aceE aceF adhP agp amiC cfa eutD eutE eutG eutM fbaB gabD gabP gabT gadA gadB ggt glxR gstB leuD narU narW narY narZ nuoK patD pfkA pfkB pgl pncB putA talA usbA vghU

Notes: Text in bold-italics are differentially upregulated genes, regular-italics are differentially downregulated genes.

Based on the GO terms biological process, the differentially expressed genes exclusive to the BMA tolerant strains are related to cellular monovalent inorganic cation homeostasis, chemotaxis, extracellular matrix organization, gamma-aminobutyric acid catabolic process, nicotinamide nucleotide metabolic process, nitrate metabolic process, nucleic acid metabolic process, oxidationreduction process, response to ethanol, single organism catabolic process, and small molecule metabolic process (Table 6.11). Alcohol dehydrogenase, aldehyde dehydrogenase, glutamate decarboxylase activity, nucleic acid binding, protein histidine kinase binding, purine ribonucleoside binding, and pyruvate dehydrogenase activity were the most significantly enriched GO terms in terms of molecular function (Table 6.12). The enriched GO terms cellular component include cell projection, cytoplasmic part, intrinsic component of membrane, and nitrate reductase complex (Table 6.13).

Notable stress related systems associated with the genes that were exclusively differentially upregulated in BMA tolerant strains after BMA addition include glutamate dependent acid resistance (*gadA*, *gadB*, *gadC*, *gabD*, *gabP*, *gabT*, and *patD*) (Braun et al., 2017; Brechtel et al., 1996; Capitani et al., 2003; Langendorf et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2005; Schneider et al., 2013), oxidative stress (*katE*) (Schellhorn & Hassan, 1988), osmotic stress (*mscS*) (Bass et al., 2002), and modification of unsaturated fatty acid into a cyclopropane fatty acid (*cfa*) (Chang et al., 2000).

Table 6.12 Summary of significantly enriched GO terms based on molecular function for the differentially expressed genes exclusive to the BMA tolerant strains

GO term	Count; %	Associated differentially expressed
	associated genes;	genes
	Corrected P-value	-
GO:0004022;	4; 28.57; 5.274x10 ⁻⁴	adhP ahr eutG yahK
alcohol dehydrogenase		
(NAD) activity		
GO:0004029;	5; 35.71; 9.70x10 ⁻⁶	aceE aceF aldB eutE gabD patD putA
aldenyde denydrogenase		
	2.66.0.017	and A and P
GU.0004331,	2, 66, 0.017	yauA yaub
activity		
GO:0003676	4· 0 14· 5 27x10 ⁻⁸	chpA putA vbeE viaG
nucleic acid binding	.,,	
GO:0043424;	2; 100; 0.006	tar tsr
protein histidine kinase		
binding		
GO:0035639;	2; 0.12; 5.15x10 ⁻⁴	chrR pfkA pfkB
purine ribonucleoside		
triphosphate binding		
GO:0004738;	2; 100; 0.006	aceE aceF
pyruvate dehydrogenase		
activity		

Notes: Text in bold-italics are differentially upregulated genes, regular-italics are differentially downregulated genes.

Table 6.13 Summary of significantly enriched GO terms based on cellular component for the differentially expressed genes exclusive to the BMA tolerant strains

GO term	Count; % associated genes; Corrected P-value	Associated differentially expressed genes
GO:0042995; cell projection	7; 6.48; 0.001	cheZ csgA csgB fimA fliC ppdD sfmA
GO:0044444; cytoplasmic part	24; 1.97; 0.005	aceE aceF cfa cheW cheY cheZ chrR eutG fbaB gabT gadA gadB gstB katE leuD patD pfkA pfkB pgl pncB ppdD talA tktB ycaC
GO:0031224; intrinsic component of membrane	17; 0.46; 4.31x10 ⁻⁵	cfa fimA ftsB gabP gadC kdgT lpxP mscS narU nuoK ppdD rcnA rseC tar tsr yhiM yohO
GO:0009325; nitrate reductase complex	2; 33.33; 0.022	nary narZ

Notes: Text in bold-italics are differentially upregulated genes, regular-italics are differentially downregulated genes.

Potential importance of increasing energy generation and essential building block synthesis in BMA tolerance can also be observed with the differentially upregulated genes related to glucose utilization under the Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas (EMP) pathway (*pfkA*, *pfkB*, and *fbaB*) (Dadinova et al., 2016; Lovingshimer et al., 2006) and pentose phosphate pathway (*pgl* and *talA*) (Song et al., 2006; Zimenkov et al., 2005), generation of acetyl-COA for the TCA cycle (*aceE* and *aceF*) (Patel et al., 2014), luecine biosynthesis (*leuD*) (Fultz et al., 1979), nitrate/nitrite utilization (*narU*, *narW*, *narY*, and *narZ*) (Blasco et al., 1990; Clegg et al., 2002), and various oxidation reduction processes (*adhP*, *ahr*, *eutG*, *yahK*, *aldB*, *eutE*, *putA*, *chrR*, *gstB*, and *nuoK*) (Akhtar et al., 2013; Becker & Thomas, 2001; Bologna et al., 2010; Chrysostomou et al., 2015; Eswaramoorthy et al., 2012; Ho & Weiner, 2005; Pick et al., 2013; Stojiljkovic et al., 1995; Thomas et al., 2013; Torres-Bacete et al., 2012).

6.4 Conclusions

The transcriptomics analysis revealed key evolutionary outcomes from the adaptive evolution of *E. coli* for BMA tolerance as well as the response of BMA tolerance strains upon exposure to BMA (20% v/v), which suggest potential mechanisms for BMA tolerance. BMA tolerant strains exhibited increased expression of *acrAB* and reduced expression of acid resistance, chemotaxis, motility, flagellum and pili biosynthesis, and biofilm formation related genes. The former were possibly involved in expulsion of BMA entering the cell, while the latter as an energy saving mechanism. Exposure to BMA (20% v/v) may have stimulated activation of the oxidative stress, heat shock, phage shock, and acid stress response systems and membrane modifying, energy generating, and essential building block synthesizing enzymes, whilst possibly inhibited DNA replication and protein synthesis in BMA tolerant strains. Comparison of the parental strain and BMA tolerant strains response to BMA (20% v/v) exposure revealed that the parental strain's inability to grow in the presence of BMA (20% v/v) may be due to the lack in increased expression of key genes involved in membrane modification, osmotic stress, glutamate dependent acid resistance, oxidative stress, energy generation, and essential building blocks synthesis.

Genome Shuffling and BMA Production

7.1 Introduction

The BMA tolerant *E. coli* strains generated *via* ADE (Chapter 5) has shown potential for use as host cell. However, most of the evolved *E. coli* strains were only able to achieve moderate cell density and marginal growth rate or low cell density at a very high growth rate in the presence of BMA (20% v/v) with reference to growth of the parental strain in the absence of BMA. The emergence of the BMA tolerant strain RNM-18 showed the potential to achieve both high cell density and growth rate. In comparison to the parental strain grown in the absence of BMA, RNM-18 grown in the presence of BMA was able to grow as fast but only achieved 72% of the maximum cell density. The reduced cell density suggests growth inhibition (Theophel et al., 2014) and possibly inefficient use of cellular resources (Trinh et al., 2008).

It might still be possible to further improve the evolved strains ability to grow in the presence of BMA (20% v/v) through further evolution and/or application of any of the random mutagenesis techniques, reverse/inverse metabolic engineering, rational/targeted engineering (Chapter 2). Although results from the genome resequencing and transcriptomics analysis can be used for the reverse/inverse metabolic engineering or the rational/targeted approach, it will likely take considerable amounts of time and effort to identify and test which genes/proteins are able to contribute to enhanced growth in the presence of BMA (20% v/v). As a diverse set of mutations has already been acquired by various BMA tolerant strains from adaptive evolution (Chapter 5), further evolution or application of other random mutagenesis techniques may or may not contribute further. Thus, rather than application of further mutagenesis, the current set of mutations can be exploited to generate new combinations of mutations through genome shuffling (Biot-Pelletier & Martin, 2014; Gong et al., 2009; Winkler et al., 2010). In this chapter, genome shuffling was used to further improve growth of the evolved strains in the presence of BMA (20% v/v). BMA production in the parental strain and ADE generated BMA tolerant strains was also tested.

7.2 Genome Shuffling

The twelve unique BMA tolerant *E. coli* strains generated from adaptive evolution (Table 5.4) were used as starting population to generate new combinations of mutations *via* genome shuffling. The resulting population from genome shuffling was grown in M9 minimal medium containing BMA (20% v/v) for 2 and 10 sequential batch cultures to facilitate selection and enrichment of better growing strains (Dunlop et al., 2011). The growth in minimal media containing BMA (20% v/v) of the isolates from the enriched genome shuffled strains were compared to RNM-18, which was the best strain isolated from ADE (Chapter 5), to test for improvements. All of the tested strains had slightly lower growth rates, while three strains (RNM-28, RNM-29, and RNM-30) isolated after enrichment with 10 sequential batch transfer were able to achieve higher cell densities as compared to RNM-18 (Fig. 7.1). The isolated strain RNM-29 achieved the highest cell density, which was 32.5% more than that of strain RNM-18.



Figure 7.1 Comparison of max cell density (dashed line) and growth rate (long dash dot dot line) of BMA tolerant isolates from genome shuffling and strain RNM-18. Strains were grown in M9 minimal medium at 37°C and 200 RPM using 250 mL conical flasks with 50 mL media. Legend: Max cell density (diagonal bars), growth rate (dotted bars).

7.2.1 Genome resequencing

The genome sequence of the best strain (RNM-29) isolated from genome shuffling and enrichment was analysed to determine the combination of mutations acquired (Table 7.1). Strain RNM-29 had point mutations in *rob*, *rpoC*, *ilvN*, and *ygbK*, a 1 bp deletion in *pepA*, an 18 bp deletion in *lpxM*, and insertion mutation in *acrR* (Table 7.2 and Appendix Tables 11.3, and 11.4). The point mutations observed in *rob*, *rpoC*, *ilvN*, and *ygbK* caused a single amino acid change at residue 156 (Arg \rightarrow His), 361 (Leu \rightarrow Arg), 41 (Cys \rightarrow Tyr), and 294 (Ala \rightarrow Glu) for Rob, RpoC, IlvN, and YgbK, respectively. The 1 bp deletion in *pepA* led to a change in amino acid sequence starting residue 503. The 18 bp deletion mutation in *lpxM* caused removal of amino acid residues 267-272, but left the remaining amino acid sequence the same as the WT protein. The insertion mutation in *acrR* led to a change in amino acid sequence the same as the WT protein. The insertion mutation in *acrR* led to a change in amino acid sequence the same as the WT protein. The insertion mutation in *acrR* led to a change in amino acid sequence the same as the WT protein. The insertion mutation in *acrR* led to a change in amino acid sequence starting residue 91 and resulted in truncation of the protein at residue 101, instead of residue 215 (Appendix Tables 11.3 and 11.4).

Table 7.1 Summary of the combination of mutations acquired after genome shuffling and enrichment.

Strain	Mutations acquired
RNM-29	acrR(E91fs)

The profile of the mutations present in strain RNM-29 is closest to strain RNM-2 due to the common mutations in *acrR*, *rob*, *ygbK*, *ilvN*, *rpoC*, and *lpxM*. This suggests that the parental strain of RNM-29 was RNM-2, which lost the mutation in *ompT* and acquired a deletion mutation for *pepA*. Aside from the reversion of the mutations in *ompT*, there was no gene conformation that was common with the other strains. The deletion mutation in *pepA* was not observed previously in any of the strains. It is possible that deletion mutation was acquired along the genome shuffling experiment or the enrichment procedure.

7.3 1-Butanol susceptibility

The susceptibility of *E. coli* BW25113 and strain RNM-18 for n-butanol was tested to get an idea of the butanol concentration that can be used for BMA production without completely inhibiting cell growth (Fig. 7.2). It can be observed that *E. coli* BW25113 can still grow until 1-butanol concentration of 0.875% v/v (145 mM), while strain RNM-18 can only grow with 0.5% v/v 1-butanol (83 mM). This suggests that the BMA tolerant strain RNM-18 is more susceptible towards 1-butanol as compared to the parental strain and could potentially limit the working 1-butanol concentration for BMA production.



Figure 7.2 Determination of 1-butanol susceptibility of *E. coli* strains. Cultures were grown for 36 h in M9 minimal medium (5 mL) supplemented with 10 g/L glucose at 37°C and 200 RPM in 30 mL sealed glass vials containing n-butanol at a starting OD600 of 0.05 (dashed line). OD600 after 36 h is indicated in the figure. Legend: *E. coli* BW25113-WT (diagonal bars) and RNM-18 (horizontal bars).

7.4 BMA production

The production of BMA using *E. coli* BW25113-WT and the 6 strains (RNM-2, RNM-5, RNM-18, RNM-21, RNM-22, and RNM-23) used for the transcriptomics analysis (Table 6.1) as the host strain was done to test whether or not the strains with improved BMA tolerance can produce higher titres of BMA as compared to the parental strain. The strains where chosen for the diversity in the profile of affected genes from the acquired mutations and characteristics of growth in the presence of BMA. BMA production was tested *via* a biotransformation to convert 3-methyl-2-oxobutanoate/2-ketoisovalerate (KIV) and 1-butanol to BMA (Fig. 7.3). The host strains were transformed with the plasmid pBAD-MMA050_mACX4 corrected (3), which can be induced with arabinose to express the necessary enzymes for the production of BMA from KIV (Fig. 7.3).





The host strains were allowed grow with aeration for 12 h to achieve high cell density prior to the induction for expression of the enzymes required for BMA production from KIV. Cell densities (OD at 600 nm) of 10-13 were attained (Table 7.2). BMA production was initiated by induction with arabinose (200 µg/mL) and addition of 1-butanol (15 mM). BMA production was observed at 0.005-0.043 mM within 6 h, but remained at this range 24 h after induction (Table 7.3). The highest BMA production was observed with strain RNM-18 and *E. coli* BW25113-WT. Aside from BMA, other butyl esters were also observed to be produced (Appendix Figs. 11.7). The major byproducts observed include butyl isobutyrate (BIB), butyl acetate (BA), and butyl isovalerate (BIV) (Figs. 11.9-11.11).

Time after	Strain	Cell Density	Cell Viability		
induction (h)		(OD at 600 nm)	(CFU/mL)		
	WT	10.32 ± 0.98	$4.15 \times 10^9 \pm 6.46 \times 10^8$		
	RNM-2	10.52 ± 0.17	$3.60 \times 10^8 \pm 2.27 \times 10^8$		
	RNM-5	10.23 ± 0.15	2.47x10 ⁸ ± 1.73x10 ⁸		
0	RNM-18	12.70 ± 0.66	1.06x10 ⁹ ± 2.15x10 ⁸		
	RNM-21	10.32 ± 0.98	$1.45 \times 10^{10} \pm 4.70 \times 10^{9}$		
	RNM-22	12.78 ± 0.95	1.24x10 ⁹ ± 4.63x10 ⁸		
	RNM-23	11.18 ± 0.23	$2.23 \times 10^{10} \pm 1.78 \times 10^{10}$		
	WT	11.38 ± 0.68	$1.31 \times 10^{11} \pm 6.16 \times 10^{10}$		
	RNM-2	13.15 ± 0.09	5.30x10 ⁸ ± 2.88x10 ⁸		
	RNM-5	11.95 ± 0.60	1.99x10 ⁹ ± 1.34x10 ⁹		
6	RNM-18	12.12 ± 0.58	2.37x10 ⁹ ± 4.57x10 ⁸		
	RNM-21	11.38 ± 0.68	$3.03 \times 10^{10} \pm 1.54 \times 10^{10}$		
	RNM-22	11.62 ± 0.98	1.43x10 ⁹ ± 5.91x10 ⁸		
	RNM-23	11.92 ± 0.36	$3.80 \times 10^9 \pm 1.95 \times 10^9$		
	WT	10.35 ± 0.23	2.14x10 ¹⁰ ± 9.19x10 ⁹		
	RNM-2	12.30 ± 0.65	$1.33 \times 10^8 \pm 6.79 \times 10^7$		
	RNM-5	11.07 ± 0.20	2.75x10 ⁸ ± 1.70x10 ⁸		
24	RNM-18	10.75 ± 0.53	2.84x10 ¹⁰ ± 1.58x10 ¹⁰		
	RNM-21	11.38 ± 0.68	5.61x10 ¹⁰ ± 4.04x10 ¹⁰		
	RNM-22	11.02 ± 0.72	$8.30 \times 10^8 \pm 2.64 \times 10^8$		
	RNM-23	11.12 ± 0.47	$3.00 \times 10^9 \pm 1.95 \times 10^9$		

Table 7.2 Cell density and viability *E. coli* strains during BMA production test

Test of BMA of production with *E. coli* BW25113 (WT) or BMA tolerant strains + pKIV_ara using Lund media (with yeast extract; 0.8 g/L) with glycerol (10 g/L) as carbon source, KIV (20 mM), 1-butanol (15 mM), and ampicillin (100 μ g/mL) in 250 mL of conical flask. Cell viability was measured in LB agar with ampicillin (100 μ g/mL).

Unlike BMA, the production of BIB, BA, and BIV increased between 6 and 24 h after induction. BIB, BA, and BIV titres were observed to reach 2.2 mM, 5.8 mM, and 0.4 mM, respectively. The highest production for BIB, BA, and BIV were observed after 24 from *E. coli* BW25113-WT and strain RNM-18, whilst the highest combined butyl esters at 8.4 mM was observed to be produced from *E. coli* BW25113-WT. Selectivity-wise, BMA was produced the lowest among the four butyl esters in all of the host strains after 24 h of production (Table 7.3). The strains *E. coli* BW25113-WT, RNM-18, and RNM-21 produced BA, BIB, BIV, and BMA in decreasing order. In the case of strains RNM2, RNM-5, RNM-22, and RNM-23, BIB, BA, BIV, and BMA were produced in decreasing order.

Strain	Concentration (mM)					Selectivity (%)					
	BMA	BIB	BA	BIV	Total	BMA	BIB	BA	BIV		
6 h after induction											
WT	0.039 ± 0.011	1.838 ± 0.383	0.842 ± .540	0.195 ± .083	2.914 ± .201	1.33	63.07	28.89	6.69		
RNM- 2	0.009 ± 0.002	0.079 ± 0.002	0.028 ± 0.002	0.007 ± 0.001	0.122 ± 0.001	7.38	64.75	22.95	5.74		
RNM- 5	0.017 ± 0.005	0.563 ± 0.179	0.043 ± 0.011	0.037 ± 0.006	0.659 ± 0.054	2.58	85.43	6.53	5.61		
RNM- 18	0.043 ± 0.034	1.182 ± 0.574	0.329 ± 0.286	0.257 ± 0.094	1.810 ± 0.196	2.38	65.30	18.18	14.20		
RNM- 21	0.028 ± 0.002	1.243 ± 0.094	0.621 ± 0.067	0.128 ± 0.009	2.022 ± 0.035	1.38	61.47	30.71	6.33		
RNM- 22	0.006 ± 0.001	0.102 ± 0.022	0.017 ± 0.001	0.005 ± 0.001	0.131 ± 0.007	4.58	77.86	12.98	3.82		
RNM- 23	0.018 ± 0.012	0.365 ± 0.167	0.044 ± 0.016	0.018 ± 0.016	0.445 ± 0.051	4.04	82.02	9.89	4.04		
24 h after induction											
WT	0.034	2.219	5.755	0.367	8.375	0.41	26.50	68.72	4.38		
RNM-	± 0.005 0.010 ± 0.001	± 0.122 0.201 ± 0.036	± .545 0.029 ± 0.003	± .043 0.021 ± 0.001	± .201 0.260 ± 0.011	3.85	77.31	11.15	8.08		
RNM- 5	0.016 ± 0.004	0.895 ± 0.277	0.064 ± 0.018	0.180 ± 0.007	1.156 ± 0.084	1.38	77.42	5.54	15.57		
RNM- 18	0.036 ± 0.027	1.522 ± 0.745	4.781 ± 3.33	0.409 ± 0.068	6.748 ± 1.029	0.53	22.55	70.85	6.06		
RNM- 21	0.024 ± 0.001	1.718 ± 0.078	3.315 ± 0.456	0.27 ± 0.008	5.327 ± 0.140	0.45	32.25	62.23	5.07		
RNM- 22	0.005 ± 0.001	0.198 ± 0.044	0.015 ± 0.001	0.02 ± 0.005	0.238 ± 0.013	2.10	83.19	6.30	8.40		
RNM- 23	0.018 ± 0.007	0.872 ± 0.439	0.083 ± 0.019	0.146 ± 0.017	1.119 ± 0.133	1.61	77.93	7.42	13.05		

Table 7.3 BMA and other butyl esters production in E. coli strains

Test of BMA of production with *E. coli BW25113* (WT) or BMA tolerant strains + pKIV_ara using Lund media (with yeast extract; 0.8 g/L) with glycerol (10 g/L) as carbon source, KIV (20 mM), 1-butanol (15 mM), and ampicillin (100 μ g/mL) in 250 mL of conical flask. Legend: BMA = butyl methacrylate, BIB = butyl isobutyrate, BA = butyl acetate, BIV = butyl isovalerate

The results from BMA production *via* biotransformation revealed that the current attainable BMA titres (0.04 mM) is very far from achieving phase separation (<5.6 mM). In addition to that, the BMA tolerant strains were not able to produce more BMA as compared to the parental strain. However, the halt in BMA production was likely not due to BMA/butyl esters toxic effect to the host strain. The cell viability of the host strains were observed to be stable, which suggests that BMA nor the butyl ester combination did not reach a lethal concentration. In addition, the esterification *via* the AAT can still be observed to be active after 6h due to the observed increase in the titres of the other butyl esters. The abundance of the other butyl esters observed from the biotransformation

suggests that the AAT used in the current production system is not specific for BMA production (Sato et al., 2017). Thus, the BMA production may be limited due to a low selectivity for BMA production by the AAT and/or possibly a low availability of methacrylyl-COA. In this regard, the optimal BMA production may have not yet been reached. Hence, it will be difficult to fully assess the actual potential of the parental strain and BMA tolerant strains ability to produce BMA.

7.5 Conclusions

The application of genome shuffling followed by enrichment allowed the generation of a BMA tolerant strains with improved growth in the presence of 20% v/v BMA. In the case of BMA production, the use of the BMA tolerant strains as the host strain did not improve BMA titres. However, the current production system does not seem to be limited by the toxicity of BMA. Thus, it will be necessary to address the selectivity of the AAT and limitation of BMA titres before one can fully assess the potential of the evolved strains as hosts for BMA production.

Overall Discussions

The results from genome resequencing and RNA sequence may have given a glimpse on the potential mechanism of BMA toxicity added externally as well as the mechanism of BMA tolerance in *E. coli*. Enhancement in BMA tolerance of *E. coli* was likely due to the mutations acquired along the evolution process (Tables 5.3, 5.4, and 5.5). Presence of an *acrR* and of either a *marR*, soxR, or rob mutation (Table 5.3) in each of the genome sequenced BMA tolerant strains and the genome shuffled strain generated in this study and a *soxR* mutation in each of the BMA tolerant strain isolated from the preceding work (Disley, 2018), suggests the potential role of the MarA-SoxS-Rob regulatory network in BMA tolerance. Furthermore, the differential upregulation of acrA and acrB in each of the RNA sequenced strains (Table 6.3) indicates that the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump might play a key role in BMA tolerance. The AcrAB-TolC efflux pump has been implicated as a vital contributor in the enhanced resistance of *E. coli* for antibiotics (Du et al., 2014; Müller Reinke & Pos Klaas, 2015) and organic solvents (Table 2.6) (Aono, 1998; Shah et al., 2013; White et al., 1997). The acrR mutations acquired by the BMA tolerant strains may have contributed to the activation of *acrAB*, if the changes in its structure caused a reduction in DNA binding/repressor activity (Li et al., 2007; Routh et al., 2009). The reduction in repression by AcrR can lead to enhanced activation of acrAB.

In addition to the *acrR*, the mutations in *marR*, *soxR*, and *rob* also have the potential to activate *acrAB* (Grkovic et al., 2002; Grkovic et al., 2001). MarR is a repressor of *marA*, which encodes MarA that is known to activate *acrAB* (Grkovic et al., 2001). It is possible that the mutation acquired in *marR*, which was located along the DNA and salicylate binding region (Alekshun et al., 2001), altered the structure of MarR to reduce its DNA binding/repressor activity and facilitate the observed increased activation of *marA* (Fig. 6.3 MarA-SoxS-Rob) in the BMA tolerant strain RNM-21. Consequently, the increased expression in *marA* may have contributed to the observed upregulation in *acrAB* (Fig. 6.3 MarA-SoxS-Rob).

SoxR is an activator of soxS that encodes SoxS, which is also capable of acrAB activiation (Grkovic et al., 2002; Grkovic et al., 2001). Two of the soxR mutations (RNM-5 and RNM-6) from the BMA tolerant strains affected the Fe-S cluster domain, while the other caused an alteration at the DNA binding domain (Appendix Table 11.5). Similar mutations in these regions of SoxR have been reported in solvent tolerant strains (Chander & Demple, 2004; Chander et al., 2003; Hidalgo et al., 1997; Nakajima et al., 1995; Nunoshiba & Demple, 1994). Alteration or truncation at the C-terminal domain at amino acid residue 136 and above allowed constitutive expression of soxS, (Chander & Demple, 2004; Nakajima et al., 1995; Nunoshiba & Demple, 1994). On the other hand, the mutation found in strain RNM-23 in soxR at the DNA binding site may have affected SoxR through its DNA binding affinity in the soxS promoter region (Chander & Demple, 2004; Chander et al., 2003) and/or redox potential (Bains & Warren, 2016; Hidalgo et al., 1997; Olson et al., 2013). Thus, it is possible that the mutations observed in soxR and their corresponding alterations for SoxR to cause the observed upregulation of soxS and contribute to the observed activation of acrAB (Fig. 6.3 MarA-SoxS-Rob).

Rob is also known as an activator of *acrAB*. Rob is believed to form clusters through self-sequestration that hinders its DNA binding activity and liberated into its active form upon contact with its activators (e.g. dipyridyl and bile salts) (Azam et al., 2000; Griffith et al., 2009; Rosenberg et al., 2003; Rosner et al., 2002). No increase in expression of *rob* was observed for the BMA tolerant strains (RNM-2, RNM-18, and RNM-22) that acquired mutations in *rob* (Table 5.4 and Fig. 6.3 MarA-SoxS-Rob). It might be possible that the mutations acquired in *rob* allowed the increase of free/active Rob, that would have a similar effect to overexpression (Gee et al., 2000; Li et al., 2014b; Teng et al., 2010) (Azam et al., 2000; Griffith et al., 2009; Rosner et al., 2002) and consequently contribute to the observed increased expression of *acrAB* (Fig. 6.3 MarA-SoxS-Rob).

The other notable observation in the BMA tolerant strains was the presence of either an *rpoB* or *rpoC* mutation in strains that were able to grow at a maximum growth rate of <0.70 /h in the presence of BMA (20% v/v) (Tables 5.2 and 5.3). The *rpoB* and *rpoC* genes encode the 1342 amino acid-residues ß (Wang et

al., 1997) and 1407 amino acid-residues ß' (Nedea et al., 1999) subunit of the RNA polymerase, respectively. The *E. coli* RNA polymerase is responsible for its DNA transcription and main target of transcriptional regulation. Its core enzyme is composed of 2 α , ß, ß', and ω subunits (Finn et al., 2000; Molodtsov et al., 2013; Murakami, 2013; Nedea et al., 1999; Wang et al., 1997), where the subunits ß and ß' form the catalytic subunit (Finn et al., 2000). Mutations in *rpoB and rpoC* at diverse gene locations have been observed in various evolved strains under minimal media (Conrad et al., 2010), antibiotic (Brandis et al., 2012), thermal (Rodríguez-Verdugo et al., 2016; Rodríguez-Verdugo et al., 2015), or osmotic pressure stress (Utrilla et al., 2016; Xiao et al., 2017).

In general, the rpoB or rpoC mutations from adaptive evolution caused alterations in the RNA polymerase subunit's structure and protein-protein interaction (Utrilla et al., 2016) as well as changes in gene expression (Brandis et al., 2012; Conrad et al., 2010; Rodríguez-Verdugo et al., 2016; Rodríguez-Verdugo et al., 2015; Utrilla et al., 2016; Xiao et al., 2017). The changes in gene expression led to restoration of expression for hundreds of genes towards the pre-stress level (Rodríguez-Verdugo et al., 2016; Rodríguez-Verdugo et al., 2015) and improved metabolic and energy efficiency (Utrilla et al., 2016; Xiao et al., 2017). The rpoB or rpoC mutants were able to grow faster in the stress conditions as compared to the wild-type/parental strain (Brandis et al., 2012; Conrad et al., 2010; Rodríguez-Verdugo et al., 2016; Rodríguez-Verdugo et al., 2015; Utrilla et al., 2016; Xiao et al., 2017). Likewise, the strains that acquired either an *rpoB* or *rpoC* mutation/s, except for strain RNM-2, had growth rates (measured with 20% v/v BMA) comparable to the wild-type/parental strain grown in the absence of BMA. It is possible that mutations acquired in *rpoC* or rpoB in these BMA tolerant strains had the similar effects on its structure and gene expression, which could allow the strains to grow at a rate similar to nonstress conditions.

The mutations that were not found in each of the BMA tolerant strains may still have contributed towards BMA tolerance. However, since these mutations always appear together with other mutations related to solvent tolerance; it is likely that they are not as vital as the mutations in the MarA-SoxS-Rob regulatory network. Thus, they may not necessarily be direct contributors

towards BMA tolerance but could act as compensatory mutations for the loss in fitness (Brandis et al., 2012; Conrad et al., 2010; Rodríguez-Verdugo et al., 2016; Rodríguez-Verdugo et al., 2015; Utrilla et al., 2016; Xiao et al., 2017). Consequently, the differences in the combination of mutations acquired by each of the BMA tolerant strains and their effect on the overall gene expression (Table 5.3 and Appendix Tables 11.6 and 11.7) may have contributed to the differences in the length of lag phase, maximum observed cell density, and/or growth rate observed with the BMA tolerant *E. coli* strains grown in the presence of BMA (20% v/v).

As BMA (20% v/v) was added externally, the first line of defence for the cell is its cell envelope that serves as a barrier protecting essential cell components and regulating the transport of molecules between the cell's internal and external environment (Murínová & Dercová, 2014; Silhavy et al., 2010; Weber & de Bont, 1996). Organic solvent like molecules, such as BMA, with a log of the octanol and water partition coefficient (log P) value below 4 are known to have high affinity for the membrane bilayer (Sardessai & Bhosle, 2002). The log P value of BMA (2.9) and solubility studies of BMA in model membrane components (Personal communication from Dr. Boyan Bonev and Lucite International) suggests that BMA can accumulate in the cell membrane and potentially cause membrane damage.

Based on the results of the transcriptomics analysis, the interaction of BMA with the cellular membrane may have promoted various membrane related stresses such osmotic stress and membrane stored curvature elastic (SCE) stress (Bass et al., 2002; Flores-Kim & Darwin, 2016; Jovanovic et al., 2010; Jovanovic et al., 2006) and possibly the disruption of membrane integrity and fluidity (Murínová & Dercová, 2014), proton motive force (PMF) (Flores-Kim & Darwin, 2016; Jovanovic et al., 2010; Jovanovic et al., 2010; Jovanovic et al., 2006), and the difference of the intracellular and extracelluar pH (Δ pH) (Farha et al., 2013; Meyer-Rosberg et al., 1996). In addition to membrane related perturbations, BMA could have interacted with other cellular components (lipids, protein, DNA, and RNA) and cause direct damage by denaturation and indirect damage (Asakura et al., 1978; Murínová & Dercová, 2014; Segura et al., 2012) *via* the ROS (Pérez-Gallardo et al., 2013). The various damaging effects of BMA could have

contributed to the likely inhibition in DNA replication, protein synthesis and cell growth (Chapters 5 and 6).

In order to alleviate the deleterious effects of BMA, with the help of the mutations gained from evolution the BMA tolerant strains activated/deactivated various genes that allowed cellular membrane modification, active efflux of BMA, reduction in the amount of ROS, maintenance of pH, repair of damaged cellular components, and adjustment of energy metabolism and biosynthesis of essential building blocks for cellular components (Chapters 5 and 6).

As membrane composition is an important adaptation mechanism for bioproduct tolerance, changes in membrane composition may have contributed to the observed BMA tolerance (Ramos et al., 1997; Sikkema et al., 1995). The differential upregulation of *cfa* (Table 11.6) in the BMA tolerant strains after exposure to BMA (20% v/v) suggests an adaptation mechanism through modification of an unsaturated fatty acid into a cyclopropane fatty, which are known to have an increased bulk as compared to the unsaturated fatty acid counterpart (Courtois et al., 2004; Murínová & Dercová, 2014; Perly et al., 1985; Royce et al., 2014). Perhaps, this mechanism would allow to accommodate BMA in the membrane to restore membrane fluidity and integrity (Courtois et al., 2004; Perly et al., 1985). Mutations acquired in genes related to lipid biosynthesis also have the potential to alter membrane composition and properties. This include *lpxM* and *clsA* that encode the Lipid A biosynthesis myristoyltransferase (LpxM) (Emiola et al., 2015) and cardiolipin synthase A (ClsA) (Romantsov et al., 2018), respectively. It is possible that the mutations acquired in the lipid synthesis related genes resulted in an altered activity, which could potentially affect the abundance of myristate or cardiolipin phospholipid in the cell membrane. The mutation in *opgH*, which encodes the glucans biosynthesis glucosyltransferase H (OpgH) is another alteration that has the potential to change membrane composition and property. OpgH is one of the enzymes responsible for the biosynthesis of the backbone of osmoregulated periplasmic glucans (OPGs) that are associated with regulation of motility and secretion of exopolysaccharides.
The active efflux of BMA was likely accomplished via the AcrAB-TolC efflux system (Aono, 1998; Shah et al., 2013; White et al., 1997), which can be linked from the observed differential upregulation of *acrAB* in the evolved strains (Fig. 6.3 MarA-SoxS-Rob). Other potential contributors to the active efflux of BMA are the uncharacterized proteins encoded by *ybhFSR* and *ybhG*, which were both differentially upregulated upon exposure of the *E. coli* strains to BMA (20%) v/v) (Fig. 6.7). The gene *ybhFSR* and *ybhG* encode an ATP-binding cassette (ABC)-type transporter and a predicted inner membrane protein of unknown function, respectively. Deletion of the former increased *E. coli* susceptibility for the antibiotic cefoperazone, while deletion of the latter made *E. coli* more sensitive to the antibiotic chloramphenicol as compared to the control strain (Yamanaka et al., 2016). Considering that the AcrAB-TolC efflux system also extrude a broad range of antibiotics at the outer leaflet of the inner membrane (Seeger et al., 2006) and/or from the periplasm (Murakami et al., 2006) via AcrB, either YbhFSR or YbhG might help transport BMA from the cytoplasm towards the periplasmic space. This could allow *E. coli* to maintain the BMA concentration within the cell at a tolerable level. However, further characterization of these proteins and investigation of the potential synergy with the AcrAB-TolC efflux system would be required.

Reduction in the amount of ROS and their potential damage may have been achieved through the action of the enzymes that directly converts H_2O_2 (KatE, SodB, and SodC) (Seaver & Imlay, 2001; Sorkin & Miller, 1997) and O2⁻ (Sorkin & Miller, 1997) into H_2O and H_2O_2 , as well as reduction of organic hydroperoxides (OsmC) (Lesniak et al., 2003) and quinones (QorA and WrbA) (Maruyama et al., 2003; Patridge & Ferry, 2006). Another route to lessen the amount of ROS and its potential damage is by reducing its generation. This may have been accomplished with the possible $Fe^{3+/2+}$ limitation within the cell (Chapter 6). On the other hand, the intracellular pH may have been maintained *via* the glutamate dependent acid resistance (Braun et al., 2017; Brechtel et al., 1996; Capitani et al., 2003; Langendorf et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2005; Schneider et al., 2013).

Repair of cellular components that may have been damaged directly or indirectly due to BMA exposure can be performed by various stress response

systems (Chapter 6). PspA might play a part in supressing proton leakage from damaged lipid membrane by forming PspA oligomers that binds directly to damaged liposomes (Kobayashi et al., 2007). Protein damage and denaturation may be taken care by molecular chaperones from the acid stress response (HdeA and HdeB) that act in the periplasm (Kern et al., 2007) and the molecular chaperons from the heat shock response (ClpB, DnaK, HspQ, IbpA, and IbpB) that act in the cytoplasm (Bertelsen et al., 2009; Kuczynska-Wisnik et al., 2002; Shimuta et al., 2004; Zolkiewski, 1999). Some of the protein damaged *via* oxidation of sulfur in methionine and reaction with glyoxals may be repaired by MsrA, YajL, and YbhO from the oxidative stress response (Abdallah et al., 2007; Abdallah et al., 2016; St John et al., 2001). Possible involvement of molecular chaperones for BMA tolerance can be extended with the mutations in *dnaK* and *groL* by two separate BMA tolerant strains (Bertelsen et al., 2009; Houry, 2001).

The adjustment of energy metabolism and biosynthesis of essential building block for cellular components may be realized by the enhanced glucose utilization (Dadinova et al., 2016; Lovingshimer et al., 2006; Song et al., 2006; Zimenkov et al., 2005), generation of acetyl-COA for the TCA cycle (Patel et al., 2014), nitrate/nitrite utilization (Blasco et al., 1990; Clegg et al., 2002), amino acid biosynthesis (Fultz et al., 1979), and oxidation reduction processes (Table 6.11). In addition to that, the adjustment in resource allocation *via* reduced synthesis of non-vital cellular components could be beneficial. This may have been realized in part from the reduced expression of genes related to cell motility and chemotaxis (Table 6.4) in the evolved strains, which has been suggested as a resource and energy saving mechanism (Gauger et al., 2007).

The importance of adjusting cellular metabolism to aid in BMA tolerance can also be recognized from the mutations found in strain RNM-18 for the regulatory proteins Cra and CpxA, which have the potential to alter gene expression related to cellular metabolism. Cra functions as a cyclic AMP (cAMP)independent dual regulator for carbon metabolism (Saier & Ramseier, 1996). It serves as a positive regulator for TCA and glyoxylate shunt related genes, but acts as a negative regulator for the pentose phosphate (PP) and Entner Doudoroff (ED) pathway (Sarkar et al., 2008). On the other hand, CpxA is part of the of the CpxA/CpxR two-component signal transduction system that responds to envelope stress. CpxR can be activated and deactivated by CpxA *via* phosphorylation and dephosphorylation, respectively. Activation of CpxR leads to repression of genes related to chemotaxis and biofilm formation, while it enhances the expression of multidrug resistance, chaperone proteins, and proteases (Dorel et al., 2006; Yamamoto & Ishihama, 2006). It is also very interesting to note that the potential key difference in response to BMA exposure for the BMA tolerant strains and the parental strain are mostly compromised of genes related to energy generation and biosynthesis of essential building block for cellular components. Thus, further highlighting its importance for BMA tolerance.

The BMA tolerant strain RNM-18 was found to be more susceptible to 1-butanol as compared to the parental strain. Similar effects on short chain alcohol susceptibility in strains that had an increase in *acrAB* expression have been reported (Ankarloo et al., 2010). The increased susceptibility of the BMA tolerant strains to 1-butanol could potentially further limit the working 1-butanol concentration for BMA production. However, this drawback can be circumvented by controlled addition of 1-butanol that would allow BMA production without inhibiting the host strain. In addition to the process engineering adjustment, 1-butanol tolerance can be enhanced by application of the various host strain engineering approaches presented in Chapter 2.

Actual BMA production in the parental strain and BMA tolerant strains stalled at around 0.035-0.04 mM (Table 7.4). Although the production of BMA in the BMA tolerant strains did not show any improvements in the BMA titres, it does not necessarily indicate that they are not suitable host strains for BMA production. Proper assessment for the potential of the BMA tolerant strains as host for BMA production can only be possible, if the limitations in the current production system can be addressed. The principle of improving bioproduct tolerance is to break the limitations in product titres due to product toxicity or inhibition towards the host strain. Results from the BMA production *via* biotransformation does not suggest that the BMA titre was limited due to toxicity. In addition to that, the presence of other butyl esters at titres 10-100 fold higher than BMA makes it more difficult to assess effects of BMA production to the host strain. Thus, it will be necessary to demonstrate first that the parental strain's BMA production stalled due to its toxicity (Menchavez & Ha, 2019).

In this regard, improvements in the current production system should be implemented. This includes the use of an AAT that would be specific or very selective for BMA production and minimize production of other butyl esters, which may be achieved through enzyme engineering of the current AAT (Kaul & Asano, 2012; Otte & Hauer, 2015) or use of an alternative natural AAT (Kruis et al., 2019). Another potential limitation in the BMA production pathway is the availability of methacrylyl-COA for BMA production. It might be necessary to investigate the limitations of the enzyme ACX4 for BMA production. This could be potentially due to inhibition of BMA and/or possibly the other butyl esters to the enzyme (Gopalan & Srivastava, 1997) and/or limitation in the regeneration of the cofactor FAD (Hou et al., 2017).

It is also interesting to note that BIB titres reached 2 mM and may be close to attaining phase separation. Since BMA can be produced from BIB by a signle oxidative dehydrogenation step (Macho et al., 2004), it might be a potential alternative target product for the bioprocess instead of BMA. In this case, the process developed for BMA can still be applied for BIB production and recovery. BIB can then be converted to BMA *via* a catylytic oxidative dehydrogenation (Macho et al., 2004). Thus, bypassing the potential limitations for this step in the pathway. In addition to that, *E. coli BW25113*-WT can grow in the presence of BIB at 20% v/v (Appendix Fig. 11.16). Strains RNM-5 and RNM-23, which provided relatively titres for BIB, high selectivity for BIB, and low selectivity BA can be explored as potential host strains for BIB production (Table 7.4).

Conclusions and Recommendations for future work

9.1 Conclusions

The aim of this study was to generate a robust host strain for the commercial production of BMA. However, it remains to be seen whether or not this aim has been achieved. Nonetheless, this study has successfully generated *via* adaptive evolution and genome shuffling various *E. coli* strains with improved growth in the presence of BMA up to 20% v/v, which is within the BMA concentration required for the commercial viability of the proposed integrated process for MMA production. This corresponds to a 200-fold increase in the BMA titres that can be tolerated relative to the parental strain. The best strain generated from adaptive evolution was able to achieve a 3.1-fold and 1.2-fold improvements in cell density and growth rate, respectively as compared to the previously isolated BMA tolerant strain generated from genome shuffling and enrichment gained a 1.3-fold enhancement in the cell density achieved, when grown in the presence of BMA (20% v/v), as compared to the best BMA tolerant strain isolated from adaptive evolution.

Understanding of the potential mechanisms of tolerance was facilitated by genome and RNA sequencing. The observed enhanced growth of the evolved strain in BMA (20% v/v) was likely due to the acquired mutations in *acrR*, *marR*, *soxR*, and *rob* and the involvement of the MarA-Sox-Rob regulatory network, especially the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump. In order to combat the deleterious effects and allow growth in the presence of BMA, the BMA tolerant strains may have adapted by adjusting their gene expression. The adjustments in gene expression potentially allowed cellular membrane modification, active efflux of BMA, reduction in the amount of ROS, maintenance of pH, repair of damaged cellular components, and adjustment of energy metabolism and biosynthesis of essential building blocks for cellular components.

The use of the BMA tolerant strains as the host strain did not improve BMA titres. However, the BMA titres obtained from the *E. coli* strains does not seem

to be limited by BMA toxicity to the host strain. Thus, it will be necessary to address the bottlenecks in the production pathway and demonstrate that the parental strain's BMA production is limited due to its toxicity. Hence, whether or not the enhanced BMA tolerance can lead to higher BMA titres can't be properly assessed at this moment.

9.2 Recommendations for future work

Although the results obtained were able to address the objectives set to help achieve the aim of this study, it may be necessary to verify some of the potentially vital observations or investigated further to enable future host and pathway engineering efforts.

In the case of BMA tolerance, a number of potentially important genes affected by mutations or change in gene expression have been identified. Firstly, the verification on the role of the mutations found in the evolved strains for BMA tolerance, especially the ones in *acrR*, *marR*, *soxR*, and *rob*, would help identify the beneficial mutations. This can be achieved through genome integration of the acquired mutation into the parental strain and tested for growth in the presence of BMA. Similarly, the role of the genes differently expressed relative to the parental strain and after BMA exposure in the BMA tolerant strains that are potentially vital to BMA tolerance can be verified by overexpression or silencing of the gene of interest. Notable differentially expressed genes include acrAB, ybhFSR and ybhG, which have a potential role in BMA efflux. It would also be interesting to see whether or not overexpression or silencing of the genes that were exclusively differentially expressed in the BMA tolerant strains (Table 6.10) would be enough to confer tolerance to the parental the strain. Likewise, it would be intriguing to test the differentially enriched transcription factors from the differentially expressed genes (from the transcriptomics analysis) for GTME/directed evolution to confer tolerance in E. coli towards BMA.

BMA production-wise, exploration of alternative AAT's or enzyme engineering of the current AAT should be done to minimize the other butyl ester byproducts. It would also be necessary to investigate the limitations of the enzyme ACX4 for BMA production, especially on the potential product inhibition and limitation on the regeneration of the cofactor FAD. Once these limitations are addressed, the BMA tolerant strains generated in this study can be tested for BMA production. As an alternative to the BMA bioprocess route, it might be worthwhile exploring the BIB bioprocess route for BMA and MMA production.

In addition to the verification and further investigation of the results from the study, It might be worthwhile exploring direct production MMA from the renewable microbial process. In this case, the transesterification step would be unnecessary (Fig. 1.1). The approaches used in this study can be applied to develop an MMA tolerant host strain. Major considerations for this process would be the alternative recovery options, due to high solobulity of in H₂O (15 g/L) and close proximity of its boiling point to H₂O (101 °C vs 100 °C), and AAT that would be specific for MMA production.

References

- Abdallah, J., Caldas, T., Kthiri, F., Kern, R., Richarme, G. 2007. YhbO protects cells against multiple stresses. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **189**(24), 9140-9144.
- Abdallah, J., Mihoub, M., Gautier, V., Richarme, G. 2016. The DJ-1 superfamily members YhbO and YajL from *Escherichia coli* repair proteins from glycation by methylglyoxal and glyoxal. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, **470**(2), 282-286.
- Abe, T. 1999. New process for methylmethacrylate MGC's New ACH Process for MMA. in: *Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis*, (Eds.) H. Hattori, K. Otsuka, Vol. 121, Elsevier, pp. 461-464.
- Ai, M. 2005. Formation of methyl methacrylate by condensation of methyl propionate with formaldehyde over silica-supported cesium hydroxide catalysts. *Applied Catalysis A: General*, **288**(1), 211-215.
- Akhtar, M.K., Turner, N.J., Jones, P.R. 2013. Carboxylic acid reductase is a versatile enzyme for the conversion of fatty acids into fuels and chemical commodities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **110**(1), 87.
- Alekshun, M.N., Levy, S.B. 1999. The mar regulon: multiple resistance to antibiotics and other toxic chemicals. *Trends in Microbiology*, **7**(10), 410-413.
- Alekshun, M.N., Levy, S.B. 1997. Regulation of chromosomally mediated multiple antibiotic resistance: The mar regulon. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, **41**(10), 2067-2075.

- Alekshun, M.N., Levy, S.B., Mealy, T.R., Seaton, B.A., Head, J.F. 2001. The crystal structure of MarR, a regulator of multiple antibiotic resistance, at 2.3 Å resolution. *Nature Structural Biology*, **8**(8), 710-714.
- Allakhverdiev, S.I., Kinoshita, M., Inaba, M., Suzuki, I., Murata, N. 2001. Unsaturated fatty acids in membrane lipids protect the photosynthetic machinery against salt-induced damage in *Synechococcus*. *Plant Physiology*, **125**(4), 1842-1853.
- Alper, H., Stephanopoulos, G. 2007. Global transcription machinery engineering: A new approach for improving cellular phenotype. *Metabolic Engineering*, 9(3), 258-267.
- Alterman, M.A., Hanzlik, R.P. 2002. Hydroxylation of fatty acids by microsomal and reconstituted cytochrome P450 2B1. *FEBS Letters*, **512**(1-3), 319-322.
- Andrews, S.C., Robinson, A.K., Rodríguez-Quiñones, F. 2003. Bacterial iron homeostasis. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, **27**(2-3), 215-237.
- Anfelt, J., Hallström, B., Nielsen, J., Uhlén, M., Hudson, E.P. 2013. Using transcriptomics to improve butanol tolerance of *Synechocystis sp.* strain PCC 6803. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, **79**(23), 7419.
- Ankarloo, J., Wikman, S., Nicholls, I.A. 2010. Escherichia coli mar and acrAB mutants display no tolerance to simple alcohols. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 11(4), 1403-1412.
- Annous, B.A., Blaschek, H.P. 1991. Isolation and characterization of *Clostridium acetobutylicum* mutants with enhanced amylolytic activity. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **57**(9), 2544-2548.

- Aono, R. 1998. Improvement of organic solvent tolerance level of *Escherichia coli* by overexpression of stress-responsive genes. *Extremophiles*, **2**(3), 239-248.
- Arsène, F., Tomoyasu, T., Bukau, B. 2000. The heat shock response of *Escherichia coli*. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, **55**(1), 3-9.
- Artimo, P., Jonnalagedda, M., Arnold, K., Baratin, D., Csardi, G., de Castro, E., Duvaud, S., Flegel, V., Fortier, A., Gasteiger, E., Grosdidier, A., Hernandez, C., Ioannidis, V., Kuznetsov, D., Liechti, R., Moretti, S., Mostaguir, K., Redaschi, N., Rossier, G., Xenarios, I., Stockinger, H. 2012. ExPASy: SIB bioinformatics resource portal. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **40**(Web Server issue), W597-W603.
- Asako, H., Nakajima, H., Kobayashi, K., Kobayashi, M., Aono, R. 1997. Organic solvent tolerance and antibiotic resistance increased by overexpression of *marA* in *Escherichia coli*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 63(4), 1428-1433.
- Asakura, T., Adachi, K., Schwartz, E. 1978. Stabilizing effect of various organic solvents on protein. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **253**(18), 6423-6425.
- Ashburner, M., Ball, C.A., Blake, J.A., Botstein, D., Butler, H., Cherry, J.M., Davis, A.P., Dolinski, K., Dwight, S.S., Eppig, J.T., Harris, M.A., Hill, D.P., Issel-Tarver, L., Kasarskis, A., Lewis, S., Matese, J.C., Richardson, J.E., Ringwald, M., Rubin, G.M., Sherlock, G. 2000. Gene ontology: Tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. *Nature Genetics*, **25**(1), 25-29.
- Aslam, B., Basit, M., Nisar, M.A., Khurshid, M., Rasool, M.H. 2017. Proteomics: Technologies and their applications. *Journal of Chromatographic Science*, **55**(2), 182-196.

- Atsumi, S., Wu, T.-Y., Machado, I.M.P., Huang, W.-C., Chen, P.-Y., Pellegrini,
 M., Liao, J.C. 2010. Evolution, genomic analysis, and reconstruction of
 isobutanol tolerance in *Escherichia coli*. *Molecular Systems Biology*, 6, 449-449.
- Atwood, K.C., Schneider, L.K., Ryan, F.J. 1951. Periodic selection in Escherichia coli. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 37(3), 146-155.
- Azam, T.A., Hiraga, S., Ishihama, A. 2000. Two types of localization of the DNAbinding proteins within the *Escherichia coli* nucleoid. *Genes to Cells*, 5(8), 613-626.
- Baba, T., Ara, T., Hasegawa, M., Takai, Y., Okumura, Y., Baba, M., Datsenko,
 K.A., Tomita, M., Wanner, B.L., Mori, H. 2006. Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: The Keio collection. *Molecular Systems Biology*, 2, 2006.0008-2006.0008.
- Baev, M.V., Baev, D., Jansco Radek, A., Campbell, J.W. 2006. Growth of *Escherichia coli* MG1655 on LB medium: monitoring utilization of amino acids, peptides, and nucleotides with transcriptional microarrays. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, **71**(3), 317-322.
- Baicha, A., Vogel, H. 1962. N-Acetyl-gamma-Ilutamokinase and Nacetylglutamic gamma-semialdehyde dehydrogenase: repressible enzymes of arginine synthesis in *Escherichia coli*. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, **4**(7), 491-496.
- Bailey, J.E., Sburlati, A., Hatzimanikatis, V., Lee, K., Renner, W.A., Tsai, P.S.
 2002. Inverse metabolic engineering: A strategy for directed genetic engineering of useful phenotypes. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, **79**(5), 568-579.

- Bains, R.K., Warren, J.J. 2016. A single protein redox ruler. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **113**(2), 248.
- Ballarini, N., Cavani, F., Degrand, H., Etienne, E., Pigamo, A., Trifirò, F., Dubois, J.L. 2007. The oxidation of isobutane to methacrylic acid: An alternative technology for MMA production. in: *Methods and Reagents for Green Chemistry*, pp. 265-279.
- Banerjee, N., Bhatnagar, R., Viswanathan, L. 1981. Inhibition of glycolysis by furfural in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. European Journal of Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 11(4), 226-228.
- Barnett, M.E., Zolkiewska, A., Zolkiewski, M. 2000. Structure and activity of ClpB from *Escherichia coli*. Role of the amino-and -carboxyl-terminal domains. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **275**(48), 37565-37571.
- Baronofsky, J.J., Schreurs, W.J., Kashket, E.R. 1984. Uncoupling by Acetic Acid Limits Growth of and Acetogenesis by *Clostridium thermoaceticum*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **48**(6), 1134-1139.
- Barria, C., Malecki, M., Arraiano, C.M. 2013. Bacterial adaptation to cold. *Microbiology*, **159**(12), 2437-2443.
- Barrick, J.E., Lenski, R.E. 2013. Genome dynamics during experimental evolution. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, **14**, 827.
- Barrick, J.E., Yu, D.S., Yoon, S.H., Jeong, H., Oh, T.K., Schneider, D., Lenski, R.E., Kim, J.F. 2009. Genome evolution and adaptation in a long-term experiment with *Escherichia coli*. *Nature*, **461**, 1243.
- Basak, S., Song, H., Jiang, R. 2012. Error-prone PCR of global transcription factor cyclic AMP receptor protein for enhanced organic solvent (toluene) tolerance. *Process Biochemistry*, **47**(12), 2152-2158.

- Bass, R.B., Strop, P., Barclay, M., Rees, D.C. 2002. Crystal structure of *Escherichia coli* MscS, a voltage-modulated and mechanosensitive channel. *Science*, **298**(5598), 1582.
- Bauermeister, A., Bentchikou, E., Moeller, R., Rettberg, P. 2009. Roles of PprA, IrrE, and RecA in the resistance of *Deinococcus radiodurans* to germicidal and environmentally relevant UV radiation. *Archives of Microbiology*, **191**(12), 913.
- Baumgarten, T., Vazquez, J., Bastisch, C., Veron, W., Feuilloley, M.G.J., Nietzsche, S., Wick, L.Y., Heipieper, H.J. 2012. Alkanols and chlorophenols cause different physiological adaptive responses on the level of cell surface properties and membrane vesicle formation in *Pseudomonas putida* DOT-T1E. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, **93**(2), 837-845.
- Beales, N. 2004. Adaptation of Microorganisms to Cold Temperatures, Weak Acid Preservatives, Low pH, and Osmotic Stress: A Review. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, 3(1), 1-20.
- Beck, H.C. 2005. Branched-chain fatty acid biosynthesis in a branched-chain amino acid aminotransferase mutant of *Staphylococcus carnosus*. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, **243**(1), 37-44.
- Becker, D.F., Thomas, E.A. 2001. Redox properties of the PutA protein from *Escherichia coli* and the influence of the flavin redox state on PutA–DNA interactions. *Biochemistry*, **40**(15), 4714-4721.
- Beketskaia, M.S., Bay, D.C., Turner, R.J. 2014. Outer membrane protein OmpW participates with small multidrug resistance protein member EmrE in quaternary cationic compound efflux. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **196**(10), 1908.

- Benítez-Páez, A., Villarroya, M., Douthwaite, S., Gabaldón, T., Armengod, M.E. 2010. YibK is the 2'-O-methyltransferase TrmL that modifies the wobble nucleotide in *Escherichia coli* tRNA(Leu) isoacceptors. *RNA (New York, N.Y.)*, **16**(11), 2131-2143.
- Benjamin, K.R., Silva, I.R., Cherubim, J.o.P., McPhee, D., Paddon, C.J. 2016.
 Developing commercial production of semi-synthetic artemisinin, and of
 ²-farnesene, an isoprenoid produced by fermentation of Brazilian sugar.
 Journal of the Brazilian Chemical Society, 27, 1339-1345.
- Bennich, T., Belyazid, S. 2017. The route to sustainability—prospects and challenges of the bio-based economy. *Sustainability*, **9**(6), 887.
- Bennich, T., Belyazid, S., Kopainsky, B., Diemer, A. 2018. Understanding the transition to a bio-based economy: Exploring dynamics linked to the agricultural sector in Sweden. *Sustainability*, **10**(5), 1504.
- Bertelsen, E.B., Chang, L., Gestwicki, J.E., Zuiderweg, E.R.P. 2009. Solution conformation of wild-type *E. coli* Hsp70 (DnaK) chaperone complexed with ADP and substrate. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **106**(21), 8471-8476.
- Bindea, G., Mlecnik, B., Hackl, H., Charoentong, P., Tosolini, M., Kirilovsky, A., Fridman, W.-H., Pagès, F., Trajanoski, Z., Galon, J. 2009. ClueGO: A Cytoscape plug-in to decipher functionally grouped gene ontology and pathway annotation networks. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, **25**(8), 1091-1093.
- Biot-Pelletier, D., Martin, V.J.J. 2014. Evolutionary engineering by genome shuffling. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, **98**(9), 3877-3887.
- Black, P.N. 1988. The fadL gene product of *Escherichia coli* is an outer membrane protein required for uptake of long-chain fatty acids and

involved in sensitivity to bacteriophage T2. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **170**(6), 2850-2854.

- Blasco, F., Iobbi, C., Ratouchniak, J., Bonnefoy, V., Chippaux, M. 1990. Nitrate reductases of *Escherichia coli*: Sequence of the second nitrate reductase and comparison with that encoded by the *narGHJI* operon. *Molecular and General Genetics MGG*, **222**(1), 104-111.
- Blom, A., Harder, W., Matin, A. 1992. Unique and overlapping pollutant stress proteins of *Escherichia coli*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 58(1), 331.
- Blount, Z.D., Borland, C.Z., Lenski, R.E. 2008. Historical contingency and the evolution of a key innovation in an experimental population of *Escherichia coli. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **105**(23), 7899.
- Bøggild, A., Sofos, N., Andersen, K.R., Feddersen, A., Easter, A.D., Passmore,
 L.A., Brodersen, D.E. 2012. The crystal structure of the intact *E. coli*RelBE toxin-antitoxin complex provides the structural basis for conditional cooperativity. *Structure (London, England : 1993)*, **20**(10), 1641-1648.
- Bologna, F.P., Campos-Bermudez, V.A., Saavedra, D.D., Andreo, C.S., Drincovich, M.F. 2010. Characterization of *Escherichia coli* EutD: A phosphotransacetylase of the ethanolamine operon. *The Journal of Microbiology*, **48**(5), 629-636.
- Boos, W., Shuman, H. 1998. Maltose/maltodextrin system of *Escherichia coli*. Transport, metabolism, and regulation. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews : MMBR*, **62**(1), 204-229.

- Bordi, C., Théraulaz, L., Méjean, V., Jourlin-Castelli, C. 2003. Anticipating an alkaline stress through the Tor phosphorelay system in *Escherichia coli*. *Molecular Microbiology*, **48**(1), 211-223.
- Bormann, S., Baer, Z.C., Sreekumar, S., Kuchenreuther, J.M., Dean Toste, F., Blanch, H.W., Clark, D.S. 2014. Engineering *Clostridium acetobutylicum* for production of kerosene and diesel blendstock precursors. *Metabolic Engineering*, **25**, 124-130.
- Bou-Nader, C., Montémont, H., Guérineau, V., Jean-Jean, O., Brégeon, D., Hamdane, D. 2017. Unveiling structural and functional divergences of bacterial tRNA dihydrouridine synthases: perspectives on the evolution scenario. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **46**(3), 1386-1394.
- Bradley, M.D., Beach, M.B., de Koning, A.P.J., Pratt, T.S., Osuna, R. 2007. Effects of Fis on *Escherichia coli* gene expression during different growth stages. *Microbiology*, **153**(9), 2922-2940.
- Brandis, G., Wrande, M., Liljas, L., Hughes, D. 2012. Fitness-compensatory mutations in rifampicin-resistant RNA polymerase. *Molecular Microbiology*, **85**(1), 142-151.
- Braun, H.-S., Sponder, G., Aschenbach, J.R., Kerner, K., Bauerfeind, R., Deiner, C. 2017. The GadX regulon affects virulence gene expression and adhesion of porcine enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* in vitro. *Veterinary and Animal Science*, **3**, 10-17.
- Brechtel, C.E., Hu, L., King, S.C. 1996. Substrate Specificity of the Escherichia coli 4-Aminobutyrate Carrier Encoded by gabP: UPTAKE AND COUNTERFLOW OF STRUCTURALLY DIVERSE MOLECULES. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 271(2), 783-788.

- Brehmer, D., Gässler, C., Rist, W., Mayer, M.P., Bukau, B. 2004. Influence of GrpE on DnaK-substrate interactions. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 279(27), 27957-27964.
- Brennan, T.C.R., Turner, C.D., Krömer, J.O., Nielsen, L.K. 2012. Alleviating monoterpene toxicity using a two-phase extractive fermentation for the bioproduction of jet fuel mixtures in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, **109**(10), 2513-2522.
- Brown, L.T., Sutera, V.A., Jr., Zhou, S., Weitzel, C.S., Cheng, Y., Lovett, S.T. 2015. Connecting replication and repair: YoaA, a helicase-related protein, promotes azidothymidine tolerance through association with Chi, an accessory clamp loader protein. *PLoS Genetics*, **11**(11), e1005651-e1005651.
- Brynildsen, M.P., Liao, J.C. 2009. An integrated network approach identifies the isobutanol response network of *Escherichia coli*. *Molecular Systems Biology*, **5**, 277-277.
- Bunch, P.K., Mat-Jan, F., Lee, N., Clark, D.P. 1997. The *IdhA* gene encoding the fermentative lactate dehydrogenase of *Escherichia Coli*. *Microbiology*, **143**(1), 187-195.
- Burgard, A., Burk, M.J., Osterhout, R., Van Dien, S., Yim, H. 2016. Development of a commercial scale process for production of 1,4butanediol from sugar. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, **42**, 118-125.
- Cairns, J., Foster, P.L. 1991. Adaptive reversion of a frameshift mutation in *Escherichia coli. Genetics*, **128**(4), 695-701.
- Call, T.P., Akhtar, M.K., Baganz, F., Grant, C. 2016. Modulating the import of medium-chain alkanes in *E. coli* through tuned expression of FadL. *Journal of Biological Engineering*, **10**(1), 5.

- Calvo, J.M., Matthews, R.G. 1994. The leucine-responsive regulatory protein, a global regulator of metabolism in *Escherichia coli*. *Microbiological Reviews*, **58**(3), 466-490.
- Campbell, J.W., Cronan, J.E. 2001. *Escherichia coli* FadR positively regulates transcription of the fabB fatty acid biosynthetic gene. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **183**(20), 5982-5990.
- Cao, H., Wei, D., Yang, Y., Shang, Y., Li, G., Zhou, Y., Ma, Q., Xu, Y. 2017.
 Systems-level understanding of ethanol-induced stresses and adaptation in *E. coli. Scientific Reports*, **7**, 44150.
- Cao, J., Woodhall, M.R., Alvarez, J., Cartron, M.L., Andrews, S.C. 2007.
 EfeUOB (YcdNOB) is a tripartite, acid-induced and CpxAR-regulated, low-pH Fe²⁺ transporter that is cryptic in *Escherichia coli* K-12 but functional in *E. coli* O157:H7. *Molecular Microbiology*, **65**(4), 857-875.
- Capitani, G., De Biase, D., Aurizi, C., Gut, H., Bossa, F., Grütter, M.G. 2003. Crystal structure and functional analysis of *Escherichia coli* glutamate decarboxylase. *The EMBO Journal*, **22**(16), 4027-4037.
- Carey, V.C., Ingram, L.O. 1983. Lipid composition of *Zymomonas mobilis*: effects of ethanol and glucose. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **154**(3), 1291-1300.
- Cartwright, C.P., juroszek, J.-R., Beavan, M.J., Ruby, F.M.S., De Morais, S.M.F., Rose, A.H. 1986. Ethanol Dissipates the Proton-motive Force across the Plasma Membrane of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Microbiology*, **132**(2), 369-377.
- Caspers, G.-J., Leunissen, J.A.M., de Jong, W.W. 1995. The expanding small heat-shock protein family, and structure predictions of the conserved "α-crystallin domain". *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, **40**(3), 238-248.

- Castanié-Cornet, M.-P., Cam, K., Bastiat, B., Cros, A., Bordes, P., Gutierrez,
 C. 2010. Acid stress response in *Escherichia coli*: Mechanism of regulation of gadA transcription by RcsB and GadE. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 38(11), 3546-3554.
- Caza, M., Garénaux, A., Lépine, F., Dozois, C.M. 2015. Catecholate siderophore esterases Fes, IroD and IroE are required for salmochelins secretion following utilization, but only IroD contributes to virulence of extra-intestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *Molecular Microbiology*, **97**(4), 717-732.
- Chae, T.U., Choi, S.Y., Kim, J.W., Ko, Y.-S., Lee, S.Y. 2017. Recent advances in systems metabolic engineering tools and strategies. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, **47**, 67-82.
- Chander, M., Demple, B. 2004. Functional analysis of SoxR residues affecting transduction of oxidative stress signals into gene expression. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **279**(40), 41603-41610.
- Chander, M., Raducha-Grace, L., Demple, B. 2003. Transcription-defective soxR mutants of Escherichia coli: Isolation and in vivo characterization. Journal of Bacteriology, 185(8), 2441.
- Chang, Y.-Y., Eichel, J., Cronan, J.E. 2000. Metabolic instability of *Escherichia coli* cyclopropane fatty acid synthase is due to RpoH-dependent proteolysis. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **182**(15), 4288.
- Chazarreta Cifré, L., Alemany, M., de Mendoza, D., Altabe, S. 2013. Exploring the biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids in *Bacillus cereus* ATCC 14579 and functional characterization of novel acyl-lipid desaturases. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **79**(20), 6271-6279.
- Chen, T., Wang, J., Yang, R., Li, J., Lin, M., Lin, Z. 2011. Laboratory-evolved mutants of an exogenous global regulator, IrrE from *Deinococcus*

radiodurans, enhance stress tolerances of *Escherichia coli*. *PLOS ONE*, **6**(1), e16228.

- Chen, Z., Rand, R.P. 1998. Comparative Study of the Effects of Several n-Alkanes on Phospholipid Hexagonal Phases. *Biophysical Journal*, **74**(2), 944-952.
- Chiang, S.M., Schellhorn, H.E. 2012. Regulators of oxidative stress response genes in *Escherichia coli* and their functional conservation in bacteria. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, **525**(2), 161-169.
- Chiou, R.Y.Y., Phillips, R.D., Zhao, P., Doyle, M.P., Beuchat, L.R. 2004.
 Ethanol-mediated variations in cellular fatty acid composition and protein profiles of two genotypically different strains of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **70**(4), 2204-2210.
- Chitsaz, M., Brown, Melissa H. 2017. The role played by drug efflux pumps in bacterial multidrug resistance. *Essays In Biochemistry*, **61**(1), 127.
- Cho, B.-K., Federowicz, S.A., Embree, M., Park, Y.-S., Kim, D., Palsson, B.Ø. 2011. The PurR regulon in *Escherichia coli* K-12 MG1655. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **39**(15), 6456-6464.
- Chong, H., Geng, H., Zhang, H., Song, H., Huang, L., Jiang, R. 2014. Enhancing *E. coli* isobutanol tolerance through engineering its global transcription factor cAMP receptor protein (CRP). *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, **111**(4), 700-708.
- Chong, H., Huang, L., Yeow, J., Wang, I., Zhang, H., Song, H., Jiang, R. 2013a. Improving ethanol tolerance of *Escherichia coli* by rewiring its global regulator cAMP receptor protein (CRP). *PLOS ONE*, **8**(2), e57628.

- Chong, H., Yeow, J., Wang, I., Song, H., Jiang, R. 2013b. Improving acetate tolerance of *Escherichia coli* by rewiring its global regulator cAMP receptor protein (CRP). *PLOS ONE*, **8**(10), e77422.
- Chrysostomou, C., Quandt, E.M., Marshall, N.M., Stone, E., Georgiou, G. 2015. An alternate pathway of arsenate resistance in *E. coli* mediated by the glutathione S-transferase GstB. *ACS Chemical Biology*, **10**(3), 875-882.
- Chu, F.L., Sleno, L., Yaylayan, V.A. 2013. Diagnostic lons for the Analysis of Phenylalanine Adducts of Acrylamide and Styrene by ESI-QTOF Mass Spectrometry. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, **61**(43), 10246-10252.
- Chubukov, V., Mukhopadhyay, A., Petzold, C.J., Keasling, J.D., Martín, H.G.
 2016. Synthetic and systems biology for microbial production of commodity chemicals. *Npj Systems Biology And Applications*, **2**, 16009.
- Claret, L., Hughes, C. 2002. Interaction of the atypical prokaryotic transcription activator FlhD2C2 with early promoters of the flagellar gene hierarchy. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, **321**(2), 185-199.
- Clark, D.P., Beard, J.P. 1979. Altered Phospholipid Composition in Mutants of Escherichia coli Sensitive or Resistant to Organic Solvents. *Microbiology*, **113**(2), 267-274.
- Clegg, S., Yu, F., Griffiths, L., Cole, J.A. 2002. The roles of the polytopic membrane proteins NarK, NarU and NirC in *Escherichia coli* K-12: two nitrate and three nitrite transporters. *Molecular Microbiology*, **44**(1), 143-155.
- Conrad, J., Sun, D., Englund, N., Ofengand, J. 1998. The rluC gene of *Escherichia coli* codes for a pseudouridine synthase that is solely responsible for synthesis of pseudouridine at positions 955, 2504, and

2580 in 23 S ribosomal RNA. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **273**(29), 18562-18566.

- Conrad, T.M., Frazier, M., Joyce, A.R., Cho, B.-K., Knight, E.M., Lewis, N.E., Landick, R., Palsson, B.Ø. 2010. RNA polymerase mutants found through adaptive evolution reprogram *Escherichia coli* for optimal growth in minimal media. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **107**(47), 20500-20505.
- Cooper, D.L., Boyle, D.C., Lovett, S.T. 2015. Genetic analysis of *Escherichia coli* RadA: functional motifs and genetic interactions. *Molecular Microbiology*, **95**(5), 769-779.
- Cornelis, P., Wei, Q., Andrews, S.C., Vinckx, T. 2011. Iron homeostasis and management of oxidative stress response in bacteria. *Metallomics*, **3**(6), 540-549.
- Courtois, F., Guérard, C., Thomas, X., Ploux, O. 2004. Escherichia coli cyclopropane fatty acid synthase. European Journal of Biochemistry, 271(23-24), 4769-4778.
- Cremers, C.M., Reichmann, D., Hausmann, J., Ilbert, M., Jakob, U. 2010. Unfolding of metastable linker region is at the core of Hsp33 activation as a redox-regulated chaperone. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 285(15), 11243-11251.
- Cronan, J.E. 2003. Bacterial Membrane Lipids: Where Do We Stand? *Annual Review of Microbiology*, **57**(1), 203-224.
- Cronan, J.E., Jr., Reed, R., Taylor, F.R., Jackson, M.B. 1979. Properties and biosynthesis of cyclopropane fatty acids in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **138**(1), 118-121.

- Csörgő, B., Fehér, T., Tímár, E., Blattner, F.R., Pósfai, G. 2012. Low-mutationrate, reduced-genome *Escherichia coli*: an improved host for faithful maintenance of engineered genetic constructs. *Microbial Cell Factories*, **11**(1), 11.
- Cybulski, L.E., Albanesi, D., Mansilla, M.C., Altabe, S., Aguilar, P.S., De Mendoza, D. 2002. Mechanism of membrane fluidity optimization: isothermal control of the *Bacillus subtilis* acyl-lipid desaturase. *Molecular Microbiology*, **45**(5), 1379-1388.
- Czudnochowski, N., Ashley, G.W., Santi, D.V., Alian, A., Finer-Moore, J., Stroud, R.M. 2014. The mechanism of pseudouridine synthases from a covalent complex with RNA, and alternate specificity for U2605 versus U2604 between close homologs. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **42**(3), 2037-2048.
- Dadinova, L.A., Shtykova, E.V., Konarev, P.V., Rodina, E.V., Snalina, N.E., Vorobyeva, N.N., Kurilova, S.A., Nazarova, T.I., Jeffries, C.M., Svergun, D.I. 2016. X-Ray solution scattering study of four *Escherichia coli* enzymes involved in stationary-phase metabolism. *PLOS ONE*, **11**(5), e0156105.
- Dagert, M., Ehrlich, S.D. 1979. Prolonged incubation in calcium chloride improves the competence of *Escherichia coli* cells. *Gene*, **6**(1), 23-28.
- Dai, M., Ziesman, S., Thomas, R., Ryan, T.G., Copley, S.D. 2005. Visualization of protoplast fusion and quantitation of recombination in fused protoplasts of auxotrophic strains of *Escherichia coli*. *Metabolic Engineering*, 7(1), 45-52.
- Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C.A., Banks, E., DePristo, M.A.,
 Handsaker, R.E., Lunter, G., Marth, G.T., Sherry, S.T., McVean, G.,
 Durbin, R., Genomes Project Analysis, G. 2011. The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, 27(15), 2156-2158.

- Darabi Mahboub, M.J., Dubois, J.-L., Cavani, F., Rostamizadeh, M., Patience,
 G.S. 2018. Catalysis for the synthesis of methacrylic acid and methyl methacrylate. *Chemical Society Reviews*, **47**(20), 7703-7738.
- Davidson, A.L., Shuman, H.A., Nikaido, H. 1992. Mechanism of maltose transport in *Escherichia coli*: transmembrane signaling by periplasmic binding proteins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **89**(6), 2360-2364.
- Davies, E.T. 2013. Green Biologics Ltd.: Commercialising bio-n-butanol. in: *Green Processing and Synthesis*, Vol. 2, pp. 273.
- Deininger, K.N.W., Horikawa, A., Kitko, R.D., Tatsumi, R., Rosner, J.L., Wachi, M., Slonczewski, J.L. 2011. A requirement of ToIC and MDR efflux pumps for acid adaptation and GadAB induction in *Escherichia coli*. *PLOS ONE*, 6(4), e18960.
- Desmolaize, B., Fabret, C., Brégeon, D., Rose, S., Grosjean, H., Douthwaite, S. 2011. A single methyltransferase YefA (RImCD) catalyses both m5U747 and m5U1939 modifications in *Bacillus subtilis* 23S rRNA. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **39**(21), 9368-9375.
- Desmond, C., Fitzgerald, G.F., Stanton, C., Ross, R.P. 2004. Improved stress tolerance of GroESL-overproducing *Lactococcus lactis* and probiotic *Lactobacillus paracasei* NFBC 338. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **70**(10), 5929-5936.
- Deutsch, C., El Yacoubi, B., de Crécy-Lagard, V., Iwata-Reuyl, D. 2012. Biosynthesis of threonylcarbamoyl adenosine (t6A), a universal tRNA nucleoside. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **287**(17), 13666-13673.
- Dineshkumar, T.K., Thanedar, S., Subbulakshmi, C., Varshney, U. 2002. An unexpected absence of queuosine modification in the tRNAs of an *Escherichia coli* B strain. *Microbiology*, **148**(12), 3779-3787.

- Disley, Z.B.C. 2018. Towards the bioproduction of methyl methacrylate: Solving the problem of product toxicity. in: *Faculty of Engineering*, Vol. PhD, University of Nottingham. Nottingham, UK.
- Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M., Gingeras, T.R. 2013. STAR: ultrafast universal RNAseq aligner. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, **29**(1), 15-21.
- Dombek, K.M., Ingram, L.O. 1984. Effects of ethanol on the *Escherichia coli* plasma membrane. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **157**(1), 233-239.
- Donato, G.M., Kawula, T.H. 1999. Phenotypic analysis of random *hns* mutations differentiate DNA-binding activity from properties of *fimA* promoter inversion modulation and bacterial motility. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **181**(3), 941-948.
- Dorel, C., Lejeune, P., Rodrigue, A. 2006. The Cpx system of *Escherichia coli*, a strategic signaling pathway for confronting adverse conditions and for settling biofilm communities? *Research in Microbiology*, **157**(4), 306-314.
- Dou, S.-X., Wang, P.-Y., Xu, H.Q., Xi, X.G. 2004. The DNA binding properties of the *Escherichia coli* RecQ helicase. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 279(8), 6354-6363.
- Doukyu, N., Ishikawa, K., Watanabe, R., Ogino, H. 2012. Improvement in organic solvent tolerance by double disruptions of proV and marR genes in *Escherichia coli. Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **112**(3), 464-474.
- Dragosits, M., Mattanovich, D. 2013. Adaptive laboratory evolution principles and applications for biotechnology. *Microbial Cell Factories*, **12**(1), 64.

- Drazic, A., Miura, H., Peschek, J., Le, Y., Bach, N.C., Kriehuber, T., Winter, J. 2013. Methionine oxidation activates a transcription factor in response to oxidative stress. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **110**(23), 9493-9498.
- Drent, E. 1988. Process for the carbonylation of acetylenically unsaturated compounds, (Ed.) USPTO, Shell Oil Co. United Kingdom.
- Du, D., Voss, J., Wang, Z., Chiu, W., Luisi Ben, F. 2015. The pseudo-atomic structure of an RND-type tripartite multidrug efflux pump. *Biological Chemistry*, **396**(9-10), 1073.
- Du, D., Wang, Z., James, N.R., Voss, J.E., Klimont, E., Ohene-Agyei, T., Venter, H., Chiu, W., Luisi, B.F. 2014. Structure of the AcrAB–TolC multidrug efflux pump. *Nature*, **509**, 512.
- Duembengen, G., Fouquet, G., Krabetz, R., Lucas, E., Merger, F., Nees, F. 1985. Process for the preparation of α-alkylacroleins, (Ed.) USPTO, BASF SE.
- Dunlop, M.J., Dossani, Z.Y., Szmidt, H.L., Chu, H.C., Lee, T.S., Keasling, J.D., Hadi, M.Z., Mukhopadhyay, A. 2011. Engineering microbial biofuel tolerance and export using efflux pumps. *Molecular Systems Biology*, 7, 487-487.
- Duval, V., Lister, I.M. 2013. MarA, SoxS and Rob of Escherichia coli Global regulators of multidrug resistance, virulence and stress response. International Journal of Biotechnology for Wellness Industries, 2(3), 101-124.
- Dykhuizen, D.E., Hartl, D.L. 1983. Selection in chemostats. *Microbiological Reviews*, **47**(2), 150-168.

- Eastham, G.R., Stephens, G., Yiakoumetti, A. 2017. Process for the biological production of methacrylic acid and derivatives thereof, (Ed.) WIPO, Lucite InternationI UK Limited.
- Edwards, A.N., Patterson-Fortin, L.M., Vakulskas, C.A., Mercante, J.W., Potrykus, K., Vinella, D., Camacho, M.I., Fields, J.A., Thompson, S.A., Georgellis, D., Cashel, M., Babitzke, P., Romeo, T. 2011. Circuitry linking the Csr and stringent response global regulatory systems. *Molecular Microbiology*, **80**(6), 1561-1580.
- Efe, Ç., van der Wielen, L.A.M., Straathof, A.J.J. 2013. Techno-economic analysis of succinic acid production using adsorption from fermentation medium. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, **56**, 479-492.
- Eguchi, Y., Ishii, E., Hata, K., Utsumi, R. 2011. Regulation of acid resistance by connectors of two-component signal transduction systems in *Escherichia coli. Journal of Bacteriology*, **193**(5), 1222-1228.
- Eiji, S., Michiko, Y., Eiji, N., Fujio, Y., Toshio, F., Wataru, M. 2013. Method for producing methacrylic acid and/or ester thereof, (Ed.) EPO, Mitsubishi Chemical Corp.
- Elena, S.F., Lenski, R.E. 2003. Evolution experiments with microorganisms: the dynamics and genetic bases of adaptation. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 4(6), 457-469.
- Emiola, A., George, J., Andrews, S.S. 2015. A complete pathway model for Lipid A biosynthesis in *Escherichia coli*. *PLOS ONE*, **10**(4), e0121216.
- Erickson, B., Nelson, Winters, P. 2012. Perspective on opportunities in industrial biotechnology in renewable chemicals. *Biotechnology Journal*, 7(2), 176-185.

- Eswaramoorthy, S., Poulain, S., Hienerwadel, R., Bremond, N., Sylvester, M.D., Zhang, Y.-B., Berthomieu, C., Van Der Lelie, D., Matin, A. 2012. Crystal structure of ChrR—A quinone reductase with the capacity to reduce chromate. *PLOS ONE*, **7**(4), e36017.
- Eze, M.O. 1991. Phase transitions in phospholipid bilayers: Lateral phase separations play vital roles in biomembranes. *Biochemical Education*, **19**(4), 204-208.
- Ezraty, B., Gennaris, A., Barras, F., Collet, J.-F. 2017. Oxidative stress, protein damage and repair in bacteria. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, **15**, 385.
- Farha, Maya A., Verschoor, Chris P., Bowdish, D., Brown, Eric D. 2013. Collapsing the proton motive force to identify synergistic combinations against *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Chemistry & Biology*, **20**(9), 1168-1178.
- Feng, Y., Cronan, J.E. 2009. Escherichia coli unsaturated fatty acid synthesis: complex transcription of the fabA gene and in vivo identification of the essential reaction catalyzed by FabB. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 284(43), 29526-29535.
- Fernández, L., Hancock, R.E.W. 2012. Adaptive and mutational resistance: role of porins and efflux pumps in drug resistance. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, **25**(4), 661-681.
- Finn, R.D., Orlova, E.V., Gowen, B., Buck, M., van Heel, M. 2000. Escherichia coli RNA polymerase core and holoenzyme structures. The EMBO Journal, 19(24), 6833-6844.

- Fiocco, D., Capozzi, V., Goffin, P., Hols, P., Spano, G. 2007. Improved adaptation to heat, cold, and solvent tolerance in *Lactobacillus plantarum*. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, **77**(4), 909-915.
- Fislage, M., Roovers, M., Tuszynska, I., Bujnicki, J.M., Droogmans, L., Versées, W. 2012. Crystal structures of the tRNA:m2G6 methyltransferase Trm14/TrmN from two domains of life. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **40**(11), 5149-5161.
- Fleischhaker, F., Haehnel, A.P., Misske, A.M., Blanchot, M., Haremza, S., Barner-Kowollik, C. 2014. Glass-transition-, melting-, and decomposition temperatures of tailored polyacrylates and polymethacrylates: General trends and structure–property relationships. *Macromolecular Chemistry* and Physics, **215**(12), 1192-1200.
- Flores-Kim, J., Darwin, A.J. 2016. The phage shock protein response. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, **70**(1), 83-101.
- Foo, J.L., Jensen, H.M., Dahl, R.H., George, K., Keasling, J.D., Lee, T.S., Leong, S., Mukhopadhyay, A. 2014. Improving microbial biogasoline production in *Escherichia coli* using tolerance engineering. *mBio*, 5(6), e01932-14.
- Foo, J.L., Leong, S.S.J. 2013. Directed evolution of an *E. coli* inner membrane transporter for improved efflux of biofuel molecules. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 6(1), 81.
- Foster, P.L. 1993. Adaptive mutation: The uses of adversity. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, **47**, 467-504.
- Foster, P.L. 2007. Stress-induced mutagenesis in bacteria. *Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, **42**(5), 373-397.

- Fraleigh, S.P., Bungay, H.R., Clesceri, L.S. 1989. Continuous culture, feedback control and auxostats. *Trends in Biotechnology*, **7**(6), 159-164.
- Frietze, S., Farnham, P.J. 2011. Transcription factor effector domains. in: A Handbook of Transcription Factors, (Ed.) T.R. Hughes, Springer Netherlands. Dordrecht, pp. 261-277.
- Fujita, Y., Matsuoka, H., Hirooka, K. 2007. Regulation of fatty acid metabolism in bacteria. *Molecular Microbiology*, **66**(4), 829-839.
- Fultz, P.N., Kwoh, D.Y., Kemper, J. 1979. Salmonella typhimurium newD and Escherichia coli leuC genes code for a functional isopropylmalate isomerase in Salmonella typhimurium-Escherichia coli hybrids. Journal of Bacteriology, **137**(3), 1253.
- Galhardo, R.S., Hastings, P.J., Rosenberg, S.M. 2007. Mutation as a stress response and the regulation of evolvability. *Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, **42**(5), 399-435.
- Gallage, Nethaji J., Møller, Birger L. 2015. Vanillin–Bioconversion and Bioengineering of the Most Popular Plant Flavor and Its De Novo Biosynthesis in the Vanilla Orchid. *Molecular Plant*, **8**(1), 40-57.
- Gallegos, M.T., Schleif, R., Bairoch, A., Hofmann, K., Ramos, J.L. 1997. Arac/XyIS family of transcriptional regulators. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews : MMBR*, **61**(4), 393-410.
- Gama-Castro, S., Salgado, H., Santos-Zavaleta, A., Ledezma-Tejeida, D., Muñiz-Rascado, L., García-Sotelo, J.S., Alquicira-Hernández, K., Martínez-Flores, I., Pannier, L., Castro-Mondragón, J.A., Medina-Rivera, A., Solano-Lira, H., Bonavides-Martínez, C., Pérez-Rueda, E., Alquicira-Hernández, S., Porrón-Sotelo, L., López-Fuentes, A., Hernández-Koutoucheva, A., Del Moral-Chávez, V., Rinaldi, F., Collado-Vides, J. 2016. RegulonDB version 9.0: high-level integration of gene regulation,

coexpression, motif clustering and beyond. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **44**(D1), D133-D143.

- Gambino, L., Gracheck, S.J., Miller, P.F. 1993. Overexpression of the MarA positive regulator is sufficient to confer multiple antibiotic resistance in *Escherichia coli. Journal of Bacteriology*, **175**(10), 2888-2894.
- Gauger, E.J., Leatham, M.P., Mercado-Lubo, R., Laux, D.C., Conway, T., Cohen, P.S. 2007. Role of motility and the *flhDC* operon in *Escherichia coli* MG1655 colonization of the mouse intestine. *Infection and Immunity*, **75**(7), 3315-3324.
- Ge, Y., Wang, D.-Z., Chiu, J.-F., Cristobal, S., Sheehan, D., Silvestre, F., Peng, X., Li, H., Gong, Z., Lam, S.H., Wentao, H., Iwahashi, H., Liu, J., Mei, N., Shi, L., Bruno, M., Foth, H., Teichman, K. 2013. Environmental OMICS: Current status and future directions. *Journal of Integrated OMICS*, 3(2), 75-87.
- Gee, S.H., Quenneville, S., Lombardo, C.R., Chabot, J. 2000. Single-amino acid substitutions alter the specificity and affinity of PDZ domains for their ligands. *Biochemistry*, **39**(47), 14638-14646.
- Geiger, O., Sohlenkamp, C. 2015. Bacterial membrane lipids: diversity in structures and pathways. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, **40**(1), 133-159.
- Gérando, H.M.d., Fayolle-Guichard, F., Rudant, L., Millah, S.K., Monot, F., Lopes Ferreira, N., López-Contreras, A.M. 2016. Improving isopropanol tolerance and production of *Clostridium beijerinckii DSM 6423* by random mutagenesis and genome shuffling. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, **100**(12), 5427-5436.
- Giangrossi, M., Zattoni, S., Tramonti, A., De Biase, D., Falconi, M. 2005. Antagonistic role of H-NS and GadX in the regulation of the glutamate

decarboxylase-dependent acid resistance system in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **280**(22), 21498-21505.

- Gong, J., Zheng, H., Wu, Z., Chen, T., Zhao, X. 2009. Genome shuffling: Progress and applications for phenotype improvement. *Biotechnology Advances*, **27**(6), 996-1005.
- Gong, S., Richard, H., Foster, J.W. 2003. YjdE (AdiC) is the arginine:agmatine antiporter essential for arginine-dependent acid resistance in *Escherichia coli. Journal of Bacteriology*, **185**(15), 4402-4409.
- Gopalakrishnan, B., Khanna, N., Das, D. 2019. Chapter 4 Dark-fermentative biohydrogen production. in: *Biohydrogen (Second Edition)*, (Eds.) A. Pandey, S.V. Mohan, J.-S. Chang, P.C. Hallenbeck, C. Larroche, Elsevier, pp. 79-122.
- Gopalan, K.V., Srivastava, D.K. 1997. Inhibition of acyl-CoA xxidase by phenol and its implication in measurement of the enzyme activity via the peroxidase-coupled assay system. *Analytical Biochemistry*, **250**(1), 44-50.
- Gordo, I., Sousa, A. 2010. Mutation, selection and genetic interactions in bacteria. in: *eLS*.
- Grabowicz, M., Silhavy, T.J. 2017. Envelope Stress Responses: An Interconnected Safety Net. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, **42**(3), 232-242.
- Graves, P.R., Haystead, T.A.J. 2002. Molecular biologist's guide to proteomics. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews : MMBR*, **66**(1), 39-63.
- Grenier, F., Matteau, D., Baby, V., Rodrigue, S. 2014. Complete genome sequence of *Escherichia coli* BW25113. *Genome Announcements*, **2**(5), e01038-14.

- Griffith, K.L., Fitzpatrick, M.M., Keen, E.F., 3rd, Wolf, R.E., Jr. 2009. Two functions of the C-terminal domain of *Escherichia coli* Rob: Mediating "sequestration-dispersal" as a novel off-on switch for regulating Rob's activity as a transcription activator and preventing degradation of Rob by Lon protease. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, **388**(3), 415-430.
- Griffiths, A., Gelbart, W., Miller, J. 1999. The molecular basis of mutation. in: *Modern Genetic Analysis*, (Eds.) A.J. Griffiths, W.M. Gelbart, J.H. Miller, R.C. Lewontin, W.H. Freeman. New York.
- Grkovic, S., Brown, M.H., Skurray, R.A. 2002. Regulation of bacterial drug export systems. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews : MMBR*, 66(4), 671-701.
- Grkovic, S., Brown, M.H., Skurray, R.A. 2001. Transcriptional regulation of multidrug efflux pumps in bacteria. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology, 12(3), 225-237.
- Grogan, D.W., Cronan, J.E., Jr. 1984. Cloning and manipulation of the *Escherichia coli* cyclopropane fatty acid synthase gene: physiological aspects of enzyme overproduction. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **158**(1), 286-295.
- Grogan, D.W., Cronan, J.E., Jr. 1997. Cyclopropane ring formation in membrane lipids of bacteria. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews : MMBR*, 61(4), 429-441.
- Guan, J., Song, K., Xu, H., Wang, Z., Ma, Y., Shang, F., Kan, Q. 2009. Oxidation of isobutane and isobutene to methacrolein over hydrothermally synthesized Mo–V–Te–O mixed oxide catalysts. *Catalysis Communications*, **10**(5), 528-532.

- Guan, J., Xu, C., Liu, B., Yang, Y., Ma, Y., Kan, Q. 2008. Partial oxidation of isobutane over hydrothermally synthesized Mo–V–Te–O mixed oxide catalysts. *Catalysis Letters*, **126**(3), 301-307.
- Guisbert, E., Herman, C., Lu, C.Z., Gross, C.A. 2004. A chaperone network controls the heat shock response in *E. coli. Genes & Development*, **18**(22), 2812-2821.
- Gunasekara, S.M., Hicks, M.N., Park, J., Brooks, C.L., Serate, J., Saunders, C.V., Grover, S.K., Goto, J.J., Lee, J.-W., Youn, H. 2015. Directed evolution of the *Escherichia coli* cAMP receptor protein at the cAMP pocket. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **290**(44), 26587-26596.
- Guo, A.C., Jewison, T., Wilson, M., Liu, Y., Knox, C., Djoumbou, Y., Lo, P., Mandal, R., Krishnamurthy, R., Wishart, D.S. 2013. ECMDB: The *E. coli* metabolome database. *Nucleic acids research*, **41**(Database issue), D625-D630.
- Guzzo, J. 2012. Biotechnical applications of small heat shock proteins from bacteria. *The International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology*, 44(10), 1698-1705.
- Hall, B.G., Acar, H., Nandipati, A., Barlow, M. 2013. Growth rates made easy. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **31**(1), 232-238.
- Hansen, A.S.L., Lennen, R.M., Sonnenschein, N., Herrgård, M.J. 2017. Systems biology solutions for biochemical production challenges. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, **45**, 85-91.
- Harami, G.M., Nagy, N.T., Martina, M., Neuman, K.C., Kovács, M. 2015. The HRDC domain of *E. coli* RecQ helicase controls single-stranded DNA translocation and double-stranded DNA unwinding rates without affecting mechanoenzymatic coupling. *Scientific Reports*, **5**, 11091-11091.

- Harder, W., Kuenen, J.G., Matin, A. 1977. Microbial selection in continuous culture. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, **43**(1), 1-24.
- Hassan, K.A., Elbourne, L.D.H., Li, L., Gamage, H.K.A.H., Liu, Q., Jackson, S.M., Sharples, D., Kolstø, A.-B., Henderson, P.J.F., Paulsen, I.T. 2015. An ace up their sleeve: a transcriptomic approach exposes the Acel efflux protein of *Acinetobacter baumannii* and reveals the drug efflux potential hidden in many microbial pathogens. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6, 333-333.
- Hassan, K.A., Liu, Q., Elbourne, L.D.H., Ahmad, I., Sharples, D., Naidu, V., Chan, C.L., Li, L., Harborne, S.P.D., Pokhrel, A., Postis, V.L.G., Goldman, A., Henderson, P.J.F., Paulsen, I.T. 2018. Pacing across the membrane: the novel PACE family of efflux pumps is widespread in Gram-negative pathogens. *Research in Microbiology*, **169**(7-8), 450-454.
- Hatti-Kaul, R., Törnvall, U., Gustafsson, L., Börjesson, P. 2007. Industrial biotechnology for the production of bio-based chemicals – a cradle-tograve perspective. *Trends in Biotechnology*, **25**(3), 119-124.
- Hayes, F. 2003. Transposon-based strategies for microbial functional genomics and proteomics. *Annual Review of Genetics*, **37**(1), 3-29.
- Heath, R.J., Jackowski, S., Rock, C.O. 2002. Chapter 3 Fatty acid and phospholipid metabolism in prokaryotes. in: *New Comprehensive Biochemistry*, Vol. 36, Elsevier, pp. 55-92.
- Heeres, A., Vanbroekhoven, K., Van Hecke, W. 2019. Solvent-free lipasecatalyzed production of (meth)acrylate monomers: Experimental results and kinetic modeling. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*, **142**, 162-169.

- Heipieper, H.J., de Bont, J.A. 1994. Adaptation of *Pseudomonas putida* S12 to ethanol and toluene at the level of fatty acid composition of membranes. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **60**(12), 4440-4444.
- Heipieper, H.J., Diefenbach, R., Keweloh, H. 1992. Conversion of *cis* unsaturated fatty acids to *trans*, a possible mechanism for the protection of phenol-degrading *Pseudomonas putida* P8 from substrate toxicity. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **58**(6), 1847-1852.
- Heipieper, H.J., Fischer, J., Meinhardt, F. 2010. Cis–Trans Isomerase of Unsaturated Fatty Acids: An Immediate Bacterial Adaptive Mechanism to Cope with Emerging Membrane Perturbation Caused by Toxic Hydrocarbons. in: *Handbook of Hydrocarbon and Lipid Microbiology*, (Ed.) K.N. Timmis, Springer Berlin Heidelberg. Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 1605-1614.
- Heipieper, H.J., Meinhardt, F., Segura, A. 2003. The cis–trans isomerase of unsaturated fatty acids in *Pseudomonas* and *Vibrio*: biochemistry, molecular biology and physiological function of a unique stress adaptive mechanism. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, **229**(1), 1-7.
- Hengge-Aronis, R. 2002. Signal transduction and regulatory mechanisms involved in control of the sigma(S) (RpoS) subunit of RNA polymerase. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews : MMBR*, **66**(3), 373-395.
- Herrero, A.A., Gomez, R.F., Snedecor, B., Tolman, C.J., Roberts, M.F. 1985. Growth inhibition of *Clostridium thermocellum* by carboxylic acids: A mechanism based on uncoupling by weak acids. *Applied Microbiology* and Biotechnology, **22**(1), 53-62.
- Hidalgo, E., Ding, H., Demple, B. 1997. Redox signal transduction: mutations shifting [2Fe-2S] centers of the SoxR sensor-regulator to the oxidized form. *Cell*, 88(1), 121-129.
- Higgins, C.F., Hiles, I.D., Whalley, K., Jamieson, D.J. 1985. Nucleotide binding by membrane components of bacterial periplasmic binding proteindependent transport systems. *The EMBO Journal*, **4**(4), 1033-1039.
- Hill, C.B., Czauderna, T., Klapperstück, M., Roessner, U., Schreiber, F. 2015.
 Metabolomics, standards, and metabolic modeling for synthetic biology in plants. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, **3**, 167-167.
- Hinks, J., Wang, Y., Matysik, A., Kraut, R., Kjelleberg, S., Mu, Y., Bazan, G.C., Wuertz, S., Seviour, T. 2015. Increased microbial butanol tolerance by exogenous membrane insertion molecules. *ChemSusChem*, 8(21), 3718-3726.
- Hirakawa, H., Takumi-Kobayashi, A., Theisen, U., Hirata, T., Nishino, K., Yamaguchi, A. 2008. AcrS/EnvR represses expression of the *acrAB* multidrug efflux genes in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **190**(18), 6276-6279.
- Ho, K.K., Weiner, H. 2005. Isolation and characterization of an aldehyde dehydrogenase encoded by the aldB gene of *Escherichia coli*. *Journal* of *Bacteriology*, **187**(3), 1067-1073.
- Hoeijmakers, W.A.M., Bártfai, R., Stunnenberg, H.G. 2013. Transcriptome analysis using RNA-Seq. in: *Malaria: Methods and protocols*, (Ed.) R. Ménard, Humana Press. Totowa, NJ, pp. 221-239.
- Holtwick, R., Keweloh, H., Meinhardt, F. 1999. cis/trans Isomerase of unsaturated fatty acids of *Pseudomonas putida* P8: evidence for a heme protein of the cytochrome c type. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **65**(6), 2644.
- Hommais, F., Krin, E., Coppée, J.-Y., Lacroix, C., Yeramian, E., Danchin, A., Bertin, P. 2004. GadE (YhiE): a novel activator involved in the response to acid environment in *Escherichia coli*. *Microbiology*, **150**(1), 61-72.

- Hong, H., Patel, D.R., Tamm, L.K., van den Berg, B. 2006. The outer membrane protein OmpW forms an eight-stranded β-barrel with a hydrophobic channel. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **281**(11), 7568-7577.
- Hong, M.-E., Lee, K.-S., Yu, B.J., Sung, Y.-J., Park, S.M., Koo, H.M., Kweon, D.-H., Park, J.C., Jin, Y.-S. 2010. Identification of gene targets eliciting improved alcohol tolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* through inverse metabolic engineering. *Journal of Biotechnology*, **149**(1), 52-59.
- Horinouchi, T., Sakai, A., Kotani, H., Tanabe, K., Furusawa, C. 2017.
 Improvement of isopropanol tolerance of *Escherichia coli* using adaptive laboratory evolution and omics technologies. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 255, 47-56.
- Horinouchi, T., Tamaoka, K., Furusawa, C., Ono, N., Suzuki, S., Hirasawa, T., Yomo, T., Shimizu, H. 2010. Transcriptome analysis of parallel-evolved *Escherichia coli* strains under ethanol stress. *BMC Genomics*, **11**(1), 579.
- Hou, Y., Hossain, G.S., Li, J., Shin, H.-D., Du, G., Chen, J., Liu, L. 2017. Metabolic engineering of cofactor flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) synthesis and regeneration in *Escherichia coli* for production of α-keto acids. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, **114**(9), 1928-1936.
- Houry, W.A. 2001. Mechanism of substrate recognition by the chaperonin GroEL. *Biochemistry and Cell Biology*, **79**(5), 569-577.
- Hsu, L., Jackowski, S., Rock, C.O. 1991. Isolation and characterization of *Escherichia coli* K-12 mutants lacking both 2-acylglycerophosphoethanolamine acyltransferase and acyl-acyl carrier protein synthetase activity. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **266**(21), 13783-8.

- Hu, Y., Coates, A.R. 1999. Transcription of two sigma 70 homologue genes, sigA and sigB, in stationary-phase *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Journal* of Bacteriology, **181**(2), 469-476.
- Hua, Y., Narumi, I., Gao, G., Tian, B., Satoh, K., Kitayama, S., Shen, B. 2003.
 PprI: a general switch responsible for extreme radioresistance of Deinococcus radiodurans. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, **306**(2), 354-360.
- Huang, Y.-H., Ferrières, L., Clarke, D.J. 2006. The role of the Rcs phosphorelay in *Enterobacteriaceae*. *Research in Microbiology*, **157**(3), 206-212.
- Huesemann, M., Papoutsakis, E.T. 1986. Effect of acetoacetate, butyrate, and uncoupling ionophores on growth and product formation of *Clostridium acetobutylicum*. *Biotechnology Letters*, **8**(1), 37-42.
- Huffer, S., Clark, M.E., Ning, J.C., Blanch, H.W., Clark, D.S. 2011. Role of alcohols in growth, lipid composition, and membrane fluidity of yeasts, bacteria, and archaea. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **77**(18), 6400-6408.
- Hyldgaard, M., Sutherland, D.S., Sundh, M., Mygind, T., Meyer, R.L. 2012. Antimicrobial Mechanism of Monocaprylate. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **78**(8), 2957.
- Ikehata, H., Ono, T. 2011. The mechanisms of UV mutagenesis. *Journal of Radiation Research*, **52**(2), 115-125.
- Ingram, L.O. 1981. Mechanism of lysis of *Escherichia coli* by ethanol and other chaotropic agents. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **146**(1), 331-336.
- Ito, A., Taniuchi, A., May, T., Kawata, K., Okabe, S. 2009. Increased antibiotic resistance of *Escherichia coli* in mature biofilms. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **75**(12), 4093-4100.

- Jackowski, S., Jackson, P.D., Rock, C.O. 1994. Sequence and function of the aas gene in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **269**(4), 2921-2928.
- Jain, K., Saini, S. 2016. MarRA, SoxSR, and Rob encode a signal dependent regulatory network in *Escherichia coli*. *Molecular BioSystems*, **12**(6), 1901-1912.
- Jakob, M., Hilaire, J. 2015. Unburnable fossil-fuel reserves. *Nature*, **517**(7533), 150-151.
- Jakob, U., Eser, M., Bardwell, J.C.A. 2000. Redox switch of Hsp33 has a novel zinc-binding motif. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **275**(49), 38302-38310.
- Jaktaji, R.P., Heidari, F. 2013. Study the expression of ompF gene in *Esherichia coli* mutants. *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, **75**(5), 540-544.
- Jantz, D., Amann, B.T., Gatto, G.J., Berg, J.M. 2004. The design of functional DNA-binding proteins based on zinc finger domains. *Chemical Reviews*, **104**(2), 789-800.
- Jarboe, L., Royce, L., Liu, P. 2013. Understanding biocatalyst inhibition by carboxylic acids. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, **4**(272).
- Joly, N., Böhm, A., Boos, W., Richet, E. 2004. MalK, the ATP-binding cassette component of the *Escherichia coli* maltodextrin transporter, inhibits the transcriptional activator MalT by antagonizing inducer binding. *Journal* of *Biological Chemistry*, **279**(32), 33123-33130.
- Joly, N., Engl, C., Jovanovic, G., Huvet, M., Toni, T., Sheng, X., Stumpf, M.P.H., Buck, M. 2010. Managing membrane stress: the phage shock protein

(Psp) response, from molecular mechanisms to physiology. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, **34**(5), 797-827.

- Jovanovic, G., Engl, C., Mayhew, A.J., Burrows, P.C., Buck, M. 2010. Properties of the phage-shock-protein (Psp) regulatory complex that govern signal transduction and induction of the Psp response in *Escherichia coli. Microbiology (Reading, England)*, **156**(Pt 10), 2920-2932.
- Jovanovic, G., Lloyd, L.J., Stumpf, M.P.H., Mayhew, A.J., Buck, M. 2006. Induction and function of the phage shock protein extracytoplasmic stress response in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 281(30), 21147-21161.
- Junker, F., Ramos, J.L. 1999. Involvement of the cis/trans isomerase Cti in solvent resistance of *Pseudomonas putida* DOT-T1E. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **181**(18), 5693-5700.
- Kadic, E., Heindel, T.J. 2014. Stirred-Tank Bioreactors. in: *An Introduction to Bioreactor Hydrodynamics and Gas-Liquid Mass Transfer*, pp. 69-123.
- Kambampati, R., Lauhon, C.T. 2003. MnmA and IscS are required for in vitro 2-thiouridine biosynthesis in *Escherichia coli*. *Biochemistry*, **42**(4), 1109-1117.
- Kaneda, T. 1977. Fatty acids of the genus *Bacillus*: an example of branchedchain preference. *Bacteriological Reviews*, **41**(2), 391-418.
- Kaneda, T. 1991. Iso- and anteiso-fatty acids in bacteria: biosynthesis, function, and taxonomic significance. *Microbiological Reviews*, **55**(2), 288-302.
- Kang, H.-J., Heo, D.-H., Choi, S.-W., Kim, K.-N., Shim, J., Kim, C.-W., Sung, H.-C., Yun, C.-W. 2007. Functional characterization of Hsp33 protein

from *Bacillus psychrosaccharolyticus*; additional function of HSP33 on resistance to solvent stress. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, **358**(3), 743-750.

- Kanz, C., Aldebert, P., Althorpe, N., Baker, W., Baldwin, A., Bates, K., Browne, P., van den Broek, A., Castro, M., Cochrane, G., Duggan, K., Eberhardt, R., Faruque, N., Gamble, J., Diez, F.G., Harte, N., Kulikova, T., Lin, Q., Lombard, V., Lopez, R., Mancuso, R., McHale, M., Nardone, F., Silventoinen, V., Sobhany, S., Stoehr, P., Tuli, M.A., Tzouvara, K., Vaughan, R., Wu, D., Zhu, W., Apweiler, R. 2005. The EMBL Nucleotide Sequence Database. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **33**(Database issue), D29-D33.
- Karschau, J., de Almeida, C., Richard, M.C., Miller, S., Booth, I.R., Grebogi, C., de Moura, A.P.S. 2011. A matter of life or death: modeling DNA damage and repair in bacteria. *Biophysical Journal*, **100**(4), 814-821.
- Kaul, P., Asano, Y. 2012. Strategies for discovery and improvement of enzyme function: state of the art and opportunities. *Microbial Biotechnology*, 5(1), 18-33.
- Kedzierska, S., Akoev, V., Barnett, M.E., Zolkiewski, M. 2003. Structure and function of the middle domain of ClpB from *Escherichia coli*. *Biochemistry*, **42**(48), 14242-14248.
- Kern, R., Malki, A., Abdallah, J., Tagourti, J., Richarme, G. 2007. Escherichia coli HdeB is an acid stress chaperone. Journal of Bacteriology, 189(2), 603-610.
- Kim, J.-S., Lim, H.K., Lee, M.H., Park, J.-H., Hwang, E.C., Moon, B.J., Lee, S.W. 2009. Production of porphyrin intermediates in *Escherichia coli* carrying soil metagenomic genes. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, **295**(1), 42-49.

- Kim, K.-R., Oh, D.-K. 2013. Production of hydroxy fatty acids by microbial fatty acid-hydroxylation enzymes. *Biotechnology Advances*, **31**(8), 1473-1485.
- Kitagawa, M., Miyakawa, M., Matsumura, Y., Tsuchido, T. 2002. Escherichia coli small heat shock proteins, IbpA and IbpB, protect enzymes from inactivation by heat and oxidants. European Journal of Biochemistry, 269(12), 2907-2917.
- Klein-Marcuschamer, D., Santos, C.N.S., Yu, H., Stephanopoulos, G. 2009. Mutagenesis of the bacterial RNA polymerase alpha subunit for improvement of complex phenotypes. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **75**(9), 2705.
- Klein-Marcuschamer, D., Stephanopoulos, G. 2008. Assessing the potential of mutational strategies to elicit new phenotypes in industrial strains. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States* of America, **105**(7), 2319-2324.
- Kobayashi, H., Uematsu, K., Hirayama, H., Horikoshi, K. 2000. Novel toluene elimination system in a toluene-tolerant microorganism. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **182**(22), 6451.
- Kobayashi, R., Suzuki, T., Yoshida, M. 2007. Escherichia coli phage-shock protein A (PspA) binds to membrane phospholipids and repairs proton leakage of the damaged membranes. *Molecular Microbiology*, **66**(1), 100-109.
- Kogure, T., Inui, M. 2018. Recent advances in metabolic engineering of *Corynebacterium glutamicum* for bioproduction of value-added aromatic chemicals and natural products. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, **102**(20), 8685-8705.

- Kolattukudy, P.E., Walton, T.J. 1972. Structure and biosynthesis of the hydroxy fatty acids of cutin in *Vicia faba* leaves. *Biochemistry*, **11**(10), 1897-1907.
- Komesu, A., Oliveira, J.A.R.d., Martins, L.H.d.S., Wolf Maciel, M.R., Maciel Filho, R. 2017. Lactic acid production to purification: A review. *BioResources*, **12**(2), 4364-4383.
- Koo, M.-S., Lee, J.-H., Rah, S.-Y., Yeo, W.-S., Lee, J.-W., Lee, K.-L., Koh, Y.-S., Kang, S.-O., Roe, J.-H. 2003. A reducing system of the superoxide sensor SoxR in *Escherichia coli*. *The EMBO Journal*, **22**(11), 2614-2622.
- Kovárová-Kovar, K., Egli, T. 1998. Growth kinetics of suspended microbial cells: from single-substrate-controlled growth to mixed-substrate kinetics. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews : MMBR*, **62**(3), 646-666.
- Krämer, R. 2010. Bacterial stimulus perception and signal transduction: Response to osmotic stress. *The Chemical Record*, **10**(4), 217-229.
- Krastanov, A. 2010. Metabolomics—The state of art. *Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment*, **24**(1), 1537-1543.
- Krin, E., Danchin, A., Soutourina, O. 2010. RcsB plays a central role in H-NSdependent regulation of motility and acid stress resistance in *Escherichia coli. Research in Microbiology*, **161**(5), 363-371.
- Kruis, A.J., Bohnenkamp, A.C., Patinios, C., van Nuland, Y.M., Levisson, M., Mars, A.E., van den Berg, C., Kengen, S.W.M., Weusthuis, R.A. 2019.
 Microbial production of short and medium chain esters: Enzymes, pathways, and applications. *Biotechnology Advances*, **37**(7).
- Kuczynska-Wisnik, D., Kçdzierska, S., Matuszewska, E., Lund, P., Taylor, A., Lipinska, B., Laskowska, E. 2002. The *Escherichia coli* small heat-shock proteins IbpA and IbpB prevent the aggregation of endogenous proteins

denatured in vivo during extreme heat shock. *Microbiology*, **148**(6), 1757-1765.

- Kurihara, S., Tsuboi, Y., Oda, S., Kim, H.G., Kumagai, H., Suzuki, H. 2009. The putrescine Importer PuuP of Escherichia coli K-12. Journal of Bacteriology, **191**(8), 2776-2782.
- Laity, J.H., Lee, B.M., Wright, P.E. 2001. Zinc finger proteins: new insights into structural and functional diversity. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, **11**(1), 39-46.
- Lamsen, E.N., Atsumi, S. 2012. Recent progress in synthetic biology for microbial production of C3-C10 alcohols. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 3, 196-196.
- Lang, G.I., Desai, M.M. 2014. The spectrum of adaptive mutations in experimental evolution. *Genomics*, **104**(6 Pt A), 412-416.
- Langendorf, C.G., Key, T.L.G., Fenalti, G., Kan, W.-T., Buckle, A.M., Caradoc-Davies, T., Tuck, K.L., Law, R.H.P., Whisstock, J.C. 2010. The X-ray crystal structure of *Escherichia coli* succinic semialdehyde dehydrogenase; structural insights into NADP+/enzyme interactions. *PLOS ONE*, **5**(2), e9280-e9280.
- Lau, C.K.Y., Krewulak, K.D., Vogel, H.J. 2015. Bacterial ferrous iron transport: the Feo system. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, **40**(2), 273-298.
- LeBowitz, J.H., McMacken, R. 1986. The *Escherichia coli dnaB* replication protein is a DNA helicase. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **261**(10), 4738-48.
- Lechner, A., Brunk, E., Keasling, J.D. 2016. The need for integrated approaches in metabolic engineering. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, **8**(11), a023903.

- Lee, H.-M., Vo, P., Na, D. 2018. Advancement of metabolic engineering assisted by synthetic biology. *Catalysts*, **8**(12).
- Lee, J.Y., Yang, K.S., Jang, S.A., Sung, B.H., Kim, S.C. 2011. Engineering butanol-tolerance in *Escherichia coli* with artificial transcription factor libraries. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, **108**(4), 742-749.
- Lee, S.Y., Kim, H.U. 2015. Systems strategies for developing industrial microbial strains. *Nature Biotechnology*, **33**, 1061.
- Lee, S.Y., Kim, H.U., Chae, T.U., Cho, J.S., Kim, J.W., Shin, J.H., Kim, D.I., Ko, Y.-S., Jang, W.D., Jang, Y.-S. 2019. A comprehensive metabolic map for production of bio-based chemicals. *Nature Catalysis*, **2**(1), 18-33.
- Lee, S.Y., Park, J.H., Jang, S.H., Nielsen, L.K., Kim, J., Jung, K.S. 2008. Fermentative butanol production by *Clostridia*. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, **101**(2), 209-228.
- Lehti, T.A., Bauchart, P., Dobrindt, U., Korhonen, T.K., Westerlund-Wikström,
 B. 2012. The fimbriae activator MatA switches off motility in *Escherichia coli* by repression of the flagellar master operon *flhDC*. *Microbiology*, **158**(6), 1444-1455.
- Lennen, R.M., Herrgård, M.J. 2014. Combinatorial strategies for improving multiple-stress resistance in industrially relevant *Escherichia coli* strains. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **80**(19), 6223-6242.
- Lennen, R.M., Jensen, K., Mohammed, E.T., Malla, S., Börner, R.A., Chekina, K., Özdemir, E., Bonde, I., Koza, A., Maury, J., Pedersen, L.E., Schöning, L.Y., Sonnenschein, N., Palsson, B.O., Sommer, M.O.A., Feist, A.M., Nielsen, A.T., Herrgård, M.J. 2019. Adaptive laboratory evolution reveals general and specific chemical tolerance mechanisms and enhances biochemical production. *BioRxiv*, 634105.

- Lennen, R.M., Kruziki, M.A., Kumar, K., Zinkel, R.A., Burnum, K.E., Lipton, M.S., Hoover, S.W., Ranatunga, D.R., Wittkopp, T.M., Marner, W.D., 2nd, Pfleger, B.F. 2011. Membrane stresses induced by overproduction of free fatty acids in *Escherichia coli*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **77**(22), 8114-8128.
- Lennen, R.M., Politz, M.G., Kruziki, M.A., Pfleger, B.F. 2013. Identification of transport proteins involved in free fatty acid efflux in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **195**(1), 135-144.
- Lesniak, J., Barton, W.A., Nikolov, D.B. 2003. Structural and functional features of the *Escherichia coli* hydroperoxide resistance protein OsmC. *Protein science : a publication of the Protein Society*, **12**(12), 2838-2843.
- Li, B., Yan, R., Wang, L., Diao, Y., Li, Z., Zhang, S. 2014a. SBA-15 supported cesium catalyst for methyl methacrylate synthesis *via* condensation of methyl propionate with formaldehyde. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, **53**(4), 1386-1394.
- Li, M., Gu, R., Su, C.-C., Routh, M.D., Harris, K.C., Jewell, E.S., McDermott, G., Yu, E.W. 2007. Crystal structure of the transcriptional regulator AcrR from *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, **374**(3), 591-603.
- Li, M., Petukh, M., Alexov, E., Panchenko, A.R. 2014b. Predicting the impact of missense mutations on protein–protein binding affinity. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, **10**(4), 1770-1780.
- Li, X.-Z., Zhang, L., Poole, K. 1998. Role of the multidrug efflux systems of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in organic solvent tolerance. Journal of Bacteriology, 180(11), 2987.
- Li, Y., Chen, J., Lun, S.Y. 2001. Biotechnological production of pyruvic acid. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, **57**(4), 451-459.

- Li, Y., Cirino, P.C. 2014. Recent advances in engineering proteins for biocatalysis. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, **111**(7), 1273-1287.
- Lian, J., McKenna, R., Rover, M.R., Nielsen, D.R., Wen, Z., Jarboe, L.R. 2016. Production of biorenewable styrene: Utilization of biomass-derived sugars and insights into toxicity. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology*, **43**(5), 595-604.
- Lian, J., Mishra, S., Zhao, H. 2018. Recent advances in metabolic engineering of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: New tools and their applications. *Metabolic Engineering*, **50**, 85-108.
- Liao, Y., Smyth, G.K., Shi, W. 2013. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics*, **30**(7), 923-930.
- Liu, W., Peterson, P.E., Langston, J.A., Jin, X., Zhou, X., Fisher, A.J., Toney,
 M.D. 2005. Kinetic and crystallographic analysis of active site mutants of *Escherichia coli* γ-aminobutyrate aminotransferase. *Biochemistry*,
 44(8), 2982-2992.
- Liu, X.-B., Gu, Q.-Y., Yu, X.-B. 2013. Repetitive domestication to enhance butanol tolerance and production in *Clostridium acetobutylicum* through artificial simulation of bio-evolution. *Bioresource Technology*, **130**, 638-643.
- Liyanage, H., Young, M., Kashket, E.R. 2000. Butanol tolerance of *Clostridium* beijerinckii NCIMB 8052 associated with down-regulation of *gldA* by anitsens RNA. *Journal of Molecular Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 2(1), 87-93.
- Löbbecke, L., Cevc, G. 1995. Effects of short-chain alcohols on the phase behavior and interdigitation of phosphatidylcholine bilayer membranes. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes*, **1237**(1), 59-69.

- Loferer, H., Hammar, M., Normark, S. 1997. Availability of the fibre subunit CsgA and the nucleator protein CsgB during assembly of fibronectinbinding curli is limited by the intracellular concentration of the novel lipoprotein CsgG. *Molecular Microbiology*, **26**(1), 11-23.
- Lomovskaya, O., Lewis, K., Matin, A. 1995. EmrR is a negative regulator of the *Escherichia coli* multidrug resistance pump EmrAB. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **177**(9), 2328-2334.
- Los, D.A., Murata, N. 2004. Membrane fluidity and its roles in the perception of environmental signals. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes*, **1666**(1), 142-157.
- Lovingshimer, M.R., Siegele, D., Reinhart, G.D. 2006. Construction of an inducible, *pfkA* and *pfkB* deficient strain of *Escherichia coli* for the expression and purification of phosphofructokinase from bacterial sources. *Protein Expression and Purification*, **46**(2), 475-482.
- Lowe, R., Shirley, N., Bleackley, M., Dolan, S., Shafee, T. 2017. Transcriptomics technologies. *PLoS Computational Biology*, **13**(5), e1005457-e1005457.
- Lu, H., Villada, J.C., Lee, P.K.H. 2019. Modular metabolic engineering for biobased chemical production. *Trends in Biotechnology*, **37**(2), 152-166.
- Luan, G., Cai, Z., Li, Y., Ma, Y. 2013. Genome replication engineering assisted continuous evolution (GREACE) to improve microbial tolerance for biofuels production. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, **6**(1), 137.
- Ludanyi, M., Blanchard, L., Dulermo, R., Brandelet, G., Bellanger, L., Pignol,
 D., Lemaire, D., de Groot, A. 2014. Radiation response in *Deinococcus deserti*: IrrE is a metalloprotease that cleaves repressor protein DdrO. *Molecular Microbiology*, **94**(2), 434-449.

- Luria, S.E., Delbrück, M. 1943. Mutations of bacteria from virus sensitivity to virus resistance. *Genetics*, **28**(6), 491-511.
- Ly, H.V., Longo, M.L. 2004. The influence of short-chain alcohols on interfacial tension, mechanical properties, area/molecule, and permeability of fluid lipid bilayers. *Biophysical Journal*, **87**(2), 1013-1033.
- Ma, Y., Yu, H. 2012. Engineering of *Rhodococcus* cell catalysts for tolerance improvement by sigma factor mutation and active plasmid partition. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology*, **39**(10), 1421-1430.
- Ma, Z., Richard, H., Tucker, D.L., Conway, T., Foster, J.W. 2002. Collaborative regulation of *Escherichia coli* glutamate-dependent acid resistance by two AraC-like regulators, GadX and GadW (YhiW). *Journal of Bacteriology*, **184**(24), 7001-7012.
- Macho, V., Kralik, M., Chroma, V., Cingelova, J., Mikulec, J. 2004. The oxidative dehydrogenation of methyl isobutyrate to methyl methacrylate. *Petroleum & Coal*, **46**(3), 12.
- Maglott, D., Ostell, J., Pruitt, K.D., Tatusova, T. 2005. Entrez Gene: genecentered information at NCBI. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **33**(Database issue), D54-D58.
- Manch, K., Notley-McRobb, L., Ferenci, T. 1999. Mutational adaptation of *Escherichia coli* to glucose limitation involves distinct evolutionary pathways in aerobic and oxygen-limited environments. *Genetics*, **153**(1), 5-12.
- Manhart, C.M., McHenry, C.S. 2013. The PriA replication restart protein blocks replicase access prior to helicase assembly and directs template specificity through its ATPase activity. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **288**(6), 3989-3999.

- Mann, M.S., Dragovic, Z., Schirrmacher, G., Lütke-Eversloh, T. 2012. Overexpression of stress protein-encoding genes helps *Clostridium acetobutylicum* to rapidly adapt to butanol stress. *Biotechnology Letters*, **34**(9), 1643-1649.
- Manzoni, C., Kia, D.A., Vandrovcova, J., Hardy, J., Wood, N.W., Lewis, P.A., Ferrari, R. 2016. Genome, transcriptome and proteome: the rise of omics data and their integration in biomedical sciences. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, **19**(2), 286-302.
- Mariano, A.P., Filho, R.M. 2012. Improvements in biobutanol fermentation and their impacts on distillation energy consumption and wastewater generation. *BioEnergy Research*, **5**(2), 504-514.
- Martin, M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet.journal*, **17**(1), 10.
- Martin, R.G., Bartlett, E.S., Rosner, J.L., Wall, M.E. 2008. Activation of the *Escherichia coli marA/soxS/rob* regulon in response to transcriptional activator concentration. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, **380**(2), 278-284.
- Martin, R.G., Gillette, W.K., Rhee, S., Rosner, J.L. 1999. Structural requirements for marbox function in transcriptional activation of mar/sox/rob regulon promoters in *Escherichia coli*: sequence, orientation and spatial relationship to the core promoter. *Molecular Microbiology*, **34**(3), 431-441.
- Martins, L., Menchavez, R., Rossoni, L., Wells, A., Kerr, I., Yeh, V., Bonev, B., Stephens, G. 2019. TBD. *TBD*, **TBD**.
- Maruyama, A., Kumagai, Y., Morikawa, K., Taguchi, K., Hayashi, H., Ohta, T. 2003. Oxidative-stress-inducible *qorA* encodes an NADPH-dependent quinone oxidoreductase catalysing a one-electron reduction in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Microbiology*, **149**(2), 389-398.

- Masters, M., Blakely, G., Coulson, A., McLennan, N., Yerko, V., Acord, J. 2009. Protein folding in *Escherichia coli*: the chaperonin GroE and its substrates. *Research in Microbiology*, **160**(4), 267-277.
- Masuda, N., Church, G.M. 2003. Regulatory network of acid resistance genes in *Escherichia coli*. *Molecular Microbiology*, **48**(3), 699-712.
- Matzanke, B.F., Anemüller, S., Schünemann, V., Trautwein, A.X., Hantke, K. 2004. FhuF, part of a siderophore-reductase system. *Biochemistry*, 43(5), 1386-1392.
- McKenna, R., Moya, L., McDaniel, M., Nielsen, D.R. 2015. Comparing in situ removal strategies for improving styrene bioproduction. *Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering*, **38**(1), 165-174.
- McKenna, R., Nielsen, D.R. 2011. Styrene biosynthesis from glucose by engineered *E. coli. Metabolic Engineering*, **13**(5), 544-554.
- McLaggan, D., Naprstek, J., Buurman, E.T., Epstein, W. 1994. Interdependence of K+ and glutamate accumulation during osmotic adaptation of *Escherichia coli. Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **269**(3), 1911-1917.
- Meadows, C.W., Kang, A., Lee, T.S. 2018. Metabolic engineering for advanced biofuels production and recent advances toward commercialization. *Biotechnology Journal*, **13**(1), 1600433.
- Menchavez, R., Rossoni, L., Pordea, A., Graham, E., Stephens, G. 2018. Enhanced n-butanol tolerance of *E. coli via* adaptive evolution. *New Biotechnology*, **44**, S155-S156.
- Menchavez, R.N., Ha, S.H. 2019. Fed-batch acetone-butanol-ethanol fermentation using immobilized *Clostridium acetobutylicum* in calcium alginate beads. *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*, **36**.

- Merger, F., Foerster, H.J. 1983. Preparation of alpha-alkylacroleins, (Ed.) USPTO, BASF SE. USA.
- Meyer-Rosberg, K., Scott, D.R., Rex, D., Melchers, K., Sachs, G. 1996. The effect of environmental pH on the proton motive force of *Helicobacter pylori*. *Gastroenterology*, **111**(4), 886-900.
- Milón, P., Maracci, C., Filonava, L., Gualerzi, C.O., Rodnina, M.V. 2012. Realtime assembly landscape of bacterial 30S translation initiation complex. *Nature Structural & Amp; Molecular Biology*, **19**, 609.
- Mingardon, F., Clement, C., Hirano, K., Nhan, M., Luning, E.G., Chanal, A., Mukhopadhyay, A. 2015. Improving olefin tolerance and production in *E. coli* using native and evolved AcrB. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, **112**(5), 879-888.
- Minty, J.J., Lesnefsky, A.A., Lin, F., Chen, Y., Zaroff, T.A., Veloso, A.B., Xie,
 B., McConnell, C.A., Ward, R.J., Schwartz, D.R., Rouillard, J.-M., Gao,
 Y., Gulari, E., Lin, X.N. 2011. Evolution combined with genomic study
 elucidates genetic bases of isobutanol tolerance in *Escherichia coli*. *Microbial Cell Factories*, **10**(1), 18.
- Misra, B., Langefeld, C., Olivier, M., Cox, L. 2019. Integrated omics: tools, advances and future approaches. *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology*, 62(1), R21-R45.
- Mizuno, M., Seo, T., Suzuta, T. 2008. Methyl methacrylate production process, (Ed.) EPO, Sumitomo Chemical Co Ltd. .
- Modig, T., Lidén, G., Taherzadeh, M.J. 2002. Inhibition effects of furfural on alcohol dehydrogenase, aldehyde dehydrogenase and pyruvate dehydrogenase. *The Biochemical Journal*, **363**(Pt 3), 769-776.

- Mogk, A., Schlieker, C., Strub, C., Rist, W., Weibezahn, J., Bukau, B. 2003. Roles of individual domains and conserved motifs of the AAA+ chaperone ClpB in oligomerization, ATP hydrolysis, and chaperone activity. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **278**(20), 17615-17624.
- Moken, M.C., McMurry, L.M., Levy, S.B. 1997. Selection of multiple-antibioticresistant (mar) mutants of *Escherichia coli* by using the disinfectant pine oil: roles of the mar and *acrAB* loci. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, **41**(12), 2770-2772.
- Molina-Santiago, C., Udaondo, Z., Gómez-Lozano, M., Molin, S., Ramos, J.-L. 2017. Global transcriptional response of solvent-sensitive and solventtolerant *Pseudomonas putida* strains exposed to toluene. *Environmental Microbiology*, **19**(2), 645-658.
- Molodtsov, V., Nawarathne, I.N., Scharf, N.T., Kirchhoff, P.D., Showalter, H.D.H., Garcia, G.A., Murakami, K.S. 2013. X-ray crystal structures of the *Escherichia coli* RNA polymerase in complex with Benzoxazinorifamycins. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, **56**(11), 4758-4763.
- Mondragón, V., Franco, B., Jonas, K., Suzuki, K., Romeo, T., Melefors, Ö., Georgellis, D. 2006. pH-dependent activation of the BarA-UvrY twocomponent system in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **188**(23), 8303.
- Mrozik, A., Łabużek, S., Piotrowska-Seget, Z. 2005. Changes in fatty acid composition in *Pseudomonas putida* and *Pseudomonas stutzeri* during naphthalene degradation. *Microbiological Research*, **160**(2), 149-157.
- Mrozik, A., Piotrowska-Seget, Z., Łabużek, S. 2004. Changes in whole cellderived fatty acids induced by naphthalene in bacteria from genus *Pseudomonas. Microbiological Research*, **159**(1), 87-95.

- Mukhopadhyay, A. 2015. Tolerance engineering in bacteria for the production of advanced biofuels and chemicals. *Trends in Microbiology*, **23**(8), 498-508.
- Müller Reinke, T., Pos Klaas, M. 2015. The assembly and disassembly of the AcrAB-ToIC three-component multidrug efflux pump. in: *Biological Chemistry*, Vol. 396, pp. 1083.
- Munch-Petersen, A., Jensen, N. 1990. Analysis of the regulatory region of the Escherichia coli nupG gene, encoding a nucleoside-transport protein. European Journal of Biochemistry, **190**(3), 547-551.
- Murakami, K., Kimura, M., Owens, J.T., Meares, C.F., Ishihama, A. 1997. The two alpha subunits of *Escherichia coli* RNA polymerase are asymmetrically arranged and contact different halves of the DNA upstream element. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **94**(5), 1709-1714.
- Murakami, K.S. 2013. X-ray crystal structure of *Escherichia coli* RNA polymerase σ^{70} holoenzyme. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **288**(13), 9126-9134.
- Murakami, S., Nakashima, R., Yamashita, E., Matsumoto, T., Yamaguchi, A. 2006. Crystal structures of a multidrug transporter reveal a functionally rotating mechanism. *Nature*, **443**(7108), 173-179.
- Murínová, S., Dercová, K. 2014. Response mechanisms of bacterial degraders to environmental contaminants on the level of cell walls and cytoplasmic membrane. *International Journal of Microbiology*, **2014**, 873081-873081.
- Nagai, K. 2001. New developments in the production of methyl methacrylate. Applied Catalysis A: General, **221**(1), 367-377.

- Nair, S., Finkel, S.E. 2004. Dps protects cells against multiple stresses during stationary phase. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **186**(13), 4192-4198.
- Nakajima, H., Kobayashi, M., Negishi, T., Aono, R. 1995. soxRS Gene increased the level of organic solvent tolerance in *Escherichia coli*. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, **59**(7), 1323-1325.
- Narberhaus, F. 2002. Alpha-crystallin-type heat shock proteins: socializing minichaperones in the context of a multichaperone network. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews : MMBR*, **66**(1), 64-93.
- Nedea, E.C., Markov, D., Naryshkina, T., Severinov, K. 1999. Localization of *Escherichia coli rpoC* mutations that affect RNA polymerase assembly and activity at high temperature. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **181**(8), 2663-2665.
- Neumann, M., Mittelstädt, G., Iobbi-Nivol, C., Saggu, M., Lendzian, F., Hildebrandt, P., Leimkühler, S. 2009. A periplasmic aldehyde oxidoreductase represents the first molybdopterin cytosine dinucleotide cofactor containing molybdo-flavoenzyme from *Escherichia coli*. *The FEBS Journal*, **276**(10), 2762-2774.
- Newton, M.S., Arcus, V.L., Gerth, M.L., Patrick, W.M. 2018. Enzyme evolution: innovation is easy, optimization is complicated. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, **48**, 110-116.
- Ng, Y.L., Kuek, Y.Y. 2013. In-situ product recovery as a strategy to increase product yield and mitigate product toxicity. *The Open Biotechnology Journal*, **7**, 15-22.
- Nghiem, P.N., Kleff, S., Schwegmann, S. 2017. Succinic acid: Technology development and commercialization. *Fermentation*, **3**(2).

- Nicolaou, S.A., Gaida, S.M., Papoutsakis, E.T. 2010. A comparative view of metabolite and substrate stress and tolerance in microbial bioprocessing: From biofuels and chemicals, to biocatalysis and bioremediation. *Metabolic Engineering*, **12**(4), 307-331.
- Nishant, K.T., Singh, N.D., Alani, E. 2009. Genomic mutation rates: what highthroughput methods can tell us. *BioEssays : News and Reviews in Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology*, **31**(9), 912-920.
- Notley-McRobb, L., Ferenci, T. 1999. The generation of multiple co-existing mal-regulatory mutations through polygenic evolution in glucose-limited populations of *Escherichia coli. Environmental Microbiology*, **1**(1), 45-52.
- Nunoshiba, T., Demple, B. 1994. A cluster of constitutive mutations affecting the C-terminus of the redox-sensitive SoxR transcriptional activator. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **22**(15), 2958-2962.
- O'malley, M., Solomon, K., Wataru, M., Yu, F. 2018. Biological production of methyl methacrylate, (Ed.) USPTO, The Regents of the University of California, Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation.
- Ochs, M., Veitinger, S., Kim, I., Weiz, D., Angerer, A., Braun, V. 1995. Regulation of citrate-dependent iron transport of *Escherichia coli*: FecR is required for transcription activation by Feel. *Molecular Microbiology*, **15**(1), 119-132.
- Oethinger, M., Kern, W.V., Jellen-Ritter, A.S., McMurry, L.M., Levy, S.B. 2000. Ineffectiveness of topoisomerase mutations in mediating clinically significant fluoroquinolone resistance in *Escherichia coli* in the absence of the AcrAB efflux pump. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 44(1), 10-13.

- Oh, H.Y., Lee, J.O., Kim, O.B. 2012. Increase of organic solvent tolerance of Escherichia coli by the deletion of two regulator genes, *fadR* and *marR*. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, **96**(6), 1619-1627.
- Okochi, M., Kurimoto, M., Shimizu, K., Honda, H. 2006. Increase of organic solvent tolerance by overexpression of manXYZ in *Escherichia coli*. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, **73**(6), 1394.
- Oku, H., Futamori, N., Masuda, K., Shimabukuro, Y., Omine, T., Iwasaki, H.
 2003. Biosynthesis of branched-chain fatty acid in bacilli: FabD (malonyl-COA:ACP transacylase) is not essential for in vitro biosynthesis of branched-chain fatty acids. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, 67(10), 2106-2114.
- Oku, H., Kaneda, T. 1988. Biosynthesis of branched-chain fatty acids in *Bacillus subtilis*. A decarboxylase is essential for branched-chain fatty acid synthetase. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **263**(34), 18386-96.
- Olson, T.L., Williams, J.C., Allen, J.P. 2013. Influence of protein interactions on oxidation/reduction midpoint potentials of cofactors in natural and de novo metalloproteins. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) -Bioenergetics*, **1827**(8), 914-922.
- Onsan, I.Z., Trimm, D.L. 1975. The ammoxidation of propylene and isobutene over a tin-vanadium-phosphorus oxide catalyst. *Journal of Catalysis*, **38**(1), 257-263.
- Osman, R., Namboodiri, K., Weinstein, H., Rabinowitz, J.R. 1988. Reactivities of acrylic and methacrylic acids in a nucleophilic addition model of their biological activity. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, **110**(6), 1701-1707.
- Otte, K.B., Hauer, B. 2015. Enzyme engineering in the context of novel pathways and products. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, **35**, 16-22.

- Oud, B., van Maris, A.J.A., Daran, J.-M., Pronk, J.T. 2012. Genome-wide analytical approaches for reverse metabolic engineering of industrially relevant phenotypes in yeast. *FEMS Yeast Research*, **12**(2), 183-196.
- Outram, V., Lalander, C.-A., Lee, J.G.M., Davies, E.T., Harvey, A.P. 2017. Applied in situ product recovery in ABE fermentation. *Biotechnology Progress*, **33**(3), 563-579.
- Paget, M.S. 2015. Bacterial sigma factors and anti-sigma factors: structure, function and distribution. *Biomolecules*, **5**(3), 1245-1265.
- Paget, M.S.B., Helmann, J.D. 2003. The sigma70 family of sigma factors. *Genome Biology*, **4**(1), 203-203.
- Pan, H., Ho, J.D., Stroud, R.M., Finer-Moore, J. 2007. The crystal structure of *E. coli* rRNA pseudouridine synthase RluE. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 367(5), 1459-1470.
- Parekh, S., Vinci, V.A., Strobel, R.J. 2000. Improvement of microbial strains and fermentation processes. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 54(3), 287-301.
- Pátek, M., Nešvera, J. 2011. Sigma factors and promoters in *Corynebacterium* glutamicum. Journal of Biotechnology, **154**(2), 101-113.
- Patel, M.S., Nemeria, N.S., Furey, W., Jordan, F. 2014. The pyruvate dehydrogenase complexes: structure-based function and regulation. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **289**(24), 16615-16623.
- Paterson, E.S., Boucher, S.E., Lambert, I.B. 2002. Regulation of the *nfsA* gene in *Escherichia coli* by SoxS. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **184**(1), 51-58.
- Pathan, M., Keerthikumar, S., Ang, C.-S., Gangoda, L., Quek, C.Y.J., Williamson, N.A., Mouradov, D., Sieber, O.M., Simpson, R.J., Salim, A.,

Bacic, A., Hill, A.F., Stroud, D.A., Ryan, M.T., Agbinya, J.I., Mariadason, J.M., Burgess, A.W., Mathivanan, S. 2015. FunRich: An open access standalone functional enrichment and interaction network analysis tool. *PROTEOMICS*, **15**(15), 2597-2601.

- Patridge, E.V., Ferry, J.G. 2006. WrbA from *Escherichia coli* and *Archaeoglobus fulgidus* is an NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **188**(10), 3498-3506.
- Peabody, G.L., Winkler, J., Kao, K.C. 2014. Tools for developing tolerance to toxic chemicals in microbial systems and perspectives on moving the field forward and into the industrial setting. *Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering*, **6**, 9-17.
- Peña, D.A., Gasser, B., Zanghellini, J., Steiger, M.G., Mattanovich, D. 2018.
 Metabolic engineering of *Pichia pastoris*. *Metabolic Engineering*, **50**, 2-15.
- Pérez-Gallardo, R.V., Briones, L.S., Díaz-Pérez, A.L., Gutiérrez, S., Rodríguez-Zavala, J.S., Campos-García, J. 2013. Reactive oxygen species production induced by ethanol in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* increases because of a dysfunctional mitochondrial iron–sulfur cluster assembly system. *FEMS Yeast Research*, **13**(8), 804-819.
- Perly, B., Smith, I.C.P., Jarrell, H.C. 1985. Effects of the replacement of a double bond by a cyclopropane ring in phosphatidylethanolamines: a deuterium NMR study of phase transitions and molecular organization. *Biochemistry*, **24**(4), 1055-1063.
- Pesavento, C., Hengge, R. 2012. The global repressor FliZ antagonizes gene expression by σ^{S-}containing RNA polymerase due to overlapping DNA binding specificity. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **40**(11), 4783-4793.

- Petersohn, A., Brigulla, M., Haas, S., Hoheisel, J.D., Völker, U., Hecker, M. 2001. Global analysis of the general stress response of Bacillus subtilis. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **183**(19), 5617-5631.
- Pick, A., Rühmann, B., Schmid, J., Sieber, V. 2013. Novel CAD-like enzymes from *Escherichia coli* K-12 as additional tools in chemical production. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, **97**(13), 5815-5824.
- Pickens, L.B., Tang, Y., Chooi, Y.-H. 2011. Metabolic Engineering for the Production of Natural Products. *Annual Review of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering*, **2**(1), 211-236.
- Pieringer, R.A. 1968. The metabolism of glyceride glycolipids: I. Biosynthesis of monoglucosyl diglyceride and diglucosyl diglyceride by glucosyl transferase pathways in *Streptococcus fecalis. Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **243**(18), 4894-4903.
- Pinkart, H.C., Wolfram, J.W., Rogers, R., White, D.C. 1996. Cell envelope changes in solvent-tolerant and solvent-sensitive *Pseudomonas putida* strains following exposure to o-xylene. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **62**(3), 1129-1132.
- Pontrelli, S., Chiu, T.-Y., Lan, E.I., Chen, F.Y.H., Chang, P., Liao, J.C. 2018. *Escherichia coli* as a host for metabolic engineering. *Metabolic Engineering*, **50**, 16-46.
- Primak, Y.A., Du, M., Miller, M.C., Wells, D.H., Nielsen, A.T., Weyler, W., Beck, Z.Q. 2011. Characterization of a feedback-resistant mevalonate kinase from the archaeon Methanosarcina mazei. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **77**(21), 7772-7778.
- Qureshi, N., Blaschek, H.P. 2000. Butanol production using *Clostridium beijerinckii* BA101 hyper-butanol producing mutant strain and recovery

by pervaporation. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, **84**(1), 225-235.

- Rabinovitch-Deere, C.A., Oliver, J.W.K., Rodriguez, G.M., Atsumi, S. 2013. Synthetic biology and metabolic engineering approaches to produce biofuels. *Chemical Reviews*, **113**(7), 4611-4632.
- Raja, N., Goodson, M., Chui, W.C.M., Smith, D.G., Rowbury, R.J. 1991.
 Habituation to acid in *Escherichia coli*: conditions for habituation and its effects on plasmid transfer. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, **70**(1), 59-65.
- Ramos, J.L., Duque, E., Rodríguez-Herva, J.-J., Godoy, P., Haïdour, A., Reyes,
 F., Fernández-Barrero, A. 1997. Mechanisms for solvent tolerance in bacteria. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **272**(7), 3887-3890.
- Rastogi, R.P., Richa, Kumar, A., Tyagi, M.B., Sinha, R.P. 2010. Molecular mechanisms of ultraviolet radiation-induced DNA damage and repair. *Journal of Nucleic Acids*, **2010**, 592980-592980.
- Rau, M.H., Calero, P., Lennen, R.M., Long, K.S., Nielsen, A.T. 2016. Genomewide *Escherichia coli* stress response and improved tolerance towards industrially relevant chemicals. *Microbial Cell Factories*, **15**(1), 176.
- Reyes, L.H., Almario, M.P., Winkler, J., Orozco, M.M., Kao, K.C. 2012. Visualizing evolution in real time to determine the molecular mechanisms of n-butanol tolerance in *Escherichia coli*. *Metabolic Engineering*, **14**(5), 579-590.
- Richter, K., Haslbeck, M., Buchner, J. 2010. The heat shock response: Life on the verge of death. *Molecular Cell*, **40**(2), 253-266.

- Robinson, J.T., Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Winckler, W., Guttman, M., Lander, E.S., Getz, G., Mesirov, J.P. 2011. Integrative Genomics Viewer. *Nature Biotechnology*, **29**(1), 24-26.
- Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J., Smyth, G.K. 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, **26**(1), 139-140.
- Robinson, M.D., Oshlack, A. 2010. A scaling normalization method for differential expression analysis of RNA-seq data. *Genome Biology*, 11(3), R25.
- Rodríguez-Verdugo, A., Tenaillon, O., Gaut, B.S. 2016. First-step mutations during adaptation restore the expression of hundreds of genes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **33**(1), 25-39.
- Rodríguez-Verdugo, A., Tenaillon, O., Gaut, B.S. 2015. First-step mutations during adaptation to thermal stress shift the expression of thousands of genes back toward the pre-stressed state. *BioRxiv*, 022905.
- Rogelj, J., Popp, A., Calvin, K.V., Luderer, G., Emmerling, J., Gernaat, D.,
 Fujimori, S., Strefler, J., Hasegawa, T., Marangoni, G., Krey, V., Kriegler,
 E., Riahi, K., van Vuuren, D.P., Doelman, J., Drouet, L., Edmonds, J.,
 Fricko, O., Harmsen, M., Havlík, P., Humpenöder, F., Stehfest, E.,
 Tavoni, M. 2018. Scenarios towards limiting global mean temperature
 increase below 1.5 °C. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(4), 325-332.
- Rojas, A., Duque, E., Mosqueda, G., Golden, G., Hurtado, A., Ramos, J.L., Segura, A. 2001. Three efflux pumps are required to provide efficient tolerance to toluene in *Pseudomonas putida* DOT-T1E. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **183**(13), 3967-3973.

- Roma-Rodrigues, C., Santos, P.M., Benndorf, D., Rapp, E., Sá-Correia, I. 2010. Response of *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 to phenol at the level of membrane proteome. *Journal of Proteomics*, **73**(8), 1461-1478.
- Romantsov, T., Gonzalez, K., Sahtout, N., Culham, D.E., Coumoundouros, C., Garner, J., Kerr, C.H., Chang, L., Turner, R.J., Wood, J.M. 2018.
 Cardiolipin synthase A colocalizes with cardiolipin and osmosensing transporter ProP at the poles of *Escherichia coli* cells. *Molecular Microbiology*, **107**(5), 623-638.
- Rosche, W.A., Foster, P.L. 1999. The role of transient hypermutators in adaptive mutation in *Escherichia coli*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **96**(12), 6862.
- Rosenberg, E.Y., Bertenthal, D., Nilles, M.L., Bertrand, K.P., Nikaido, H. 2003. Bile salts and fatty acids induce the expression of *Escherichia coli* AcrAB multidrug efflux pump through their interaction with Rob regulatory protein. *Molecular Microbiology*, **48**(6), 1609-1619.
- Rosenberg, S.M. 2001. Evolving responsively: Adaptive mutation. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, **2**(7), 504-515.
- Rosner, J.L., Dangi, B., Gronenborn, A.M., Martin, R.G. 2002. Posttranscriptional activation of the transcriptional activator Rob by dipyridyl in *Escherichia coli. Journal of Bacteriology*, **184**(5), 1407.
- Routh, M.D., Su, C.-C., Zhang, Q., Yu, E.W. 2009. Structures of AcrR and CmeR: insight into the mechanisms of transcriptional repression and multi-drug recognition in the TetR family of regulators. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, **1794**(5), 844-851.
- Roy, S.K., Hiyama, T., Nakamoto, H. 1999. Purification and characterization of the 16-kDa heat-shock-responsive protein from the thermophilic cyanobacterium Synechococcus vulcanus, which is an α-crystallin-

related, small heat shock protein. *European Journal of Biochemistry*, **262**(2), 406-416.

- Royce, L., Boggess, E., Jin, T., Dickerson, J., Jarboe, L. 2013a. Identification of mutations in evolved bacterial genomes. in: *Systems metabolic engineering: methods and protocols*, (Ed.) H.S. Alper, Humana Press. Totowa, NJ, pp. 249-267.
- Royce, L.A., Boggess, E., Fu, Y., Liu, P., Shanks, J.V., Dickerson, J., Jarboe,
 L.R. 2014. Transcriptomic analysis of carboxylic acid challenge in *Escherichia coli*: beyond membrane damage. *PLOS ONE*, **9**(2), e89580e89580.
- Royce, L.A., Liu, P., Stebbins, M.J., Hanson, B.C., Jarboe, L.R. 2013b. The damaging effects of short chain fatty acids on *Escherichia coli* membranes. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, **97**(18), 8317-8327.
- Rüngeling, E., Laufen, T., Bahl, H. 1999. Functional characterisation of the chaperones DnaK, DnaJ and GrpE from *Clostridium acetobutylicum*. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, **170**(1), 119-123.
- Russell, J.B. 1992. Another explanation for the toxicity of fermentation acids at low pH: anion accumulation versus uncoupling. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, **73**(5), 363-370.
- Rutherford, B.J., Dahl, R.H., Price, R.E., Szmidt, H.L., Benke, P.I., Mukhopadhyay, A., Keasling, J.D. 2010. Functional genomic study of exogenous *n*-butanol stress in *Escherichia coli*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **76**(6), 1935.
- Saier, M.H., Jr., Ramseier, T.M. 1996. The catabolite repressor/activator (Cra) protein of enteric bacteria. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **178**(12), 3411-3417.

- Saier, M.H., Jr., Tran, C.V., Barabote, R.D. 2006. TCDB: The transporter classification database for membrane transport protein analyses and information. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **34**(Database issue), D181-D186.
- Salemme, L., Olivieri, G., Raganati, F., Salatino, P., Marzocchella, A. 2017. Techno-economic analysis of a butanol recovery process based on gas stripping technique. *Environmental Engineering and Management Journal; Vol 16, No 4 (2017).*
- Sanger, F., Nicklen, S., Coulson, A.R. 1977. DNA sequencing with chainterminating inhibitors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **74**(12), 5463-5467.
- Sardessai, Y., Bhosle, S. 2002. Tolerance of bacteria to organic solvents. *Research in Microbiology*, **153**(5), 263-268.
- Sarkar, D., Siddiquee, K.A.Z., Araúzo-Bravo, M.J., Oba, T., Shimizu, K. 2008. Effect of *cra* gene knockout together with *edd* and *iclR* genes knockout on the metabolism in *Escherichia coli*. *Archives of Microbiology*, **190**(5), 559.
- Sato, E., Yamachagi, M., Nakajima, E., Yu, F., Fujita, T., Mizunashi, W. 2017. Method for producing methacrylic acid and/or ester thereof, (Ed.) Korea, Mitsubishi Chemical Corp.
- Sawant, P., Eissenberger, K., Karier, L., Mascher, T., Bramkamp, M. 2016. A dynamin-like protein involved in bacterial cell membrane surveillance under environmental stress. *Environmental Microbiology*, **18**(8), 2705-2720.
- Sayed, A.K., Odom, C., Foster, J.W. 2007. The *Escherichia coli* AraC-family regulators GadX and GadW activate gadE, the central activator of glutamate-dependent acid resistance. *Microbiology*, **153**(8), 2584-2592.

- Schellhorn, H.E., Hassan, H.M. 1988. Transcriptional regulation of *katE* in *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **170**(9), 4286.
- Schneider, B.L., Hernandez, V.J., Reitzer, L. 2013. Putrescine catabolism is a metabolic response to several stresses in *Escherichia coli*. *Molecular Microbiology*, **88**(3), 537-550.
- Schneider, B.L., Reitzer, L. 2012. Pathway and enzyme redundancy in putrescine catabolism in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **194**(15), 4080.
- Seaver, L.C., Imlay, J.A. 2001. Hydrogen peroxide fluxes and compartmentalization inside growing *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **183**(24), 7182-7189.
- Seeger, M.A., Schiefner, A., Eicher, T., Verrey, F., Diederichs, K., Pos, K.M. 2006. Structural asymmetry of AcrB trimer suggests a peristaltic pump mechanism. *Science*, **313**(5791), 1295.
- Segura, A., Duque, E., Mosqueda, G., Ramos, J.L., Junker, F. 1999. Multiple responses of Gram-negative bacteria to organic solvents. *Environmental Microbiology*, 1(3), 191-198.
- Segura, A., Molina, L., Fillet, S., Krell, T., Bernal, P., Muñoz-Rojas, J., Ramos, J.-L. 2012. Solvent tolerance in Gram-negative bacteria. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, **23**(3), 415-421.
- Selifonova, O., Valle, F., Schellenberger, V. 2001. Rapid evolution of novel traits in microorganisms. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 67(8), 3645.
- Sera, T. 2009. Zinc-finger-based artificial transcription factors and their applications. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, **61**(7), 513-526.

- Sergiev, P.V., Lesnyak, D.V., Bogdanov, A.A., Dontsova, O.A. 2006. Identification of *Escherichia coli* m2G methyltransferases: II. The *ygjO* gene encodes a methyltransferase specific for G1835 of the 23 S rRNA. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, **364**(1), 26-31.
- Sernova, N.V., Gelfand, M.S. 2012. Comparative genomics of *cytR*, an unusual member of the Lacl family of transcription factors. *PLOS ONE*, **7**(9), e44194.
- Shah, A.A., Wang, C., Chung, Y.-R., Kim, J.-Y., Choi, E.-S., Kim, S.-W. 2013. Enhancement of geraniol resistance of *Escherichia coli* by MarA overexpression. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, **115**(3), 253-258.
- Sherkhanov, S., Korman, T.P., Bowie, J.U. 2014. Improving the tolerance of *Escherichia coli* to medium-chain fatty acid production. *Metabolic Engineering*, **25**, 1-7.
- Shimada, T., Fujita, N., Yamamoto, K., Ishihama, A. 2011. Novel roles of cAMP receptor protein (CRP) in regulation of transport and metabolism of carbon sources. *PLOS ONE*, 6(6), e20081.
- Shimizu, K. 2013a. Metabolic Regulation of a Bacterial Cell System with Emphasis on *Escherichia coli* Metabolism. *ISRN Biochemistry*, **2013**, 645983-645983.
- Shimizu, K. 2013b. Regulation systems of bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* in response to nutrient limitation and environmental stresses. *Metabolites*, **4**(1), 1-35.
- Shimuta, T.-r., Nakano, K., Yamaguchi, Y., Ozaki, S., Fujimitsu, K., Matsunaga, C., Noguchi, K., Emoto, A., Katayama, T. 2004. Novel heat shock protein HspQ stimulates the degradation of mutant DnaA protein in *Escherichia coli. Genes to Cells*, 9(12), 1151-1166.

- Shiwa, Y., Fukushima-Tanaka, S., Kasahara, K., Horiuchi, T., Yoshikawa, H. 2012. Whole-genome profiling of a novel mutagenesis technique using proofreading-deficient DNA polymerase δ. *International Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **2012**, 860797-860797.
- Si, H.-M., Zhang, F., Wu, A.-N., Han, R.-Z., Xu, G.-C., Ni, Y. 2016. DNA microarray of global transcription factor mutant reveals membranerelated proteins involved in n-butanol tolerance in *Escherichia coli*. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, **9**(1), 114.
- Sikkema, J., de Bont, J.A., Poolman, B. 1994. Interactions of cyclic hydrocarbons with biological membranes. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **269**(11), 8022-8028.
- Sikkema, J., de Bont, J.A., Poolman, B. 1995. Mechanisms of membrane toxicity of hydrocarbons. *Microbiological Reviews*, **59**(2), 201-222.
- Silhavy, T.J., Kahne, D., Walker, S. 2010. The bacterial cell envelope. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, **2**(5), a000414-a000414.
- Silveira, M.G., Baumgärtner, M., Rombouts, F.M., Abee, T. 2004. Effect of adaptation to ethanol on cytoplasmic and membrane protein profiles of Oenococcus oeni. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **70**(5), 2748-2755.
- Singh, R. 2011. Facts, growth, and opportunities in industrial biotechnology. *Organic Process Research & Development*, **15**(1), 175-179.
- Sinha, R.P. 1986. Toxicity of organic acids for repair-deficient strains of Escherichia coli. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **51**(6), 1364-1366.

- Sleator, R.D., Hill, C. 2002. Bacterial osmoadaptation: The role of osmolytes in bacterial stress and virulence. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, **26**(1), 49-71.
- Slone, R.V. 2010. Methacrylic Ester Polymers. in: *Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Technology*.
- Smith, P.F. 1969. Biosynthesis of glucosyl diglycerides by *Mycoplasma laidlawii* strain B. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **99**(2), 480-486.
- Soberón-Chávez, G., Alcaraz, L.D., Morales, E., Ponce-Soto, G.Y., Servín-González, L. 2017. The transcriptional regulators of the CRP family regulate different essential bacterial functions and can be inherited vertically and horizontally. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, **8**, 959-959.
- Soetaert, W., Vandamme, E. 2006. The impact of industrial biotechnology. *Biotechnology Journal*, **1**(7-8), 756-769.
- Sol Cuenca, M., Gómez-García, M.R., Udaondo, Z., Segura, A., Molina-Santiago, C., Duque, E., Ramos, J.-L., Roca, A. 2015. Mechanisms of solvent resistance mediated by interplay of cellular factors in *Pseudomonas putida*. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, **39**(4), 555-566.
- Song, B.-G., Kim, T.-K., Jung, Y.-M., Lee, Y.-H. 2006. Modulation of *talA* gene in pentose phosphate pathway for overproduction of poly-βhydroxybutyrate in transformant *Escherichia coli* harboring *phbCAB* operon. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, **102**(3), 237-240.
- Sorkin, D.L., Miller, A.-F. 1997. Spectroscopic measurement of a long-predicted active site pK in iron-superoxide dismutase from *Escherichia coli*. *Biochemistry*, **36**(16), 4916-4924.
- Soutourina, O., Kolb, A., Krin, E., Laurent-Winter, C., Rimsky, S., Danchin, A., Bertin, P. 1999. Multiple control of flagellum biosynthesis in *Escherichia*

coli: Role of H-NS protein and the cyclic AMP-catabolite activator protein complex in transcription of the *flhDC* master operon. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **181**(24), 7500-7508.

- Spears, R.J., Fascione, M.A. 2016. Site-selective incorporation and ligation of protein aldehydes. Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry, 14(32), 7622-7638.
- Sprouffske, K., Aguilar-Rodríguez, J., Sniegowski, P., Wagner, A. 2018. High mutation rates limit evolutionary adaptation in *Escherichia coli*. *PLOS Genetics*, **14**(4), e1007324.
- St John, G., Brot, N., Ruan, J., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Tempst, P., Weissbach, H., Nathan, C. 2001. Peptide methionine sulfoxide reductase from *Escherichia coli* and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* protects bacteria against oxidative damage from reactive nitrogen intermediates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **98**(17), 9901-9906.
- Stim-Herndon, K.P., Flores, T.M., Bennett, G.N. 1996. Molecular characterization of *adiY*, a regulatory gene which affects expression of the biodegradative acid-induced arginine decarboxylase gene (*adiA*) of *Escherichia coli. Microbiology*, **142**(5), 1311-1320.
- Stojiljkovic, I., Bäumler, A.J., Heffron, F. 1995. Ethanolamine utilization in Salmonella typhimurium: Nucleotide sequence, protein expression, and mutational analysis of the cchA cchB eutE eutJ eutG eutH gene cluster. Journal of Bacteriology, **177**(5), 1357.
- Straus, D.B., Walter, W.A., Gross, C.A. 1987. The heat shock response of *E. coli* is regulated by changes in the concentration of σ^{32} . *Nature*, **329**(6137), 348-351.

- Sun, X., Shen, X., Jain, R., Lin, Y., Wang, J., Sun, J., Wang, J., Yan, Y., Yuan,
 Q. 2015. Synthesis of chemicals by metabolic engineering of microbes.
 Chemical Society Reviews, 44(11), 3760-3785.
- Suzuki, K., Wang, X., Weilbacher, T., Pernestig, A.-K., Melefors, O., Georgellis, D., Babitzke, P., Romeo, T. 2002. Regulatory circuitry of the CsrA/CsrB and BarA/UvrY systems of *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 184(18), 5130-5140.
- Tailliez, P., Girard, H., Longin, R., Beguin, P., Millet, J. 1989. Cellulose fermentation by an asporogenous mutant and an ethanol-tolerant mutant of *Clostridium thermocellum*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 55(1), 203-206.
- Tan, F., Wu, B., Dai, L., Qin, H., Shui, Z., Wang, J., Zhu, Q., Hu, G., He, M. 2016a. Using global transcription machinery engineering (gTME) to improve ethanol tolerance of *Zymomonas mobilis*. *Microbial Cell Factories*, **15**, 4-4.
- Tan, S.Z., Prather, K.L.J. 2017. Dynamic pathway regulation: recent advances and methods of construction. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*, **41**, 28-35.
- Tan, Z., Black, W., Yoon, J.M., Shanks, J.V., Jarboe, L.R. 2017a. Improving *Escherichia coli* membrane integrity and fatty acid production by expression tuning of FadL and OmpF. *Microbial Cell Factories*, **16**(1), 38.
- Tan, Z., Khakbaz, P., Chen, Y., Lombardo, J., Yoon, J.M., Shanks, J.V., Klauda, J.B., Jarboe, L.R. 2017b. Engineering *Escherichia coli* membrane phospholipid head distribution improves tolerance and production of biorenewables. *Metabolic Engineering*, **44**, 1-12.
- Tan, Z., Yoon, J.M., Nielsen, D.R., Shanks, J.V., Jarboe, L.R. 2016b. Membrane engineering via trans unsaturated fatty acids production improves Escherichia coli robustness and production of biorenewables. *Metabolic Engineering*, **35**, 105-113.
- Tang, W.L., Zhao, H. 2009. Industrial biotechnology: Tools and applications. *Biotechnology Journal*, **4**(12), 1725-1739.
- Tao, L., Tan, E.C.D., McCormick, R., Zhang, M., Aden, A., He, X., Zigler, B.T.
 2014. Techno-economic analysis and life-cycle assessment of cellulosic isobutanol and comparison with cellulosic ethanol and n-butanol.
 Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining, 8(1), 30-48.
- Tardat, B., Touati, D. 1993. Iron and oxygen regulation of *Escherichia coli* MnSOD expression: competition between the global regulators Fur and ArcA for binding to DNA. *Molecular Microbiology*, **9**(1), 53-63.
- Teng, S., Srivastava, A.K., Schwartz, C.E., Alexov, E., Wang, L. 2010. Structural assessment of the effects of amino acid substitutions on protein stability and protein protein interaction. *International Journal of Computational Biology and Drug Design*, **3**(4), 334-349.
- Terui, Y., Saroj, S.D., Sakamoto, A., Yoshida, T., Higashi, K., Kurihara, S., Suzuki, H., Toida, T., Kashiwagi, K., Igarashi, K. 2014. Properties of putrescine uptake by PotFGHI and PuuP and their physiological significance in *Escherichia coli*. *Amino Acids*, **46**(3), 661-670.
- The Gene Ontology Consortium. 2018. The Gene Ontology Resource: 20 years and still GOing strong. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **47**(D1), D330-D338.
- Theophel, K., Schacht, V.J., Schlüter, M., Schnell, S., Stingu, C.-S., Schaumann, R., Bunge, M. 2014. The importance of growth kinetic analysis in determining bacterial susceptibility against antibiotics and silver nanoparticles. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, **5**, 544-544.

- Thomas, L.M., Harper, A.R., Miner, W.A., Ajufo, H.O., Branscum, K.M., Kao, L., Sims, P.A. 2013. Structure of *Escherichia* coli AdhP (ethanolinducible dehydrogenase) with bound NAD. Acta Crystallographica. Section F, Structural Biology and Crystallization Communications, 69(Pt 7), 730-732.
- Tian, L., Cervenka, N.D., Low, A.M., Olson, D.G., Lynd, L.R. 2019. A mutation in the AdhE alcohol dehydrogenase of *Clostridium thermocellum* increases tolerance to several primary alcohols, including isobutanol, nbutanol and ethanol. *Scientific Reports*, **9**(1), 1736.
- Tittensor, J.R., Walker, R.T. 1968. The isolation, analysis and chemical reactions of deoxyribonucleic acid [DNA]—III. The chemical reactions of DNA. *European Polymer Journal*, **4**(1), 39-54.
- Tomas, C.A., Welker, N.E., Papoutsakis, E.T. 2003. Overexpression of groESL in Clostridium acetobutylicum results in increased solvent production and tolerance, prolonged metabolism, and changes in the cell's transcriptional program. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 69(8), 4951-4965.
- Török, Z., Goloubinoff, P., Horváth, I., Tsvetkova, N.M., Glatz, A., Balogh, G., Varvasovszki, V., Los, D.A., Vierling, E., Crowe, J.H., Vígh, L. 2001. *Synechocystis* HSP17 is an amphitropic protein that stabilizes heatstressed membranes and binds denatured proteins for subsequent chaperone-mediated refolding. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **98**(6), 3098.
- Torres-Bacete, J., Sinha, P.K., Sato, M., Patki, G., Kao, M.-C., Matsuno-Yagi, A., Yagi, T. 2012. Roles of subunit NuoK (ND4L) in the energytransducing mechanism of *Escherichia coli* NDH-1 (NADH:Quinone Oxidoreductase). *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **287**(51), 42763-42772.

- Touati, D. 2000. Iron and oxidative stress in bacteria. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, **373**(1), 1-6.
- Tramonti, A., Visca, P., De Canio, M., Falconi, M., De Biase, D. 2002. Functional characterization and regulation of gadX, a gene encoding an AraC/XyIS-like transcriptional activator of the *Escherichia coli* glutamic acid decarboxylase system. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **184**(10), 2603-2613.
- Trinh, C.T., Unrean, P., Srienc, F. 2008. Minimal Escherichia coli cell for the most efficient production of ethanol from hexoses and pentoses. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 74(12), 3634.
- Tripathi, L., Zhang, Y., Lin, Z. 2014. Bacterial sigma factors as targets for engineered or synthetic transcriptional control. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, **2**, 33-33.
- Tschowri, N., Busse, S., Hengge, R. 2009. The BLUF-EAL protein YcgF acts as a direct anti-repressor in a blue-light response of *Escherichia coli*. *Genes & Development*, **23**(4), 522-534.
- Tsuge, Y., Kawaguchi, H., Sasaki, K., Kondo, A. 2016. Engineering cell factories for producing building block chemicals for bio-polymer synthesis. *Microbial Cell Factories*, **15**(1), 19.
- Turner, W.J., Dunlop, M.J. 2015. Trade-Offs in improving biofuel tolerance using combinations of efflux pumps. ACS Synthetic Biology, 4(10), 1056-1063.
- UniProt Consortium, T. 2018. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. Nucleic Acids Research, **46**(5), 2699-2699.
- Uppada, V., Bhaduri, S., Noronha, S.B. 2014. Cofactor regeneration an important aspect of biocatalysis. *Current Science*, **106**(7), 946-957.

- Uppal, S., Shetty, D.M., Jawali, N. 2014. Cyclic AMP receptor protein regulates cspD, a bacterial toxin gene, in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **196**(8), 1569-1577.
- Utrilla, J., O'Brien, E.J., Chen, K., McCloskey, D., Cheung, J., Wang, H., Armenta-Medina, D., Feist, A.M., Palsson, B.O. 2016. Global rebalancing of cellular resources by pleiotropic point mutations illustrates a multi-scale mechanism of adaptive evolution. *Cell Systems*, **2**(4), 260-271.
- Uttaro, A.D. 2006. Biosynthesis of polyunsaturated fatty acids in lower eukaryotes. *IUBMB Life*, **58**(10), 563-571.
- Vane, L.M. 2008. Separation technologies for the recovery and dehydration of alcohols from fermentation broths. *Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining*, 2(6), 553-588.
- Verghese, J., Abrams, J., Wang, Y., Morano, K.A. 2012. Biology of the heat shock response and protein chaperones: budding yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) as a model system. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews : MMBR*, **76**(2), 115-158.
- Vollan, H.S., Tannæs, T., Vriend, G., Bukholm, G. 2016. In-silico structure and sequence analysis of bacterial porins and specific diffusion channels for hydrophilic molecules: conservation, multimericity and multifunctionality. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, **17**(4), 599.
- von Wallbrunn, A., Richnow, H.H., Neumann, G., Meinhardt, F., Heipieper, H.J. 2003. Mechanism of *cis-trans* isomerization of unsaturated fatty acids in *Pseudomonas putida*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **185**(5), 1730.
- Wada, M., Fukunaga, N., Sasaki, S. 1989. Mechanism of biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids in *Pseudomonas sp.* strain E-3, a psychrotrophic bacterium. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **171**(8), 4267-4271.

Wandersman, C. 1992. Secretion across the bacterial outer membrane. *Trends in Genetics*, **8**(9), 317-322.

- Wang, Y., Manow, R., Finan, C., Wang, J., Garza, E., Zhou, S. 2011. Adaptive evolution of nontransgenic *Escherichia coli* KC01 for improved ethanol tolerance and homoethanol fermentation from xylose. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology*, **38**(9), 1371-1377.
- Wang, Y., Severinov, K., Loizos, N., Fenyö, D., Heyduk, E., Heyduk, T., Chait,
 B.T., Darst, S.A. 1997. Determinants for *Escherichia coli* RNA polymerase assembly within the β subunit. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, **270**(5), 648-662.
- Wang, Y., Shi, M., Niu, X., Zhang, X., Gao, L., Chen, L., Wang, J., Zhang, W.
 2014. Metabolomic basis of laboratory evolution of butanol tolerance in photosynthetic *Synechocystis sp.* PCC 6803. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 13, 151-151.
- Watson, H. 2015. Biological membranes. Essays In Biochemistry, 59, 43.
- Weber, F.J., de Bont, J.A.M. 1996. Adaptation mechanisms of microorganisms to the toxic effects of organic solvents on membranes. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Reviews on Biomembranes*, **1286**(3), 225-245.
- Weber, F.J., Isken, S., de Bont, J.A.M. 1994. Cis/trans isomerization of fatty acids as a defence mechanism of *Pseudomonas putida* strains to toxic concentrations of toluene. *Microbiology*, **140**(8), 2013-2017.
- Weikert, C., Sauer, U., Bailey, J.E. 1997. Use of a glycerol-limited, long-term chemostat for isolation of *Escherichia coli* mutants with improved physiological properties. *Microbiology*, **143**(5), 1567-1574.

- Werpy, T., Petersen, G. 2004. Top value added chemicals from biomass: Volume I -- Results of screening for potential candidates from sugars and synthesis gas. Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.
- White, D.G., Goldman, J.D., Demple, B., Levy, S.B. 1997. Role of the acrAB locus in organic solvent tolerance mediated by expression of *marA*, *soxS*, or *robA* in *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **179**(19), 6122-6126.
- Whitley, D., Goldberg, S.P., Jordan, W.D. 1999. Heat shock proteins: A review of the molecular chaperones. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*, **29**(4), 748-751.
- Winkler, J., Rehmann, M., Kao, K.C. 2010. Novel *Escherichia coli* hybrids with enhanced butanol tolerance. *Biotechnology Letters*, **32**(7), 915-920.
- Winkler, J.D., Kao, K.C. 2014. Recent advances in the evolutionary engineering of industrial biocatalysts. *Genomics*, **104**(6, Part A), 406-411.
- Wong, K., Ma, J., Rothnie, A., Biggin, P.C., Kerr, I.D. 2014. Towards understanding promiscuity in multidrug efflux pumps. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, **39**(1), 8-16.
- Wood, J.M. 1999. Osmosensing by bacteria: Signals and membrane-based sensors. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews : MMBR*, 63(1), 230-262.
- Wood, T.L., Wood, T.K. 2016. The HigB/HigA toxin/antitoxin system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* influences the virulence factors pyochelin, pyocyanin, and biofilm formation. *MicrobiologyOpen*, **5**(3), 499-511.
- Wu, B., Wawrzynow, A., Zylicz, M., Georgopoulos, C. 1996. Structure-function analysis of the *Escherichia coli* GrpE heat shock protein. *The EMBO Journal*, **15**(18), 4806-4816.

- Xiao, M., Zhu, X., Xu, H., Tang, J., Liu, R., Bi, C., Fan, F., Zhang, X. 2017. A novel point mutation in RpoB improves osmotolerance and succinic acid production in *Escherichia coli*. *BMC Biotechnology*, **17**(1), 10-10.
- Xu, J., Johnson, R.C. 1995. aldB, an RpoS-dependent gene in *Escherichia coli* encoding an aldehyde dehydrogenase that is repressed by Fis and activated by Crp. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **177**(11), 3166-3175.
- Xu, Q. 2002. Metal carbonyl cations: generation, characterization and catalytic application. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, **231**(1), 83-108.
- Xu, Z., Sigler, P.B. 1998. GroEL/GroES: Structure and function of a two-stroke folding machine. *Journal of Structural Biology*, **124**(2), 129-141.
- Yamaguchi, Y., Park, J.-H., Inouye, M. 2009. MqsR, a crucial regulator for quorum sensing and biofilm formation, is a GCU-specific mRNA interferase in *Escherichia coli*. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **284**(42), 28746-28753.
- Yamamoto, K., Ishihama, A. 2006. Characterization of copper-inducible promoters regulated by CpxA/CpxR in *Escherichia coli*. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, **70**(7), 1688-1695.
- Yamanaka, Y., Shimada, T., Yamamoto, K., Ishihama, A. 2016. Transcription factor CecR (YbiH) regulates a set of genes affecting the sensitivity of *Escherichia coli* against cefoperazone and chloramphenicol. *Microbiology*, **162**(7), 1253-1264.
- Yang, S., Pan, C., Tschaplinski, T.J., Hurst, G.B., Engle, N.L., Zhou, W., Dam,
 P., Xu, Y., Rodriguez, M., Jr., Dice, L., Johnson, C.M., Davison, B.H.,
 Brown, S.D. 2013. Systems biology analysis of *Zymomonas mobilis* ZM4 ethanol stress responses. *PLOS ONE*, 8(7), e68886.

- Yoshida, H., Ueta, M., Maki, Y., Sakai, A., Wada, A. 2009. Activities of *Escherichia coli* ribosomes in IF3 and RMF change to prepare 100S ribosome formation on entering the stationary growth phase. *Genes to Cells*, **14**(2), 271-280.
- Yu, A.-Q., Pratomo Juwono, N.K., Leong, S.S.J., Chang, M.W. 2014. Production of Fatty Acid-derived valuable chemicals in synthetic microbes. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, **2**, 78-78.
- Yuan, W., Jin, H., Chung, J.-K., Zheng, J. 2010. Evidence for cellular protein covalent binding derived from styrene metabolite. *Chemico-biological Interactions*, **186**(3), 323-330.
- Yung, P.Y., Lo Grasso, L., Mohidin, A.F., Acerbi, E., Hinks, J., Seviour, T., Marsili, E., Lauro, F.M. 2016. Global transcriptomic responses of *Escherichia coli* K-12 to volatile organic compounds. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 19899.
- Yura, T., Nagai, H., Mori, H. 1993. Regulation of the heat-shock response in bacteria. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, **47**(1), 321-350.
- Zgurskaya, H.I., Krishnamoorthy, G., Ntreh, A., Lu, S. 2011. Mechanism and function of the outer membrane channel ToIC in multidrug resistance and physiology of *Enterobacteria*. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, **2**, 189-189.
- Zhang, F., Qian, X.-H., Si, H.-M., Xu, G.-C., Han, R.-Z., Ni, Y. 2015. Significantly improved solvent tolerance of *Escherichia coli* by global transcription machinery engineering. *Microbial Cell Factories*, **2015**(14).
- Zhang, H., Chong, H., Ching, C.B., Song, H., Jiang, R. 2012a. Engineering global transcription factor cyclic AMP receptor protein of *Escherichia coli* for improved 1-butanol tolerance. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, **94**(4), 1107-1117.

- Zhang, H., Chong, H., Ching, C.B., Song, H., Jiang, R. 2012b. Engineering global transcription factor cyclic AMP receptor protein of *Escherichia coli* for improved 1-butanol tolerance. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*, **94**.
- Zhang, W., Li, F., Nie, L. 2010. Integrating multiple 'omics' analysis for microbial biology: application and methodologies. *Microbiology*, **156**(2), 287-301.
- Zhang, X.-S., García-Contreras, R., Wood, T.K. 2008. Escherichia coli transcription factor YncC (McbR) regulates colanic acid and biofilm formation by repressing expression of periplasmic protein YbiM (McbA). The Isme Journal, 2, 615.
- Zhang, Y.-M., Rock, C.O. 2008. Membrane lipid homeostasis in bacteria. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, **6**, 222.
- Zhang, Y.-M., Rock, C.O. 2009. Transcriptional regulation in bacterial membrane lipid synthesis. *Journal of lipid research*, **50 Suppl**(Suppl), S115-S119.
- Zhang, Y., Liu, D., Chen, Z. 2017. Production of C2–C4 diols from renewable bioresources: new metabolic pathways and metabolic engineering strategies. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, **10**(1), 299.
- Zheng, Y., Zhang, H., Wang, L., Zhang, S., Wang, S. 2016. Transition metaldoped heteropoly catalysts for the selective oxidation of methacrolein to methacrylic acid. *Frontiers of Chemical Science and Engineering*, **10**(1), 139-146.
- Zhou, J., Wang, K., Xu, S., Wu, J., Liu, P., Du, G., Li, J., Chen, J. 2015. Identification of membrane proteins associated with phenylpropanoid tolerance and transport in *Escherichia coli* BL21. *Journal of Proteomics*, **113**, 15-28.

- Zhu, L., Cai, Z., Zhang, Y., Li, Y. 2014. Engineering stress tolerance of Escherichia coli by stress-induced mutagenesis (SIM)-based adaptive evolution. *Biotechnology Journal*, **9**(1), 120-127.
- Zhu, L., Li, Y., Cai, Z. 2015. Development of a stress-induced mutagenesis module for autonomous adaptive evolution of *Escherichia coli* to improve its stress tolerance. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, **8**, 93-93.
- Ziervogel, B.K., Roux, B. 2013. The binding of antibiotics in OmpF porin. *Structure* **21**(1), 76-87.
- Zimenkov, D., Gulevich, A., Skorokhodova, A., Biriukova, I., Kozlov, Y., Mashko, S. 2005. *Escherichia coli* ORF *ybhE* is *pgl* gene encoding 6phosphogluconolactonase (EC 3.1.1.31) that has no homology with known 6PGLs from other organisms. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 244(2), 275-280.
- Zingaro, K.A., Papoutsakis, E.T. 2012. Toward a semisynthetic stress response system to engineer microbial solvent tolerance. *mBio*, **3**(5), e00308-12.
- Zingaro, K.A., Terry Papoutsakis, E. 2013. GroESL overexpression imparts *Escherichia coli* tolerance to i-, n-, and 2-butanol, 1,2,4-butanetriol and ethanol with complex and unpredictable patterns. *Metabolic Engineering*, **15**, 196-205.
- Zolkiewski, M. 1999. ClpB cooperates with DnaK, DnaJ, and GrpE in suppressing protein aggregation: A novel multi-chaperone system from *Escherichia coli. Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **274**(40), 28083-28086.
- Zu, T.N.K., Athamneh, A.I.M., Wallace, R.S., Collakova, E., Senger, R.S. 2014.
 Near-real-time analysis of the phenotypic response of *Escherichia coli* to 1-butanol exposure using Raman spectroscopy. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **196**(23), 3983.



11.1 Plasmids used in the study



Fig. 11.1 Plasmid map of pKIV_ara



Fig. 11.1 Plasmid map of pBAD-MMA050_mACX4_corrected (3)

Name	Sequence	Purpose
acrR-V-F	CTCGAGTGTCCGATTTCAAATTGG	Amp and Seq – <i>acrR</i>
acrR-V-R	CTCGAGTGTCCGATTTCAAATTGG	Amp and Seq – <i>acrR</i>
acrR-2-VM	GATCAGCCAGTCCACATCC	Seq – <i>acrR</i> (RNM-2)
acrR-5-VM	CTCCCGTAAATGCCTTGAATCAG	Seq – acrR (RNM-5)
acrR-6-VM	ACGAACAGTGGGGCTATGTC	Seq – acrR (RNM-6)
acrR-7-VM	AACTGGCCCGCCAATTG	Seq – <i>acrR</i> (RNM-7)
acrR-19-VM	GCTTTTTCGGGAGGAAGTGATAC	Seq – <i>acrR</i> (RNM-19)
acrR-21-VM	AGTGATACGGCAATGAGACGT	Seq – acrR (RNM-21)
acrR-23-VM	ATCAGCCAGTCCACATCCA	Seq – <i>acrR</i> (RNM-23)

Note: Amp = amplification, Seq = sequencing, - gene (source strain/plasmid)

11.3 Growth Curves



Figure 11.3 Growth characterization of isolates from ADE-1, ADE-2, and ADE-3. Growth of *E. coli* strains in M9 minimal medium (50 mL) contained in conical flasks (250 mL) at 37°C and 200 RPM (triplicates). The strains RNM-2 (\blacksquare), RNM-3 (\blacklozenge), RNM-4 (\blacktriangle), RNM-5 (\bullet), RNM-6 (x), and RNM-7 (+) were grown with BMA (20% v/v), while *E. coli* BW25113 –WT (-) was grown without BMA.



Figure 11.4 Growth characterization of isolates from ADE-4. Growth of *E. coli* strains in M9 minimal medium (50 mL) contained in conical flasks (250 mL) at 37°C and 200 RPM (triplicates). The strains RNM-14 (\blacksquare), RNM-15 (\blacklozenge), RNM-16 (\blacktriangle), RNM-17 (\bullet), and RNM-18 (x) were grown with BMA (20% v/v), while *E. coli* BW25113 –WT (-) was grown without BMA.



Figure 11.5 A Growth characterization of isolates from ADE-4 (A). Growth of *E. coli* strains in M9 minimal medium (50 mL) contained in conical flasks (250 mL) at 37°C and 200 RPM (triplicates). The strains RNM-8 (\blacksquare), RNM-9 (\blacklozenge), RNM-10 (\blacktriangle), RNM-11 (\bullet), RNM-12 (x), and RNM-13 (+) were grown with BMA (20% v/v), while *E. coli* BW25113 –WT (-) was grown without BMA.



Figure 11.5 B Growth characterization of isolates from ADE-4 (B). Growth of *E. coli* strains in M9 minimal medium (50 mL) contained in conical flasks (250 mL) at 37°C and 200 RPM (triplicates). The strains RNM-19 (\blacksquare), RNM-20 (\blacklozenge), RNM-21 (\blacktriangle), RNM-22 (\bullet), and RNM-23 (x) were grown with BMA (20% v/v), while *E. coli* BW25113 –WT (-) was grown without BMA.



Figure 11.6 Growth characterization of isolates from genome shuffling. Growth of *E. coli* strains in M9 minimal medium (50 mL) contained in conical flasks (250 mL) at 37°C and 200 RPM (triplicates). The strains RNM-24 (\blacksquare), RNM-25 (\blacklozenge), RNM-26 (\blacktriangle), RNM-27 (\blacklozenge), RNM-28 (x), RNM-29 (+), RNM-30 (-), and RNM-18 (-) were grown with BMA (20% v/v).

11.4 DNA translation

Amino acid	Three letter	One letter	DNA codons
	symbol	symbol	
Alanine	Ala	А	GCT;GCC;GCA;GCG
Arginine	Arg	R	CGT;CGC;CGA;CGG;AGA;AGG
Asparagine	Asn	Ν	AAT;AAC
Aspartic acid	Asp	D	GAT;GAC
Cysteine	Cys	С	TGT;TGC
Glutamic acid	Glu	Е	GAA;GAG
Glutamine	Gln	Q	CAA;CAG
Glycine	Gly	G	GGT;GGC;GGA;GGG
Histidine	His	Н	CAT;CAC
Isoleucine	lle	I	ATT;ATC;ATA
Leucine	Leu	L	TTA;TTG;CTT;CTC;CTA;CTG
Lysine	Lys	К	AAA;AAG
Methionine	Met	М	ATG (Start)
Phenylalanine	Phe	F	TTT;TTC
Proline	Pro	Р	CCT;CCC;CCA;CCG
Serine	Ser	S	TCT;TCC;TCA;TCG;AGT;AGC
Threonine	Thr	Т	ACT;ACC;ACA;ACG
Tryptophan	Trp	W	TGG
Tyrosine	Tyr	Y	TAT;TAC
Valine	Val	V	GTT;GTC;GTA;GTG
STOP	N/A	N/A	TAA;TAG;TGA

|--|

Notes: DNA codons are read from 5' end to 3' end with 3 bp sequence read from a start codon to stop codon.

11.5 Mutations found from genome resequencing

Table	11.3	Summary	of	mutations	in	genes	that	encode	for	proteins	that
regula	te ger	ne expressi	ion								

Gene	Gene Description	Cellular Location	Genomic Coordinate	Nucleotide Change/ Mutation Before → After	Effect of Nucleotide change; Length of Reference Amino Acid Sequence	Strain
acrR	acriflavine resistance regulator –acrAB operon repressor	Cyt	481486	insertion	Frameshift at AA 91 and truncates at AA 101; 215	2, 3
acrR	acriflavine resistance regulator –acrAB operon repressor	Cyt	481858	insertion	Frameshift at AA 214 and truncates at AA 249; 215	5
acrR	acriflavine resistance regulator – acrAB operon repressor	Cyt	481445	insertion	Frameshift at AA 77 and truncates at AA 84; 215	6
acrR	acriflavine resistance regulator – acrAB operon repressor	Cyt	481486	insertion	Frameshift at AA 91 and truncates at AA 96; 215	7
acrR	acriflavine resistance regulator – acrAB operon repressor	Cyt	481787	1 bp deletion	Frameshift AA at position 191 and truncates at AA 243; 215	18
acrR	acriflavine resistance regulator – acrAB operon repressor	Cyt	481361	11 bp deletion	frameshift at AA 53 and truncates at AA 244; 215	8, 22
acrR	acriflavine resistance regulator – acrAB operon repressor	Cyt	481310	insertion	truncates at AA 34; 215	19, 20, 21
acrR	acriflavine resistance regulator – acrAB operon repressor	Cyt	481437	insertion	Frameshift at AA 74 and truncates at AA 85; 215	23

rob	Right origin binding protein	Cyt	4624661	C→T	missense - Arg156His ; 289	2, 3, 8, 22, 29
rob	Right origin binding protein	Cyt	4624919	G→A	missense – Ala70Val ; 289	7
rob	Right origin binding (rob) protein	Cyt	4624920	C→T	missense – Ala70Thr ; 289	18
soxR	Superoxide response regulon activator	Cyt	4267812	T→A	Truncation- stops at Arg138 ; 154	5
soxR	Superoxide response regulon activator	Cyt	4267830	3 bp Deletion	Removal of Ala146 without changing the succeeding sequence ; 154	6
soxR	Superoxide response regulon activator	Cyt	4267455	G→T	Missense- Arg20Leu ; 154	23
marR	Multiple antibiotic resistance (mar) operon - repressor protein	Cyt	1613627	G→A	Missense- Val84Gly ; 144	19, 20, 21
ompR	Outer membrane porin protein - activator	Cyt	3529901	G→T	missense – Arg15Ser ; 239	19, 20, 21
phoP	Magnesium starvation regulon- regulator	Per	1185871	C→A	missense – Leu11Phe ; 223	3
cra	Catabolite repressor/ activator	Cyt	85321	1 bp deletion	Frameshift starting at AA 270 and truncates at AA 319; 334	18
creA	Right origin binding (rob) protein	Cyt	4625592	C→T	silent – Val85Val ; 157	18
rроВ	RNA polymerase ß subunit	Cyt	4174281	A→C	missense - Thr1037Pro ; 1342	8,22

Table 11.3 Continued...

rpoC	RNA polymerase ß' subunit	Cyt	4176359	T→G	missense - Leu361Arg ; 1407	2, 29
rpoC	RNA polymerase ß' subunit	Cyt	4175921	18 bp deletion	Frameshift starting AA 211 and stops at AA 230; 1407	18
rpoC	RNA polymerase ß' subunit	Cyt	4177637	C→T	missense – Ala787Val; 1407	21
rpoC	RNA polymerase ß' subunit	Cyt	4178500	C→T	missense – Arg1075Cys ; 1407	19, 20, 21, 23

Notes: Cellular Location; Cyt- Cytoplasm, Per- Periplasm, IM- Inner Membrane, Uk- Unknown

Table 11.4 Summary of mutations in genes encoding non-regulatory functional proteins

Gene	Gene Description	Cellular Location	Genomic Coordinate	Nucleotide Change/ Mutation Before → After	Effect of Nucleotide change; Length of Reference Amino Acid Sequence	Strain
acrB	Part of the acrAB- toIC multi-drug efflux complex	IM	478518	C→A	missense – Val448Leu ; 1049	3
acrB	Part of the acrAB- toIC multi-drug efflux complex	IM	478724	G→A	missense – Thr379lle ; 1049	19
acrB	Part of the acrAB- toIC multi-drug efflux complex	IM	477159	T→C	missense – Val901Ile ; 1049	21
yohJ	Membrane protein	IM	2224428	T→G	missense – Leu109Arg; 132	18
dnaK	Molecular chaperone (HSP70)	Cyt	13292	T→G	missense – Val377Gly ; 638	18
groL	Chaperonin groEL (HSP60)	Cyt	4361677	C→T	missense – Pro279Leu ; 548	22

ilvN	Acetolactate synthase isozyme 1 small subunit – Activity regulator	Cyt	3844331	C→T	missense – Cys41Tyr ; 96	2, 3, 29
ygbK	putative 3-oxo- tetronate kinase	Uk	2856574	C→A	missense – Ala294Glu ; 388	2, 29
icd	Isocitrate dehydrogenas	Cyt	1,191,772	Deletion of the last 58 bp of the gene	Frameshift Starting AA 398	3
ymfD	Uncharacterized protein/ part of the prophage e14	Uk	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
ymfE	Uncharacterized protein	IM	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
lit	Cell death peptidase/T4 exclusion	Uk	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
intE	Prophage integrase	Cyt	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
xisE	excisionase	Cyt	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
ymfl	Uncharacterized protein	Uk	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
xisE	excisionase	Cyt	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
ymfJ	Uncharacterized protein	Uk	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
cohE	Prophage respressor	Cyt	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
croE	Prophage transcriptional regulatory protein	Cyt	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3

ymfL	Uncharacterized protein	Uk	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
ymfM	Uncharacterized protein	Uk	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
oweE	pseudogene	Uk	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
aaaE	pseudogene	Uk	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
ymfR	Uncharacterized protein	Uk	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
beeE	Uncharacterized protein	Uk	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
jayE	Putative protein	Uk	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
ymfQ	Uncharacterized protein	Uk	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
stfP	Uncharacterized protein	Uk	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
tfaP	tail fiber assembly protein	Uk	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
tfaE	tail fiber assembly protein	Uk	1,191,772	15,096 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	3
yhdE	a nucleotide pyrophosphatase	Cyt	3,391,684	Indels	Uk	3
mscK	mechano sensitive channel protein	IM	481, 992	Indels	Uk	5

отрТ	outer membrane protein T/ surface membrane protease	ОМ	581,089	Indels	Uk	2, 6, 7
clsA	cardiolipin synthase A	ОМ	1,301,561	1 bp deletion	Frameshift starting AA 448 and truncates to AA 465	18
psuT	putative pseudouridine transporter	IM	2,250,368	8136 bp deletion	Deletion of first 446 bp of the gene	18
psuG	pseudouridine-5'- phosphate glycosidase	Uk	2,250,368	8136 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	18
psuK	putative pseudouridine kinase	Uk	2,250,368	8136 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	18
fruA	PTS system fructose-specific EIIB'BC component	IM	2,250,368	8136 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	18
fruB	sugar PTS diphosphoryl transfer protein	Cyt	2,250,368	8136 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	18
setB	sugar efflux transporter B	IM	2,250,368	8136 bp deletion	Deletion of AA start site	18
ompX	outer membrane protein X	ОМ	845,906	Indels	Uk	18
opgH	Glucans biosynthesis glucosyl- transferase	IM	1,106,602	G→C	Arg95Pro	18
atpl	ATP synthase protein I	IM	3,915,800	Indels	Uk	18
срхА	sensor histidine kinase	IM	4,094,374	G→T	Pro177Glu	18
yieL	putative xylanase	Uk	3,893,318	Indels	Uk	19
mioC	FMN binding protein	Cyt	3,919,269	Indels	Uk	19
yhhJ	inner membrane transport permease	IM	3, 618, 969	15,894 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	21

rbbA	ribosome- bound ATPase	IM	3, 618, 969	15,894 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	21
yhil	uncharacterized protein	IM	3, 618, 969	15,894 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	21
yhiJ	uncharacterized protein	Uk	3, 618, 969	15,894 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	21
yhiL	uncharacterized protein	Uk	3, 618, 969	15,894 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	21
yhiM	inner membrane protein	IM	3, 618, 969	15,894 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	21
yhiN	uncharacterized protein	Cyt	3, 618, 969	15,894 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	21
yhiN	uncharacterized protein	Cyt	3,630,122	1027 bp deletion	Deletion of the intergenic region and first 651 bp of the gene	20
pitA	phosphate transporter	IM	3, 618, 969	15,894 bp deletion	Deletion of entire gene	21
pitA	phosphate transporter	IM	3,630,122	1027 bp deletion	Deletion of the intergenic region and first 149 bp	20
IpxM	Lipid A biosynthesis myristoyl- transferase	IM	1,933,628	18 bp deletion	Removal of AA 267 to 272 without changing the succeeding sequence ; 323	2,29
рерА	Cytosol amino peptidase	Cyt	4,475,543	1 bp deletion	Change in AA sequence starting residue 76 and truncates at AA 99; 503	29

Notes: Cellular Location; Cyt- Cytoplasm, Per- Periplasm, IM- Inner Membrane, OM- Outer Membrane, Uk- Unknown

Gene	Gene Description	Cellular Location	Genomic Coordinate	Nucleotide Change/ Mutation Before → After	Effect of Nucleotide change; Length of Reference Amino Acid Sequence	Strain
Uk	+ 230 appY and +19 ompT	Uk	580116	G→T	Uk	5
Uk	- 271 <i>Irp</i> and - 274 <i>trxB</i>	Uk	927777	C→T	Uk	18
Uk	+ 45 <i>cohE</i> and -120 <i>ymfJ</i>	Uk	1197659	C→A	Uk	22
Uk	+ 4 <i>asmA</i> and + 270 <i>yegH</i>	Uk	2133236	T→A	Uk	23
Uk	-115 atpl and + 502 rsmG	Uk	3915915	T→G	Uk	23

Table 11.5 Summary of mutations in non-coding regions

Notes: Cellular Location; Cyt- Cytoplasm, Per- Periplasm, IM- Inner Membrane, Uk- Unknown

11.5.1 DNA sequence of affected genes

11.5.1.1 acrR

11.5.1.1.1 acrR-WT

11.5.1.1.2 acrR-RNM-2

11.5.1.1.3 acrR-RNM-3

11.5.1.1.4 acrR-RNM-5

ATGGCACGAAAAAACCAAACAAGAAGCGCAAGAAACGCGCCAACACATCCTCGATGTGGCTCTACGTCTTTTCTC

11.5.1.1.5 acrR-RNM-6

ATGGCACGAAAAACCAAAACAAGAAGCGCAAGAAACGCGCCAACACACCTCCTCGATGTGGCTCTACGTCTTTTCTC ACAGCAGGGGGGTATCATCCACCTCGCTGGGCGAGATTGCAAAAGCAGCTGGCGTTACGCGCGGTGCAATCTAC TGGCATTTTAAAGACAAGTCGGATTTGTTCAGTGAGATCTGGGAACTGTCAGAATCCAATATTGGTGAACTAGAG CTTGAGGGTGATGCTGCCAACTTACTGATTTAG

11.5.1.1.6 acrR-RNM-7

11.5.1.1.7 acrR-RNM-18

11.5.1.1.8 acrR-RNM-8

11.5.1.1.9 acrR-RNM-19

ATGGCACGAAAAAACCAAAGAAGAAGCGCAAGAAACGCGCCAACACACCTCCGATGTGGCTCTACGTCTTTTCTC ACAGCAGGGGGGTATCATCCCATAAGCGCTAA

11.5.1.1.10 acrR-RNM-20

ATGGCACGAAAAACCAAACAAGAAGCGCAAGAAACGCGCCAACACACCTCCGATGTGGCTCTACGTCTTTTCTC ACAGCAGGGGGGTATCATCCCATAAGCGCTAA

11.5.1.1.11 acrR-RNM-21

ATGGCACGAAAAAACCAAACAAGAAGCGCAAGAAACGCGCCAACACACCTCCGATGTGGCTCTACGTCTTTTCTC ACAGCAGGGGGGTATCATCCCATAAGCGCCTAA

11.5.1.1.12 acrR-RNM-22

11.5.1.1.12 acrR-RNM-23

ATGGCACGAAAAACCAAAACAAGAAGCGCAAGAAACGCGCCAACACACACCTCGATGTGGCTCTACGTCTTTTCTC ACAGCAGGGGGTATCATCCACCTCGCTGGGCGAGATTGCAAAAGCAGCTGGCGTTACGCGCGGTGCAATCTAC TGGCATTTTAAAGACAAGTCGGATTTGTTCAGTGAGATCTGGGAACTGTCAGAATCCAATATTGGTGAACTAGGG AAGGTGCGAATAAGCGGGGAAATTCTTCTCGGCTGA

11.5.1.1.13 acrR-RNM-29

11.5.1.2.1 rob-WT

ACGACGGATCGGAATCAGCAGTTCACAGCGTAGATTAATTGGGCGATCTCCCGCTTTGGCATCTTCTGCCGGGT AGTATCGCTCAATATCCTGACCTTTACGGCGCGTCAGGTTGAGCATTGGCATGCACGTTCCGTATACCGTCAGG ATAAACTCCTGCACGCCGGTTCCCAGACCTTCATAGGTAAACATCACATATTCGCCGCCCTGCAGCATCACCGG ATGCCCCGTCAGTACATAGCCATCTGCCTGATCCTGGGCTAACGCGGTGGTATAGAATACCTCTTGCTCGTCGT CTTTATCCTGACTCGGACGCGTTTCATTCAGGCCGTAGGACACCGGCGGAATGGTCGGCGCGTTGCCGAGAAA ATCGTGCCAGAACTGATAACGCATTTCATGGCGGAAATCAGAGATTTGCTCCAGCGAACAGGAGTAGCTCTGGG TAACACCAATCAGCGGCGTATCTTCCAGGGTGACAAATTTGTGCTCTGGCATAGTGAATTCACCCAGGCGTAGC GGCGGGCGAATACCAAAGGCGCTCCATTCAGGAGAACGGCGGTAAAGTGCAGGAGTCTGGGCAAACTGCTTCT TGAATGCGCGGGTAAATGTCTGTTGAGAGTCGAAGCGGCGATATGCAGCGCGATGTCCAGAATCGGACGCGCAGT CAGGCGTAGTGCGACCGCCGATTTCGACAAACGACGACGAGCACGAATATACGCGCCCAATAGCAGGAGCGCGACA TCTTTAACATTCTCTGTAAGTGCCACTTGGAATAACCTGCTTTCGCCGCTACATTGTCGAGCGACAGGGGCTGA TCCAGATGACCTTCCAGCCAGATTAAAAGGTCGCGAATAATGCCGGCCTGATCCAT

11.5.1.2.2 rob-RNM-2

ACGACGGATCGGAATCAGCAGTTCACAGCGTAGATTAATTGGGCGATCTCCCGCTTTGGCATCTTCTGCCGGGT AGTATCGCTCAATATCCTGACCTTTACGGCGCGTCAGGTTGAGCATTGGCATGCACGTTCCGTATACCGTCAGG ATAAACTCCTGCACGCCGGTTCCCAGACCTTCATAGGTAAACATCACATATTCGCCGCCCTGCAGCATCACCGG ATGCCCCGTCAGTACATAGCCATCTGCCTGATCCTGGGCTAACGCGGTGGTATAGAATACCTCTTGCTCGTCGT CTTTATCCTGACTCGGACGCGTTTCATTCAGGCCGTAGAGCACCGGCGGAATGGTCGGCGCGCTTGCCGAGAAA ATCGTGCCAGAACTGATAACGCATTTCATGGTGGAAATCAGAGATTTGCTCCAGCGAACAGGAGTAGCTCTGGG TAACACCAATCAGCGGCGTATCTTCCAGGGTGACAAATTTGTGCTCTGGCATAGTGAATTCACCCAGGCGTAGC GGCGGGCGAATACCAAAGGCGCTCCATTCAGGAGAACGGCGGTAAAGTGCAGGAGTCTGGGCAAACTGCTTCT TGAATGCGCGGGTAAATGTCTGTTGAGAGTCGAAGCGGCGATATGCAGCGCGATGTCCAGAATCGGCACGCGCAGT CAGGCGTAGTGCGACCGCCGATTTCGACAAACGACGACGAGCACGAATATACGCGCCCAATAGCATGGCCAGTGACA TCTTTAAACATTCTCTGTAAGTGCCACTTGGAATAACCTGCTTTCGCCGCTACATTGTCGAGCGACAGGGGCTGA TCCAGATGACCTTCCAGCCAGATTAAAAGGTCGCGAATAATGCCGGCCTGATCCAT

11.5.1.2.3 rob-RNM-3

ACGACGGATCGGAATCAGCAGTTCACAGCGTAGATTAATTGGGCGATCTCCCGCTTTGGCATCTTCTGCCGGGT AGTATCGCTCAATATCCTGACCTTTACGGCGCGTCAGGTTGAGCATTGGCATGCACGTTCCGTATACCGTCAGG ATAAACTCCTGCACGCCGGTTCCCAGACCTTCATAGGTAAACATCACATATTCGCCGCCCTGCAGCATCACCGG ATGCCCCGTCAGTACATAGCCATCTGCCTGATCCTGGGCTAACGCGGTGGTATAGAATACCTCTTGCTCGTCGT CTTTATCCTGACTCGGACGCGTTTCATTCAGGCCGTAGAGCACCGGCGGAATGGTCGGCGCGCGTGCCGAGAAA ATCGTGCCAGAACTGATAACGCATTTCATGGTGGAAATCAGAGATTTGCTCCAGCGAACAGGAGTAGCTCTGGG TAACACCAATCAGCGGCGTATCTTCCAGGGTGACAAATTTGTGCTCTGGCATAGTGAATTCACCCAGGCGTAGC GGCGGGCGAATACCAAAGGCGCTCCATTCAGGAGAACGGCGGTAAAGTGCAGGAGTCTGGGCAAACTGCTTCT TGAATGCGCGGGTAAATGTCTGTTGAGAGTCGAAGCGGGGTATTGCAGCGCGATGTCCAGAATCGGCACGCGCAGT CAGGCGTAGTGCGACCGCCGATTTCGACAAACGACGACGAGCACGAATATACGCGCCCAATAGCATGGCCAGTGACA TCTTTAAACATTCTCTGTAAGTGCCACTTGGAATAACCTGCTTTCGCCGCTACATTGTCGAGCGACAGGGGCTGA TCCAGATGACCTTCCAGCCAGATTAAAAGGTCGCGAATAATGCCGGCCTGATCCAT

11.5.1.2.4 rob-RNM-7

ACGACGGATCGGAATCAGCAGTTCACAGCGTAGATTAATTGGGCGATCTCCCGCTTTGGCATCTTCTGCCGGGT AGTATCGCTCAATATCCTGACCTTTACGGCGCGTCAGGTTGAGCATTGGCATGCACGTTCCGTATACCGTCAGG ATAAACTCCTGCACGCCGGTTCCCAGACCTTCATAGGTAAACATCACATATTCGCCGCCCTGCAGCATCACCGG ATGCCCCGTCAGTACATAGCCATCTGCCTGATCCTGGGCTAACGCGGTGGTATAGAATACCTCTTGCTCGTCGT CTTTATCCTGACTCGGACGCGTTTCATTCAGGCCGTAGGACACCGGCGGAATGGTCGGCGCGCTGCCGAGAAA ATCGTGCCAGAACTGATAACGCATTTCATGGCGGAAATCAGAGATTTGCTCCAGCGAACAGGAGTAGCTCTGGG TAACACCAATCAGCGGCGTATCTTCCAGGGTGACAAATTTGTGCTCTGGCATAGTGAATTCACCCAGGCGTAGC GGCGGGCGAATACCAAAGGCGCTCCATTCAGGAGAACGGCGGTAAAGTGCAGGAGTCTGGGCAAACTGCTTCT TGAATGCGCGGGGTAAATGTCTGTTGAGAGTCGAAGCGAGGCGGTATAGCAGGAGTCTGGGCAAACTGCTTCT TGAATGCGCGGGGTAAATGTCTGTTGAGAGTCGAAACGACGAGCGCACAGTATCAGCGCGCCAATAGCATGGCCAGTGACA TCTTTAACATTCTCTGTAAGTGCCACTTGGAATAACCTGCTTTCGCCGCTACATTGTCGAGCGACAGGGGCTGA TCCAGATGACCTTCCAGCCAGATTAAAAGGTCGCGAATAATGCCGGCCTGATCCAT

11.5.1.2.5 rob-RNM-18

ACGACGGATCGGAATCAGCAGTTCACAGCGTAGATTAATTGGGCGATCTCCCGCTTTGGCATCTTCTGCCGGGT AGTATCGCTCAATATCCTGACCTTTACGGCGCGTCAGGTTGAGCATTGGCATGCACGTTCCGTATACCGTCAGG ATAAACTCCTGCACGCCGGTTCCCAGACCTTCATAGGTAAACATCACATATTCGCCGCCCTGCAGCATCACCGG ATGCCCCGTCAGTACATAGCCATCTGCCTGATCCTGGGCTAACGCGGTGGTATAGAATACCTCTTGCTCGTCGT CTTTATCCTGACTCGGACGCGTTTCATTCAGGCCGTAGAGCACCGGCGGAATGGTCGGCGCGTTGCCGAGAAA ATCGTGCCAGAACTGATAACGCATTTCATGGCGGAAATCAGAGATTTGCTCCAGCGAACAGGAGTAGCTCTGGG TAACACCAATCAGCGGCGTATCTTCCAGGGTGACAAATTTGTGCTCTGGCATAGTGAATTCACCCAGGCGTAGC GGCGGGCGAATACCAAAGGCGCTCCATTCAGGAGAACGGCGGTAAAGTGCAGGAGTCTGGGCAAACTGCTTCT TGAATGCGCGGGTAAATGTCTGTTGAGAGTCGAAGCGGCGATATGCAGCGCGATGTCCAGAATCGGACGCGTAGT CAGGCGTAGTGCGACCGCCGATTTCGACAAACGACGACGAGCACAGGAGTGCCAGTAGCAGCGCGAAT CTTTAAACATTCTCTGTAAGTGCCACTTGGAATAACCTGCTTTCGCCGCTACATTGTCGAGCGACAGGGGCTGA TCCAGATGACCTTCCAGCCAGATTAAAAGGTCGCGAATAATGCCGGCCTGATCCAT

11.5.1.2.6 rob-RNM-8

ACGACGGATCGGAATCAGCAGTTCACAGCGTAGATTAATTGGGCGATCTCCCGCTTTGGCATCTTCTGCCGGGT AGTATCGCTCAATATCCTGACCTTTACGGCGCGTCAGGTTGAGCATTGGCATGCACGTTCCGTATACCGTCAGG ATAAACTCCTGCACGCCGGTTCCCAGACCTTCATAGGTAAACATCACATATTCGCCGCCCTGCAGCATCACCGG ATGCCCCGTCAGTACATAGCCATCTGCCTGATCCTGGGCTAACGCGGTGGTATAGAATACCTCTTGCTCGTCGT CTTTATCCTGACTCGGACGCGTTTCATTCAGGCCGTAGAGCACCGGCGGAATGGTCGGCGCGCGTGCCGAGAAA ATCGTGCCAGAACTGATAACGCATTTCATGGTGGAAATCAGAGATTTGCTCCAGCGAACAGGAGTAGCTCTGGG TAACACCAATCAGCGGCGTATCTTCCAGGGTGACAAATTTGTGCTCTGGCATAGTGAATTCACCCAGGCGTAGC GGCGGGCGAATACCAAAGGCCGCTCCATTCAGGAGAACGGCGGTAAAGTGCAGGAGTCTGGGCAAACTGCTTCT TGAATGCGCGGGGTAAATGTCTGTTGAGAGTCGAAGCGGCGATTTGCAGCGCGATGTCCAGAATCGGACGCGCAGT CAGGCGTAGTGCGACCGCCGATTTCGACAAACGACGACGAGCAGCAGTATACCGCGCCAATAGCATGGCCAGTGACA TCTTTAACATTCTCTGTAAGTGCCACTTGGAATAACCTGCTTTCGCCGCTACATTGTCGAGCGACAGGGGCTGA TCCAGATGACCTTCCAGCCAGATTAAAAGGTCGCGAATAATGCCGGCCTGATCCAT

11.5.1.2.7 rob-RNM-22

ACGACGGATCGGAATCAGCAGTTCACAGCGTAGATTAATTGGGCGATCTCCCGCTTTGGCATCTTCTGCCGGGT AGTATCGCTCAATATCCTGACCTTTACGGCGCGTCAGGTTGAGCATTGGCATGCACGTTCCGTATACCGTCAGG ATAAACTCCTGCACGCCGGTTCCCAGACCTTCATAGGTAAACATCACATATTCGCCGCCCTGCAGCATCACCGG ATGCCCCGTCAGTACATAGCCATCTGCCTGATCCTGGGCTAACGCGGTGGTATAGAATACCTCTTGCTCGTCGT CTTTATCCTGACTCGGACGCGTTTCATTCAGGCCGTAGAGCACCGGCGGAATGGTCGGCGCGCTTGCCGAGAAA ATCGTGCCAGAACTGATAACGCATTTCATGGTGGAAATCAGAGATTTGCTCCAGCGAACAGGAGTAGCTCTGGG TAACACCAATCAGCGGCGTATCTTCCAGGGTGACAAATTTGTGCTCTGGCATAGTGAATTCACCCAGGCGTAGC GGCGGGCGAATACCAAAGGCGCTCCATTCAGGAGAACGGCGGTAAAGTGCAGGAGTCTGGGCAAACTGCTTCT TGAATGCGCGGGTAAATGTCTGTTGAGAGTCGAAGCGGGATATGCAGCGCGATGTCCAGAATCGGCCGCGAT CAGGCGTAGTGCGACCGCCGATTTCGACAAACGACGAGCACGAATATACGCGCCCAATAGCATGGCCAGTGACA TCTTTAAACATTCTCTGTAAGTGCCACTTGGAATAACCTGCTTTCGCCGCTACATTGTCGAGCGACAGGGGCTGA TCCAGATGACCTTCCAGCCAGATTAAAAGGTCGCGAATAATGCCGGCCTGATCCAT

11.5.1.3.1 soxR-WT

ATGGAAAAGAAATTACCCCGCATTAAAGCGCTGCTAACCCCCGGCGAAGTGGCGAAACGCAGCGGTGTGGCGG TATCGGCGCTGCATTTCTATGAAAGTAAAGGGTTGATTACCAGTATCCGTAACAGCGGCAATCAGCGGCGATATA AACGTGATGTGTTGCGATATGTTGCAATTATCAAAATTGCTCAGCGTATTGGCATTCCGCTGGCGACCATTGGTG AAGCGTTTGGCGTGTTGCCCGAAGGGCATACGTTAAGTGCGAAAGAGTGGAAACAGCTTTCGTCCCAATGGCG AGAAGAGTTGGATCGGCGCATTCATACCTTAGTGGCGCTGCGTGACGAACAGCTGGACGGATGTATTGGTTGTGGCT GCCTTTCGCGCAGTGATTGCCCGTTGCGTAACCCGGGCGACCGCTTAGGAGAAGAAGGTACCGGCGCACGCTT GCTGGAAGAATGAACAAAACTAA

11.5.1.3.2 soxR-RNM-5

ATGGAAAAGAAATTACCCCGCATTAAAGCGCTGCTAACCCCCGGCGAAGTGGCGAAACGCAGCGGTGTGGCGG TATCGGCGCTGCATTTCTATGAAAGTAAAGGGTTGATTACCAGTATCCGTAACAGCGGCAATCAGCGGCGATATA AACGTGATGTGTGCGGATATGTTGCAATTATCAAAATTGCTCAGCGTATTGGCATTCCGCTGGCGACCATTGGTG AAGCGTTTGGCGTGTTGCCCGAAGGGCATACGTTAAGTGCGAAAGAGTGGAAACAGCTTTCGTCCCAATGGCG AGAAGAGTTGGATCGGCGCATTCATACCTTAGTGGCGCTGCGTGACGAACAGCTGGACGGATGTATTGGTTGTGGCT GCCTTTCGCGCAGTGATTGCCCGTTGCGTAACCCGGGCGACCGCTAAGGAGAAGAAGGTACCGGCGCACGCTT GCTGGAAGATGAACAAAACTAA

11.5.1.3.3 soxR-RNM-6

11.5.1.3.4 soxR-RNM-23

ATGGAAAAGAAATTACCCCGCATTAAAGCGCTGCTAACCCCCGGCGAAGTGGCGAAACTCAGCGGTGTGGCGG TATCGGCGCTGCATTTCTATGAAAGTAAAGGGTTGATTACCAGTATCCGTAACAGCGGCAATCAGCGGCGATATA AACGTGATGTGTTGCGATATGTTGCAATTATCAAAATTGCTCAGCGTATTGGCATTCCGCTGGCGACCATTGGTG AAGCGTTTGGCGTGTTGCCCGAAGGGCATACGTTAAGTGCGAAAGAGTGGAAACAGCTTTCGTCCCAATGGCG AGAAGAGTTGGATCGGCGCATTCATACCTTAGTGGCGCTGCGTGACGAACTGGACGGATGTATTGGTTGTGGCT GCCTTTCGCGCAGTGATTGCCCGTTGCGTAACCCGGGCGACCGCTTAGGAGAAGAAGGTACCGGCGCACGCTT GCTGGAAGATGAACAAAACTAA

11.5.1.4.1 marR-WT

11.5.1.4.2 marR-RNM-19

11.5.1.4.3 marR-RNM-20

11.5.1.4.4 marR-RNM-21

11.5.1.5.1 ompR-WT

11.5.1.5.2 ompR-RNM-19

11.5.1.5.3 ompR-RNM-20

 GAACGCTCCATCGACGTGCAGATTTCGCGTCTGCGCCGCATGGTGGAAGAAGATCCAGCGCATCCGCGTTACA TTCAGACCGTCTGGGGTCTGGGCTACGTCTTTGTACCGGACGGCTCTAAAGCATGA

11.5.1.5.4 ompR-RNM-21

11.5.1.6.1 phoP-WT

ATGCGCGTACTGGTTGTTGAAGACAATGCGTTGTTACGTCACCACCTTAAAGTTCAGATTCAGGATGCTGGTCAT CAGGTCGATGACGCAGAAGATGCCAAAGAAGCCGATTATTATCTCAATGAACATATACCGGATATTGCGATTGTC GATCTCGGATTGCCAGACGACGACGGTCTGTCACTGATTCGCCGCTGGCGTAGCAACGATGTTTCACTGCCGAT TCTGGTATTAACCGCCCGTGAAAGCTGGCAGGACAAAGTCGAAGTATTAAGTGCCGGTGCTGATGATTATGTGA CTAAACCGTTTCATATTGAAGAGGTGATGGCGCGAATGCAGGCATTAATGCGGCGTAATAGCGGTCTGGCTTCA CAGGTCATTTCGCTCCCCCGTTTCAGGTTGATCTCTCTCGCCGTGAATTATCTATTAATGACGAAGTGATCAAA CTGACCGCGTTCGAATACACTATTATGGAAACGTTGATACGCAATAATGGCAAAGTGGTCAGCAAAGATTCGTTA ATGCTCCAACTCTATCCGGATGCGGGAGCTGCGGGAAAGCCATACCATTGATGTGATGGGACGTCTGCCCAA AAAAATTCAGGCACAATATCCCCAAGAAGTGATTACCACCGTTCGCGGGCCAAGGGCTATCTGTTCGAATTGCGCT GA

11.5.1.6.2 phoP-RNM-3

ATGCGCGTACTGGTTGTTGAAGACAATGCGTTTTTACGTCACCACCTTAAAGTTCAGATTCAGGATGCTGGTCAT CAGGTCGATGACGCAGAAGATGCCAAAGAAGCCGATTATTATCTCAATGAACATATACCGGATATTGCGATTGTC GATCTCGGATTGCCAGACGACGACGGTCTGTCACTGATTGCGCGCGTGGCGTAGCAACGATGTTTCACTGCCGAT TCTGGTATTAACCGCCCGTGAAAGCTGGCAGGACAAAGTCGAAGTATTAAGTGCCGGTGCTGATGATTATGTGA CTAAACCGTTTCATATTGAAGAGGTGATGGCGCGAATGCAGGCATTAATGCGGCGTAATAGCGGTCTGGCTTCA CAGGTCATTTCGCTCCCCCGTTTCAGGTTGATCTCTCTCGCCGTGAATTATCTATTAATGACGAAGTGATCAAA CTGACCGCGTTCGAATACACTATTATGGAAACGTTGATACGCAATAATGGCAAAGTGGTCAGCAAAGATTCGTTA ATGCTCCAACTCTATCCGGATGCGGAGCTGCGGGAAAGCCATACCATTGATGTACTGATGGGACGTCTGCCCAA AAAAATTCAGGCACAATATCCCCAAGAAGTGATTACCACCGTTCGCGCCAGGGCTATCTGTTCGAATTGCGCT GA

11.5.1.7.1 cra-WT

11.5.1.7.2 cra-RNM-18

GTGAAACTGGATGAAATCGCTCGGCTGGCGGGAGTGTCGCGGACCACTGCAAGCTATGTTATTAACGGCAAAG CGAAGCAATACCGTGTGAGCGACAAAACCGTTGAAAAAGTCATGGCTGTGGTGCGTGAGCACAATTACCACCCG

11.5.1.8.1 creA-WT

11.5.1.8.2 creA-RNM-18

11.5.1.9.1 rpoB-WT

ATGGTTTACTCCTATACCGAGAAAAAACGTATTCGTAAGGATTTTGGTAAACGTCCACAAGTTCTGGATGTACCTT ATCTCCTTTCTATCCAGCTTGACTCGTTTCAGAAATTTATCGAGCAAGATCCTGAAGGGCAGTATGGTCTGGAAG CTGCTTTCCGTTCCGTATTCCCGATTCAGAGCTACAGCGGTAATTCCGAGCTGCAATACGTCAGCTACCGCCTT GGCGAACCGGTGTTTGACGTCCAGGAATGTCAAATCCGTGGCGTGACCTATTCCGCACCGCTGCGCGTTAAACT GCGTCTGGTGATCTATGAGCGCCGAAGCGCCGGAAGGCACCGTAAAAGACATTAAAGAACAAGAAGTCTACATG GGCGAAATTCCGCTCATGACAGGCAACGGTACCTTTGTTATCAACGGTACTGAGCGTGTTATCGTTTCCCAGCTG CACCGTAGTCCGGGCGTCTTCTTTGACTCCGACAAAGGTAAAACCCACTCTTCGGGTAAAGTGCTGTATAACGC ACCGTCGCCGTAAACTGCCTGCGACCATCATTCTGCGCGCCCTGAACTACACCACAGAGCAGATCCTCGACCTG TTCTTTGAAAAAGTTATCTTTGAAAATCCGTGATAACAAGCTGCAGATGGAACTGGTGCCGGAACGCCTGCGTGGT GAAACCGCATCTTTTGACATCGAAGCTAACGGTAAAGTGTACGTAGAAAAAGGCCGCCGTATCACTGCGCGCCA CATTCGCCAGCTGGAAAAAGACGACGTCAAACTGATCGAAGTCCCGGTTGAGTACATCGCAGGTAAAGTGGTTG CTAAAGACTATATTGATGAGTCTACCGGCGAGCTGATCTGCGCAGCGAACATGGAGCTGAGCCTGGATCTGCTG GCTAAGCTGAGCCAGTCTGGTCACAAGCGTATCGAAACGCTGTTCACCAACGATCTGGATCACGGCCCATATAT CTCTGAAACCTTACGTGTCGACCCAACTAACGACCGTCTGAGCGCACTGGTAGAAATCTACCGCATGATGCGCC CTGGCGAGCCGCCGACTCGTGAAGCAGCTGAAAGCCTGTTCGAGAACCTGTTCTTCTCCGAAGACCGTTATGAC TTGTCTGCGGTTGGTCGTATGAAGTTCAACCGTTCTCTGCTGCGCGAAGAAATCGAAGGTTCCGGTATCCTGAG CAAAGACGACATCATTGATGTTATGAAAAAGCTCATCGATATCCGTAACGGTAAAGGCGAAGTCGATGATATCGA CCACCTCGGCAACCGTCGTATCCGTTCGGCGAAATGGCGGAAAACCAGTTCCGCGTTGGCCTGGTACGT GTAGAGCGTGCGGTGAAAGAGCGTCTGTCTCTGGGCGATCTGGATACCCTGATGCCACAGGATATGATCAACG CCGCTGTCTGAGATTACGCACAAACGTCGTATCTCCGCACTCGGCCCAGGCGGTCTGACCCGTGAACGTGCAG GCTTCGAAGTTCGAGACGTACACCCGACTCACTACGGTCGCGTATGTCCAATCGAAACCCCTGAAGGTCCGAAC ATCGGTCTGATCAACTCTCTGTCCGTGTACGCACAGACTAACGAATACGGCTTCCTTGAGACTCCGTATCGTAAA GTGACCGACGGTGTTGTAACTGACGAAATTCACTACCTGTCTGCTATCGAAGAAGGCAACTACGTTATCGCCCA GGCGAACTCCAACTTGGATGAAGAAGGCCACTTCGTAGAAGACCTGGTAACTTGCCGTAGCAAAGGCGAATCCA GCTTGTTCAGCCGCGACCAGGTTGACTACATGGACGTATCCACCCAGCAGGTGGTATCCGTCGGTGCGTCCCT GATCCCGTTCCTGGAACACGATGACGCCAACCGTGCATTGATGGGTGCGAACATGCAACGTCAGGCCGTTCCG ACTCTGCGCGCTGATAAGCCGCTGGTTGGTACTGGTATGGAACGTGCTGTTGCCGTTGACTCCGGTGTAACTGC

GGTAGCTAAACGTGGTGGTGTCGTTCAGTACGTGGATGCTTCCCGTATCGTTATCAAAGTTAACGAAGACGAGA TGTATCCGGGTGAAGCAGGTATCGACATCTACAACCTGACCAAATACACCCGTTCTAACCAGAACACCTGTATCA CCTCGGTGAACTGGCGCTTGGTCAGAACATGCGCGTAGCGTTCATGCCGTGGAATGGTTACAACTTCGAAGACT CCATCCTCGTATCCGAGCGTGTTGTTCAGGAAGACCGTTTCACCACCATCCACGATCCAGGAACTGGCGTGTGTG TCCCGTGACACCAAGCTGGGTCCGGAAGAGATCACCGCTGACATCCCGAACGTGGGTGAAGCTGCGCTCTCCA TAAAGACTCTTCTCTGCGCGTACCAAACGGTGTATCCGGTACGGTTATCGACGTTCAGGTCTTTACTCGCGATG GAACTGCAGATCCTCGAAGCGGGTCTGTTCAGCCGTATCCGTGCTGGTGGCGTGGCGTGGAGCTG AGAAGCTCGACAAACTGCCGCGCGCGCTGGCTGGCTGGGGCCTGACAGACGAAGAGAAAAAATCAGCT GGAACAGCTGGCTGAGCAGTATGACGAACTGAAACACGAGTTCGAGAAGAAACTCGAAGCGAAACGCCGCAAA ATCACCCAGGGCGACGATCTGGCACCGGGCGTGCTGAAGATTGTTAAGGTATATCTGGCGGTTAAACGCCGTAT CCAGCCTGGTGACAAGATGGCAGGTCGTCACGGTAACAAGGGTGTAATTTCTAAGATCAACCCGATCGAAGATA TGCCTTACGATGAAAACGGTACGCCGGTAGACATCGTACTGAACCCGCTGGGCGTACCGTCTCGTATGAACATC GGTCAGATCCTCGAAACCCACCTGGGTATGGCTGCGAAAGGTATCGGCGACAAGATCAACGCCATGCTGAAAC AGTTGACCTGAGTACCTTCAGCGATGAAGAAGTTATGCGTCTGGCTGAAAACCTGCGCAAAGGTATGCCAATCG CAACGCCGGTGTTCGACGGTGCGAAAGAAGCAGAAATTAAAGAGCTGCTGAAACTTGGCGACCTGCCGACTTC CGGTCAGATCCGCCTGTACGATGGTCGCACTGGTGAACAGTTCGAGCGTCCGGTAACCGTTGGTTACATGTACA TGCTGAAACTGAACCACCTGGTCGACGACAAGATGCACGCGCGTTCCACCGGTTCTTACAGCCTGGTTACTCAG CAGCCGCTGGGTGGTAAGGCACAGTTCGGTGGTCAGCGTTTCGGGGAGATGGAAGTGTGGGCGCTGGAAGCA TACGGCGCAGCATACACCCTGCAGGAAATGCTCACCGTTAAGTCTGATGACGTGAACGGTCGTACCAAGATGTA TAAAAACATCGTGGACGGCAACCATCAGATGGAGCCGGGCATGCCAGAATCCTTCAACGTATTGTTGAAAGAGA TTCGTTCGCTGGGTATCAACATCGAACTGGAAGACGAGTAA

11.5.1.9.2 rpoB-RNM-8

ATGGTTTACTCCTATACCGAGAAAAAACGTATTCGTAAGGATTTTGGTAAACGTCCACAAGTTCTGGATGTACCTT ATCTCCTTTCTATCCAGCTTGACTCGTTTCAGAAATTTATCGAGCAAGATCCTGAAGGGCAGTATGGTCTGGAAG CTGCTTTCCGTTCCGTATTCCCGATTCAGAGCTACAGCGGTAATTCCGAGCTGCAATACGTCAGCTACCGCCTT GGCGAACCGGTGTTTGACGTCCAGGAATGTCAAATCCGTGGCGTGACCTATTCCGCACCGCTGCGCGTTAAACT GCGTCTGGTGATCTATGAGCGCGGAAGCGCCGGAAGGCACCGTAAAAGACATTAAAGAACAAGAAGTCTACATG GGCGAAATTCCGCTCATGACAGACAACGGTACCTTTGTTATCAACGGTACTGAGCGTGTTATCGTTTCCCAGCTG CACCGTAGTCCGGGCGTCTTCTTTGACTCCGACAAAGGTAAAACCCACTCTTCGGGTAAAGTGCTGTATAACGC ACCGTCGCCGTAAACTGCCTGCGACCATCATTCTGCGCGCCCTGAACTACACCACAGAGCAGATCCTCGACCTG TTCTTTGAAAAAGTTATCTTTGAAATCCGTGATAACAAGCTGCAGATGGAACTGGTGCCGGAACGCCTGCGTGGT GAAACCGCATCTTTTGACATCGAAGCTAACGGTAAAGTGTACGTAGAAAAAGGCCGCCGTATCACTGCGCGCCA CATTCGCCAGCTGGAAAAAGACGACGTCAAACTGATCGAAGTCCCGGTTGAGTACATCGCAGGTAAAGTGGTTG CTAAAGACTATATTGATGAGTCTACCGGCGAGCTGATCTGCGCAGCGAACATGGAGCTGAGCCTGGATCTGCTG GCTAAGCTGAGCCAGTCTGGTCACAAGCGTATCGAAACGCTGTTCACCAACGATCTGGATCACGGCCCATATAT CTCTGAAACCTTACGTGTCGACCCAACTAACGACCGTCTGAGCGCACTGGTAGAAATCTACCGCATGATGCGCC CTGGCGAGCCGCCGACTCGTGAAGCAGCTGAAAGCCTGTTCGAGAACCTGTTCTTCTCCGAAGACCGTTATGAC TTGTCTGCGGTTGGTCGTATGAAGTTCAACCGTTCTCTGCTGCGCGAAGAAATCGAAGGTTCCGGTATCCTGAG CAAAGACGACATCATTGATGTTATGAAAAAGCTCATCGATATCCGTAACGGTAAAGGCGAAGTCGATGATATCGA CCACCTCGGCAACCGTCGTATCCGTTCCGTTGGCGAAATGGCGGAAAACCAGTTCCGCGTTGGCCTGGTACGT GTAGAGCGTGCGGTGAAAGAGCGTCTGTCTCTGGGCGATCTGGATACCCTGATGCCACAGGATATGATCAACG CCGCTGTCTGAGATTACGCACAAACGTCGTATCTCCGCACTCGGCCCAGGCGGTCTGACCCGTGAACGTGCAG GCTTCGAAGTTCGAGACGTACACCCGACTCACTACGGTCGCGTATGTCCAATCGAAACCCCTGAAGGTCCGAAC ATCGGTCTGATCAACTCTCTGTCCGTGTACGCACAGACTAACGAATACGGCTTCCTTGAGACTCCGTATCGTAAA GTGACCGACGGTGTTGTAACTGACGAAATTCACTACCTGTCTGCTATCGAAGAAGGCAACTACGTTATCGCCCA GGCGAACTCCAACTTGGATGAAGAAGGCCACTTCGTAGAAGACCTGGTAACTTGCCGTAGCAAAGGCGAATCCA GCTTGTTCAGCCGCGACCAGGTTGACTACATGGACGTATCCACCCAGCAGGTGGTATCCGTCGGTGCGTCCCT GATCCCGTTCCTGGAACACGATGACGCCAACCGTGCATTGATGGGTGCGAACATGCAACGTCAGGCCGTTCCG ACTCTGCGCGCTGATAAGCCGCTGGTTGGTACTGGTATGGAACGTGCTGTTGCCGTTGACTCCGGTGTAACTGC GGTAGCTAAACGTGGTGGTGGTGCGTTCAGTACGTGGATGCTTCCCGTATCGTTATCAAAGTTAACGAAGACGAGA TGTATCCGGGTGAAGCAGGTATCGACATCTACAACCTGACCAAATACACCCGTTCTAACCAGAACACCTGTATCA CCTCGGTGAACTGGCGCTTGGTCAGAACATGCGCGTAGCGTTCATGCCGTGGAATGGTTACAACTTCGAAGACT CCATCCTCGTATCCGAGCGTGTTGTTCAGGAAGACCGTTTCACCACCATCCACATTCAGGAACTGGCGTGTGTG TCCCGTGACACCAAGCTGGGTCCGGAAGAGATCACCGCTGACATCCCGAACGTGGGTGAAGCTGCGCTCTCCA

TAAAGACTCTTCTCTGCGCGTACCAAACGGTGTATCCGGTACGGTTATCGACGTTCAGGTCTTTACTCGCGATG GAACTGCAGATCCTCGAAGCGGGTCTGTTCAGCCGTATCCGTGCTGGTGGCGTGGCGTGGAGCTG GGAACAGCTGGCTGAGCAGTATGACGAACTGAAACACGAGTTCGAGAAGAAACTCGAAGCGAAACGCCGCAAA ATCCCCCAGGGCGACGATCTGGCACCGGGCGTGCTGAAGATTGTTAAGGTATATCTGGCGGTTAAACGCCGTA TCCAGCCTGGTGACAAGATGGCAGGTCGTCACGGTAACAAGGGTGTAATTTCTAAGATCAACCCGATCGAAGAT ATGCCTTACGATGAAAACGGTACGCCGGTAGACATCGTACTGAACCCGCTGGGCGTACCGTCTCGTATGAACAT CGGTCAGATCCTCGAAACCCACCTGGGTATGGCTGCGAAAGGTATCGGCGACAAGATCAACGCCATGCTGAAA AAGTTGACCTGAGTACCTTCAGCGATGAAGAAGTTATGCGTCTGGCTGAAAACCTGCGCAAAGGTATGCCAATC GCAACGCCGGTGTTCGACGGTGCGAAAGAAGCAGAAATTAAAGAGCTGCTGAAACTTGGCGACCTGCCGACTT CCGGTCAGATCCGCCTGTACGATGGTCGCACTGGTGAACAGTTCGAGCGTCCGGTAACCGTTGGTTACATGTAC ATGCTGAAACTGAACCACCTGGTCGACGACGACGAGATGCACGCGCGTTCCACCGGTTCTTACAGCCTGGTTACTCA GCAGCCGCTGGGTGGTAAGGCACAGTTCGGTGGTCAGCGTTTCGGGGAGATGGAAGTGTGGGCGCTGGAAGC ATACGGCGCAGCATACACCCTGCAGGAAATGCTCACCGTTAAGTCTGATGACGTGAACGGTCGTACCAAGATGT ATAAAAACATCGTGGACGGCAACCATCAGATGGAGCCGGGCATGCCAGAATCCTTCAACGTATTGTTGAAAGAG ATTCGTTCGCTGGGTATCAACATCGAACTGGAAGACGAGTAA

11.5.1.9.3 rpoB-RNM-22

ATGGTTTACTCCTATACCGAGAAAAAACGTATTCGTAAGGATTTTGGTAAACGTCCACAAGTTCTGGATGTACCTT ATCTCCTTTCTATCCAGCTTGACTCGTTTCAGAAATTTATCGAGCAAGATCCTGAAGGGCAGTATGGTCTGGAAG CTGCTTTCCGTTCCGTATTCCCGATTCAGAGCTACAGCGGTAATTCCGAGCTGCAATACGTCAGCTACCGCCTT GGCGAACCGGTGTTTGACGTCCAGGAATGTCAAATCCGTGGCGTGACCTATTCCGCACCGCTGCGCGTTAAACT GCGTCTGGTGATCTATGAGCGCGGAAGCGCCGGAAGGCACCGTAAAAGACATTAAAGAACAAGAAGTCTACATG GGCGAAATTCCGCTCATGACAGGCAACGGTACCTTTGTTATCAACGGTACTGAGCGTGTTATCGTTTCCCAGCTG CACCGTAGTCCGGGCGTCTTCTTTGACTCCGACAAAGGTAAAACCCACTCTTCGGGTAAAGTGCTGTATAACGC ACCGTCGCCGTAAACTGCCTGCGACCATCATTCTGCGCGCCCTGAACTACACCACAGAGCAGATCCTCGACCTG TTCTTTGAAAAAGTTATCTTTGAAATCCGTGATAACAAGCTGCAGATGGAACTGGTGCCGGAACGCCTGCGTGGT GAAACCGCATCTTTTGACATCGAAGCTAACGGTAAAGTGTACGTAGAAAAAGGCCGCCGTATCACTGCGCGCCA CATTCGCCAGCTGGAAAAAGACGACGTCAAACTGATCGAAGTCCCGGTTGAGTACATCGCAGGTAAAGTGGTTG CTAAAGACTATATTGATGAGTCTACCGGCGAGCTGATCTGCGCAGCGAACATGGAGCTGAGCCTGGATCTGCTG GCTAAGCTGAGCCAGTCTGGTCACAAGCGTATCGAAACGCTGTTCACCAACGATCTGGATCACGGCCCATATAT CTCTGAAACCTTACGTGTCGACCCAACTAACGACCGTCTGAGCGCACTGGTAGAAATCTACCGCATGATGCGCC CTGGCGAGCCGCCGACTCGTGAAGCAGCTGAAAGCCTGTTCGAGAACCTGTTCTTCTCCGAAGACCGTTATGAC TTGTCTGCGGTTGGTCGTATGAAGTTCAACCGTTCTCTGCTGCGCGAAGAAATCGAAGGTTCCGGTATCCTGAG CAAAGACGACATCATTGATGTTATGAAAAAGCTCATCGATATCCGTAACGGTAAAGGCGAAGTCGATGATATCGA CCACCTCGGCAACCGTCGTATCCGTTCCGTTGGCGAAATGGCGGAAAACCAGTTCCGCGTTGGCCTGGTACGT GTAGAGCGTGCGGTGAAAGAGCGTCTGTCTCTGGGCGATCTGGATACCCTGATGCCACAGGATATGATCAACG CCGCTGTCTGAGATTACGCACAAACGTCGTATCTCCGCACTCGGCCCAGGCGGTCTGACCCGTGAACGTGCAG GCTTCGAAGTTCGAGACGTACACCCGACTCACTACGGTCGCGTATGTCCAATCGAAACCCCTGAAGGTCCGAAC ATCGGTCTGATCAACTCTCTGTCCGTGTACGCACAGACTAACGAATACGGCTTCCTTGAGACTCCGTATCGTAAA GTGACCGACGGTGTTGTAACTGACGAAATTCACTACCTGTCTGCTATCGAAGAAGGCAACTACGTTATCGCCCA GGCGAACTCCAACTTGGATGAAGAAGGCCACTTCGTAGAAGACCTGGTAACTTGCCGTAGCAAAGGCGAATCCA GCTTGTTCAGCCGCGACCAGGTTGACTACATGGACGTATCCACCCAGCAGGTGGTATCCGTCGGTGCGTCCCT GATCCCGTTCCTGGAACACGATGACGCCAACCGTGCATTGATGGGTGCGAACATGCAACGTCAGGCCGTTCCG ACTCTGCGCGCTGATAAGCCGCTGGTTGGTACTGGTATGGAACGTGCTGTTGCCGTTGACTCCGGTGTAACTGC GGTAGCTAAACGTGGTGGTGGTGCGTTCAGTACGTGGATGCTTCCCGTATCGTTATCAAAGTTAACGAAGACGAGA TGTATCCGGGTGAAGCAGGTATCGACATCTACAACCTGACCAAATACACCCGTTCTAACCAGAACACCTGTATCA CCTCGGTGAACTGGCGCTTGGTCAGAACATGCGCGTAGCGTTCATGCCGTGGAATGGTTACAACTTCGAAGACT CCATCCTCGTATCCGAGCGTGTTGTTCAGGAAGACCGTTTCACCACCATCCACATTCAGGAACTGGCGTGTGTG TCCCGTGACACCAAGCTGGGTCCGGAAGAGATCACCGCTGACATCCCGAACGTGGGTGAAGCTGCGCTCTCCA TAAAGACTCTTCTCTGCGCGTACCAAACGGTGTATCCGGTACGGTTATCGACGTTCAGGTCTTTACTCGCGATG GAACTGCAGATCCTCGAAGCGGGTCTGTTCAGCCGTATCCGTGCTGGTGGCGGTGGCGTTGAAGCTG AGAAGCTCGACAAACTGCCGCGCGATCGCTGGCTGGAGCTGGGCCTGACAGACGAAGAGAAACAAAATCAGCT GGAACAGCTGGCTGAGCAGTATGACGAACTGAAACACGAGTTCGAGAAGAAACTCGAAGCGAAACGCCGCAAA ATCCCCCAGGGCGACGATCTGGCACCGGGCGTGCTGAAGATTGTTAAGGTATATCTGGCGGTTAAACGCCGTA

11.5.1.10.1 rpoC-WT

GTGAAAGATTTATTAAAGTTTCTGAAAGCGCAGACTAAAACCGAAGAGTTTGATGCGATCAAAATTGCTCTGGCT TCGCCAGACATGATCCGTTCATGGTCTTTCGGTGAAGTTAAAAAGCCGGAAACCATCAACTACCGTACGTTCAAA CCAGAACGTGACGGCCTTTTCTGCGCCCGTATCTTTGGGCCGGTAAAAGATTACGAGTGCCTGTGCGGTAAGTA CAAGCGCCTGAAACACCGTGGCGTCATCTGTGAGAAGTGCGGCGTTGAAGTGACCCAGACTAAAGTACGCCGT GAGCGTATGGGCCACATCGAACTGGCTTCCCCGACTGCGCACATCTGGTTCCTGAAATCGCTGCCGTCCCGTAT CGGTCTGCTGCTCGATATGCCGCTGCGCGCGATATCGAACGCGTACTGTACTTTGAATCCTATGTGGTTATCGAAG TGACGAATTCGACGCGAAGATGGGGGCGGAAGCAATCCAGGCTCTGCTGAAGAGCATGGATCTGGAGCAAGAG TGCGAACAGCTGCGTGAAGAGCTGAACGAAACCAACTCCGAAACCAAGCGTAAAAAGCTGACCAAGCGTATCAA ACTGCTGGAAGCGTTCGTTCAGTCTGGTAACAAACCAGAGTGGATGATCCTGACCGTTCTGCCGGTACTGCCGC CAGATCTGCGTCCGCTGGTTCCGCTGGATGGTGGTCGTTTCGCGACTTCTGACCTGAACGATCTGTATCGTCGC ACGTATGCTGCAGGAAGCGGTAGACGCCCTGCTGGATAACGGTCGTCGCGGTCGTGCGATCACCGGTTCTAAC AAGCGTCCTCTGAAATCTTTGGCCGACATGATCAAAGGTAAACAGGGTCGTTTCCGTCAGAACCTGCTCGGTAA GCGTGTTGACTACTCCGGTCGTTCTGTAATCACCGTAGGTCCATACCTGCGTCTGCATCAGTGCGGTCTGCCGA AGAAAATGGCACTGGAGCTGTTCAAACCGTTCATCTACGGCAAGCTGGAACTGCGTGGTCTTGCTACCACCATT AAAGCTGCGAAGAAAATGGTTGAGCGCGAAGAAGCTGTCGTTTGGGATATCCTGGACGAAGTTATCCGCGAACA CCCGGTACTGCTGAACCGTGCACCGACTCTGCACCGTCTGGGTATCCAGGCATTTGAACCGGTACTGATCGAA GGTAAAGCTATCCAGCTGCACCCGCTGGTTTGTGCGGCATATAACGCCGACTTCGATGGTGACCAGATGGCTGT TCACGTACCGCTGACGCTGGAAGCCCAGCTGGAAGCGCGTGCGCTGATGATGTCTACCAACAACATCCTGTCC CCGGCGAACGGCGAACCAATCATCGTTCCGTCTCAGGACGTTGTACTGGGTCTGTACTACATGACCCGTGACTG TGTTAACGCCAAAGGCGAAGGCATGGTGCTGACTGGCCCGAAAGAAGCAGAACGTCTGTATCGCTCTGGTCTG GCTTCTCTGCATGCGCGCGTTAAAGTGCGTATCACCGAGTATGAAAAAGATGCTAACGGTGAATTAGTAGCGAA AACCAGCCTGAAAGACACGACTGTTGGCCGTGCCATTCTGTGGATGATTGTACCGAAAGGTCTGCCTTACTCCA TCGTCAACCAGGCGCTGGGTAAAAAAGCAATCTCCAAAATGCTGAACACCTGCTACCGCATTCTCGGTCTGAAA CCGACCGTTATTTTTGCGGACCAGATCATGTACACCGGCTTCGCCTATGCAGCGCGTTCTGGTGCATCTGTTGG TATCGATGACATGGTCATCCCGGGAGAAGAAACACGAAATCATCTCCCGAGGCAGAAGCAGAAGTTGCTGAAATTC AGGAGCAGTTCCAGTCTGGTCTGGTAACTGCGGGCGAACGCTACAACAAAGTTATCGATATCTGGGCTGCGGC GAACGATCGTGTATCCAAAGCGATGATGGATAACCTGCAAACTGAAACCGTGATTAACCGTGACGGTCAGGAAG AGAAGCAGGTTTCCTTCAACAGCATCTACATGATGGCCGACTCCGGTGCGCGTGGTTCTGCGGCACAGATTCGT CAGCTTGCTGGTATGCGTGGTCTGATGGCCGAAGCCGGATGGCTCCATCATCGAAACGCCAATCACCGCGAACT CTGAAAACTGCGAACTCCGGTTACCTGACTCGTCGTCGGTTGACGTGGCCGCAGGACCTGGTGGTTACCGAAG ACGATTGTGGTACCCATGAAGGTATCATGATGACTCCGGTTATCGAGGGTGGTGACGTTAAAGAGCCGCTGCGC GATCGCGTACTGGGTCGTGTAACTGCTGAAGACGTTCTGAAGCCGGGTACTGCTGATATCCTCGTTCCGCGCAA CACGCTGCTGCACGAACAGTGGTGTGACCTGCTGGAAGAGAACTCTGTCGACGCGGTTAAAGTACGTTCTGTTG AAGGGTGAAGCAATCGGTGTTATCGCGGCACAGTCCATCGGTGAACCGGGTACACAGCTGACCATGCGTACGT TCCACATCGGTGGTGCGGCATCTCGTGCGGCTGCTGAATCCAGCATCCAAGTGAAAAACAAAGGTAGCATCAAG CTCAGCAACGTGAAGTCGGTTGTGAACTCCAGCGGTAAACTGGTTATCACTTCCCGTAATACTGAACTGAAACTG ATCGACGAATTCGGTCGTACTAAAGAAAGCTACAAAGTACCTTACGGTGCGGTACTGGCGAAAGGCGATGGCGA ACAGGTTGCTGGCGGCGAAACCGTTGCAAACTGGGACCCGCACACCATGCCGGTTATCACCGAAGTAAGCGGT GCTGGTGGTTCTGGATTCCGCAGAACGTACCGCAGGTGGTAAAGATCTGCGTCCGGCACTGAAAATCGTTGATG CTCAGGGTAACGACGTTCTGATCCCAGGTACCGATATGCCAGCGCAGTACTTCCTGCCGGGTAAAGCGATTGTT CAGCTGGAAGATGGCGTACAGATCAGCTCTGGTGACACCCTGGCGCGTATTCCGCAGGAATCCGGCGGTACCA AGGACATCACCGGTGGTCTGCCGCGCGTTGCGGACCTGTTCGAAGCACGTCGTCCGAAAGAGCCGGCAATCCT GGCTGAAATCAGCGGTATCGTTTCCTTCGGTAAAGAAACCAAAGGTAAACGTCGTCTGGTTATCACCCCGGTAG ACGGTAGCGATCCGTACGAAGAGAGATGATTCCGAAATGGCGTCAGCTCAACGTGTTCGAAGGTGAACGTGTAGAA CGTGGTGACGTAATTTCCGACGGTCCGGAAGCGCCGCACGACATTCTGCGTCTGCGTGGTGTTCATGCTGTTAC TCGTTACATCGTTAACGAAGTACAGGACGTATACCGTCTGCAGGGCGTTAAGATTAACGATAAACACATCGAAGT TATCGTTCGTCAGATGCTGCGTAAAGCTACCATCGTTAACGCGGGTAGCTCCGACTTCCTGGAAGGCGAACAGG TTGAATACTCTCGCGTCAAGATCGCAAACCGCGAACTGGAAGCGAACGGCAAAGTGGGTGCAACTTACTCCCGC GATCTGCTGGGTATCACCAAAGCGTCTCTGGCAACCGAGTCCTTCATCTCCGCGGCATCGTTCCAGGAGACCAC TCGCGTGCTGACCGAAGCAGCCGTTGCGGGCAAACGCGACGAACTGCGCGGCCTGAAAGAGAACGTTATCGT GGGTCGTCTGATCCCGGCAGGTACCGGTTACGCGTACCACCAGGATCGTATGCGTCGCCGTGCTGCGGGGTGAA GCTCCGGCTGCACCGCAGGTGACTGCAGAAGACGCATCTGCCAGCCTGGCAGAACTGCTGAACGCAGGTCTG GGCCGTTCTGATACCGAGGTAAC

11.5.1.10.2 rpoC-RNM-2

GTGAAAGATTTATTAAAGTTTCTGAAAGCGCAGACTAAAACCGAAGAGTTTGATGCGATCAAAATTGCTCTGGCT TCGCCAGACATGATCCGTTCATGGTCTTTCGGTGAAGTTAAAAAGCCGGAAACCATCAACTACCGTACGTTCAAA CCAGAACGTGACGGCCTTTTCTGCGCCCGTATCTTTGGGCCGGTAAAAGATTACGAGTGCCTGTGCGGTAAGTA CAAGCGCCTGAAACACCGTGGCGTCATCTGTGAGAAGTGCGGCGTTGAAGTGACCCAGACTAAAGTACGCCGT GAGCGTATGGGCCACATCGAACTGGCTTCCCCGACTGCGCACATCTGGTTCCTGAAATCGCTGCCGTCCCGTAT CGGTCTGCTGCTGCATATGCCGCTGCGCGATATCGAACGCGTACTGTACTTTGAATCCTATGTGGTTATCGAAG GCGGTATGACCAACCTGGAACGTCAGCAGATCCTGAACAGAGCAGTATCTGGACGCGCTGGAAGAGTTCGG TGACGAATTCGACGCGAAGATGGGGGCGGAAGCAATCCAGGCTCTGCTGAAGAGCATGGATCTGGAGCAAGAG TGCGAACAGCTGCGTGAAGAGCTGAACGAAACCAACTCCGAAACCAAGCGTAAAAAGCTGACCAAGCGTATCAA ACTGCTGGAAGCGTTCGTTCAGTCTGGTAACAAACCAGAGTGGATGATCCTGACCGTTCTGCCGGTACTGCCGC CAGATCTGCGTCCGCTGGTTCCGCTGGATGGTGGTCGTTTCGCGACTTCTGACCTGAACGATCTGTATCGTCGC ACGTATGCTGCAGGAAGCGGTAGACGCCCTGCTGGATAACGGTCGTCGCGGTCGTGCGATCACCGGTTCTAAC AAGCGTCCTCTGAAATCTTTGGCCGACATGATCAAAGGTAAACAGGGTCGTTTCCGTCAGAACCTGCTCGGTAA GCGTGTTGACTACTCCGGTCGTTCTGTAATCACCGTAGGTCCATACCGGCGTCTGCATCAGTGCGGTCTGCCGA AGAAAATGGCACTGGAGCTGTTCAAACCGTTCATCTACGGCAAGCTGGAACTGCGTGGTCTTGCTACCACCATT AAAGCTGCGAAGAAAATGGTTGAGCGCGAAGAAGCTGTCGTTTGGGATATCCTGGACGAAGTTATCCGCGAACA CCCGGTACTGCTGAACCGTGCACCGACTCTGCACCGTCTGGGTATCCAGGCATTTGAACCGGTACTGATCGAA GGTAAAGCTATCCAGCTGCACCCGCTGGTTTGTGCGGCATATAACGCCGACTTCGATGGTGACCAGATGGCTGT TCACGTACCGCTGACGCTGGAAGCCCAGCTGGAAGCGCGTGCGCTGATGATGTCTACCAACAACATCCTGTCC CCGGCGAACGGCGAACCAATCATCGTTCCGTCTCAGGACGTTGTACTGGGTCTGTACTACATGACCCGTGACTG TGTTAACGCCAAAGGCGAAGGCATGGTGCTGACTGGCCCGAAAGAAGCAGAACGTCTGTATCGCTCTGGTCTG GCTTCTCTGCATGCGCGCGTTAAAGTGCGTATCACCGAGTATGAAAAAGATGCTAACGGTGAATTAGTAGCGAA AACCAGCCTGAAAGACACGACTGTTGGCCGTGCCATTCTGTGGATGATTGTACCGAAAGGTCTGCCTTACTCCA TCGTCAACCAGGCGCTGGGTAAAAAAGCAATCTCCAAAATGCTGAACACCTGCTACCGCATTCTCGGTCTGAAA CCGACCGTTATTTTTGCGGACCAGATCATGTACACCGGCTTCGCCTATGCAGCGCGTTCTGGTGCATCTGTTGG TATCGATGACATGGTCATCCCGGAGAAGAAACACGAAATCATCTCCGAGGCAGAAGCAGAAGTTGCTGAAATTC AGGAGCAGTTCCAGTCTGGTCTGGTAACTGCGGGCGAACGCTACAACAAAGTTATCGATATCTGGGCTGCGGC GAACGATCGTGTATCCAAAGCGATGATGGATAACCTGCAAACTGAAACCGTGATTAACCGTGACGGTCAGGAAG AGAAGCAGGTTTCCTTCAACAGCATCTACATGATGGCCGACTCCGGTGCGCGCGTGGTTCTGCGGCACAGATTCGT CAGCTTGCTGGTATGCGTGGTCTGATGGCGAAGCCGGATGGCTCCATCATCGAAACGCCAATCACCGCGAACT CTGAAAACTGCGAACTCCGGTTACCTGACTCGTCGTCGGTTGACGTGGCGCAGGACCTGGTGGTTACCGAAG ACGATTGTGGTACCCATGAAGGTATCATGATGACTCCGGTTATCGAGGGTGGTGACGTTAAAGAGCCGCTGCGC GATCGCGTACTGGGTCGTGTAACTGCTGAAGACGTTCTGAAGCCGGGTACTGCTGATATCCTCGTTCCGCGCAA CACGCTGCTGCACGAACAGTGGTGTGACCTGCTGGAAGAGAACTCTGTCGACGCGGTTAAAGTACGTTCTGTTG AAGGGTGAAGCAATCGGTGTTATCGCGGCACAGTCCATCGGTGAACCGGGTACACAGCTGACCATGCGTACGT CTCAGCAACGTGAAGTCGGTTGTGAACTCCAGCGGTAAACTGGTTATCACTTCCCGTAATACTGAACTGAAACTG ATCGACGAATTCGGTCGTACTAAAGAAAGCTACAAAGTACCTTACGGTGCGGTACTGGCGAAAGGCGATGGCGA ACAGGTTGCTGGCGGCGAAACCGTTGCAAACTGGGACCCGCACACCATGCCGGTTATCACCGAAGTAAGCGGT GCTGGTGGTTCTGGATTCCGCAGAACGTACCGCAGGTGGTAAAGATCTGCGTCCGGCACTGAAAATCGTTGATG CTCAGGGTAACGACGTTCTGATCCCAGGTACCGATATGCCAGCGCAGTACTTCCTGCCGGGTAAAGCGATTGTT CAGCTGGAAGATGGCGTACAGATCAGCTCTGGTGACACCCTGGCGCGTATTCCGCAGGAATCCGGCGGTACCA AGGACATCACCGGTGGTCTGCCGCGCGTTGCGGACCTGTTCGAAGCACGTCGTCCGAAAGAGCCGGCAATCCT GGCTGAAATCAGCGGTATCGTTTCCTTCGGTAAAGAAACCAAAGGTAAACGTCGTCTGGTTATCACCCCGGTAG ACGGTAGCGATCCGTACGAAGAGATGATTCCGAAATGGCGTCAGCTCAACGTGTTCGAAGGTGAACGTGTAGAA CGTGGTGACGTAATTTCCGACGGTCCGGAAGCGCCGCACGACATTCTGCGTCGCGTGGTGTTCATGCTGTTAC TCGTTACATCGTTAACGAAGTACAGGACGTATACCGTCTGCAGGGCGTTAAGATTAACGATAAACACATCGAAGT TATCGTTCGTCAGATGCTGCGTAAAGCTACCATCGTTAACGCGGGTAGCTCCGACTTCCTGGAAGGCGAACAGG TTGAATACTCTCGCGTCAAGATCGCAAACCGCGAACTGGAAGCGAACGGCAAAGTGGGTGCAACTTACTCCCGC GATCTGCTGGGTATCACCAAAGCGTCTCTGGCAACCGAGTCCTTCATCTCCGCGGCATCGTTCCAGGAGACCAC TCGCGTGCTGACCGAAGCAGCCGTTGCGGGCAAACGCGACGAACTGCGCGGCCTGAAAGAGAACGTTATCGT

GGGTCGTCTGATCCCGGCAGGTACCGGTTACGCGTACCACCAGGATCGTATGCGTCGCCGTGCTGCGGGTGAA GCTCCGGCTGCACCGCAGGTGACTGCAGAAGACGCATCTGCCAGCCTGGCAGAACTGCTGAACGCAGGTCTG GGCGGTTCTGATAACGAGTAA

11.5.1.10.3 rpoC-RNM-18

GTGAAAGATTTATTAAAGTTTCTGAAAGCGCAGACTAAAACCGAAGAGTTTGATGCGATCAAAATTGCTCTGGCT TCGCCAGACATGATCCGTTCATGGTCTTTCGGTGAAGTTAAAAAGCCGGAAACCATCAACTACCGTACGTTCAAA CCAGAACGTGACGGCCTTTTCTGCGCCCGTATCTTTGGGCCGGTAAAAGATTACGAGTGCCTGTGCGGTAAGTA CAAGCGCCTGAAACACCGTGGCGTCATCTGTGAGAAGTGCGGCGTTGAAGTGACCCAGACTAAAGTACGCCGT GAGCGTATGGGCCACATCGAACTGGCTTCCCCGACTGCGCACATCTGGTTCCTGAAATCGCTGCCGTCCCGTAT CGGTCTGCTGCTCGATATGCCGCTGCGCGATATCGAACGCGTACTGTACTTTGAATCCTATGTGGTTATCGAAG TGACGAATTCGACGCGAAGATGGGGGCGGAAGCAATCCAGGCTCTGCTGAAGAGCATGGATCTGGAGCAAGAG TGCGAACAGCTGCGTGAAGAGCTGAACGAAACCAACTCCGAAACCAAGCGTATCAAACTGCTGGAAGCGTTCGT TCAGTCTGGTAACAAACCAGAGTGGATGATCCTGACCGTTCTGCCGGTACTGCCGCCAGATCTGCGTCCGCTG GTTCCGCTGGATGGTGGTCGTTTCGCGACTTCTGACCTGAACGATCTGTATCGTCGCGTCATTAACCGTAACAA CGGTAGACGCCCTGCTGGATAACGGTCGTCGCGGTCGTGCGATCACCGGTTCTAACAAGCGTCCTCTGAAATC TTTGGCCGACATGATCAAAGGTAAACAGGGTCGTTTCCGTCAGAACCTGCTCGGTAAGCGTGTTGACTACTCCG GTCGTTCTGTAATCACCGTAGGTCCATACCTGCGTCTGCATCAGTGCGGTCTGCCGAAGAAAATGGCACTGGAG CTGTTCAAACCGTTCATCTACGGCAAGCTGGAACTGCGTGGTCTTGCTACCACCATTAAAGCTGCGAAGAAAAT GGTTGAGCGCGAAGAAGCTGTCGTTTGGGATATCCTGGACGAAGTTATCCGCGAACACCCGGTACTGCTGAAC CGTGCACCGACTCTGCACCGTCTGGGTATCCAGGCATTTGAACCGGTACTGATCGAAGGTAAAGCTATCCAGCT GCACCCGCTGGTTTGTGCGGCATATAACGCCGACTTCGATGGTGACCAGATGGCTGTTCACGTACCGCTGACG CTGGAAGCCCAGCTGGAAGCGCGTGCGCTGATGATGTCTACCAACAACATCCTGTCCCCGGCGAACGGCGAAC CAATCATCGTTCCGTCTCAGGACGTTGTACTGGGTCTGTACTACATGACCCGTGACTGTGTTAACGCCAAAGGC GAAGGCATGGTGCTGACTGGCCCGAAAGAAGCAGAACGTCTGTATCGCTCTGGTCTGGCTTCTCTGCATGCGC GCGTTAAAGTGCGTATCACCGAGTATGAAAAAGATGCTAACGGTGAATTAGTAGCGAAAAACCAGCCTGAAAGAC ACGACTGTTGGCCGTGCCATTCTGTGGATGATTGTACCGAAAGGTCTGCCTTACTCCATCGTCAACCAGGCGCT GGACCAGATCATGTACACCGGCTTCGCCTATGCAGCGCGTTCTGGTGCATCTGTTGGTATCGATGACATGGTCA TCCCGGAGAAGAAACACGAAATCATCTCCGAGGCAGAAGCAGAAGTTGCTGAAATTCAGGAGCAGTTCCAGTCT GGTCTGGTAACTGCGGGCGAACGCTACAACAAAGTTATCGATATCTGGGCTGCGGCGAACGATCGTGTATCCAA AGCGATGATGGATAACCTGCAAACTGAAACCGTGATTAACCGTGACGGTCAGGAAGAGAAGCAGGTTTCCTTCA ACAGCATCTACATGATGGCCGACTCCGGTGCGCGCGGGGTCCTGCGGCACAGATTCGTCAGCTTGCTGGTATGCG TGGTCTGATGGCGAAGCCGGATGGCTCCATCATCGAAACGCCAATCACCGCGAACTTCCGTGAAGGTCTGAAC GTACTCCAGTACTTCATCTCCACCCACGGTGCTCGTAAAGGTCTGGCGGATACCGCACTGAAAACTGCGAACTC CGGTTACCTGACTCGTCGTCGGTTGACGTGGCGCAGGACCTGGTGGTTACCGAAGACGATTGTGGTACCCAT GAAGGTATCATGATGACTCCGGTTATCGAGGGTGGTGACGTTAAAGAGCCGCTGCGCGATCGCGTACTGGGTC GTGTAACTGCTGAAGACGTTCTGAAGCCGGGTACTGCTGATATCCTCGTTCCGCGCAACACGCTGCTGCACGAA CAGTGGTGTGACCTGCTGGAAGAACTCTGTCGACGCGGTTAAAGTACGTTCTGTTGTATCTTGTGACACCGA CTTTGGTGTATGTGCGCACTGCTACGGTCGTGACCTGGCGCGTGGCCACATCATCAACAAGGGTGAAGCAATC GGTGTTATCGCGGCACAGTCCATCGGTGAACCGGGTACACAGCTGACCATGCGTACGTTCCACATCGGTGGTG CGGCATCTCGTGCGGCTGCTGAATCCAGCATCCAAGTGAAAAACAAAGGTAGCATCAAGCTCAGCAACGTGAAG TCGGTTGTGAACTCCAGCGGTAAACTGGTTATCACTTCCCGTAATACTGAACTGAAACTGATCGACGAATTCGGT CGTACTAAAGAAAGCTACAAAGTACCTTACGGTGCGGTACTGGCGAAAGGCGATGGCGAACAGGTTGCTGGCG GCGAAACCGTTGCAAACTGGGACCCGCACACCATGCCGGTTATCACCGAAGTAAGCGGTTTTGTACGCTTTACT ATTCCGCAGAACGTACCGCAGGTGGTAAAGATCTGCGTCCGGCACTGAAAATCGTTGATGCTCAGGGTAACGAC GTTCTGATCCCAGGTACCGATATGCCAGCGCAGTACTTCCTGCCGGGTAAAGCGATTGTTCAGCTGGAAGATGG CGTACAGATCAGCTCTGGTGACACCCTGGCGCGCATATTCCGCAGGAATCCGGCGGTACCAAGGACATCACCGGT GGTCTGCCGCGCGTTGCGGACCTGTTCGAAGCACGTCGTCCGAAAGAGCCCGGCAATCCTGGCTGAAATCAGCG GTATCGTTTCCTTCGGTAAAGAAACCAAAGGTAAACGTCGTCTGGTTATCACCCCGGTAGACGGTAGCGATCCG TACGAAGAGATGATTCCGAAATGGCGTCAGCTCAACGTGTTCGAAGGTGAACGTGTAGAACGTGGTGACGTAAT TTCCGACGGTCCGGAAGCGCCGCACGACATTCTGCGTCTGCGTGGTGTTCATGCTGTTACTCGTTACATCGTTA TGCTGCGTAAAGCTACCATCGTTAACGCGGGTAGCTCCGACTTCCTGGAAGGCGAACAGGTTGAATACTCTCGC GTCAAGATCGCAAACCGCGAACTGGAAGCGAACGGCAAAGTGGGTGCAACTTACTCCCGCGATCTGCTGGGTA TCACCAAAGCGTCTCTGGCAACCGAGTCCTTCATCTCCGCGGCATCGTTCCAGGAGACCACTCGCGTGCTGAC CGAAGCAGCCGTTGCGGGCAAACGCGACGAACTGCGCGGCCTGAAAGAGAACGTTATCGTGGGTCGTCTGATC CCGGCAGGTACCGGTTACGCGTACCACCAGGATCGTATGCGTCGCCGTGCTGCGGGTGAAGCTCCGGCTGCA CCGCAGGTGACTGCAGAAGACGCATCTGCCAGCCTGGCAGAACTGCTGAACGCAGGTCTGGGCGGTTCTGATA ACGAGTAA
11.5.1.10.4 rpoC-RNM-19

GTGAAAGATTTATTAAAGTTTCTGAAAGCGCAGACTAAAACCGAAGAGTTTGATGCGATCAAAATTGCTCTGGCT TCGCCAGACATGATCCGTTCATGGTCTTTCGGTGAAGTTAAAAAGCCCGGAAACCATCAACTACCGTACGTTCAAA CCAGAACGTGACGGCCTTTTCTGCGCCCGTATCTTTGGGCCGGTAAAAGATTACGAGTGCCTGTGCGGTAAGTA CAAGCGCCTGAAACACCGTGGCGTCATCTGTGAGAAGTGCGGCGTTGAAGTGACCCAGACTAAAGTACGCCGT GAGCGTATGGGCCACATCGAACTGGCTTCCCCGACTGCGCACATCTGGTTCCTGAAATCGCTGCCGTCCCGTAT CGGTCTGCTGCTCGATATGCCGCTGCGCGATATCGAACGCGTACTGTACTTTGAATCCTATGTGGTTATCGAAG TGACGAATTCGACGCGAAGATGGGGGCGGAAGCAATCCAGGCTCTGCTGAAGAGCATGGATCTGGAGCAAGAG TGCGAACAGCTGCGTGAAGAGCTGAACGAAACCAACTCCGAAACCAAGCGTAAAAAGCTGACCAAGCGTATCAA ACTGCTGGAAGCGTTCGTTCAGTCTGGTAACAAACCAGAGTGGATGATCCTGACCGTTCTGCCGGTACTGCCGC CAGATCTGCGTCCGCTGGTTCCGCTGGATGGTGGTCGTTTCGCGACTTCTGACCTGAACGATCTGTATCGTCGC ACGTATGCTGCAGGAAGCGGTAGACGCCCTGCTGGATAACGGTCGTCGCGGTCGTGCGATCACCGGTTCTAAC AAGCGTCCTCTGAAATCTTTGGCCGACATGATCAAAGGTAAACAGGGTCGTTTCCGTCAGAACCTGCTCGGTAA GCGTGTTGACTACTCCGGTCGTTCTGTAATCACCGTAGGTCCATACCTGCGTCTGCATCAGTGCGGTCTGCCGA AGAAAATGGCACTGGAGCTGTTCAAACCGTTCATCTACGGCAAGCTGGAACTGCGTGGTCTTGCTACCACCATT AAAGCTGCGAAGAAAATGGTTGAGCGCGAAGAAGCTGTCGTTTGGGATATCCTGGACGAAGTTATCCGCGAACA CCCGGTACTGCTGAACCGTGCACCGACTCTGCACCGTCTGGGTATCCAGGCATTTGAACCGGTACTGATCGAA GGTAAAGCTATCCAGCTGCACCCGCTGGTTTGTGCGGCATATAACGCCGACTTCGATGGTGACCAGATGGCTGT CCGGCGAACGGCGAACCAATCATCGTTCCGTCTCAGGACGTTGTACTGGGTCTGTACTACATGACCCGTGACTG TGTTAACGCCAAAGGCGAAGGCATGGTGCTGACTGGCCCGAAAGAAGCAGAACGTCTGTATCGCTCTGGTCTG GCTTCTCTGCATGCGCGCGTTAAAGTGCGTATCACCGAGTATGAAAAAGATGCTAACGGTGAATTAGTAGCGAA AACCAGCCTGAAAGACACGACTGTTGGCCGTGCCATTCTGTGGATGATTGTACCGAAAGGTCTGCCTTACTCCA TCGTCAACCAGGCGCTGGGTAAAAAAGCAATCTCCAAAATGCTGAACACCTGCTACCGCATTCTCGGTCTGAAA CCGACCGTTATTTTTGCGGACCAGATCATGTACACCGGCTTCGCCTATGCAGCGCGTTCTGGTGCATCTGTTGG TATCGATGACATGGTCATCCCGGGAGAAGAAACACGAAATCATCTCCCGAGGCAGAAGCAGAAGTTGCTGAAATTC AGGAGCAGTTCCAGTCTGGTCTGGTAACTGCGGGCGAACGCTACAACAAGTTATCGATATCTGGGCTGCGGC GAACGATCGTGTATCCAAAGCGATGATGGATAACCTGCAAACTGAAACCGTGATTAACCGTGACGGTCAGGAAG AGAAGCAGGTTTCCTTCAACAGCATCTACATGATGGCCGACTCCGGTGCGCGCGTGGTTCTGCGGCACAGATTCGT CAGCTTGCTGGTATGCGTGGTCTGATGGCCGAAGCCGGATGGCTCCATCATCGAAACGCCAATCACCGCGAACT CTGAAAACTGCGAACTCCGGTTACCTGACTCGTCGTCGGTTGACGTGGCGCAGGACCTGGTGGTTACCGAAG ACGATTGTGGTACCCATGAAGGTATCATGATGACTCCGGTTATCGAGGGTGGTGACGTTAAAGAGCCGCTGCGC GATCGCGTACTGGGTCGTGTAACTGCTGAAGACGTTCTGAAGCCGGGTACTGCTGATATCCTCGTTCCGCGCAA CACGCTGCTGCACGAACAGTGGTGTGACCTGCTGGAAGAGAACTCTGTCGACGCGGTTAAAGTACGTTCTGTTG TATCTTGTGACACCGACTTTGGTGTATGTGCGCACTGCTACGGTCGTGACCTGGCGCGTGGCCACATCATCAAC AAGGGTGAAGCAATCGGTGTTATCGCGGCACAGTCCATCGGTGAACCGGGTACACAGCTGACCATGCGTACGT CTCAGCAACGTGAAGTCGGTTGTGAACTCCAGCGGTAAACTGGTTATCACTTCCCGTAATACTGAACTGAAACTG ATCGACGAATTCGGTCGTACTAAAGAAAGCTACAAAGTACCTTACGGTGCGGTACTGGCGAAAGGCGATGGCGA ACAGGTTGCTGGCGGCGAAACCGTTGCAAACTGGGACCCGCACACCATGCCGGTTATCACCGAAGTAAGCGGT GCTGGTGGTTCTGGATTCCGCAGAACGTACCGCAGGTGGTAAAGATCTGTGTCCGGCACTGAAAATCGTTGATG CTCAGGGTAACGACGTTCTGATCCCAGGTACCGATATGCCAGCGCAGTACTTCCTGCCGGGTAAAGCGATTGTT CAGCTGGAAGATGGCGTACAGATCAGCTCTGGTGACACCCTGGCGCGTATTCCGCAGGAATCCGGCGGTACCA AGGACATCACCGGTGGTCTGCCGCGCGTTGCGGACCTGTTCGAAGCACGTCGTCCGAAAGAGCCGGCAATCCT GGCTGAAATCAGCGGTATCGTTTCCTTCGGTAAAGAAACCAAAGGTAAACGTCGTCTGGTTATCACCCCGGTAG ACGGTAGCGATCCGTACGAAGAGATGATTCCGAAATGGCGTCAGCTCAACGTGTTCGAAGGTGAACGTGTAGAA CGTGGTGACGTAATTTCCGACGGTCCGGAAGCGCCGCACGACATTCTGCGTCGCGTGGTGTTCATGCTGTTAC TCGTTACATCGTTAACGAAGTACAGGACGTATACCGTCTGCAGGGCGTTAAGATTAACGATAAACACATCGAAGT TATCGTTCGTCAGATGCTGCGTAAAGCTACCATCGTTAACGCGGGTAGCTCCGACTTCCTGGAAGGCGAACAGG TTGAATACTCTCGCGTCAAGATCGCAAACCGCGAACTGGAAGCGAACGGCAAAGTGGGTGCAACTTACTCCCGC GATCTGCTGGGTATCACCAAAGCGTCTCTGGCAACCGAGTCCTTCATCTCCGCGGCATCGTTCCAGGAGACCAC TCGCGTGCTGACCGAAGCAGCCGTTGCGGGCAAACGCGACGAACTGCGCGGCCTGAAAGAGAACGTTATCGT GGGTCGTCTGATCCCGGCAGGTACCGGTTACGCGTACCACCAGGATCGTATGCGTCGCCGTGCTGCGGGTGAA GCTCCGGCTGCACCGCAGGTGACTGCAGAAGACGCATCTGCCAGCCTGGCAGAACTGCTGAACGCAGGTCTG GGCGGTTCTGATAACGAGTAA

11.5.1.10.5 rpoC-RNM-20

GTGAAAGATTTATTAAAGTTTCTGAAAGCGCAGACTAAAACCGAAGAGTTTGATGCGATCAAAATTGCTCTGGCT TCGCCAGACATGATCCGTTCATGGTCTTTCGGTGAAGTTAAAAAGCCGGAAACCATCAACTACCGTACGTTCAAA CCAGAACGTGACGGCCTTTTCTGCGCCCGTATCTTTGGGCCGGTAAAAGATTACGAGTGCCTGTGCGGTAAGTA CAAGCGCCTGAAACACCGTGGCGTCATCTGTGAGAAGTGCGGCGTTGAAGTGACCCAGACTAAAGTACGCCGT GAGCGTATGGGCCACATCGAACTGGCTTCCCCGACTGCGCACATCTGGTTCCTGAAATCGCTGCCGTCCCGTAT CGGTCTGCTGCTCGATATGCCGCTGCGCGATATCGAACGCGTACTGTACTTTGAATCCTATGTGGTTATCGAAG GCGGTATGACCAACCTGGAACGTCAGCAGATCCTGAACAGAGCAGTATCTGGACGCGCTGGAAGAGTTCGG TGACGAATTCGACGCGAAGATGGGGGCGGAAGCAATCCAGGCTCTGCTGAAGAGCATGGATCTGGAGCAAGAG TGCGAACAGCTGCGTGAAGAGCTGAACGAAACCAACTCCGAAACCAAGCGTAAAAAGCTGACCAAGCGTATCAA ACTGCTGGAAGCGTTCGTTCAGTCTGGTAACAAACCAGAGTGGATGATCCTGACCGTTCTGCCGGTACTGCCGC CAGATCTGCGTCCGCTGGTTCCGCTGGATGGTGGTCGTTTCGCGACTTCTGACCTGAACGATCTGTATCGTCGC ACGTATGCTGCAGGAAGCGGTAGACGCCCTGCTGGATAACGGTCGTCGCGGTCGTGCGATCACCGGTTCTAAC AAGCGTCCTCTGAAATCTTTGGCCGACATGATCAAAGGTAAACAGGGTCGTTTCCGTCAGAACCTGCTCGGTAA GCGTGTTGACTACTCCGGTCGTTCTGTAATCACCGTAGGTCCATACCTGCGTCTGCATCAGTGCGGTCTGCCGA AGAAAATGGCACTGGAGCTGTTCAAACCGTTCATCTACGGCAAGCTGGAACTGCGTGGTCTTGCTACCACCATT AAAGCTGCGAAGAAAATGGTTGAGCGCGAAGAAGCTGTCGTTTGGGATATCCTGGACGAAGTTATCCGCGAACA CCCGGTACTGCTGAACCGTGCACCGACTCTGCACCGTCTGGGTATCCAGGCATTTGAACCGGTACTGATCGAA GGTAAAGCTATCCAGCTGCACCCGCTGGTTTGTGCGGCATATAACGCCGACTTCGATGGTGACCAGATGGCTGT CCGGCGAACGGCGAACCAATCATCGTTCCGTCTCAGGACGTTGTACTGGGTCTGTACTACATGACCCGTGACTG TGTTAACGCCAAAGGCGAAGGCATGGTGCTGACTGGCCCGAAAGAAGCAGAACGTCTGTATCGCTCTGGTCTG GCTTCTCTGCATGCGCGCGTTAAAGTGCGTATCACCGAGTATGAAAAAGATGCTAACGGTGAATTAGTAGCGAA AACCAGCCTGAAAGACACGACTGTTGGCCGTGCCATTCTGTGGATGATTGTACCGAAAGGTCTGCCTTACTCCA TCGTCAACCAGGCGCTGGGTAAAAAAGCAATCTCCAAAATGCTGAACACCTGCTACCGCATTCTCGGTCTGAAA CCGACCGTTATTTTTGCGGACCAGATCATGTACACCGGCTTCGCCTATGCAGCGCGTTCTGGTGCATCTGTTGG TATCGATGACATGGTCATCCCGGGAGAAGAAACACGAAATCATCTCCCGAGGCAGAAGCAGAAGTTGCTGAAATTC AGGAGCAGTTCCAGTCTGGTCTGGTAACTGCGGGCGAACGCTACAACAAAGTTATCGATATCTGGGCTGCGGC GAACGATCGTGTATCCAAAGCGATGATGGATAACCTGCAAACTGAAACCGTGATTAACCGTGACGGTCAGGAAG AGAAGCAGGTTTCCTTCAACAGCATCTACATGATGGCCGACTCCGGTGCGCGCGTGGTTCTGCGGCACAGATTCGT CAGCTTGCTGGTATGCGTGGTCTGATGGCGAAGCCGGATGGCTCCATCATCGAAACGCCAATCACCGCGAACT CTGAAAACTGCGAACTCCGGTTACCTGACTCGTCGTCGGTTGACGTGGCGCAGGACCTGGTGGTTACCGAAG ACGATTGTGGTACCCATGAAGGTATCATGATGACTCCGGTTATCGAGGGTGGTGACGTTAAAGAGCCGCTGCGC GATCGCGTACTGGGTCGTGTAACTGCTGAAGACGTTCTGAAGCCGGGTACTGCTGATATCCTCGTTCCGCGCAA CACGCTGCTGCACGAACAGTGGTGTGACCTGCTGGAAGAGAACTCTGTCGACGCGGTTAAAGTACGTTCTGTTG TATCTTGTGACACCGACTTTGGTGTATGTGCGCACTGCTACGGTCGTGACCTGGCGCGTGGCCACATCATCAAC AAGGGTGAAGCAATCGGTGTTATCGCGGCACAGTCCATCGGTGAACCGGGTACACAGCTGACCATGCGTACGT TCCACATCGGTGGTGCGGCATCTCGTGCGGCTGCTGAATCCAGCATCCAAGTGAAAAAACAAAGGTAGCATCAAG CTCAGCAACGTGAAGTCGGTTGTGAACTCCAGCGGTAAACTGGTTATCACTTCCCGTAATACTGAACTGAAACTG ATCGACGAATTCGGTCGTACTAAAGAAAGCTACAAAGTACCTTACGGTGCGGTACTGGCGAAAGGCGATGGCGA ACAGGTTGCTGGCGGCGAAACCGTTGCAAACTGGGACCCGCACACCATGCCGGTTATCACCGAAGTAAGCGGT GCTGGTGGTTCTGGATTCCGCAGAACGTACCGCAGGTGGTAAAGATCTGTGTCCGGCACTGAAAATCGTTGATG CTCAGGGTAACGACGTTCTGATCCCAGGTACCGATATGCCAGCGCAGTACTTCCTGCCGGGTAAAGCGATTGTT CAGCTGGAAGATGGCGTACAGATCAGCTCTGGTGACACCCTGGCGCGTATTCCGCAGGAATCCGGCGGTACCA AGGACATCACCGGTGGTCTGCCGCGCGTTGCGGACCTGTTCGAAGCACGTCGTCCGAAAGAGCCGGCAATCCT GGCTGAAATCAGCGGTATCGTTTCCTTCGGTAAAGAAACCAAAGGTAAACGTCGTCTGGTTATCACCCCGGTAG ACGGTAGCGATCCGTACGAAGAGATGATTCCGAAATGGCGTCAGCTCAACGTGTTCGAAGGTGAACGTGTAGAA CGTGGTGACGTAATTTCCGACGGTCCGGAAGCGCCGCACGACATTCTGCGTCTGCGTGGTGTTCATGCTGTTAC TCGTTACATCGTTAACGAAGTACAGGACGTATACCGTCTGCAGGGCGTTAAGATTAACGATAAACACATCGAAGT TATCGTTCGTCAGATGCTGCGTAAAGCTACCATCGTTAACGCGGGTAGCTCCGACTTCCTGGAAGGCGAACAGG TTGAATACTCTCGCGTCAAGATCGCAAACCGCGAACTGGAAGCGAACGGCAAAGTGGGTGCAACTTACTCCCGC GATCTGCTGGGTATCACCAAAGCGTCTCTGGCAACCGAGTCCTTCATCTCCGCGGCATCGTTCCAGGAGACCAC TCGCGTGCTGACCGAAGCAGCCGTTGCGGGCAAACGCGACGAACTGCGCGGCCTGAAAGAGAACGTTATCGT GGGTCGTCTGATCCCGGCAGGTACCGGTTACGCGTACCACCAGGATCGTATGCGTCGCCGTGCTGCGGGTGAA GCTCCGGCTGCACCGCAGGTGACTGCAGAAGACGCATCTGCCAGCCTGGCAGAACTGCTGAACGCAGGTCTG GGCGGTTCTGATAACGAGTAA

11.5.1.10.6 rpoC-RNM-21

GTGAAAGATTTATTAAAGTTTCTGAAAGCGCAGACTAAAACCGAAGAGTTTGATGCGATCAAAATTGCTCTGGCT TCGCCAGACATGATCCGTTCATGGTCTTTCGGTGAAGTTAAAAAGCCCGGAAACCATCAACTACCGTACGTTCAAA CCAGAACGTGACGGCCTTTTCTGCGCCCGTATCTTTGGGCCGGTAAAAGATTACGAGTGCCTGTGCGGTAAGTA CAAGCGCCTGAAACACCGTGGCGTCATCTGTGAGAAGTGCGGCGTTGAAGTGACCCAGACTAAAGTACGCCGT GAGCGTATGGGCCACATCGAACTGGCTTCCCCGACTGCGCACATCTGGTTCCTGAAATCGCTGCCGTCCCGTAT CGGTCTGCTGCTCGATATGCCGCTGCGCGATATCGAACGCGTACTGTACTTTGAATCCTATGTGGTTATCGAAG TGACGAATTCGACGCGAAGATGGGGGCGGAAGCAATCCAGGCTCTGCTGAAGAGCATGGATCTGGAGCAAGAG TGCGAACAGCTGCGTGAAGAGCTGAACGAAACCAACTCCGAAACCAAGCGTAAAAAGCTGACCAAGCGTATCAA ACTGCTGGAAGCGTTCGTTCAGTCTGGTAACAAACCAGAGTGGATGATCCTGACCGTTCTGCCGGTACTGCCGC CAGATCTGCGTCCGCTGGTTCCGCTGGATGGTGGTCGTTTCGCGACTTCTGACCTGAACGATCTGTATCGTCGC ACGTATGCTGCAGGAAGCGGTAGACGCCCTGCTGGATAACGGTCGTCGCGGTCGTGCGATCACCGGTTCTAAC AAGCGTCCTCTGAAATCTTTGGCCGACATGATCAAAGGTAAACAGGGTCGTTTCCGTCAGAACCTGCTCGGTAA GCGTGTTGACTACTCCGGTCGTTCTGTAATCACCGTAGGTCCATACCTGCGTCTGCATCAGTGCGGTCTGCCGA AGAAAATGGCACTGGAGCTGTTCAAACCGTTCATCTACGGCAAGCTGGAACTGCGTGGTCTTGCTACCACCATT AAAGCTGCGAAGAAAATGGTTGAGCGCGAAGAAGCTGTCGTTTGGGATATCCTGGACGAAGTTATCCGCGAACA CCCGGTACTGCTGAACCGTGCACCGACTCTGCACCGTCTGGGTATCCAGGCATTTGAACCGGTACTGATCGAA GGTAAAGCTATCCAGCTGCACCCGCTGGTTTGTGCGGCATATAACGCCGACTTCGATGGTGACCAGATGGCTGT CCGGCGAACGGCGAACCAATCATCGTTCCGTCTCAGGACGTTGTACTGGGTCTGTACTACATGACCCGTGACTG TGTTAACGCCAAAGGCGAAGGCATGGTGCTGACTGGCCCGAAAGAAGCAGAACGTCTGTATCGCTCTGGTCTG GCTTCTCTGCATGCGCGCGTTAAAGTGCGTATCACCGAGTATGAAAAAGATGCTAACGGTGAATTAGTAGCGAA AACCAGCCTGAAAGACACGACTGTTGGCCGTGCCATTCTGTGGATGATTGTACCGAAAGGTCTGCCTTACTCCA TCGTCAACCAGGCGCTGGGTAAAAAAGCAATCTCCAAAATGCTGAACACCTGCTACCGCATTCTCGGTCTGAAA CCGACCGTTATTTTTGCGGACCAGATCATGTACACCGGCTTCGCCTATGCAGCGCGTTCTGGTGCATCTGTTGG TATCGATGACATGGTCATCCCGGGAGAAGAAACACGAAATCATCTCCCGAGGCAGAAGCAGAAGTTGCTGAAATTC AGGAGCAGTTCCAGTCTGGTCTGGTAACTGCGGGCGAACGCTACAACAAAGTTATCGATATCTGGGCTGCGGC GAACGATCGTGTATCCAAAGCGATGATGGATAACCTGCAAACTGAAACCGTGATTAACCGTGACGGTCAGGAAG AGAAGCAGGTTTCCTTCAACAGCATCTACATGATGGCCGACTCCGGTGCGCGCGTGGTTCTGCGGCACAGATTCGT CAGCTTGCTGGTATGCGTGGTCTGATGGCGAAGCCGGATGGCTCCATCATCGAAACGCCAATCACCGCGAACT CTGAAAACTGCGAACTCCGGTTACCTGACTCGTCGTCGGTTGACGTGGCGCAGGACCTGGTGGTTACCGAAG ACGATTGTGGTACCCATGAAGGTATCATGATGACTCCGGTTATCGAGGGTGGTGACGTTAAAGAGCCGCTGCGC GATCGCGTACTGGGTCGTGTAACTGCTGAAGACGTTCTGAAGCCGGGTACTGCTGATATCCTCGTTCCGCGCAA CACGCTGCTGCACGAACAGTGGTGTGACCTGCTGGAAGAGAACTCTGTCGACGCGGTTAAAGTACGTTCTGTTG TATCTTGTGACACCGACTTTGGTGTATGTGCGCACTGCTACGGTCGTGACCTGGCGCGTGGCCACATCATCAAC AAGGGTGAAGCAATCGGTGTTATCGCGGCACAGTCCATCGGTGAACCGGGTACACAGCTGACCATGCGTACGT TCCACATCGGTGGTGCGGCATCTCGTGCGGCTGCTGAATCCAGCATCCAAGTGAAAAAACAAAGGTAGCATCAAG CTCAGCAACGTGAAGTCGGTTGTGAACTCCAGCGGTAAACTGGTTATCACTTCCCGTAATACTGAACTGAAACTG ATCGACGAATTCGGTCGTACTAAAGAAAGCTACAAAGTACCTTACGGTGCGGTACTGGCGAAAGGCGATGGCGA ACAGGTTGCTGGCGGCGAAACCGTTGCAAACTGGGACCCGCACACCATGCCGGTTATCACCGAAGTAAGCGGT GCTGGTGGTTCTGGATTCCGCAGAACGTACCGCAGGTGGTAAAGATCTGTGTCCGGCACTGAAAATCGTTGATG CTCAGGGTAACGACGTTCTGATCCCAGGTACCGATATGCCAGCGCAGTACTTCCTGCCGGGTAAAGCGATTGTT CAGCTGGAAGATGGCGTACAGATCAGCTCTGGTGACACCCTGGCGCGTATTCCGCAGGAATCCGGCGGTACCA AGGACATCACCGGTGGTCTGCCGCGCGTTGCGGACCTGTTCGAAGCACGTCGTCCGAAAGAGCCGGCAATCCT GGCTGAAATCAGCGGTATCGTTTCCTTCGGTAAAGAAACCAAAGGTAAACGTCGTCTGGTTATCACCCCGGTAG ACGGTAGCGATCCGTACGAAGAGATGATTCCGAAATGGCGTCAGCTCAACGTGTTCGAAGGTGAACGTGTAGAA CGTGGTGACGTAATTTCCGACGGTCCGGAAGCGCCGCACGACATTCTGCGTCGCGTGGTGTTCATGCTGTTAC TCGTTACATCGTTAACGAAGTACAGGACGTATACCGTCTGCAGGGCGTTAAGATTAACGATAAACACATCGAAGT TATCGTTCGTCAGATGCTGCGTAAAGCTACCATCGTTAACGCGGGTAGCTCCGACTTCCTGGAAGGCGAACAGG TTGAATACTCTCGCGTCAAGATCGCAAACCGCGAACTGGAAGCGAACGGCAAAGTGGGTGCAACTTACTCCCGC GATCTGCTGGGTATCACCAAAGCGTCTCTGGCAACCGAGTCCTTCATCTCCGCGGCATCGTTCCAGGAGACCAC TCGCGTGCTGACCGAAGCAGCCGTTGCGGGCAAACGCGACGAACTGCGCGGCCTGAAAGAGAACGTTATCGT GGGTCGTCTGATCCCGGCAGGTACCGGTTACGCGTACCACCAGGATCGTATGCGTCGCCGTGCTGCGGGTGAA GCTCCGGCTGCACCGCAGGTGACTGCAGAAGACGCATCTGCCAGCCTGGCAGAACTGCTGAACGCAGGTCTG GGCGGTTCTGATAACGAGTAA

11.5.1.10.7 rpoC-RNM-23

GTGAAAGATTTATTAAAGTTTCTGAAAGCGCAGACTAAAACCGAAGAGTTTGATGCGATCAAAATTGCTCTGGCT TCGCCAGACATGATCCGTTCATGGTCTTTCGGTGAAGTTAAAAAGCCCGGAAACCATCAACTACCGTACGTTCAAA CCAGAACGTGACGGCCTTTTCTGCGCCCGTATCTTTGGGCCGGTAAAAGATTACGAGTGCCTGTGCGGTAAGTA CAAGCGCCTGAAACACCGTGGCGTCATCTGTGAGAAGTGCGGCGTTGAAGTGACCCAGACTAAAGTACGCCGT GAGCGTATGGGCCACATCGAACTGGCTTCCCCGACTGCGCACATCTGGTTCCTGAAATCGCTGCCGTCCCGTAT CGGTCTGCTGCTCGATATGCCGCTGCGCGATATCGAACGCGTACTGTACTTTGAATCCTATGTGGTTATCGAAG TGACGAATTCGACGCGAAGATGGGGGCGGAAGCAATCCAGGCTCTGCTGAAGAGCATGGATCTGGAGCAAGAG TGCGAACAGCTGCGTGAAGAGCTGAACGAAACCAACTCCGAAACCAAGCGTAAAAAGCTGACCAAGCGTATCAA ACTGCTGGAAGCGTTCGTTCAGTCTGGTAACAAACCAGAGTGGATGATCCTGACCGTTCTGCCGGTACTGCCGC CAGATCTGCGTCCGCTGGTTCCGCTGGATGGTGGTCGTTTCGCGACTTCTGACCTGAACGATCTGTATCGTCGC ACGTATGCTGCAGGAAGCGGTAGACGCCCTGCTGGATAACGGTCGTCGCGGTCGTGCGATCACCGGTTCTAAC AAGCGTCCTCTGAAATCTTTGGCCGACATGATCAAAGGTAAACAGGGTCGTTTCCGTCAGAACCTGCTCGGTAA GCGTGTTGACTACTCCGGTCGTTCTGTAATCACCGTAGGTCCATACCTGCGTCTGCATCAGTGCGGTCTGCCGA AGAAAATGGCACTGGAGCTGTTCAAACCGTTCATCTACGGCAAGCTGGAACTGCGTGGTCTTGCTACCACCATT AAAGCTGCGAAGAAAATGGTTGAGCGCGAAGAAGCTGTCGTTTGGGATATCCTGGACGAAGTTATCCGCGAACA CCCGGTACTGCTGAACCGTGCACCGACTCTGCACCGTCTGGGTATCCAGGCATTTGAACCGGTACTGATCGAA GGTAAAGCTATCCAGCTGCACCCGCTGGTTTGTGCGGCATATAACGCCGACTTCGATGGTGACCAGATGGCTGT CCGGCGAACGGCGAACCAATCATCGTTCCGTCTCAGGACGTTGTACTGGGTCTGTACTACATGACCCGTGACTG TGTTAACGCCAAAGGCGAAGGCATGGTGCTGACTGGCCCGAAAGAAGCAGAACGTCTGTATCGCTCTGGTCTG GCTTCTCTGCATGCGCGCGTTAAAGTGCGTATCACCGAGTATGAAAAAGATGCTAACGGTGAATTAGTAGCGAA AACCAGCCTGAAAGACACGACTGTTGGCCGTGCCATTCTGTGGATGATTGTACCGAAAGGTCTGCCTTACTCCA TCGTCAACCAGGCGCTGGGTAAAAAAGCAATCTCCAAAATGCTGAACACCTGCTACCGCATTCTCGGTCTGAAA CCGACCGTTATTTTTGCGGACCAGATCATGTACACCGGCTTCGCCTATGCAGCGCGTTCTGGTGCATCTGTTGG TATCGATGACATGGTCATCCCGGGAGAAGAAACACGAAATCATCTCCCGAGGCAGAAGCAGAAGTTGCTGAAATTC AGGAGCAGTTCCAGTCTGGTCTGGTAACTGCGGGCGAACGCTACAACAAGTTATCGATATCTGGGCTGCGGC GAACGATCGTGTATCCAAAGCGATGATGGATAACCTGCAAACTGAAACCGTGATTAACCGTGACGGTCAGGAAG AGAAGCAGGTTTCCTTCAACAGCATCTACATGATGGCCGACTCCGGTGCGCGCGTGGTTCTGCGGCACAGATTCGT CAGCTTGCTGGTATGCGTGGTCTGATGGCCGAAGCCGGATGGCTCCATCATCGAAACGCCAATCACCGCGAACT CTGAAAACTGCGAACTCCGGTTACCTGACTCGTCGTCGGTTGACGTGGCGCAGGACCTGGTGGTTACCGAAG ACGATTGTGGTACCCATGAAGGTATCATGATGACTCCGGTTATCGAGGGTGGTGACGTTAAAGAGCCGCTGCGC GATCGCGTACTGGGTCGTGTAACTGCTGAAGACGTTCTGAAGCCGGGTACTGCTGATATCCTCGTTCCGCGCAA CACGCTGCTGCACGAACAGTGGTGTGACCTGCTGGAAGAGAACTCTGTCGACGCGGTTAAAGTACGTTCTGTTG TATCTTGTGACACCGACTTTGGTGTATGTGCGCACTGCTACGGTCGTGACCTGGCGCGTGGCCACATCATCAAC AAGGGTGAAGCAATCGGTGTTATCGCGGCACAGTCCATCGGTGAACCGGGTACACAGCTGACCATGCGTACGT CTCAGCAACGTGAAGTCGGTTGTGAACTCCAGCGGTAAACTGGTTATCACTTCCCGTAATACTGAACTGAAACTG ATCGACGAATTCGGTCGTACTAAAGAAAGCTACAAAGTACCTTACGGTGCGGTACTGGCGAAAGGCGATGGCGA ACAGGTTGCTGGCGGCGAAACCGTTGCAAACTGGGACCCGCACACCATGCCGGTTATCACCGAAGTAAGCGGT GCTGGTGGTTCTGGATTCCGCAGAACGTACCGCAGGTGGTAAAGATCTGTGTCCGGCACTGAAAATCGTTGATG CTCAGGGTAACGACGTTCTGATCCCAGGTACCGATATGCCAGCGCAGTACTTCCTGCCGGGTAAAGCGATTGTT CAGCTGGAAGATGGCGTACAGATCAGCTCTGGTGACACCCTGGCGCGTATTCCGCAGGAATCCGGCGGTACCA AGGACATCACCGGTGGTCTGCCGCGCGTTGCGGACCTGTTCGAAGCACGTCGTCCGAAAGAGCCGGCAATCCT GGCTGAAATCAGCGGTATCGTTTCCTTCGGTAAAGAAACCAAAGGTAAACGTCGTCTGGTTATCACCCCGGTAG ACGGTAGCGATCCGTACGAAGAGATGATTCCGAAATGGCGTCAGCTCAACGTGTTCGAAGGTGAACGTGTAGAA CGTGGTGACGTAATTTCCGACGGTCCGGAAGCGCCGCACGACATTCTGCGTCTGCGTGGTGTTCATGCTGTTAC TCGTTACATCGTTAACGAAGTACAGGACGTATACCGTCTGCAGGGCGTTAAGATTAACGATAAACACATCGAAGT TATCGTTCGTCAGATGCTGCGTAAAGCTACCATCGTTAACGCGGGTAGCTCCGACTTCCTGGAAGGCGAACAGG TTGAATACTCTCGCGTCAAGATCGCAAACCGCGAACTGGAAGCGAACGGCAAAGTGGGTGCAACTTACTCCCGC GATCTGCTGGGTATCACCAAAGCGTCTCTGGCAACCGAGTCCTTCATCTCCGCGGCATCGTTCCAGGAGACCAC TCGCGTGCTGACCGAAGCAGCCGTTGCGGGCAAACGCGACGAACTGCGCGGCCTGAAAGAGAACGTTATCGT GGGTCGTCTGATCCCGGCAGGTACCGGTTACGCGTACCACCAGGATCGTATGCGTCGCCGTGCTGCGGGTGAA GCTCCGGCTGCACCGCAGGTGACTGCAGAAGACGCATCTGCCAGCCTGGCAGAACTGCTGAACGCAGGTCTG GGCGGTTCTGATAACGAGTAA

11.5.1.11.1 acrB-WT

ATGCCTAATTTCTTTATCGATCGCCCGATTTTTGCGTGGGTGATCGCCATTATCATCATGTTGGCAGGGGGGGCTG GCGATCCTCAAACTGCCGGTGGCGCAATATCCTACGATTGCACCGCCGGCAGTAACGATCTCCGCCTCCTACC CCGGCGCTGATGCGAAAACAGTGCAGGACACGGTGACACAGGTTATCGAACAGAATATGAACGGTATCGATAAC CTGATGTACATGTCCTCTAACAGTGACTCCACGGGTACCGTGCAGATCACCCTGACCTTTGAGTCTGGTACTGAT GCGGATATCGCGCAGGTTCAGGTGCAGAACAAACTGCAGCTGGCGATGCCGTTGCTGCCGCAAGAAGTTCAGC AGCAAGGGGTGAGCGTTGAGAAATCATCCAGCAGCTTCCTGATGGTTGTCGGCGTTATCAACACCGATGGCACC ATGACGCAGGAGGATATCTCCGACTACGTGGCGGCGAATATGAAAGATGCCATCAGCCGTACGTCGGGCGTGG GTGATGTTCAGTTGTTCGGTTCACAGTACGCGATGCGTATCTGGATGAACCCGAATGAGCTGAACAAATTCCAG CTAACGCCGGTTGATGTCATTACCGCCATCAAAGCGCAGAACGCCCAGGTTGCGGCGGGGTCAGCTCGGTGGTA CGCCGCCGGTGAAAGGCCAACAGCTTAACGCCTCTATTATTGCTCAGACGCGTCTGACCTCTACTGAAGAGTTC GGCAAAATCCTGCTGAAAGTGAATCAGGATGGTTCCCGCGTGCTGCTGCGTGACGTCGCGAAGATTGAGCTGG GTGGTGAGAACTACGACATCATCGCAGAGTTTAACGGCCAACCGGCTTCCGGTCTGGGGATCAAGCTGGCGAC CGGTGCAAACGCGCTGGATACCGCTGCGGCAATCCGTGCTGAACTGGCGAAGATGGAACCGTTCTTCCCGTCG GGTCTGAAAATTGTTTACCCATACGACACCACGCCGTTCGTGAAAATCTCTATTCACGAAGTGGTTAAAACGCTG GTCGAAGCGATCATCCTCGTGTTCCTGGTTATGTATCTGTTCCTGCAGAACTTCCGCGCGACGTTGATTCCGAC CATTGCCGTACCGGTGGTATTGCTCGGGACCTTTGCCGTCCTTGCCGCCTTTGGCTTCTCGATAAACACGCTAA CAATGTTCGGGATGGTGCTCGCCATCGGCCTGTTGGTGGATGACGCCATCGTTGTGGTAGAAAACGTTGAGCG TGTTATGGCGGAAGAAGGTTTGCCGCCAAAAGAAGCTACCCGTAAGTCGATGGGGCAGATTCAGGGCGCTCTG GTCGGTATCGCGATGGTACTGTCGGCGGTATTCGTACCGATGGCCTTCTTTGGCGGTTCTACTGGTGCTATCTA TCGTCAGTTCTCTATTACCATTGTTTCAGCAATGGCGCTGTCGGTACTGGTGGCGTTGATCCTGACTCCAGCTCT TTGTGCCACCATGCTGAAACCGATTGCCAAAGGCGATCACGGGGAAGGTAAAAAAGGCTTCTTCGGCTGGTTTA ACCGCATGTTCGAGAAGAGCACGCACCACTACACCGACAGCGTAGGCGGTATTCTGCGCAGTACGGGGCGTTA CCTGGTGCTGTATCTGATCATCGTGGTCGGCATGGCCTATCTGTTCGTGCGTCTGCCAAGCTCCTTCTTGCCAG ATGAGGACCAGGGCGTGTTTATGACCATGGTTCAGCTGCCAGCAGGTGCAACGCAGGAACGTACACAGAAAGT GAAGAAAACAAAGTTGAAGCGATTACCATGCGTGCAACACGCGCTTTCTCGCAAATCAAAGATGCGATGGTTTTC GCCTTTAACCTGCCCGCAATCGTGGAACTGGGTACTGCAACCGGCTTTGACTTTGAGCTGATTGACCAGGCTGG CCAGCGTACGTCCAAACGGTCTGGAAGATACCCCGCAGTTTAAGATTGATATCGACCAGGAAAAAGCGCAGGC GCTGGGTGTTTCTATCAACGACATTAACACCACTCTGGGCGCTGCATGGGGCGGCAGCTATGTGAACGACTTTA TCGACCGCGGTCGTGTGAAGAAAGTTTATGTCATGTCAGAAGCGAAATACCGTATGCTGCCGGATGATATCGGC GACTGGTATGTTCGTGCTGCTGATGGTCAGATGGTGCCATTCTCGGCGTTCTCCTCTTCTCGTGGGAGTACGG TTCGCCGCGTCTGGAACGTTACAACGGCCTGCCATCCATGGAAATCTTAGGCCAGGCGGCACCGGGTAAAAGT ACCGGTGAAGCAATGGAGCTGATGGAACAACTGGCGAGCAAACTGCCTACCGGTGTTGGCTATGACTGGACGG GGATGTCCTATCAGGAACGTCTCTCCGGCAACCAGGCACCTTCACTGTACGCGATTTCGTTGATTGTCGTGTTC CTGTGTCTGGCGGCGCTGTACGAGAGCTGGTCGATTCCGTTCTCCGTTATGCTGGTCGTTCCGCTGGGGGGTTAT CGGTGCGTTGCTGGCCGCCACCTTCCGTGGCCTGACCAATGACGTTTACTTCCAGGTAGGCCTGCTCACAACCA TTGGGTTGTCGGCGAAGAACGCGATCCTTATCGTCGAATTCGCCAAAGACTTGATGGATAAAGAAGGTAAAGGT CTGATTGAAGCGACGCTTGATGCGGTGCGGATGCGTTTACGTCCGATCCTGATGACCTCGCTGGCGTTTATCCT CGGCGTTATGCCGCTGGTTATCAGTACTGGTGCTGGTTCCGGCGCGCAGAACGCAGTAGGTACCGGTGTAATG GGCGGGATGGTGACCGCAACGGTACTGGCAATCTTCTTCGTTCCGGTATTCTTTGTGGTGGTTCGCCGCCGCTT TAGCCGCAAGAATGAAGATATCGAGCACAGCCATACTGTCGATCATCATTGA

11.5.1.11.2 acrB-RNM-3

ATGCCTAATTTCTTTATCGATCGCCCGATTTTTGCGTGGGTGATCGCCATTATCATCATGTTGGCAGGGGGGGCTG GCGATCCTCAAACTGCCGGTGGCGCAATATCCTACGATTGCACCGCCGGCAGTAACGATCTCCGCCTCCTACC CCGGCGCTGATGCGAAAACAGTGCAGGACACGGTGACACAGGTTATCGAACAGAATATGAACGGTATCGATAAC CTGATGTACATGTCCTCTAACAGTGACTCCACGGGTACCGTGCAGATCACCCTGACCTTTGAGTCTGGTACTGAT GCGGATATCGCGCAGGTTCAGGTGCAGAACAAACTGCAGCTGGCGATGCCGTTGCTGCCGCAAGAAGTTCAGC AGCAAGGGGTGAGCGTTGAGAAATCATCCAGCAGCTTCCTGATGGTTGTCGGCGTTATCAACACCGATGGCACC ATGACGCAGGAGGATATCTCCGACTACGTGGCGGCGAATATGAAAGATGCCATCAGCCGTACGTCGGGCGTGG GTGATGTTCAGTTGTTCGGTTCACAGTACGCGATGCGTATCTGGATGAACCCGAATGAGCTGAACAAATTCCAG CTAACGCCGGTTGATGTCATTACCGCCATCAAAGCGCCAGAACGCCCAGGTTGCGGCGGGTCAGCTCGGTGGTA CGCCGCCGGTGAAAGGCCAACAGCTTAACGCCTCTATTATTGCTCAGACGCGTCTGACCTCTACTGAAGAGTTC GGCAAAATCCTGCTGAAAGTGAATCAGGATGGTTCCCGCGTGCTGCGTGACGTCGCGAAGATTGAGCTGG GTGGTGAGAACTACGACATCATCGCAGAGTTTAACGGCCAACCGGCTTCCGGTCTGGGGATCAAGCTGGCGAC CGGTGCAAACGCGCTGGATACCGCTGCGGCAATCCGTGCTGAACTGGCGAAGATGGAACCGTTCTTCCCGTCG GGTCTGAAAATTGTTTACCCATACGACACCACGCCGTTCGTGAAAATCTCTATTCACGAAGTGGTTAAAACGCTG CATTGCCGTACCGGTGGTATTGCTCGGGACCTTTGCCGTCCTTGCCGCCTTTGGCTTCTCGATAAACACGCTAA

CAATGTTCGGGATGGTGCTCGCCATCGGCCTGTTGGTGGATGACGCCATCGTTGTGGTAGAAAACGTTGAGCG TGTTATGGCGGAAGAAGGTTTGCCGCCAAAAGAAGCTACCCGTAAGTCGATGGGGCAGATTCAGGGCGCTCTG CGTCAGTTCTCTATTACCATTGTTTCAGCAATGGCGCTGTCGGTACTGGTGGCGTTGATCCTGACTCCAGCTCTT TGTGCCACCATGCTGAAACCGATTGCCAAAGGCGATCACGGGGAAGGTAAAAAAGGCTTCTTCGGCTGGTTTAA CCGCATGTTCGAGAAGAGCACGCACCACTACACCGACAGCGTAGGCGGTATTCTGCGCAGTACGGGGCGTTAC CTGGTGCTGTATCTGATCATCGTGGTCGGCATGGCCTATCTGTTCGTGCGTCTGCCAAGCTCCTTCTTGCCAGA TGAGGACCAGGGCGTGTTTATGACCATGGTTCAGCTGCCAGCAGGTGCAACGCAGGAACGTACACAGAAAGTG CTCAATGAGGTAACGCATTACTATCTGACCAAAGAAAAGAACAACGTTGAGTCGGTGTTCGCCGTTAACGGCTTC AAGAAAACAAAGTTGAAGCGATTACCATGCGTGCAACACGCGCTTTCTCGCAAATCAAAGATGCGATGGTTTTCG CCTTTAACCTGCCCGCAATCGTGGAACTGGGTACTGCAACCGGCTTTGACTTTGAGCTGATTGACCAGGCTGGC CAGCGTACGTCCAAACGGTCTGGAAGATACCCCGCAGTTTAAGATTGATATCGACCAGGAAAAAGCGCAGGCG CTGGGTGTTTCTATCAACGACATTAACACCACTCTGGGCGCTGCATGGGGCGGCAGCTATGTGAACGACTTTAT CGACCGCGGTCGTGTGAAGAAAGTTTATGTCATGTCAGAAGCGAAATACCGTATGCTGCCGGATGATATCGGCG ACTGGTATGTTCGTGCTGCTGATGGTCAGATGGTGCCATTCTCGGCGTTCTCCTCTTCTCGTGGGAGTACGGT TCGCCGCGTCTGGAACGTTACAACGGCCTGCCATCCATGGAAATCTTAGGCCAGGCGCACCGGGTAAAAGTA CCGGTGAAGCAATGGAGCTGATGGAACAACTGGCGAGCAAACTGCCTACCGGTGTTGGCTATGACTGGACGGG GATGTCCTATCAGGAACGTCTCTCCGGCAACCAGGCACCTTCACTGTACGCGATTTCGTTGATTGTCGTGTTCCT GTGTCTGGCGGCGCTGTACGAGAGCTGGTCGATTCCGTTCTCCGTTATGCTGGTCGTTCCGCTGGGGGGTTATC GGTGCGTTGCTGGCTGCCACCTTCCGTGGCCTGACCAATGACGTTTACTTCCAGGTAGGCCTGCTCACAACCAT TGGGTTGTCGGCGAAGAACGCGATCCTTATCGTCGAATTCGCCAAAGACTTGATGGATAAAGAAGGTAAAGGTC TGATTGAAGCGACGCTTGATGCGGTGCGGATGCGTTTACGTCCGATCCTGATGACCTCGCTGGCGTTTATCCTC GGCGTTATGCCGCTGGTTATCAGTACTGGTGCTGGTTCCGGCGCGCAGAACGCAGTAGGTACCGGTGTAATGG GCGGGATGGTGACCGCAACGGTACTGGCAATCTTCTTCGTTCCGGTATTCTTTGTGGTGGTTCGCCGCCGCTTT AGCCGCAAGAATGAAGATATCGAGCACAGCCATACTGTCGATCATCATTGA

11.5.1.11.3 acrB-RNM-19

ATGCCTAATTTCTTTATCGATCGCCCGATTTTTGCGTGGGTGATCGCCATTATCATCATGTTGGCAGGGGGGGCTG GCGATCCTCAAACTGCCGGTGGCGCAATATCCTACGATTGCACCGCCGGCAGTAACGATCTCCGCCTCCTACC CCGGCGCTGATGCGAAAACAGTGCAGGACACGGTGACACAGGTTATCGAACAGAATATGAACGGTATCGATAAC CTGATGTACATGTCCTCTAACAGTGACTCCACGGGTACCGTGCAGATCACCCTGACCTTTGAGTCTGGTACTGAT GCGGATATCGCGCAGGTTCAGGTGCAGAACAAACTGCAGCTGGCGATGCCGTTGCTGCCGCAAGAAGTTCAGC AGCAAGGGGTGAGCGTTGAGAAATCATCCAGCAGCTTCCTGATGGTTGTCGGCGTTATCAACACCGATGGCACC ATGACGCAGGAGGATATCTCCGACTACGTGGCGGCGAATATGAAAGATGCCATCAGCCGTACGTCGGGCGTGG GTGATGTTCAGTTGTTCGGTTCACAGTACGCGATGCGTATCTGGATGAACCCGAATGAGCTGAACAAATTCCAG CTAACGCCGGTTGATGTCATTACCGCCATCAAAGCGCAGAACGCCCAGGTTGCGGCGGGTCAGCTCGGTGGTA CGCCGCCGGTGAAAGGCCAACAGCTTAACGCCTCTATTATTGCTCAGACGCGTCTGACCTCTACTGAAGAGTTC GGCAAAATCCTGCTGAAAGTGAATCAGGATGGTTCCCGCGTGCTGCGTGACGTCGCGAAGATTGAGCTGG GTGGTGAGAACTACGACATCATCGCAGAGTTTAACGGCCAACCGGCTTCCGGTCTGGGGATCAAGCTGGCGAC CGGTGCAAACGCGCTGGATACCGCTGCGGCAATCCGTGCTGAACTGGCGAAGATGGAACCGTTCTTCCCGTCG GGTCTGAAAATTGTTTACCCATACGACACCACGCCGTTCGTGAAAATCTCTATTCACGAAGTGGTTAAAACGCTG GTCGAAGCGATCATCCTCGTGTTCCTGGTTATGTATCTGTTCCTGCAGAACTTCCGCGCGACGTTGATTCCGAC CATTGCCGTACCGGTGGTATTGCTCGGGATCTTTGCCGTCCTTGCCGCCTTTGGCTTCTCGATAAACACGCTAA CAATGTTCGGGATGGTGCTCGCCATCGGCCTGTTGGTGGATGACGCCATCGTTGTGGTAGAAAACGTTGAGCG TGTTATGGCGGAAGAAGGTTTGCCGCCAAAAGAAGCTACCCGTAAGTCGATGGGGCAGATTCAGGGCGCTCTG GTCGGTATCGCGATGGTACTGTCGGCGGTATTCGTACCGATGGCCTTCTTTGGCGGTTCTACTGGTGCTATCTA TCGTCAGTTCTCTATTACCATTGTTTCAGCAATGGCGCTGTCGGTACTGGTGGCGTTGATCCTGACTCCAGCTCT TTGTGCCACCATGCTGAAACCGATTGCCAAAGGCGATCACGGGGAAGGTAAAAAAGGCTTCTTCGGCTGGTTTA ACCGCATGTTCGAGAAGAGCACGCACCACTACACCGACAGCGTAGGCGGTATTCTGCGCAGTACGGGGGCGTTA CCTGGTGCTGTATCTGATCATCGTGGTCGGCATGGCCTATCTGTTCGTGCGTCTGCCAAGCTCCTTCTTGCCAG ATGAGGACCAGGGCGTGTTTATGACCATGGTTCAGCTGCCAGCAGGTGCAACGCAGGAACGTACACAGAAAGT GCTCAATGAGGTAACGCATTACTATCTGACCAAAGAAAAGAACAACGTTGAGTCGGTGTTCGCCGTTAACGGCTT GAAGAAAACAAAGTTGAAGCGATTACCATGCGTGCAACACGCGCTTTCTCGCAAATCAAAGATGCGATGGTTTTC GCCTTTAACCTGCCCGCAATCGTGGAACTGGGTACTGCAACCGGCTTTGACTTTGAGCTGATTGACCAGGCTGG CCAGCGTACGTCCAAACGGTCTGGAAGATACCCCGCAGTTTAAGATTGATATCGACCAGGAAAAAGCGCAGGC GCTGGGTGTTTCTATCAACGACATTAACACCACTCTGGGCGCTGCATGGGGCGGCAGCTATGTGAACGACTTTA TCGACCGCGGTCGTGTGAAGAAAGTTTATGTCATGTCAGAAGCGAAATACCGTATGCTGCCGGATGATATCGGC GACTGGTATGTTCGTGCTGCTGATGGTCAGATGGTGCCATTCTCGGCGTTCTCCTCTTCTCGTGGGAGTACGG TTCGCCGCGTCTGGAACGTTACAACGGCCTGCCATCCATGGAAATCTTAGGCCAGGCGGCACCGGGTAAAAGT ACCGGTGAAGCAATGGAGCTGATGGAACAACTGGCGAGCAAACTGCCTACCGGTGTTGGCTATGACTGGACGG GGATGTCCTATCAGGAACGTCTCTCCGGCAACCAGGCACCTTCACTGTACGCGATTTCGTTGATTGTCGTGTTC

11.5.1.11.4 acrB-RNM-21

ATGCCTAATTTCTTTATCGATCGCCCGATTTTTGCGTGGGTGATCGCCATTATCATCATGTTGGCAGGGGGGGCTG GCGATCCTCAAACTGCCGGTGGCGCAATATCCTACGATTGCACCGCCGGCAGTAACGATCTCCGCCTCCTACC CCGGCGCTGATGCGAAAACAGTGCAGGACACGGTGACACAGGTTATCGAACAGAATATGAACGGTATCGATAAC CTGATGTACATGTCCTCTAACAGTGACTCCACGGGTACCGTGCAGATCACCCTGACCTTTGAGTCTGGTACTGAT GCGGATATCGCGCAGGTTCAGGTGCAGAACAAACTGCAGCTGGCGATGCCGTTGCTGCCGCAAGAAGTTCAGC AGCAAGGGGTGAGCGTTGAGAAATCATCCAGCAGCTTCCTGATGGTTGTCGGCGTTATCAACACCGATGGCACC ATGACGCAGGAGGATATCTCCGACTACGTGGCGGCGAATATGAAAGATGCCATCAGCCGTACGTCGGGCGTGG GTGATGTTCAGTTGTTCGGTTCACAGTACGCGATGCGTATCTGGATGAACCCGAATGAGCTGAACAAATTCCAG CTAACGCCGGTTGATGTCATTACCGCCATCAAAGCGCCAGAACGCCCAGGTTGCGGCGGGTCAGCTCGGTGGTA CGCCGCCGGTGAAAGGCCAACAGCTTAACGCCTCTATTATTGCTCAGACGCGTCTGACCTCTACTGAAGAGTTC GGCAAAATCCTGCTGAAAGTGAATCAGGATGGTTCCCGCGTGCTGCGCGTGACGTCGCGAAGATTGAGCTGG GTGGTGAGAACTACGACATCATCGCAGAGTTTAACGGCCAACCGGCTTCCGGTCTGGGGATCAAGCTGGCGAC CGGTGCAAACGCGCTGGATACCGCTGCGGCAATCCGTGCTGAACTGGCGAAGATGGAACCGTTCTTCCCGTCG GGTCTGAAAATTGTTTACCCATACGACACCACGCCGTTCGTGAAAATCTCTATTCACGAAGTGGTTAAAACGCTG GTCGAAGCGATCATCCTCGTGTTCCTGGTTATGTATCTGTTCCTGCAGAACTTCCGCGCGACGTTGATTCCGAC CATTGCCGTACCGGTGGTATTGCTCGGGACCTTTGCCGTCCTTGCCGCCTTTGGCTTCTCGATAAACACGCTAA CAATGTTCGGGATGGTGCTCGCCATCGGCCTGTTGGTGGATGACGCCATCGTTGTGGTAGAAAACGTTGAGCG TGTTATGGCGGAAGAAGGTTTGCCGCCAAAAGAAGCTACCCGTAAGTCGATGGGGCAGATTCAGGGCGCTCTG GTCGGTATCGCGATGGTACTGTCGGCGGTATTCGTACCGATGGCCTTCTTTGGCGGTTCTACTGGTGCTATCTA TCGTCAGTTCTCTATTACCATTGTTTCAGCAATGGCGCTGTCGGTACTGGTGGCGTTGATCCTGACTCCAGCTCT TTGTGCCACCATGCTGAAACCGATTGCCAAAGGCGATCACGGGGAAGGTAAAAAAGGCTTCTTCGGCTGGTTTA ACCGCATGTTCGAGAAGAGCACGCACCACCACCACCGACAGCGTAGGCGGTATTCTGCGCAGTACGGGGCGTTA CCTGGTGCTGTATCTGATCATCGTGGTCGGCATGGCCTATCTGTTCGTGCGTCTGCCAAGCTCCTTCTTGCCAG ATGAGGACCAGGGCGTGTTTATGACCATGGTTCAGCTGCCAGCAGGTGCAACGCAGGAACGTACACAGAAAGT GCTCAATGAGGTAACGCATTACTATCTGACCAAAGAAAAGAACAACGTTGAGTCGGTGTTCGCCGTTAACGGCTT GAAGAAAACAAAGTTGAAGCGATTACCATGCGTGCAACACGCGCTTTCTCGCAAATCAAAGATGCGATGGTTTTC GCCTTTAACCTGCCCGCAATCGTGGAACTGGGTACTGCAACCGGCTTTGACTTTGAGCTGATTGACCAGGCTGG CCAGCGTACGTCCAAACGGTCTGGAAGATACCCCGCAGTTTAAGATTGATATCGACCAGGAAAAAGCGCAGGC GCTGGGTGTTTCTATCAACGACATTAACACCACTCTGGGCGCTGCATGGGGCGGCAGCTATGTGAACGACTTTA TCGACCGCGGTCGTGTGAAGAAAGTTTATGTCATGTCAGAAGCGAAATACCGTATGCTGCCGGATGATATCGGC GACTGGTATGTTCGTGCTGCTGATGGTCAGATGGTGCCATTCTCGGCGTTCTCCTCTTCTCGTGGGAGTACGG TTCGCCGCGTCTGGAACGTTACAACGGCCTGCCATCCATGGAAATCTTAGGCCAGGCGGCACCGGGTAAAAGT ACCGGTGAAGCAATGGAGCTGATGGAACAACTGGCGAGCAAACTGCCTACCGGTGTTGGCTATGACTGGACGG GGATGTCCTATCAGGAACGTCTCTCCGGCAACCAGGCACCTTCACTGTACGCGATTTCGTTGATTGTCGTGTTC CTGTGTCTGGCGGCGCTGTACGAGAGCTGGTCGATTCCGTTCTCCATTATGCTGGTCGTTCCGCTGGGGGGTTAT CGGTGCGTTGCTGGCTGCCACCTTCCGTGGCCTGACCAATGACGTTTACTTCCAGGTAGGCCTGCTCACAACCA TTGGGTTGTCGGCGAAGAACGCGATCCTTATCGTCGAATTCGCCAAAGACTTGATGGATAAAGAAGGTAAAGGT CTGATTGAAGCGACGCTTGATGCGGTGCGGATGCGTTTACGTCCGATCCTGATGACCTCGCTGGCGTTTATCCT CGGCGTTATGCCGCTGGTTATCAGTACTGGTGCTGGTTCCGGCGCGCAGAACGCAGTAGGTACCGGTGTAATG GGCGGGATGGTGACCGCAACGGTACTGGCAATCTTCTTCGTTCCGGTATTCTTTGTGGTGGTTCGCCGCCGCTT TAGCCGCAAGAATGAAGATATCGAGCACAGCCATACTGTCGATCATCATTGA

11.5.1.12.1 yohJ-WT

11.5.1.12.2 yohJ-RNM-18

11.5.1.13.1 dnaK-WT

GTGCTGGAGAACGCCGAAGGCGATCGCACCACGCCTTCTATCATTGCCTATACCCAGGATGGTGAAACTCTAGT TGGTCAGCCGGCTAAACGTCAGGCAGTGACGAACCCGCAAAACACTCTGTTTGCGATTAAACGCCTGATTGGTC GCCGCTTCCAGGACGAAGAAGTACAGCGTGATGTTTCCATCATGCCGTTCAAAATTATTGCTGCTGATAACGGC GAAAACCGCTGAAGATTACCTGGGTGAACCGGTAACTGAAGCTGTTATCACCGTACCGGCATACTTTAACGATG CTCAGCGTCAGGCAACCAAAGACGCAGGCCGTATCGCTGGTCTGGAAGTAAAACGTATCATCAACGAACCGAC CGCAGCTGCGCTGGCTTACGGTCTGGACAAAGGCACTGGCAACCGTACTATCGCGGTTTATGACCTGGGTGGT TCAGGGCATTGACCTGCGCAACGATCCGCTGGCAATGCAGCGCCTGAAAGAAGCGGCAGAAAAAGCGAAAATC GAACTGTCTTCCGCTCAGCAGACCGACGTTAACCTGCCATACATCACTGCAGACGCGACCGGTCCGAAACACAT GAACATCAAAGTGACTCGTGCGAAACTGGAAAGCCTGGTTGAAGATCTGGTAAACCGTTCCATTGAGCCGCTGA AAGTTGCACTGCAGGACGCTGGCCTGTCCGTATCTGATATCGACGACGTTATCCTCGTTGGTGGTCAGACTCGT ATGCCAATGGTTCAGAAGAAAGTTGCTGAGTTCTTTGGTAAAGAGCCGCGTAAAGACGTTAACCCGGACGAAGC TGTAGCAATCGGTGCTGCTGCTCAGGGTGGTGTCTGACTGGTGACGTAAAAGACGTACTGCTGCTGGACGTTA CCCCGCTGTCTCTGGGTATCGAAACCATGGGCGGTGTGATGACGACGCTGATCGCGAAAAAACACCACTATCCC GAACGTAAACGTGCGGCTGATAACAAATCTCTGGGTCAGTTCAACCTAGATGGTATCAACCCGGCACCGCGCG CATGCCGCAGATCGAAGTTACCTTCGATATCGATGCTGACGGTATCCTGCACGTTTCCGCGAAAGATAAAAACA GCGGTAAAGAGCAGAAGATCACCATCAAGGCTTCTTCTGGTCTGAACGAAGATGAAAATCCAGAAAATGGTACGC GACGCAGAAGCTAACGCCGAAGCTGACCGTAAGTTTGAAGAGCTGGTACAGACTCGCAACCAGGGCGACCATC TGCTGCACAGCACCCGTAAGCAGGTTGAAGAAGCAGGCGACAAACTGCCGGCTGACGACAAAACTGCTATCGA GTCTGCGCTGACTGCACTGGAAACTGCTCTGAAAGGTGAAGACAAAGCCGCTATCGAAGCGAAAATGCAGGAA CTGGCACAGGTTTCCCAGAAACTGATGGAAATCGCCCAGCAGCAACATGCCCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCGTGCTG

11.5.1.13.2 dnaK-RNM-18

GTGCTGGAGAACGCCGAAGGCGATCGCACCACGCCTTCTATCATTGCCTATACCCAGGATGGTGAAACTCTAGT TGGTCAGCCGGCTAAACGTCAGGCAGTGACGAACCCCGCAAAACACTCTGTTTGCGATTAAACGCCTGATTGGTC GCCGCTTCCAGGACGAAGAAGTACAGCGTGATGTTTCCATCATGCCGTTCAAAATTATTGCTGCTGATAACGGC GACGCATGGGTCGAAGTTAAAGGCCAGAAAATGGCACCGCCGCAGATTTCTGCTGAAGTGCTGAAAAAAATGAA GAAAAACCGCTGAAGATTACCTGGGTGAACCGGTAACTGAAGCTGTTATCACCGTACCGGCATACTTTAACGATG CTCAGCGTCAGGCAACCAAAGACGCAGGCCGTATCGCTGGTCTGGAAGTAAAACGTATCATCAACGAACCGAC CGCAGCTGCGCTGGCTTACGGTCTGGACAAAGGCACTGGCAACCGTACTATCGCGGTTTATGACCTGGGTGGT TCAGGGCATTGACCTGCGCAACGATCCGCTGGCAATGCAGCGCCTGAAAGAAGCGGCAGAAAAAGCGAAAATC GAACTGTCTTCCGCTCAGCAGACCGACGTTAACCTGCCATACATCACTGCAGACGCGACCGGTCCGAAACACAT GAACATCAAAGTGACTCGTGCGAAACTGGAAAGCCTGGTTGAAGATCTGGTAAACCGTTCCATTGAGCCGCTGA AAGTTGCACTGCAGGACGCTGGCCTGTCCGTATCTGATATCGACGACGTTATCCTCGTTGGTGGTCAGACTCGT ATGCCAATGGTTCAGAAGAAAGTTGCTGAGTTCTTTGGTAAAGAGCCGCGTAAAGACGTTAACCCGGACGAAGC TGTAGCAATCGGTGCTGGTCAGGGTGGTGTTCTGACTGGTGACGTAAAAGACGTACTGCTGCTGGACGTTA CCCCGCTGTCTCTGGGTATCGAAACCATGGGCGGTGTGATGACGACGCTGATCGCGAAAAAACACCACTATCCC GAACGTAAACGTGCGGCTGATAACAAATCTCTGGGTCAGTTCAACCTAGATGGTATCAACCCGGCACCGCGCG CATGCCGCAGATCGAAGTTACCTTCGATATCGATGCTGACGGTATCCTGCACGTTTCCGCGAAAGATAAAAACA GCGGTAAAGAGCAGAAGATCACCATCAAGGCTTCTTCTGGTCTGAACGAAGATGAAATCCAGAAAATGGTACGC GACGCAGAAGCTAACGCCGAAGCTGACCGTAAGTTTGAAGAGCTGGTACAGACTCGCAACCAGGGCGACCATC TGCTGCACAGCACCCGTAAGCAGGTTGAAGAAGCAGGCGACAAACTGCCGGCTGACGACAAAACTGCTATCGA GTCTGCGCTGACTGCACTGGAAACTGCTCTGAAAGGTGAAGACAAAGCCGCTATCGAAGCGAAAATGCAGGAA CTGGCACAGGTTTCCCAGAAACTGATGGAAATCGCCCAGCAGCAACATGCCCAGCAGCAGACTGCCGGTGCTG

11.5.1.14.1 groL-WT

ATGGCAGCTAAAGACGTAAAATTCGGTAACGACGCTCGTGTGAAAATGCTGCGCGGCGTAAACGTACTGGCAGA TGCAGTGAAAGTTACCCTCGGTCCAAAAGGCCGTAACGTAGTTCTGGATAAATCTTTCGGTGCACCGACCATCA CCAAAGATGGTGTTTCCGTTGCTCGTGAAATCGAACTGGAAGACAAGTTCGAAAATATGGGTGCGCAGATGGTG AAAGAAGTTGCCTCTAAAGCAAACGACGCTGCAGGCGACGGTACCACCACTGCAACCGTACTGGCTCAGGCTAT CATCACTGAAGGTCTGAAAGCTGTTGCTGCGGGCATGAACCCGATGGACCTGAAACGTGGTATCGACAAAGCG GTTACCGCTGCAGTTGAAGAACTGAAAGCGCTGTCCGTACCATGCTCTGACTCTAAAGCGATTGCTCAGGTTGG TACCATCTCCGCTAACTCCGACGACAACCGTAGGTAAACTGATCGCTGAAGCGATGGACAAAGTCGGTAAAGAAG GCGTTATCACCGTTGAAGACGGTACCGGTCTGCAGGACGAACTGGACGTGGTTGAAGGTATGCAGTTCGACCG TGGCTACCTGTCTCCTTACTTCATCAACAAGCCGGAAACTGGCGCAGTAGAACTGGAAAGCCCGTTCATCCTGC TGGCTGACAAGAAAATCTCCCAACATCCGCGAAATGCTGCCGGTTCTGGAAGCTGTTGCCAAAGCAGGCAAACCG CTGCTGATCATCGCTGAAGATGTAGAAGGCGAAGCGCTGGCAACTCTGGTTGTTAACACCATGCGTGGCATCGT GAAAGTCGCTGCGGTTAAAGCACCGGGCTTCGGCGATCGTCGTAAAGCTATGCTGCAGGATATCGCAACCCTG ACTGGCGGTACCGTGATCTCTGAAGAGATCGGTATGGAGCTGGAAAAAGCAACCCTGGAAGACCTGGGTCAGG CTAAACGTGTTGTGATCAACAAAGACACCACCACCACTATCATCGATGGCGTGGGGTGAAGAAGCTGCAATCCAGGGC CGTGTTGCTCAGATCCGTCAGCAGATTGAAGAAGCAACTTCTGACTACGACCGTGAAAAACTGCAGGAACGCGT AGCGAAACTGGCAGGCGGCGTTGCAGTTATCAAAGTGGGTGCTGCTACCGAAGTTGAAATGAAAGAGAAAAAAG CACGCGTTGAAGATGCCCTGCACGCGACCCCGTGCTGCGGTAGAAGAGGCGTGGTTGCTGGTGGTGGTGTTG CGCTGATCCGCGTAGCGTCTAAACTGGCTGACCTGCGTGGTCAGAACGAAGACCAGAACGTGGGTATCAAAGT TGCACTGCGTGCAATGGAAGCTCCGCTGCGTCAGATCGTATTGAACTGCGGCGAAGAACCGTCTGTTGTTGCTA ACACCGTTAAAGGCGGCGACGGCAACTACGGTTACAACGCAGCAACCGAAGAATACGGCAACATGATCGACAT CCACCGAATGCATGGTTACCGACCTGCCGAAAAACGATGCAGCTGACTTAGGCGCTGCTGGCGGTATGGGCGG CATGGGTGGCATGGGCGGCATGATGTAA

11.5.1.13.2 groL-RNM-22

ATGGCAGCTAAAGACGTAAAATTCGGTAACGACGCTCGTGTGAAAATGCTGCGCGGCGTAAACGTACTGGCAGA TGCAGTGAAAGTTACCCTCGGTCCAAAAGGCCGTAACGTAGTTCTGGATAAATCTTTCGGTGCACCGACCATCA CCAAAGATGGTGTTTCCGTTGCTCGTGAAATCGAACTGGAAGACAAGTTCGAAAATATGGGTGCGCAGATGGTG AAAGAAGTTGCCTCTAAAGCAAACGACGCTGCAGGCGACGGTACCACCACTGCAACCGTACTGGCTCAGGCTAT CATCACTGAAGGTCTGAAAGCTGTTGCTGCGGGCATGAACCCGATGGACCTGAAACGTGGTATCGACAAAGCG GTTACCGCTGCAGTTGAAGAACTGAAAGCGCTGTCCGTACCATGCTCTGACTCTAAAGCGATTGCTCAGGTTGG TACCATCTCCGCTAACTCCGACGACAACCGTAGGTAAACTGATCGCTGAAGCGATGGACAAAGTCGGTAAAGAAG GCGTTATCACCGTTGAAGACGGTACCGGTCTGCAGGACGAACTGGACGTGGTTGAAGGTATGCAGTTCGACCG TGGCTACCTGTCTCCTTACTTCATCAACAAGCCGGAAACTGGCGCAGTAGAACTGGAAAGCCCGTTCATCCTGC TGGCTGACAAGAAAATCTCCCAACATCCGCGAAATGCTGCCGGTTCTGGAAGCTGTTGCCAAAGCAGGCAAACCG CTGCTGATCATCGCTGAAGATGTAGAAGGCGAAGCGCTGGCAACTCTGGTTGTTAACACCATGCGTGGCATCGT GAAAGTCGCTGCGGTTAAAGCACTGGGCTTCGGCGATCGTCGTAAAGCTATGCTGCAGGATATCGCAACCCTGA CTGGCGGTACCGTGATCTCTGAAGAGATCGGTATGGAGCTGGAAAAAGCAACCCTGGAAGACCTGGGTCAGGC TAAACGTGTTGTGATCAACAAAGACACCACCACTATCATCGATGGCGTGGGGTGAAGAAGCTGCAATCCAGGGCC GTGTTGCTCAGATCCGTCAGCAGATTGAAGAAGCAACTTCTGACTACGACCGTGAAAAACTGCAGGAACGCGTA GCGAAACTGGCAGGCGGCGTTGCAGTTATCAAAGTGGGTGCTGCTACCGAAGTTGAAATGAAAGAGAAAAAAG CACGCGTTGAAGATGCCCTGCACGCGACCCGTGCTGCGGGAGAAGAAGACGCGTGGTTGCTGGTGGTGGTGTTG CGCTGATCCGCGTAGCGTCTAAACTGGCTGACCTGCGTGGTCAGAACGAAGACCAGAACGTGGGTATCAAAGT TGCACTGCGTGCAATGGAAGCTCCGCTGCGTCAGATCGTATTGAACTGCGGCGAAGAACCGTCTGTTGTTGCTA ACACCGTTAAAGGCGGCGACGGCAACTACGGTTACAACGCAGCAACCGAAGAATACGGCAACATGATCGACAT CCACCGAATGCATGGTTACCGACCTGCCGAAAAACGATGCAGCTGACTTAGGCGCTGCTGGCGGTATGGGCGG CATGGGTGGCATGGGCGGCATGATGTAA

11.5.1.15.1 ilvN-WT

ATGCAAAACACACACTCATGACAACGTAATTCTGGAGCTCACCGTTCGCAACCATCCGGGCGTAATGACCC ACGTTTGTGGCCTTTTTGCCCGCCGCGCTTTTAACGTTGAAGGCATTCTTTGTCTGCCGATTCAGGACAG CGACAAAAGCCATATCTGGCTACTGGTCAATGACGACCAGCGTCTGGAGCAGATGATAAGCCAAATCGAT AAGCTGGAAGATGTCGTGAAAGTGCAGCGTAATCAGTCCGATCCGACGATGTTTAACAAGATCGCGGTGT TTTTTCAGTAA

11.5.1.15.2 ilvN-RNM-2

11.5.1.15.3 *ilvN*-RNM-3

11.5.1.16.1 ygbK-WT

ATGATCAAGATTGGCGTTATCGCCGATGATTTTACCGGCGCGCGGGATATCGCCAGTTTTCTGGTGGAAAACGG TCTACCAACGGTACAAATTAACGGTGTTCCAACAGGTAAAATGCCGGAAGCAATCGACGCACTGGTGATCAGCC TGAAAACGCGCTCCTGTCCAGTGGTTGAAGCCACACAGCAATCGCTGGCGGCTCTGAGCTGGTTGCAACAGCA AGGTTGCAAACAGATCTATTTCAAATACTGCTCTACTTTCGACAGTACGGCGAAAGGTAATATTGGCCCGGTTAC CGATGCCTTAATGGATGCTCTCGACACGCCGTTTACGGTCTTCTCTCCGGCCCTGCCGGTCAACGGACGTACG GTTTATCAGGGGTATTTGTTCGTAATGAATCAACTGCTGGCCGAATCCGGGATGCGCCATCACCCGGTAAATCC CATGACCGACAGCTATCTTCCCCGTCTGGTTGAAGCGCAATCCACAGGGCGCTGCGGCGTCGTTTCGGCACAT GTTTTCGAACAAGGTGTGGGATGCCGTTCGTCAAGAGCTGGCTCGCTTACAGCAAGAGGGCTACCGCTACGCGG TGCTTGATGCGCTGACCGAACACCATCTGGAAATTCAGGGAGAAGCCTTGCGCGATGCCCCACTGGTAACGGG CGGTTCTGGTCTGGCGATTGGCCTGGCCCGGCAGTGGGCGCAAGAAACCGGTAACCAGGCTCGCAAAGCAGG GCGTCCGCTCGCTGGGCGCGCGCGTAGTGCTCTCCGGTTCATGCTCTCAAATGACCAACCGCCAGGTAGCACAT TACCGTCAAATTGCACCAGCCCGTGAAGTTGATGTGGCACGCTGCCTCTCAATTGAAACTCTGGCCGCTTATGC ACACGAACTGGCAGAGTGGGTTCTGGGCCAGGAAAGTGTACTTGCTCCACTGGTTTTTGCCACCGCCAGCACT GACGCATTGGCAGCAATTCAACAGCAATACGGTGCACAAAAAGCCAGTCAGGCAGTAGAAACACTGTTTTCTCA ACTAGCGGCGCGGTTAGCAGCGGAAGGCGTGACACGCTTTATTGTCGCAGGCGGTGAGACCTCCGGCGTAGT CACACAGAGCCTGGGAATAAAAGGGTTTCATATTGGCCCAACCATTTCCCCGGCGTGCCGTGGGTAA

11.5.1.16.2 ygbK-RNM-2

ATGATCAAGATTGGCGTTATCGCCGATGATTTTACCGGCGCGCGACGGATATCGCCAGTTTTCTGGTGGAAAACGG TCTACCAACGGTACAAATTAACGGTGTTCCAACAGGTAAAATGCCGGAAGCAATCGACGCACTGGTGATCAGCC TGAAAACGCGCTCCTGTCCAGTGGTTGAAGCCACACAGCAATCGCTGGCGGCTCTGAGCTGGTTGCAACAGCA AGGTTGCAAACAGATCTATTTCAAATACTGCTCTACTTTCGACAGTACGGCGAAAGGTAATATTGGCCCGGTTAC CGATGCCTTAATGGATGCTCTCGACACGCCGTTTACGGTCTTCTCTCCGGCCCTGCCGGTCAACGGACGTACG GTTTATCAGGGGTATTTGTTCGTAATGAATCAACTGCTGGCCGAATCCGGGATGCGCCATCACCCGGTAAATCC CATGACCGACAGCTATCTTCCCCGTCTGGTTGAAGCGCAATCCACAGGGCGCTGCGGCGTCGTTTCGGCACAT GTTTTCGAACAAGGTGTGGATGCCGTTCGTCAAGAGCTGGCTCGCTTACAGCAAGAGGGCTACCGCTACGCGG TGCTTGATGCGCTGACCGAACACCATCTGGAAATTCAGGGAGAAGCCTTGCGCGATGCCCCACTGGTAACGGG CGGTTCTGGTCTGGCGATTGGCCTGGCCCGGCAGTGGGCGCAAGAAACCGGTAACCAGGCTCGCAAAGCAGG GCGTCCGCTCGCTGGGCGCGGCGTAGTGCTCTCCGGTTCATGCTCTCAAATGACCAACCGCCAGGTAGCACAT TACCGTCAAATTGCACCAGCCCGTGAAGTTGATGTGGCACGCTGCCTCTCAATTGAAACTCTGGCCGCTTATGA ACACGAACTGGCAGAGTGGGTTCTGGGCCAGGAAAGTGTACTTGCTCCACTGGTTTTTGCCACCGCCAGCACT GACGCATTGGCAGCAATTCAACAGCAATACGGTGCACAAAAAGCCAGTCAGGCAGTAGAAACACTGTTTTCTCA ACTAGCGGCGCGGTTAGCAGCGGAAGGCGTGACACGCTTTATTGTCGCAGGCGGTGAGACCTCCGGCGTAGT CACACAGAGCCTGGGAATAAAAGGGTTTCATATTGGCCCAACCATTTCCCCCGGCGTGCCGTGGGTAA

11.5.1.17.1 clsA-WT

11.5.1.17.2 clsA-RNM-18

ATGACAACCGTTTATACGTTGGTGAGTTGGTTGGCCATTCTGGGATACTGGTTGCTCATTGCAGGCGTAACTTTA CGCATTCTAATGAAACGACGCGCAGTTCCCTCCGCGATGGCCTGGCTGTTGATTATTTACATTCTGCCGTTAGTC GGAATTATTGCCTATCTTGCCGTTGGCGAGCTCCATTTAGGCAAACGCCGCGCGAGCGCGCCAGAGCGATGT GGCCTTCCACCGCAAAATGGCTTAACGACCTTAAAGCCTGTAAGCATATCTTCGCCGAAGAAAATAGCAGTGTC GCTGCGCCATTATTCAAGCTTTGCGAGCGTCGTCAGGGGATCGCTGGGGGTCAAAGGGAATCAGCTACAACTGAT GACCGAGTCAGATGATGTGATGCAGGCGTTAATCCGCGACATCCAGCTCGCGCGCCATAATATTGAGATGGTGT TTTATATCTGGCAGCCCGGCGGCATGGCGGACCAGGTGGCTGAATCATTAATGGCGGCTGCGCGACGCGGCAT TCATTGCCGATTGATGCTCGACTCCGCCGGGAGTGTGGCTTTTTTCCGCAGCCCGTGGCCCGAGCTAATGCGTA ATGCCGGTATTGAAGTGGTCGAAGCCTTAAAGGTCAATCTGATGCGTGTGTTTTTACGCCGTATGGACCTGCGC CAACATCGCAAGATGATCATGATCGATAATTACATCGCGTACACCGGCAGCATGAATATGGTCGATCCTCGCTAC TTCAAACAAGATGCGGGCGTAGGGCAATGGATTGATCTGATGGCGCGTATGGAAGGCCCTATCGCCACCGCGA TGGGGATTATTTATTCCTGCGACTGGGAGATTGAAACCGGAAAACGTATTCTGCCGCCACCACCAGATGTCAATA TTATGCCGTTTGAACAGGCCAGCGGTCACACCATTCACACAATTGCTTCTGGCCCCGGCTTTCCGGAAGATCTC ATTCACCAGGCATTATTGACTGCGGCTTATTCGGCGCGTGAATATTTGATCATGACCACGCCCTACTTTGTGCCA ATCAGTTTGAAGGCGGGTTACTGCATACCAAGAGCGTGCTGGTCGATGGCGAACTAAGTCTGGTTGGCACAGTT AACCTTGATATGCGTAGTCTGTGGCTAAATTTCGAGATTACCCTGGCAATCGACGATAAAGGTTTTGGTGCTGAC CTCGCCCCGTTCAGGACGATTATATTTCGCGTTCACGTCTGCTCGATGCCCGTTTATGGCTAAAACGTCCATTAT GGCAACGTGTCGCCGAGCGACTGTTTTACTTCTTCAGTCCGTTGCTGTAA

11.5.1.18.1 opgH-WT

ATGAATAAGACAACTGAGTACATTGACGCAATGCCCATCGCCGCAAGCGAGAAAGCGGCATTGCCGAAGACTGA TATCCGCGCCGTTCATCAGGCGCTGGATGCCGAACACCGCACCTGGGCGCGGGAGGATGATTCCCCCGCAAGG GGCGCGATCAGCTGAAGGCGATGCCAGAAGCAAAACGCTCCTCGATGTTTCCCGACCCGTGGCGTACCAACCC GGTAGGCCGTTTCTGGGATCGCCTGCGTGGACGCGATGTCACGCCGCGCTATCTGGCTCGTTTGACCAAAGAA GAGCAGGAGAGCGAGCAAAAGTGGCGTACCGTCGGTACCATCCGCCGTTACATTCTGTTGATCCTGACGCTCG CGCAAACTGTCGTCGCGACCTGGTATATGAAGACCATTCTTCCTTATCAGGGTTGGGCGCTGATTAATCCTATGG ATATGGTTGGTCAGGATTTGTGGGTTTCCTTTATGCAGCTTCTGCCTTATATGCTGCAAACCGGTATCCTGATCC TCTTTGCGGTACTGTTCTGTTGGGTGTCCGCCGGATTCTGGACGGCGTTAATGGGCTTCCTGCAACTGCTTATT GGTCGCGATAAATACAGTATATCTGCGTCAACAGTTGGCGATGAACCATTAAACCCGGAGCATCGCACGGCGTT GATCATGCCTATCTGTAACGAAGACGTGAACCGTGTTTTTGCTGGCCTGCGTGCAACGTGGGAATCAGTAAAAG CCACCGGGAATGCCAAACACTTTGATGTCTACATTCTTAGTGACAGTTATAACCCGGATATCTGCGTCGCAGAGC AAAAAGCCTGGATGGAGCTTATCGCTGAAGTCGGTGGCGAAGGTCAGATTTTCTATCGCCGCCGCCGTCGCCG CGTGAAGCGTAAAAGCGGTAATATCGATGACTTCTGCCGTCGCTGGGGCAGCCAGTACAGCTACATGGTGGTG CTGGATGCTGACTCGGTAATGACCGGTGATTGTTTGTGCGGGGCTGGTGCGCCTGATGGAAGCCAACCCGAACG CCGCGTGTATGGGCCACTGTTTACAGCCGGTTTGCACTTCTGGCAACTTGGCGAGTCGCACTACTGGGGACATA ACGCGATTATCCGCGTGAAACCGTTTATCGAGCACTGCGCACTGGCTCCGCTGCCGGGCGAAGGTTCCTTTGC CGGTTCAATCCTGTCACATGACTTCGTGGAAGCCGCCGTTGATGCGCCGTGCAGGTTGGGGGGGTCTGGATTGCT CCACGGTAACCTGATGAACTTCCGTCTGTTCCTGGTGAAGGGTATGCACCCGGTTCACCGTGCGGTGTTCCTGA CGGGCGTGATGTCTTATCTCCCGCTCCGCTGTGGTTTATGTTCCTCGCGCTCTCTACTGCATTGCAGGTAGTG CATGCGTTGACCGAACCGCAATACTTCCTGCAACCACGGCAGTTGTTCCCAGTGTGGCCGCAGTGGCGTCCTG AGCTGGCGATTGCACTTTTGCTTCGACCATGGTGCTGTTGTTCCTGCCGAAGTTATTGAGCATTTTGCTTATCT GGTGCAAAGGAACGAAAGAATACGGCGGCTTCTGGCGCGTTACATTATCGTTGCTGCTGGAAGTGCTTTTTTCC GTGCTGCTGGCTCCGGTACGCATGCTGTTCCATACGGTCTTCGTTGTCAGCGCGTTCCTTGGCTGGGAAGTGGT GTGGAATTCACCGCAGCGTGATGATGACTCCACTTCCTGGGGTGAAGCGTTCAAACGCCACGGCTCACAGCTG

11.5.1.18.2 opgH-RNM-18

ATGAATAAGACAACTGAGTACATTGACGCAATGCCCATCGCCGCAAGCGAGAAAGCGGCATTGCCGAAGACTGA TATCCGCGCCGTTCATCAGGCGCTGGATGCCGAACACCGCACCTGGGCGCGGGAGGATGATTCCCCCGCAAGG GGCGCGATCAGCTGAAGGCGATGCCAGAAGCAAAACGCTCCTCGATGTTTCCCGACCCGTGGCCTACCAACCC GGTAGGCCGTTTCTGGGATCGCCTGCGTGGACGCGATGTCACGCCGCGCTATCTGGCTCGTTTGACCAAAGAA GAGCAGGAGAGCGAGCAAAAGTGGCGTACCGTCGGTACCATCCGCCGTTACATTCTGTTGATCCTGACGCTCG CGCAAACTGTCGTCGCGACCTGGTATATGAAGACCATTCTTCCTTATCAGGGTTGGGCGCTGATTAATCCTATGG ATATGGTTGGTCAGGATTTGTGGGTTTCCTTTATGCAGCTTCTGCCTTATATGCTGCAAACCGGTATCCTGATCC TCTTTGCGGTACTGTTCTGTTGGGTGTCCGCCGGATTCTGGACGGCGTTAATGGGCTTCCTGCAACTGCTTATT GGTCGCGATAAATACAGTATATCTGCGTCAACAGTTGGCGATGAACCATTAAACCCGGAGCATCGCACGGCGTT GATCATGCCTATCTGTAACGAAGACGTGAACCGTGTTTTTGCTGGCCTGCGTGCAACGTGGGAATCAGTAAAAG CCACCGGGAATGCCAAACACTTTGATGTCTACATTCTTAGTGACAGTTATAACCCGGATATCTGCGTCGCAGAGC AAAAAGCCTGGATGGAGCTTATCGCTGAAGTCGGTGGCGAAGGTCAGATTTTCTATCGCCGCCGCCGTCGCCG CGTGAAGCGTAAAAGCGGTAATATCGATGACTTCTGCCGTCGCTGGGGCAGCCAGTACAGCTACATGGTGGTG CTGGATGCTGACTCGGTAATGACCGGTGATTGTTTGTGCGGGCTGGTGCGCCTGATGGAAGCCAACCCGAACG CCGCGTGTATGGGCCACTGTTTACAGCCGGTTTGCACTTCTGGCAACTTGGCGAGTCGCACTACTGGGGACATA ACGCGATTATCCGCGTGAAACCGTTTATCGAGCACTGCGCACTGGCTCCGCTGCCGGGCGAAGGTTCCTTTGC CGGTTCAATCCTGTCACATGACTTCGTGGAAGCGGCGTTGATGCGCCGTGCAGGTTGGGGGGGTCTGGATTGCT CCACGGTAACCTGATGAACTTCCGTCTGTTCCTGGTGAAGGGTATGCACCCGGTTCACCGTGCGGTGTTCCTGA CGGGCGTGATGTCTTATCTCTCCGCTCCGCTGTGGTTTATGTTCCTCGCGCTCTCTACTGCATTGCAGGTAGTG CATGCGTTGACCGAACCGCAATACTTCCTGCAACCACGGCAGTTGTTCCCAGTGTGGCCGCAGTGGCGTCCTG AGCTGGCGATTGCACTTTTGCTTCGACCATGGTGCTGTTGTTCCTGCCGAAGTTATTGAGCATTTTGCTTATCT GGTGCAAAGGAACGAAAGAATACGGCGGCTTCTGGCGCGTTACATTATCGTTGCTGCTGCAAGTGCTTTTTTCC GTGCTGCTGGCTCCGGTACGCATGCTGTTCCATACGGTCTTCGTTGTCAGCGCGTTCCTTGGCTGGGAAGTGGT GTGGAATTCACCGCAGCGTGATGATGACTCCACTTCCTGGGGTGAAGCGTTCAAACGCCACGGCTCACAGCTG CTTCTCGTTGATCCTGTCACCGTTTGTTTCGGTGATTTCCAGCCGTGCCACCGTTGGTCTGCGCACCAAACGCT GGAAACTGTTCCTGATCCCGGAAGAGTATTCGCCGCCGCAGGTGCTGGTTGATACCGATCGGTTCCTTGAGATG AATCGTCAACGCTCCCTTGATGATGGCTTTATGCACGCAGTGTTTAACCCGTCATTTAACGCTCTGGCAACCGCA ATGGCGACCGCGCGCCACGCGCCAGTAAGGTGCTGGAAATCGCCCGTGACCGCCACGTTGAACAGGCGCTG AACGAGACGCCAGAGAAGCTGAATCGCGATCGTCGCCTGGTGCTGCTAAGCGATCCGGTGACGATGGCCCGTC TGCATTTCCGTGTCTGGAATTCCCCCGGAGAGATATTCTTCATGGGTGAGTTATTACGAAGGGATAAAGCTCAATC CACTGGCATTGCGTAAACCGGATGCGGCTTCGCAATAA

11.5.1.19.1 pepA-WT

ATGGAGTTTAGTGTAAAAAAGCGGTAGCCCGGAGAAACAGCGGAGTGCCTGCATCGTCGTGGGCGTCTTCGAAC CACGTCGCCTTTCTCCGATTGCAGAACAGCTCGATAAAATCAGCGATGGGTACATCAGCGCCCTGCTACGTCGG GGCGAACTGGAAGGAAAACCGGGGCAGACATTGTTGCTGCACCATGTTCCGAATGTACTTTCCGAGCGAATTCT CCTTATTGGTTGCGGCAAAGAACGTGAGCTGGATGAGCGTCAGTACAAGCAGGTTATTCAGAAAACCATTAATAC GGAAAGTGCGTCAGGCTGTCGAGACGGCAAAAGAGACGCTCTACAGTTTCGATCAGCTGAAAAACGAACAAGAG CGAACCGCGTCGTCGCGCGCGCAAGATGGTGTTCAACGTGCCGACCCGCCGTGAACTGACCAGCGGTGAGCG CGCGATCCAGCACGGTCTGGCGATTGCCGCCGGGATTAAAGCAGCAAAAGATCTCGGCAATATGCCGCCGAAT GAATCGCTGATGTCGGTGATTGAGTACAAAGGCAACGCGTCGGAAGATGCACGCCCAATCGTGCTGGTGGGTA AAGGTTTAACCTTCGACTCCGGCGGTATCTCGATCAAGCCTTCAGAAGGCATGGATGAGATGAAGTACGATATG TGCGGTGCGGCAGCGGTTTACGGCGTGATGCGGATGGTCGCGGAGCTACAACTGCCGATTAACGTTATCGGCG TGTTGGCAGGCTGCGAAAACATGCCTGGCGGACGAGCCTATCGTCCGGGCGATGTGTTAACCACCATGTCCGG TCAAACCGTTGAAGTGCTGAACACCGACGCTGAAGGCCGCCTGGTACTGTGCGACGTGTTAACTTACGTTGAGC GTTTTGAGCCGGAAGCGGTGATTGACGTGGCGACGCTGACCGGTGCCTGCGTGATCGCGCTGGGTCATCATAT

TACTGGTCTGATGGCGAACCATAATCCGCTGGCCCATGAACTGATTGCCGCGTCTGAACAATCCGGTGACCGCG CATGGCGCTTACCGCTGGGTGACGAGTATCAGGAACAACTGGAGTCCAATTTTGCCGATATGGCGAACATTGGC GGTCGTCCTGGTGGGGCGATTACCGCAGGTTGCTTCCTGTCACGCTTTACCCGTAAGTACAACTGGGCGCACC TGGATATCGCCGGTACCGCCTGGCGTTCTGGTAAAGCAAAAGGCGCCACCGGTCGTCCGGTAGCGTTGCTGGC ACAGTTCCTGTTAAACCGCGCTGGGTTTAACGGCGAAGAGTAA

11.5.1.19.2 pepA-RNM-29

ATGGAGTTTAGTGTAAAAAAGCGGTAGCCCGGAGAAACAGCGGAGTGCCTGCATCGTCGTGGGCGTCTTCGAAC CACGTCGCCTTTCTCCGATTGCAGAACAGCTCGATAAAATCAGCGATGGGTACATCAGCGCCCTGCTACGTCGG GGCGAACTGGAAGGAAAACCGGGGCAGACATTGTTGCTGCACCATGTTCCGAATGTACTTTCCGAGCGAATTCT CCTTTTGGTTGCGGCAAAGAACGTGAGCTGGATGAGCGTCAGTACAAGCAGGTTATTCAGAAAACCATTAATAC GGAAAGTGCGTCAGGCTGTCGAGACGGCAAAAGAGACGCTCTACAGTTTCGATCAGCTGAAAAACGAACAAGAG CGAACCGCGTCGTCCGCTGCGTAAGATGGTGTTCAACGTGCCGACCCGCCGTGAACTGACCAGCGGTGAGCG CGCGATCCAGCACGGTCTGGCGATTGCCGCCGGGATTAAAGCAGCAAAAGATCTCGGCAATATGCCGCCGAAT GAATCGCTGATGTCGGTGATTGAGTACAAAGGCAACGCGTCGGAAGATGCACGCCCAATCGTGCTGGTGGGTA AAGGTTTAACCTTCGACTCCGGCGGTATCTCGATCAAGCCTTCAGAAGGCATGGATGAGATGAAGTACGATATG TGCGGTGCGGCAGCGGTTTACGGCGTGATGCGGATGGTCGCGGAGCTACAACTGCCGATTAACGTTATCGGCG TGTTGGCAGGCTGCGAAAACATGCCTGGCGGACGAGCCTATCGTCCGGGCGATGTGTTAACCACCATGTCCGG TCAAACCGTTGAAGTGCTGAACACCGACGCTGAAGGCCGCCTGGTACTGTGCGACGTGTTAACTTACGTTGAGC GTTTTGAGCCGGAAGCGGTGATTGACGTGGCGACGCTGACCGGTGCCTGCGTGATCGCGCTGGGTCATCATAT TACTGGTCTGATGGCGAACCATAATCCGCTGGCCCATGAACTGATTGCCGCGTCTGAACAATCCGGTGACCGCG CATGGCGCTTACCGCTGGGTGACGAGTATCAGGAACAACTGGAGTCCAATTTTGCCGATATGGCGAACATTGGC GGTCGTCCTGGTGGGGGCGATTACCGCAGGTTGCTTCCTGTCACGCTTTACCCGTAAGTACAACTGGGCGCACC TGGATATCGCCGGTACCGCCTGGCGTTCTGGTAAAGCAAAAGGCGCCACCGGTCGTCCGGTAGCGTTGCTGGC ACAGTTCCTGTTAAACCGCGCTGGGTTTAACGGCGAAGAGTAA

11.5.2 Amino acid sequence of affected proteins

11.5.2.1 AcrR

11.5.2.1.1 AcrR-WT

MARKTKQEAQETRQHILDVALRLFSQQGVSSTSLGEIAKAAGVTRGAIYWHFKDKSDLFSEIWELSESNIGELELEYQ AKFPGDPLSVLREILIHVLESTVTEERRRLLMEIIFHKCEFVGEMAVVQQAQRNLCLESYDRIEQTLKHCIEAKMLPADL MTRRAAIIMRGYISGLMENWLFAPQSFDLKKEARDYVAILLEMYLLCPTLRNPATNE

11.5.2.1.2 AcrR-RNM-2

MARKTKQEAQETRQHILDVALRLFSQQGVSSTSLGEIAKAAGVTRGAIYWHFKDKSDLFSEIWELSESNIGELELEYQ AKFPGDPLSVLRKVRISGEILLG

11.5.2.1.3 AcrR-RNM-3

MARKTKQEAQETRQHILDVALRLFSQQGVSSTSLGEIAKAAGVTRGAIYWHFKDKSDLFSEIWELSESNIGELELEYQ AKFPGDPLSVLRKVRISGEILLG

11.5.2.1.4 AcrR-RNM-5

MARKTKQEAQETRQHILDVALRLFSQQGVSSTSLGEIAKAAGVTRGAIYWHFKDKSDLFSEIWELSESNIGELELEYQ AKFPGDPLSVLREILIHVLESTVTEERRRLLMEIIFHKCEFVGEMAVVQQAQRNLCLESYDRIEQTLKHCIEAKMLPADL MTRRAAIIMRGYISGLMENWLFAPQSFDLKKEARDYVAILLEMYLLCPTLRNPATKEGANKRGNSSRLTQSFHFFMFE PIFSPVNALNQPI

11.5.2.1.5 AcrR-RNM-6

MARKTKQEAQETRQHILDVALRLFSQQGVSSTSLGEIAKAAGVTRGAIYWHFKDKSDLFSEIWELSESNIGELELEGD AANLLI

11.5.2.1.6 AcrR-RNM-7

MARKTKQEAQETRQHILDVALRLFSQQGVSSTSLGEIAKAAGVTRGAIYWHFKDKSDLFSEIWELSESNIGELELEYQ AKFPGDPLSVLRKVRTSP

11.5.2.1.7 AcrR-RNM-18

MARKTKQEAQETRQHILDVALRLFSQQGVSSTSLGEIAKAAGVTRGAIYWHFKDKSDLFSEIWELSESNIGELELEYQ AKFPGDPLSVLREILIHVLESTVTEERRRLLMEIIFHKCEFVGEMAVVQQAQRNLCLESYDRIEQTLKHCIEAKMLPADL MTRRAAIIMRGYISGLMENWLFAPQSFDLKKEPAITLPSYWRCISCAPRFVILPLTNNPESDSRIFPGHFRRCYSGSLR RDILAV

11.5.2.1.8 AcrR-RNM-8

QVINPLLRLYYRRAMVHTFTNVCKSNACKFTNIWHEKPNKKRKKRANTSSMWLYVFSHSRGYHPPRWARLQKQLAL RAVQSKDKSDLFSEIWELSESNIGELELEYQAKFPGDPLSVLREILIHVLESTVTEERRRLLMEIIFHKCEFVGEMAVVQ QAQRNLCLESYDRIEQTLKHCIEAKMLPADLMTRRAAIIMRGYISGLMENWLFAPQSFDLKKEARDYVAILLEMYLLCP TLRNPATNE

11.5.2.1.9 AcrR-RNM-19

MARKTKQEAQETRQHILDVALRLFSQQGVSSHKR

11.5.2.1.10 AcrR-RNM-20

MARKTKQEAQETRQHILDVALRLFSQQGVSSHKR

11.5.2.1.11 AcrR-RNM-21

MARKTKQEAQETRQHILDVALRLFSQQGVSSHKR

11.5.2.1.12 AcrR-RNM-22

QVINPLLRLYYRRAMVHTFTNVCKSNACKFTNIWHEKPNKKRKKRANTSSMWLYVFSHSRGYHPPRWARLQKQLAL RAVQSKDKSDLFSEIWELSESNIGELELEYQAKFPGDPLSVLREILIHVLESTVTEERRRLLMEIIFHKCEFVGEMAVVQ QAQRNLCLESYDRIEQTLKHCIEAKMLPADLMTRRAAIIMRGYISGLMENWLFAPQSFDLKKEARDYVAILLEMYLLCP TLRNPATNE

11.5.2.1.12 AcrR-RNM-23

MARKTKQEAQETRQHILDVALRLFSQQGVSSTSLGEIAKAAGVTRGAIYWHFKDKSDLFSEIWELSESNIGELGKVRIS GEILLG

11.5.2.1.13 AcrR-RNM-29

MARKTKQEAQETRQHILDVALRLFSQQGVSSTSLGEIAKAAGVTRGAIYWHFKDKSDLFSEIWELSESNIGELELEYQ AKFPGDPLSVLRKVRISGEILLG

11.5.2.2.1 Rob-WT

MDQAGIIRDLLIWLEGHLDQPLSLDNVAAKAGYSKWHLQRMFKDVTGHAIGAYIRARRLSKSAVALRLTARPILDIALQ YRFDSQQTFTRAFKKQFAQTPALYRRSPEWSAFGIRPPLRLGEFTMPEHKFVTLEDTPLIGVTQSYSCSLEQISDFRH EMRYQFWHDFLGNAPTIPPVLYGLNETRPSQDKDDEQEVFYTTALAQDQADGYVLTGHPVMLQGGEYVMFTYEGL GTGVQEFILTVYGTCMPMLNLTRRKGQDIERYYPAEDAKAGDRPINLRCELLIPIRR

11.5.2.2.2 Rob-RNM-2

MDQAGIIRDLLIWLEGHLDQPLSLDNVAAKAGYSKWHLQRMFKDVTGHAIGAYIRARRLSKSAVALRLTARPILDIALQ YRFDSQQTFTRAFKKQFAQTPALYRRSPEWSAFGIRPPLRLGEFTMPEHKFVTLEDTPLIGVTQSYSCSLEQISDFHH EMRYQFWHDFLGNAPTIPPVLYGLNETRPSQDKDDEQEVFYTTALAQDQADGYVLTGHPVMLQGGEYVMFTYEGL GTGVQEFILTVYGTCMPMLNLTRRKGQDIERYYPAEDAKAGDRPINLRCELLIPIRR

11.5.2.2.3 Rob-RNM-3

MDQAGIIRDLLIWLEGHLDQPLSLDNVAAKAGYSKWHLQRMFKDVTGHAIGAYIRARRLSKSAVALRLTARPILDIALQ YRFDSQQTFTRAFKKQFAQTPALYRRSPEWSAFGIRPPLRLGEFTMPEHKFVTLEDTPLIGVTQSYSCSLEQISDFHH EMRYQFWHDFLGNAPTIPPVLYGLNETRPSQDKDDEQEVFYTTALAQDQADGYVLTGHPVMLQGGEYVMFTYEGL GTGVQEFILTVYGTCMPMLNLTRRKGQDIERYYPAEDAKAGDRPINLRCELLIPIRR

11.5.2.2.4 Rob-RNM-7

MDQAGIIRDLLIWLEGHLDQPLSLDNVAAKAGYSKWHLQRMFKDVTGHAIGAYIRARRLSKSAVALRLTVRPILDIALQ YRFDSQQTFTRAFKKQFAQTPALYRRSPEWSAFGIRPPLRLGEFTMPEHKFVTLEDTPLIGVTQSYSCSLEQISDFRH EMRYQFWHDFLGNAPTIPPVLYGLNETRPSQDKDDEQEVFYTTALAQDQADGYVLTGHPVMLQGGEYVMFTYEGL GTGVQEFILTVYGTCMPMLNLTRRKGQDIERYYPAEDAKAGDRPINLRCELLIPIRR

11.5.2.2.5 Rob-RNM-18

MDQAGIIRDLLIWLEGHLDQPLSLDNVAAKAGYSKWHLQRMFKDVTGHAIGAYIRARRLSKSAVALRLTTRPILDIALQY RFDSQQTFTRAFKKQFAQTPALYRRSPEWSAFGIRPPLRLGEFTMPEHKFVTLEDTPLIGVTQSYSCSLEQISDFRHE MRYQFWHDFLGNAPTIPPVLYGLNETRPSQDKDDEQEVFYTTALAQDQADGYVLTGHPVMLQGGEYVMFTYEGLGT GVQEFILTVYGTCMPMLNLTRRKGQDIERYYPAEDAKAGDRPINLRCELLIPIRR

11.5.2.2.6 Rob-RNM-8

MDQAGIIRDLLIWLEGHLDQPLSLDNVAAKAGYSKWHLQRMFKDVTGHAIGAYIRARRLSKSAVALRLTARPILDIALQ YRFDSQQTFTRAFKKQFAQTPALYRRSPEWSAFGIRPPLRLGEFTMPEHKFVTLEDTPLIGVTQSYSCSLEQISDFHH EMRYQFWHDFLGNAPTIPPVLYGLNETRPSQDKDDEQEVFYTTALAQDQADGYVLTGHPVMLQGGEYVMFTYEGL GTGVQEFILTVYGTCMPMLNLTRRKGQDIERYYPAEDAKAGDRPINLRCELLIPIRR

11.5.2.2.7 Rob-RNM-22

MDQAGIIRDLLIWLEGHLDQPLSLDNVAAKAGYSKWHLQRMFKDVTGHAIGAYIRARRLSKSAVALRLTARPILDIALQ YRFDSQQTFTRAFKKQFAQTPALYRRSPEWSAFGIRPPLRLGEFTMPEHKFVTLEDTPLIGVTQSYSCSLEQISDFHH EMRYQFWHDFLGNAPTIPPVLYGLNETRPSQDKDDEQEVFYTTALAQDQADGYVLTGHPVMLQGGEYVMFTYEGL GTGVQEFILTVYGTCMPMLNLTRRKGQDIERYYPAEDAKAGDRPINLRCELLIPIRR

11.5.2.3.1 SoxR-WT

MEKKLPRIKALLTPGEVAKRSGVAVSALHFYESKGLITSIRNSGNQRRYKRDVLRYVAIIKIAQRIGIPLATIGEAFGVLPE GHTLSAKEWKQLSSQWREELDRRIHTLVALRDELDGCIGCGCLSRSDCPLRNPGDRLGEEGTGARLLEDEQN

11.5.2.3.2 SoxR-RNM-5

MEKKLPRIKALLTPGEVAKRSGVAVSALHFYESKGLITSIRNSGNQRRYKRDVLRYVAIIKIAQRIGIPLATIGEAFGVLPE GHTLSAKEWKQLSSQWREELDRRIHTLVALRDELDGCIGCGCLSRSDCPLRNPGDR

11.5.2.3.3 SoxR-RNM-6

MEKKLPRIKALLTPGEVAKRSGVAVSALHFYESKGLITSIRNSGNQRRYKRDVLRYVAIIKIAQRIGIPLATIGEAFGVLPE GHTLSAKEWKQLSSQWREELDRRIHTLVALRDELDGCIGCGCLSRSDCPLRNPGDRLGEEGTGRLLEDEQN

11.5.2.3.4 SoxR-RNM-23

MEKKLPRIKALLTPGEVAKLSGVAVSALHFYESKGLITSIRNSGNQRRYKRDVLRYVAIIKIAQRIGIPLATIGEAFGVLPE GHTLSAKEWKQLSSQWREELDRRIHTLVALRDELDGCIGCGCLSRSDCPLRNPGDRLGEEGTGARLLEDEQN

11.5.2.4.1 MarR-WT

MKSTSDLFNEIIPLGRLIHMVNQKKDRLLNEYLSPLDITAAQFKVLCSIRCAACITPVELKKVLSVDLGALTRMLDRLVCK GWVERLPNPNDKRGVLVKLTTGGAAICEQCHQLVGQDLHQELTKNLTADEVATLEYLLKKVLP

11.5.2.4.2 MarR-RNM-19

MKSTSDLFNEIIPLGRLIHMVNQKKDRLLNEYLSPLDITAAQFKVLCSIRCAACITPVELKKVLSVDLGALTRMLDRLVCK GWGERLPNPNDKRGVLVKLTTGGAAICEQCHQLVGQDLHQELTKNLTADEVATLEYLLKKVLP

11.5.2.4.3 MarR-RNM-20

MKSTSDLFNEIIPLGRLIHMVNQKKDRLLNEYLSPLDITAAQFKVLCSIRCAACITPVELKKVLSVDLGALTRMLDRLVCK GWGERLPNPNDKRGVLVKLTTGGAAICEQCHQLVGQDLHQELTKNLTADEVATLEYLLKKVLP

11.5.2.4.4 MarR-RNM-21

MKSTSDLFNEIIPLGRLIHMVNQKKDRLLNEYLSPLDITAAQFKVLCSIRCAACITPVELKKVLSVDLGALTRMLDRLVCK GWGERLPNPNDKRGVLVKLTTGGAAICEQCHQLVGQDLHQELTKNLTADEVATLEYLLKKVLP

11.5.2.5.1 OmpR-WT

MQENYKILVVDDDMRLRALLERYLTEQGFQVRSVANAEQMDRLLTRESFHLMVLDLMLPGEDGLSICRRLRSQSNPM PIIMVTAKGEEVDRIVGLEIGADDYIPKPFNPRELLARIRAVLRRQANELPGAPSQEEAVIAFGKFKLNLGTREMFREDE PMPLTSGEFAVLKALVSHPREPLSRDKLMNLARGREYSAMERSIDVQISRLRRMVEEDPAHPRYIQTVWGLGYVFVP DGSKA

11.5.2.5.2 OmpR-RNM-19

MQENYKILVVDDDMSLRALLERYLTEQGFQVRSVANAEQMDRLLTRESFHLMVLDLMLPGEDGLSICRRLRSQSNPM PIIMVTAKGEEVDRIVGLEIGADDYIPKPFNPRELLARIRAVLRRQANELPGAPSQEEAVIAFGKFKLNLGTREMFREDE PMPLTSGEFAVLKALVSHPREPLSRDKLMNLARGREYSAMERSIDVQISRLRRMVEEDPAHPRYIQTVWGLGYVFVP DGSKA

11.5.2.5.3 OmpR-RNM-20

MQENYKILVVDDDMSLRALLERYLTEQGFQVRSVANAEQMDRLLTRESFHLMVLDLMLPGEDGLSICRRLRSQSNPM PIIMVTAKGEEVDRIVGLEIGADDYIPKPFNPRELLARIRAVLRRQANELPGAPSQEEAVIAFGKFKLNLGTREMFREDE PMPLTSGEFAVLKALVSHPREPLSRDKLMNLARGREYSAMERSIDVQISRLRRMVEEDPAHPRYIQTVWGLGYVFVP DGSKA

11.5.2.5.4 OmpR-RNM-21

MQENYKILVVDDDMSLRALLERYLTEQGFQVRSVANAEQMDRLLTRESFHLMVLDLMLPGEDGLSICRRLRSQSNPM PIIMVTAKGEEVDRIVGLEIGADDYIPKPFNPRELLARIRAVLRRQANELPGAPSQEEAVIAFGKFKLNLGTREMFREDE PMPLTSGEFAVLKALVSHPREPLSRDKLMNLARGREYSAMERSIDVQISRLRRMVEEDPAHPRYIQTVWGLGYVFVP DGSKA

11.5.2.6.1 PhoP-WT

MRVLVVEDNALLRHHLKVQIQDAGHQVDDAEDAKEADYYLNEHIPDIAIVDLGLPDEDGLSLIRRWRSNDVSLPILVLT ARESWQDKVEVLSAGADDYVTKPFHIEEVMARMQALMRRNSGLASQVISLPPFQVDLSRRELSINDEVIKLTAFEYTI METLIRNNGKVVSKDSLMLQLYPDAELRESHTIDVLMGRLRKKIQAQYPQEVITTVRGQGYLFELR

11.5.2.6.2 PhoP-RNM-3

MRVLVVEDNAPLRHHLKVQIQDAGHQVDDAEDAKEADYYLNEHIPDIAIVDLGLPDEDGLSLIRRWRSNDVSLPILVLT ARESWQDKVEVLSAGADDYVTKPFHIEEVMARMQALMRRNSGLASQVISLPPFQVDLSRRELSINDEVIKLTAFEYTI METLIRNNGKVVSKDSLMLQLYPDAELRESHTIDVLMGRLRKKIQAQYPQEVITTVRGQGYLFELR

11.5.2.7.1 Cra-WT

VKLDEIARLAGVSRTTASYVINGKAKQYRVSDKTVEKVMAVVREHNYHPNAVAAGLRAGRTRSIGLVIPDLENTSYTRI ANYLERQARQRGYQLLIACSEDQPDNEMRCIEHLLQRQVDAIIVSTSLPPEHPFYQRWANDPFPIVALDRALDREHFT SVVGADQDDAEMLAEELRKFPAETVLYLGALPELSVSFLREQGFRTAWKDDPREVHFLYANSYEREAAAQLFEKWLE THPMPQALFTTSFALLQGVMDVTLRRDGKLPSDLAIATFGDNELLDFLQCPVLAVAQRHRDVAERVLEIVLASLDEPR KPKPGLTRIKRNLYRRGVLSRS

11.5.2.7.2 Cra-RNM-18

VKLDEIARLAGVSRTTASYVINGKAKQYRVSDKTVEKVMAVVREHNYHPNAVAAGLRAGRTRSIGLVIPDLENTSYTRI ANYLERQARQRGYQLLIACSEDQPDNEMRCIEHLLQRQVDAIIVSTSLPPEHPFYQRWANDPFPIVALDRALDREHFT SVVGADQDDAEMLAEELRKFPAETVLYLGALPELSVSFLREQGFRTAWKDDPREVHFLYANSYEREAAAQLFEKWLE THPMPQALFTTSFALLQGVMDVTLRRDGKLPSDLALPPLAITNCSTSYSVRCWQWLNVTAMSQSVCWRLSWQAWT NRVSQNLV

11.5.2.8.1 CreA-WT

MKYKHLILSLSLIMLGPLAHAEEIGSVDTVFKMIGPDHKIVVEAFDDPDVKNVTCYVSRAKTGGIKGGLGLAEDTSDAAI SCQQVGPIELSDRIKNGKAQGEVVFKKRTSLVFKSLQVVRFYDAKRNALAYLAYSDKVVEGSPKNAISAVPVMPWRQ

11.5.2.8.2 CreA-RNM-18

MKYKHLILSLSLIMLGPLAHAEEIGSVDTVFKMIGPDHKIVVEAFDDPDVKNVTCYVSRAKTGGIKGGLGLAEDTSDAAI SCQQVGPIELSDRIKNGKAQGEVVFKKRTSLVFKSLQVVRFYDAKRNALAYLAYSDKVVEGSPKNAISAVPVMPWRQ

11.5.2.9.1 RpoB-WT

MVYSYTEKKRIRKDFGKRPQVLDVPYLLSIQLDSFQKFIEQDPEGQYGLEAAFRSVFPIQSYSGNSELQYVSYRLGEP VFDVQECQIRGVTYSAPLRVKLRLVIYEREAPEGTVKDIKEQEVYMGEIPLMTDNGTFVINGTERVIVSQLHRSPGVFF DSDKGKTHSSGKVLYNARIIPYRGSWLDFEFDPKDNLFVRIDRRRKLPATIILRALNYTTEQILDLFFEKVIFEIRDNKLQ MELVPERLRGETASFDIEANGKVYVEKGRRITARHIRQLEKDDVKLIEVPVEYIAGKVVAKDYIDESTGELICAANMELS LDLLAKLSQSGHKRIETLFTNDLDHGPYISETLRVDPTNDRLSALVEIYRMMRPGEPPTREAAESLFENLFFSEDRYDL SAVGRMKFNRSLLREEIEGSGILSKDDIIDVMKKLIDIRNGKGEVDDIDHLGNRRIRSVGEMAENQFRVGLVRVERAVK ERLSLGDLDTLMPQDMINAKPISAAVKEFFGSSQLSQFMDQNNPLSEITHKRRISALGPGGLTRERAGFEVRDVHPTH YGRVCPIETPEGPNIGLINSLSVYAQTNEYGFLETPYRKVTDGVVTDEIHYLSAIEEGNYVIAQANSNLDEEGHFVEDLV TCRSKGESSLFSRDQVDYMDVSTQQVVSVGASLIPFLEHDDANRALMGANMQRQAVPTLRADKPLVGTGMERAVA VDSGVTAVAKRGGVVQYVDASRIVIKVNEDEMYPGEAGIDIYNLTKYTRSNQNTCINQMPCVSLGEPVERGDVLADG PSTDLGELALGQNMRVAFMPWNGYNFEDSILVSERVVQEDRFTTIHIQELACVSRDTKLGPEEITADIPNVGEAALSKL DESGIVYIGAEVTGGDILVGKVTPKGETQLTPEEKLLRAIFGEKASDVKDSSLRVPNGVSGTVIDVQVFTRDGVEKDKR ALEIEEMQLKQAKKDLSEELQILEAGLFSRIRAVLVAGGVEAEKLDKLPRDRWLELGLTDEEKQNQLEQLAEQYDELK HEFEKKLEAKRRKITQGDDLAPGVLKIVKVYLAVKRRIQPGDKMAGRHGNKGVISKINPIEDMPYDENGTPVDIVLNPL GVPSRMNIGQILETHLGMAAKGIGDKINAMLKQQQEVAKLREFIQRAYDLGADVRQKVDLSTFSDEEVMRLAENLRKG MPIATPVFDGAKEAEIKELLKLGDLPTSGQIRLYDGRTGEQFERPVTVGYMYMLKLNHLVDDKMHARSTGSYSLVTQ QPLGGKAQFGGQRFGEMEVWALEAYGAAYTLQEMLTVKSDDVNGRTKMYKNIVDGNHQMEPGMPESFNVLLKEIR SLGINIELEDE

11.5.2.9.2 RpoB-RNM-8

MVYSYTEKKRIRKDFGKRPQVLDVPYLLSIQLDSFQKFIEQDPEGQYGLEAAFRSVFPIQSYSGNSELQYVSYRLGEP VFDVQECQIRGVTYSAPLRVKLRLVIYEREAPEGTVKDIKEQEVYMGEIPLMTDNGTFVINGTERVIVSQLHRSPGVFF DSDKGKTHSSGKVLYNARIIPYRGSWLDFEFDPKDNLFVRIDRRRKLPATIILRALNYTTEQILDLFFEKVIFEIRDNKLQ MELVPERLRGETASFDIEANGKVYVEKGRRITARHIRQLEKDDVKLIEVPVEYIAGKVVAKDYIDESTGELICAANMELS LDLLAKLSQSGHKRIETLFTNDLDHGPYISETLRVDPTNDRLSALVEIYRMMRPGEPPTREAAESLFENLFFSEDRYDL SAVGRMKFNRSLLREEIEGSGILSKDDIIDVMKKLIDIRNGKGEVDDIDHLGNRRIRSVGEMAENQFRVGLVRVERAVK ERLSLGDLDTLMPQDMINAKPISAAVKEFFGSSQLSQFMDQNNPLSEITHKRRISALGPGGLTRERAGFEVRDVHPTH YGRVCPIETPEGPNIGLINSLSVYAQTNEYGFLETPYRKVTDGVVTDEIHYLSAIEEGNYVIAQANSNLDEEGHFVEDLV TCRSKGESSLFSRDQVDYMDVSTQQVVSVGASLIPFLEHDDANRALMGANMQRQAVPTLRADKPLVGTGMERAVA VDSGVTAVAKRGGVVQYVDASRIVIKVNEDEMYPGEAGIDIYNLTKYTRSNQNTCINQMPCVSLGEPVERGDVLADG PSTDLGELALGQNMRVAFMPWNGYNFEDSILVSERVVQEDRFTTIHIQELACVSRDTKLGPEEITADIPNVGEAALSKL DESGIVYIGAEVTGGDILVGKVTPKGETQLTPEEKLLRAIFGEKASDVKDSSLRVPNGVSGTVIDVQVFTRDGVEKDKR ALEIEEMQLKQAKKDLSEELQILEAGLFSRIRAVLVAGGVEAEKLDKLPRDRWLELGLTDEEKQNQLEQLAEQYDELK HEFEKKLEAKRRKIPQGDDLAPGVLKIVKVYLAVKRRIQPGDKMAGRHGNKGVISKINPIEDMPYDENGTPVDIVLNPL GVPSRMNIGQILETHLGMAAKGIGDKINAMLKQQQEVAKLREFIQRAYDLGADVRQKVDLSTFSDEEVMRLAENLRKG MPIATPVFDGAKEAEIKELLKLGDLPTSGQIRLYDGRTGEQFERPVTVGYMYMLKLNHLVDDKMHARSTGSYSLVTQ QPLGGKAQFGGQRFGEMEVWALEAYGAAYTLQEMLTVKSDDVNGRTKMYKNIVDGNHQMEPGMPESFNVLLKEIR SLGINIELEDE

11.5.2.9.3 RpoB-RNM-22

MVYSYTEKKRIRKDFGKRPQVLDVPYLLSIQLDSFQKFIEQDPEGQYGLEAAFRSVFPIQSYSGNSELQYVSYRLGEP VFDVQECQIRGVTYSAPLRVKLRLVIYEREAPEGTVKDIKEQEVYMGEIPLMTDNGTFVINGTERVIVSQLHRSPGVFF DSDKGKTHSSGKVLYNARIIPYRGSWLDFEFDPKDNLFVRIDRRRKLPATIILRALNYTTEQILDLFFEKVIFEIRDNKLQ MELVPERLRGETASFDIEANGKVYVEKGRRITARHIRQLEKDDVKLIEVPVEYIAGKVVAKDYIDESTGELICAANMELS LDLLAKLSQSGHKRIETLFTNDLDHGPYISETLRVDPTNDRLSALVEIYRMMRPGEPPTREAAESLFENLFFSEDRYDL SAVGRMKFNRSLLREEIEGSGILSKDDIIDVMKKLIDIRNGKGEVDDIDHLGNRRIRSVGEMAENQFRVGLVRVERAVK ERLSLGDLDTLMPQDMINAKPISAAVKEFFGSSQLSQFMDQNNPLSEITHKRRISALGPGGLTRERAGFEVRDVHPTH YGRVCPIETPEGPNIGLINSLSVYAQTNEYGFLETPYRKVTDGVVTDEIHYLSAIEEGNYVIAQANSNLDEEGHFVEDLV TCRSKGESSLFSRDQVDYMDVSTQQVVSVGASLIPFLEHDDANRALMGANMQRQAVPTLRADKPLVGTGMERAVA VDSGVTAVAKRGGVVQYVDASRIVIKVNEDEMYPGEAGIDIYNLTKYTRSNQNTCINQMPCVSLGEPVERGDVLADG PSTDLGELALGQNMRVAFMPWNGYNFEDSILVSERVVQEDRFTTIHIQELACVSRDTKLGPEEITADIPNVGEAALSKL DESGIVYIGAEVTGGDILVGKVTPKGETQLTPEEKLLRAIFGEKASDVKDSSLRVPNGVSGTVIDVQVFTRDGVEKDKR ALEIEEMQLKQAKKDLSEELQILEAGLFSRIRAVLVAGGVEAEKLDKLPRDRWLELGLTDEEKQNQLEQLAEQYDELK HEFEKKLEAKRRKIPQGDDLAPGVLKIVKVYLAVKRRIQPGDKMAGRHGNKGVISKINPIEDMPYDENGTPVDIVLNPL GVPSRMNIGQILETHLGMAAKGIGDKINAMLKQQQEVAKLREFIQRAYDLGADVRQKVDLSTFSDEEVMRLAENLRKG MPIATPVFDGAKEAEIKELLKLGDLPTSGQIRLYDGRTGEQFERPVTVGYMYMLKLNHLVDDKMHARSTGSYSLVTQ QPLGGKAQFGGQRFGEMEVWALEAYGAAYTLQEMLTVKSDDVNGRTKMYKNIVDGNHQMEPGMPESFNVLLKEIR SLGINIELEDE

11.5.2.10.1 RpoC-WT

VKDLLKFLKAQTKTEEFDAIKIALASPDMIRSWSFGEVKKPETINYRTFKPERDGLFCARIFGPVKDYECLCGKYKRLKH RGVICEKCGVEVTQTKVRRERMGHIELASPTAHIWFLKSLPSRIGLLLDMPLRDIERVLYFESYVVIEGGMTNLERQQIL TEEQYLDALEEFGDEFDAKMGAEAIQALLKSMDLEQECEQLREELNETNSETKRKKLTKRIKLLEAFVQSGNKPEWMI LTVLPVLPPDLRPLVPLDGGRFATSDLNDLYRRVINRNNRLKRLLDLAAPDIIVRNEKRMLQEAVDALLDNGRRGRAIT GSNKRPLKSLADMIKGKQGRFRQNLLGKRVDYSGRSVITVGPYLRLHQCGLPKKMALELFKPFIYGKLELRGLATTIKA AKKMVEREEAVVWDILDEVIREHPVLLNRAPTLHRLGIQAFEPVLIEGKAIQLHPLVCAAYNADFDGDQMAVHVPLTLE AQLEARALMMSTNNILSPANGEPIIVPSQDVVLGLYYMTRDCVNAKGEGMVLTGPKEAERLYRSGLASLHARVKVRIT EYEKDANGELVAKTSLKDTTVGRAILWMIVPKGLPYSIVNQALGKKAISKMLNTCYRILGLKPTVIFADQIMYTGFAYAA RSGASVGIDDMVIPEKKHEIISEAEAEVAEIQEQFQSGLVTAGERYNKVIDIWAAANDRVSKAMMDNLQTETVINRDGQ EEKQVSFNSIYMMADSGARGSAAQIRQLAGMRGLMAKPDGSIIETPITANFREGLNVLQYFISTHGARKGLADTALKTA NSGYLTRRLVDVAQDLVVTEDDCGTHEGIMMTPVIEGGDVKEPLRDRVLGRVTAEDVLKPGTADILVPRNTLLHEQW CDLLEENSVDAVKVRSVVSCDTDFGVCAHCYGRDLARGHIINKGEAIGVIAAQSIGEPGTQLTMRTFHIGGAASRAAA ESSIQVKNKGSIKLSNVKSVVNSSGKLVITSRNTELKLIDEFGRTKESYKVPYGAVLAKGDGEQVAGGETVANWDPHT MPVITEVSGFVRFTDMIDGQTITRQTDELTGLSSLVVLDSAERTAGGKDLRPALKIVDAQGNDVLIPGTDMPAQYFLPG KAIVQLEDGVQISSGDTLARIPQESGGTKDITGGLPRVADLFEARRPKEPAILAEISGIVSFGKETKGKRRLVITPVDGS DPYEEMIPKWRQLNVFEGERVERGDVISDGPEAPHDILRLRGVHAVTRYIVNEVQDVYRLQGVKINDKHIEVIVRQML RKATIVNAGSSDFLEGEQVEYSRVKIANRELEANGKVGATYSRDLLGITKASLATESFISAASFQETTRVLTEAAVAGK RDELRGLKENVIVGRLIPAGTGYAYHQDRMRRRAAGEAPAAPQVTAEDASASLAELLNAGLGGSDNE

11.5.2.10.2 RpoC-RNM-2

VKDLLKFLKAQTKTEEFDAIKIALASPDMIRSWSFGEVKKPETINYRTFKPERDGLFCARIFGPVKDYECLCGKYKRLKH RGVICEKCGVEVTQTKVRRERMGHIELASPTAHIWFLKSLPSRIGLLLDMPLRDIERVLYFESYVVIEGGMTNLERQQIL TEEQYLDALEEFGDEFDAKMGAEAIQALLKSMDLEQECEQLREELNETNSETKRKKLTKRIKLLEAFVQSGNKPEWMI LTVLPVLPPDLRPLVPLDGGRFATSDLNDLYRRVINRNNRLKRLLDLAAPDIIVRNEKRMLQEAVDALLDNGRRGRAIT GSNKRPLKSLADMIKGKQGRFRQNLLGKRVDYSGRSVITVGPYRRLHQCGLPKKMALELFKPFIYGKLELRGLATTIK AAKKMVEREEAVVWDILDEVIREHPVLLNRAPTLHRLGIQAFEPVLIEGKAIQLHPLVCAAYNADFDGDQMAVHVPLTL EAQLEARALMMSTNNILSPANGEPIIVPSQDVVLGLYYMTRDCVNAKGEGMVLTGPKEAERLYRSGLASLHARVKVRI TEYEKDANGELVAKTSLKDTTVGRAILWMIVPKGLPYSIVNQALGKKAISKMLNTCYRILGLKPTVIFADQIMYTGFAYA ARSGASVGIDDMVIPEKKHEIISEAEAEVAEIQEQFQSGLVTAGERYNKVIDIWAAANDRVSKAMMDNLQTETVINRDG QEEKQVSFNSIYMMADSGARGSAAQIRQLAGMRGLMAKPDGSIIETPITANFREGLNVLQYFISTHGARKGLADTALK TANSGYLTRRLVDVAQDLVVTEDDCGTHEGIMMTPVIEGGDVKEPLRDRVLGRVTAEDVLKPGTADILVPRNTLLHEQ WCDLLEENSVDAVKVRSVVSCDTDFGVCAHCYGRDLARGHIINKGEAIGVIAAQSIGEPGTQLTMRTFHIGGAASRAA AESSIQVKNKGSIKLSNVKSVVNSSGKLVITSRNTELKLIDEFGRTKESYKVPYGAVLAKGDGEQVAGGETVANWDPH TMPVITEVSGFVRFTDMIDGQTITRQTDELTGLSSLVVLDSAERTAGGKDLRPALKIVDAQGNDVLIPGTDMPAQYFLP GKAIVQLEDGVQISSGDTLARIPQESGGTKDITGGLPRVADLFEARRPKEPAILAEISGIVSFGKETKGKRRLVITPVDG SDPYEEMIPKWRQLNVFEGERVERGDVISDGPEAPHDILRLRGVHAVTRYIVNEVQDVYRLQGVKINDKHIEVIVRQM LRKATIVNAGSSDFLEGEQVEYSRVKIANRELEANGKVGATYSRDLLGITKASLATESFISAASFQETTRVLTEAAVAGK RDELRGLKENVIVGRLIPAGTGYAYHQDRMRRRAAGEAPAAPQVTAEDASASLAELLNAGLGGSDNE

11.5.2.10.3 RpoC-RNM-18

VKDLLKFLKAQTKTEEFDAIKIALASPDMIRSWSFGEVKKPETINYRTFKPERDGLFCARIFGPVKDYECLCGKYKRLKH RGVICEKCGVEVTQTKVRRERMGHIELASPTAHIWFLKSLPSRIGLLLDMPLRDIERVLYFESYVVIEGGMTNLERQQIL TEEQYLDALEEFGDEFDAKMGAEAIQALLKSMDLEQECEQLREELNETNSETKRIKLLEAFVQSGNKPEWMILTVLPV LPPDLRPLVPLDGGRFATSDLNDLYRRVINRNNRLKRLLDLAAPDIIVRNEKRMLQEAVDALLDNGRRGRAITGSNKRP LKSLADMIKGKQGRFRQNLLGKRVDYSGRSVITVGPYLRLHQCGLPKKMALELFKPFIYGKLELRGLATTIKAAKKMVE REEAVVWDILDEVIREHPVLLNRAPTLHRLGIQAFEPVLIEGKAIQLHPLVCAAYNADFDGDQMAVHVPLTLEAQLEAR ALMMSTNNILSPANGEPIIVPSQDVVLGLYYMTRDCVNAKGEGMVLTGPKEAERLYRSGLASLHARVKVRITEYEKDA NGELVAKTSLKDTTVGRAILWMIVPKGLPYSIVNQALGKKAISKMLNTCYRILGLKPTVIFADQIMYTGFAYAARSGASV GIDDMVIPEKKHEIISEAEAEVAEIQEQFQSGLVTAGERYNKVIDIWAAANDRVSKAMMDNLQTETVINRDGQEEKQVS FNSIYMMADSGARGSAAQIRQLAGMRGLMAKPDGSIIETPITANFREGLNVLQYFISTHGARKGLADTALKTANSGYLT RRLVDVAQDLVVTEDDCGTHEGIMMTPVIEGGDVKEPLRDRVLGRVTAEDVLKPGTADILVPRNTLLHEQWCDLLEE NSVDAVKVRSVVSCDTDFGVCAHCYGRDLARGHIINKGEAIGVIAAQSIGEPGTQLTMRTFHIGGAASRAAAESSIQVK NKGSIKLSNVKSVVNSSGKLVITSRNTELKLIDEFGRTKESYKVPYGAVLAKGDGEQVAGGETVANWDPHTMPVITEV SGFVRFTDMIDGQTITRQTDELTGLSSLVVLDSAERTAGGKDLRPALKIVDAQGNDVLIPGTDMPAQYFLPGKAIVQLE DGVQISSGDTLARIPQESGGTKDITGGLPRVADLFEARRPKEPAILAEISGIVSFGKETKGKRRLVITPVDGSDPYEEMI PKWRQLNVFEGERVERGDVISDGPEAPHDILRLRGVHAVTRYIVNEVQDVYRLQGVKINDKHIEVIVRQMLRKATIVNA GSSDFLEGEQVEYSRVKIANRELEANGKVGATYSRDLLGITKASLATESFISAASFQETTRVLTEAAVAGKRDELRGLK ENVIVGRLIPAGTGYAYHQDRMRRRAAGEAPAAPQVTAEDASASLAELLNAGLGGSDNE

11.5.2.10.4 RpoC-19

VKDLLKFLKAQTKTEEFDAIKIALASPDMIRSWSFGEVKKPETINYRTFKPERDGLFCARIFGPVKDYECLCGKYKRLKH RGVICEKCGVEVTQTKVRRERMGHIELASPTAHIWFLKSLPSRIGLLLDMPLRDIERVLYFESYVVIEGGMTNLERQQIL TEEQYLDALEEFGDEFDAKMGAEAIQALLKSMDLEQECEQLREELNETNSETKRKKLTKRIKLLEAFVQSGNKPEWMI LTVLPVLPPDLRPLVPLDGGRFATSDLNDLYRRVINRNNRLKRLLDLAAPDIIVRNEKRMLQEAVDALLDNGRRGRAIT GSNKRPLKSLADMIKGKQGRFRQNLLGKRVDYSGRSVITVGPYLRLHQCGLPKKMALELFKPFIYGKLELRGLATTIKA AKKMVEREEAVVWDILDEVIREHPVLLNRAPTLHRLGIQAFEPVLIEGKAIQLHPLVCAAYNADFDGDQMAVHVPLTLE AQLEARALMMSTNNILSPANGEPIIVPSQDVVLGLYYMTRDCVNAKGEGMVLTGPKEAERLYRSGLASLHARVKVRIT EYEKDANGELVAKTSLKDTTVGRAILWMIVPKGLPYSIVNQALGKKAISKMLNTCYRILGLKPTVIFADQIMYTGFAYAA RSGASVGIDDMVIPEKKHEIISEAEAEVAEIQEQFQSGLVTAGERYNKVIDIWAAANDRVSKAMMDNLQTETVINRDGQ EEKQVSFNSIYMMADSGARGSAAQIRQLAGMRGLMAKPDGSIIETPITANFREGLNVLQYFISTHGARKGLADTALKTA NSGYLTRRLVDVAQDLVVTEDDCGTHEGIMMTPVIEGGDVKEPLRDRVLGRVTAEDVLKPGTADILVPRNTLLHEQW CDLLEENSVDAVKVRSVVSCDTDFGVCAHCYGRDLARGHIINKGEAIGVIAAQSIGEPGTQLTMRTFHIGGAASRAAA ESSIQVKNKGSIKLSNVKSVVNSSGKLVITSRNTELKLIDEFGRTKESYKVPYGAVLAKGDGEQVAGGETVANWDPHT MPVITEVSGFVRFTDMIDGQTITRQTDELTGLSSLVVLDSAERTAGGKDLCPALKIVDAQGNDVLIPGTDMPAQYFLPG KAIVQLEDGVQISSGDTLARIPQESGGTKDITGGLPRVADLFEARRPKEPAILAEISGIVSFGKETKGKRRLVITPVDGS DPYEEMIPKWRQLNVFEGERVERGDVISDGPEAPHDILRLRGVHAVTRYIVNEVQDVYRLQGVKINDKHIEVIVRQML RKATIVNAGSSDFLEGEQVEYSRVKIANRELEANGKVGATYSRDLLGITKASLATESFISAASFQETTRVLTEAAVAGK RDELRGLKENVIVGRLIPAGTGYAYHQDRMRRRAAGEAPAAPQVTAEDASASLAELLNAGLGGSDNE

11.5.2.10.5 RpoC-20

VKDLLKFLKAQTKTEEFDAIKIALASPDMIRSWSFGEVKKPETINYRTFKPERDGLFCARIFGPVKDYECLCGKYKRLKH RGVICEKCGVEVTQTKVRRERMGHIELASPTAHIWFLKSLPSRIGLLLDMPLRDIERVLYFESYVVIEGGMTNLERQQIL TEEQYLDALEEFGDEFDAKMGAEAIQALLKSMDLEQECEQLREELNETNSETKRKKLTKRIKLLEAFVQSGNKPEWMI LTVLPVLPPDLRPLVPLDGGRFATSDLNDLYRRVINRNNRLKRLLDLAAPDIIVRNEKRMLQEAVDALLDNGRRGRAIT GSNKRPLKSLADMIKGKQGRFRQNLLGKRVDYSGRSVITVGPYLRLHQCGLPKKMALELFKPFIYGKLELRGLATTIKA AKKMVEREEAVVWDILDEVIREHPVLLNRAPTLHRLGIQAFEPVLIEGKAIQLHPLVCAAYNADFDGDQMAVHVPLTLE AQLEARALMMSTNNILSPANGEPIIVPSQDVVLGLYYMTRDCVNAKGEGMVLTGPKEAERLYRSGLASLHARVKVRIT EYEKDANGELVAKTSLKDTTVGRAILWMIVPKGLPYSIVNQALGKKAISKMLNTCYRILGLKPTVIFADQIMYTGFAYAA RSGASVGIDDMVIPEKKHEIISEAEAEVAEIQEQFQSGLVTAGERYNKVIDIWAAANDRVSKAMMDNLQTETVINRDGQ EEKQVSFNSIYMMADSGARGSAAQIRQLAGMRGLMAKPDGSIIETPITANFREGLNVLQYFISTHGARKGLADTALKTA NSGYLTRRLVDVAQDLVVTEDDCGTHEGIMMTPVIEGGDVKEPLRDRVLGRVTAEDVLKPGTADILVPRNTLLHEQW CDLLEENSVDAVKVRSVVSCDTDFGVCAHCYGRDLARGHIINKGEAIGVIAAQSIGEPGTQLTMRTFHIGGAASRAAA ESSIQVKNKGSIKLSNVKSVVNSSGKLVITSRNTELKLIDEFGRTKESYKVPYGAVLAKGDGEQVAGGETVANWDPHT MPVITEVSGFVRFTDMIDGQTITRQTDELTGLSSLVVLDSAERTAGGKDLCPALKIVDAQGNDVLIPGTDMPAQYFLPG KAIVQLEDGVQISSGDTLARIPQESGGTKDITGGLPRVADLFEARRPKEPAILAEISGIVSFGKETKGKRRLVITPVDGS DPYEEMIPKWRQLNVFEGERVERGDVISDGPEAPHDILRLRGVHAVTRYIVNEVQDVYRLQGVKINDKHIEVIVRQML

RKATIVNAGSSDFLEGEQVEYSRVKIANRELEANGKVGATYSRDLLGITKASLATESFISAASFQETTRVLTEAAVAGK RDELRGLKENVIVGRLIPAGTGYAYHQDRMRRRAAGEAPAAPQVTAEDASASLAELLNAGLGGSDNE

11.5.2.10.5 RpoC-21

VKDLLKFLKAQTKTEEFDAIKIALASPDMIRSWSFGEVKKPETINYRTFKPERDGLFCARIFGPVKDYECLCGKYKRLKH RGVICEKCGVEVTQTKVRRERMGHIELASPTAHIWFLKSLPSRIGLLLDMPLRDIERVLYFESYVVIEGGMTNLERQQIL TEEQYLDALEEFGDEFDAKMGAEAIQALLKSMDLEQECEQLREELNETNSETKRKKLTKRIKLLEAFVQSGNKPEWMI LTVLPVLPPDLRPLVPLDGGRFATSDLNDLYRRVINRNNRLKRLLDLAAPDIIVRNEKRMLQEAVDALLDNGRRGRAIT GSNKRPLKSLADMIKGKQGRFRQNLLGKRVDYSGRSVITVGPYLRLHQCGLPKKMALELFKPFIYGKLELRGLATTIKA AKKMVEREEAVVWDILDEVIREHPVLLNRAPTLHRLGIQAFEPVLIEGKAIQLHPLVCAAYNADFDGDQMAVHVPLTLE AQLEARALMMSTNNILSPANGEPIIVPSQDVVLGLYYMTRDCVNAKGEGMVLTGPKEAERLYRSGLASLHARVKVRIT EYEKDANGELVAKTSLKDTTVGRAILWMIVPKGLPYSIVNQALGKKAISKMLNTCYRILGLKPTVIFADQIMYTGFAYAA RSGASVGIDDMVIPEKKHEIISEAEAEVAEIQEQFQSGLVTAGERYNKVIDIWAAANDRVSKAMMDNLQTETVINRDGQ EEKQVSFNSIYMMADSGARGSAAQIRQLAGMRGLMAKPDGSIIETPITANFREGLNVLQYFISTHGARKGLADTVLKTA NSGYLTRRLVDVAQDLVVTEDDCGTHEGIMMTPVIEGGDVKEPLRDRVLGRVTAEDVLKPGTADILVPRNTLLHEQW CDLLEENSVDAVKVRSVVSCDTDFGVCAHCYGRDLARGHIINKGEAIGVIAAQSIGEPGTQLTMRTFHIGGAASRAAA ESSIQVKNKGSIKLSNVKSVVNSSGKLVITSRNTELKLIDEFGRTKESYKVPYGAVLAKGDGEQVAGGETVANWDPHT MPVITEVSGFVRFTDMIDGQTITRQTDELTGLSSLVVLDSAERTAGGKDLCPALKIVDAQGNDVLIPGTDMPAQYFLPG KAIVQLEDGVQISSGDTLARIPQESGGTKDITGGLPRVADLFEARRPKEPAILAEISGIVSFGKETKGKRRLVITPVDGS DPYEEMIPKWRQLNVFEGERVERGDVISDGPEAPHDILRLRGVHAVTRYIVNEVQDVYRLQGVKINDKHIEVIVRQML RKATIVNAGSSDFLEGEQVEYSRVKIANRELEANGKVGATYSRDLLGITKASLATESFISAASFQETTRVLTEAAVAGK RDELRGLKENVIVGRLIPAGTGYAYHQDRMRRRAAGEAPAAPQVTAEDASASLAELLNAGLGGSDNE

11.5.2.10.7 RpoC-23

VKDLLKFLKAQTKTEEFDAIKIALASPDMIRSWSFGEVKKPETINYRTFKPERDGLFCARIFGPVKDYECLCGKYKRLKH RGVICEKCGVEVTQTKVRRERMGHIELASPTAHIWFLKSLPSRIGLLLDMPLRDIERVLYFESYVVIEGGMTNLERQQIL TEEQYLDALEEFGDEFDAKMGAEAIQALLKSMDLEQECEQLREELNETNSETKRKKLTKRIKLLEAFVQSGNKPEWMI LTVLPVLPPDLRPLVPLDGGRFATSDLNDLYRRVINRNNRLKRLLDLAAPDIIVRNEKRMLQEAVDALLDNGRRGRAIT GSNKRPLKSLADMIKGKQGRFRQNLLGKRVDYSGRSVITVGPYLRLHQCGLPKKMALELFKPFIYGKLELRGLATTIKA AKKMVEREEAVVWDILDEVIREHPVLLNRAPTLHRLGIQAFEPVLIEGKAIQLHPLVCAAYNADFDGDQMAVHVPLTLE AQLEARALMMSTNNILSPANGEPIIVPSQDVVLGLYYMTRDCVNAKGEGMVLTGPKEAERLYRSGLASLHARVKVRIT EYEKDANGELVAKTSLKDTTVGRAILWMIVPKGLPYSIVNQALGKKAISKMLNTCYRILGLKPTVIFADQIMYTGFAYAA RSGASVGIDDMVIPEKKHEIISEAEAEVAEIQEQFQSGLVTAGERYNKVIDIWAAANDRVSKAMMDNLQTETVINRDGQ EEKQVSFNSIYMMADSGARGSAAQIRQLAGMRGLMAKPDGSIIETPITANFREGLNVLQYFISTHGARKGLADTALKTA NSGYLTRRLVDVAQDLVVTEDDCGTHEGIMMTPVIEGGDVKEPLRDRVLGRVTAEDVLKPGTADILVPRNTLLHEQW CDLLEENSVDAVKVRSVVSCDTDFGVCAHCYGRDLARGHIINKGEAIGVIAAOSIGEPGTQLTMRTFHIGGAASRAAA ESSIQVKNKGSIKLSNVKSVVNSSGKLVITSRNTELKLIDEFGRTKESYKVPYGAVLAKGDGEQVAGGETVANWDPHT MPVITEVSGFVRFTDMIDGQTITRQTDELTGLSSLVVLDSAERTAGGKDLCPALKIVDAQGNDVLIPGTDMPAQYFLPG KAIVQLEDGVQISSGDTLARIPQESGGTKDITGGLPRVADLFEARRPKEPAILAEISGIVSFGKETKGKRRLVITPVDGS DPYEEMIPKWRQLNVFEGERVERGDVISDGPEAPHDILRLRGVHAVTRYIVNEVQDVYRLQGVKINDKHIEVIVRQML RKATIVNAGSSDFLEGEQVEYSRVKIANRELEANGKVGATYSRDLLGITKASLATESFISAASFQETTRVLTEAAVAGK RDELRGLKENVIVGRLIPAGTGYAYHQDRMRRRAAGEAPAAPQVTAEDASASLAELLNAGLGGSDNE

11.5.2.11.1 AcrB-WT

MPNFFIDRPIFAWVIAIIIMLAGGLAILKLPVAQYPTIAPPAVTISASYPGADAKTVQDTVTQVIEQNMNGIDNLMYMSSN SDSTGTVQITLTFESGTDADIAQVQVQNKLQLAMPLLPQEVQQQGVSVEKSSSSFLMVVGVINTDGTMTQEDISDYVA ANMKDAISRTSGVGDVQLFGSQYAMRIWMNPNELNKFQLTPVDVITAIKAQNAQVAAGQLGGTPPVKGQQLNASIIA QTRLTSTEEFGKILLKVNQDGSRVLLRDVAKIELGGENYDIIAEFNGQPASGLGIKLATGANALDTAAAIRAELAKMEPF FPSGLKIVYPYDTTPFVKISIHEVVKTLVEAIILVFLVMYLFLQNFRATLIPTIAVPVVLLGTFAVLAAFGFSINTLTMFGMV LAIGLLVDDAIVVVENVERVMAEEGLPPKEATRKSMGQIQGALVGIAMVLSAVFVPMAFFGGSTGAIYRQFSITIVSAM ALSVLVALILTPALCATMLKPIAKGDHGEGKKGFFGWFNRMFEKSTHHYTDSVGGILRSTGRYLVLYLIIVVGMAYLFV RLPSSFLPDEDQQVFMTMVQLPAGATQERTQKVLNEVTHYYLTKEKNNVESVFAVNGFGFAGRGQNTGIAFVSLKD WADRPGEENKVEAITMRATRAFSQIKDAMVFAFNLPAIVELGTATGFDFELIDQAGLGHEKLTQARNQLLAEAAKHPD MLTSVRPNGLEDTPQFKIDIDQEKAQALGVSINDINTTLGAAWGGSYVNDFIDRGRVKKVYVMSEAKYRMLPDDIGDW YVRAADGQMVPFSAFSSSRWEYGSPRLERYNGLPSMEILGQAAPGKSTGEAMELMEQLASKLPTGVGYDWTGMSY QERLSGNQAPSLYAISLIVVFLCLAALYESWSIPFSVMLVVPLGVIGALLAATFRGLTNDVYFQVGLLTTIGLSAKNAILIV EFAKDLMDKEGKGLIEATLDAVRMRLRPILMTSLAFILGVMPLVISTGAGSGAQNAVGTGVMGGMVTATVLAIFFVPVF FVVVRRRFSRKNEDIEHSHTVDHH

11.5.2.11.2 AcrB-RNM-3

MPNFFIDRPIFAW VIAIIIMLAGGLAILKLPVAQ YPTIAPPAVTISAS YPGADAKTVQDTVTQVIEQNMNGIDNLMYMSSN SDSTGTVQITLTFESGTDADIAQVQVQNKLQLAMPLLPQEVQQQGVSVEKSSSSFLMVVGVINTDGTMTQEDISDYVA ANMKDAISRTSGVGDVQLFGSQYAMRIWMNPNELNKFQLTPVDVITAIKAQNAQVAAGQLGGTPPVKGQQLNASIIA QTRLTSTEEFGKILLKVNQDGSRVLLRDVAKIELGGENYDIIAEFNGQPASGLGIKLATGANALDTAAAIRAELAKMEPF FPSGLKIVYPYDTTPFVKISIHEVVKTLVEAIILVFLVMYLFLQNFRATLIPTIAVPVVLLGTFAVLAAFGFSINTLTMFGMV LAIGLLVDDAIVVVENVERVMAEEGLPPKEATRKSMGQIQGALVGIAMLLSAVFVPMAFFGGSTGAIYRQFSITIVSAMA LSVLVALILTPALCATMLKPIAKGDHGEGKKGFFGWFNRMFEKSTHHYTDSVGGILRSTGRYLVLYLIIVVGMAYLFVRL PSSFLPDEDQGVFMTMVQLPAGATQERTQKVLNEVTHYYLTKEKNNVESVFAVNGFGFAGRGQNTGIAFVSLKDWA DRPGEENKVEAITMRATRAFSQIKDAMVFAFNLPAIVELGTATGFDFELIDQAGLGHEKLTQARNQLLAEAAKHPDMLT SVRPNGLEDTPQFKIDIDQEKAQALGVSINDINTTLGAAWGGSYVNDFIDRGRVKKVYVMSEAKYRMLPDDIGDWYV RAADGQMVPFSAFSSSRWEYGSPRLERYNGLPSMEILGQAAPGKSTGEAMELMEQLASKLPTGVGYDWTGMSYQE RLSGNQAPSLYAISLIVVFLCLAALYESWSIPFSVMLVVPLGVIGALLAATFRGLTNDVYFQVGLLTTIGLSAKNAILIVEF AKDLMDKEGKGLIEATLDAVRMRLRPILMTSLAFILGVMPLVISTGAGSGAQNAVGTGVMGGMVTATVLAIFFVPVFFV VVRRRFSRKNEDIEHSHTVDHH

11.5.2.11.3 AcrB-RNM-19

MPNFFIDRPIFAW VIAIIIMLAGGLAILKLPVAQYPTIAPPAVTISASYPGADAKTVQDTVTQVIEQNMNGIDNLMYMSSN SDSTGTVQITLTFESGTDADIAQVQVQNKLQLAMPLLPQEVQQQGVSVEKSSSSFLMVVGVINTDGTMTQEDISDYVA ANMKDAISRTSGVGDVQLFGSQYAMRIWMNPNELNKFQLTPVDVITAIKAQNAQVAAGQLGGTPPVKGQQLNASIIA QTRLTSTEEFGKILLKVNQDGSRVLLRDVAKIELGGENYDIIAEFNGQPASGLGIKLATGANALDTAAAIRAELAKMEPF FPSGLKIVYPYDTTPFVKISIHEVVKTLVEAIILVFLVMYLFLQNFRATLIPTIAVPVVLLGIFAVLAAFGFSINTLTMFGMVL AIGLLVDDAIVVVENVERVMAEEGLPPKEATRKSMGQIQGALVGIAMVLSAVFVPMAFFGGSTGAIYRQFSITIVSAMA LSVLVALILTPALCATMLKPIAKGDHGEGKKGFFGWFNRMFEKSTHHYTDSVGGILRSTGRYLVLYLIVVGMAYLFVRL PSSFLPDEDQGVFMTMVQLPAGATQERTQKVLNEVTHYYLTKEKNNVESVFAVNGFGFAGRGQNTGIAFVSLKDWA DRPGEENKVEAITMRATRAFSQIKDAMVFAFNLPAIVELGTATGFDFELIDQAGLGHEKLTQARNQLLAEAAKHPDMLT SVRPNGLEDTPQFKIDIDQEKAQALGVSINDINTTLGAAWGGSYVNDFIDRGRVKKVYVMSEAKYRMLPDDIGDWYV RAADGQMVPFSAFSSSRWEYGSPRLERYNGLPSMEILGQAAPGKSTGEAMELMEQLASKLPTGVGYDWTGMSYQE RLSGNQAPSLYAISLIVVFLCLAALYESWSIPFSVMLVVPLGVIGALLAATFRGLTNDVYFQVGLLTTIGLSAKNAILIVEF AKDLMDKEGKGLIEATLDAVRMRLRPILMTSLAFILGVMPLVISTGAGSGAQNAVGTGVMGGMVTATVLAIFFVPVFFV VVRRRFSRKNEDIEHSHTVDHH

11.5.2.11.4 AcrB-21

MPNFFIDRPIFAWVIAIIIMLAGGLAILKLPVAQYPTIAPPAVTISASYPGADAKTVQDTVTQVIEQNMNGIDNLMYMSSN SDSTGTVQITLTFESGTDADIAQVQVQNKLQLAMPLLPQEVQQQGVSVEKSSSSFLMVVGVINTDGTMTQEDISDYVA ANMKDAISRTSGVGDVQLFGSQYAMRIWMNPNELNKFQLTPVDVITAIKAQNAQVAAGQLGGTPPVKGQQLNASIIA QTRLTSTEEFGKILLKVNQDGSRVLLRDVAKIELGGENYDIIAEFNGQPASGLGIKLATGANALDTAAAIRAELAKMEPF FPSGLKIVYPYDTTPFVKISIHEVVKTLVEAIILVFLVMYLFLQNFRATLIPTIAVPVVLLGTFAVLAAFGFSINTLTMFGMV LAIGLLVDDAIVVVENVERVMAEEGLPPKEATRKSMGQIQGALVGIAMVLSAVFVPMAFFGGSTGAIYRQFSITIVSAM ALSVLVALILTPALCATMLKPIAKGDHGEGKKGFFGWFNRMFEKSTHHYTDSVGGILRSTGRYLVLYLIIVVGMAYLFV RLPSSFLPDEDQQVFMTMVQLPAGATQERTQKVLNEVTHYYLTKEKNNVESVFAVNGFGFAGRGQNTGIAFVSLKD WADRPGEENKVEAITMRATRAFSQIKDAMVFAFNLPAIVELGTATGFDFELIDQAGLGHEKLTQARNQLLAEAAKHPD MLTSVRPNGLEDTPQFKIDIDQEKAQALGVSINDINTTLGAAWGGSYVNDFIDRGRVKKVYVMSEAKYRMLPDDIGDW YVRAADGQMVPFSAFSSSRWEYGSPRLERYNGLPSMEILGQAAPGKSTGEAMELMEQLASKLPTGVGYDWTGMSY QERLSGNQAPSLYAISLIVVFLCLAALYESWSIPFSIMLVVPLGVIGALLAATFRGLTNDVYFQVGLLTTIGLSAKNAILIV EFAKDLMDKEGKGLIEATLDAVRMRLRPILMTSLAFILGVMPLVISTGAGSGAQNAVGTGVMGGMVTATVLAIFFVPVF FVVVRRRFSRKNEDIEHSHTVDHH

11.5.2.12.1 YohJ-WT

MSKTLNIIWQYLRAFVLIYACLYAGIFIASLLPVTIPGSIIGMLILFVLLALQILPAKWVNPGCYVLIRYMALLFVPIGVGVMQ YFDLLRAQFGPVVVSCAVSTLVVFLVVSWSSQLVHGERKVVGQKGSEE

11.5.2.12.2 YohJ-RNM-18

MSKTLNIIWQYLRAFVLIYACLYAGIFIASLLPVTIPGSIIGMLILFVLLALQILPAKWVNPGCYVLIRYMALLFVPIGVGVMQ YFDLLRAQFGPVVVSCAVSTLVVFRVVSWSSQLVHGERKVVGQKGSEE

11.5.2.13.1 DnaK-WT

MGKIIGIDLGTTNSCVAIMDGTTPRVLENAEGDRTTPSIIAYTQDGETLVGQPAKRQAVTNPQNTLFAIKRLIGRRFQDE EVQRDVSIMPFKIIAADNGDAWVEVKGQKMAPPQISAEVLKKMKKTAEDYLGEPVTEAVITVPAYFNDAQRQATKDAG RIAGLEVKRIINEPTAAALAYGLDKGTGNRTIAVYDLGGGTFDISIIEIDEVDGEKTFEVLATNGDTHLGGEDFDSRLINYL VEEFKKDQGIDLRNDPLAMQRLKEAAEKAKIELSSAQQTDVNLPYITADATGPKHMNIKVTRAKLESLVEDLVNRSIEP LKVALQDAGLSVSDIDDVILVGGQTRMPMVQKKVAEFFGKEPRKDVNPDEAVAIGAAVQGGVLTGDVKDVLLLDVTPL SLGIETMGGVMTTLIAKNTTIPTKHSQVFSTAEDNQSAVTIHVLQGERKRAADNKSLGQFNLDGINPAPRGMPQIEVTF DIDADGILHVSAKDKNSGKEQKITIKASSGLNEDEIQKMVRDAEANAEADRKFEELVQTRNQGDHLLHSTRKQVEEAG DKLPADDKTAIESALTALETALKGEDKAAIEAKMQELAQVSQKLMEIAQQQHAQQQTAGADASANNAKDDDVVDAEF EEVKDKK

11.5.2.13.2 DnaK-RNM-18

MGKIIGIDLGTTNSCVAIMDGTTPRVLENAEGDRTTPSIIAYTQDGETLVGQPAKRQAVTNPQNTLFAIKRLIGRRFQDE EVQRDVSIMPFKIIAADNGDAWVEVKGQKMAPPQISAEVLKKMKKTAEDYLGEPVTEAVITVPAYFNDAQRQATKDAG RIAGLEVKRIINEPTAAALAYGLDKGTGNRTIAVYDLGGGTFDISIIEIDEVDGEKTFEVLATNGDTHLGGEDFDSRLINYL VEEFKKDQGIDLRNDPLAMQRLKEAAEKAKIELSSAQQTDVNLPYITADATGPKHMNIKVTRAKLESLVEDLVNRSIEP LKVALQDAGLSVSDIDDVILVGGQTRMPMVQKKVAEFFGKEPRKDVNPDEAVAIGAAGQGGVLTGDVKDVLLLDVTP LSLGIETMGGVMTTLIAKNTTIPTKHSQVFSTAEDNQSAVTIHVLQGERKRAADNKSLGQFNLDGINPAPRGMPQIEVT FDIDADGILHVSAKDKNSGKEQKITIKASSGLNEDEIQKMVRDAEANAEADRKFEELVQTRNQGDHLLHSTRKQVEEA GDKLPADDKTAIESALTALETALKGEDKAAIEAKMQELAQVSQKLMEIAQQQHAQQQTAGADASANNAKDDDVVDAE FEEVKDKK

11.5.2.14.1 GroL-WT

MAAKDVKFGNDARVKMLRGVNVLADAVKVTLGPKGRNVVLDKSFGAPTITKDGVSVAREIELEDKFENMGAQMVKE VASKANDAAGDGTTTATVLAQAIITEGLKAVAAGMNPMDLKRGIDKAVTAAVEELKALSVPCSDSKAIAQVGTISANSD ETVGKLIAEAMDKVGKEGVITVEDGTGLQDELDVVEGMQFDRGYLSPYFINKPETGAVELESPFILLADKKISNIREMLP VLEAVAKAGKPLLIIAEDVEGEALATLVVNTMRGIVKVAAVKAPGFGDRRKAMLQDIATLTGGTVISEEIGMELEKATLE DLGQAKRVVINKDTTTIIDGVGEEAAIQGRVAQIRQQIEEATSDYDREKLQERVAKLAGGVAVIKVGAATEVEMKEKKA RVEDALHATRAAVEEGVVAGGGVALIRVASKLADLRGQNEDQNVGIKVALRAMEAPLRQIVLNCGEEPSVVANTVKG GDGNYGYNAATEEYGNMIDMGILDPTKVTRSALQYAASVAGLMITTECMVTDLPKNDAADLGAAGGMGGMGGMGG MM

11.5.2.14.2 GroL-RNM-22

MAAKDVKFGNDARVKMLRGVNVLADAVKVTLGPKGRNVVLDKSFGAPTITKDGVSVAREIELEDKFENMGAQMVKE VASKANDAAGDGTTTATVLAQAIITEGLKAVAAGMNPMDLKRGIDKAVTAAVEELKALSVPCSDSKAIAQVGTISANSD ETVGKLIAEAMDKVGKEGVITVEDGTGLQDELDVVEGMQFDRGYLSPYFINKPETGAVELESPFILLADKKISNIREMLP VLEAVAKAGKPLLIIAEDVEGEALATLVVNTMRGIVKVAAVKALGFGDRRKAMLQDIATLTGGTVISEEIGMELEKATLE DLGQAKRVVINKDTTTIIDGVGEEAAIQGRVAQIRQQIEEATSDYDREKLQERVAKLAGGVAVIKVGAATEVEMKEKKA RVEDALHATRAAVEEGVVAGGGVALIRVASKLADLRGQNEDQNVGIKVALRAMEAPLRQIVLNCGEEPSVVANTVKG GDGNYGYNAATEEYGNMIDMGILDPTKVTRSALQYAASVAGLMITTECMVTDLPKNDAADLGAAGGMGGMGGMGG MM

11.5.2.15.1 IIvN-WT

MQNTTHDNVILELTVRNHPGVMTHVCGLFARRAFNVEGILCLPIQDSDKSHIWLLVNDDQRLEQMISQIDKLEDVVKV QRNQSDPTMFNKIAVFFQ

11.5.2.15.2 IIvN-RNM-2

 $\label{eq:model} MQNTTHDNVILeLTVRNHPGVMTHVCGLFARRAFNVEGILYLPIQDSDKSHIWLLVNDDQRLEQMISQIDKLEDVVKVQRNQSDPTMFNKIAVFFQ$

11.5.2.15.3 IIvN-RNM-3

 $\label{eq:model} MQNTTHDNVILELTVRNHPGVMTHVCGLFARRAFNVEGILYLPIQDSDKSHIWLLVNDDQRLEQMISQIDKLEDVVKVQRNQSDPTMFNKIAVFFQ$

11.5.2.16.1 YgbK-WT

MIKIGVIADDFTGATDIASFLVENGLPTVQINGVPTGKMPEAIDALVISLKTRSCPVVEATQQSLAALSWLQQQGCKQIY FKYCSTFDSTAKGNIGPVTDALMDALDTPFTVFSPALPVNGRTVYQGYLFVMNQLLAESGMRHHPVNPMTDSYLPRL VEAQSTGRCGVVSAHVFEQGVDAVRQELARLQQEGYRYAVLDALTEHHLEIQGEALRDAPLVTGGSGLAIGLARQW AQENGNQARKAGRPLAGRGVVLSGSCSQMTNRQVAHYRQIAPAREVDVARCLSIETLAAYAHELAEWVLGQESVLA PLVFATASTDALAAIQQQYGAQKASQAVETLFSQLAARLAAEGVTRFIVAGGETSGVVTQSLGIKGFHIGPTISPACRG

11.5.2.16.2 YgbK-RNM-2

MIKIGVIADDFTGATDIASFLVENGLPTVQINGVPTGKMPEAIDALVISLKTRSCPVVEATQQSLAALSWLQQQGCKQIY FKYCSTFDSTAKGNIGPVTDALMDALDTPFTVFSPALPVNGRTVYQGYLFVMNQLLAESGMRHHPVNPMTDSYLPRL VEAQSTGRCGVVSAHVFEQGVDAVRQELARLQQEGYRYAVLDALTEHHLEIQGEALRDAPLVTGGSGLAIGLARQW AQENGNQARKAGRPLAGRGVVLSGSCSQMTNRQVAHYRQIAPAREVDVARCLSIETLAAYEHELAEWVLGQESVLA PLVFATASTDALAAIQQQYGAQKASQAVETLFSQLAARLAAEGVTRFIVAGGETSGVVTQSLGIKGFHIGPTISPACRG

11.5.2.17.1 ClsA-WT

MTTVYTLVSWLAILGYWLLIAGVTLRILMKRRAVPSAMAWLLIIYILPLVGIIAYLAVGELHLGKRRAERARAMWPSTAK WLNDLKACKHIFAEENSSVAAPLFKLCERRQGIAGVKGNQLQLMTESDDVMQALIRDIQLARHNIEMVFYIWQPGGMA DQVAESLMAAARRGIHCRLMLDSAGSVAFFRSPWPELMRNAGIEVVEALKVNLMRVFLRRMDLRQHRKMIMIDNYIA YTGSMNMVDPRYFKQDAGVGQWIDLMARMEGPIATAMGIIYSCDWEIETGKRILPPPPDVNIMPFEQASGHTIHTIAS GPGFPEDLIHQALLTAAYSAREYLIMTTPYFVPSDDLLHAICTAAQRGVDVSIILPRKNDSMLVGWASRAFFTELLAAGV KIYQFEGGLLHTKSVLVDGELSLVGTVNLDMRSLWLNFEITLAIDDKGFGADLAAVQDDYISRSRLLDARLWLKRPLW QRVAERLFYFFSPLL

11.5.2.17.2 ClsA-RNM-18

MTTVYTLVSWLAILGYWLLIAGVTLRILMKRRAVPSAMAWLLIIYILPLVGIIAYLAVGELHLGKRRAERARAMWPSTAK WLNDLKACKHIFAEENSSVAAPLFKLCERRQGIAGVKGNQLQLMTESDDVMQALIRDIQLARHNIEMVFYIWQPGGMA DQVAESLMAAARRGIHCRLMLDSAGSVAFFRSPWPELMRNAGIEVVEALKVNLMRVFLRRMDLRQHRKMIMIDNYIA YTGSMNMVDPRYFKQDAGVGQWIDLMARMEGPIATAMGIIYSCDWEIETGKRILPPPPDVNIMPFEQASGHTIHTIAS GPGFPEDLIHQALLTAAYSAREYLIMTTPYFVPSDDLLHAICTAAQRGVDVSIILPRKNDSMLVGWASRAFFTELLAAGV KIYQFEGGLLHTKSVLVDGELSLVGTVNLDMRSLWLNFEITLAIDDKGFGADLAPFRTIIFRVHVCSMPVYG

11.5.2.18.1 OpgH-WT

MNKTTEYIDAMPIAASEKAALPKTDIRAVHQALDAEHRTWAREDDSPQGSVKARLEQAWPDSLADGQLIKDDEGRDQ LKAMPEAKRSSMFPDPWRTNPVGRFWDRLRGRDVTPRYLARLTKEEQESEQKWRTVGTIRRYILLILTLAQTVVATW YMKTILPYQGWALINPMDMVGQDLWVSFMQLLPYMLQTGILILFAVLFCWVSAGFWTALMGFLQLLIGRDKYSISAST VGDEPLNPEHRTALIMPICNEDVNRVFAGLRATWESVKATGNAKHFDVYILSDSYNPDICVAEQKAWMELIAEVGGEG QIFYRRRRRVKRKSGNIDDFCRRWGSQYSYMVVLDADSVMTGDCLCGLVRLMEANPNAGIIQSSPKASGMDTLYA RCQQFATRVYGPLFTAGLHFWQLGESHYWGHNAIIRVKPFIEHCALAPLPGEGSFAGSILSHDFVEAALMRRAGWGV WIAYDLPGSYEELPPNLLDELKRDRRWCHGNLMNFRLFLVKGMHPVHRAVFLTGVMSYLSAPLWFMFLALSTALQVV HALTEPQYFLQPRQLFPVWPQWRPELAIALFASTMVLLFLPKLLSILLIWCKGTKEYGGFWRVTLSLLLEVLFSVLLAPV RMLFHTVFVVSAFLGWEVVWNSPQRDDDSTSWGEAFKRHGSQLLLGLVWAVGMAWLDLRFLFWLAPIVFSLILSPF VSVISSRATVGLRTKRWKLFLIPEEYSPPQVLVDTDRFLEMNRQRSLDDGFMHAVFNPSFNALATAMATARHRASKV LEIARDRHVEQALNETPEKLNRDRRLVLLSDPVTMARLHFRVWNSPERYSSWVSYYEGIKLNPLALRKPDAASQ

11.5.2.18.2 OpgH-RNM-18

MNKTTEYIDAMPIAASEKAALPKTDIRAVHQALDAEHRTWAREDDSPQGSVKARLEQAWPDSLADGQLIKDDEGRDQ LKAMPEAKRSSMFPDPWPTNPVGRFWDRLRGRDVTPRYLARLTKEEQESEQKWRTVGTIRRYILLILTLAQTVVATW YMKTILPYQGWALINPMDMVGQDLWVSFMQLLPYMLQTGILILFAVLFCWVSAGFWTALMGFLQLLIGRDKYSISAST VGDEPLNPEHRTALIMPICNEDVNRVFAGLRATWESVKATGNAKHFDVYILSDSYNPDICVAEQKAWMELIAEVGGEG QIFYRRRRRVKRKSGNIDDFCRRWGSQYSYMVVLDADSVMTGDCLCGLVRLMEANPNAGIIQSSPKASGMDTLYA RCQQFATRVYGPLFTAGLHFWQLGESHYWGHNAIIRVKPFIEHCALAPLPGEGSFAGSILSHDFVEAALMRRAGWGV WIAYDLPGSYEELPPNLLDELKRDRRWCHGNLMNFRLFLVKGMHPVHRAVFLTGVMSYLSAPLWFMFLALSTALQVV HALTEPQYFLQPRQLFPVWPQWRPELAIALFASTMVLLFLPKLLSILLIWCKGTKEYGGFWRVTLSLLLEVLFSVLLAPV RMLFHTVFVVSAFLGWEVVWNSPQRDDDSTSWGEAFKRHGSQLLLGLVWAVGMAWLDLRFLFWLAPIVFSLILSPF VSVISSRATVGLRTKRWKLFLIPEEYSPPQVLVDTDRFLEMNRQRSLDDGFMHAVFNPSFNALATAMATARHRASKV LEIARDRHVEQALNETPEKLNRDRRLVLLSDPVTMARLHFRVWNSPERYSSWVSYYEGIKLNPLALRKPDAASQ

11.5.2.19.1 PepA-WT

MEFSVKSGSPEKQRSACIVVGVFEPRRLSPIAEQLDKISDGYISALLRRGELEGKPGQTLLLHHVPNVLSERILLIGCGK ERELDERQYKQVIQKTINTLNDTGSMEAVCFLTELHVKGRNNYWKVRQAVETAKETLYSFDQLKTNKSEPRRPLRKM VFNVPTRRELTSGERAIQHGLAIAAGIKAAKDLGNMPPNICNAAYLASQARQLADSYSKNVITRVIGEQQMKELGMHS YLAVGQGSQNESLMSVIEYKGNASEDARPIVLVGKGLTFDSGGISIKPSEGMDEMKYDMCGAAAVYGVMRMVAELQ LPINVIGVLAGCENMPGGRAYRPGDVLTTMSGQTVEVLNTDAEGRLVLCDVLTYVERFEPEAVIDVATLTGACVIALG HHITGLMANHNPLAHELIAASEQSGDRAWRLPLGDEYQEQLESNFADMANIGGRPGGAITAGCFLSRFTRKYNWAHL DIAGTAWRSGKAKGATGRPVALLAQFLLNRAGFNGEE

11.5.2.19.2 PepA-RNM-29

MEFSVKSGSPEKQRSACIVVGVFEPRRLSPIAEQLDKISDGYISALLRRGELEGKPGQTLLLHHVPNVLSERILLLVAAK NVSWMSVSTSRLFRKPLIR

11.6 RNA sequencing

Table	11.6	List	of	diffe	rentially	up	and	down	regulated	genes	for	the	BMA
tolerar	nt stra	ains v	vith	res	pect to th	ne p	arent	al stra	in				

Strain	Genes
RNM-	inaA acrB acrA fumC ydcL ompR frvX oppF oppA envZ oppC yhcN oppB nfsA oppD yacH ybjH yhbW setB rimK mltF frvR dadA fhIA yadG ybjC mdtG chaC yadH plaP dppF ydeE lpxL yfeO proY sbp dppC iceT micF mnmH clcB mdaB yoel yqeG dadX ybiJ nboA acrB ydcD acrZ yhdO wggZ frvB baoS shoB wggC wggA ariB yihT aaeA aslB insH1 tauA tauB gldA bisI wfcB gcvB asnA
2	yihl act hy ace as by bw cite syme torc hyce yoff phnJ isth hyc A owes ompF gadC hdeA fliC ompT gadB hdeB gadA livJ fimA hdeD fimI tar mdtF yhjE rob fimD mdtE tap yhiD livK ybaT fliD motA narZ tsr motB flgK cheA livH yfdC glsA sip narU flgL yeeR yebV narY ycgR fimC dctR stpA cheR fimH ymdF cheW csgD narV flgN narW gadE cheY fimG ynal yhdW fliA fimF flgM cheB yhjH cheZ fliS fliK fliZ flu yjcZ flgH flic sgF yhdV flxA arS fliT yhiM isrC csgE fliJ yeeS flhE wht where flic hyce hycA yhdC osS artE wiss yndA wich Tarc y whiA wijS meH wisS me who hycA core area and yndF
	yole yole ning nyab nyak yole ghos nule yos yenk yiet nise yjowi yjis nish yos nisk nito agas nise weah niso waah agab nih yinde rriCrrlA yqeK yghG
RNM-	soxS fpr nhoA pfo fumC sodA acrR nfo acrA citG mdtG acrB yeil poxB ompN nfsA ybjC fldA kdgT zwf inaA yhcN yjjW yjjI ygfZ yggX frvX yobH ydeE soxR ribA fldB rimK acnA frvR treF ybaL lipA uof idi pptA mltC ItaE mdlB yjiY yaaY ypeB yaiA mdlA fur ybaO ligA map eamA yncD ymgA ybdO acrZ ygaY arR pgi micF flu acpL isrC ompT ars far acdB radA math B lic acad metA whil ybiH ybiH ybiH ybiH ybiH ybiH ybiH ydaA bdB radA radE fimD bdcA cboW
	hdeb cheA fliD tsr cheR rrlC yehA fimC mdtE fimF fimI ycgR fliT rsG fimG rAl fliQ wdf rrlH fliS cheZ rsC cheY sieB fimA yijC yeeS yicT rob rsH cbeA flgK yibS fimH rsD iraD cspH pgaC yiaB fliP fliR insD1 fliA flgL yhiM ygcW ybcK tnaB alaW dctR flhE fliJ asnW valW ttcC yhiL pagP flxA kbaZ flgI ynfN slp bglG yjcZ flgJ yneK yahM malK bdm flgH pspB yncl fliZ fliI gspC ves ydiL yfgH fliO pheP essQ ymdA flgG fliL lamB ttdB ybfG glsA casB sfmZ fimE yqil ybaT ynaE
RNM-	nirC nirD nirB insH1 narH yehC narG narJ narl acrR narK yhbU nikE yddA nikC yjjW nikD yhbV yehD nikB ydfA yjjl essD ydfD rzpD nikA fepE micF dmsB feoC ynfO yghG fdnG napG dicB rrrD fecR yghF fecA napH cysG yddB ydfB napD hybB napB napF
18	yaiV napA hybA yehB insZ dmsC yhiL dmsA feoB yliF pinH nmpC yliE yibE dicF yibW add yoeA fecl hypC hybO afuB ydiC yjjZ epd Irha hypB entF hypD yntK napC feeC insF1 paqL ythA citA yehL hisC ybL tocA ccmB yddZ bssR nrC adhE yehA ackA yecH hisD yihR fes yntM nrD ybcW fepB arpA pppA ccmA fdnH stpA ryhB yihN hisB enD hypE ybhH abrB fecB nrfB gldA hypA fecD sslE hcr fepG pta ttdR ycdT yiaY yhgA cysA ynjE cysW gpmM hisH ydfZ nrfA yihM cysU ygiL fepC nrdE hisG fumB glnQ ybdO acrB inaA mscK rbsA cysP grcA yqcE pflB insB1 nrdF acrA peepE yihL ydlE ydaG feoA pflA yfZ hyCa isA yjeH ip yihU insA hisA lysC ccmC ginP ybbD nrdf fecE ybfP fepA yagF ygeH mdtI ydiF yqdA piA ynaK fhuF fdhF eutS ybcV ghoT rbsD ryeA tonB gnsA eutQ acrmD yfC hisF mdtD gkm aa pheL atuC aspA paiA ppiA ynaK fhuF fdhF eutS ybcV ghoT rbsD ryeA tonB gnsA eutQ acrmD yfC hisF mdtD gkm aa pheL atuC aspA paiA ppiA ynaK fhuF fdhF eutS ybcV ghoT rbsD ryeA tonB gnsA eutQ acrmD yfcC hisF mdtD gkm aa pheL atuC aspA piA ppiA ynaK fhuF fdhF eutS ybcV ghoT rhsD ryeA tonB gnsA eutQ acrmD yfdF pgk rang PatB glcC nrdf ng kRf rdC leuC ydgH yfa T fhuB mdtH fhuD ychE fhuC yehP phnH yhdU ygcN cysJ ydeM yjaZ leuD alaT yjaA ybeF cpsB leuX gbR nrdH pphB lpxP aspV ymcE srlA ymgG cnu leuB bir yncl eno yciX yhfZ ybD yfaA agrB inZ gfcB srlE fnB ydnY insC1 frvR ygaZ yagE yfil tsaA ydlX ygaQ lysA ycJV exbB yqeC cusF alsB srlB nrdD ygbE yhbS rbsR insK nan 4 cysC chr 2 yigM ydf ylY ybD yeal cysD ymlA fnrS srkA dcuB pitW iaA frdA entB hybE yfeX yaaY mgtA ygcO ygiB ydlO ymgD yjeJ ybcK ccmF opgE idnD yniC hybG tbaA hypF wcal wcaC yghW renD ygeO yjgL ygaH uspC cysH cysI cho ais rpiB yfgF hybF ynjB ynB ydA yacC rpoD frwA ppdD daeE cdy yehM ylB ygW rdF ygC yfA isZ cvGB insE1 ffuA rabB yafQ uhrD yoeG rspB ybeT mgrR tqsA yhhL simZ bglG chiB gspD yjIT weaL yehT uhpB glT fruA fnuK fila AmpX ilgC lBg iBD BelB mB ilfi Hill fiG yilS ligE art lill ybgW ndo fruB ygB add and rylH iBH ybgS fliC ytA filZ codB insE1 ffg arrS yohP rclA yba yagU mob Bili gadC ykKK filM filP filO gadB tap filO hdeD hdeA gls
RNM-	ybfC nuoJ nuoL glcC sdhB cadC yggN ybcY ybiU mglB nemA ybjS ydaW yohJ pdxJ trml ybbY ileY narl narH nirC narJ nirD bssR narG nrfD nrfB nrfA yiaY nrfC narK nirB gldA flu dmsB dmsC yehD yhdV feoC napB yehC
кмм- 21	yjji frdD yeeS frdC marA yeeR yjjW frdB marB nrFE ansB frdA dmsA marR yhbU yhfL nikE ynfO nikC citG nikD yfcZ hypC hybA hypB napG yqel hybO ccmB yhcN napC ynfF yhbV hypD hybB feoB nrfG nrfF hcr yecH ccmA napH omrA ynfG napA yqel isrC aspA ynfM abrB ccmD nikB ccmC hypA yfeH hypE cysG ynfK omrB napD fumB ycbJ asr yfcV ynfE uspG ymfR pepE fnrS ryeA
	napr raw come yaint cyax ginQ nikA ginP cyab larD aegA tocA ynjE grcA dcuB cspD cydA add larA yacH ginH dhaM yoeA comF hybC rmf ydfZ uspE yhgA fruB dhaL dcuC yqgA yfbS yhbS pflB ompW rydB sokC mdtG gInD hisD ybgE acrZ uspF feoA hisG hisC fruK dsbE ydJX ynHf yhhl croE lysU fdhF katG pqL csrC oppB oppA ydJY hsrA ftmB yhbT ccmH aaaE insH1 oppC eutK eutL narL nfsA nrdG insD1 yjjZ ycgV hisB dhaK sthA yjbR pflA ttdR ybjC yqfA fruA ymfL yecD yjbQ pliG rspB oppD ymfM nrdD ycfP yjlL ymfQ csrB yjY ackA yehB oppF ampD hemB hisH fecA yjiM bfd ompC ypfM ampE hyi ylcl hybF fecR zur rraA uspC ybW fdnG dcuA ybfA hybG ygbE envY yeiQ hisA yhbW azuC dicF ravA hisF ybhB chiQ dinJ glpC ydJZ viaA yhhY hisI yciY rbsR pta inaA yddB eutR yjjP yfcC ycbK yoaF psiE ysaA beeE clpA glmY IrhA dkgB ydeA idi yqhD yeiG yecE glxR rhtC tatE icIR ssrA yjaZ fsr gpmM hybE yjcO eutB xisE ycbL glpA afuB hybD yjIX grxB yeiE tpx alkA ompN cbeA yagJ ymgF sbcB dinB clpS tabA nyjA panM glyV ychE talB eutC yieP murl niRR yibT ghT mntS yfeX entF ebgR yafV ygIV ribA ydJU spA yaaX dinG ymfJ yjjB yfdP intA ydfO araJ yhhN yaaJ yafD yhcC rhsC fecI hcp yahB fdnI thrA yddE rpiA sohB ptsG ulaC yiaU tatD acrB yadH yjiA yffR nrdR yohO fdnH mmC rihC hemC galK ampC baeR frsA yadG ynhF yidG nanR glyX hycG cspE dinQ tonB uvrC idnD hemD ybcW ybaP nadK mrp yccM iivX arcB gmhB galT ydhR afuC umuD ribD narX pepT ydiK thrB araC allB gstA yifB yeeZ queG cbdX mobA mdtH soxS rimK ybdZ yhjA yacL mdaB dadX bglA yoeB fepC caiA idnO hdfR yjbD rraB fecB yfbV torT dgoA ttdB ygeH fepB aaeR yncE yfiK yjcE yagH yejH zupT prkB garD ygdH yhcB ydjA chrR ftaX ymcE yfdQ galM yohC cvrA ybaE ynjB galE dadA yidH yceB yffL fbp uidR thrC mtfA hsdR ycil hypF mokC pgpA mutH brnQ amn citT nfsB inSQ rof fsaA yicR tisB gudD gcl yciZ ychN rob yjhC serS tdcF yjhY yciN rimL exuR ylbG aphA helD acrA yegH ryjA yjiG yoaH ybcL yidA ylaC ygeR ykgL uxaC nudK yecJ ratB frwA yeeO atoS fecC crp rimB gnsA yeffM uvrY zapA gpmA yaeP yffS yciT uxaA yfbT yfeD yeaO sdhE yjgX nadC chbG yieE ybbJ rcnR yafC yihD ryjB yffY y

	metB rttR ydaM yoel ibpA paoA paoB figA ecpR alx yohP yrhC yfhR ydeJ yfdX mdtJ tyrP puuE narZ cheY argG intG narW figL yfjU ilvN
	amB talA ugpB ansP groS tsgA yhal ytjA ydel fliT ggt yciE argC fimB sstT ygaY yiX ves proV yeiP tktB uhpC wcaE ugpA rrsE potG ybiT
	yrd£ fixA ybnC cne2_yrcH yciG cheW fadB nisM_yraA ybgS spy yciH_yedN nanM actP serB ymgG codA yreV_ygcW dnaK fimE_artJ ymgC chaA glcB gadX potH yahE metL trpT ycjN dosC fihE rfbD bhsA ecnA osmB yoeD hyfA purR yehX argZ glcG hycA psrO aroM
	lysZ yahM csgC ugpE rfbC lysY pspB argW thrW ygfl rrlB mzrA clsB thrT ykfM yfdV yjiC yahK narV topA hisQ proM yhbO hisJ yqjA katE wzxB tsx rdqC yodB pyrF yicZ mqlB frc yceJ dosP yhiE ybhN yhiR yibH qltF yecT yfdC yqaM fucP puuD pphA flhA mqlC emrY cspF
	fadE nanS metQ yqgB opgB ybfG htpG yehW cvpA potF lysQ curA glcE rsxB gpt bcsQ ivbL stpA yfcJ ycaM oxc rfbA mdtl tyrA yggl
	piler lola toxa on boadeb para hise chile yged grob ledi ydic thile ygira thile hintpa gice yn g hxsa ybhe lede cyar tan by yged yn g psiF ycgX gabD ibpB ybdH ybfD mltF gntP ymgA pagP yahA ompT dgcZ ebgA ydjE rluB cmk malS glcD fadA nmpC insJ yegS yaiC
	amD nepl rdlB yjeJ opgC lhgO glyT treA nadA ybiI aroF yedL yciX rlmN pstS ytgH atpI rcIA hchA rpsU stmA ymtA tts hcaF ysaB aslB pspE ybfL gspC yftZ gabT yfcG pyrD amyA yqjG dusC casB csgA metT yidD ecnB malT xylF ybjO paoC aldA rluC yojl opgD pncC art1
	yjgH ybaY gabP yhdU lysT ddpB yrhB dacC eptC leuW hydN msbA galP mnmG mhpR leuZ yqjD iscR blc rapA nadB artQ yihG ygiQ arrA fabA ocnB htrE xseA hslR nhaA ampG holC sneA arrA uhpT ydhS tyrV ymgD artP yddH outP uhiX nheM greA maa otsA leuV
	yail nfuA metJyghE lysW radA leuS mtlA yiaA yahD yaiA yqjE ydcX yqjK valT ybjE ymiA yidB ycgZ ydcP tyrU mppA metY mpA
	mta csiD fisiN yoac milk nemr osmc argu nimL yciA yecr gals argy thab sonD yriL nscB yob y ydas mda metu giyu yne yojL thrU bglH hslV waaA ycbX poxB elaB yegQ dnaG speC mscK gluQ selC yhjY yceA ymdA yeiW mgrR sgcX acpT
RNM-	cusF cusB hycF citG dcuC citE yacH hycE citD micF yfcC yaiV hycB yjiC cusC ygcW hycD gldA yecH frvX yhbU yehC fnrS dcuB hycG fdhF ynfG ydhY inaA yniE acrR fumB pepE dmsB ynfF dmsA hybA cusA dmsC yhbV hybB oppF hycA yiiM oppD
22	hybO ynfK hycC oppC ygcU yehD aslB citF oppB aegA yqcE sfmC frvR setB ynfE acrE nikA yjjl nikC hypC nikE leuO nikB
~~~	yddo yjiL ompw nypb irdo yfar nypb nria inse'i niko cyda yfeo fraa cydb cydx frab oppa yjjw nych yfo2 nypa frab nrfB pflB yibT aspA ydcL ykgR dtpA essD ybcW hybC ynfH yiaY bssR ackA rzpD ybgE  nirB hypE glnQ feoC grcA  citX cysU
	ttdR cysP nrfD ansB rrrD cysW acrA feoB yjfL yjiH ybjM csrC agrB ydaF acrB hybF hybE ydfJ fruA nrfC yqgA pta hcr ycbJ nirC istR ydjX mdtG abrB mltF ysaA yccM nirD yehL ygcE ybcV cysA dsrA ilvA hybD ptwF ybeT frvB glnP uxaB proY fryC
	mdtM yrbL torC ykgE yeeE ydeE citT ghoT insB1 ppdD ydjY ilvM hybG napF cysD psuK yfil garD ybjH yoeG yeeD chaC bydN yfrE cysM mpmH ybiC blyE fryB sbn_deaD yfcR narl_yibE idnD feoA cysC nanD ydbV yndE cysL clcB ilyD cysN yibR
	ilvX ccmA insC1 fhIA yfbS essQ rhsC leuE fruK ilvG ompN envZ sstT nfsA yjaA yqfE yfaT yhbS edd ycgV mdtH yjhF eutS
	yhcC torS cysl iceT ydaG gigS atuB ccmB nohD ginH pykA yecT astD ydfR yaiX torT yrdF yehB ginG yqel ychE yhcG rdlB rydB insA yjjP dmsD yaaY hcp yjeN insZ pheL ompR yfcV cnu lrhA yrbN cadA nrfE adhE tauB symR yciW ydfZ sfmD brnQ
	rhmR ylbl ygeC yjhH mlaE dauA narJ hisl ybhl hycl dppC ydjZ astB cysH yijF rihB nrfF dppF hyfA ygeG gpmM pinQ ybaV nfkA yhfL yeaV eda sdiA yihT dopD, sfmE inyP, ydhP, yrdE mlaE, yacC, yhhD astE yhiL oho nanC, yhflL dshE ihsA, tahA ydhD
	uidC yadG aaaE yfeX ygcP idnO yjiG ilvE ydjN yaaJ yadi yhD srlB tdcF cspl yagK rimK tfaD preT mgr yatT pepT yadH
	dcuD fryA yfdQ yecS torY truB ccmC
	glcD glcE flu fiu tap phoH sucC gadA pyrl gadB flgD sucD ycgR yhjH puuE glcG ompF puuB flgC flgF tar flgE fliN flgB ytjA pyrB fliC gadC sucB glcF osmY acs fliA ybgS yciF yghA fliI fliL arrS hdeB flgG ybiX yhbO hdeA fliP katE fliQ glcB fliF fliK ycaC fliJ fliO puuC
	sucA fadB yfdC fliM fliZ patA yjcH cheB flgK flgH narU hchA ugpB yahO fliH motB flgI puuD cheA ymdF aldA puuA fadA fliG bdm
	yba Y fliE yhiD codA sdhB tsr fliS phnK aceA en the sodA talA tktB entE bic motA ybiP narV glcA ygaU yhiE gabP yfcG entH ybgA
	tirk tigL ymgL icd otsB entA rciA cirA matE ddpX ynjG otsA ysgA ygdi entC pspD ivy yonP cheZ mcbR piiG yba1 wzc zin1 yciG rdA yiaG ydaM rrsA yedP pspC isrC sdhA yegP osmE yegS fixA osmC mdh ybeL aidB elaB puuP ggt ydel fadE gadE yeaQ flhB ybhP yjd1
	aceK dkgA rrsC clsB pspA yciE yodD gltA pspB curA betA rrsG csgF slp yebV adhP ydhS ybdK yeeR aceB sdhD livF flgA pstS ybdZ msvB nrdl pspG nrdH treA cvoE sodC vahK livH livG veaG livM vhiM vcel spv nrdE acaS rrlA insH1 vohF vbhG vhiD metE tam vaiY
	livK m/C yhcO rcsA acnB psiF yddA m/H amyA gcvP yddH ldtE osmB osmF csiD carB ugpC csgE yibl potF pyrL ybhB nmpC bioB
	ydc haar yn y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y y
	lidD sra ybdR ytfK pntB potG ygaM poti gcvH tepA ytfQ znuA hdhA yntD uraA tpx mglB pspE pyrC yehY acnA tolX bioC nuol cta yncG loiP yjbF yqaE lhgO fbaB grxB csgD mqo ndk paoB fimG yajl yphA yhhJ yjbJ fic can mliC ydeT bioF hslJ wcaF ybiO yigI yqjG
	folE lpd yddB gabT ykgM nuoH ybhF borD ybiU yjgH pntA pnuC ybhR rbbA mntH btuE ddpA yqjC ldtC efeO gltF fadH yfiL bolA aldB efeB wrbA mcrC amd phr chpA frmR yeaR phnD yeaR yhfG prdF apmA ydil LydcF yciH yadR frmA yibH csiF yebW yijR yceA amC
	yheV flgN hisJ ybhS mhpA ycfJ nuoG mlrA dosP mscS speD flhA lysP fimI degP yjdC grxA fimF yehX cpxP rob entD nadA kgtP yhhT
	yehW ydhC glpD ygfl fimH asnC yfeK thiH yibF trpC cbpM hiuH yhcH yohC ugpQ thiS pstA wbbJ trxC fimC pfkB csgC yibH ysaB
	wbbL tpr rbsC luxS arnB yeeS pyrF yoaD ydiZ wbbl pstC ytE tutB narl dcuC soxS kdpF narJ nirC narH yfcC citE nirD nrfD yecH yehD yehC yhbU citG gldA pfo dmsC cusF yhbV ynjE cusB
	dmsB fpr nirB napB nikE pepE yjjW ymfR nrfC yjjI nrfB frdC ompN edd nrfE hypD hypC nikD dmsA nikC frdD tauA sbp nrfA napC oppD ccmB oppC frdB hynB oppE tauB nrfG cusC hynE oppB ccmA narG dtnA hybB trdA nrfE napH napG nikB
23	yeil ynfF ynfG kdgT yfcZ hypA feoC nikA narK nhoA aegA pflB mdtG ciF nfo hybA yjiM fnrS hisl dsbE cydX insH1 acrA
	cmH focA ccmD oppA_ccmE cysD ynfE_yjaA napA yciW_napF yjiL cysU feoB napD ompW glnQ fumC hisH inaA pta hcr
	cysG bssR abrB yjiC fldA cdh uxaB ydaF hybF acrE ydeE cysW insZ fldB hisB proY ybjC hybE gpmM cusA ribA grcA ppdD ypeB yqgA insF1 rrsB cysN hybG yhcN gntU ynfH aspA ydjX hisC glnP yehB lipA brnQ nfsA hybD zwf yhcC agrB cysC cysJ
	fruA ampC fdhF istR ydjY insC1 ygfZ fdnG ligA cbl nrdG hisD metR yfeX yrdF tauD sstT tfaX cysA yccM yhbS dsrA ghoT
	yecw yeel and to b plot yib ibso fatt and and set and to have been so yeel as by gar yes first and the yeel and yeel and yeel yeel yeel yeel yeel yeel yeel yee
	yjcB asnU ydjN cysM citT yaaJ ynjC gntK map ybeT ycgV rimK ybiW insA uxaA ygaY leuX hcp nsrR yfgF rhsC eno iaaA yjeN
	mutT dppB yfaT dppD cysl yehL mdtM rlmB uof cadB dppF lpxP tfaD ygcO fdnl tsgA yeeD ilvD eco ddlA yihV ybdO gadB glcE glcD gadA gadC hdeA hdeB tap tar ycgR ybgS narU patA ycaC yhiH acs fliC glcF ytiA cheA fliJ fadB hchA fliE yhiD motB
	fliL arrS motA hdeD puve fliA fliF yciF kate fliO sdhB puvB flgB yhbO fliN glcG phoH cheR aldA sucC mglA pyrl yjcH yghA osmY yciG fliK fliLuvD flaC drB ycaB yabO parZ pyrB sucA parW flaK ymdE sdhA flaD fliB puvC parY yobP sucB fliO dtA flaE fliD fadA fliM fliP
	gabP prpR mglB csgF fliZ dps yjdN ybaT tktB flgG gadE ydel fimG mhpR mdtE flgF elab tsr actP ygaU ompT talA aceA mcBr rclA fliS
	נופע איז אווין אווים אווים אווים אווים אווים אווים איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז איז גער איז גער איז גער איז fimA slp blc stpA yegP fimD ybaY yohF puuD puuA sdhC fadE ybgA ygjJ narV aidB clsB wzb ysgA aceK flgI fimC yebV dctR fliT
	codB osmE csgE ygdl yahK yfdC fiml yeaQ flgJ nmpC sra aceB codA yjdJ amyA fadH uspB yeaG fiu fimF yeaH mglC ybhP psiF yjdl potl IhaO altA vdhS ldtE mdtF amC mdh pvrL osmC vedN vhiY vbeL tam rutA vdcK rutE cheZ aabT puuP vhiM vddH csiE vaiE vaiE
	wzc ridA gabD ydaM treA yhjD ybhN betA hdhA mlrA amB ydjK fimH potH hcaR phnI ydjD ybiX yncG uraA ugpB livJ ydeJ patD metE potG posA pstS ddpA potE pvrC csgD vffK phnK adhP ybdK vibH lamB ecnB vicH vciD caiE dkoA osmE vib LowA fib
	carB paoA malF ahr ydcV yegS sodC ugpA icd yhc0 nanM malE yehY ydeR yghX sufA feaR yphA fumA glgS paoB bfr agaS msyB
	dosP yonC carA ybjP dosC yqaE yqjG wrbA ybiT ydiT ugpE ybtC acnB figA yehW ddpB yceI paoC ndk pliG cbpM yqjC betB ybhB bolA ychH cbpA ivy ybhG gcvH csgG puuR cyoE fic yhhT sufB ybdR folX ycgG yehE argT yebF lldD thrC ydcT yebW yceQ tnaA flhA
	glcC psrO chaB yccJ rob mcbA ydcS sufC yhhA efeB ynal malG malM gcvP yjgR yeaR ygiW ldtA yhhJ cfa rbsC pstC btuE efeO
	sufp trac ybs bioB yder figN yfeK file entA cyoD paak yeiE phr yehX ygD gadV csgC yhdV yhfG thrB foll yfE IsrA pnuC nuoN
	dacC lldR ydbJ gstA ydcU ybhR yceA nupC yccT entE bioC rihA ydcJ paaK nhaA grxA grxB ybfD ydbC yciH pntB yhaH yjfY rbsD
	emrK yhcH insL1 degP nuoJ yniA nuoL mscS bioF hisJ rclR dmlR glpD melR btuD rutB phnL yhbW mcrC bcsQ yibF yjiT ftnA bioD sqbH tpx lsrD paaJ malT thrA nanK nuoK pqaB yfiL yfdV yfcH lsrC rbbA eutK crl vadE pvrF evaS sufS voaD pntA eutD proX vadG
	hmp gnd gtrS yidQ borD yddW nadA IsrK paaZ sufE

Notes: Differentially upregulated genes correspond to genes with logFC  $\geq$  1.0 and pvalue <0.05, while differntially downregulated genes correspond to genes with logFC  $\geq$  1.0 and p-value <0.05. Text in bold italic were differentially upregulated genes, while the text in regular italics were differentially down regulated genes.

# Table 11.7 List of differentially up and down regulated genes after BMA addition

Strain	Genes
E. coli	aaaE aaeX abrB acnA acrA acrB acrD acrR acrZ add adhE adiC adiY afuB afuC ahpF ais alkA alx amiD ampD ampE amtB anmK ansB appA araC araF araG araH arcB arfA arfB argT ariR arnB aroF aroL arsB arsR ascB aslA aslB asnA asnC asr
E. coli BW25113	aaaa aaex aors acha acha acha acha acha acha acha ara ara ara ara ara ara ara ara ara a
	aas aat accA accB accC aceA aceB aceK acnB acs attP adeD adeP adA adk aer ags agrA alcC alaE alsS alaT alaV alaW alaX alr als8 alsR ampC ampC amyA ansA ansP apaH apbE aphA agrY apt arqA argB argC argD argE argG argF argG argV argW argW argW argW argX argY argZ aroA aroC aroC aroH arpA arG artI arU artM artP ard asnS asnT asnU asnV asnW aspC atoC atpA alpC atpD atpF atpG atpH avtA azuC bamA bamB bcp bcr bcsA bcsB bcsQ bcsZ bdcR bioA bioB bioC bioF birA bisC bir bir borD buB caik caiC can carA carB cch cheA cheB cheR chiP cirA cmk cmA cmB cnu coaA coaD cobB cobC codA codB corA cpxA csgD csgE csgF csgC csgA cspA csgA csgF csgC csgT csgV cySW docA dcaB and apD dbpA dcm ddA ddrR ddpD deaD degS der dinF dinI djC dnaA dnaB dnaE dnaN dnaX dpA dpA dpB dpC dppD dpF dsbG dsrA dtpD dusA dusB dusC dut ebgA ebgR ccnA eleB eleO eleU ele mtA entA entB entC entD entE entF entH entS envC emB eptC era essD etA avgS exbB exbD eyeA tabA tabF fabG fabH fadA fadB fadL fdnG faaR fecA fecB fecC fecD fecE fccI fcR fepA fepB fepC fepD fepE fepG fes fhuA thuB fluc flubD fluE flimF flim G llim flim flim flim flim flim flim flim

	yehT yehU yeiB yeiH yeiP yeiQ yeiR yeiW yejE yeiF yeiG yeiK yfaD yfaE yfaT yfaZ yfcA yfcF yfcJ yfdF yfaA yfdF yfaD yfaL yfhQ yflU yfjV yfiW ygaH ygbL ygcB ygcN ygcO ggcP ygcU ygdB ygdG ygdC ygeK ygeQ ygfF yggI yggM yggU yghE yghF yghG ygiQ ygiS ygiQ yhaC yhbE yhbD yhbU yhbV yhcA yhcC yhcD yhdE yhdU yhdP yhdT yhdU yheO yhbS yheT yhfW yffQ yflL yfiV yfiW yglA yggL yglA yjaZ yjbL yjbW yiaD yiaJ yibN yibQ yibS yicC vicG vicT yidC vidD yidQ yidX vieH vifE yffK yigB yigL yihC yihU yihW yiiQ vijE yjaA yjaZ yjbL yjbM yicH yjcZ yidQ yjeO yjfZ yigH yigL yjgN yjbD yihX yjhZ yfiG yiHI yifK yifR yijG yjjZ ykfA ykfF ykfL ykgH ykgM ykgO ylbF ylcl yliE ymcE ymfA ymfD ymiA ymjA ynaE ynaK ynbC ynbD yncE yncl yneE yneG ynjD ynjI yoaA yoaB yoaE yoaD yoaK yobD yobF yoel yojI ypjF yqaA yqcC yqeF yqeG yqgJ yggB yggC yqiA yqiG yqiH yqiI yqiJ yraJ yraQ yrbN yrdE ytfL zapE zinT znuA znuB znuC
RNM-2	ydgU ibpB asr spy ibpA pspA pspC pspD pspB raiA yjiY ybfA ynfM idhA yhdV bssR pspE ymgC narG grcA uspG nifB ariR pspG ymgA yhjX bhsA yneM narl tqsA fruB rutA ybiH ybhG yecH ybgS ypfM ycgZ yohJ ompW yohK dmsA glnK ymdF adiY glpA rmf glnH bssS zraP gldA ymfK ynfE rrsC aroF putA cydX gadC yhhA narV yehD rrsH osmB yfcZ gadB yjjl rrlH nirD yccJ yibT ychH yegP hdeA fruK csgF ygdI ynfD rrlC ycaC hdeB livC ppeC csrC ycfJ sra rrlA rrsA osmC gadA rrsD yohC soxS narW cydA rrsG hcr yjfN wrbA rutE uspF yciG hyaB dcuC ndh rutF rpoS glgS frdA ycbJ narY rrlD feoB dps aldB frdC nirC hlyE omrB frdD grxA feoA frdB psiE fmS narH clpB narZ ptwF glpD udp qmcA aceF hdhA katE deoC rutB mgtL csgG csgB eutG dcuB yfiL dmsB ygiW yaiY pflB mlC dd adhP mcbA aceE hokD glpF focA ggt sodB yjbJ yhbU cydB adhE qorA yebE rhsA ytjA ybeD yeaG ynfB fumB narK hyaA fbaB hspQ loiP glnP yagG ybhF yqaE sip dmsC pfkA ydel ldtE uspE yagH hybO cusF phnH fruA uspB csgA yhcN pepT relE uspA gabD yeaH ybhL tktB gntK patD ygaU oppA ymgE edd uspD paoB gabT paoC dmlA ydhY katG ahr yhbO ydfZ mdtM narJ yaaX yhjE yiaG yfdY mlaC yahO hybB gabP yfeO narU csrB slyB yhaK relB yeaQ yfbS glxR cusC rclA yahG amtB dhaK yhbT hchA ompX ggtA iiXX deoA yafC yaeH yahK osmY paoD qorB cspD ftnB phoP ansB tyrA glk yqhD paoA ytfK yeaO leuD treF clpA dacC hdeD ybjP ecpA elbB ackA hybA leuC yfJ omrA mgtA ygaM eutJ yjfY yhbS deoB osmE hyaC dcrB tabA pfkB cbdX ygdR yjdJ ybhS ybiB talA ybgE ydiZ rutD yhbV ssrA yniA ynfF ycgB lipA yili yjiX ybaT chrR tomb eutE ydcF ryjA glsA garR yiiS allE ydeP yihQ zntR sbmC mscS gpmM ldtC nuoK csgE cbpM ivy rraA aegA yccA ygliD yhA zwf acul cfa fxsA add nac yciE dkgA deoD pgl pgDC leuA cbpA eda degQ yciF hypB yoaC feoC glpK pgk cpxP ydcH sseA nrfA agp eno dhaL grxB ybbJ pepE bglA yohF higA ycjX pstS yjhH yodD ybhR yghA sgbH ecnB din ybbA zntA dicF tpiA ldtD mdtA eutM yhbW st hypC higB oppD yeoD ldtA tisB rpoH oppF glmZ ushA yffB norV pykF degP ybeL elaB yjhC htpX lolA yfdQ nuol grK ryfA yjbR yfbU ycel poxB frwA gudD yccM ydgA nuoL sfmA ycfP yhil ygqA yqj
	geno hindo asnV yklF cspH pawZ yjdQ insE1 tyrV ydaQ yehK sokA alaX metV lysV fliF cspF argW valY yjjZ argZ argY fliA flgB fliM leuZ entD argU argQ carA yqeJ cirA valX uraA glyU metT fliO rttR flu fes cysT fecl lysQ codB yhdU fliL metU leuT argV gltV leuW leuX yctZ glyW alaW alaV ynfN lysT yfhR lysY yafF serV pheV lysW tyrT ybiX fliQ carB fliI valT entC fliG gltT ydiE fecR zinT fliH fliP ileX entH valW serU argC ginW ymcE valZ flgC argX proM metW fliN hisR gcvB yjbL alaT DglG ynbA glnU fliK lysZ asnU pyrB pyrl gcvT metY serT leuV flgJ efeU rpsT flgF fepA insA lysP pyrD flgD gltW cspG ycgX dsrA yddA entE arpA argA yjbF yahM flgE fhuF yliE argH yhcA agrB artI fliR yeiW argD ykgM yedL argB fliJ glyY entA fepE yjeV flhE nmpC argF valV glcD yagI asnW yjbG opgE codA yhjH proK insJ yicT yhdJ yceO ubiX yibW nadB cspA ygfF malK fecA suhB nrdI argI flhB serW cvpA hda ffs selC renD essQ metE ynaE flgH mpA fliE pyrE entS ybcl metF rpsU flgJ yecT yldD thrW ydaY fliZ entB insK fepD ybeT tnaC gfcB yfhL azuC gfcC yggI queD purF nadA yecJ argG yqiH ynjI yoeI ppdD ybdZ leuQ mgIA artP ryhB rcsA ymfD opgC isrC yeiB sfmZ tyrU yghF rmD glyX cspB ymiA metZ peaD dusB pyrF holE istR ygeQ yijF flgG insC1 yeeL yjhD mltF yicG insD1 ybfP znuA nluC yghT intS yagN fhuE gltU efeB ybaN entF yojI ampG flgA iclR glcE yidX lamB fepB glnL essD cail gcvH ttcC ydfK yciH ygcB ykfJ galS fepC artM yceA cdh gcvP ygiZ nrdE plaP yjaA gspJ bd flgP c ymR ybgC hisP yafP fecE ybfE ygiJ feeC lysC hisL yddK coaA ybcK waa rsxA yliF ygiQ ypdK hycA rrQ nrdH dctR fliT glnX yfaT yneG upp tyrP znuB rbsA yedV fliD dtpD ybjE mltD ydfU mglC pcnB thrT asnT fhuD cdaR ynaK ykgO yifG yciX rrIG ydaG wza trKG purK fhuC ycTT flhC ndk cysC glyT yhaO ompF uhpB xisE mtr pyrC yfiV leuP yeaP hisM yafT tmN yghG yobH rluB yeFz znuC glyV mmH etfD ydL purN emrY ydfR recQ mglB gtrA yaf0 yeN hoD endA rsxB pppA insI1 yebB yqiI yddB ardQ yggM thrU yciW ydfY uhpC emrK yjbI yaeI yacC hyfA ygaC rlmA yjfI rhmR yhbE sanA cobC ygcN fecD yeiS pdA yehU folK efeO fhuB dusC yfaH yedN sbp gtr sxC trpT
RNM-5	Intope hisk seri bpa vgdu pspA mith työr pspE pspC pspD spy pspG adiY raiA rutA pspE ybgS gipA ldhA narZ narU narV ybfA ybhG uspG narW narY yohK nutB bhsA ybiH yohJ ygdi tqsA bssR ypeC yhhA ydei yacH bdm yibJ rmf fnA gadC ydh YghO ycLJ ariR yneM bssS yaiY yegP osmB sieb ypfM gadB fruB gadA hyaB preT ymfD rrsA csrC cjB yohC uspF ytjA ymdF yghA yeaG ymgC osmC dps rutC glnK yhbO ychH rrIC grcA yccJ ymgA rutF yahO ymgE ygaU aroF rrsH putA hdeB rrlH milC rrsG hdhA euQ yeaH yfiL ycbJ hchA osmY oppA gabP glgS ldtE hdeA rnA wrbA ydcF nrfA oxyS ylaG rutD yddF yghD ycLJ ariR ymatB paoB trutK csgQ paoD ybD csgP ygdJ trpE hspQ hyaA sra qmcA yohP br ybhF oppD yagG uspD nac paoC sodC yqaE hyaC hdeD ybaT cpxP deoC pagP yagH yfcZ glnH katE ybJ yciG hsJ oppC yfiN rrlD uspE oppF yhdY ggt amB paoB TruK csgQ paoD yiP ygg gabD yboD csgP yrtK yqiD astD rutA ybdF ycgB phoH ybhL thh ykgT ybaY ybbJ dadA kb1 znR osmE csgB patA ythO glbB gabT paoA yaeH dtpB ybhR qorA oppB ahr yglW yqiG eurP psiE zraP gldA adB ttB ygaM mocA hyaD yeaQ tbaB yibH gpQ coa adhE hokD preA ygdR ybhS ybjP mlaC deoA cbpM ybh gab ged Dieb csgD satA yciD talA ybdP ycgB phoH yd ydg a cell udp grxB yliC agp treR hybO glmZ ybhA pfKA trpL ompX dmiA grxA csgA yfdY mgL ldtA hoP rel8 csgE astA ycjF cbpA yjdP scC (dt whA sceF otsB edd ta eutT yeaR iyb glk wplS yhdN tha acer otsB edd yhl yd1G toola eutD ideC adhP lbsB yhdN canA acra yeal ldtC ydN ssrA icsT ybA ittB rraA wybCW yhjG dosC yhbS glk ittB ydiH hdD itvA degQ yibA araH ptwF dcrB ydgA modB soxR yjdI nanC astE htpX yqeB gstA eutK psiF insB1 lolA mdtF fnrS yafC ydhS yaaC isrB rstA ypHH marA ibsD glpC hycF isrD chrR ybdK ybch ymA ha A lacQ ygdR ybb ydbG ydS yaaC isrB rstA ypHH marA ibsD glpC hycF isrD chrR ybdK ybch ymA ha ha cygZ yuhF ybaY ydB ydB ymB ydB ydB ydB ydB ydB ydD ydL gstB ggP ydB ydB ydB ydA ydL ydS yacL yffR yfM ymA siB htpG clsB ydB ydB ydB ydB ydB ydB ydB ydB ydB yd

	folK yciH yagl argF uhpB fis essD znuA metE bioA glyW ycfZ ygeK fliK argB bioD serT valV ompF flgJ flhB argX trmN yggl intS mtIF ybjE yjlZ glyY galS rph pyrF glyU fliJ yncD lpxT yobH ampG rpmH ycgB ycjNn AdA argH alaW lamB fhuB ryhB nrdE gpt tyrU fhuC figH mglB yihG dsrA mdtL wecT bid fliQ ppAA recQ borD prs rimO apt exbD uhpC proV purB purR rpmB trmG ycgO dtpD mtIC asnV ygiQ yhbE flgI metZ artP nrdF pncB ynaK waaA yjbG flgG insJ ybfE pabB ydcD rimM thrT proL prtC hsdS sdhC holD yhhZ ythL thil ybgC yqeF tonB dusC yecJ leuU argG gitU gtrA yneG yfjV ybfP mreC yraQ yegK ecnA gltF ligT flgA mb yneE tsaB yliE rpmG yeeE gnsB mglA rpsP yiaD yceA yhcA yafF yegD mtIA serB nrdI rsxC ydiL yjZ ycaO coad mtID infh ccysJ rpoA uhpA fecE rplU gcvT yfaT mrcA rsmG rplQ hda rdgC glyT tsx yeeD dnaB rpsJ yfiP dinI rlmA mepS mrdA yidC yedL potA rplY rlmC rplC ydfU yeaP rhlE mnmA lysA insK metN trmL ykgM gtrS thiP glcD ygeN yacC secG tsaD gaRY ggaH hsdM tsgA yfdF mnmG rplD yhjV ybA rpsF yiiO fecC rsxB gtrB gluQ yiDQ eptC yelR cmk yhjH pheP thrU potB micC avtA flhE hyfA argS epmB rpsD glyA mrmD ypiF lpxH pheV cspB yddB ymfD ygaQ rlmN hflD rluE tff trmD endA rsmC priB rimI ygfF tyrP speE rplW rbsD yahM rplB rpsK leuQ rihA tgt yaal tolQ yqgC rpmA ybhA yadS rzpQ ppdD yehT xseB hcaT cdaR ymfA serW gfcA arpA ynjI ansP yfcJ mdtO mdtJ ffs yeiP yoaA zapE yijF fliZ yaeI ydcX eptB timL gsk cysC stpA livG ppA cysM ychF yliF rcsA waaL ebgA malE fpr caiT fecD glnV cyaR fecB rml priA mepM rpsO renD rsmF yafK rpsB selC slmA holE yciA nhoA pyrH yciW rtn pth livF alsR leuP muT yecF rpsM yieH asnS yeiB mglC ydcP rcnA yajR cytR ylhD plsX emtA uof iclR rbsA yhdT ygaY rpmE yhlN mcCf ftsB tolR yjeO ynfN evgS bcp sbcD ispU hisP znuC secY glvG thiB rep rsxA glnX map fadL rimP tsAA yffa apdY rpmE yhlN mcCf tsB tolR yieO ynfN evgS bcp sbcD ispU hisP znuC secY glvG thiB rep rsxA glnX map fadL rimP tsAA yfM accc cusS glnL lpxP ycaL gnsA yhaJ rlmH dctA rpLI yrlcL fldB cysD ydgW glsB rplN folB dbpA folC caiF yjbH yedA ycgX can ig ybgP livH Nut clxm tmR pr ycgH rid
RNM-18	The set of the seto

-		
		purH arcZ degS obgE ytjC yghO ydjN bcp ycgG ydgK ygel nikB ubiG ydcR rbn tmpR gspE lpxM yceA yill mutY yncD pdxY recG mitC yrdE endA ygaH coad jysP mglA tsaC yhhZ metB xseA wcaL gpsA efp parE rsmC yihN ypjD nikE pheV cydD yjeT ydfB kdgT entA mreB poA gltX yneK rplN plQ bioA hsdM arcE rpmA rpmG serC rplX yqaA AnaE yafU glY TyfF yejM wcaM glnW cysD slmA glrR ygcO ycbL yicC sfsB yfjD yjhR waaL ispU bcr znuC nikR cmoB menD mukF ycgH spoT ispB djlC mepA znuB lepA dosP nadK bcsA yfgG thrW ygbE sfmZ ydcZ sppA acpH fili phr kch glrK yifK murB can insB1 yjhE ydgZ insL1 gspF yjbJ yigM yfjW yidX yciZ wzzB deaD thiP yahE nupC argC yadD valW yibB elaD ymgG cmoA ratB yjbF ribF shiA dut rhtB viaA yaaA uvrY yheO miaB cynR ycbK ftsP bdcR yfeA pncA folA rdgC feaR rihA yidC rybB thiK yjeN cusC menE yeaJ rpsD ybeM gspL exbB yibG yhcC nth yggU emtA yeaV dnaN eptC frr ydaY secD ygcP ybdN nsrR yggF yfaT thiM ycaD ygjV ybbD moaA panF fabF muJ rpsM ybil intG yneJ minC ycaQ gspM pdxA dicA thrU ynjE yrbN ibsC rbsR ybhC ydfR mntH fliG yrdB dfr sxD yjtZ nohD emrE phnP thiQ ampH ynjB wzzE uvrD rarD insD1 ppiA hpt panD fhuA nfi ydhI aer ybfB msrC gspK bamD folE holE gmd sugE fadL yhgA fliM rhsE mlB ppdC birA emrA mdtP ydgC casA pyrH nei yagN ugd ubj epmC alsR yciH yddE clcB pheM yhcA maa ydcI narX ychQ rluF nemR potB yiiG csdA sdhC sufB allA ydfV rplJ yjJJ yeiL yflH yoaJ yheT yidA pldA yehA yfjM yfcL aas ygeN nusG apaG ymgI emrY cyaR yajD yhfG ylcl yghD rplE gapC rpsS yccT ydiM ublB argK trkG proS pbpC gcvR malF figI yehU aaaD tmk hcaF nadC queF nfE rhtA yhaJ rdlD mntR wcal cpxA rpsH yigA dnaQ yihF yedB ydaD glpX deoR yehL rhtC ubiE fepD mJV pdB yfiN ycbX hisP hscB ycdT hyfB holB yccS ydeT yjaG din1 umpH acrR tcdA ydcJ fadR yid1 yilJ JolE ugpA yafQ ispF ybiV ylaB ydhK yncE yiaY srkA ydbJ yhjR ecpB pitB hydN rdgB gghW bfd pabC mppA yiaD eptB yohD recX asnA insO ydgJ pyrF yicL cdsA cysM pldB flhB waaP yhiD ffG yeeW mog ygdB parC lysS rsuA loxD flyR puuD hyfR abgR yoaG ynjD alx gmr yddB yffL ychO labD smC ybeL adiA waaQ prmB ydiV cysE yicC dinG
	RNM-21	Jins A service and the service of
		yild trub tsaC kdgT yafP sspA agaR ivD mpC narX msbA yild yijJ leuE ackA ancE yijC ytar yafP sspA agaR ivD mpC narX msbA yild yijJ leuE ackA ancE yijC ytar yggC yejH atpH cnu ycgX insN tmk murR nikD cnp pepE fecE ygfK lrp insE1 yecR ynjE sfmD dinF ybiT gltV ychF basR rdgB yaaY hypC yqfA yqiG ycdX rimO truC hybA deoR hisB murl yfiH gltJ umpG ydaY ilvY ypdC ynbE psrD mltC ruvA ppk tsaD flgJ ycbX wecA rbsK xylG priA pdxA yheS puuR znuB lysR holA hisL dacB frdA gpt yihF ybaL hofB ycgR dam nanR ymgF yebK fur dnaC ypeA nudF mukF hybC folD yfjD pmrD ispF ppiD tsaA ydbD hybB yjgX thrC yieH ftnB yojI yfcU yobH metV hypE yebB evgA mpaA fdoG ppdC

	thrL trg aphA rimL hisI cobC gltX nadD wzzB glyQ srkA ytfP fabR dgt opgE recG ytjC slyD yiiQ birA eyeA folP gluQ cysB syd ycaR pnp hdfR yjiG yfgF soxS modB acpH speE yiaF hflX mhpD dnaQ
RNM-22	If yasr ybg's pspA pspD pspC vdgU spy pspB lbpB lbpB vgeC osmC glpC vtfA loP miC dps yegP vgil yfiL omrA ruA ybiH ysaC osmC glpC vtfA loP miC dps yegP vgil yfiL omrA ruA ybiH ysaC uspG osmE ybhF yofM ldhA yciF tqsA loy yeaG ybaY ylbJ truB ybfA ydcF bhSA pliG ymgE ychH glnK arof ariR ygdR clpB hchA yrtK ygaU ykH yodD liC yclo sra ybiP glnH ldtE yqaE yciG uspB paoA nubP kalb xmG ariR ygdR clpB hchA yrtK ygaU ykH yodD liC ycls xra ybiP glnH ldtE yqaE yciG uspB paoA nubP kalb xmS gldD yggB ybY yglY yciC ycaB yddY ref ynaM ylhr mir ybaB psSR gldD ygdB ybY yglY yciC ycaB ydW ref ynaM ylhr mir ybaB psSR gldD ygdB ybY yglY yciC ycaB ydW ref ynaM ylhr mir ybaB psSR satS csoC yeaH truK ctrB paoB bsS puuB sucC ruB nsLI ybdY xahO wcb yglF ylaG ydY crA omrB ropS adhP tkB zraf ysAS sodB naH idD ybDrS wcaA puuE yliY glmZ yohd chaR yeaQ ydoI ompX hspD ldtC amB yhch gaP htgD yglB ydF waB prF uspE ddgQ curA nucL yagH ydhS patA preT gntK blc nirD treR betB yqlE otsB bed gaD rav7 bbC exch glw ylaP uspE uspE ddgQ curA nucL yagH ydhS patA preT gntK blc nirD treR betB yqlE otsB bed gaDT glU ydcH ybhF milaE dgQR clpA glwF assX aruU mdtA ybfD yh]D mgtL yldJ hsIU sseA yceI narW dmiA ymB ybfC ybbJ paoC ybbA hemL sodA bolA dkgA trpD htgA ldtA yhfN truM yglG ndH byB ybR yaA x xi grxB degP waa htgX ruIC gadC uspA soxS narU mdtA ybfD yh]D mgtL yldJ hsIU sseA yceI narW dmiA ymB ybfC ybbJ paoC ybbA hemL sodA bolA dkgA trpD htkD gdP uxH htgY hyhlY htmB ydbA ybfQ eutK deoB afuB dma ynaA ymA yy yr al add gbfY cerB non utA bxC AyfF nucA gldB prB gdA gdY crA maA snA yccA glmP ydiw sen usol eutL ybhY cerB nucA aruX araA waa yccA cacb gdA gdA gdA gdA gdA gdA gdA ybDQ yaeP eutH aaeX trpR pfKB yahK ybcZ ycbJ deoD her ruD ylbF ydC car rutE yliY ydB ybhB ybhC sciE yffR sanC yagF eutH higB phy hyh yhh yhh yhr Sho z csb dho ydp yhr what add gdA gdA ybfQ eutH aaeX trpR pfKB yahK ybcZ ycbJ deoD her ruD ylbF ydG car rutE yiNg alaB ybtA yclC gdA dxA gdA gdA ybfQ getH hgB yhp yhh yhh yhh yhhY yhhY yhhY yhH yaHA yhYhY xoC sciB huh ydY ydB zbbP gdA gdA yhfQ gd
	what yatan what yatan what yatan what yatan what yatak eled cusb cush all gift cyst fepE met/ yict figB yeeP renD put/ arg/ yedV proV yat JisY cspH yfjU fleck self. Celci bluir fluir Fiurt Tins Tints myc Time Time Fiel Wick Srift Sey JpC cmtB arg0 cit J anth argU yedV proV yat JisY cspH yfjU fleck self. Celci bluir fluir Fiurt Tins Tints myc Time Time Fiel Wick Srift Sey JpC cmtB arg0 cit J anth argU yedV pilv yick With Yata alv arg2 riuC yidD yedA yidQ htady yinW coaA proM cysD les lysW yeeT valT lysZ yrV lysT cspG gtnA argV purit FitE cusA sunB gtyW lamB folk gtta ompf queD mpA yedU yinZ yrT (ysT cysC evsS) yilf (YsT) wata arefT yahM mcrA sddA mtL yilQ adeD gtgD mreD mreC fitC yibG metU yifG yoji yiig dusB cypA gtyU yiX napF fepC yfW ymiA penB gtiW fitD mt icdC queA asnV ymeE mcrC yeeD insK mitE dipD yedA andM ardO wecA ybeF ixdY innA argF cysM opgC metW ynaE gyGO ard1 yibD leuX yfcC pbI mmA rimO holD ppdD ybaN yeeE cusC tasB argA inG mtN giCc cusS gtilgT ybfP leut mitD dppB mdU ser/ laxT yeeD insK mitE dipD yeaA andM ardO wecA ybfE ixdF freeC ythL ykK ygHT and argE cysM govi ydeE waaA mb ymfD yhbE yncD ydE pheP gatR nikB assD cysU gti yizY pilv purit rim1 argB yegD tilA fecA opgE metN yftD weeB yeaL rph dpAt yagA napD ais rspR rsmG figC metA rimG eleB ghOT pbAA proY yft porB ydT mcA mpR wcaL fepD purR pisY yaT yiC giY, ygiA udH fini Ric LaRK lpxP megS yoaK yddA ydH odn ymD ygB rsX cadeB yhL udpC gbE nifG yhdE yegK timH vsr air ydK yiCy ddf gpAd yfP nikE tusC ydX ydfA ydH odn ymD gyB wata gtrA gtrB gard gb yiCl ydOl geAS ydeM tinG ansA ymfG reed siskeu ydd ry ymD mikE timH yieH ydGC ydD yiG urdA gitH ydfC garg gard gby yiCl ydOl glasS bydhA hdx yatA ydfA ydH ang ydfL waaC yftL gsF gsD gby yiCl ydOl glasS ydeM tinG ansA ymfG reed sigN yddT notB ymB ard fini arbs app yeaK ydgD widC find ang ydfL garg gill ggV yd/L garg ydd yadA yadA ygF roC ydfR yaa ydd bab proC cusR gfS ymD ai

	fecB nrdA prmA dsbB ddlA ydbA yfgH ybiV fimB yfiN ybhI pbpC hemG yeiP ynfN pta yfiH ycgN
RNM-23	yhjX asr yibT ybgS pspA pspD pspC ybhG pspB ydgU ibpB pspE ibpA raiA ychH ycaC ynfM ypdJ ygdI pspG ybiH ariR ybhF ldhA ytjA ybdA gigS yahO osmB osm' ynfD osmC yhbO ynfO dps ymdF bsR trgA fruB yjiY yhhA uspG acs rmf insH yneh ypeC ygaU yc1i yghA hchA yfM yegP sra loiP ydaI micF gjA galP yqaE yacH yngC yodC sucD ybhS aroF osmE rutA katE pilG spy ymgA hdhA uspB miC yttK uspF ycIG ldtE mar A yaiY bhsA ygaM puuE puuB wrbA sucB ycgB liVC fruK yohK gabP osrR sdhB sucC tktB gjbB qmcA ybaY ygiW iyy yfeO dacC rpoS yidG ydcF ybcO betl yccJ betB gabD psiS ybhL sucA yeaQ yodD bdm chaA aldA marB ymgE yohI talA ggt phnI aldB gstA yjIN gjDD adhP ldtC mgtL higA phoP ybjP dcrB gabT patA paoA puuC yebE pgpC fbaB sodB yfIL yagE yaiJ yohP cjbB yeaG yhbW uhpT ybbJ narW ybeL ybeD tyrA yhdV iadE sseA elaB psiF cdd ricA galU cspD glk higB ynfB dhaK uspA glinH yagG paoB mcbA yeaO zraP miaC narG hspQ yhaK txsA rutE qorA putA yedP yjdN narU bssS beAt hbbA dhaL dgyd norV ldtD hslJ phOH omrA yahK ycgZ gadC csrB yfdY ahr sodC ybdK sit yciF yceI cjbA hokD udp ycbJ eutL tucP ecnB actP ybhR ykgE yeaH thB lbgO dtgA gjLC paoC sdhA meR ryeA degQ gpr patD mgtA yeaH relE csrC mgrB dnaK yhJY narZ araC dinJ ydZ yagH ybbA gitA yohF eutT mdh ldD hipB csgA yegS grxB trpR yrbL csiE ydeJ ots yldJ yggE bodd grxA dgoK omrB gatY vcjX eutC yqjD holA yddK yacL yccA yphA cfa bic eutR norR dmA hemL ompX fadH hb7 ompC yfR yliI gmZ hcr panE aceA mlaB cyoD otsA nlpE iceT ybhQ yajL cyoE mlaA ydcL yebO fadA ldtA yhbS ydgA yjfY manA trpL bsmA ompR eutB yqjE ygaV ecpA ygaP hsIV hyci yibH yagF ompT rpoH yldC ydhR px truA ylcZ csgB yalK yahh yhjD yhhJ otpM sodd ldcF shoB yhiJ rufF ybhR vls Suf gh kgl thsgG yfG yalD rweE yddH ydcO ygeV pfK gmA uspD ybiB ydiQ yjbB gadB deo pagP zntR ybbP nuoL pfKA ydcY nuoJ yfhM mk intA yhiZ sgZ yalK yahh yhjD yhhJ otpM sodd AlcF shoB yhiJ rufF ybhC sufE ghKG thag sof yfG seID oweE yddH ydcO ydbN ydB ydeF cbdX moeA ivbL grcA albB ykgT degP ybhP yffB yfbV yfdV insB1 yegR tryC uspC yjD yaaX ybaE yficN ydB ydgR ydf graD yhD yfbC inF ssrA deoCC
	ulab iscX galk dgcZ ygiD yidQ putZ yen lapH aikX napG insE1 rttR cspA cspH purK napF argU insF1 insA alaE asnU ymcE nrfG carA napA yecT asnV ygeH cspF cspG napD nrfF narl serU purM leuX xanP argY lift proM cusB napB valX pyD leuV glVU ppdD mpA eleU yrT argZ yidD arpA argQ nrtfU ubX argC metU leuT yrth. yicT buEF rvY meV yedV leuZ yhM rrlB argV giT yrthR rthE ybP glcB queD dtpA ynaE yqil stmZ lysQ leuW cysT ymbB ntfE yrtjE artP ymeE natJ yaiW psT citX (spE yaq) hirsG mrQ lysY gspI yihG lpxP argX mcrA valT metT valV alaW dusB cspB purN azuC yeaP cusF selC sbp opgE cdh yiaW yitE riuC queA ymiA gmsB niKE yadN evgS cysJ purF lysZ essQ lysT ycaL gtrA lysW insJ oppB glyW ybE ytcC cusC serV ydU yfV ycgX inS mreC ycW yibG ygaQ fec1 fecR mdtL yggC yacC hadS tsaB trn nikD cysD yahL gltV pinE dcR yclT gtrB mreD lieX adeP gcvT brmQ tusC cusS rsB ydjN his1 mcrC dtW insK glyY peaD ydiL hy/A mG ydy VibY insF valW cvpA alaV comH inAm mnnA valZ ybeT yedL metV yqeJ epmB hisA metW dbpA mduL holD coaA filM ymgF tusD ybJE dnaB ogC mzy laW yatIY apt pinQ gtxP riml gfcA yfcA cbl valY pcnB imO leuE yhbE tlhC nikC thil pinR ccmF ph adA ygiQ yhiY yneG ydeI yhdL ybE [pkH ampG opC gpt fis gfcC yedA ary ar ofn rhD recQ yciX adk ymgJ polA yrhC essD iclR ylfK mdtI ais ydeE citE yhiD uhpC flgB waaL ycCY ynbA gnsA aroA argF rsmG yghE ylfP yoJI ybQ ybdO marA yggK ydbD yecd bydB tmD mD yael nadB dtSte 'ylaA serT ydtK yciZ ybah feA nikR asmW rzDD ibB metF mH ispD tmNN hisP yncl pheP cycC nrdG mb yce0 waaA yqiG flhD agal pepE ligT tsgA yafK ardW yiE yddA glnU pawZ wecB purT yoaA yliF enrD arth tauA fabA wecC ybeF purH folK yhdX rscC yhhH fluB phB galR yklf rhuE dtpD filA yftA pabB yeiL gbE fes ymfA oppD yafP gpb tepC pyrE pheV yeiL insZ. flaB hl1D ynID pdA cusA lpxT glnW yhdU mmH fecC yheO wecA fts hypE ukk rim z soA yliF enrD arth tau fabA wecC ybeF gurH folK yhdX rscC yhhH fluB pdB galR yklf rhuE dtpD filA ydfA galB yeiL gbE fes ymfA oppD yafP gpb tepC pyrE pheV yaiL miX vaaY yhBy yeiL ydE geCe ptU argD ycaA thuF astapP ylaB ydA fraW yelW angT me

Notes: Differentially upregulated genes correspond to genes with  $logFC \ge 1.0$  and pvalue <0.05, while differntially downregulated genes correspond to genes with  $logFC \ge 1.0$  and p-value <0.05. Text in bold italic were differentially upregulated genes, while the text in regular italics were differentially down regulated genes.



## 11.6 BMA production calibration standards





Figure 11.8 Chromatograph and spectra of butyl methacrylate. (A) sample (B) standard



Figure 11.9 Chromatograph and spectra of butyl acetate. (A) sample (B) standard



Figure 11.10 Chromatograph and spectra of butyl isobutyrate. (A) sample (B) standard


Figure 11.11 Chromatograph and spectra of butyl isovalerate. (A) sample (B) standard







Figure 11.13 Standard curve for butyl acetate (BA).



Figure 11.15 Standard curve for butyl isovalerate (BIV).





Figure 11.16 Test for growth of *E. coli* strains in butyl isobutyrate (BIB). Cultures were grown for 24 h in M9 minimal medium (5 mL) supplemented with 10 g/L glucose at 37°C and 200 RPM in 30 mL sealed glass vials containing n-butanol at a starting OD600 of 0.05 (dashed line). OD600 after 36 h is indicated in the figure. Legend: *E. coli* BW25113-WT (diagonal bars) and RNM-18 (horizontal bars).