
#### Abstract

Before the publication of Professor Richard Hunter's Cambridge Classics edition in August 2015, the last large-scale commentary on Apollonius Rhodius' Argonautica Book 4 was that of Enrico Livrea in Italian in 1973, though mention should be made of the Budé volumes edited by Vian (1974-81). During this period the literary study of the poem has undergone a virtual revolution. The present thesis is an attempt to update and advance the work of the poem's previous editors. It is intended as a prolegomenon to a commentary on the whole Book.

Apollonius' epic is an outstanding example of Hellenistic poetic practice, embodying all of its allusive qualities. It draws on the entire tradition of previous Greek literature, while maintaining an innovative point-of-view. This commentary tries to elucidate Apollonius' experiments with respect to all aspects of style and narration, viewing him both as an important literary critic, closely involved in maintaining the inheritance of Classical Greece, and as a creative artist intent on developing an individual voice.

The section chosen for commentary exhibits many aspects of Apollonius' artistry: passages of atmospheric description, action sequences which speed the narrative, speeches, in some of which irony predominates while in others rhetoric prevails, similes which often contain fine images and a macabre climax of chilling power which achieves its effects through a number of striking and original details. There are, therefore, many reasons why the poem as a whole was enormously influential on Latin epic, especially on Virgil's Aeneid, and why the story and Apollonius' methods of retelling it enjoyed such an important reception in the European tradition.


## PREFACE

Opusculum dilectissimae uxori Rosemariae filioque Alexandro dedicatum

This commentary's first manifestation was a handwritten manuscript completed at University College London during the period 1972-4. It then tracked the path of the technological revolution from typewriter to first PC until the beginning of the 1980's, when it was laid aside, almost completely, under the exigencies of career and family.

Apollonius Rhodius, however, has always been with me and so when I retired in 2009, he was first on the list of unfinished business. I was lucky to find at the University of Nottingham, two very patient and talented supervisors, Patrick Finglass and Helen Lovatt, who first gently made me aware of all the new developments in Classical research that I had missed in the interim and then did their best to disentangle my first convoluted attempts to update my original commentary. Helen helped me to understand something of the methodologies and critical language that Classical scholars now use when discussing ancient literature and in Patrick, I was fortunate to have as a guide and mentor someone quo non praestantior alter in the elucidation of and commentary on ancient Greek texts.

In some ways, technology has greatly aided the work of commentators. The parallels are easier to find (Thesaurus Linguae Graecae), much secondary literature can be checked online and classical researchers are blessed by the existence of a range of essential databases. However, the work of interpretation is still difficult and especially so in the case of a poet as quicksilver and enigmatic as Apollonius. His
poem which can be read primarily as a quest or adventure story - at least that is how it seemed to me, when I first found E. V. Rieu's translation a very long time ago - raises a whole series of questions about its characters, its content and the style and nature of the Greek in which it is written. What, for instance, are we to make of Jason, the hero of the poem, who in terms of superficial appearance seems to be the equal of the Hellenistic princes who came after Alexander and yet is constantly afflicted by selfdoubt? There is also the matter of a dominant female character such as Medea who, while often seeming at conflict with herself, might be based both on Euripides' heroine and the powerful women that Apollonius would have encountered at the Ptolemaic court. Finally, how are we to understand and interpret the written language of a poet whose knowledge of his native literature would have been deep, critical and profound, while having at his command the resources of one the first great libraries?

The Argonautica raises many such issues and the commentary attempts to answer some of them, as this part of the poem is read as a continuous entity. The introduction which follows might have had many sections but it seemed better to try to explain the text as the reader progresses through it, fully in a tradition that Apollonius might have recognised.

If such an attempt is, in any way, successful, it owes a great debt to people already mentioned, but in a special way to Rosemary, docta utriusque linguae, who retyped the original UCL manuscript and then had the indescribable patience to wait outside various learned doors at Nottingham while matters were under discussion, to Alexander our son, doctus in an entirely different sphere, who at a vital moment wrote a computer program that changed Times New Roman into New Athena Unicode, and to our granddaughter (and her mother) who even at the age of fourteen months was able to lay a finger (mirabile dictu) on an overlooked typo!
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## Introduction

## 1. The Ancient Transmission

The story of the Argonautica's survival, appreciation and exegesis can be traced over more than two thousand years. Placing the dates of its author's life and the publication of his poem at the start in this continuum is more difficult. There are four pieces of evidence: the list of the heads of the Alexandrian library in P.Oxy. 1241 (second century AD), ${ }^{1}$ the article about Apollonius in the $S u d a^{2}$ and two short biographies attached to the scholia (Vitae). ${ }^{3}$ P.Oxy. 1241 has long been considered an important source for the chronology of the heads of the library. However, a recent discussion has cast doubt on its contents and their validity. ${ }^{4}$ The papyrus says that Apollonius
 Ptolemy I Soter (304-283 BC). The Suda and the Vitae, on the other hand, associate him with the reign of Ptolemy III Euergetes (246-21 BC), based on which the editors emended the papyrus text to трítou $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma$. The belief ${ }^{5}$ that Apollonius held the posts of both tutor and librarian seems to be based on the lacunose opening of the papyrus that apparently mentions grammatikoi in connection with Ptolemy Philadelphus. ${ }^{6}$ The papyrus then says that Eratosthenes (276-195 BC) succeeded

[^0]Apollonius, ${ }^{7}$ without specifically mentioning the post of librarian. Even if the reference is only to the post of Royal Tutor and there is no evidence, apart from the assumptions based on P.Oxy. 1241, that the two posts were dependent on each other, ${ }^{8}$ it would place Apollonius' activity earlier than that indicated by the information given in the Suda and Vitae, who see him as belonging to the generation after Callimachus. ${ }^{9}$ Finally, the nature of the papyrus as a whole tells against its worth as credible evidence for Apollonius' dates, consisting as it does of lists of ancient figures supposedly famous in a particular sphere, the authenticity of which seem dubious ${ }^{10}$ and are perhaps meant to satirise contemporary second century scholarly catalogues or compendia. Therefore, it seems preferable to use the information provided by the Suda, ${ }^{11}$ supported by the Vitae, to postulate a poetic floruit stretching over the two reigns of Ptolemy Philadelphus and Ptolemy Euergetes, with the final publication of the poem occurring sometime during the reign of the latter. Eratosthenes and Apollonius seem to have been active in Alexandria at roughly the same time, Apollonius being spoken of as his comtemporary (бúyxpovos 'EpatooӨévous). ${ }^{12}$ Although Eratosthenes was specially summoned by Ptolemy Euergetes, ${ }^{13}$ we might

[^1]perhaps envisage Apollonius taking over the role of librarian, from the older man, ${ }^{14}$ when his poem was finally published. Indeed, the process of composition may have been a complex one involving interaction with Callimachus' Aetia. Annette Harder suggests that at some stage the four books of the Aetia were arranged in response to the Argonautica. ${ }^{15}$ It may, however, be possible to pinpoint a more particular final publication date. ${ }^{16}$ Using the systematic way in which Apollonius marks the passage of time throughout the Argonautica, ${ }^{17}$ together with the methods that modern astronomy now provides for the calculation of the position of the constellations in ancient times, ${ }^{18}$ Jackie Murray has made a plausible case for dating the poem to 238, a year in which Euergetes, as part of his birthday, instituted celebrations, including the introduction of a new calendar, which seemed to mark the beginning of a new era in his reign.

Almost as soon as the first copies of the poem were made, scholarly comment began: a friend of Apollonius, Chares, ${ }^{19}$ wrote about the sources of his poem and began a tradition of expounding the text which continued throughout antiquity. The names of commentators such as Theon of Alexandria (first century BC), Lucillus of Tarrha (mid-first century AD) and Sophocles (second century AD) are mentioned at

[^2]the end of Book 4 of the mediaeval scholia. There is evidence that ancient texts of the Argonautica were annotated with variant readings, glosses and marginal notes. ${ }^{20}$

Forty-nine Apollonian papyri survive. ${ }^{21}$ Most date from between the first and the fourth centuries AD and come from Oxyrhynchus, although some take the evidence for texts of Apollonius up to the end of the seventh or eighth century $A D,{ }^{22}$ bridging the gap between antiquity and the early middle ages. ${ }^{23}$ Book 1 has the largest number of fragments by a long way, twenty-four, Book 2 has nine, Book 3 ten and Book 4 six. In antiquity, as now, readers who started long works did not always get to the end, ${ }^{24}$ or possibly they skipped to, or had copied out, their favourite passages. Among the texts from Book 1, seven are from the episode of the Lemnian Women and five are concerned with some aspect of the Argonauts' departure. ${ }^{25}$ The fragments from Book 2 include one mention of the appearance of the ghost of Sthenelos, two from the description of the battle between the Argonauts and the Bebryces, and one from the meeting with the sons of Phrixos. The surprisingly small number from Book 3 cover Jason's encounter with the bulls (3), scenes with Medea and Chalciope (2),

[^3]the opening of the book on Mt. Olympus (2), but only one extract from the meeting between Jason and Medea. The sequence of episodes from Book 4 includes the murder of Apsyrtus (2), the visit to Phaeacia (1), and the speech of Argos (1). Although the numbers concerned are small, patterns are discernible. For example, perhaps the opening scenes of the poem with its emotional encounter between Jason and his mother, Alcimede, attracted an audience brought up on Euripidean tragedy.

The papyri chiefly discussed in this commentary are P.Oxy. 2694 (containing 2.917-53, 4.317-22, 4.416-61, 468-512) and P.Oxy. 2691 (containing 4.348-56, $1128-35) .{ }^{26}$ They offer at least one reading that is significantly different from what is found in the mediaeval tradition. ${ }^{27}$ There is also P.EES inv. 88/334 (Sackler Library, Oxford), an unpublished collection of fragments which seems to offer such strong support for a conjecture made at $4.464,{ }^{28}$ that it perhaps should no longer be classed as such.

Apollonius soon found imitators as well as copyists. The Sicilian Greek Moschus wrote Europa sometime during the second century BC. He shows a 'pervasive verbal debt to Homer and Apollonius (sometimes both together), covering both vocabulary and specific, contextualised echoes'. ${ }^{29}$ At Rome Lucius Accius (c.170-86 BC), in what remains of his play Medea sive Argonautae, seems to show direct knowledge of 4.303-81. ${ }^{30}$ The play probably opens with the arrival of the Argo

[^4]which terrifies a barbarian shepherd who has never seen a ship before, ${ }^{31}$ and then alludes to the plot between Jason and Medea to kill Apsyrtus. ${ }^{32}$

After Accius, the poem continued to be much read and imitated among Latin poets. Only a few years after Catullus wrote poem 64, ${ }^{33}$ a Latin translation of the Argonautica was produced by Varro of Atax in Gallia Narbonensis, who seems to have made use of some form of the scholia to Apollonius. ${ }^{34}$ This is also true of Virgil whose overall debt to his Greek predecessor is considerable. ${ }^{35}$ Nelis (2010) emphasises the size of the ancient libraries that might have been available to him ${ }^{36}$ and the use that he would have made of ancient scholarship on both Homer and

## Apollonius. ${ }^{37}$

Both Propertius ${ }^{38}$ and Ovid deal with different aspects of the Argonautic legend. The latter demonstrates a continuing fascination with the character of Medea,

[^5]constantly adapting and building on the portraits drawn by Euripides and Apollonius. ${ }^{39}$ While carrying 'out radical surgery on the plot as he found it ' ${ }^{40}$ he, nonetheless, shows deep knowledge of the Argonautica as he produces his own interpretation. ${ }^{41}$ Both Seneca and his nephew Lucan wrote tragedies entitled Medea, ${ }^{42}$ with the latter showing direct knowledge of Apollonius in his epic poem Bellum Civile. ${ }^{43}$ While Apollonian influences have been perceived on Statius' Thebaid (c. 92 $\mathrm{AD})^{44}$ it is with Valerius Flaccus that we have further evidence of engagement with Apollonius' text and with scholarship connected with it. ${ }^{45}$

After Statius, the authors who show knowledge of Apollonius are again Greek: Dionysius of Alexandria (c. 130 AD), ${ }^{46}$ the two Oppians (AD 177-80 / 212-17), ${ }^{47}$ Quintus Smyrnaeus ( ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ century AD), ${ }^{48}$ Triphiodorus (end of $3^{\text {rd }}$ century AD), ${ }^{49}$

[^6]Nonnus ( $5^{\text {th }}$ century) ${ }^{50}$ and the author of the Orphic Argonautica (second half of the fifth century). ${ }^{51}$ About AD 140 Apollonius of Chalcedon, the Stoic philosopher was on his way to Rome to take up the post of tutor to the future emperor Marcus, accompanied by a large band of pupils. When Demonax, the Cynic, caught sight of him, he remarked: "Here comes Apollonius and his Argonauts," Bearing in mind, the Stoic's reputation for acquiring wealth, the joke seems to be comparing his trip to Rome, with Jason's voyage to gain the Golden Fleece. Lucian's story seems to suggest that the Argonautica was well-known in this period. ${ }^{52}$

Some of these authors, mentioned above, imitated A. with direct reference to Book 4: ${ }^{53}$ Quintus Smyrnaeus alludes to Medea's flight when describing Oinone's secret departure during the night. ${ }^{54}$ Triphiodorus echoes A. in some thirty passages, ${ }^{55}$

[^7]while Nonnus' imitations are of a more varied and subtle nature. ${ }^{56}$ The author of the late Orphic Argonautica is heavily indebted to his Alexandrian predecessor. ${ }^{57}$

## 2. The Mediaeval Tradition

At some stage, the papyrus rolls of the Argonautica were copied onto codices, written in uncial lettering. ${ }^{58}$ Nonnus might have read the Argonautica from a codex, ${ }^{59}$ which possibly contained marginal annotations, the precursors of the mediaeval scholia. ${ }^{60}$ Excerpts were made by compilers of lexica from both the text and the ancient commentators. ${ }^{61}$ The Etymologicum Genuinum quotes approximately 420 lines, together with commentary, and thus provides evidence for the indirect transmission of the Argonautica. One of its descendants, the Etymologicum Magnum, offers at least one textual alternative in the portion of the poem covered by this commentary that shows that the etymologica and lexica might have had access to better texts than the direct tradition. ${ }^{62}$

[^8]Although in general the number of texts decreased during late antiquity, with interest in classical learning only reviving in the ninth century, papyri show that the Argonautica was read throughout this period. ${ }^{63}$ The survivors of this 'bottle-neck' ${ }^{64}$ would then have been copied into minuscule to form the beginning of the mediaeval tradition. Pace Fränkel and Vian, who both argue for the existence of an archetype, ${ }^{65}$ it is difficult to believe in the existence of only one such manuscript of Apollonius' poem. The large number of textual variants adds support to the argument that there was more than one uncial text from which copies were made and collations carried out. ${ }^{66}$

There is also the evidence from the survival of the scholia. The subscription at

 comments were copied from the original hypomnemata of the three ancient commentators alongside the text. ${ }^{67}$ However there is a portion of the text for which scholia do not exist (1.321-400). If they were lost at some stage in the transmission, then the text was lost along with them. The text, however, is present and must have been restored from another manuscript without missing pages, possibly during the early middle ages. ${ }^{68}$ These manuscripts were probably uncial codices which survived

[^9]the next precarious period of Byzantine history until the retaking of the city from the Latin Empire in $1261 .{ }^{69}$

Fränkel uses the argument of a variant shared by all the mediaeval manuscripts to support the hypothesis of an archetype. At 2.1127 the transmitted text, ก̂̉ êvı
 by conjecture: $\pi \varepsilon i ́ \rho o \mu \varepsilon \nu$ оĩ $\delta \mu \alpha$ ката́ (Voss and Köchley), ${ }^{70}$ later confirmed by P.Berol. 13413 ( $1^{\text {st } /} / 2^{\text {nd }}$ century AD). The scribal error (TEIPOMENOIAM for ПЕIPOMENOI $\triangle M A$ ) might have come about through transcription from uncial to minuscule script and the fact that it is, to some extent, construable might account for its preservation. ${ }^{71}$ While it is true to say that this error must go back to a common source, it could be one of a number of sources used to create the medieval tradition. ${ }^{72}$

The stemmata printed by both Fränkel (OCT p. IX) and Vian ((1974) LXXXV) show a rich textual tradition in descent from the single archetype which they both postulate. Their most significant feature is the division between the two families known as $m$ and $w$, Vian differing from Fränkel in the way in he traces the the interrelations of the two families and the progeny of the Protocretensis (k). The earliest member of $m$ is Laurentianus gr. 32.9 (AD 960-80), the oldest and possibly

[^10]the best source, equipped with glosses, variant readings and scholia, which contains, as well as the Argonautica, the seven tragedies, respectively, of Aeschylus and Sophocles. It shows signs of having been copied from an exemplar and then corrected from a second codex. ${ }^{73}$ The earliest representative of w is Laurentianus gr. 32.16 which originates from the circle of Maximus Planudes, dated 1280. Some of it may be in his hand. ${ }^{74}$ The two families are often at variance, and in many if not most cases the readings of both groups almost certainly go back to antiquity, with an admixture of Byzantine conjectures. ${ }^{75}$


If one were to suggest an alternative stemmatic diagram for the Argonautica (see figure 3 above), it might bear a resemblance to that printed for Euripides' Hippolytus by Barrett, ${ }^{76}$ showing different 'minuscule archetypes, which acquired their readings, in whole or part, from different uncial ancestors'. During the periods

[^11]when both the Laurentianus and the Soloranus were written, learned libraries were being transferred into the city. Maximus Planudes says that many books in the library of the Chora monastery were brought from elsewhere: $\pi$ рòs tìv $\beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda i ́ \delta \alpha$ тó $\lambda ı$
 the story of the library of Nicephoros Moschopoulos, metropolitan of Crete and uncle of the scholar Manuel Moschopoulos whose private library was so large that it needed eleven mules to transport it. He is said to have possessed an Odyssey. ${ }^{77}$ It would not be surprising if he also owned an Argonautica.

So, although the suggestion of a more than one archetype may disturb the clarity of the story of the transmission of Apollonius' poem, it is fully in accordance with the work's passage from antiquity: one that was volatile and open to poetic and scholarly engagement at all stages.

## 3. Modern Survival

The Argonautica was printed for the first time in 1496 in Florence by Lorenzo de Alopa (Laurentius Francisci de Alopa). Janus Lascaris, the Greek refugee employed by Lorenzo de' Medici as his librarian, edited the text and designed the font with which it was printed. ${ }^{78}$ The poem had become known again in the West when the humanist scholar Giovanni Aurispa arrived back in Venice from Constantinople in December 1423, bringing him with him 238 Greek codices, among which was the Codex Laurentianus 32.9. Paradoxically, ${ }^{79}$ the first editor did not use this but

[^12]depended mainly on Laurentianus 32.16 , with perhaps some reference to the Guelferbytanus ( $14^{\text {th }}$ century) and the Ambrosianus (beginning of the $14^{\text {th }}$ century). ${ }^{80}$

Other printed editions followed before the first edition with a commentary by Jeremias Hoelzlin in $1641,{ }^{81}$ and that of John Shaw in 1778. Richard François Philippe Brunck, in his own edition, was hard on both of them. He speaks of 'tenebrae Hoeltzlinianae ${ }^{82}$ and agrees with another great textual critic of the Argonautica, David Ruhnken, ${ }^{83}$ in describing Hoelzlin as 'tetricus et ineptus Apollonii commentator,' while his opinion of Shaw, perhaps more justified, is that 'in arte Graecos poetas edendi Shawium illum ne tironem quidem esse', adding that 'de ejus in Apollonium meritis quid censeam in notis abunde declaravi'. ${ }^{.4}$ Hoelzlin has, however, achieved a measure of vindication, albeit late in the day: at 4.464 he suggests a conjecture that is now the earliest attested reading, thanks to an unpublished papyrus fragment. ${ }^{85}$ This conjecture was adopted by Brunck, without acknowledgment. ${ }^{86}$ Reading through Hoelzlin's commentary and translation, one

[^13]gains the impression of a polymath - he includes Greek, Latin and Hebrew parallels who is able to discuss the text both philologically and as literature. ${ }^{87}$

Brunck himself was the first critical editor of Apollonius in that, as stated on the title page of his edition, ${ }^{88}$ he collated manuscripts ${ }^{89}$ and, from that basis, emended the text when he considered it corrupt. ${ }^{90}$ However, he perhaps placed excessive trust in the manuscripts at his disposal, was too quick to emend his text ${ }^{91}$ and too prone to 'odium philologicum' and 'the pillory and ducking stool as methods of persuasion'. ${ }^{92}$ In spite of this Fränkel sums him up well when he says: 'hercle Graece sciebat'. ${ }^{93}$ This is proved by notes that discuss manuscript readings, together with points of syntax and morphology, at the same time quoting apposite parallels.

Augustus Wellauer and Rudolf Merkel placed Apollonian studies on a more secure footing. Wellauer collated thirteen codices and provided an edition (1828) with notes, which took judicial note of the work of his predecessors. ${ }^{94}$ Merkel (1852 and

[^14]1854), on the other hand, relied specifically on two manuscripts. ${ }^{95} \mathrm{He}$ realised the value of Codex Laurentianus 32.9 for the text of the Argonautica, ${ }^{96}$ maintaining that the text that he printed had to be based on the authority of good manuscripts and not reprinted from the work of previous editors. He was not, however, open to the idea that more recent manuscripts might sometimes provide good readings ('recentiores non deteriores'). ${ }^{97}$ His edition has a modern appearance, methodically equipped with detailed reports of these manuscripts, followed by reports on the ancient testimonia and then conjectures made by him and previous scholars, ${ }^{98}$ without separate commentary. This pattern is repeated below the text on every page, noting each idiosyncrasy of his manuscripts, however many times they may be repeated. ${ }^{99}$ Fränkel finds him rather pedestrian and calls the prolegomena with which his 'editio maior' (1858) is equipped 'praelonga', ${ }^{100}$ perhaps an over-harsh judgment as they contain the first attempt at a full-scale treatment of important aspects of Apollonius' poem and Hellenistic poetry in general.

[^15]The heirs to Wellauer and Merkel are Fränkel (1961) ${ }^{101}$ and Vian (1974-81). Both have produced editions and commentaries. ${ }^{102}$ Vian's text is by his own admission more conservative than that of his immediate predecessor. ${ }^{103}$ Both comment on the text much more fully than previous scholars. This attempt to interpret the poem using the resources of literary criticism, allied with the study of relevant aspects of ancient history, art and archaeology in addition to the more traditional philological approach, was taken forward by Enrico Livrea (1973) in the first full length commentary devoted to Book 4 of the Argonautica. While this remains the standard work of reference for that part of the poem, the time since then has seen numerous advances in the understanding of Apollonius' work. ${ }^{104}$

## 4. The present commentary

A poem that has survived the vicissitudes of more than two millennia still has secrets to divulge. These will emerge only through close investigation of the text, using all the tools at the commentator's disposal, be they of whatever discipline. This commentary attempts to integrate discussion of text, language, style, and historical and artistic background as it progresses, and discusses topics of literary appreciation, such as characterisation, as they arise.

[^16]In the matter of the choice of parallels, I have attempted not to fall into the trap of parallelomania ${ }^{105}$ and create a Fundgrube. ${ }^{106}$ Even when a number are quoted, I have tried to ensure that they are pertinent and advance the interpretation and understanding of the text. Although certain late authors frequently allude to Apollonius, ${ }^{107}$ these have not been included unless especially relevant.

In the belief that translation is part of the process of commentary and offers the possibility of encapsulating essential issues, all commented text has been translated. ${ }^{108}$ This translation is a personal effort that acknowledges a debt to all modern translators.

The main aim of this commentary is not to present a text through a series of extracted lemmata that are in danger of becoming fossilized, but as a continuous narrative equipped with tools for its explication and understanding. ${ }^{109}$ The Argonautica is a poem that deserves to be read rather than used as a work of reference.

[^17]
## COMMENTARY

 Moũoa, $\Delta$ ıòs tékos. 'You yourself, goddess, now tell of the suffering and plans of the Colchian girl, Muse, child of Zeus.' The opening of Book 4 contains allusions that hint at how the poem might develop. A. may recall the invocations of both Iliad and Odyssey (Rossi (1968) 151-63) by combining $\theta \varepsilon \alpha ́ ~ w i t h ~ M o u ̃ \sigma \alpha ; ~ c f . ~ I l . ~ 1.1 ~ \mu \tilde{v u v ~}$
 love for Jason continues, the tone in Book 4 is primarily heroic, not erotic (cf. AcostaHughes (2010) 43-4 and Albis (1996) 93-4 on the Homeric echoes contained in this opening). Also, Priestley (2014) 176 mentions the possibility of links between the alternatives presented here - shameful flight and passion - and Herodotus' Phoenician version of why Io left Argos (Hdt. 1.5.1-2). For other possible Herodotean influences on A. see nn. 257-93, 272-4.

Ko $\lambda$ xíios évverte Moũoa could also be based on the opening words of the Odyssey, with $\theta$ zó then used to describe the Muse as at $O d .1 .10$, and the substitution of $\Delta$ iòs тékos (cf. Il. 1.202, 2.157, Od. $4.762=6.324$, Hom. Hym. 28.17, 31.1) for Өúyatep $\Delta \mathrm{lo}$ s of the same line. The allusion, however, may be more general. Moũ $\alpha$ often opens a poem; cf. Hom. Hym. 5.1-2, Hes. Op. 1-2. Callimachus probably began the fourth book of the Aetia Moũ] $\sigma \alpha ı$ нoı (Aet. fr. 86.1 Harder); see Finglass (2013) $4-5$ on addresses to the Muse at the start of things. Yet the double allusion arma virumque cano (Virg. Aen. 1.1) argues that A.'s best interpreter (see Hunter (1993b) 170 n. 2, 170-89, Nelis (2001)) understood the allusion to be specifically Homeric. Other examples of split invocations are Theocr. 10.24-5 Moĩo๙ı Пıєрíठєs . . . $\theta \varepsilon \alpha i ́$,

$\alpha \dot{\alpha} v \delta \rho \omega \tilde{v}$; see Harden and Kelly (2014) 8 on the conventions of the proem in archaic epic which A. may be deconstructing here.
aútì vũv stresses the link between the invocations of the Argonautica. At

 his side, and finally here he abdicates responsibility for the narration: the anonymous Muse of Book 4 is to tell the tale on her own. It has been argued (Hunter (1987) 134, (1989) 95) that the unidentified Muse here is also Erato; however, the heroic allusions in the opening lines signal a change of tone (448n.).

For vocative $\theta \varepsilon \alpha ́$ in an address to the Muse cf. Il. 1.1, Od. 1.10, Thebais fr. 1 GEF, Stes. fr. 90 8-9 Finglass $\delta \varepsilon u ̃ p ’ ~ \alpha u ̃ ̃ t \varepsilon ~ \theta \varepsilon \alpha ̀ ~ q ı \lambda o ́ \mu o \lambda \pi є \varepsilon, ~ A r . ~ P a x ~ 816-7 ; ~ p l u r a l ~ a t ~ I l . ~$ 2.484-5, Lyr. Adesp. fr. 935.1 PMG. vũv emphasises the immediacy of the song (cf. Il. $2.484=11.218=14.508=16.112$, Hes. Th. $965-6$, [Hes.] fr. $1.1-2 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{W}$, Bacchyl. 12.1-4. Pind. O. 9.5, fr. 52f. 58 S-M, Stes. fr. 100.9 Finglass; see id. (2013)
 to this word.

ка́ $\mu \alpha$ тоऽ, frequently 'physical toil' or the resulting 'weariness' (2.673, 3.274, Od. 7.325), here describes human emotions, linking the opening of Book 4 with


 mind. Most importantly, kó $\mu \alpha$ тоs denotes the suffering of disease (Hippocr. de Arte
 viewing love (cf. Eur. Hipp. 476 with Barrett ad loc., Soph. Trach. 443, 491, 544
(Deianeira referring to Heracles' passion for Iole as a disease), Theocr. 2.82-5 $\chi$ ట’s
 Faraone (2009) 44). The word is suitable for female suffering in what is a vaguely sexual context.
$\gamma \varepsilon$ emphasises кó $\mu \alpha \tau о \nu$ as the alternative deemed to be more important (cf.



 character; see Hunter (1987) and Dyck (1989) on the inconsistency alleged by critics. The Moon's speech (57-65) develops this, ending with a parting shot echoing the first

 lovesick maiden / witch character cf. Simaetha in Theocr. 2 and the woman in the Fragmentum Grenfellianum (text in Esposito (2005) 19-25). The two words also continue the 'refracted' (Acosta-Hughes (2010) 43) allusion to the beginning of the Odyssey. Both openings feature a single figure, enduring suffering and capable of ethically misguided judgments. A. makes this emergence from amatory to heroic mode more effective by self-quoting phrases used in an erotic context: кá $\mu \alpha$ тоv $\delta \grave{~}$ Svoíцєроv (3.961), in itself an implicit echo of Sappho (fr. 31 Voigt), is now used as part of a choice that is at once epic (4.1) and lyric (4.4).

Ko $\lambda$ xis is used of Medea elsewhere in A. only at 4.689, though cf. Eur. Med.



## 

 mind within whirls in helplessness, as I debate.' The poet now explains why he is appealing to the Muse to continue the story. Despite calling upon her after the style of both Homeric poems, he cannot choose between two possible motives for Medea's leaving Colchis; his hesitation is cast in the form of a dubitatio (Quint. Inst. 9.12.9, [Cic.] Rhet. Her. 4.29.40; for examples cf. Hom. Hym. 3.19, Pind. P. 11.22-5, O. 2.2, Antagoras fr. 1 CA, Call. h. 1.5). In Book 3 she is, for the most part, infatuated with Jason, though there are moments when she feels doubt (e.g. 3.635-44). In 4.6-33, however, her love for Jason is overcome by her fear of her father because she has helped his enemy. Throughout these lines, Medea's doubt mirrors that of the narrator. ñ $\gamma$ àp éroıץє (Il. 21.439, Od. 15.152) marks the change to a personal tone, as A. voices his doubts about Medea's emotional state. A. uses á $\mu \varphi \alpha \sigma$ ón of Medea's astonishment at her first sight of Jason (3.284) and of her hesitation before finally deciding to help him (3.811). Here, Medea's internal psychological struggle is also echoed in the poet's inability to speak. This form of the word is rare in Homer (Il. 17.695, Od. 4.704) but áqaó́ occurs in tragedy (Eur. Hel. 549, Her. 515, IA 837).
 an elaborate development of the idea at [Aesch.] PV 881-2 кра $\delta$ ía $\delta$ ह̀ $\varphi o ́ \beta \varphi ~ \varphi \rho \varepsilon ́ v \alpha$
 (1975) 69.

غ่ $\lambda i ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$ used of thought is not Homeric; but cf. Od. 20.23-4 Tஸ̃ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}$ غ่v

 (cf. Vian (1981) 147) often create similar moments of excitement: Call Aet. fr. 43.85

 A., use emphatic particles to give more vigour to their statements. Similar examples



 infatuation or shameful panic, which was the reason for Medea's leaving Colchis.'
 Soph. Aj. 177-8 for examples and discussion of similar disjunctive interrogative or deliberative sentences. The indirect question construction, often introduced by ópuaive, is Homeric (cf. Il. 16.713-4, Od. 4.789-90, 15.300, 19.524-8), often of a warrior in a moment of doubt, not a poet worrying about his theme. Cf. particularly Il.
 Zeus is deciding Sarpedon's fate: will he have an heroic death on the field of battle, or not? Hera provides the answer by insisting on Sarpedon's death. At the opening of Book 4 the poet ponders which of two narratives he will follow - and again, Hera provides the answer, here by driving Medea to flight. A. portrays himself as being immersed in the psychological struggle that his character is undergoing and debates the decisions that he must make about his narrative in the manner of a warrior on the battlefield. Although the basic allusion is to a Homeric verbal pattern, the relationship implied between Muse and poet is different from that described explicitly at the beginning of the Catalogue of Ships (Il. 2.484-92).
$\mu I v . .$. Tó $\gamma^{\prime}$ (mss.) is supported against Fränkel's (OCT) suggestion tó...

 form of ö $(\gamma \varepsilon)$ or vice versa in a disjunctive; cf. 1.212-16 Tńv $\gamma \varepsilon \ldots$. . $\mu \nu, 620-3 \mu \nu . .$. Tòv, 1.941-2 $\mu \mathrm{Iv}$. . . Tó, 1.1118-20 Tó . . . $\mu \mathrm{Iv}$, 2.745-6 $\mu \mathrm{IV}$. . . Tóv, 3.140-2 $\mu \mathrm{I}$. . . Tñv, Fränkel (1968) 453.


 205) conjecture $\delta$ voíцєрои (for transmitted $\delta$ voíцєроv) emphasises Medea’s infatuation, a theme already mentioned (3.961) and one to which she will return (4.412-3, 1080, 1082). It achieves an elegant arrangement of adjective and noun which seems typically Hellenistic (cf. 4.201 Sṇ́ $\omega v$ Өoòv É $\chi \mu \alpha \beta \circ \lambda \alpha ́ \omega v$, possibly originating from phrases such as Theogn. 343 как $\omega \tau \nu$ đ̋ $\mu \pi \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \mu \varepsilon \rho ı \mu \nu \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu)$. For סvoíuєpos (a coinage by A., here and 3.961) cf. סúбєpفs (Eur. Hipp. 193, Call. A.P. 12.73.6 $=1062$ HE, Theocr. 1.85, 6.7, Posidipp. Epigr. 19.8 A-B with Williams (1969) 123).
 general distress on Medea's part in 11-29 provide the tacit answer to the question


 $14.269=17.438$ ), 'rout' or 'the panic which follows the rout'. Aristarchus glossed the




For ě月vє
 frequent $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ é $\theta v \varepsilon \alpha$ (plus genitive) used to describe the nations encountered on his

 (perhaps Hellenistic: see Horsfall (1981) 303).

## 


 of the people, spent all night devising sheer treachery against them in his palace, raging with anger in his heart at the outcome of the hated contest.' The following narrative, picking up the end of Book 3 and also Aietes' first Colchian assembly (cf.

 significance of the two assemblies) reflects the pattern of Medea's experience: her fear of being discovered, 'her sense of isolation from other young girls, the option of suicide, and finally Hera's deflection of that option' (Acosta-Hughes (2010) 45) and so this connection between the two books reflects the consistency that can be traced in her characterisation (1-2 n.).

The threatening mood is increased by the delay of the name Aińtns (cf. 4.127-8, 4.912-14, 4.956-8, Theocr. 24.23-25, Hor. C. 3.7.5) and the use of oratio obliqua (cf. on A.'s use of indirect speech Hunter (1993b) 143-51 with Lightfoot
(1999) 270-2 on its general use in literature and Finglass on Soph. El. 491 on the word 'Erinys' often similarly delayed in tragedy). Night is a dramatic time to plan


 Finglass on Soph. $A j$. 285-7, below: mavvúXios and nn. on 4.47-9, 66-81.

Aietes' temper is emphasised from the first (2.1202) and its description can be of a violent nature (cf. 3.367-71, 3.396-400); cf. ò入oóqpovos Aińta○ (Od. 10.137). A. may be caricaturing the bad-tempered tyrants of Greek tragedy such as Creon, Oedipus and particularly Thoas in Euripides' Iphigeneia in Tauris, whose plot bears great similarities to the Argonautica (189-205n.). Hunter (1991) 81-99 = (2008) 95114 emphasises the barbarian element in his character and Williams (1996) finds him to be a character adhering to old-fashioned Homeric values (231-5n.).

For $\mu \varepsilon ́ v$ following an invocation cf. Il. 2.494, Od. 1.11, Arg. 3.6, Hes. Th. 1156, 969, Denniston (1954) 389, 554.

 $\mu \eta \chi \propto v \alpha ́ \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha$, and the similar 4.1070-1 koúp $\lambda \varepsilon \chi \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon \sigma \sigma$ I. Aietes' gathering of his best men recalls Agamemnon's council of war in

 of these passages.

For mavvúXios in the context of plotting cf. Il. 7.478-9 $\pi \alpha \nu \nu$ ÚXıos סé o甲ıv

 （2007）95－100，Hall（2012） 153 with n． 31.
 4.843 фóvov aỉmùv ह̇vì ppદoìv ópuaívovtєs，Hes．Th．589，Op．83；also Od． 8.276
 of Jason and Medea，particularly in their plot against Apsyrtus（cf． $4.421 \mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \propto \nu$ Só入ov n่pтúvovto with nn．70－4，341－4，404－5，456－80）．

Although ơvńp $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{ov}$ is often contrasted in Homer and elsewhere with ßaoı入єús，ě ěoxos ảvńp，oi ơpıotoı（Il．2．188，198，Hes．Op．261，Hdt．3．81，5．66），cf．
 Aietes＇initial plans against the Argonauts are similarly described；cf．3．606－7 kaí $\mathfrak{\rho}$＇ó


## 

દ́ట́入ாєı．＇Nor was he at all imagining that these things were being accomplished without his daughters．＇Aietes＇daughters are implicated in the treachery by the intricate syntax．The word that denotes their deeds（Tód $\delta$ ），menacing because of its indefinite nature，is embedded in the phrase（ $\theta \cup \gamma \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \ldots$ ．．vóo甲ıv غ́ต̃v）that implicates them in Medea＇s escape．
 substituted a rare form for the ordinary ooíc $\omega$ ．Fränkel＇s proposed alteration to $\tau \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \propto ı$ is unnecessary since A ．has óöơá́ $\mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ at 2.1135 ．The present infinitive adds drama to the description（Vian $a d$ loc．）．Aietes suspects that a plot is going on around him．тєтє $\lambda$ ह́大Өaı does not occur elsewhere in the Argonautica，Iliad or Odyssey；see Campbell（1976） 337 n． 18 against Fränkel．

 balances $\mu \eta$ тı̛́́ $\propto \sigma к \varepsilon v$ ；cf． 3.370 with Campbell ad loc．，＇he was convinced＇．This interpretation is contradicted by $\mathrm{LSJ}^{9}$ s．v．है $\lambda \pi \omega$ II where it is explained as 3 rd person singular pluperfect；see Marxer（1935）8－36 on A．＇s interpretations of Homeric verb forms．
 Hera cast most grievous fear．＇Via 6 グтoı ó $\mu$ غ̀v ．．． 11 тñ̃ $\delta^{\prime}, ~ A . ~ c o n t r a s t s ~ t h e ~ m o o d s ~$ of Aietes and his daughter．For the gods＇role see Feeney（1991）57－69，Hunter （1993b）75－101，Knight（1995）267－305．
$\varepsilon \notin \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \nu$ is frequently used of inserting a thought or emotion into the mind；cf．

 or suggestion elsewhere in the Argonautica at 3．250，818，1184－5，1199－1200；see Campbell（1983）50－6，Mori（2012） 12.

 thickets of a deep wood，the baying of dogs has startled．＇A．＇s simile has multiple points of comparison，tying it closely to the action（nn．35－9，139－42）．The simile is

 （4．13～кvvஸ̃v ．．．ó （4．7～Tavvúxıos סó入ov aimúv），where Ajax，put to flight by Zeus，is likened to a lion
driven from the fold by men and dogs．A．adapts this to fit Medea；so instead of the $\lambda \varepsilon \omega \dot{ }$ ，we have the кє $\mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \varsigma$ whose behaviour is more appropriate to the fearful heroine， though one who will later exhibit warrior characteristics（16－7n．）For the more timid animal cf．Il．10．360－1（Diomedes and Odysseus in pursuit of Dolon） $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\boldsymbol{s}} \delta^{\prime}$ ӧтє
 aié．The timidity of deer is a frequent topos in Homer（Il．11．473－81，22．189－93）．For
 ク̆ヒ́Tモ vєßpoí（22．1）．

On the interpretation of трє́ббєv（4．1522，11．481，Il．11．546，17．603，Od． 6．138），see Nelis（1991） 250 who points out that тคعiv was explained as the equivalent of фєธ́yєıv in antiquity（Lehrs（1882）78－82）and compares Virg．Aen． 4.72 （Dido described as a fleeing deer）illa fuga silvas saltusque peragat where Virgil＇s use of fuga suggests that he understood A．＇s simile to describe a fleeing deer．The usage recurs in lyric：Acosta－Hughes（2010） 45 compares Sappho fr．58．15－6 ßápus
 i̋o $\alpha \in \beta$ píoıoı（text in West（2005）5）．

кєرás is Homeric hapax（cf．Il． 10.361 quoted above）．Callimachus explains his use of кє́ $\mu \alpha \varsigma$ at $h .3 .112$ by the phrase（102）$\mu$ ớoठoves ク̂̀ Taũpol，＇bigger than bulls’（163 кєца́ $\delta \alpha \varsigma$ is similarly taken up by 167 غ̇ $\lambda$ óqоıбı），perhaps emphasising that， since the word is used as a comparison for a full－grown man in the Iliad，it should not be used of a fawn or young deer．A．uses кє $\kappa \alpha \dot{s}$ three times and offers two interpretations．At 3．878－9 he copies Callimachus＇picture of Artemis＇chariot drawn by full－grown stags．However at 2.696 and here，кépas means fawn；cf．$\Sigma 2.696$（p．



Sè Sopkó́s with De Jan (1893) 25, Erbse (1953) 177, 181 nn. 2, 3, Rengakos (1994) 102-3.

For коú $\emptyset \eta$ cf. Anacr. fr. 417.1-5 PMG $\pi \omega ̃ \lambda \varepsilon ~ \Theta \rho \eta ı к i ́ \eta ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ к о и ̃ 申 \alpha ́ ~ т \varepsilon ~$

 escaping the 'hounds of justice', the Erinyes); also Eur. Alc. 584-6, El. 860-1 with Hunter (1993b) 66 n. 80.
 Greek heroes, Crethon and Orsilochus) 入દ́Ovte סú

 16-17n.


 described as dogs. A.'s simile has multiple points of comparison, tying it closely to the action (nn. 35-9, 139-42).

For ó $\mu$ ок $\lambda$ ń cf. Call. h. 4.158-9 ப́m’ ó



 help would not escape his attention and that at any moment she would suffer a terrible

 revealed: Odysseus is worried that Eurycleia will recognise him from his hunting wound). The use of indirect speech to describe Medea's fears and the vagueness of the
 a range of threatening possibilities) maintain the tension. Direct speech is saved for Medea's farewell (30-3).
 19. 390, Hom. Hym. 2.391 with Fränkel (OCT) on 2.1135 for the mss. variation between $-\sigma \sigma$ and $-\sigma$ in A . and Homer and the uncertainty of knowing what A . actually wrote.




 (rather than $\kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha ́$ ) emphasises Medea's possible fate.

## 

 eyes filled with fire and there was a terrible roaring in her ears.' A. shortens his phrases, marking the frantic nature of Medea's mood, pointed by the repetition of $\pi$.

 'skilled in' or 'having knowledge of' and 4.89 'having knowledge of' in the sense of 'being witness to something'). Here, A. uses the word absolutely with no qualifying
phrase. The meaning is again 'having knowledge of' or 'being witness to'; cf. $\Sigma$ Od.

 Rengakos (1994) 87, 173-4 on Ėтıíotตp, (2001) 203 on A.'s treatment of Homeric hapax and dis legomena and 228-30n. for Émıúptupas similarly disputed.

દ̇v $\delta$ ह́ oi ő oó . . . àkovaí mixes epic and lyric elements, referring both to Sappho fr. 31.11-2 Voigt (quoted below) and the Homeric battlefield. Rissman (1983) 72 discusses fr. 31 in terms of the application of 'Homeric battle simile and


 19.471-2, 20.348-9 where the reference to eyes is followed by a phrase saying that they were either full of fire or full of tears (e.g. Od. 4.704-5 סף̀v $\delta \varepsilon ́ ~ \mu ı \nu ~ \alpha ̉ \mu \varphi \alpha \sigma o i n ~$ $\varepsilon ̇ \pi \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \varepsilon ~ т \omega ̀ ~ \delta \varepsilon ́ ~ o i ́ ~ o ̋ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon ~ / ~ \delta \alpha к р ч o ́ q ı ~ п \lambda \eta ̃ \sigma \theta \varepsilon \nu)$. At the beginning of line 17, instead of the expected tear formula, we get the description usually used of warriors (cf. 1.1296-7 (Telamon), 4.1437 (Heracles), 4.1543-5 ( $\delta \rho \alpha к \omega ้ v)) . ~ O n ~ f i r e ~ i n ~ t h e ~$ eyes of Homeric warriors, see Lovatt (2013) 311-24. Women on the point of suicide are often described as having blood-shot eyes; e.g. Virg. Aen. 4.642-3 effera Dido / sanguineam volvere aciem. In descriptions of the eyes, fire and blood imagery are often combined; cf. 2.210 (of the serpents) ardentisque oculos suffecti sanguine et igne. Medea's fear is changing into a desperation close to anger; cf. her denunciation of Jason (30-3). A. is allusively portraying the volatility of Medea's
 the desperate threats uttered at the end of the scene (especially 3.1111-7). For subtle
changes of emotion within a scene in Hellenistic poetry cf. Mosch. Eur. 145-6 (with Bühler's note), and Theocr. 2 throughout.

The epic flavour of $\delta$ हıvòv $\delta$ é (Il. 3.337, 11.42, Od. 16.401, 22.124) contrasts


 àkovaí, 1.879 тєрıßронє́єбкоข $\mu$ ह́入ıбб๙ı and Catull. 51.10-11 sonitu suopte / tintinant aures for a later imitation). A. is either varying Sappho or knew another
 45 n. 128, 238-40n.). For similar symptoms to those quoted by Sappho and A. cf. the Indian epic Bhagavad Gita (chapter 1.29-30 = Zaehner (1969) 117): ‘ . . . My limbs give way (beneath me) / My mouth dries up, and trembling / Takes hold upon my frame: / My body's hairs stand up (in dread). / (My bow) Gandiva, slips from my hand, / my very skin is all ablaze; / I cannot stand, my mind seems to wander (all distraught)'; see D’Angour (2013) 59-72.

## 

 pulling her hair out by the roots she screamed in sorrowful pain.' For the anaphora cf. 4.358-9n., 3.1071 (пñ̃), 3.1088-9 (прஸ̃тоऽ); cf. for the whole phrase Colluth. 340-1

 'something of the iterative nature of the pathos of Sappho fr. 31' (Acosta-Hughes (2010) 45 n .129 ; see Markovich (1972) 21 on the subjunctive " $\delta \omega$ (line 7), ‘whenever

I look you'). For the combination of lament and self-beating cf. Soph. $E l .88-9, A j$. 627-33 with Finglass ad loc.

For the Homeric dis legomenon $\lambda$ aukavin (Il. 22.325, 24.642) the spelling $\lambda \alpha u k-$ is better attested, but, especially at 24.642 , $\lambda_{\varepsilon u k}-$ is found; see West (2000) app. crit. At 2.192, mss., $\Sigma$ (p. 141 Wendel) and testimonia unanimously read $\lambda \varepsilon \cup \kappa-$, but at 4.18 入auk- is the more frequent reading. A. perhaps alludes to a Homeric zetema (Nagy (1996) 1) by using both forms (thus Rengakos (1993) 42, 135-6, (2002b) 148;). Arg. 2.192 would constitute A.'s allusion to Il. 24.642, both sharing the context of 'feeding', while Il. 22.325 and 4.18 refer to the neck per se; see Cuypers (1997) on 2.192.

коupí is Homeric hapax (Od. 22.188); cf. [Call.] fr. incerti auctoris 772.1




 177). The relationship between the two explanations is unclear. Did the Callimachean fragment continue коирі६ / aivupévous [ $\pi \lambda$ ока́ $\mu$ оиs] or is something is seized 'in the fashion of a young man'? Although Pfeiffer thinks that the authorship of this fragment is doubtful, it would suit Theseus in the Hecale, which describes the hero's youthful exploits (cf. fr. 236 Pfeiffer = fr. 10 Hollis). On A.'s relationship to the scholarship of Aristarchus see Rengakos (1994) 106, (2001) 201-2.
 the variatio between 28 and 30, пло́кацоv $\sim \pi \lambda$ о́коv (for which see below). Pulling out the hair is a demonstration of grief from Homer onwards (Il. 10.15, 22.77-8,
22.405-6, Val. Flacc. 8.7-8, Triphiod. 374, Nonn. D. 1.127, 34.224, 35.370, with Finglass on Soph. $A j$. 627-33). There is also early evidence from Geometric art: the Dipylon krater (c. 750-35 B.C., Accession number: 14.130.14, Metropolitan Museum, New York) shows women tearing out their hair in grief. $\beta p u \chi n ́ \sigma \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ is properly used of a lion according to Hesych. $\beta 1278=1352$
 Deianeira) $\beta \rho \cup \chi$ व̃to $\mu غ ̀ v \beta \omega \mu$ õ̃oı mpoomimtovo'. Sophocles' audience must have been shocked to hear the word used of a woman; cf. 1070-2 оi̋kтірóv тદ́ $\mu \varepsilon$ /
 Ajax to a bull at Soph. Aj. 322 (with Finglass ad loc.), and in the Iliad mostly of the


## 

 $\pi \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ \nu \eta$. 'There and then the young girl would have killed herself by taking

 5.388-9, 8.90-1.
 5.900, 11.515, 11.830. In Homer фápuaka $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega v ~ m e a n s ~ ‘ s p r i n k l e ~ m e d i c i n e s ’ ; ~ A . ~$


For a heroine in Greek mythology contemplating or committing suicide, a rope



 death in tragedy (Loraux (1991) 8). However it is natural that Medea, as a woman skilled in drugs, contemplates poison as means of taking her life.

## 

 goddess made her decide to flee in fear with the sons of Phrixos.' The suspense of this part of the conditional is heightened by its rhetoric and word order (Ф९íßoıo $\theta \varepsilon \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ oùv maıoí literally implicates the sons of Phrixos in the goddess's machinations). The sentence structure previously used to describe the preservation of such heroes as Aeneas and Odysseus on the battlefield (see above) is now used of a panic-stricken
 of Medea at 4.39 in the 'slave-girl' simile.

23-4 птє chest was calmed.' $\pi$ тєяคóєıs is applied to óïஎтоí (Il. 5.171), кєраиvós (Ar. Av. 576),
 1238), but nowhere else to Өupós. Usually the adjective denotes something moving quickly in a definite direction, but here A. seems to be thinking of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \propto \pi \tau \varepsilon \rho o ́ \omega$ which can mean metaphorically 'excite' or 'make agitated' (cf. Eur. Supp. 89 ف́s фóßos u' ávaாтtєроі̃, Or. 876). For similar verbs denoting mental agitation in an erotic context








 (Il. 23.600, 24.321, Od. 15.165), the only place with matching metrical quantity and enjambment is Il. 23.597-8 тоĩo סè Өupòs / iớvӨŋ (Od. 22.58-9 đòv кñp / ỉavӨñ̃, Il. 15.103); cf. 2.306, 3.1019, 4.1591-2, Theocr. 2.82, 27.70, Call. Aet. fr. 80.8 Harder, Mosch. Eur. 72, [Mosch.] Megara 1. The rhythm is striking: a molossus (---) followed by dactyls to denote the speed with which she transfers the drugs; see Mooney (1912) 412.

## 

 back from the casket into the fold of her dress.' Medea is a $甲 \alpha \rho \mu \alpha$ кis like Simaetha in
 parallels between this passage and 3.803-24, where her taking down this chest seems to presage an imminent death. As she replaces it, she resolves to live, a decision brought about by Hera. At 4.24-5, again under the influence of Hera (21), she takes the drugs from the chest, an action which symbolises her decision to live. The box is left behind, in the same way as the lock of hair. The separation of drugs from their coffer is a metaphor for the separation of magician from her native land.

It is at Hera's suggestion that Medea is first consulted (3.27) because she is
 also connects Medea with Circe, her aunt (Od. 10.276): ‘Circe, enchantress of many
drugs is also the ．．．most successful and most dangerous practitioner of erotic seduction．Her thelxis is simultaneously magical and erotic＇（Segal（1996）62）．
 22．271，Od．1．43，2．356）and пóvvt＇ő $\mu v \delta_{1 s}(I l .12 .385, O d .12 .413)$ ；cf． 4.666 à $\theta$ póa фа́ $\rho \mu \alpha \kappa^{\prime}$ है $\delta \alpha \pi \tau \varepsilon \nu$ ．The phrase emphasises that，as she prepares for flight，she is taking all her most precious possessions，packed into the capacious pocket of her chiton（cf．Gow on Theocr．16．16，S．West on Od． 3.154 for кó入mos used of this pocket）．Later in this description of her escape she does not appear to be carrying a chest（44－6）．

кó $\lambda \pi \varphi$ is Platt＇s emendation of transmitted kó $\lambda \pi \omega \nu$（Platt（1914）37；cf．Il．
 є̋ $\mu \pi є \sigma \varepsilon$ ко́入тоıs，3．867，Val．Flacc．8．17－9 prodit medicamina cistis／virgineosque sinus ipsumque monile venenis／implicat．Livrea’s defence of mss．кó入т $\pi \omega$（（1973） ad loc．and（1983）421）as a genitive of destination，with $\phi \omega \rho ı \alpha \mu \circ$ õo as a genitive of origin produces a clumsy sentence not supported by his chosen parallels（Il．23．281－2


The middle of $\kappa \alpha т \alpha \chi$ ह́ $\omega$ is not Homeric；apparently first at Hes．Op．583，
 and for the present phrase Euphorion fr．15c． 1 Lightfoot $\beta \lambda \alpha \psi i ́ q \rho o v \alpha$ $\varphi \alpha \alpha_{\rho} \mu_{\kappa \alpha}$ Xะบ̃ยv．

## 

 touched the walls．＇This scene is foreshadowed at 3．635－64．The kiss（Hawley（2007） 12 ）is one of farewell to her family and the life，symbolised by the bedroom（and its
structural elements) that she has known as an unmarried girl; for kissing or handling the door-posts in farewell cf. Virg. Aen. 2.490 amplexaeque tenent postes atque oscula figunt, Val. Flacc. 2.168-9 oscula iamque toris atque oscula postibus ipsis / ingeminant.

Alcestis, in contrast to Medea, sees her bed as a symbol of her married life, as





 abandonment of her by a suicide carried out in a place that epitomises her married



 Virg. Aen. 4.650 (Dido sees her bed as epitomising the marriage that she thought she had) incubuitque toro dixitque novissima verba. The common context is the importance of the thalamos in a woman's life; see Loraux (1987) 23-4, discussing the connection between marriage, death and the marriage chamber.

The bedroom and the bed continue to be an important motif in later erotic writing; cf. Prop. 2.15.1-2 o tu / lectule deliciis facte beate meis, Plut. De Garrul.



 $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon \omega ̃ v \circ \varsigma$.

In the paradosis $\delta_{⿺ 𠃊} \lambda i \delta^{\prime} \alpha \varsigma$ must agree with the $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu$ oús. In this context, $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu o ́ s ~ a p a r t ~ f r o m ~ a ~ r e f e r e n c e ~ i n ~ t h e ~ S e p t u a g i n t ~(L X X ~ 4 ~ K i .12 .9) ~ a l w a y s ~ m e a n s ~$ 'doorpost'. Homer always uses $\delta$ ıк $\lambda i ́ \delta \varepsilon s$ with words like $\theta$ úpaı (Od. 17.268, Arg.
 singular or plural, with or without a noun, is used of 'a double or folding door'

 Theocr. 14.42). This makes 'double door posts' a difficult phrase; cf. 1.786-7 $\alpha$ ैv $v \sigma \alpha v$
 with $\mathrm{LSJ}^{9}$ s.v. oóvis 1 and 6 b . Although A. takes a delight in varying Homeric phraseology, it seems foreign to his practice to create a formula so different from the Homeric context; see Fantuzzi and Hunter (2004) 266-74 on the nature of A.'s adaption of Homeric style and language. Campbell (1971) 418 conjectured $\delta ı \kappa \lambda i ́ \delta o s$, offering two parallels, Aratus 193 and Theocritus 14.42, the latter a conversational passage, with a colloquial tone unlike A.'s more Homerically influenced diction.

## 

 a long tress of hair, she left it in her bed chamber as a memorial of her maidenhood for her mother and lamented with a grieving voice.' Although the background to this scene is traditional, that of a young girl leaving the family home and making a ritual



IT 820), Medea's gesture is more violent because she is a bride embarking on a formal ceremony against her will, as the words of her farewell show. Her dedication of the lock to her mother, rather than to a deity, provides a dramatic subject for her first reported words. For the wider tradition of sacrificing hair to procure a good outcome, see Harder (2012) 803, quoting in particular Il. 23.140-1 (where Achilles sacrifices a lock of hair to Patroclus), Vian (1981) 148.

The dedication of a lock also recalls Callimachus' Coma Berenices (fr. Aet. 110-110f Harder; see Fantuzzi and Hunter (2004) 85-8, 87 n. 179, Acosta-Hughes (2007), (2010) 48). Both poets use the image of 'involuntary separation' (30-2n. $\lambda_{\text {ıToũ } \sigma \alpha) . ~ C a l l i m a c h u s ~ i s ~ a t t e m p t i n g ~ a ~ c l e v e r ~ l i t e r a r y ~ c o n c e i t ~-~ t h e ~ l o c k ~ l e a v e s ~ i t s ~}^{\text {a }}$ owner behind and speaks about its action, while A . uses the idea to raise the emotional level of Medea's speech. The contrast is the same as that between Catull. 66.39 invita, o regina, tuo de vertice cessi and Virg. Aen. 6.460 invitus, regina, tuo de litore cessi, 'a locus classicus of literary allusion’ (Wills (1998) 278; see Harder (2012) 811 and Pellicia (2010-11)).

Although the Callimachean original is fragmentary (fr. Aet. 110 39-40)
 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \circ \nu$, fitting well with the following line, which is largely preserved, viz. ơk $\kappa \omega \nu$,]
 influenced by Callimachus, it is tempting to see 4.30 as another allusion to the missing line. The situation is reversed, with Medea's abandoning the lock, this being
 cessi. For more possible allusions to Coma Berenices see 57-65n. A. uses the motif of





 Vian (1981) ad loc.) but the text is a sound, if daring, experiment in language, conveying emotion by suggesting an act of violence and continuing the use of heroic language for Medea's situation (16-17n.); see Livrea (1983) 421 in support of
 ¢́ń $\gamma \nu \cup \sigma \theta \propto ı$ can describe the 'rending of clothes' as a sign of grief, 'rending of hair' seems possible here. The influence of $\delta \alpha i ̈ \zeta \omega$ may also be felt; cf. 18.27 qí $\$ ņoı $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$
 ย̋ppпระ Хıтడ̃va; also Virg. Aen. 12.870 infelix crinis scindit Iuturna solutos, Ov. Met. 11.683, Her. 3.79, Tibull. 1.10.55.


 often refers to a permanent memorial left by, or in honour of people after their deaths, its use here underlines the extreme nature of the action that Medea is taking in cutting herself off from her family.

For a farewell to $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon v i n ~ c f . ~ S a p p h o ~ f r . ~ 114.1 ~ V o i g t ~ \pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon v i ́ \alpha, ~ \pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon v i ́ \alpha, ~$


 stages of marriage. Medea's words are an ironic twist on such statements as her relationship with Jason only achieves a degree of formality at 4.95-100 when he
makes an offer of marriage, the motives for which are a mixture of sympathy and self-



 grief; cf. Silk (1983) 323-4 on the concept of the 'iconym', 'a word which has become obsolete' and in which it is 'barely possible to separate the question of meaning from the effect' and Tsagalis (2004) 55 comparing Il. 24.747 Tñoıv $\delta^{\prime}$ a ${ }^{\top} \theta^{\prime}$
 to show how easily ádıvós may be replaced by a more significant word in a formulaic phrase. The definitions of ádıvós given by LSJ ${ }^{9}$ (close, thick, crowded, thronging, vehement, loud) show the impossibility of classifying such a word.

## 

 סónos. 'I go leaving this flowing lock for you instead of me, my mother. Farewell as I depart on a long journey. Farewell, Chalkiope and all my home!' In 6-29 A. has adopted a voice similar to that of a messenger in tragedy, describing the last moments of a main character. Medea now speaks directly, increasing the drama of the moment. Eur. Alc. 175-7 (quoted 26-7n.) displays the same technique.

 Hughes and Stephens (2012) 94-5, fr. 554b $\operatorname{Tr} G F$ ผ̃ tavaòs ai日ńp (O outspread heaven), 'Flowing hair' is a characteristic of the 'bacchant', first mocked by Pentheus as effeminate and exotic but later adopted by him. Here the phrase connects Medea
with the exoticism of Dionysos, even though as a woman, it would be natural for her to have long hair. Schaaf (2014) 223-47 argues that A. invokes the imagery of Maenadism to convey Medea's troubled state of mind. For possible allusions to Callimachus' Coma Berenices see 27-9n., and for the variation $\pi \lambda$ о́кк $\alpha о \nu \sim \pi \lambda$ о́коv cf. Damagetus A.P. 6.277.2, $4=1376,1378$ HE.
$\lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \pi \omega$ and its cognates are a recurrent feature of the theme of unwilling departure. The archetypal passages are Sappho fr. 94.5 Voigt $\Psi \alpha ́ \pi \varphi ', ~ ก ̃ ~ \mu \alpha ́ v ~ \sigma ' ~$



 yaías, which Tsagalis (2008) 269 compares to the language of a fourth century Attic epitaph. It retains something of that nature here. The verb represents one of the expected elements of the scene, which Medea's exceptional gestures (28 $\dot{\rho} \eta \xi \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$ $\pi \lambda$ о́к $\alpha \mu о \nu$ ) and language (32-3) distort and fracture.

The statement $\chi$ aípois also characterises the departure as in Sappho fr. 94.6-8


 saying 'farewell' to her mother as she is taken from her native land). Pelliccia (201011) 160 discusses the wider tradition in which the word is often closely associated

 poignant and nostalgic compared with Medea's bitterness here.

For пп̃̃ $\delta$ ס́n०ऽ, marking Medea's intention to split from her entire family cf.
 Sónos êppoı. Chalciope is mentioned particularly because of the complex interplay between the two sisters in Book 3 (3.674-740; see De Forest (1994) 114-17 on the way they attempt to manipulate one another, while masking this with Homeric
 with 4.368-9n.).

 sea had destroyed you, stranger, before you arrived in Colchis. So she spoke, and abundant tears poured down from her eyes.' This is an echo of the 'might-have-been' thought from the opening of the Medea (Eur. Med. 1-15) which has its origin in Od. 18.401-2 (the suitors discussing Odysseus in disguise as a beggar) a" $\theta^{\prime} \omega^{\prime \prime} \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{o}$
 Medea Exul fr. 208-9 Jocelyn, Catull. 64.171-2, Virg. Aen. 4.657, Ov. Her. 12.9-10. Medea's words are an expression of the common ancient wish to trace the origin of troubles back to an archē kakōn (e.g. the Judgment of Paris); see Finglass on Soph. Aj. 282 and Mastronarde (2010) 123-4, 134, 140.

Medea mentions Jason for the first time in Book 4, addresses him as $\xi \varepsilon \check{\imath} \varepsilon$ (88-90n.) and curses him. Her first appeal for help is to the sons of Phrixos (4.71-2) to whom she is related. The arrival of a 'stranger' in Colchis perhaps reflects the contacts that had taken place in the eastern Mediterranean over a period of three hundred years in which encounters between native women and Greek men must have
been frequent; see Stephens (2003) 191-2 discussing the theme of an adventuring male arriving in a foreign land and encountering a foreign woman, often high born. £́aí $\omega$ rather than $\delta ı \alpha \rho \rho \alpha i \omega$ is more usually used of a shipwreck (Od. 8.569, 13.151, 23.235) but cf. Od. 12.290 (Eurylochus giving a forceful answer to Odysseus)
 the compound verb increases the violence of Medea's curse. The combination


 Od. 4.114, 8.522, 14.129, 17.490, 23.33, 24.46, [Mosch.] Megara 57-9 סákpua / . . .
 $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ́ \pi \varepsilon เ \tau \alpha$ токŋ́డv). Instead of repeating Homeric phraseology, A. gives his description particular point by combining it with the unique Euripidean usuage: to say that Medea's tears are abundant stresses the emotion of the moment.

## 


 $\chi \varepsilon$ ĩpas ávóoons. 'Just like a prisoner-of-war dragged through a rich house, whom fate has just separated from her homeland - nor has she yet experienced wearying labour, but, unused to wretchedness and fearing the work of slaves, she goes under the harsh control of a mistress.' The slave-girl unwillingly goes to face an immediate harsh fate, as Medea unwillingly (cf. 32-3) goes to find Jason and throw in her lot with him. The atmosphere is that of Euripides' war plays. In the prologue of Andromache the eponymous character talks of her slavery, using phrases reminiscent

 play has features which recall the Argonautica; e.g. the alleged use of $\varphi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho \mu \propto \kappa \alpha$ by Andromache, 'the foreign, barbarian woman' to make her rival, Hermione, barren (Andr. 33).
 of the simile that both girls go unwillingly to their respective fates; cf. 1.687
 similarity being the use of physical force. The slave-girl is dragged through the house to meet her mistress, after separation from her homeland. The idea that she is escaping (see $\Sigma$ ad loc. below) from the house does not fit well with line 39 . Medea leaves the house to find Jason. Medea hurries ( $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\xi} \sigma \sigma$ ovto), but this is of necessity. She goes to find Jason much against her will (cf. 20-33) and is similarly separated from her homeland. Since the presiding deities of both Books 3 and 4 are Erato and Eros (cf. the invocations 3.1, 4.1 and 4.445-9), the $\chi \propto \lambda \varepsilon \pi r$ 解 $\alpha \propto \sigma \sigma \alpha$ of line 39 could also be Aphrodite and one implicit meaning of the simile as a whole that love has the power to ruin an innocent girl's life and condemn her to an uncertain future. véov, $\nu u ́ ~ \pi \omega$ and
 one of the slave-girl's mental aguish at her immediate prospects after her arrival at her place of captivity. The unexpected comparison is not about speed of movement but about the state of mind that the two girls share.

 mane slipping out of the dank head ran down disorderly over his neck.' Nonnus who is fond of imitating A. (p. 7 n . 44) must have taken it from an already corrupted text
of the Argonautica and like $\Sigma$ (p. 263 Wendel) on A. guessed that it meant $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \theta \rho \alpha$
 koúpŋ. Erbse (1963) 23 explained $\delta ı \varepsilon ı \lambda u \sigma \theta \varepsilon i ̃ \sigma \alpha ~ b y ~ r e f e r e n c e ~ t o ~ 3.1313 ~ \delta ı \alpha ̀ ~ p \lambda o \gamma o ̀ s ~$


 ‘crouched’ (cf. 3.281, Il. 24.510, Opp. Hal. 2.124, Theocr. 24.17). Nowhere, however, does $\varepsilon i \lambda \cup \cup ́ \omega$ (which in A . and late epic generally can equal $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \cup \dot{\prime} \omega$; see Mooney on 3.1291 and $\operatorname{LSJ}^{9}$ s.v. $\varepsilon i \lambda u \omega$ and $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda u$ ú $\omega$ ) bear any meaning denoting motion. Fränkel

 $\delta 1 \varepsilon ́ \lambda \kappa \omega$ is not the right word for prisoners-of-war being forcibly dragged. It means 'tear apart'or 'drag across (LSJ ${ }^{9}$ s.v.).


 סoú $\lambda ı$ ı́v $\mu^{\prime}$ દ́ $\sigma \tilde{a}$ Yov aĩơv. A. is using a typical motif (woman as slave-captive) in an

 (2011) ad loc.). For the idea of marriage as forced exile cf. Soph. fr. $583.8 \operatorname{Tr} G F$ in which a woman compares the pleasant life a woman leads in her father's house to her life afterwards, when she is traded in marriage; see Hunter (1987) $137=(2008) 54-5$.

बĩ $\sigma \alpha$ and $\mu$ oĩp are equivalent in A. and other authors; cf. 3.3-4 où $\gamma$ àp kaì


possible nuances in the use of the two words.

 Livrea printed Lloyd-Jones’s suggestion (OCT app. crit.) סúnv, comparing Semon. fr.
 Homer (Il. 10.493) and takes the genitive. It is doubtful whether A. would have changed the case. The enjambment of the established text, taking $\delta$ oú $\lambda_{1 \alpha}$ épra with

 A.'s style.


 ioxouoiv ßíov on the captives made by her husband Heracles.
$\chi \propto \lambda \varepsilon \pi \alpha \grave{\varsigma}$ úmò $\chi \varepsilon$ Ĩpas $\alpha$ áváoons also has significance for Medea's plight. The a̛v $\alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha$ is possibly Hera (cf. 4.21) or more probably Aphrodite (see p. 47), forcing her into the arms of Jason, although she does not want to go. She is often spoken of as a cruel goddess (Anacr. fr. 346 5-6 PMG $\delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu\left[\omega \tilde{\nu} / \chi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \pi \tilde{\omega} \nu \delta \imath^{\prime}\right.$ 'Aqpoסítn, Asclep.




 סєoדmotikàv ÚTাò Xeĩpa.
 lovely maiden rushed from her home.' A. is reminding us that in spite of her distress, Medea retains her beauty and that at 92 Jason has a tangible reason for rejoicing. The description of the simile concentrates on her inner state of mind; the main text on her

 on the part of a female character described at Theocr. 14.35-6 áveıpúбoa $\sigma \alpha$ סغ̀
 סıк入íóos, ã̛ тóסes ã̃yov.

## 

 rapidly leaping back at the sound of her spells.' Doors open magically at $I l .5 .749-51$


 óхก̃६ऽ; see McKay (1967) 184-94, Weinrich (1929) 342-62, Schaaf (2014) 223-47.

 opens the door through effort: Medea through magic.

Fränkel (1961) obelises $\omega$ ふ̇кíđıs and suggests épkzí $\omega$ v. Campbell (1969) 282 defends the paradosis, as does Livrea, who tries to show that $\omega$ кús in certain senses is equivalent to ó $̧$ ús when referring to sound. Campbell (quoting Od. 21.50) and Vian ((1981) 148 citing the v.l. suggested by Aristarchus at Il. 14.418 together with 23.880) must be right when arguing that $\omega$ ккíaıs is equivalent to an adverb. For the adjective


 A.'s example is more involved because the transferred epithet-adverb is not attached to the subject or object of the phrase but to an instrumental dative.
A. is fond of structuring the line with adjective and noun at opposite ends (cf. 3.1285, 3.1325, 4.97, 4.452, 4.623); see Wifstrand (1933) 134-5 for comparison with other epic poets.
 through the narrow streets.' One way to describe haste is to say that the individual concerned did not have time to put on their shoes. Cf. Alcman fr. 1.15 PMGF

 3.646 vท́ㅅıтоऽ, oiє́ $\alpha v o s$, one of the many links between these two scenes.



 eye-level around her forehead, covering her lovely cheeks and with her right lifting the hem of her tunic high off the ground.' Medea is in disguise and, therefore hides beneath her drapped cloak. She raises the hem of her garment so she may flee all the faster. There are perhaps some similarities with this small bronze statue (250-150 BC, height 20.5 cm ., from Alexandria, current location: Metropolitan

Museum of Art, serial no. 1972.118.95). While this figure is usually believed to be that of a dancer (Naerebout (2001), Martins (1985) 48-49), the pose that she adopts fits A.'s description of Medea. Movement and concealment are combined with a hint of seduction, although the statue uses the 'wrong' hand to hide her face (222-4n.). For similar examples from the art of the seventh century and later cf. CVA Louvre III I $d$, plate 51, nos. 4, 6, Webster (1964) plate X; XIXB, Havelock (1971) plates 118, 119, plate 130 and Llewellyn-Jones (2003) on veiled women in antiquity: the dancer appears to be wearing a face veil and was perhaps an image with which A. was familiar.

The Homeric formula is $\sigma \kappa \propto ı n ̃ ̃, ~ \delta \varepsilon \xi ı т \varepsilon \rho n ̃ ̃ ~ \delta ' ~(I l . ~ 1.501, ~ 21.490) ; ~ c f . ~ I l . ~ 16.734 ~$
 opposite ends of consecutive lines, creating an chiastic arrangement. Medea is 'wrapped' in her cloak both physically and verbally. He uses the non-Homeric $\lambda \alpha \times \underline{n}$



The image of girls raising their dress to run is not found in Homer or Hesiod. Nausicaa's maids are described as running along side her at Od. 6.84, but cf. Hom.

 some sensual detail as he does at 4.940 when describing the Nereids; also Call. $h$.
 above), 26.16-7, Mosch. Eur. 126-7, Catull. 64.128-9. There is probably no erotic connotation here or link with Artemis or Diana.

## 

 $\sigma \varnothing \varepsilon \alpha \varsigma$ ó $\rho \mu \eta \theta \varepsilon i ̃ \sigma \alpha$. 'She quickly went in fear, unseen along a path outside the walls of the city with its broad ways; none of the guards recognised her and she escaped their notice as she went on her way.' We should read áníß $\bar{\eta} \lambda$ os rather than transmitted áî́ $ŋ \eta \lambda o v$. The adjective is only found in Homer meaning 'unseen' as a v.l. in the
 Өєòs ös $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ éqпиє and at Hes. Op. 756; but see Finglass on Soph. Aj. 606-7/8,
 ‘consuming. destructive, abominable'. He translates 608 áî́ $\eta \eta \lambda \circ v$ " $A \iota \delta \propto \nu$, ‘unseen Hades'. In A. it means 'unseen' three times, here and at 1.102, 4.865. In the present case what is 'unseen' is not the path but Medea (48 oú $\delta$ ह́ Tis $̇ \gamma v \omega$ reinforces the fact that no one sees her). She is wrapped up in her cloak. A. nowhere else combines oтíßos with an adjective (cf. 1.781, 1253, 3.534, 3.927, 3.1218). Perhaps the line was in Virgil's mind when he wrote Aen. 6.268 ibant obscuri sola sub nocte, where obscuri is Virgil's equivalent of ớíס $\eta \lambda \circ \varsigma$, with the transferred sense of sola sub nocte stressing that the walkers are alone.
 eủpuxópoıo, Sappho fr. 44.12 Voigt (news of the wedding of Hector and
 єúpu]xóp[o]u Tpoías. The use of the epithet with äбтєos stresses the richness of the life that Medea is leaving behind her for the sake of the Greek foreigner.

 mss. îkєт', suggesting that a verb denoting flight is required such as $\delta \boldsymbol{i} \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$. His
objection is a valid one and cannot be answered, as Livrea tries to do, by quoting $I l$. 19.115 карта $\lambda i ́ \mu \omega \varsigma \delta^{\prime}$ 'íкєт' 'Apros 'Ахаико́v. What is required is a verb not of arrival, but of progression as at 4.1182-3 クᄁp
 There has already been a reference to the speed of Medea's progress ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \in \sigma \sigma u t 0$ koúpn) and she has not yet arrived at her destination. The corruption is easily explained. $\Phi \circ B \omega$ IKIEN was wrongly divided as $\Phi$ OB $\omega$ / IKIEN which led to


 similar: Priam and his herald escape the Greek camp by night after their visit to

 үuvaĩkas. Darkness and secrecy pervade the opening of Book 4; this atmosphere is only dispelled when Jason and Medea gain the Fleece with its illuminating radiance at 4.167-86. For similar contrasts between light and dark cf. Eur. Ba. 608-11 $\omega$ º qóos нé $\gamma$ ıotov (the light of deliverance - Dionysus released from a gloomy prison) and see Rood (2014) 72 n. 16 discussing Arg. 4.296-7 (a literal instance) and Eur. IT 746.
 there she intended to make straight for the plain: for she was not ignorant of the way.'
 (PE) is to be preferred. The plain of Ares, where the contest has been held, was on the south bank of the river opposite the city (2.1266-9). The Argonauts have moored beside it (3.1270-7). The conjecture $\nu \eta \cup ̛ ์ v \delta \varepsilon$ (Maas OCT app. crit.) is unnecessary and
supposes an unusual diaeresis (cf. 1.1358). Vian (1981) 149 argues for the retention of $\nu \eta o ́ v \delta \varepsilon$. In terms of the plot, there is little point in her going to the temple of Hecate. She wants to cross the river and reach the Argonauts (68), who then come to meet her in the Argo (77-80).
oú $\gamma$ àp ớıסpıs signals a change of tone in the narrative. The escape-by-night of a scared young girl becomes an allusive disquisition on the skills and habits of Thessalian witches, concluding with the ironic intervention of the goddess of the Moon.

## 

 roamed in search of corpses and roots that were difficult to dig up as women who work with drugs do.' At 3.531-3 Argos talks of Medea's extraordinary skills as a witch. This is one of the first things that we hear of her in the poem (see Fantuzzi (2007) 77-95, (2008) 302-3, 4.51-3n.). Medea is at once witch and love-sick maiden; cf. Simaetha in Theoc. 2 and the woman in the Fragmentum Grenfellianum (Esposito (2005) 19-25). Part of the rites of ancient witches involve corpses; cf. Hor. Sat. 1.8.21-2, Ov. Her. 6.89-90, Lucan. 6.511-2. For Өauá see 58-61n., where it also marks recurrent actions and feelings.

A's use of $\delta v \sigma \pi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \alpha \varsigma\left(L S J{ }^{9}\right.$ s.v. 2 $\delta v \sigma \pi \alpha \lambda$ ŕs ‘dangerous’ should be deleted;

 ópúooxıv. For ṕíhas $\chi$ Өovós cf. Sophocles' Root-cutters in which Medea is described cropping evil plants while turning away, so that the power of their noxious smell will not kill her (F534.1-6 $\operatorname{TrGF}$ ).

For the activities of $\gamma$ uvaĩкєs $\phi \propto \rho \mu \propto \kappa$ íठes described elsewhere cf. Ar. Nub.

 фариакíסะऽ. See Mirecki (2002) 378-86 on the witches of Thessaly.
 quivering fear.' $\delta \varepsilon ́$ marks a strong contrast: Medea is used to wandering around in this area, searching for raw materials; but fear now makes her heart beat. For $\delta$ zí $\mu \alpha$ т


 524, Soph. OT 153, Arg. 4.752. Hdt. 7.140.3 (from an oracle) $\delta \varepsilon і ́ \mu \alpha т ı ~ \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda о ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu о ı, ~$ Mosch. 2.16-17). For $\varphi \rho$ év $\alpha$ as the object in a related expression cf. [Aesch.] $P V 881$


## 

 'The daughter of Titan, the Moon goddess, was just rising from the horizon and seeing her mad haste rejoiced heartily and such were her unspoken thoughts.' The introduction of the goddess of the Moon alters the mood entirely. The past misfortunes of the goddess and her present unexalted emotion adds a delightful twist to the narrative whose chief note has previously been pathos, fear and excitement; see further Hutchinson (1990) 123. The intricacy of the word order of 54-5 heightens the bizarreness and the surprise: Medea is 'trapped' ( $\varnothing$ оוт $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \eta \nu)$ between the two references to the Moon (Titquis . . . Mńuq).

Lovers address the Moon, stars and night as a way of relieving their feelings;

 4.2785 'Come to me, O beloved mistress, three-faced Selene; kindly hear my sacred chants; Night's ornament, young, bringing light to mortals', Theocr. 2.165-8, Marc. Argent. A.P. 5.16, Philod. A.P. $5.123=3212-17$ GP with a mention of Endymion in the last line, Meleager A.P. $5.191=4378-85$ HE. On this critical occasion the Moon addresses the lover. We can only guess at the actual extent of A's originality. He may have had a precedent in New Comedy. The prologue in Plautus' Rudens, spoken by the star Arcturus, goes back to Diphilos; see Marx (1928) 52, Hunter (2008) 177. $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \varepsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta \pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha ́ t \eta \theta \varepsilon \nu$ may be astrological terminology; cf. Arat. 821

 adding realistic descriptive detail to the scene; see Rengakos (1994) 127 for mépatn,
 for the Hellenistic use of these words and also Redondo (2000) 144 for A.'s non-epic


 of characters pushed to the edge of reason; cf. Hesych. $\Phi 719$ (p. $172 \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{C}$ )
 $\delta^{\prime}$ ả $\rho$ ’ ẺX
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega s$ usually used of a 'strong appetite’ (cf. 2.306, Od. 6.249-50 דĩve
 the Moon speaks.

 $\beta \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \tau \alpha$. This half line marks the beginning of an interior monologue on the part of
 $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda$ ńtop $\alpha$ Өuムóv (e.g. Il. 11.403), after which the sentiments expressed by the character in question are usually highly emotional as they debate a critical course of action. It is part of the surprise that the reported thoughts of the Moon are of a different nature; the interior monologue in A. is discussed in Fusillo (2001) 127-46.

57-65 According to $\Sigma$ (p. 264 Wendel) on A. Sappho (fr. 199 PLF, omitted by Voigt) was the first to write about Endymion and Selene. The legend can be traced in literature from then down to Nonnus; cf. (in addition to the list in $\Sigma$ ) Theocr. 3.49, 20.37, Meleager A.P. $5.165=4254-59 H E$, Isidorus A.P. 6.58. Herodas 8.10 (with Headlam's note), Catull. 66.5-6, Propert. 3.15, Ov. Her. 18.63, Ars 3.83, Trist. 2.299, Lucan 79.19; see Fowler, $E G M$ II § 133-4, 54-6n.

Catull. 66.5-6 with its reference to the story of Selene and Endymion, opens the possibility that it may have featured in his model, Callimachus's Coma Berenices, although there is no mention of it in fr. 110 Harder. Sistakou (2002) 163 argues for its inclusion. If it were present at the end of the Aetia, an image of divine love for a mortal would balance a similar allusion at the beginning of the poem (Eos and Tithonus; cf. fr. 1.30 with Harder on the influence of Sappho fr. 58.9-10 on this poem). The tone of the Moon's speech in A . is arch and ironic, much in the manner of Callimachus (cf. Harder (2012) II 239-40, 446). If he only alluded to the legend in passing, as Catull. 66. 5-6 seems to suggest, perhaps Selene's direct speech is A.'s variation on the theme.

The Moon's intervention is a statement of unrequited love similar, in essence,
 can one help being regularly heartsick, my Lady'; see West (2014) 9-12. Selene's opening remarks mention a similar 'recurrent mental malaise' (West ibid. 10 n .19 ) and are linked verbally to the Sappho fragment by the use of $\theta \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ \omega s ~ \sim ~ \theta \alpha \mu \alpha ́(59)$. The difference between the two is that roles have been reversed and it is the deity who comments on human suffering. Bearing in mind the number of reminiscences of Sappho at the beginning of this book (cf. particularly 17 but see also nn. 27-9, 5861), perhaps we may discern, behind the Moon's speech, a Sapphic original, similar to fr. 26, on the theme of Endymion and Selene, that A. is recalling and viewing through a Callimachean lens. Comparison of the love of Jason and Medea with the love of Endymion and the Moon is appropriate in that the sleep of Endymion is balanced by the indifference with which Jason later treats Medea in Book 4. A. makes the Moon say that she is not the only one to be driven to madness over an indifferent lover; Medea is now involved in a similar situation. The Moon's sentiments are clarified by
 you yourself, so it seems, have shared a similar madness'. Even for the Moon, the story of her frustrated love for Endymion seems to function as a literary motif.


The close links between the two stories can be illustrated from art

crater, Dallas Museum of Art (1998.74), attributed to the Underworld Painter, $4^{\text {th }}$ century BC depicts the shepherd Endymion luring the moon-goddess Selene from the sky with a shining Fleece. The goddess rides in a four-horse chariot, and is crowned with a crescent moon and aureole. To her left stand Aphrodite and Peitho. To the right of Endymion is Athena and a serpent-entwined tree which covers both the upper and lower panels. The Endymion, Athena and serpent-tree are probably simultaneously designed to represent the story of Jason and the Golden Fleece.
 one to be restless for the Latmian cave.' For this type of consolation cf. Theogn. 696

 ทौрато каі̀ Kpovíßns. It can be traced throughout tragedy and Hellenistic poetry; cf. Eur. Hipp. Kalypt. fr. (34) F431 TrGF, Soph. fr. $684 \operatorname{Tr} G F$, Theocr. 8.60, 13.1,
 Asclep. A.P. 5.64.5, 5.167.6 $=858,875 H E$, Antip. Thess. A.P. $5.109=362 G P$, Meleager A.P. $12.65=4530 H E, 12.101=4540 H E, 12.117=4092$ HE, with Finglass on Soph. El. 153 and Fantuzzi (2008) 304 on Theocritus' innovative use of the topos at 13.1 where he views it as being used as both a consolation and a warning. The same might be said of the present passage; cf. in particular the concluding lines of the Moon's speech.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda$ úoow is my emendation: the paradosis $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda{ }^{\prime} \sigma k \omega$ always means 'flee from, shun, avoid', frequently in the last place in the line; cf. $O d .4 .416 \alpha \underset{\sim}{x} \theta$ I $\delta^{\prime}$ Eै $\chi$ モıレ



This use of the verb has previously been explained as equivalent to ơ $\lambda$ v́ $\omega$ or $\dot{\alpha} \lambda u ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$. This occurs nowhere else. A more plausible solution is to emend $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \hat{\prime} \sigma \kappa \kappa$ into ở ${ }^{\prime}$ v́бow. The mss. confusion of k and $\sigma / \mathrm{c}$ is easy (329-30n.). Such a corruption would be helped by the common occurrence of forms of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda$ úok $\omega$ at the end of the line and the rarity of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\prime} \sigma \sigma \omega$, once in Homer at Il. 22.70 and then only in
 Hippocrates' use of the word favours the emendation; cf. Erbse (1953) 189-90 on A.'s allusions to medical or scientific contexts. A medical word to describe Selene's love fever is not surprising especially as the Greeks often described love explicitly as a disease or fever (e.g. Eur. Hipp. 767, Theocr. 2.85, 30.2 with Gow ad loc.).


 burn with love for Endymion, often indeed mindful of love because of your crafty spells, you bitch, so that in the gloom of night you could happily work your sorcery, tasks dear to your heart.' Implicit in what the Moon says is that Medea, following the practice of Thessalian witchcraft, had drawn down the moon to the cave of Endymion (51-3n. and Hill (1973) for this skill). The lines contain echoes of Sappho and Theocritus 2 (Acosta-Hughes (2010) 21-9, 59; cf. this passage with Sappho fr. 15-7

 from afar and listened’). Just as Medea is associated with $\delta 0 \lambda i ́ n ̧ o ı v ~ \alpha o o ı \delta a i ̃ s, ~$ Aphrodite is called סо入óтлокоs (fr. 1.2). Sappho's incantation to Aphrodite is neatly paralleled, with its typical Hellenistic reversal, by Selene's address to Medea.

Theocritus' Simaetha, also skilled in drugs, calls on Selene and compares herself to Medea (2.14), her dilemma with Delphis paralleling that of Medea with Jason.

The 'fires' or 'warmth' of love is found at Soph. fr. 474.81-83 $\operatorname{Tr} G F$ tоíav

 becomes common in the Hellenistic poets: Hermesianax fr. 3.37 Lightfoot, Theocr. $2.40,2.82,2.133,7.55,7.102,11.51,14.26$, Call. A.P. $12.139=1081-6$ HE, Fragmentum Grenfellianum 15 Esposito, Meleager A.P.12.80.2 $=4083$ HE.

For $\theta \alpha \mu \alpha ́$ describing symptoms of emotional distress cf. Alcaeus fr. 358.5



There is no need to alter transmitted kúov to kúӨov, ‘I was hidden’ (Fränkel OCT app. crit. and (1968) 460) or kíov (Anon. ap. Ruhnken (1782) 310 with Vian's app. crit.) or k $\lambda$ vov (Fantuzzi (2007) 91-3). The vocative is similar to other colloquial exclamations found at Call. Aet. fr. 75.4-5 Harder "Hрףレ үáp котદ́ $\varphi \propto \sigma ı$ - кúov,
 סaĩtas / moınбеĩs. As a word of reproach, it is used in Homer to denote shamefulness or audacity on the part of a woman; cf. Il. 6.344, 356 (of Helen by herself) with Graziosi and Haubold (2010) 175, and for links between Medea and Helen, 367-8n.



 'Evסupí $\omega$ vos. Acosta-Hughes (2010) 58 notes the possible metapoetic force of
$\mu \mu \nu \tilde{\prime} \sigma \kappa \omega$ ，which is in keeping with a passage that may contain allusions to Callimachus＇s Coma Berenices（57－65n．）．
 part，it would seem，in a similar passion．＇The sentiment recalls the appeal to Erato at
 could be seen as marking the end of the erotic narrative that begins at 3.1 and occupies the middle part of the Argonautica，the race by night through the streets and the description of her nocturnal practices being balanced by the characterisation of her magical powers at 3．528－33．The mo入úбtovov ióv from Eros＇s bow（3．279）has become the mo入úбtovov ä入うos of 4.56 ．

There is a similar ironic use of kà̀ aútós at Asclepiades $A$ ．P．5．167．5－6 öxpı

 тıиñs（similar clausulae at Il．15．189，Od．5．335，Hom．Hym．5．37，Hes．Th．414）．The change tıuñs～ätns＇honour＇to＇ruin＇is typically Hellenistic．The exact meaning of ónoíns has been disputed．Erbse（1953） 170 argues for the interpretation given by $\Sigma^{\mathrm{A}}$

 óuolî́ov $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon ı$（＇common to all，impartial＇）．Rengakos（1994） 177 believes that there is a reference to both interpretations．However，Medea＇s love for Jason is to meet the same reception as Selene＇s for Endymion．A．＇s imitation of Il． 1.278 （above）where óuoíns means＇not equal，not similar＇and therefore＇out of the ordinary＇seems to point to this being the primary meaning here．

## 

 'And a cruel god has given you Jason to be a grievous pain.' From a similar amatory context cf. Asclep. A.P. 5.189.3-4 = 1008-9 HE oủ $\gamma$ àp êp $\omega$ ta / Kúmpıs, ảvınpòv $\delta$ '


 mo入úбтоvov ä入үos ázípeıv. 'Well, go, and steel your heart, wise though you are, to take up your burden of pain, fraught with many sighs.' This final admonition

 $\sigma \dot{\prime} \mu \mu \alpha \chi \circ \varsigma$ हैббо; in the one the protagonist begs for release from a burden and in the other a burden is imposed.
épxєo is a common exhortation in Homer but cf. particularly Sappho fr. 94.6-


For the end of the Moon's speech cf. 1.299-300 (Jason to Alcimede)


 poem to which A. has already alluded: 16-7n.). For кגì mıvutŕ $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ ह̇oũ $\sigma \alpha$ cf. $O d$.

 ки̃סos őpoıo (Il. 4.95, 9.303), with an additional allusion to $\alpha \not \chi \theta$ os oैधıpav (Od. 3.312; similar phrases at Il. 20.247, Hes. Op. 692. Simaetha expresses a similar
sentiment, this time from the side of the lover as she dismisses the Moon at the end of
 2.164).

66-81 After the Moon's sarcastic intervention, the description of Medea's night escape continues at a faster pace ( 66 غ́ $\gamma к о v \varepsilon ́ o u o \alpha v$ ). The light of the heroes’ fire seen through the darkness, together with Medea's voice cutting through the gloom, are dramatic touches.

There may be reminiscences of night scenes in Homer such as Priam's visit to Achilles, the Doloneia (Il. 10) and Il. 18.203-30 during which Achilles' flaming helmet and shout terrify the Trojans ( $70-4 \mathrm{n}$.). The motif of fire seen through the darkness occurs at Il. 10.11-12 (66-9n.). In the Doloneia much is made of going to

 also a loud scream as Athena sends her heron as a good omen to Odysseus: Il. 10.276 vúkta $\delta \iota$ ’ ỏ $\rho \varphi v \alpha i ́ n v$. For night as a background to planning and action, see nn. 6-9, 47-9.

Medea approaches Phrontis first not Jason or Argos because her feelings towards Jason are ambivalent (30-33) and Argos is a close associate of Jason (3.318, 440), even though a relationship exists between him and Medea (i.e. Aunt; 32-4n.). The indirectness of Medea's approach makes a sharp contrast with Jason's instant magnanimity in $92-98$ (92-3n.).

Why does A. stress that Phrontis is the youngest of Phrixos' children (71-2
 position? There appear to have been different rankings given to the sons of Phrixos: $\Sigma$

 $\mu \varepsilon ́ v ~ ¢ \eta \sigma i v ~ a u ́ t o u ̀ s ~ \delta ' ~ " A p \gamma o v, ~ \Phi \rho o ́ v t i v, ~ M e ́ \lambda \alpha v a, ~ K u t i ́ \sigma \omega \rho o v ; ~ t h o u g h ~ i t ~ i s ~ u n c e r t a i n ~$ whether oũtos refers to A . or to Hesiod (see M-W app. crit.). Hyg. fab. 14.21, has Argos, Melas, Phrontides, Cylindrus whereas [Apollod.] Bibl. 1.9.1 gives the order as Argos, Melas, Phrontis, Kytissoros. A. explicitly says that Phrontis is the youngest here and at 2.1155 has the order Kytissoros, Phrontis, Melas, Argos, though this is for rhetorical effect: Argos begins with Kytissoros so that he can end his speech with his own name. $\Sigma$ (p. 160 Wendel) 2. 388-391a has the sequence Argos, Melas, Kytissoros, Phrontis. In 71 as well as making a point in the characterisation of Jason and Medea, A. may be stating an opinion concerning mythological detail.

Jason is shown in heroic mode in 79-81. In his eagerness to play the rescuer, he does not wait for the ship to beach before jumping ashore; cf. Protesilaus, who was the first to leap ashore at Troy (Lucian 77.27-8, 530-1, Ov. Her. 13.93-4, Hyg. Fab. 103) and also the François Vase (Black Figure Krater, Kleitias, $A B V$, 76,1) which shows the ship coming to pick up Theseus with the young Athenians he rescued from the Minotaur, or just arriving in Crete. A youth labelled Phaidimos jumps overboard and another swims to the shore. For A.'s attention to descriptive detail cf. the scene when Thetis and the Nereids help the Argonauts to negotiate the Planktai where again A. could be describing a work of art (4. 939-60 with Vian (1981) 181).

## 


 Medea's feet carried her quickly forward as she hastened. And on the banks of the
river she was happily excited, seeing the gleam of fire on the opposite side which all night long the heroes were kindling in joy at the contest.' For ő $\chi$ Өñoı . . . тотаиоĩo cf. Od. 6.97 тар' oैхӨற̣бıレ потаноі̃о, Il. 4.487, 11.499, Theocr. 7.75.
 literally translated: 'was raised up on the banks of the river'; cf. Il. 7.426 'lifted up and set him upon wagons'. Hunter seems to understand it in this way, 'with relief she climbed the rising banks of the river', Rieu and Livrea offer similar translations. A clearer picture emerges if we translate metaphorically, taking émaípo to mean 'raised up' in the sense 'raised spirits, excitement, elation'; cf. LSJ ${ }^{9}$ II, Eur. IA 124-5 kaì Tw̃s
 form of the verb cf. fr. anon. ap. Plut. Moralia 1101F. 3 (= fr. 386 Schneider (II p. 787)


 could be seen as a development of this. Up to this point, Medea's flight has been a fearful one, but the sight of the Argonauts' fire changes her mood. Both ơo $\sigma \alpha \sigma$ í $\omega$
 interpreted in this way.

The combination mupòs $\sigma \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \varsigma$ occurs only once in Homer (II. 19.366
 Aesch. fr. 379.2 TrGF, [Aesch.] PV7, and тupooĩo ó́入as at 4.482. Rengakos (1993)


 عis oủpavòv ǐkn, 9.88 where watch fires at night signal extraordinary circumstances in
the Trojan and Greek camps respectively, where it is usual for such fires to be extinguished when evening turns into night so that the army can sleep (Finglass on Soph. Aj. 285-7).



 voice from across the river, she called on Phrontis, the youngest of Phrixos' sons, and he with his brothers and Aeson's son recognised the maiden's voice; and in silence the comrades were amazed when they realised that it was so in truth.' With Medea's dramatic shout across the river, A. adds to the effectiveness of this scene in a way that
 $\nu u k$ tòs $\alpha$ ảvaா approved. After Homer's use of a range of story elements, he mentions his turning to another form to introduce narrative variety. For night as a backdrop to decisive action cf. nn. 6-9, 47-9, 66-81, Ajax's cattle raids by night (Soph. Aj. 42, 285-6 ккĩvos үà $\rho$
 Nisus and Euryalus (Virg. Aen. 9.176-449 with many allusions to the importance of the cover of darkness; e.g. $9.355 \ldots$ nam lux inimica propinquat) and the emphasis that Xenophon puts on the night after the murder of the generals in which he, himself, comes to the fore (An. 3.1-2).

For őpөı๙ $\varphi \omega v n ̃$ and Medea’s shout cf. Il. 18.203-30 (Achilles’ shout from






 $\pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu$ cf. Hes. Th. 478 о́т入о́татоv $\pi \alpha \dot{1} \delta \omega \nu, 66-81 \mathrm{n}$. and for $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha ı ́ \theta \varepsilon \nu$ see $54-$ $6 n$.

For the silent astonishment of the Argonauts at Medea's sudden appearance cf.
 vĩ̌s 'Ax๙iต̃v, Od. 7.142-5 where Odysseus adopts the role of suppliant to Arete as does Medea towards Jason (81-101n.) and Il. 18.228-9 (see below). On the crasis
 $\mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \propto ı v \propto \nu(I l .10 .394,24.366)$; see 436-8n.

## 

 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \beta \eta \dot{\eta} \delta \eta \nu \dot{\alpha} v \tau i ́ \alpha \chi \varepsilon v$. 'Three times she called, and three times at the bidding of the company Phrontis called out in reply.' тpis . . . тpis is a frequent structuring phrase in Homer; cf. Il. 5.436-7, 8.169-70, 16.702-3, Il. 11.461-3 aũモ ס’ غ́тaípous / shouts for help on the battlefield); see Usener (1903) on the importance of ' 3 ' in Greek antiquity. Medea's shout seems to be verging on a war cry; cf. Achilles at Il.
 Tрడ̃єऽ (70-4n.). The verb is a strong one ( $\alpha v \alpha \cup ́ \omega$ is elsewhere only at Theocr. 4.37) and marks her approach to the Argonauts as strong and confident, revealing the heroic side of her character, likening her to Achilles (4.16-7n.), despite the fact she is about
to play the role of the suppliant.


 539.86-90 Erbse). $\dot{\alpha} \mu o$ ß $\eta^{\prime} \delta \eta \nu$ is, perhaps, A.'s contribution to a discussion about the correct form of the adverb; see Rau (2006) 214. For ớvtióx $\chi \omega$, only here and at [Orph.] Arg. 828, cf. Il. 11.463 above. A. may be subconsciously echoing a̋ïєv íd́ $\chi$ оvтоऽ, when forming this rare verb; cf. A.'s formation of ởvı̛́́ $\chi \omega(2.270,3.253)$ probably based on the ávíaxol at Il. 13.41 (Janko ad loc. and 152-3n.).



 meantime the heroes were rowing with swift oars in search of her. Not yet were they casting the ship's ropes upon the opposite bank, when Jason with light feet leapt to land from the deck above, and after him Phrontis and Argos, sons of Phrixos, leapt to

 action, as does the asyndeton of oű $\boldsymbol{T} \omega$, for which cf. 4.261 and Aratus 108.
 vєós, Arg. 4.208 прии пвíбиата vпós, Call. Aet. fr. 18.10 Harder. A. has many variations on the solitary phrase in the Odyssey (e.g. 1.652, 1013, 2.496).

 $6.505,17.190,22.138,23.749)$.

 Phrontis has been the chief negotiator on the Argonauts' side. Before the reader reaches the end of the line, ó $\delta$ ह́ could well refer to him. The unexpectedness of 'Ińo $\sigma v$ making his rescue leap is emphasised by the position of his name in the line (6-9n.).

One does not 'throw' ( $\beta$ á $\lambda \lambda<v$ ) cables in Homer. Od. 9.136-7 Ėv $\delta$ غ̀ $\lambda_{ı} \mu \grave{\jmath} v$


 $\sigma \chi \varepsilon \delta o ́ \theta \varepsilon \nu$ ß $\alpha$ 人 $\lambda o v$ ). A. is quickening the pace of his description, by shortening the Homeric formulae that he is adapting; see Fränkel (1968) 636-7 on related aspects of A.'s style.
ú $\psi$ oũ à $\pi$ ' ikpıóфıv refers to the half deck at the stern of a ship. Telemachus is



The dual vǐ $\delta$ ú $\omega$ occurs three times in the Argonautica, always at the beginning of the verse: 1.163 , here and 4.1465. In the first (and only there), vǐa $\delta$ ú $\omega$

 ท̋p caesura in the third foot, and then the addition of the name of a 'third' son. The first
time A. uses a phrase from archaic epic he frequently alludes to its original context, but then, in successive re-uses, it seems to become an organic element of his diction, no longer directly referring to Homer but rather resuming a previous passage in his poem; see Fantuzzi (2001) 186-91).

 which he used in line 79.

81-101 Medea's speech is a supplication. In Book 3 Medea was supplicated by Chalkiope and Jason to obtain her help; now, severing all links with her parents and fatherland, she is a fugitive suppliant. Her plea echoes that of Phineus in 2.218 (see below). Her approach to Jason and the other leaders of the Argonauts shows one of the paradoxes of the suppliant state. On the one hand she is weak and defenceless
 implied throughout the opening part of her escape, when she has been described by similes and language more usually attached to heroic conflict. At the beginning of her speech Medea calls Jason and the other Argonauts qí入ol (82). The situation is further complicated by the presence of Phrontis and Argos, the sons of Phrixos. Medea is to be imagined going from one to the other, ending at Jason's knees (81-2n.). There are natural reasons why she approaches her own relatives first (66-81n.). The bond between them is stronger than that of mere $\xi \varepsilon i ̃ v o ı ; ~(c f . ~ 4.89 ~ \xi \varepsilon i v \varepsilon ; ~ a n d ~ a l s o ~ O d . ~ 8.546 ~$
 of even more help which decides in her favour: she offers to bewitch the dragon and enable the Argonauts finally to obtain the Golden Fleece. Her supplication is successful: she is immediately raised up from her position at Jason's knees (cf. Od.
 Zeus and Hera, the goddess of marriage, an important role in Book 4. Odysseus is similarly raised by Alkinoos at $O d .7 .167-9$ and like Thetis at $I l .1 .514-6$ Medea requires an oath from Jason to allay her fears and secure her future.

The supplication here of Jason by Medea in front of his comrades matches the promises made by him in Book 3, when they met alone near Hekate's temple. The right hand offered to seal the promise answers the right hand given by Medea when she decides to help Jason (3.1067-8) and yield to passion. Textbook ritual behaviour is, however, in sharp contrast with the perjury committed by Jason soon afterwards; on supplication in this scene and in general see Plantinga (2000) 105-28, Gould (1973) $74-103=(2001) 22-77$, and Naiden (2006) 111, 304 for discussion of this scene and a reference list of supplications in A.

## 

'With both arms she clasped their knees and said to them.' тоúסүє refers to Argos and Phrontis and at Túvๆ . . . ૬ะĩve (88-9) we must imagine some movement on the part of Medea as she turns to address Jason. Visualisation on the part of the reader of features of a scene roughly sketched or hinted at by the author is a frequent feature of Hellenistic poetry (cf. the opening of $\operatorname{Arg} .4$, where there is no detailed scene-setting).


 255.

## 

Aińtao. 'Friends, save me in my misfortune and yourselves too from Aietes.'
Medea's first plea contained between the hyperbaton of preposition (ék) and noun (Aińtoo) is an abrupt and dramatic opening. The enclitic's ( $\mu \varepsilon$ ) position is in accordance with Wackernagel's law but although there are other examples in A. of words placed between ék and its noun (1.207, 1109, 2.184, 202, 2.586-7) the separation is never as drastic as here (with the exception of 2.586-7); cf. Theocr.

 T $\tilde{v} v$ ह่т $\varepsilon$ р $\omega v$ (cf. Pfeiffer on fr. 1.22 for other examples in Call.). This stylistic feature must have arisen as a reaction against Homeric word order which, compared with that of Hellenistic poetry, is much closer to prose (simplex ordo); it exhibits a desire to introduce a more sophisticated placing of words (cf. A.'s fondness of the type of line framed by adjective and noun in agreement; 41-2n.). The influence of Pindar and lyric poetry on the Alexandrians (see Newman (1985) 69-189, Fuhrer (1988) 53-68) may have resulted in an attempt to introduce the more involved word order of lyric

 $\gamma \alpha ́ \mu \omega$ and for widely separated noun and adjective cf. the opening phrase of Pind. $O$.


For $\mathfrak{\rho}$ ú $\sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon \delta v \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \mu o p o v ~ c f . ~ P h i n e u s ’ ~ f i r s t ~ a p p e a l ~ t o ~ t h e ~ A r g o n a u t s ~ a t ~ 2.218 ~$
 [ $\rho u ́] \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \varepsilon ́ \mu$ ' with Hordern's note. There are similar pleas throughout tragedy; cf. Eur.
 ікєбía тє үíyvou๙ı, Eur. IT 1069, Soph. OC 275-6, Phil. 932.
 ikóvetal. 'Everything that was done is known and there is no way out.' Cf. 3.615
 үÉvoıto; see Kidd (1997) on Aratus 64 ả $\mu \varphi \alpha \delta o ́ v$. Mooney (1912) points out that $\alpha \quad \alpha \alpha \varphi \alpha v \delta \dot{\alpha}$ is here used as an adjective and that in Homer it is an adverb. The form áv $\alpha \varphi \alpha v \delta \alpha^{\prime}$ is used three times in Homer (Od. 3.221, 3.222, 11.455). At 11.455 кр $u^{\prime} \beta \delta \eta \nu \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} v \alpha \varphi \alpha v \delta \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ it is an adverb but at 3.221-2 there is room for differing



 neuter plural adjective and this adjectival interpretation is reproduced here. oú $\delta \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\mathrm{T} I}$ मñ XOS always occurs at the end of the line in Homer (Il. 2.342, 9.249, Od. 12.392, 14.238). This moving of a phrase from its usual Homeric sedes often happens thanks to A.'s variatio (23-4n.). He uses it again at 2.444 where it retains its
 $\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha v \cup ́ \sigma \omega \mu \propto ı ~ i n ~ a n o t h e r ~ c o n t e x t ~ o f ~ s u p p l i c a t i o n . ~$
 let us flee on the ship before he mounts his swift horses.' Éví is Brunck's correction of

 parallels he quotes, only 2.1184 occurs in the same metrical position.

Confusion between ő $\delta \varepsilon$ and ő $\gamma \varepsilon$ is common. Here tóvyє is the reading of P.Oxy. 4.692, the mediaeval tradition. having Tóvסє. Campbell (1971) 417 expresses doubts about tóv $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$, arguing that Medea is imagining that Aietes will be upon her at any moment and therefore tóv $\delta \varepsilon$ pointing out something close at hand might be in order. Perhaps tóvyє was wrongly introduced into 86 from 77.

 7.240 İாா $=33.18$ тахย́ $\omega \nu$ દ̇mß
 give you the Golden Fleece, by putting to sleep the serpent that guards it.' With expressions that have formulaic possibilities such as 'Golden Fleece' A. succeeds in being as unrepetitive as possible by alternating between $\kappa \tilde{\omega} \propto \varsigma(8$ times) and $\delta$ ह́pos
 often separating the two combinations.

The hyperbaton here with the personal pronoun placed between the two components of the formula emphasises Medea's role in the Argonauts' ultimate success and the price that she can exact. The echo of Aietes' statement at 3.404
 is not going to give the Argonauts the Fleece without a fight. Medea gives it to them in exchange for saving her from Aietes. The phrase is an adaptation of the Homeric


21.340, Il. 9.128, 10.305. Callimachus uses the same formula at $h .5 .127-8$ and reverses it at $h$. 3.6-18 (סós $\mu$ oı repeated five times in Artemis' mock supplication of her father Zeus).
 part of the description of Heracles stealing the golden apples of the Hesperides, a deed carried out in brutal fashion, in marked contrast with Jason's dependence on Medea to take the Golden Fleece away from its guardian snake (127-9n.). The climax in 15661 where Medea puts the dragon to sleep by means of a drug deviates from the usual legend (156-8n.).
 contemporary medicine, i.e. to anaesthetics. Such references are not unknown in A. (57n.). घủvク́ $\sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$ can mean 'stupefy with narcotics' (Arctaeus Medicus CA 2.5).

 comrades make the gods witness of the vows you have taken on yourself for my sake.' For this strong assertion beginning with túvn cf. 414n. It contrasts with her supposed suppliant status and perhaps shows A. modifying some of the traditional elements of a supplication to demonstrate the force of Medea's character; see Plantinga (2007) 5445 on similar modifications during the Circe episode in Book 4. Medea is also attempting to put her relationship with Jason on to a legal footing. Vian (1981) 150 points out that after her flight, she no longer has a legal guardian ( $\chi$ గ́тєı $\kappa \eta \delta \varepsilon \mu o ́ v \omega \nu$ ) and to avoid becoming an object of scorn and disgrace, she tries to persuade Jason to accept a form of marriage by mutual consent, which would place her under the
protection of her husband. There is some evidence of a move towards this type of relationship in the Hellenistic period; see Gagarin and Cohen (2005) 352-3. Up to this point, Medea refers to Jason as $\xi \varepsilon i ̃ v \varepsilon(4.89,3.619,630,638,905)$. After they make the marriage contract, she calls Jason by his name (4.355 Aíooví́q). This subtle point of characterisation might represent something of the breakdown of the barriers against mixed marriages that took place in Egypt in the third century; see Gagarin and Cohen (2005) 350. For દ̇тıíotopas cf. 16-17n.

## 

 ả $\varepsilon ı к$ ќa $\theta$ عíns. 'And once $I$ have travelled far from my home here, do not turn me into an object of scorn and disgrace because I have no one to protect me.' For $\chi$ ńtعו incurred by Medea's desertion of her family is a constant theme in the opening of Book 4 (nn. 4-5, 360-2). $\chi$ Ǿтєı with the genitive occurs three times in Homer, always at the beginning
 Od. 16.35 रท́teı ย̇veuvaí $\omega v$ (similar are Hesiod Th. 605 , fr. $409 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{W}$ ). There are different scansions of the word: - ${ }^{-}$with correption (Od. 16.35) and -" (Il. 6.463 etc), though in the latter the dactyl is not guaranteed and -- is possible. The dactyl is
 $\sim_{-}{ }^{\sim}--$ ) perhaps points to some ancient disagreement about the correct scansion of Il. 6.463, Aratus putting forward the interpretation which he accepted in his own poem. A., however, makes no clear decision. At 4.91 he reproduces the ambiguous
 16.35
óvotós is found only here and at Pind. I. 4.54, Call. h. 4.20. The Homeric form is óvootós (only at Il. 9.164). Pindar's influence on Callimachus is well-known, (cf. Acosta-Hughes and Stephens (2012), Smiley (1914) 46-72 and 83-4n.). Both Pindar and Callimachus seem to be using the word with reference to size. A., however, glosses óvotńv with á\&ıкє́ $\alpha$. $\Sigma$ (p. 267 Wendel) ad loc. explains the word

 appropriate in an epic context.

Platt (1914) 38-9 thought that $\theta$ eíns should be subjunctive ( $\theta$ zíņ) rather than optative, as being the more natural mood after an imperative. There is the same type
 $\sigma \alpha ́ v \delta \alpha \lambda \alpha \theta$ عíns, but Gow notes Homeric parallels for this type of sequence; cf. Il.
 "О入иитог.



 8.559. Jason's joy seems to result from Medea's presence, not just that he is about to obtain the Fleece. This is demonstrated by his jumping ashore ashore to greet her and showing her physical signs of affection (see below). As Book 4 develops, this magnanimity will be seen to short-lived.

## 

$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \tau \cup ́ \xi \propto \tau 0, \theta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma \cup \nu \varepsilon ́ v \tau \varepsilon$. 'And at once, as she fell at his knees, he raised her gently and embraced her, and spoke words of comfort.' A. is describing the classic

 advice to Odysseus in a similar context, Od. 6.310-1 $\mu \eta$ трòs пєpì Yoúvaбı Хєĩpas / $\beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \varepsilon ı \nu ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \eta s . ~ A . ~ d e s c r i b e s ~ t h e ~ s u p p l i a n t ' s ~ p o s t u r e ~ m o r e ~ e m o t i v e l y, ~ u s i n g ~ a ~ m o r e ~$ dramatic word $\pi \varepsilon \pi т \eta \cup \tilde{a} \propto v$ 'crouched at his knee'; cf. Arat. 353-4 tìv סè kaì oủk

 solemnity of the oath that Jason is about to swear.

The participle $\pi \varepsilon \pi т \eta \cup i ̃ \alpha \nu$ is derived from $\pi т \check{\prime} \sigma \sigma \omega$, (cf. Od. 14.354 квíuп
 Өрóvov), but sometimes seems connected with mímic (Arg. 1.1056, 3.321, 4.1263, 1268).

For $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi т บ ́ \xi \propto т о, ~ Ө \alpha ́ \rho \sigma \cup v \varepsilon ́ v ~ т \varepsilon ~ c f . ~ I l . ~ 24.193 ~ ф \omega ́ \nu \eta \sigma e ́ v ~ т \varepsilon, ~ O d . ~ 4.647 ~$
 Фผ́vПбÉv тє. Fränkel (1968) 462 found the occurrence of $\theta$ ápouvev here and in 108 difficult. He thought that $\theta \dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma \cup v e ́ v$ was not an appropriate introduction to the oath that Jason makes in lines 95-8 and that Jason's words are 'degraded' ('entwürdigt') by it. Therefore, without printing it, he showed approval of the reading of D :
$\phi \omega \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. On the quality of the variants offered by D see Fränkel (1961) XIV and Vian (1981) LIV-LV. фట́v $\quad \sigma \varepsilon v$ must be a case of invasion from Homer (446n.) and, pace Fränkel, $\theta \dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma u v \varepsilon v$ an implicit comment on the true nature of Jason's oath. His sincerity only runs surface deep.

## 

 Liòs єủvétıs. 'Lady, may Olympian Zeus himself, and Hera goddess of marriage, who shares Zeus' bed, witness my oath.' For the importance of the oath as a theme see $358-9$ n. and $388-9 n$ n. $\Delta$ aıuovín is a frequent opening to Homeric speech; cf. Il.
 see Brunius-Nilsson (1955) 73.
 19.258 ̉םт


 (1974) LXXIX) 'séduisante' but rejected it on a number of grounds: îठT $\omega$, for example, usually comes earlier in such phrases. He might have added that $\varepsilon$ हैOT $\omega$ is supported by




Zugia and Zugios are surnames of Hera and Zeus, describing them as presiding over marriage. As goddess of marriage, she is consistently called Teleia (Aesch. Eum. 214, fr. 383 TrGF, Ar. Thesm. 973-6); more rarely Zygia (Nonn. D.
 A.P. 7.188.4 = 3423 GP oú ' 'Hpns $^{\text {'Huyins, Musaeus 275, Hesych. } \zeta 189-90=\mathrm{I} 263}$
 iugalia curae).

For the form عủvétis cf. Arg. 1. 1126 évvá́tıs, 2.353 катаıßátıs, 509
 (Aeschylus: $\beta \circ \tilde{\pi} t ı s, \beta$, Diòs \&ủvétıs "Hpף; see Redondo (2000) 140 n. 55 and Buck and Petersen (1948) 6078.

## 

 lawful wedded wife in my home, when we return to the land of Hellas.' The consequences of this oath will be felt through the poem. The installation of the bride in the conjugal home is part of the essential elements of the ancient Greek marriage ceremony.

For adjective and noun at opposite ends of the line cf. 41-2n. and 4.1085
 verbal report from Medea of what Jason said here; also Il. 19.298 коupı $\delta i ́ \eta v$ ö $\lambda$ охоv

 äkoitiv. A. makes Jason speak in a formal way that, bearing in mind the parallel from the Theogony, may be a traditional part of the retelling of the story.
 $\pi \alpha т \rho i ́ \delta \alpha \gamma \alpha i ̃ \alpha v . ~$ E $\lambda \lambda$ d́ $\delta \alpha \gamma \alpha i ̃ \alpha v$ is not Homeric. A., as with 'Golden Fleece' (878n.), builds up his own system of formulas; cf. $\operatorname{Arg} .1 .336,904,2.891,3.339,993$. The use of the phrase also stresses the Barbarian v. Greek contrast, a major theme of the poem; see 204-5n., and Hunter (2008) 97, 108, 114 on the force and use of the term 'Hellene', contrasted with other nationalities.
 these words he straightaway took her right hand in his.' Cf. Parmenides fr. 1.45-6 D-

 adds to the solemnity of the oath and implies acceptance of the supplication. It echoes a similar gesture made by Medea at 3.1067-8, when she first decides to help Jason. One might expect a moment in which Medea shows gratitude in some way. As it is, Jason's right hand is left hanging in the enjambed position and her immediate dominance is shown by the way in which she commands them to go to the sacred grove. Cf. also Virg. Aen. 1.408 dextrae iungere dextram, 8.164 and the ritual of supplication in Euripides (Eur. IA 909, Her. 1207, Supp. 277) with Naiden 110, 111 n. 39.

 Aińtao. 'and she ordered them to row the swift ship to the sacred grove near at hand, in order that, while it was still night, they might seize and carry off the Fleece against the will of Aeetes.' Medea gives the orders, although she has just been playing the role of the humble suppliant. In a similar way, during their encounter with the guardian serpent, Medea takes care of the frightened Jason just as the mothers take care of frightened newborn children (4.136-8).




тapèk vóov EúpưӨños.


 Eur. 162 кaì тєтє́ $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma т о$ тó $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ фáto with Bühler ad loc.

 on board, and straightaway thrust the ship from shore; and loud was the din as the heroes strained at their oars.' The action now speeds up, aided by A.'s brief allusions to more expansive Homeric passages and also prose usage; cf. $O d .9 .103-4$ oi $\delta^{\prime}$ वĩ $\psi^{\prime}$



## 


 óمผ́ $\rho \varepsilon ı$, [Hes.] Scut. 401; also nn. 210-11, 225-7.

 back, held out her hands in helpless despair towards the shore. But Jason spoke cheering words and restrained her grief.' For this instinctive, but almost formal


 tendebant . . . manus ripae ulterioris amore.

 $\theta \alpha \rho \sigma \cup ́ v \omega \nu$ हैா

109-14 The approaching dawn brings decisive action (cf. Soph. El. 17-19 with Finglass ad loc.) and such a moment can be marked by an elaborate description of the passing of time and a comparison with activities taking place in a different scene. Callimachus (fr. 74.25-6 Hollis, quoted below) has a similar passage linked to this by the use of the rare ${ }^{\circ} \gamma \times \alpha u \rho o s$. A. also strikingly describes the moment when night gives way to dawn at 2.669-71, using another choice word $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi ı \lambda$ úkn (671) to enrich the verse. This echoes and refines Homer's practice, whose similes have been found to contain less formulaic phrasing and many hapax legomena and late linguistic features; see Shipp (1972) 3-4, De Jong (2012) 21-5.

This allusion to the time of day is an extension of Homeric examples such as



 Fantuzzi (1988) 121-54, De Jong (1996), Knight (1995) 19, Cuypers (1997) 179-81.

For other elaborate time indications based on the onset of night or day cf. $1.450-3,1.1172-7,1.1280-3,2.669-72,3.1340-3,3.744-51,4.1170-4$, Theocr. 13.25, 24.11, Call. Aet. fr. 178.1 Harder, fr. 18 Hollis, Mosch. Eur. 2 and Bühler (pp. 210-11).



 huntsmen shake the sleep from their eyes, who trust in their hounds and never sleep all through the night into the morning, but avoid the light of dawn in case, striking with its white beams, it spoils the track and scent of the quarry.' Jason and Medea become the hunters, with the Fleece as quarry, after Medea has been the hunted one at 4.10-13. Sleep is the hunter's enemy; cf. Clytemnestra's words to the chorus at Aesch.

 kvట́oరovoıv).






ảץро́tns occurs in Homer only at Od. 16.217-18 тékva / ảץ $\pi \alpha ́ \rho o s ~ \pi \varepsilon т \varepsilon \eta \nu \alpha ̀ ~ \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha$, where there was disagreement about its meaning. It sometimes means 'country man’ (Eur. Or. 1270 ả $\gamma \rho o ́ t \alpha s$ àvńp), sometimes 'huntsman' (Alcm. fr. 1.8 PMGF though this is uncertain, Simias fr. 20.1 $C A$, Leonidas A.P. $6.13=2250$ HE, Hesych. $\alpha 831$ ii 32 Latte à $\gamma \rho$ о́таı $\cdot \theta$ пррєutaí).


ávépes áypoıธ̃tal; for ancient hunting with dogs see Barringer (2001) who particularly refers to Xenophon (see below p. 88), Lilja (1976) 101 n. 35 discussing this passage and quoting Od. 19.428-30 for hunting in the early morning.



 őveıpoı with Bühler ad loc. The word seems to be of a homely and almost onomatopoeic nature, so well suited to the description of countrymen.
ä $\gamma \chi \propto \cup p o s$ occurs elsewhere only at Call. fr. 74.25-6 Hollis otıßク́ઘıs
 Both passages are examples of the elaborated Hellenistic time note (109-14n.). An examination of the variatio used by the poets supports Callimachus' priority. His phrase otißウ́\&ıs ä $\gamma \chi \alpha u \rho o s$ ('frosty dawn’) may be a neat variation on the Homeric otiß̉ útinoín ('early morning frost') (Od. 17.25). otíß $\eta$ only occurs at $O d$. 5.467,
 is a coinage by Callimachus. The neat reversal (бтíßŋ [noun]-бтı $\beta \dot{\text { ńєı }}$ [adjective]; Útrŋoín [adjective]-ä $\gamma \times \alpha$ upos [noun]) and the substitution of a more recherché word as part of the variation is typical of Hellenistic poetry.
A.'s phrase can be seen as the third stage in the pattern of variation. As Callimachus reversed the Homeric phrase (noun changed into adjective), so A. reverses Callimachus, and uses ${ }^{\circ} \gamma \chi \chi$ upos not as a noun but as an adjective with vúkTa.

Pace Erbse (1953) 185 n. 2 who does not believe that vù ${ }^{\text {a }}$ व $\gamma \chi \alpha u p o s$ can bear the meaning 'through the night and during the morning', the combination is striking
and unexpected: a word usually understood to mean 'dawn' is used as an adjective to describe 'night'. The combination is emphasised by enjambment. Erbse thinks that vúkтo is a gloss that has displaced some rarer word. For recherché words used as part
 (каточ ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \delta \alpha$ ), 109-14n. It seems unlikely that A. would have used two such words so closely together. In the transmitted phrase $v \cup ́ \xi$ offers exegesis of $\nprec \gamma \chi \propto u \rho \circ s$.

The combination of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \in \alpha ̛ ́ \mu \varepsilon v o ı ~ w i t h ~ ¢ a ́ o s ~ n ̉ o u ̃ s ~ i s ~ n o t ~ H o m e r i c ~(338-40 n) . ~.$. This passage as a whole (109-85) can be read almost as a 'sunrise', from the twilight at the beginning to the radiance of the Fleece at the end. A's interest in the description of reflected light has been much commented on; see nn. 123-6, 167-70, 184-5, Zanker (2004) 62-71.
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \lambda \delta \dot{v} v \omega$ occurs only in the formula тعĩXos $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \lambda \delta$ ũvaı (Il. 7.463, 12.18, 12.32) meaning 'destroy'. After Homer the sense is gradually modified; cf. Hom. Hym. 2.94 عĩठos à $\mu \alpha \lambda \delta$ '́vovo , 'conceal', [Hipp.] Mul. 2.201 oै $\mu \mu \alpha т \alpha$







Fränkel (OCT) conjectured $\theta \varepsilon \rho \mu o ́ v$ for $\theta n \rho \tilde{\nu} v$, because of the repetition
 means 'hot-foot' and not 'warm tracks'; see Gow on Theocr. 17.121. A. uses




 aî $\gamma \lambda \eta$, $I l .14 .185$, Eur. El. 102-3, Ba. 457, Soph. Aj. 708; cf. also Arg. 1.672, 2.368, 4.1735 (all phrases with $\lambda \varepsilon u k n ̃ \sigma \sigma v$ in the same sedes). $\lambda \varepsilon u k o ́ s$ applied to a new enterprise denotes an auspicious beginning and possibly a good outcome; cf. Eur. El.
 386, Soph. Aj. 673 meaning 'lucky'.
 or 'plunge'; cf. Il. $16.612=17.528$ ớ $\delta \varepsilon ı$ évıбкíp申Өп. At Il .17 .437 the horses of
 'pressing on' or 'inclining towards' (cf. $\Sigma$ ad loc. $=$ IV 398.70-1 Erbse пробєрعíoavtes kaì $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma)$ and this is A.'s model when he uses the word to describe the sun 'pressing down' on the animal trail and piercing the early morning mist.

The concept of a beam of light as a missile occurs in Homer (Od. 5.479, 19.441). Thereafter $\beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ and $\beta \circ \lambda \alpha i ́$ are often used of the sun; cf. Soph. $A j .877$
 1258-9; and elsewhere in A. at 1.607, 2.943, 3.1389, 4.679, Barrett on Eur. Hipp. 530-4.


 Aeson's son and the maiden disembark from the ship onto a grassy spot, the "Ram's couch" as they call it, where it first bent its wearied knees, bearing on its back the

Minyan son of Athamas.' This is where the Ram came almost to the end of its journey, a place associated with weakness and tiredness. Yet it is also the point from which Jason and Medea begin theirs. The monster is lurking in a pastoral setting. For the idea of the locus amoenus cf. Rosenmeyer (1973) 188-9, disrupted in this case by the serpent in the garden (Ogden (2013) particularly 347-83). Toıท́єvt' $\alpha$ à $\chi \chi \tilde{\omega} \rho \circ \nu$ varies the beginning of $O d .14 .2 \chi \tilde{\omega} \rho \circ v \alpha^{\prime} v^{\prime} \dot{\cup} \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \varepsilon \tau \tau \alpha=I l .10 .362 . \chi \tilde{\omega} \rho \circ v$ is often followed by ö $\theta$ í, (Il. 23.138), ěv $\theta \propto$ (Od. 9.182).

For the construction and language of îva крıoũ к $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ o v \tau \alpha ı ~ / ~ \varepsilon u ̉ v \alpha i ́ ~ c f . ~ 1.216, ~$

 к $\alpha \lambda$ éovtaı occurs frequently when he is describing the local customs or aetiology (1.173, 2.69, 2.164).

 үóvu ká $\mu \psi \varepsilon ı v$ occurs (Il. 7.118, 19.72, [Aesch.] PV 32 oủ ká $\mu \pi T \omega v$ yóvv, Eur. Hec. 1150, Phoen. 843, Call. fr. 24 Hollis áńбupov <-> yóvu ká $\mu \psi o$ I). 'Bent his weary knees' lends an anthropomorphising touch to the description of the Ram, which, on arrival in Colchis, speaks to its passenger (2.1141).

Mıv̌ńiov occurs elsewhere at Arg. 1.763, Il. 11.722 Mıvứĩos (proper name), [Hes.] fr. 257.4 M-W ǐ६єv ס' 'Opxouєvòv Mıvuñıv, Euphorion fr. 90.14 Lightfoot
 the obscure 'Minyan', derived from the mythical 'Minyas' see Simon (1992) 581-2, Fowler, $E G M$ II § 5.5. Minyas is only known through his adjective, used of the Argonauts as well as Orchomenos. The epithet is older than the Trojan Wars (Kirk (1985) 198) and is used by both Homer and A. to add legendary status, as does the
patronymical phrase vi'' A $\begin{aligned} & \text { áa } \mu \alpha v \operatorname{tos} \text { (Arg. 2.653, though not at the end of the line }\end{aligned}$ (76-81n.), modelled on Il. 13.185 ui'' 'Aкторí $\omega v$ оs and Il. 13.792 vì' 'Imтотí $\omega v$ оs;


##   

 close by was the smoke-blackened base of the altar, which the Aeolid Phrixos once set up to Zeus, god of fugitives, sacrificing that golden wonder at the bidding of Hermes who graciously met him on the way.' $\alpha i \theta \alpha \lambda$ ó $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon v t \alpha}$ used in Homer of $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \rho o ı ~ \mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \theta \rho \circ v(I l .2 .414-5, O d .22 .239)$ and kóvıs (Il. 18.23, Od. 24.316) stresses that the altar is in regular use. Smoke played an important part in ancient sacrifice; see Naiden (2013) VII and passim. Although the ancients would have been used to soot on altars, a sacrificial altar hidden deep in a sacred grove is an exotic descriptive detail (163-6n. and the more macabre description at Eur. IT 65-71).
 tíveđкєv áuoıß̀̀v with Vian (1973) 93, Giangrande (1977) 514 n. 40. A more usual Homeric phrase is $\beta \omega \mu$ òs $\theta$ víєıs (Il. 8.48, Od. 8.363).

Here, $\theta \varepsilon ́ \mu \varepsilon \theta \lambda \propto$ means the foundations of a building or temple; cf. Pind. P. 4.16
 $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ́ \theta \lambda$ oıs. In Homer it is used twice and means 'the roots of the eye' (Il. 14.493) and 'the base of the throat' (Il. 17.47); see Finglass on Stes. fr. 135.3.

Фu̧íos occurs as a title of Zeus in Thessaly (cf. $\Sigma$ (p. 207 Wendel) 2.1147
Фúछ̧ıos Zєùs mapà $\Theta_{\varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda o i ̃ s, ~ 4.699) . ~ H e ~ a l s o ~ h a d ~ a ~ c u l t ~ a t ~ A r g o s ~(P a u s . ~ 2.21 .3, ~}^{\text {, }}$
 áyopã̃) and also at Sparta (Wide 14). It occurs elsewhere in poetry only at Lycophron Alex. 288 but cf. SEG 7.894, 35.1570 = I. Gerasa 5 (Arabia), first century AD; see Hornblower (2014) 94 n. 12, Bremmer (2008) 103, 113-4, 304 for other references to Zeus Фuگ́os. Zeus, the god of fugitives, is closely associated with another of his roles as the god of suppliants; cf. 2.1131-2 $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ' iкétas $\xi$ Eivous $\Delta$ iòs

 For A.'s epithets, see Feeney (1991) 61-3. There is assonance and wordplay here (cf.


 (1973) 87 and on assonance in Greek poetry, Silk (1974) 173).

 тol íspò k $\alpha \lambda$ 人̀.

The Fleece is generally described as golden ([Hes.] fr. $68 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{W}$, Pherecyd. $E G M$ II § 6.1.1). Simonides (fr. 242a Poltera) and others (Acus. $E G M$ II § 6.1.1) said it

 vákos kpıoũ, Pind. P. 4.231, Eur. Hyps. fr. 752.22-4 TrGF ŋ̂ tò $\chi p u \sigma \varepsilon o ́ \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o v /$ iєpòv Ś́pos ö тєрì Spuòs / őکoıs ő $\mu \mu \alpha$ Spó́kovtos (cf. 4.162), Med. 480-1
 a̋umvos $\omega ้$. Elsewhere A. uses the simple $\chi$ ри́бєov of the Fleece: e.g. 3.13, 4.176, 1142, 1319; See 87-8n.

Noegel (2004) 125 compares the image of Amun-Re, often shown as a ram, overlaid in gold and protected by a magic serpent on his brow. The strange glow that the Fleece gives off as Jason carries it back to the ship (4.185) could be compared to the golden hue possessed by the Egyptian gods (cf. Noegel (2004) 125 n. 14 who makes a strong case for Egyptian influence, although gold and the gods is an established feature of Greek culture; see Finglass on Stes. fr. 8.1-2).
tépas, although here used of the ram, often describes a monster such as the one that Medea and Jason are soon to encounter; cf. Eur. IT 1245-7 ö $\theta$ ו



This version of the story, that the ram is sacrificed on the instructions of Hermes, does not seem to agree with 2.1143-7, where the chief cause of confusion is
 ǵós; for the free use of reflexive pronouns see Mooney on 1.1113, 202-4n., and Rengakos (2002a). It is best to understand £̇ñ̃ร ப́moӨnuooúvṇoાv as referring to Hermes (Livrea (1968) 18), not Zeus (Fränkel (1968) 294) nor the ram (the most generally accepted view (Vian (1973) 101)); cf. Il. $15.412=$ Od. 16.233
 goddess is the advisor. Hermes is mentioned in 2.1145).

If, with Vian, one takes $\dot{\varepsilon}$ ñऽ as referring to the immediately preceding tóv (i.e. to the ram; so $\Sigma($ p. 207 Wendel $)$ ), then one must still explain the contradiction between the two versions. Campbell (1971) 416 explained it as a deliberate piece of characterisation. Argos, a naïve and credulous individual, chooses the more sensational version to impress his listeners, a case of disputed 'double motivation', the same event having a divine and a human cause. This seems over elaborate.

In epic poetry encounters between men and gods occur frequently; see $I l$. 23.12-40, 389-424, Burkert (1985) 187, De Jong (2012) 117. Hermes' encounters
 वं $\nu \tau \varepsilon \beta \dot{\lambda} \eta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \sim \xi \cup \mu \beta \lambda \eta \dot{\prime} \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma)$ and Priam (Il. 24.345-468) show him as a typical helper figure; for Hermes as helper figure see Davies (2008). тро́ $\rho \rho \omega v$ is often used of a favourably disposed deity; cf. 4.370-2n., 1.771, 4.919, Hes. Th. 419 with West, Eur. Alc. 743, Soph. El. 1380, Aesch. Cho. 1063.

## 

'There on the advice of Argos the heroes put them ashore. ' "Apyou $\varphi \rho \alpha \delta \mu \circ \sigma$ v́vnoiv is based on the Hesiodic formula Гaíns фpaסuooúvṇoıv (Th. 626, 884, 891, Op. 245; also Hom. Hym. 3.99). A. uses the dative singular for variation at 1.560-1, 2.647



Abstract nouns in -oúvๆ are uncommon in Homeric poetry and their use somewhat restricted to direct speech. Krarup (1949) 1-17 notes 521 examples in direct speech and 90 examples in narrative (356-8 n.); see 356-8n.

123-86 This description of Jason and Medea's confrontation with the guardian snake and the rescue of the Fleece, opens and closes with non-Homeric similes concerned with different aspects of its radiance. Initially, it is compared to the light of the rising sun (125-6), then of the moon (169-70) and finally the lightning of Zeus (185).

Between these two comparisons are two other similes, both inspired by Homer. The snake's spiraling body and the raising smoke rings to which it is compared (4.139-44) bring to mind two Iliadic passages (18.207-14, 21.522-5) used of the fear provoked
by Achilles among the Trojans. In the second half of the passage, as the snake relaxes under Medea's ministrations, it is compared to soundless waves (4.152-3), an imitation of Il. 14.16-22, where Nestor hesitates over a decision, and also an inversion of similes where the sea roars (Il. 2.209-10, 394-97, 14. 394-5, 17. 263-6). The passage as a whole exhibits a loose 'ring-composition' (Kouremenos (1996) 238). Its action mirrors that of the Argonautica as a whole, in that, just as Medea, at first a suppliant, leads the way in recovering the Fleece, the role that she plays in the poem becomes increasingly prominent, culminating in the destruction of Talos (4.1638-88). Such 'mirroring' episodes have been described by the phrase 'mise-en-abyme' and have been discussed by Fowler (2000) 89-113.

## 123-6 TL


 them by the pathway came to the sacred grove, seeking the huge oak tree on which was hung the Fleece, looking like a cloud that blushes red with the fiery beams of the

 common forms are àtaptitós (Il. 18.565, Od. 17.234, Hom. Hym 3.227) and átapтós (Il. 17.743, Od. 14.1, 1.1281); see S-D I 342 on the development from $\rho \alpha$ to $\alpha \rho$.




which is also to be disrupted (163-6n.).
 $\alpha \cup ̉ T \varphi ̃ ~ k \omega ̃ \alpha s ~ « ̌ \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \nu$. The Fleece hangs on a tree (cf. 2.404-7, 1268-70, 4.162). The
 عौौХєто $\lambda \alpha \beta$ ротата̃ $\nu \gamma \varepsilon \nu \cup ̛ \omega \nu$ (see Braswell ad loc.). On a cup by Douris (Rome, Vatican Museums, $A R V$ 437.116), Jason is being disgorged by the serpent, with the Fleece hanging on a tree nearby.
A. has a number of descriptions which are concerned with the effect of light (1.450-3, 519-21, 1280-3, 2.164-5, 3.755-9, 1223-4, 4.109-11, 167-70). See 1723n., with Phinney (1967) 147-8 arguing that A. saw and described like a painter. The image of the cloud flecked with red may originate from passages such as Arat. 867 фaívตข


 20.150), leading A. to describe the Fleece similarly here and later (4.169) as an é $\alpha v o ́ s$ (Kouremenos (1996) 329).
épevӨos and its cognates are thematic in the Argonautica. The word combines craft, magic and eroticism (Hughes Fowler (1989) 17) as part of the chiaroscuro that permeates this passage. The middle of $\varepsilon$ £́ $\varepsilon u ́ \theta \varepsilon ı \nu$ occurs first at Sappho fr. 105a. 1 Voigt
 recalling here and which Catullus later used (65.24 with Acosta Hughes (2010) 77); cf. 1.778, 1.1230, Theocr. 7.117, 17.127, and for the phrase Arg. 3.163 ๆ̇є́ $\lambda_{1}$ os
 middle and passive voices for Homer's actives see Boesch (1908) 17-21, Redondo
 Káp甲ف（4．1094，Od．13．398）．

## 

 in front the serpent with his keen，sleepless eyes saw them coming，and stretched out his long neck and hissed mightily．＇The long neck of the serpent calls to mind Scylla
 are protected by immobile transparent scales．A．stresses this with ỏ乡ùs a̛útrvoıoıv $\pi \rho \circ i 亍 \delta \omega े \nu$ and then the word－play based on ő申ıs and ó $\varphi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu \circ$ õoוv；For the etymology of ő申ıs and $\delta \rho व ́ к \kappa \omega \nu$（（ $\varepsilon$ рконаı）see Küster（1913）57，Braswell（1988）335，Noegel （2004） 129 n .38 and for the connection made by the Greeks between ővoua and Qúoıs see Finglass on Soph．Aj．430－1．


 A．＇s models here，playing a part in his desire to recreate the world before Homer．For the influence of the Theogony narrative on the Argonautica，see Martin（2012）31－4． For other guardian snakes cf．149－51n．，Soph．Phil． 1328 oпкòv фu入áббєı крú甲ıऽ oikoupãv ő甲1s，Eur．Phoen．657－6 and the snake in the Erechtheion，which was identified with Erichthonios or Erechtheus and called oikoupòs ö甲is（Ar．Lys．759）． Serpents are traditional mythological guardians of treasure but most，like the Colchian one，prove ineffective in the end（Braswell（1988）333，Ogden（2013）58－63）．

＇and all round the long banks of the river echoed and the boundless grove．＇Cf．Il．



 vast sound of the echo（ $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha i$ ．．．áбпєтог）emphasises the size of the monster．

In the Homeric passage the meaning of äkpaı ñióves is not clear．It has been translated＇the shores echo to their farthest points＇（Leaf）．ク̇ióvos is a Byzantine correction which is not satisfactory（see West（2001）241，（2000）app．crit．）．The scene described is an estuary bordered by sands on which the waters churn noisily．If there are any＇headlands＇they would mark the limits of the n̄ióves as in Il．14．35－6 koì
 which makes a clear distinction between ク̉ǐóves and ơkpaı．Nonnus seemingly adopted the original Homeric text．Quintus（1．322）takes pains to explain what he thinks is being described in the Homeric passage and is probably copying A＇s version
 possible to see here A．in his role as Homeric critic，reading ク̇ióves at Il．17．264－5 and
 Homeric simile was famous in antiquity for its sound effects and drew the attention of Solon，Plato．$\Sigma$ Il． 17.264 ＝IV 380－1 Erbse says that they both burnt their poetry in despair）and Aristotle（Poet．1458b31）；see Edwards（1991）88－9．





 emotions, are found in Homer and become a topos in hexameter poetry; cf. Il. 13.18, 19.362, Hom. Hym. 2.38, Theocr. 7.74 (see Gow ad loc.). On A.'s use of the pathetic fallacy see Jenkyns (1998) 45-9.

## 

 غ́véfovто. 'Those heard the noise, who, even very far from Titan Aia, inhabited the Colchian land.' Cf. Virg. Aen. 7.515-18 contremuit nemus et siluae insonuere profundae. / audiit et Triuiae longe lacus, audiit amnis / . . . / et trepidae matres pressere ad pectora natos adapts this passage. For Titnvíßos Aîns cf. 3.313 Kєкротínv үaĩav, Call. h. 4.287 oưpє $\operatorname{M\eta \lambda í\delta os~\alpha îns.~A.~is~describing~a~place~}$ whose name is Aĩa (i.e. the city, though sometimes the two seem to be interchangeable; see Fränkel's OCT index s.v. Aĩa, 277-8n., and West (2007) 196-8 for the derivation of Aĩa). He chooses Tıtnvis as an alternative to Kó $\lambda$ रıs, a common adjectival formation in geographical descriptions (4.330, 511, 535, 583, 919). $\Sigma(\mathrm{p}$.

 Titan is not mentioned elsewhere and the adjective is usually used to mean 'Titan', with particular reference to Prometheus; cf. 2.1247-9 where the Argonauts hear his


 Tıт $\boldsymbol{v i ́} \delta \alpha$ кои́pav. Possibly Titnvíßos also refers to Aietes’ ancestry, the son of

Helios (2.1204) and so grandson of the Titan Hyperion (cf. Mooney on 4.54, Delage (1930) 182). Roman poets regularly use Titan as a synonym for Sol (e.g. Virg. Aen. 4.119).

Kо $\lambda$ xíठ $\alpha \gamma \tilde{\eta} \nu$ makes an immediate contrast with Titnvíסos Aîns. For غ̇vépovto cf. the formulae of the Homeric Catalogue of Ships; e.g. Il. 2.499 oi t' $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi^{\prime}$ 'Apu' غ̇vénovto, emphasising the size of Aietes' empire and forces.

## 

 Lycus which splitting from the sounding Araxes, unites its sacred stream with the Phasis.' For the geographical location of the Phasis, see West on Hes. Th. 340 and for the origin of the name, West (2007) 193-6. For the phraseology cf. 1.38-9 'Amı $\delta \alpha v$ ós $^{\prime}$

 of a river $(1.1165,1178,1321,2.402,652,743,789,904,970,4.599$, Il. 17.263 ह̇ாì т 311-2, 614.312); see Bühler on Mosch. Eur. 31, Lightfoot (2014) 82-3.

In this geographical excursus, A. names actual places and rivers. This device of particularity, intended to add colour and life to the image, is a technique which the Hellenistic poets developed and the Augustan Latin poets later adopted; cf. $O d$.
 Mípaviti xı'ıv where Callimachus names the mountain on which the snow is melting, Hom. Hym. 2.38-9 with Call. h. 4.137-40, Il. 5.560 with Catull. 64.105, (where Catullus adds colour and life to his image by telling us that the falling tree is on the summit of Taurus).

Where is the River Lycus? Strabo (12.3.15) describes a River Lycus which

joins the Iris and not the Phasis. Delage (1930) 182-3 points out that this particular
River Lycus is so far away from the Phasis that it is difficult to credit A. with such an error as he is generally geographically accurate. He refers to another passage of




A $\rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \xi \eta s$ and thinks that this is the River Lycus here described, that it is a different
one, nearer the Phasis, and that A. was wrong about it joining the Phasis. The sources are confused. Strabo disagrees with Eratosthenes on the identity of one river so it is difficult to come to a conclusion (cf. Pliny N.H. 6.10, Xen. Anab. 6.2.1-2, 2.367, 963, 724). However one possibility is that there is only one River Lycus - the above passages strengthen this suspicion - and that the present passage has been corrupted by someone who did not understand the geography; cf. the ancient and modern maps of the area above and below. Kura is the Ancient Kyrus / Cyrus and Aras is the


Araxes.
The sense seems to c/ll for a river, a long way from Colchis (mo入入òv غ́kós) and closely associated with the Araxes. I therefore tentatively suggest the emendation Kúpoıo (i.e. the river Kyrus) or Kópoıo. The quantity (Kũpos (Strabo loc. cit.) is,



be considered as short. The error, which A. or his geographical sources made, is in thinking that the Kyrus joined the Phasis somewhere in the Caucasus Mountains; for uncertainty as to where the Phasis went cf. $\Sigma$ (p. 273 Wendel) on 4.257 . His mistake would be similar to the one that he made later in the poem, when he takes the Argonauts along the Ister (Danube) from the Black Sea to the Adriatic, again showing uncertainty regarding the confluence of rivers in a hinterland.
kí $\delta v \propto \mu \alpha ı$ and its compounds are usually used of the spreading of light (cf. Il. 7.451, 7.458, Arg. 4.183, Arat. 735) or sound (2.1079). One might plausibly expect a word meaning ‘split off’ (cf. 4.291); cf. Arist. Meteor. 350a. 24 toútou $\delta$ ’ ó Távaïs


 the error on both phonetic and visual grounds. If the transmitted reading is retained, it

 of one river branching out from another might be seen as a natural development.
 283 тот $\alpha \mu \omega \tau \nu \zeta \alpha \theta \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu$ кє $\lambda \alpha \delta \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, Arg. 1.501, Theocr. 17.92; also Call. h. 3.107 where $K \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ ovtos is the name of the river described. A. varies the phrase at 3.532
 standard phrase in the magical papyri cf. PGM III 556, IV 2540.
 ' ${ }_{\text {Kı }}$ кооі̃o, Eur. Med. 410, Arg. 2.515. For the significance and meaning of the word ícós see Clarke (1995) 296-317. He links it with the Vedic root denoting 'swift movement' and, commenting particularly on $\operatorname{Od.} 10.351$ є́к $\theta^{\prime}$ iєр $\tilde{\nu} \nu$ тота $\mu \tilde{\nu} \nu$, oï т'
 póos is the root of the belief that the river contains godhead.'

## 

 mpoxéouoıv. 'And both of them flow into the Caucasian sea, united into one.' Kauk $\alpha \sigma i ́ \eta \nu$ " $\alpha \lambda \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ could refer to both the Black Sea and the Caspian. A. thinks of the Caucasus Mountains as being one of the landmarks near Colchis (cf. 2.1247, 1267, $3.852,3.1224)$ and so it is a natural extension to talk of the 'Caucasian Sea'. It is appropriate, if the emendation Kúpoı (132-4n.) is accepted.
 common didactic source. $\pi \rho \circ \chi \varepsilon ́ o v \sigma ı \nu \sim \pi \rho \circ \chi \circ \mathfrak{̃} \sigma \iota$ (132) is an intentional repetition



 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \propto \lambda$ ó $\omega \sigma \alpha$ I. 'Women who had just given birth woke in terror and, at a loss threw their arms around the infant children sleeping in their arms and shook at the hissing.' The picture of the children being frightened by the monster adds a homely element to


 кó入শtous $\theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta ~ \varepsilon ́ m i ̀ ~ \varphi a ́ \varepsilon \sigma ı ~ \chi є i ̃ p \alpha \varsigma, ~ T h e o c r . ~ 2 . ~ 108-9, ~ E u p h o r i o n ~ f r . ~ 71.15 ~ L i g h t f o o t, ~$ Virg. Aen. 7.518, Juv. Sat. 3.175-6. For bibliography on the development of the
portrayal of childhood in Greek literature and art, see Ambühl (2007) 373 n. 3. For a mother terrified at the fate of her child cf. Alcmena at Pind. N. 1.50-2 and at the beginning of Theocritus' Herakliskos (e.g. 24.60-1), shown in the fresco from the House of the Vetii at Pompeii; see Fantuzzi and Hunter (2004) 210, Zanker (1981) 297-311 on the Hellenistic technique of enargeia. The gesture described, grasping something in extreme danger, is natural, and the whimpering of the children in their sleep is a vivid detail.
 Iphicles and Heracles suddenly waking up by the serpents sent by Hera). $\lambda \varepsilon \chi \omega i \delta \varepsilon s$ is a Hellenistic formation (Call. h. 3.127, 4.56, 4.124); for the more usual $\lambda \varepsilon \chi \omega$ cf. Eur. El. 652.


 14.213, Od. 11.261, Hom. Hym. 2.264).

##   

 when countless fiery spirals of smoke are whirled above a burning forest, one upon another constantly rising from below in circling motion.' Similes based on forest fires or smoke rising from a fire are found in Homer; cf. for the forest fire Il. 11.155-7, 20.490-3, and for rising smoke Il. 18.207-13, 21.522-4. At Il. 2.455-7 the glare from a forest fire can be seen from afar, just as the glare of the Achaeans' armour can be seen as they advance. At $I l$. 11.155-7 fire 'falls upon a wood and the thickets perish inthe onrush of the flames' just as the Trojans perish under the attack of Agamemnon. A. is unexpectedly linking the fear experienced in battle with the horror caused by the monstrous snake.

The language of the Homeric similes is generally simpler than those of A.; cf.

 differences in connection between simile and subject. At $I l$. 18.207-13 the rising smoke is only the primary reference point from which the simile extends to describe the action of the siege. A., however, establishes a more direct equation, choosing words appropriate to rising smoke, which also suit the movements of the serpent (see below). This is unlike Homer's practice where we find a much looser connection; cf.

 a fire racing through some meadows. On the tendency of A. to relate a simile closely to the matter described, see Effe (2001) 148-50, Fantuzzi and Hunter (2004) 103, nn. 12-3, 35-9, and for the interest that Virgil showed in this passage cf. Aen. 5.84-5 lubricus ab imis / septem ingens gyros, septena volumina traxit.

The movement defined by ot $\rho \circ 甲 \alpha ́ \lambda ı \gamma \xi$ is appropriate both to the movements of the serpent and to the rising smoke. The Homeric phrase év otpoqá $\lambda ı \gamma \gamma ı$ kovins (Il. 16.775, 21.503, Od. 24.39) refers to the swirl and billow of rising dust. A. has associated this movement with the gyrations of a snake; cf. 3.758-9 (of light rising),




 Hym. 4.371 and for té $\lambda \lambda$ ㅇu^ı cf. Arat. 285, 320, 382. However, 'rise after' seems


 another' (Od. 7.120, Aesch. Cho. 406). In view of this we should read ä $\lambda \lambda \eta \delta^{\prime}$ aĩ $\psi^{\prime}$
 / . . . / oúס' oĩos тоípvņ êmı ßóণкєтal; with Bühler pp. 221-8, Arg. 1.250 and Gow





Wellauer's alteration of mss. si入íy unnecessary. sỉíooovtaıı deliberate repetition (127-9n.) ^̌ $\lambda^{\prime} \curlyvee \gamma \gamma \circ$ usually describes 'agitation' or 'spinning round', especially 'swimming in the head', ([Hipp.] Aph. 3.17, Pl. Rep. 407c, Leg. 892e). Although A. often uses medical terminology (Erbse (1953) 186) 'swimming in the head' is different from 'swirling smoke.' One might expect é $\overline{1} \varsigma$; cf. $\operatorname{Arg}$. 1.437-8

 possible emendation might be $\varepsilon i \lambda i ́ \gamma \kappa \varepsilon \sigma \sigma ı$, from $\varepsilon i ̃ \lambda ı \jmath \xi$, which according to $\mathrm{LSJ}^{9}$ s.v. is a possible formation.

For $̇ \xi \propto \alpha v i o v ̃ \sigma \alpha ~ F r a ̈ n k e l ~ p r i n t e d ~ \alpha ́ a ́ \sigma o o v o \alpha, ~ w h i c h ~ L ~ h a s ~ a s ~ a ~ v . l . ~ O t h e r ~ m s s . ~$



каттvòv $\delta^{\prime}$ oĩov óp $\omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ámò $\chi$ Өovòs áî́ooovta. However the mss. evidence and the parallels between this and the doublet passage 3.756-9 (3.757 غ̇ $\xi \alpha \nu ı$ ũ $\sigma, 759$
 be the cause of the v.l. rather than the correct reading.

## 

 countless coils, overhung with dry scales.' Mooney and Fränkel print ${ }^{\circ} \varsigma$ : Vian and
 $\mathrm{LSJ}^{9}$ s.v. ${ }^{\text {© }} \mathrm{Aa}$ 3.
$\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega \rho \circ v$ is used of a $\delta \rho \alpha \dot{k} \omega \nu$ at $I l .12 .202=12.220$; of the Gorgon at $I l$.
5.741, Od. 11.634 and of the offspring of the earth at Hes. Th. 295, 845, 856.

For àmeıpєoías cf. àmeipıtoı (140). The word fits with A.'s description of the dragon's size as being of almost cosmic scale. The exaggeration contrasts with line 149 and the simple way in which Medea conquers it (156-9).


 crit.) rather than the transmitted aorist must the reading more in keeping with the sense of the passage: the monster is constantly writhing around. On $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta \omega$ and its close semantic links with غ̇ $\lambda$ íooo see Skoda (1984) 223-32.
¢́vußóvas, not found elsewhere, must be connected with $\mathfrak{\rho o ́ \mu ß o s ~ ( s e e ~ L S J ~}{ }^{9}$ s.v.); cf. Claudius Aelianus Soph. fr. 149b Domingo-Forasté ảmò toútou סè kaì tàs
 coils, the position of the serpent when Medea and Jason approach. Then it uncoils for
action and in the process its body goes round and round in circles. This is the motion of the $\rho$ ó $\mu \beta$ os or 'bull-roarer' (see Gow on Theocr. 2.30); cf. Pind. $O .13 .94$ where he talks of javelins being made to whirl as they fly and $\Sigma$ (p. 269 Wendel) on A., who
 round of the coil'. As the smoke rises from the fire so more and more serpent emerges from the pile of coils.

 describes pııoús (Arg. 2.59) and $\beta \tilde{\omega} v$ (Il. 7.238-9), and is therefore appropriate of a serpent's tough scaly back.
 'overhung'; cf. Il. 12.54, Od. 10.131, 12.59. The passive may first occur at Hes. Th.
 dative is an extension of a use of катппрє甲ńs found at $O d .9 .183$ (бтє́оऽ) ن́ $\psi \eta \lambda$ óv,

 àk $к$ оотátñov. For A.'s habit of changing the voice of adjectives from their usual Homeric usage cf. 156-8n. àkńpata, Mooney on 1.694 ह̇ாríßo入ós and Erbse (1953) 193.

For similarly interwoven four-word lines used by Hellenistic and later poets cf. 1.1121, 2.372, 3.928, Theocr. 7.9, Nic. Ther. 221, Mosch. Eur. 57, Nonn. D. 35.55; see Hoffer (2007) 299-30, who notes the infrequency of interlacing word order in Greek poetry (300 n. 1) compared with Latin, Wilkinson (1963) 214-5, Conrad (1990), and Vivante (1996) 120.

For $\varphi ๐ \lambda i ́ \delta \varepsilon \sigma \sigma ı \nu$ cf. Nic. Ther. 157, 221 (both quoted on $\dot{\alpha} \zeta \alpha \lambda$ ह́ñoıv above),


 $\pi!\lambda$ [okánous•]. Nicander and others thought that a snake should 'bristle with' rather than be 'overhung with' scales.
 the snake's line of vision.' It only becomes clear at the end of the line that it is the girl not the hero who is to take on the serpent. Read кат' ő $\mu \mu \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ ' غ́zíб $\alpha$ то for $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$
 ${ }^{\circ} \mu \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau^{\circ v} \varepsilon$ ह́б $\sigma$ то which he postulated as the archetype. The scribe's superscript ${ }^{\circ v}$ might have been an attempt to correct a form that he did not recognise or that had already been corrupted by the omission of an epsilon. A. has عilócto elsewhere at $2.582,3.399,502,4.1478,1589,1733$, always in the sense of 'to appear' or 'to seem',


 here at 145), and the Homeric model can be interpreted as ${ }^{\prime} \rho \mu \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ i.e. 'he went to go' (cf. the common phrase $\beta \eta \delta^{\prime}$ ไ̌ $\mu \in \nu$ ( $O d .1 .441$ and often)). A. may have
 Similarly घi̋ð
 another substitution of a recherché for a more ordinary form cf. 4.522 öтє $\delta$ ท́ $\sigma \varnothing ו \nu$
 á $\pi r \dot{\mu} \mu \omega v$. For the elision in the fourth dactyl see 4.620 (OCT app. crit.).

Any attempt to explain the като́ $\mu \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ тоv of LASG as an adverb on the lines of غ̇vのvtiov (Marxer (1935) 48-9) is not convincing since no adjective като́ $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ тоऽ or even като́ $\mu \mu \alpha$ тıos is recorded. For $\kappa \alpha$ т' ő $\mu \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha$ cf. Hom. Hym. 2.194, 5.156 (also Soph. Ant. 760), Eur. Hyps. fr. 752f. 22-4 TrGF iєpòv ס́́pos ô тєpì סpuòs / őऍoıs

 oóv.

 סоũvaı モ̇фориŋ́v. 'in a sweet voice calling on Sleep the helper, the highest of the gods, to charm the beast; she invoked the queen, the night wanderer, the infernal to give success to the mission.' Medea calls on the supernatural from below and above
 $\pi \alpha \dot{v} v \omega \nu \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} v \theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \omega \nu$ (also the chorus at Soph. Phil. 827-838). The passage from the Iliad verges on the light-hearted (the grandiloquent address is sly flattery on Hera's part), while A.'s adaptation prefaces an appeal to Hecate, expressed through indirect speech, assimilating the narrator's language with that of Medea; see Albis (1996) 34. The language used displays a feature typical of prayer, successive epithets applied to the power or deity to whom the prayer is addressed (cf. 1.1125-31, 3.8612).

For $\theta \varepsilon \omega ̃ \nu$ útaтоv (elsewhere only used of Zeus) cf. Il. 19.258, 23.43, Od. 19.303 with Headlam (1922) on Herodas 3.45. For the appeal to Hecate cf. 3.861-2
(with Vian (1980) 137), 3.1211-13, Eur. Ion 1048-9 (addressed to Persephone)
 vuktimó入os is always used of Hecate (3.862, 4.829, 4.1020). The word is not

 бки入фкотро́фоц).
$\chi$ Өovínv often used to describe Hecate; cf. Ar. fr. 515.1-2 PCG $\chi$ Өovía $\theta^{\prime}$
 Aesch. Ag. 89; see Johnston (1999), particularly, Part III, 'Divinities and the Dead'. After this dread invocation, Medea puts the beast out of action merely by dosing it with some harmless drugs. There is a degree of ironic humour in the whole passage.
 terrified.' The real 'hero' of the scene leads the way. In the same way, Aeneas carries out the instructions of the Sybil in Aeneid 6 (Aen. 6.236) and Dante follows in the footsteps of Virgil in the Inferno ('dietro a le poste de le care piante' Inferno V. 148). Aeneas himself calls on the powers of the Underworld (Virg. Aen. 6.247) and then continues more confidently than Jason (6.263 ille ducem haud timidis vadentem passibus aequat).

One of the major contrasts in the present episode is between 4.109-61 where Medea is the leading figure and takes on the guardian dragon, and 4.161-83 during which Jason takes complete charge of the Fleece once all the dangers have been overcome. This forms part of A's picture of a fearful anti-hero. A., Theocritus and Callimachus wished to show the hero in different and more realistic situations (cf. Heracles in Theocritus' Heracliskos, Theseus in Callimachus' Hecale) displaying
emotions pitched on a more human, ordinary level (e.g. Jason's frequent confession of à $\left.\mu \eta \chi \alpha v^{\prime} \alpha\right)$. This section of the poem may be A.'s attempt at a similar epyllion. The theme of a hero tackling a monster is common to all three.

This reconsideration of the role of the hero may not be a completely Hellenistic innovation; cf. Dover's ((1971) LXX-LXXI) assertion that 'Hellenistic poetry began not with the great Alexandrians but with the deaths of Euripides and Sophokles'. A.'s presentation of a fearful Jason could be described in the terms that Sophocles used when he said that, while he represented human beings as better than they are, Euripides represented them as they are (Arist. Poet. $1460 \mathrm{~b} 33-4=\operatorname{Tr} G F$ IV testimonia 53a p. 54). Jason often seems to behave in the same way that Euripides' heroes do, showing anxiety and doubt at times of crisis (cf. Demophon in the

 Hunter (1993b) 8-15, 25, Mori (2005) 210 nn. 1, 2.

## 

 spell of the song, the serpent was relaxing the spine of his earthborn coil and stretched out its innumerable spirals.' Cf. Robert Southey, the eighteen-century poet laureate, Madoc in Aztlan Book 6 (the closing lines) 'The serpent knew the call, and, rolling on, wave upon wave, his rising length, advanced his open jaws.' Southey knew the Argonautica and owned two copies of it; cf. two notes from the auction catalogue of Southey's books: item 60 Apollonius Rhodius, the Argonautic Expedition, by Greene, 2 vols.,with severe observations in a note, in the autograph of the Poet Laureat 1780
and item 220 Apollonii Rhodii Argonauticon lib. IV, Græcè, cum Annotat. H. Stephani Paris, 1574.

The power of $\theta$ ह́ $\lambda \xi ı s$ is a feature of Medea's character as witch (nn. 24-5, 4424) and oik $\quad$, meaning 'voyage, journey' or 'way of song', is almost a metaphor for the
 oĩnov; see Albis (1996) particularly chapter 4 entitled $\mathfrak{\eta}$ סo $\lambda ı \chi \grave{\eta}$ oố $\eta$, where the theme of the 'journey' is traced through Book 4). Attention has also been drawn to other possible literary metaphors in this passage (Kouremenos (1996) 241): $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau \alpha \lambda$ ह́os (4.169) is an adjective that Callimachus used to describe his Muse at Aet. fr. 1.24 Harder while ơ $\omega$ tov (4.176), describing the fine wool of the Fleece, is one of Callimachus' words for the fineness of his poetry (h. 2.112), as it is for Pindar ( $P$. 10.53). In the same way, $\mu$ upí кúk $\lambda \alpha$ could be taken to denote the cyclic poetry that Callimachus disparaged (fr. 1.4, A.P. $12.102=1035-40 H E$ ). The guardian snake roars and makes a loud noise that renders it comparable to Achilles, the greatest epic hero, in the same way that the Telchines (Aet. fr. 1.1) make unpleasant noises

 Callimachean verse), is applied by A. to the spirals of the snake's body $(4.145,140)$. A literary interpretation of oil $\mu \eta$ would be in keeping with the above, as would a view of the whole passage that saw it as a partial response to Callimachus' Hecale (174$7 n$.$) .$

For ${ }^{\text {ák }} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \nu \theta \propto$ used of the backbone of a snake (Latin: spina) cf. Hdt. 2.75.4,

 web: Od. $2.105,2.109$ ) but, more importantly, it is used as a medical term (57n.)
meaning 'relax', (Arist. Gen. Anim. 728a 15, Diosc. Medic. 5.3). $\delta \iota \propto \lambda$ ú $\omega$ is not Homeric but is a medical term; cf. [Hipp.] Aph. 3.17, where it is used of 'relaxing' or 'weakening' the body. A. seems to be echoing the Theocritean phrase and improving its epic pedigree, by alluding to Penelope's 'relaxation' of her web.
 $\nu \propto \mu \alpha ́ t \omega \nu$ ह́ті́бкотоऽ, 658, 935, 127-9n. Sacred snakes were associated either with what emerges from the earth, such as trees or springs, or what is placed inside it, such as foundations of houses and altars, or graves; see Küster (1913) 85-100, Ogden (2013) 347-82.

 of snakes at Soph. fr. 535.6 $\operatorname{Tr} G F$, Ar. fr. 515 PCG, Theocr. 24.14, 24.30, Eur. Ion 1164, Nic. Th. 156, Arat. 50, 52, 47, 448; for omzip in the singular cf. Nic. Th. 156, Arat. 47, 50, 89.

## 

 к由甲óv Tє ккì ${ }^{\circ} \beta$ pouov. 'As when a black wave rolls dumb and noiseless on a sluggish sea.' The 'cyclic' coils of the serpent's body are likened to the futile slapping of the waves of the sea. This comparison might be interpreted in literary terms (14951n.); cf. 'the Assyrian river' at Call. h. 2.106-12.While A. uses both, Homer does not use $\beta \lambda \eta x \rho o ́ s$, only $\alpha$ à $\beta \eta \chi$ рós (of Aphrodite's hand, Il. 5.337, тعí $£ \propto$ 8.178, Arg. 2.205 with Cuypers (1997) ad loc.). There is no difference in meaning between the two words. However, there was ancient disagreement about whether the $\alpha$ was intensive (copulative) or privative; cf.

$\beta \lambda n ́ \chi \rho \omega \nu$ ảvé $\mu \omega v$ áx
 according to the negation of $\beta \lambda \eta \chi \rho o s^{\prime}$. An attempt to differentiate is apparent in



 s.v. $\beta \lambda_{\eta \chi \rho o ́ v \cdot \alpha ̉ \sigma \theta \varepsilon v e ́ s, ~ i n t e r p r e t i n g ~ t h e ~ w o r d s ~ c o r r e c t l y . ~ B y ~ u s i n g ~}^{\beta} \lambda_{\eta \chi \rho o ́ s}$ and $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \lambda \eta$ xpós in contexts where they can only mean 'sluggish, helpless', A. makes clear his own position in this discussion (Rengakos (1994) 29 n. 29, Reece (2009) 122-3).


 repositioned in a line that contains a number of Homeric 'zetemata' (18-9n.).

There is no need to emend $\mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \nu$ ( $\pi \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon ı$ Damsté (1922), $\mu \cup ̛ \varepsilon \nu$ Van Krevelen (1970)). Similes without finite verbs are easily found (LSJ s.v. őтє II.1, Goodwin 485, Pind. O. 6.2, Quint. Smyrn. 1.586-7, Campbell (1969) 283). $\Sigma^{\mathrm{bL}}$ Il. 14.16-20 (III 564-5.33-49 Erbse) offers an explanation of $\mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \nu$ used of waves, which A. perhaps



 oúסєtép $\omega \sigma \varepsilon$, Lycophron Alex. 1452, Aratus 922-3. It describes a calm sea with a flat and level surface rather than one disturbed by rolling waves. A. has transferred this picture to his description of the serpent.
A. uses uses another disputed word, $\alpha \beta \rho \circ \mu \circ \varsigma$, an Homeric $\alpha \not \approx \pi \alpha ;$ cf. $I l$.

 whether the $\alpha$ was a privative or intensive; cf. Hesych. $\alpha 200=$ I 10 Latte s.v.

 Rengakos (1994) 29 mentioning Tsopanakis (1990) 113-18, who understands $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \beta \rho o \mu o s$ in Homer, as derived from an original $\alpha{ }^{\alpha} v \alpha \dot{\beta} \beta$ pouos with Aeolic apocope of the preposition.

## 

 on high its terrible head, it was eager to engulf both of them in its deadly jaws.' A.
 noiseless' and a non-commital line ending is followed by the serpent's sudden attack.
A. is adapting the Homeric úqóo' ázípas (Il. 10.465, 10.505, 20.325, Od. 9.240), splitting the phrase as the first and last words in the line and placing their object between them. In 154-5, the serpent's sudden burst of activity is marked by a long stretch of dactyls, emphasising his speed of movement after his initial sluggishness.
$\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \pi \tau \cup ์ \xi \propto$ is more usual of the human embrace; cf. Eur. Alc. 350 ஸ̌

 extension of the word to cover the grip of the serpent's jaws has a ghastly appropriateness.

 with a freshly cut sprig of juniper that she had dipped in a potion sprinkled gentle drugs over its eyes, with her spells.' On juniper in poetry see Lightfoot (1999) 439. Sprinkling magic potion on the eyes is an idea that is developed in Latin poetry; cf. Ov. Met. 7.149-55, Her. 12.101-2, Prop. 3.11, Sen. Med. 700, Val. Flacc. 8.89-90. At Eur. IT 1337-8 Iphigeneia's actions are similar to Medea's treatment of the guardian
 story in which Medea drugs the dragon emerges first in A., though $\Sigma$ (p. 270 Wendel)
 Argonautica legend in his elegiac poem Lyde (see Matthews (1996) 26). $\Sigma$ at 4.87 (p. 267 Wendel) and 4.156 (p. 270 Wendel) reports the versions of Herodorus ( $E G M$ iI § 6.5 ) and Pherecydes ( $E G M$ II $\S 6.5$ ) in both of which the dragon is killed by Jason.
 тоıкı入óvตтоv őфıı. At Eur. Med. 481 Medea claims to have killed the dragon herself, a vivid touch probably originating from Euripides himself, designed to make Medea still more terrifying. Afterwards it occurs at Ov. Met. 7.149-58, Val. Flacc. 8.69-121, [Apollod.] Bibl. 1.9.23, Hyg. Fab. 22, [Orph.] Arg. 887-933. There is artistic evidence for Medea's use of drugs from a Lucanian hydria (c. 380-60 B.C.) on which Medea sits next to the snake and its tree holding a cup from which the dragon seems to have drunk (Neils (1990) $633 \S 40$, Ogden (2013) 61). For the tradition of sprinkling a drug over its eyes cf. Neils (1990) $633 \S \S 38,39,41$. The theme of inducing sleep occurs elsewhere in the Colchian mythology. In the Naupactica (fr. 6 $G E F$ ) Aphrodite inspires Aietes with desire for his wife. He then falls asleep,
allowing the Argonauts to escape with Medea and the Fleece. The use of spells and drugs enhances the exotic side of the story and subverts the role of the hero.

For тєтцпо́тı $\theta \alpha \lambda \lambda \tilde{\omega}$ cf. тєтıпцย́vos ñтор (Il. 11.556, Od. 4.804), the
 4.447). It has been argued (Boesch (1908) 14-6, Marxer (1935) 17) that with certain
 between forms in -ıńผs and -ıń $\mu \varepsilon v o s ; ~ e . g . ~ 1.1256 ~ \beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \rho \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v o s ~ \alpha ै \sigma \theta \mu \alpha т ı ~ \theta u \mu o ́ v, ~$
 4.1569 with S-D I 768e.

 honeycomb in a pitcher instead of water.' Much better is Antiphanes Aleiptria fr. 26

 that Circe uses at $O d .10 .234$, see Richardson (1974) 344.


 $\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha ı v \dot{\alpha} \omega v$ ó óठvvá $\omega v$ with v.1. áкń $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$. Perhaps A. also knew of a v.1. áкńpaта.

As often A., with ókńpatos, reflects all the nuances of a difficult Homeric word (Il. 24.303 'undefiled’, Il. 15.498, Od. 17.532 ‘unharmed’). At 1.851-2 о̋фра кєv
 may be inhabited in the future, without danger for men', and this is the meaning at 4.157 (pace LSJ $^{9}$ s.v.): ‘drugs which were unharmful' which fits well into the immediate context - after the application, the dragon goes to sleep. For other
examples of this switch between active and passive cf. 143-4n., ह̇ாппย́qns 1.1121, 2.736, غ̇mńßo入os, active at Od. 2. 319, 2.1280, 4.1380 but passive at 1.694, 3.1272, and mo入úбтоvos, active at $\mathrm{Il} .1 .445,11.73,15.451, \mathrm{Arg}$. 3.279, 4.65 but passive at Od. 19.118 and 2.1256.

## 


 immense smell of the drug spread sleep. In that very place, it lowered its jaw to the ground and far into the distance its innumerable spirals were stretched through the
 Hom. Hym. 2.276, Hes. Th. 848, [Hes.] fr. M-W 150.28, Call. fr. 69 Hollis, Call. h. 4.300, Theocr. 7. 142. The pleonasm stresses the transformation that takes place as the drug gradually overpowers the serpent; cf. the different change at Hom. Hym. 2.276 where Demeter's beauty spreads over her after she has been disguised as an old woman.


 Od. 9.22) seems out of place applied to ó $\delta \mu r^{\prime}$. Much more in keeping would be vń $\delta \cup \mu \circ s$ ó $\delta \mu n$ ́, bearing in mind that juniper is sweet smelling. The change would introduce a typical and pointed Hellenistic variation on a Homeric phrase; cf. Il. 2.2 vńסupos ütivos (same sedes as vńpıtos óס
 corruption would stem from a recollection of the Homeric and Hesiodic passages
(above) and the prevalence of the notion of size in the passage ( $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \omega \rho \stackrel{\nu}{\sim} \sim \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \sigma \pi \varepsilon \tau \circ \nu$



 being slain by Apollo). тєтớvvoto is used of a large form stretched out, prone at $I l$.
 of the grove of Ares.

то入итрє́ $\mu \nu 0$, only here and at Colluthus 358, is a variation on the Homeric по入uб́́vסpєos (Od. 4.737, 23.139, 359, Нот. Нym. 3.475, Theocr. 17.9). The abundance of trees is stressed because of their importance in the beliefs attached to sacred groves (163-6n.).
 кєк $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ о $\mu$ ह́vŋs. 'Then Jason removed the Golden Fleece from the oak at the girl's
 maбớ $\lambda$ ou aỉvuto Tó $o v$ but A . undercuts it by stressing that it is carried out at Medea's command. For formulae describing the Golden Fleece see $87-8 n$.


 beast with the drug, until Jason ordered her to return to his ship and they left the deepshaded grove of Ares.'A shady grove is a very holy place (Dowden (2000) 111). The most famous Greek example is Dodona and in the Roman world that of Nemi. There

 3.22.6-7); see Bonnechere (2007) 17-19.




 $\pi \alpha \lambda ı \nu \tau \rho \circ \pi \alpha ́ \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha ı$ is not 'esclusivamente apolloniano' (Livrea ad loc.); cf. Il.
 (see West (2000) app. crit. for some evidence that it was), Arg. 4.643 ä $\psi \delta$ غ̀



167-70 ف́s $\delta$ è $\sigma \varepsilon \lambda \eta \nu \alpha i ́ \eta \nu ~ \delta ı \chi o \mu \eta ́ v ı \delta \alpha ~ \pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon ́ v o s ~ \alpha i ̉ \gamma \lambda \eta \nu / ~ ن ́ \Psi o ́ \theta \varepsilon v ~$

 on her fine dress the light of the full moon coming from on high into her bedroom under the roof and her heart is delighted by the fine radiance.' Jason is unexpectedly compared to a young girl, for which there are Homeric precedents; cf. Od. 8.523-30 (Odysseus' grief is compared to that of a woman over her dead husband), Il. 16.7-11 (Patroclus' tears are compared to the tears of a young girl); also Arg. 1.269-74 where Jason's mother, Alcimede, is compared to a young girl.
 mo入úбкıov ä入oos "Apnos. At the beginning of the episode (109-11), it is still night
and Jason and Medea make their way to the dragon's tree in darkness. A. begins to illuminate the scene in 167-9. He has already used images which suggest different kinds of light $(118,125-6,139-40)$ but as the two return to the ship, the light grows and the glow of the Fleece suffuses the returning hero.

On his way to Hypsipyle Jason was compared to the Evening Star (1.774-81) that girls on the point of marriage watch from upper chambers while their future bridegrooms are away at war. Here it is Jason who fulfils the maiden's role. As ${ }^{\circ}$ s то́т' 'lńб由v (4.170) shows he is the point of comparison for this simile. Bremer (1987) 423-26 stresses the associations with marriage and for the moment Jason is a prospective joyous bridegroom. However, once again, A. must be glancing forward to the tragic consequences of the story.

The reversal of the gender roles heightens the eroticism of the moment, as
 141 ) with its allusion to passages such as Pind. $O .3 .19-20$ סıхó $\mu \eta v i s$ ö入ov
 to some aspect of the moon's light does not occur before Sappho, although at Hom. Hym. 5.88-90 the effect of a necklace on Aphrodite's breasts is compared with the moon. Sappho realised the possibility of 'connecting women with the mysterious rhythms of the moon as separate from the sharp, bright male world of sun and stars' (Stehle (1996) 148). The lyric nature of the language used in the simile (172-3n.), combined with the fact that Sappho wrote poetry about Selene and Endymion (5765 n .), raises the possibility that A. may be alluding to a piece of her poetry both here and at 4.125-6. Lyric imagery would, then, enclose the Iliadic similes describing the guardian serpent. The idea of being able to catch the light of the moon in one's robe is
appropriate to the image. Perhaps it refers to rich cloth's being oiled to give it extra sheen (465-7n.).
 by Campbell (1976) 38) for the unmetrical †avéxovoav† of the mss. Cf. Arg. 1.1360-

 into the land' i.e. from the point of view of the sailors, 4.290-1 по́vтои Тріиакрíou
 by someone who did not see precisely what the moonlight was doing. The image of the moon's light 'coming into' the girl's room is an apt one, pace Vian (1981) 153.
 of 'enter, make an inroad into'.

The conjecture $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi-$, reported as such in PE by both Vian and Fränkel, is an attempt to heal the metre, based on the common Homeric line opening ú úó $\theta=v$ ék (Od. $17.210,20.104,22.298)$, and a misunderstanding of what is happening.

Transmitted útcopóqıv is printed by Fränkel, with the comment (OCT app. crit.) 'structura verborum obscura'. It must describe ai̋ $\gamma \lambda \eta \nu$ and the image that it creates is a strange one of the maiden trying to catch the light as it hovers under the roof of her bedroom. Merkel's úticpópıou ((1854) CLXII, 213) is to be preferred. A.
 the meaning 'in a house' (cf. Il. 9.640). However útupóqıos can be used more
 alluding to the Homeric $\dot{\text { Útєрழ̃०v, the upper part of the house where the women lived }}$



Just as the girl catches ( (̇toío catches the blood from his wound to stain Medea's veil and dress (4.473). The form
 $\operatorname{LSJJ}^{9}$ s.v. a. ÚTாட́ $\chi \omega$.

## 

 'Just so did Jason rejoice as he lifted up the great Fleece in his hands.' The Fleece is in Jason's hands but not thanks to his own efforts. Only now do we learn that Jason is the object of the simile which is not self-contained and breaks off in the middle of the line. A. would be aware of contemporary criticisms of the Homeric simile. Zenodotus, for example, athetised Il. 11.548-87 presumably because it occurred elsewhere. This suggests disapproval of a simile so self-contained that it could be assigned appropriately and without change to more than one place in the narrative, (139-42n., Carspecken (1952) 66, 74, Hunter (1993b) 129, Knight (1995) 19). (LAPE). Jason is lifting something up (aंva-); cf. 4.94, Il. 23.614, 778, 882. évaćıpoual, attested nowhere else, is due to a mistake on the part of a scribe who thought that the datives needed a preposition, i.e. 'he lifted up the Fleece in his hands'


## 

 fire from the sparkle of the wool.' The language is erotic and lyrical in tone; cf. 167-
 X $\alpha$ рítєббוv. Jason's personal beauty is framed in terms of a number of consistent
features, one in particular being the colour red; cf. 1.725-8 of Jason walking in his variegated cloak. The juxtaposition of épeutos and a simile based on moon-imagery
 cf. 123-6n. and Virgil's use of rubor at Aen. 12.65-6 cui plurimus ignem / subiecit rubor, describing the blush on Lavinia's face.
$\xi \alpha v \theta o ́ s ~ w i t h ~ \pi \alpha \rho \eta i ́ s ~ i s ~ u n u s u a l . ~ I n ~ H o m e r ~ i t ~ i s ~ t h e ~ w o r d ~ f o r ~ ' f a i r, ~ g o l d e n ~ h a i r ' ~$ (Il. 1.197, 23.141). A. uses $\xi$ avOós of hair at 1.1084, 3.829, 3.1017, 4.1303 and $\pi \alpha \rho \tilde{\eta} \iota \delta \varepsilon \varsigma$ are either $\lambda \varepsilon u k \alpha i ́$ or evidence of a fair complexion (Eur. Med. 1148, IA 681 ผ̃ otépva kaì Tんр Plut. Alex. 4 (talking about a famous painting of Alexander the Great by Apelles)


 Alexander would be appropriate in A.'s portrayal of a somewhat vainglorious hero; cf.

 reference to the Moon seems to link the two passages.

For $\mu \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \rho \cup \gamma \tilde{n} \lambda \eta v \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu$ cf. Strabo 11.2.19 'it is said that in their country gold is carried down by the mountain torrents, and that the barbarians obtain it by means of perforated troughs and fleecy skins, and that this is the origin of the myth of the Golden Fleece'; see Ryder (1991). On $\mu \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \rho v \gamma \eta$ as Odyssean hapax see Rengakos (1994) 111, who mentions the two traditional interpretations, ' gleaming' or 'quick movements'. The meaning here must be 'gleaming' or 'sparkling'. Rengakos believes that it is going too far to see a double allusion on the basis of 4.178 aièv ப́toாןò

see Cotton (1950) 436-41 and cf. Hom. Hym. $3.203 \mu \alpha \rho \mu \alpha \rho \cup \gamma \alpha i ́ ~ т \varepsilon ~ т о \delta \omega ̃ \nu ~ a n d ~ O d . ~$


The Fleece is also likened to fire at 4.1143-8; see 123-6n. for the Hellenistic painter Antiphilus, whose 'Boy Blowing on a Fire' was admired for the way in which the artist made the house and boy's face reflect the glow. Pliny mentions a picture of the painter Philiscus showing a painter's workshop where a boy is blowing on a fire (Pliny N.H. 35.11.40); cf. Posidippus fr. 7 A-B which describes a precious stone which lights up (?) a woman's pendant 'so that on her bosom a honey-coloured light shines together with her white skin' and Zanker (2004) 62.

For ǐ $\varepsilon \varepsilon \nu$ used metaphorically cf. Il. 10.26, Pind. N. 8.2 ä тє $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon \nu \eta$ íoıs
 Mosch. Eur. 3 (with Bühler ad loc.).

## 


 yearling heifer or the stag which huntsmen call 'achaiinea', so great in every way was the Fleece, golden above and heavy with its thick covering of wool.' Comparisons in which difficult words are glossed or explained are a feature of Hellenistic poetry; cf. 3.277, 4.111, 4.1695, Call. fr. 117 Hollis, h. 1.14, h. 2.69, Pfeiffer (1968) 139. For ả $\chi \propto$ uïvén cf. Phalaecus $A . P .6 .165=47 F G E$ with Page ad loc., and [Opp.] Cyn. 2.426. Eustathius (Il. $711.38=$ II 574.26 Van der Valk) talks about the difficulties this word caused to interpreters, apparently referring to this passage.
pivòs ßoós (only occurs at Il. 20.276) is an unexpected point of comparison when describing the Fleece and perhaps hiding an allusion to Callimachus' Hecale
and the Bull of Marathon. Although the hide is said to be of a young heifer, the stress is put on its size. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho \omega \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ usually means 'countrymen' but cf. $\Sigma(\mathrm{p} .270$ Wendel) oi
 word occurs in Call. fr. 69.13 Hollis, meaning 'countrymen', in the passage which describes Theseus bringing the live Bull back from Marathon. Theseus brings back a beast, described as $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \nu$ каì тє $\lambda \omega \dot{\rho} ı \nu$ (fr. 69.3 Hollis); Jason has faced an adversary described as $\pi \varepsilon$ ह́ $\lambda \omega \rho \circ$ (4.143 and elsewhere) and has brought back the Fleece, described in terms that emphasise its size. Theseus directly addresses the countrymen in a confident manner; Jason says nothing and seems anxious (4.180); cf. A.'s use of indirect speech, when reporting Aietes' speech with the speech that Callimachus gives him in Aet. fr. 7 Harder. The image of the falling leaves, used by A. of the number of Aietes' troops, occurs again as part of the description of the greeting given to Theseus by the country people (fr. 69.11-13 Hollis). The whole section concerned with the final capture of the Fleece (Arg. 4.109-82) opens with an indirect allusion to the Hecale; it would be typical of the allusive Hellenistic style, if it closed with others.

 the whole skin . . . the ơ $\omega$ tov is the woolly Fleece upon the skin, as it is in Homer.

 differentiated from the metaphorical, Pindaric (Pind. O. 3.4) 'bloom, flower', see Rengakos (1994) 64.

'As he went on his way, the ground in front of his feet sparkled brilliantly.' A. takes
 gleaming with its golden fringe', and spreads the light of the Fleece through his narrative. Jason is suffused with a golden glow (118-21n.) as he goes back to the ship,




## 

 along with it draped over his left shoulder, from the top of his neck down to his feet, other times he rolled it up and stroked it.' Jason carries the Fleece, sometimes with a great deal of show, sometimes fearfully hiding it; cf. $I l .10 .23-4=10.177-8$ á $\mu \varphi \mathrm{i} \delta^{\prime}$

 тоסףŋекés, in particular, denotes the flamboyant display of a warrior. Jason cannot entirely match this swagger.
 opposite ends of the same line (Il. 24.10, 530, Od. 4.102, 11.303, 16.209) or at the beginning of consecutive lines (Il. 23.368-9, Od. 5.331-2, 23.94-5, Hom. Hym. 3.141-2). A.'s arrangement is typical of the consciously elaborate word order of Alexandrian poetry (44-6n.).

For $\varepsilon$ è $\lambda \varepsilon \omega$, 'roll up' cf. LSJ ${ }^{9}$ s.v. CII. The narrator doubts Jason's heroic pose. At the beginning, the exultant Jason passes the Fleece from hand to hand, and
 unexpected $\varepsilon$ є’ $\lambda \varepsilon ı$ á $\alpha \propto \alpha \sigma \sigma o ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma$ ，making it seem that Jason＇s courage has suddenly failed him and that he fears that a chance encounter will rob him of the Fleece．

However，ci＇$\lambda \varepsilon ı$ does summon up a strange picture．The small alteration to $\varepsilon i \wedge \lambda \varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$（cf． Il． $10.23-4=10.177-8$ quoted above）would still give the sense of Jason anxiously checking the Fleece－he takes it from his shoulder and checks it－without making him a somewhat ridiculous figure．

## 

 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} v \tau ィ \beta \circ \lambda n \dot{\prime} \sigma \varsigma$ ．＇For he was very afraid that any man or god might encounter him
 saved him in the cave of the Cyclops．A．Is alluding to this while satirising Jason’

 нıv＇Axaıoí，Od．22．96．
 19.96 where there is mss．confusion between $\eta \dot{\varepsilon}$ and $\eta \dot{\dagger} \delta \varepsilon$.

For ádvtıßo入ńoas cf．Priam＇s words when he is met by Hermes in a way similar to that fearfully anticipated by Jason（Il．24．374－5）$\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ हैтı тıs kaì éneĩo
 Odysseus＇meeting with Hermes on his way to Circe’s house（Od． 10.277 év $\theta \propto \mu \mathrm{ol}$ ＇Ериєías रрибóppamıs ávтєßó入Пбєv）．
 Il. 8.1, 24.695. The episode of winning the Fleece is over and is marked, as it was at the beginning, by a time-indication (109-13n.)

 men were astonished seeing the great Fleece shining like the lightning of Zeus.' The Argonauts react like Odysseus' men when he returns from his hunting expedition at

 (Od. 1.323, 2.155, 3.373, 16.178, 24.101, Arg. 1.550, 3.924, 4.1363, Call. Aet. fr. 43b. 2 Harder, Theocr. 25.233, Pind. O. 3.32).
 In Homer it describes the glittering bronze of spears; $I l$. 10.153-4 Tñ $\lambda \varepsilon \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \alpha \lambda \kappa o ̀ s ~ / ~$


## 


 204, 211, 213; also Od. 8.181, 8.148, 12.444, Il. 22.426, 24.165 (nn. 118-21, 214-5)

 $\mu \varepsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ é $\varepsilon \iota \pi \varepsilon \nu$. 'But the son of Aison restrained the others and threw a newlymade robe over the Fleece. He sat the girl in the stern, having put her on board and
addressed them all as follows.' For épńtue cf. Od. 9.493 = Od. 10.442 घ́pńtuov $\alpha \not \lambda \lambda \circ \theta \varepsilon \nu \alpha \nsim \lambda \lambda \circ \varsigma$.

 allusion.


 end of line 145, or even 119 in the sense that Jason is 'establishing' or 'setting up' $\left(L^{\prime} J^{9}\right.$ s.v. 2. í $\left.\zeta \omega\right)$ Medea as part of a triumphal monument by sitting her on the Fleece. For this form and the structure of the resulting phrase cf. Od. 14.295 ह่s



 óuóm

 of the mss.) Anastrophe of $\varepsilon$ Évı in this metrical position can be paralleled; cf. 3.278, $977,4.434,546,1500$, Mooney (1912) 50 n.11, and Bühler (1960) 221-28 for the frequency of anastrophe in post-Homeric epic. Rengakos (1993) 66, on Od. 14.295
 surmising that A . took Zenodotus' reading as an unaugmented form and so formed غ̇є́́o $\alpha$ то.
 Tà úmo弓úyıa, (LSJ s.v. B1). It is only once used of putting something on board ship (IG V/I 1421 ). Read évӨépevos instead and cf. Od. 5.166 (where Calypso is talking about the provisions that she is going to put on board Odysseus's raft), Antiphon 5.39
 $\pi \varepsilon \pi \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \chi \theta \varepsilon$. For mss. confusion of $\varepsilon$ ह̀v / $\alpha \dot{v} \nu$ cf. OCT app. crit. at 1.1237, 4.171, 1365, 1771.

189-205 Both leaders exhort their troops before operations commence, although the two sides do not engage (202-4n.). Jason's words are directly reported; Aietes' in indirect speech. Cf. with Jason's speech Eur. IT 1385-91 vaòs < $\delta^{\prime}>$ Ék $\mu$ éons


 common factor being the appeal to the crew in the name of all Greece.

There are striking similarities between the plot structure of the Argonautica and that of the $I T$; see Sansone (2000) 155-70, Hall (2012) 69-92. The action is situated in roughly the same geographical region. Orestes and Pylades have been sent, like Jason, to take back home an object of miraculous origin (cf. IT 85-91). To achieve this they are forced to enlist the assistance of a priestess. They are opposed in their mission by a hostile, barbarian King; cf. especially Thoas' speech IT 1422-34 ~ Aietes' speech at 4.228-36. When tragedies began to be reperformed in the early part of the fourth century (386), Euripıdes' plays were popular: one of his Iphigenia plays (341) - possibly Iphigenia among the Taurians rather than Iphigenia at Aulis (thus Taplin (2007) 149) - , his Orestes (340), and another play by him (339) were
performed at the Dionysia (IG II 2320); see Millis and Olson (2012) 65, Ceccarelli (2010) 113 n. 43, Finglass (2016). Fourth-century audiences seem to have been interested in exciting stories, scenic effects, good speeches for the actors and what today we call 'theatre'. For the popularity of Euripides compared with that of Aeschylus and Sophocles cf. Scodel (2007) 130-33, Nervegna (2007) 17-18.

It is tempting to imagine A. being familiar with the $I T$, praised as it was already by Aristotle (Poet. 1454a4-7, 1455a16-20, 1455b3-15). He might not only have read it but also seen it produced. The early Ptolemies encouraged the presentation of dramatic performances and both at Ptolemais and at Alexandria there were bands of Dionysiac artists who under Royal patronage gave performances of tragedies and comedies (Fraser (1972) 618-19, Faulkner (2002) 346-8, Lightfoot (2002) 209-24), the larger part of the repertoire consisting of revivals. For statistics concerning papyri fragments of Euripides, surviving from the Ptolemaic period see Carrara (2009), Finglass (2016), p. 3 n. 15.
$190 \mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon ́ т ı \nu$ บ̃v $\chi \alpha ́ \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \varepsilon$, фí入oı, $\pi \alpha ́ т \rho \eta \nu \delta \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha ı$. 'No longer hold back, my friends, from returning to your homeland.' $\mu \eta \kappa \varepsilon ́ T \iota \nu$ ṽ̃ (nine times) with the imperative is a frequent opening of Homeric speeches of exhortation; cf. Il. $15.426 \mu \eta$
 mock heroics. The beginning of his speech is something of an oxymoron: 'Do not give ground . . . to get away!' His later advice is the same as Amphidamas' at 2.10603 when the Argonauts are attacking the birds of Ares. Odysseus also addresses his crew as qí入oı; cf. Od. 12.208 etc.

 кєк $\rho \alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha 1$. 'For the task for which we endured this grievous voyage, toiling in misery, has easily been accomplished by the girl's skills.' The Argonauts need a woman to help them accomplish their tasks. There is a pointed contrast between 191-
 $\mu \circ \chi \theta i \zeta o u \sigma \alpha v)$ and the ease with which Medea has achieved the final success





Rengakos (1994) 49 believes that the expression $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ \nu \grave{\eta} \nu v \alpha u \tau 1 \lambda i ́ n v$ is not

 $\pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon$ ípavtaı) is a rare verb, singular here but unclear at $\operatorname{Od} .4 .132,616,15.116$ (Veitch (1848) 153, S-D II 771を), marking the climax of the complex sentence.
 'With her consent, I will take her home as my lawful wife.' This line carries with it dubious connotations; cf. Od. 3.272 (Aegisthus and Clytemnestra) Tìv $\delta^{\prime}$ ह̇ $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \omega \nu$

 Aegisthus and the deceptions of the end of the Odyssey is a hint at the way in which Jason's proposal will develop. Jason has made a solemn promise (96-8n.) and undertaking which Medea will have to frighten him into keeping and which he will
then break，when offered a better opportunity in Corinth．He is explicit here in describing the union as a marriage，a dubious statement seeing that Medea has been taken from her father，not given by him；cf．Il． 19.298 кoupıסínv ơ入охоv Өńбєıv in which Briseis reports Patroclus（not Achilles）as assuring her that back home in Phthia she would be recognised as Achilles＇wedded wife．For oi̋k $\alpha \delta^{\prime}$ öкоıтıv cf． $185-6 n .$, Od． 13.42 оі̋коı äкоıтıv．The usual Homeric combination is koupıסínv a̋入oxov（Il．1．114，7．392，13．626，19．298）；коupıסínv äкоıтıv is only in A．

 of Greece and you yourselves．＇These are stirring pre－battle sentiments，until one remembers that he is simply escaping with the booty（cf．Hippocrates at Thuc．4．95．2


 （discussing one of Nikias＇final speeches to the Athenians）．．．kaì úmèp ómávtcuv

 forward the kinds of appeals that can generally be used on all occasions：wives， children，gods of the native land’（231－5n．），Aesch．Pers．402－4 ${ }^{\text {ET } \pi \alpha i ̃ \delta \varepsilon s ~ ' E \lambda \lambda n ́ v \omega \nu ~}$
 モ̌ $\delta \eta(202-4 n$.$) ．Although Jason＇s speech is meant to be understood ironically，A．$ wrote at a time when the concept of＇Hellene＇as a replacement for citizen identity was
 at 204－5n．reflects this；see Stephens（2003） 183.
$\sigma \omega \in \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ is forcefully placed, emphasing the contrast between 190-4 'our $\alpha^{*} \theta \lambda$ ov has been achieved by Medea' and the rest of the speech in which the Argonauts are exhorted to fight 'Axalíסos oî́ó te máoŋns.

 with a great force to prevent us reaching the sea from the river.' The run of short particles ( $\delta \dot{\eta} \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho$ mou, $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda$ ) conveys nervous apprehension at the prospect of encountering Aietes. סウ̀ $\gamma$ áp gives strong emphasis (Denniston 243 citing $I l$. 11.314-
 adding a note of diffidence (Denniston 491) quickly masked by the assertive $\mu \alpha^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}$
 The prospect of being caught by him is the threat and as such his name occupies the first position in the line.
 $\pi \eta \delta o i ̃ \sigma \iota \nu$ ह́pé $\sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ 'Therefore every other man through the length of the ship should stay on his bench and ply the oars.' For the absolute construction of $\varepsilon \zeta \zeta \dot{\rho} \mu \varepsilon v o s$, see K-G II 288 and other examples at 1.396, Il. 3.211, 10.224. Rengakos (1993) 68-9







 holding out their oxhide shields as a swift-moving protection against enemy missiles.'


 more elaborate as befits an exhortation to his men. The combination $\beta$ ocías / dंomí $\delta \alpha s$ is in enjambment at $I l$. 5.452-3, 12.425-6.
$\delta \underline{1 ́ \omega \nu}$ Өoòv É $\chi \mu \alpha \beta \circ \lambda \alpha ́ \omega v$ suits a speech in which Jason adopts the role of valiant but verbose leader after the dangerous work has been done by Medea; cf. the


 which consists of a tower' and hence 'tower of defence' cf. Soph. $A j .159$ múpyou


 and Buttmann (1861) 365-70 who argues that the adjective, besides meaning 'swift,' also carries the association of terror and danger, though the idea of the swift movement of the shields is prominent here.

For mробхо́ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon \varepsilon \nu o ı , ~ m e a n i n g ~ ' h o l d i n g ~ a ~ s h i e l d ~ o r ~ a ~ w e a p o n ~ b e f o r e ~ o n e ’ ~ c f . ~ A r . ~}$
 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi_{i} \delta \alpha$.

With émauúvete, A. ironically recalls Hector's words at $I l .12 .243$ हĩs oí $\omega$ vòs


 Isoc. Panegyr. 4.184.9, Plut. 9.5.8.

## 

 токп̃as / ’ौסХоцєv. 'Now we have in our hands, our children, our dear country, and honoured parents.' Jason continues the emotive rhetoric (195-7n.); cf. Il. 15.497-


 country' embedded between 'love for children and parents' adds to the emotion of the appeal. However, as elsewhere in the poem, the theme of a warrior arming or preparations for combat never leads to an actual confrontation; see Vian (1981) 154, Fränkel (1968) 468-72.

Transmitted $\delta^{\prime}$ was rightly deleted by Brunck. Platt (1914) 42 compares Il.
 The addition is due to the influence of clausulae such as 4.1155 oi $\delta$ ' evì $\chi$ £poiv and

 vũv $\delta$ ' see Headlam (1910) 436 on Aesch. $A g$. 1475, Finglass (2011) 319 on Soph. $A j$. 612-17.

For $\varepsilon$ és used for the first person plural see Rengakos (1993) 117-8, Harder (2012) II 297-8 who refers to Marxer (1935) 62 and for lines shaped like 203 (cf.



 achieve despair or great glory.' Jason's emotive appeal (189-205n.) to Hellas may also contain a contemporary historical reference. The decree proposed by Chremonides during the Chremonidean War (268-61 BC) reminded the Greeks that together 'they had fought many glorious battles against those who wished to enslave the cities' and urged them to ally themselves with Ptolemy, the defender of the 'common freedom of the Greeks'; see Chaniotis (2005) 230.

For Jason's final flourish cf. Sarpedon's similar philosophy at Il. 12.328 ºu $\boldsymbol{\text { ºv }}$
 Gylippus and the Spartan generals end their final speech with a similar aphorism at

 rarest when failure brings no great loss and success confers no little gain', Catull. 64.102 aut mortem appeteret Theseus aut praemia laudis.


 غ́คءıסóuєvol (also Il. 19.49, Od. 10.170). The metaphorical use of the verb enhances

 Spartan king Archidamus expresses a similar martial sentiment before an invasion of



 a̋poı but кũס̃os ápéбӨaı occurs without $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \propto \alpha$ at $I l .12 .407,17.419,20.502$ etc. At the end of such a speech the expected sentiment is 'Let us do our best and either win glory or die in the attempt.' кatn¢عin, 'dejection' is more in keeping with Jason's character as a sometime sufferer of $\dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \chi \alpha v^{\prime} \dot{\alpha}$.

 $\pi \varepsilon i ́ \sigma \mu \alpha т$ ' є̌кочєь. 'With these words, he put on his warlike armour. The Argonauts gave a great shout of eagerness and Jason, having drawn his sword from its sheath, cut the ropes at the ship's stern.' As often, a loud roar greets the encouragement to battle. The response to Hector's words at Il. 12. 230-50 is $12.251-2$ тoì $\delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu$ '



For گíqоऽ દ̇к ко入єоі̃o cf. Od. 10.126-7 (Odysseus’s flight from the

 кvaขотрఢ́роıо), shortens the formula by leaving out п $\alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \rho \circ$ and instead of غ́pvooá $\mu \varepsilon v o s ~(a l s o ~ a t ~ I l . ~ 12.190) ~ h e ~ u s e s ~ \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \sigma o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o s ~(c f . ~ I l . ~ 16.473=O d . ~ 10.439=~$

complicated word order (nn. 83-4, 143-4): enjambment of §íq०s . . . $\sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \varsigma$, separation of $\pi \rho \cup \mu \nu \alpha i ̃ \alpha$ and $\pi \varepsilon i ́ \sigma \mu \alpha \tau^{\prime} ;$ tmesis of à $\pi о к о ́ \pi t \omega$. On Attic $\nu \varepsilon \omega \dot{\rho}$, see below.

Just like the Colchians, the Laestrygonians have been holding an ờopí (Od. 10.114) and their numbers are large ( 10.120 uvpiot). Bearing in mind, how expensive ship's rope would have been in the ancient world (Casson (1971) 231), Jason's action in drawing the sword and cutting the ropes could be seen as empty heroic gesture, emphasising his attempt to reassert himself after the secondary role he has played in the encounter with the serpent. In the case of Odysseus and the Laestrygonians, the gesture is motivated. They are intent on pursuit (118-19) and armed (121-2). The action in A. moves at a slower pace and gives time for the elaborate simile about the vast number of Colchians (214-17) and the description of Aietes. Aeneas does the same at Virg. Aen. 4.579-80 dixit vaginaque eripit ensem / fulmineum strictoque ferit retinacula ferro. This gesture has great power as Aeneas uses the sword that Dido gave him as a gift and he is in a hurry to leave Carthage; see Basto (1984) 333-4.
$\pi \rho \cup \mu \nu \alpha i ̃ \alpha$ is a coinage by A. It occurs elsewhere at Triphiod. 139, Opp. $H$.

 E̋ $\lambda \cup \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$.

For vєట́s cf. vєós кvavoтрఢ̣́poıo (Il. 15.693, Od. 9.482, 539, 10.127). The Attic genitive veట's is found elsewhere in epic: Od. 10.172 (v.1.), [Orph.] Arg. 1203-1
 4.231. For occasional Attic forms elsewhere in A. cf. 1.811 кópaı, 3.1036 ध̂p $\gamma \alpha$
 Antimachus and if he found this genitive in his poetry, it is plausible that he might
introduce it into his own. The mss. tradition favours veف́s (LASPE, G. has vaós). Elsewhere A. has vnós and once vєós (1.1201). In view of the presence of many Atticisms in our text of Homer (West (2001) 31-2), it is likely that A. would reflect this and it is therefore wrong to eliminate them with Rzach (1878).

## 

$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \beta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon v$. 'Armed, he took his place, near to the maiden, next to the steersman Ancaeus.' The imagery is both that of charioteer and steersman, even though Jason and Medea are in the prow of the ship, but cf. Catull. 64.9 ipsa levi fecit volitantem flamine currum, (where ipsa refers to Athena and currum to the Argo; cf. őXos and
 ő $\chi \eta \mu \alpha$ voós. These lines are neatly balanced by $224-7$. Framed between is the simile of the leaves and the elaborate description of Aietes in full armour. The focus of the narrative switches between Colchians and Argonauts in almost cinematic fashion (225-7n.).
 etc), another example 'shortening' of an Homeric phrase (206-8n.). iӨuvtñpı is a rare word; cf. Soph. fr. 314.79 TrGF Өєòs Túxŋ kaì $\delta a i ̃ \mu o v i \theta u v t n ́ p ı \varepsilon, ~ T h e o c r . ~ S y r i n x ~ 2 . ~$. More usual is киßєpvŋ́tns; cf. Il. 19.43, 23.316, Od. 3.279 but i $\forall v v \omega$ is used of



 $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \beta \alpha \sigma к \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \rho ı к \lambda \cup т o ́ s$. The $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha ́ t \eta s$ is used of the warrior who stands beside
 use of imperfects with - K - see Redondo (2000) 137.

## 

 eager to drive the ship outside the river without delay.' A. alludes to longer Homeric
 غ́丂ó $\mu \varepsilon \nu$ оı то
 / adnixi torquent spumas, Catull. 64.13.

## 

 fully known to proud Aietes and all the Colchians.' The sudden transition between Argonauts and Colchians is marked by $\eta \not \delta \eta$, which often denotes a change of scene,
 the split between father and daughter, the former marking Medea's now notorious
 with Lightfoot ad loc., but ơmuotos (Od. 1.242, 4.675, 5.127) and êkтuotos (Plut. Caes. 64.2.3)), and the latter alluding to Aietes' character and used of Pelias, also an overbearing tyrant, at Hes. Th. 995.

Homer does not have ép $\gamma$ ' غ̇тє́тиктo, only Il. $17.279=$ Od. $11.550=11.610=$ Hom. Hym. 4.12 ép ${ }^{\text {én }}$ тє́тuкто. With respect to the elision at the quasi-caesura of the fifth foot and whether ěp $\gamma$ ’ غ̇т

show that A. felt that the augmented form was required, and would have agreed with Aristophanes in reading $\sigma \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \chi v^{\prime}$ घ่ $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha v t o ~ a t ~ I l . ~ 1.484 ~ r a t h e r ~ t h a n ~ o m \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \chi v \alpha ~$ тáoovto; see Mooney 415, West (1998) XXVI-VII, Taida (2007) 3-12.




214 દ่s $\delta$ ' ả $\gamma$ о
 ब́үध́povto. For the figura etymologica see Louden (1995) 28-9 and Clary (2007) 113-36 for discussion of word-play in Homer. For further examples in A. cf. 1.403-4





દ̇vì TદúXદఠIV only occurs here. It was unusual to attend an agora under arms;
 carrying arms in the agora, see Sealey (1994) 27. Used here, the phrase suggests that the time for discussion or persuasion is over: only fighting can sort things out now.

## 

 many as the waves of the sea raised into a crest by a stormy wind.' The emphasis on the great size of the Colchian horde reminds the reader of the historical parallel of Xerxes and the Persians versus small bands of Greeks; cf. Thuc. 4.126.3 (from a speech of the Spartan commander Brasidas, about to be attacked by a large force of



 75, Virg. G. 2.105, Gow on Theocr. 16.60. The rowing Argonauts might be compared to the Greeks at Salamis, showing agility and fast movement against overwhelming numbers. The waves of the sea represent the Colchians or Persians, a powerful force, ultimately frustrated in its aims. For similes comparing large armies to waves in Greek and Western Asiatic literature see West (1997) 245.

The switch to a simile is sudden and unexpected. The language is elaborately




 especially neat effect is the unexpected parenthetical question, also with forceful alliteration (216-17n.).

## 

 ground in a dense wood in the leaf-shedding month - who could count them?' The accumulated similes enable A. to explore the scene described from every angle. The Colchians are like the waves, but are also compared to falling leaves, numberless but signalling death and futility. Milton does the same when he explores all possible
connections between leaves randomly falling in a brook in Vallombrosa and fallen angels rolling in a fiery lake in hell（Paradise Lost 1．302－3）．The comparison of the fallen leaves is found throughout European poetry；cf．Il． 2.800 入ínv $\gamma$ à $\rho$ qú $\lambda \lambda$ 入oıoı

 $\chi \propto \mu \alpha ́ \delta ı \varsigma ~ \chi \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon ı ~ a n d ~ H e s . ~ O p . ~ 421 ; ~ a l s o ~ A n a c r e o n t e a ~ 14.1-6 ~ W e s t, ~ V i r g . ~ A e n . ~ 6.309-10, ~$ Dante Inferno III．112－7 and for more examples， http：／／www．rivistazetesis．it／Foglie．htm．（checked 13／03／15），West（1997） 245. $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \kappa \lambda \alpha \delta \varepsilon ́ \sigma \varsigma$ is a coinage by A．（пєрímиotos：212－13n．）．A．is especially fond of alliteration in $\pi$（ $1.157,1.169,1.634,1.671$ and especially 2.937 прпито́тou



For tís äv тá $\delta \varepsilon$ т тккиர́paıто cf．Dante Inferno XxviII．1－3 ‘Chi poria mai pur con parole sciolte／dicer del sangue e de le piaghe a pieno／ch＇i＇ora vidi，per narrar più volte？，＇Ecclesiasticus／Sirach 1．2－3＇The sands of the sea，the drops of rain，the days of eternity－who can count them？＇；the rhetorical questions draws the reader into the passage．Possibly the phrase comes from philosophical debate；cf．Iamb．De vita

 O．2．98－100 غ̇ாєì 廿áu


## 

 $\mu \alpha ı \mu \omega о \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ •＇Like this，the hordes were passing by the banks of the river， screaming in their eagerness．＇The explanation of $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ́ t \rho \varepsilon \circ v$ in $\Sigma$（p． 271 Wendel）$\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \lambda \varepsilon \circ v$ must be wrong. The Colchians are going to an assembly and have not yet set sail (214). In A. тарацєтрє́ف always means 'pass by’ (cf. 1.595, 1.1166, 2.937). This seems strange until one remembers that ő $\chi$ Өaı is the 'built-up' bank of a river; cf. Il. 21.171-2.

## 

 chariot Aietes was resplendent with the horses that the Sun had given as swift as the wind.' As the early dawn (110-11) fades and the sun raises, so does Aietes, the son of Helios. His son, Apsyrtus, is sometimes known as Phaethon (3.245,1235, 4.598). The present description of Aietes - spear in one hand, torch in the other, a companion in the chariot,- refers to his ancestry; cf. Letta (1988) 606. He is conspicuous ( $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ́ \pi \rho \varepsilon \pi t \varepsilon)$ ) and so his name comes early in the sentence, while غ́єıסouદ́vous, used of his horses, suggests physical similarity with gusts of wind. While the image is not
 Nagy (1979) particularly chapter 20), the use of ézıסouévous (Pind. N. 10.15) varies a familiar theme. For the winds as a metaphor for swiftness cf. Finglass (forthcoming) on Soph. OT 467-8.


 describes the travels of Zeus and Poseidon respectively. These Homeric allusions connect particularly with the parallel scene at $3.1225-45$. During this passage Aietes is explicitly compared to Poseidon (3.1240-45) who is the patron god of Pelias, Jason's enemy (cf. 1.13) and just as he pursues Odysseus relentlessly, so Aietes will
track Jason and Medea, (231-5n.). The connexion between Poseidon and horses is well known (cf. Stes. frr. 18.4-5, 272 with Davies and Finglass, ad loc., Braswell on Pind. P. 4.45(b)).

For gifts from the gods, especially gifts of horses, see Davies and Finglass on Stes. fr. 2, Heath (1992) 387-400 and Harrison (1991) 252-54, who emphasises the possible destructive nature of these gifts. In Aietes' case, although he has received the gift of swift horses, they will not help him to catch the fleeing Argo.

##  

 'in his left hand, raising his circular shield and in the other a huge torch, and beside him lay his mighty spear, close at hand.' The Homeric warrior brandishes his spear
 with his torch and burn the Argo than fling his spear after a fleeing Jason. The massive spear reminds us of his prowess as a fighter (cf. the more elaborate description at $3.1225-45$ ), but the torch conveys the imminent threat and its blaze suits the son of the Sun. Latinus, another descendant of the Sun, is similarly described (Virg. Aen. 12.161-4). In such descriptions the contents of the left hand are usually given first; cf. Il. 16.734, Call. Aet. fr. 114.5-6 Harder (of Delian Apollo), where see Harder ad loc., Bühler (1960) 167-8, West on Hes. Th. 179, 44-6n.

In Homer, סıvفTós, 'round' of a shield only occurs at Il. 13.405-7 $\left(\Sigma^{\mathrm{D}}=\right.$ van



Van Wees (1994) 132-3 on Homeric armour and Rengakos (1994) 70 on $\delta ı \nu \omega t o ́ s$ meaning 'round' and 'artfully made'.

Alliteration of $\pi(216-17 \mathrm{n}$.) reinforces the threat that Aietes' torch presents for the retreating Argo, with a reference to Hector's attempt to burn the Greek ships in Iliad 15, or to the device on Capaneus' shield at Aesch. Sept. 432-4 ÉXモı $\delta$ غ̇ $\sigma \tilde{n} \mu \alpha$ үu


 present passage, see Harder (2012) II 155-6, 159, who argues that it is difficult to decide on priority when comparing similar passages in the Aetia and Argonautica. The motif of Aietes' wanting to burn the Argo had occurred already in the Naupactica (EGF 7a). Callimachus alludes to the story in passing in a different context (fr. 7.1921: The return of the Argonauts and the rite at Anaphe). A. fully develops the story at a later date, in response to Callimachus' more episodic approach.

Hector appears twice with a spear 'eleven cubits' long (Il. 6.319, 8.494); see Van Wees (1994) 133. Achilles' enormous spear is described at $I l .16 .141=19.388$; see De Jong on Il. 22.133-4). Aietes has temporarily put his spear to one side. For

 stressed by ởvtıkpú and $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \omega \rho ı v$ fits with the picture of an Aietes of superhuman stature. It is used of 'Aíסns and "Apns at Il. 5.395 and 7.208 and, significantly, of the




 Х£poĩv (dual; not Homeric) for $\chi$ £poív; see Redondo (2000) 134.

 6.43 үモ́vto ¿è Xєıpí; no other part of this verb occurs in extant literature. The section ends, perhaps with sinister significance, by naming Apsyrtus and then switching in the middle of the line to the escaping Argo.

 already the ship was beginning to cut through the sea, urged on by its strong oarsmen, and the stream of the mighty river rushing down.' The scene reverts back to the Argo (210-11n.). This disruption of linear narrative is a feature of the literature of the third century. 'the Aristotelian rules snap like straws . . . Action begins and ends in midair'; see Lowe (2000) 98, 129-57 on the changes that the Hellenistic poets introduced and how these had been foreshadowed by the author of the Odyssey.

The unusual ن́mєкттрота́ $\mu \nu \omega$ (only in A., though cf. Od. 3.174-5 тє́ $\lambda \propto \gamma \circ$. .

 that the Argonauts were making the quickest possible getaway. The ship leaps forward as it gathers speed. A. often uses double prepositions (1.30, 983 غ่mıाॅคó, 1.39
 (2000) 138, K-G i 529 . This is also underlined by $\eta \neq \eta$ marking a change of scene or
stressing the immediate moment: cf. 212-13n., and iamque at Virg. Aen. 2.209 fit

 хєíp. The prominent position of $v \eta$ ũs makes the Argo into a character in its own right. The rare кат $\alpha \beta \lambda \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ (only elsewhere at $O d .16 .466,1068$ ) is used instead of катє́рхои๙ı (Il. 11.492, Hdt. 2.19, Pl. Cr. 118d, Call. h. 4. 207-8.)

##  

 $\eta ँ \pi \cup \varepsilon \lambda \propto \underset{\sim}{\eta}$. 'But the king in grievous anguish lifted his hands, calling on Helios and Zeus to bear witness to their evil deeds; and, from close at hand, uttered terrible threats against all his people.' Like Amycus at 2.10 ( $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \chi \varepsilon \delta o ̀ v$ éкф $\alpha т о \mu u ̃ \theta \circ v$ ), Aietes utters his threats at short range. The shouts of Polyphemus are similarly described at $O d .9 .399$ aủtàp ò Kúk $\lambda \omega \pi \alpha \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda ’$ クौmuev. Significantly placing his name first (see below on appeals to Zeus and Helios), Aietes is appealing to Helios his father in the same way that Polyphemus, another superhuman figure, appeals to resemblances between Polyphemus and Aietes (pride in their ancestry, personal arrogance, and inhospitality that can be dangerous for the recipients) see Regan (2009) 109. The threatening nature of Aietes' words is emphasised by the frequency
 cf. 4.1661-2, Fraenkel on Aesch. Ag. 268, nn. 214-15, 389-90, ). For őtṇ



(of Croesus after the accidental killing of his son) $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \eta \mu \varepsilon \kappa \tau \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu$ סغ̀ Tñ̃ $\sigma \cup \mu \varphi \circ \rho n ̃ ̃$
 ह́p $\gamma \omega v$, as there are still more evil deeds to come - the death of Apsyrtus.

Similarities have also been noted between Aietes and Antigonos I Monopthalmos, one of the Diadochi renown for his savagery, arrogance and the trust that he placed in his son Demetrius Poliorcetes. Their relationship appears to bear close resemblance to that between Aietes and Apsyrtus; see Regan (2009) 110-19. For a description of Antigonos' behaviour and characteristics, see Plut. Dem. 2.2, 3.2, 19.3, 27.4. Just as Aietes threatens to burn the Argonauts, along with the Argo, as soon as he meets them (3.582), Antigonos dropped his captured enemy Antigenes into a pit and burned him alive (Diod. 19.44.1-3).

The gesture of raised arms and hands is a universal one in ancient cultures, when seeking to invoke divine powers; see Finglass on Soph. El. 636, Roberts (1998)
 Хєĩpas àvaoxผ́v• / Zєũ . . . / 'Hé入ıós tє, Od. 20.97, Pind. N. 5.11, Bacchyl. 3.35-7
 1190 , Ar. $A v .623$, Call. h. 4.107 and Callimachus' version of this moment in the story, Aet. fr. 7c 15-6 Harder (222-4n.) For combined appeals to both Zeus and Helios, with Zeus first, cf. Il. 3.276-7, 19.258-9, Eur. Med. 764, Ennius fr. 234 Jocelyn Iuppiter, tuque adeo, summe Sol, Virg. Aen. 12. 176-7 (an exception - esto nunc Sol testis . . . 178 et pater omnipotens), Pease on Virg. Aen. 4.607, Richardson on Hom. Hym. 2.24. For Helios as witness of right dealing cf. Od. 8.271, 302, Aesch. Ch. 986-9 ( $\mu \alpha ́ \rho$ тtus in 987 ~ غ̇mıúáptupas), [Aesch.] PV 91, Soph. Aj. 857 with Finglass ad loc.

 The mss．evidence is divided（Harder（2012）if 629－30）．In A．G k m have
 ．غ́тєка入єĩto（see Livrea and Rengakos（1994）87）．Harder（630）examining the relevant parallels，discerns a difference in emphasis between＇being present as a witness and something or somebody being a witness＇．The latter seems to be true of the present instance，and so غ̇mıáptupas is preferable．The structure（غ̇mıúртираs between как $\tilde{\omega} \nu . .$. ép $\gamma \omega \nu$ ）makes it clear that he is focusing attention on the word as a single unit；cf．Hes．Th． 595 какผ̃ข $\xi \cup v \grave{o v a s ~ દ ̂ p \gamma \omega v ~ ' c o n s p i r a t o r s ~ i n ~ e v i l ~ w o r k s ' ~}$ （also 601－2 §uvńovas ép $\gamma \omega \nu$ ảp $\gamma \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu$ ）．

Zenodotus apparently preferred the form $\mu$ 人́ptus（ $\Sigma^{\mathrm{A}}$ Il．2．302a $=\mathrm{I} 250.19-22$ Erbse），while Aristarchus favoured $\mu$ áptupos．As $\mu$ óp tus is so common（e．g．Hom． Hym．4．372．and Call．A．P．6．311．2＝ 1172 HE $\mu$ о́ $\rho т$ тира），Campbell（1971） 410 argues that this passage cannot be used as support for Zenodotus＇s readings．However， it would be typical of A．to present both sides of a question of Homeric criticism （356－8n．）．See also nn．16－7，88－90，Rengakos（1993） 86 n．2）and on the invocations of witnesses in oaths，Hirzel（1902）23，Sommerstein（2007）74，338－40n．

231－5 Aietes＇threats to his people，reported in indirect speech，contrast with Jason＇s pre－battle rhetoric（ $6-9 \mathrm{n}$ ．）．There is a direct connection with his address to the Colchian assembly at 3．579－608，（particularly 3.606 кaí $\rho$ ’ ó $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ \alpha ̛ \sigma \chi \varepsilon \tau \alpha ~ e ́ p \gamma \alpha ~$ आı甲аи́бкєто $\delta$ пиоте́poıธıv）．The speech＇s violence is intensified by the jerky syntax and word order，the forced antithesis between＇land and sea＇at 231，the awkward
 Hunter (1993b) 147-8.

The speech characterises a barbarian tyrant uttering imprecations against a band of Greeks; see Williams (1996) 463-4, Mori (2008) 163. Another possible


 moıvaбó $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ with 4.9-10. There is a tradition of battlefield rhetoric being reported in indirect speech; cf. Thuc. 4.11.4, 4.96.1, 5.69, 7.5.3-4, 7.69.2 and see Zoido (2007) 141-58 (particularly 143).

 captured his daughter, through their own efforts and brought her to him, whether they found her on land or found the ship, on the swell of the navigable sea.' Here




 176.
 $\pi \lambda \omega T n ̃ s ~ ‘ n a v i g a b l e ’ ~ c f . ~ S o p h . ~ O C ~ 663 ~ \mu \alpha к \rho o ̀ v ~ т o ̀ ~ \delta \varepsilon u ̃ p o ~ \pi \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \gamma o s ~ o u ́ \delta \varepsilon ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \omega ́ \sigma ı \mu о \nu, ~$

 $\mu \tilde{T} \tau \varepsilon \theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \pi \lambda \omega т \grave{\eta}$.


 the paradosis against Campbell's suggestion of $\pi \lambda \omega \tau \mathfrak{n} v$ for $\pi \lambda \omega T \tilde{s} s(1971) 419$, referring to the Argo.

## 233-5 каì $\theta$ uนòv દ̇vıா 

 äтпข. 'and he will fulfil his angry rage, eager to avenge everything that had happened, they will learn with their heads all his anger and experience the fullest of his misfortune.' Aietes rages like Achilles seeking revenge at $I l .22 .312-3 \mu \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \circ \delta \delta^{\prime}$
 $\Delta \alpha \rho \varepsilon i ̃ o s ~ т \varepsilon i ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \propto ı ~ \Sigma$ кú $\theta \propto \varsigma$; it is the kind of language associated with tyrants such as Dareios, Aietes and Antigonos (228-30n.). For the violent expression, $\delta \alpha \mathfrak{\eta} \sigma 0 v \tau \alpha$


 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu$. There is no need to alter öтпท to $\alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \dot{v} v$ after the suggestion of West (1963) 12. á̛tn means 'misfortune' (nn. 228-30, 411-3). By their suffering the Colchians will learn what the king is suffering in losing his daughter and the Fleece. For éós used for the third person plural see Rengakos (1993) 116, 279-81n.


 ships, and placed their equipment on board, and on that same day put to sea.' $\omega$ 's छैф $\alpha \tau^{\prime}$ acts as the trigger to the next part of the action; as soon as he finishes speaking his men put to sea. The repetition of $\alpha \cup \mathfrak{T} \tilde{\varphi} \delta^{\prime} \eta{ }^{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ stresses the immediacy of the
 the transition.



 (similar are Od. 4.577-8, Hom. Hym. 7.32 and see Campbell (1971) 420). For ${ }^{\prime} \rho \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$

 Homeric origin; see Redondo (2000) 133 n. 16.

 said that such a great number made up a naval expedition but a great family of birds screaming over the seas.' These lines seem to be a shorthand version of a traditional epic simile. 'You would say' this, if you were an epic poet; cf. Il. 4.429-30 oúסह́ кє
 опна́vтора s; see Hunter (1993b) 132, with bibliography on Homer's use of кє фaíns.

In Homer the Greeks are silent, while the Trojans are noisy and likened to bleating sheep (Il. 4.433-6). A. is imitating this contrast but uses an object of comparison from another simile: Il. 3.2-3 Tp
 discipline - the Argonauts go on board in orderly fashion at 4.199-201 - develops into a topos, especially with the Persian War when the noisy East encounters the selfcontrolled West (Aesch. Pers. 399-407, Hdt. 7.211, Thuc. 4.126.5 for the Illyrians, 1.49.3, 2.89.9 for discipline in general contrasted with clamour, Pind. N. 3.60, Eur. Phoen. 1302-3; see Heath (2005) 68).






 $\beta \rho \varepsilon ́ \mu \omega$. It seems possible to distinguish between the two roots ( $-\beta \rho \circ \mu /-\beta \rho \varepsilon \mu$ ), the former usually denoting some kind of buzzing sound, the latter loud noises associated with the sea. A. seems to blur this distinction here and at 3.1371, 4.787. It is difficult to decide whether one should emend or accept that 'buzzing in the ears' is a similar sound to that made by sea birds flying over the sea. It is tempting to read $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi r \beta \rho \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon ı v ;$ see $16-17 n$.

 

$\gamma \alpha i ̃ \alpha v$ íknтаı 'Swiftly the wind blew, as the goddess Hera planned, so that most quickly Aeaean Medea might reach the Pelasgian land, an evil to the house of Pelias.' Hera is the directing deity of the Argonautica and so her name is placed in emphatic first position with immediately following pause. In raising a wind, she is carrying out



 that the wind rises because the goddess wishes it (Il. $13.524 \Delta_{\text {iòs }} \beta$ ou $\lambda$ ñoıv, Hom. Hym. 4.413, 2.9.)
 speed with which Hera's plan will be accomplished. It is foreshadowed at 3.1134-6
 Mń $\delta \varepsilon ı \alpha$. The juxtaposition of adjectives, Aiגín ~ Пє $\lambda \alpha \sigma \gamma i ́ \delta \alpha$, underlines the theme of barbarian and Greek; cf. Eur. Med. 255-8 483-4, 3.1105-17, 4.360-1, Hunter



 is an allusion to the prehistory of Greece and reminds us that the Argonauts' story takes place before the Trojan War; see Stephens (2003) 190, 270.

## 

 cables to the Paphlagonian shore at the mouth of the river Halys.' A. shortens the
formulae that Homer uses to describe landings; cf. $I l .1 .436-7=O d .15 .498-9$ ék $\delta^{\prime}$


 the mysteries of Hecate and the poet's silence about them.

## 



 Hecate with sacrifices. I am in awe to speak of all that the maiden did in preparing these sacrifices (no one must know nor must I let myself be tempted to sing of it).' One might have expected them to pray to Apollo the god of disembarkation (cf. 1.966
 presiding deity of the Argonautica, Albis (1996) 46). However, assistance from Hecate has ensured the success of the mission (4.147-8). This makes her the subject of the first aetiological stop of the Argonauts' return and, with typical Hellenistic irony, the subject of the aition will remain undescribed because the poet rather than the Muses is taking responsibility for the content of his poem. Just as he hesitates at 4.982-92 to narrate an inappropriate myth about Ouranos, here he steps back from full disclosure by reversing an echo of Alcinoos' description of Demodocus (Od.
 àعíסะıv).

Mystery rites, such as those of Eleusis and Hecate, were kept secret; cf. Hdt. 2.171, Hom. Hym. 2.478-9, Cuypers (2004) 49 and Fantuzzi (2008) 296-7 who
highlights the use of $\alpha \breve{\zeta} \zeta \mu \alpha ı$ as signalling a pious act of religious silence ( $\varepsilon \cup \cup \varphi \eta \mu i ́ \alpha)$. There are links between the two cults; see Wasson (2008) 112. Schaaf (2014) 260-7 comparing the mysteries at Samothrace and Callichorus.
 seer as does Callimachus at the beginning of the Hymn to Apollo; cf. h. 1.5 غ่v סoıñ $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha$ Өurós. For the appeal to $\theta u \mu o ́ s ~ a t ~ a ~ l y r i c ~ m o m e n t ~ c f . ~ A e s c h . ~ A g . ~ 992, ~ w i t h ~ C a l l . ~$ Aet. fr. 75.5 Harder, Pind. N. 3.26, O. 2.89, Archil. fr. 128.1 IEG, Cercidas fr. 7.10 $C A$, Theogn. 877, 1070 IEG, Ibycus fr. 317.5 PMG, Meleager A.P. 12.117.3 $=4094$
 poetry.

## 

 time, however, the shrine which the heroes raised on the beach to the goddess remains till now, a sight for men of a later day.' Although é $̧ \dot{́ c t}$ кモívou is a Callimachean phrase ( $h .2 .47, h .4 .275$ ), there is a difference in perspective between the two poets: Callimachus looks back to mythical past, while the Argonauts initiate rituals and cults
 concerning the marks which heroes have left on the physical world cf. 2.717 (temple to Homonoia), 1.1060-1 (tomb of Cyzicus), 2.841 (tomb of Idmon); see Valverde Sánchez (1989) 309-11, Harder (2012) I 24-6, Thalmann (2011) 39-41, Arg. 4.430n. $\gamma \varepsilon \mu \eta ́ v$ is adversative (not Homeric but cf. Aesch. $A g$. 1378, Soph. OC 587, Denniston 348) and stresses that although nothing can be said about the ritual in honour of Hecate, the Argonauts physically mark the site with some kind of shrine not an altar (pace Livrea: 'all' altare che gli eroi eressero'); for Hecate on the Black Sea,
see Manoledakis (2012) 300 who specifically mentions this passage in connection with a gem ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ century AD), possibly showing her with Apollo and Artemis, from the southern Black Sea region. kai tñuos must mean 'even now' or 'even today' and this usage is difficult to explain. тñนos usually means 'then, thereupon' (LSJ s.v. тñ $\mu \circ \varsigma) . ~ I G ~ I X / 2517.44$
 has been compared, ‘ . . . the former decree and the present one'. A more plausible explanation may be based on a question of Homeric interpretation; cf. Od. 7.317-20

 There was discussion about this passage in antiquity; cf. $\Sigma$ (I 352.6-10 Dindorf) és


 xpóvov. P.T.
$\Sigma$ not only punctuated the text differently from modern editors (aưpıov غंs тпиó $\sigma \delta \varepsilon$ ) but also understood the contrast to be 'tomorrow I shall arrange an escort for you, until this time you will sleep.' Perhaps he saw Tñ $\mu$ ooठغ̀ . . . $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \xi \varepsilon \propto ı ~ a s ~ a ~$ parenthesis or he put a full stop after $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \alpha$ ı. Arg. 4.1396-1400 also seems to show that the Odyssey Scholia's interpretation of tñuos was known to Homeric Alexandrian critics: $̣$ ̣̃ हैvı ^áס
 golden apples . . . now the snake, destroyed by Heracles.'

##   

'Straightaway Aeson's son together with the other heroes recalled Phineus how he had said that their voyage from Aea would be different. However it was unknown to all. Argos addressed them in their eagerness.' In spite of A's monograph against him
 of the mss. between the two Fränkel (1961) on 1.1101, Vian (1974) LXXVII) could be an illusion to the Homeric text of Zenodotus who read it at $I l .2 .1$ and 10.1, On the disputed matter of Ionicisms in Zenodotus' Homeric text see Campbell (1994) 159 with further references, West (2001) 43-4, (2004), Rengakos (2002a). It is typical of A.'s eclecticism with respect to Homeric scholarship (cf. Rengakos (2001) 203) that
 so, it seems best to print the transmitted text $\omega^{\chi} \lambda \lambda$ ol.

 $\theta \varepsilon o ́ s$.

His references to Egypt seem influenced by Herodotus (cf. 2.3.1 kaì סǹ kaì és






At 4.272-5 Argos alludes to the story of a mysterious, all-conquering Egyptian king. In the priest's narrative something similar is described at Pl. Tim. 24e

 mentioned by Argos is usually taken to be the mythical pharaoh Sesostris. However, in a Ptolemaic context these lines would doubtless be read as a reference to the Ptolemies themselves. Virgil is perhaps doing the same thing at Aen. 6.789 when he makes his own seer Anchises speak of Augustus Caesar, yet to be born. The Latin poet is working in a similar way to A . by creating an imaginary 'prehistoric' past (1.1 $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha ı \gamma \varepsilon \nu \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu \kappa \lambda \varepsilon ́ \alpha ~ \varphi \omega T \omega ̃ \nu)$ to praise and magnify the present régime.

Overall, Argos' speech is rhetorical and grandiloquent. After Jason, Medea and Phineus he has the most lines of direct speech ( $\mathrm{J} .=382 ; \mathrm{M} .=263 ; \mathrm{Ph} .=172$; Arg. = 162). Noteworthy features are the evocation of prehistory 261 ойт $\omega$ тєíp $\varepsilon \alpha$. .


 عipúovtal.

 route which the truthful prophet whom you recently encountered told you to use.' $v^{\prime} \sigma \sigma o ́ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ is imperfect (pace Mooney and Livrea: 'present for future') and a variation on $2.1153 \nu \varepsilon \cup \cup \prime \mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime}$ 'ss 'Opxouєvóv, describing the destination of Argos and the sons of Phrixos, when Jason and his men first encountered them, travelling there to reclaim their grandfather Athamas' possessions. Fränkel is right to print vıббó $\mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime}$, correcting
$\nu \varepsilon เ \sigma o ́ \mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{LA} ; \operatorname{SG} v(\varepsilon)_{\left.\iota \sigma o ́ \mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime}\right)}\right.$ rather than $v \varepsilon \cup ̛ ́ \mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime}$ (Vian; PE). To repeat the opening of 2.1153 would not be in A.'s style. The corruption began when one of the sigmas was omitted.

The Argonauts are not going to Orchomenos in Boeotia, as the last line of the poem shows. The opening to the speech is abrupt. Hence the scholiast's expansion
 Top\&úє $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon$ ), which Fränkel used to postulate a lacuna unnecessarily. Before Argos begins to speak, Jason and the Argonauts have been discussing an alternative route
 about to describe the alternative return route that is hinted at in 2.421 ह่ாாะ $\delta \alpha i ́ \mu \omega \nu$






$\xi \dot{u} \mu \beta \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ should be read for the transmitted $\xi u v \varepsilon ́ \beta \eta \tau \varepsilon$, as $\sigma \cup \mu \beta \alpha i ́ v \omega$ only rarely means 'meet'; cf. $\mathrm{LSJ}^{9}$ s.v. I 3. The usual Homeric words are $\xi \cup \mu \beta \lambda$ ń $\mu \varepsilon v o s$ ( $O d$. 24.260), $\xi$ ú $\mu \beta \lambda \eta$ таı (Od. 7.204), $\xi$ ú $\mu \beta \lambda \eta$ то (Od.10.105); cf. LSJ ${ }^{9} \sigma u \mu \beta \alpha \dot{ } 1 \lambda \lambda \omega$ s.v II
 resulted from a copyist who did not recognise the verb formed by analogy from Homer.
 Өńßns Tpıt
of the immortals who spring from Tritonian Thebes, told of.' हैסтıv $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \lambda$ óos ä $\lambda \lambda$ 人os
 (282-3n.), marking a change in A.'s approach to the geography of the voyage. Phineus had described the tribes and peoples that the Argonauts would encounter; Argos gives directions based on his knowledge of an ancient map. Ethnography has given place to cartography; see further Meyer (2001) 233 n. 83.

Өńßns Tpıt (pace Platt (1918) 139 ‘Thebe, daughter of Triton’); cf. Il. 9.381-2 oúס’’ ö $\sigma \alpha$ ©пßas /
 background to the passage is a section of Herodotus where he is consulting priests, designated as coming from a particular city (257-93n.). Stephens (2003) 190, 207 shows that A. uses 'geographical doublets' (in this case Boeotian and Egyptian Thebes) not as a recherché literary display but as a way of joining Greek and Egyptian worlds. Vian (1981) 157 n .260 points out that $\grave{\text { ék }} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \gamma \alpha \alpha$ indicates parentage not origin; Stephens (above) notes, however, that the sense must be priests from the city, not priests who trace their descent from the nymph. Unlike Greeks, in Egypt only the king could have divine ancestors.
 constellations whirl around the heavens.' This and the following lines are an attempt to link the prehistory of Greece with that of ancient Egypt, which begins in 267.


 t'oủpavòv દ̇ठтńpıктaı 'the constellations that are fixed in the heaven,' Perhaps either
he or A. conjectured, or had, in their Homeric texts a further variant oủpavẽ (see

 غ̇бтє甲ávબкє 'the constellations that garland the heavens'.
A.'s line should be read as a contribution to this debate. $\varepsilon$ i $\lambda i ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$ is a technical term for the movement of the planets; cf. Arat. 265 (of the Pleiades), Arist. Metaph. $998^{\text {a }} 5$. As often, he seems to be responding to one of Zenodotus' more radical critical decisions (nn. 253-6, 356-8), while Aristarchus adopts a more conservative approach.
 was it possible for enquirers to learn of the sacred race of the Danaans.' Does пєvӨouévoıs refer to the priests of Thebes, travelling historians such as Herodotus, or Alexandrian geographers such as Timagetus (285-7n.) and Timosthenes (an admiral of Ptolemy Philadelphus III based on Rhodes)? The vagueness adds to the mystery.

 stress; cf. 263, 264, 270, 271, 4.52n. Janko (1982) 30-33 has comparative data for enjambment in Homer, Apollonius and Virgil and shows that its use is notably greater in the two literary poets. For enjambment in Hellenistic poetry and Callimachus, see Harder (2012) I 45-7.

## 

 Apidanean Arcadians existed, Arcadians, who are said to have lived before the moon, eating acorns in the mountains.' Aristotle said that Arcadia, before the Greeks, had a
population of Pelasgians who ruled the land before the moon was in the sky and that for this reason they were known as Прочó̇ $\lambda_{\eta \nu o l}$ (Arist. fr. 591 Rose); cf. Thuc. 1.2 (Arcadians), 1.3 (Deucalion and the Pelasgians), Xen. Hell. 8.1.23, 482 т $\tau \nu \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \varepsilon$


For the epanalepsis cf. 1.87, 1.191, Il.2.849, Od. 1.23, Call. h. 1.33, 3.47, 4.118, 5.40, Theocr. 9.2, Catull. 64.26, 61, 132, 259, 285, 321, West (1997) 256 for the origins of epanalepsis in eastern literature and Moskalew (1982) 54-5 for its use in Virgil; cf. Virg. Eclog. 10. 31-3 Arcades / . . / Arcades, with Wills (1996) 129, 148. In Callimachus and Apollonius it often confers a note of earnest verisimilitude.

Callimachus uses A Amıסavñ®ऽ in a similar way, discussing early Greek



There is no certain example of the verb $\dot{\text { ú }} \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ before Callimachus; cf. $\dot{\delta} \delta \varepsilon i ́ o \mu \varepsilon \nu$

 Hollis (see ad loc.)). In fr. 371 it again has the sense of $\dot{u} \mu v \tilde{\omega}$; see Harder (2012) it 437. For the form úסéovtaı cf. 2.528, Arat. 257, Nic. Al. 47, 525. After the Alexandrian period there are no more examples. Commenting on fr. 372, Pfeiffer thought that it might be taken from tragedy and noted that Wilamowitz conjectured it in a fragment of Euripides (Hyps. F752g.15). The verb may be based on the Homeric scholarship of the poets concerned; cf. 4.1748 where A.'s use of $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega v$ to mean
 where a variant reading, $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta о \mu \propto 1$, might have existed. Possibly, $\dot{\text { ú } \delta \varepsilon \omega}$ is based on a mistaken interpretation of forms from $\alpha \cup \mathfrak{u} \delta \dot{\alpha} \omega$; cf. Maiistas Aretalogia, 2-3 CA

proclaimed throughout the towers of divine Egypt', while LSJ ${ }^{9}$ s.v. úסns notes that $\dot{\cup} \delta \varepsilon ́ \omega$, ú $\delta \eta \varsigma$, ú $\delta \eta$ maybe cognate with $\alpha u ́ \delta \eta \dot{\prime}$. It is not surprising that such an interpretation might be forgotten and, ultimately find a home in the Suda gloss which, according to Pfeiffer (see above) 'does not seem to exist anywhere else'.

For acorns as a food source before the invention of agriculture cf. Pausanias 8.1.6. (describing Arcadia) 'it was Pelasgos who . . . discovered that the fruit of oak trees was a food', Lycophron Alex. 480-3, Hdt. 1.66, Virg. Aen. 8.318 (a description of the early history of Latium) Evander telling Aeneas that sed rami atque asper victu venatus alebat, Campbell (2002) 16.

غ̇v oűpeøıv adds a detail to A.'s description of the mythical past; cf. Hes. $O p$.


## 


 ruled by the glorious sons of Deucalion, in the days when Egypt, mother of men of an older time, was called fertile Eerie.' A. uses a number of geographical markers belonging both to Greece and Egypt. A name and defining characteristic of Egypt
 סúยто, 'soon the rich grainlands of the Pelasgians disappeared in the mist.' 'Such doublets are a feature of aetological writing, the Greek marking of a foreign place with familiar Greek names’ (Stephens (2003) 190). Crete, another place, associated with 'origins' (Hunter (2008) 110 comparing Virg. Aen. 3.102-117 with this passage) is also called 'Aєpía or 'Hzpía.
A.'s use of 'Pelasgian' is sometimes particular, as at 1.580 , and sometimes a general term for the ancient time before the Hellenes, as here (see OCT index s.v. Пє $\bar{\alpha} \sigma \gamma{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{i}$ / $\Pi_{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \sigma \gamma \circ$ í) and this reflects the literary tradition as a whole; cf. Rhianos

 in Thessaly - very much Pelasgian country - and the combination $\pi \rho o ́ т \varepsilon \rho \circ$ ।
 A.'s different uses of n’épıos reflect Alexandrian Homeric scholarship; see Rengakos (1994) 93-4, 167, 171-2, 177. In Homer it means either 'at early dawn' or 'misty' and the former meaning is found at 3.417 when Aietes talks of yoking his bulls 'early in the morning'. Mooney comments that the 'hazy áńp' of Egypt is being
 Egypt is called "Aєpías . . . $\gamma \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$. There is no authority for the word used as a proper

 (à́-Zク̆v ‘without Zeus’) has been proposed (McLennan (1977) ad loc., arguing that 'Apk $\alpha \delta i ́ n$ is an intruded gloss). A's idea may be similar. He takes a rare Homeric adjective and turns it into a proper name, supposedly, used in antiquity.

 The four syllable word at the end of the line echoes the portentousness of the speaker's statement (cf. 260, 261, 263, 264); cf. Arg. 1.34.

With $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \circ$ os ö $\tau^{\prime}$, the second part of the prehistory begins and primeval Greece is linked with ancient Egypt; cf. Theocr. 17.77-80 (with Hunter ad loc.) $\mu$ upíaı ớтєıpoí


4．270－1）／à $\lambda \lambda$ ’ oủtis tó $\delta \iota \varepsilon \rho \alpha ̀ \nu$ öтє $\beta \omega \dot{\lambda} \lambda_{\kappa \alpha} \theta$ ри́ттєı（ $\sim 4.271$ ）．The wealth and fertility of Egypt is mentioned in Greek literature，since Achilles＇declaration that he would not yield to Agamemnon，even if he offered him all the riches that＇pour into Orchomenos，or Thebes in Egypt＇（Il．9．379－85）；see also Aesch．Suppl．1024－5 $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$＇̌tı N $\varepsilon$ í入ou／ $\pi \rho \circ \chi \circ \propto ̀ \varsigma ~ \sigma \varepsilon ́ \beta \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ Ưभvois．

Callimachus writes in the same way of the birth of Zeus at the beginning of $h$ ． 1．He uses the impersonal $\varphi \propto \sigma \mathrm{I}$（ 4.272 of the story of Sesostris and Call．h． 1.6 of different locations for the birth place of Zeus），mentions the Apidanians and Arcadia，
 $1.18 \wedge \alpha ́ \delta \omega \nu \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oűtic），and uses words like vi $\omega \nu$ oí（4．277 and Call．h．1．41）and тротєрпүєvย́єs（4．268 and Call．h．1．57）．A．reverses Callimachus＇$\mu \varepsilon ่ \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \nu . .$. k $\alpha \lambda$ é $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \propto \mathrm{l}$（ $h .1 .19$ ）in his attempt to build a pre－Homeric background for his poem． He uses $\kappa \lambda \eta$ Пí弓ouaı rather than $\kappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega$ and，by analogy，forms from it a pluperfect غ̇к $\kappa$ クńı $\sigma \mu \propto 1(4.267,1202)$ ．The archaic form and the spondaic ending increase the assonance and sonority of the line．
 heavy with long vowels also emphasises the weightiness of Argos＇pronouncements；



тротєрпүєvє́ $\omega \nu$ occurs elsewhere only at Antim．fr．41a Matthews тротєрпүєvéas Tıtñvas，Call．h．1．58．For the possible origin of the word cf．Il．


A．writes $\alpha i \zeta \eta \tilde{\omega} \nu$ ，rather than $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \omega \nu$ ，for its sound and for its elevated tone；aiלпоí are Sıотрє申є́єऽ（Il．2．660，4．280）

 ávaotaxúovoıv ${ }^{\circ}$ poupaı. 'and the river wide-flowing Triton, by which all Eerie is watered, and never does the rain from Zeus moisten the earth; but from the flooding of the river abundant crops spring up.' 'This was what the Nile was called in former times' comments $\Sigma$ (p. 277 Wendel). There is no other authority for 'Triton' as
 motóv (also 119). However this is not a matter of literary precedent but an example of metonymy. Callimachus uses 'Nereus', through metonymy, to mean the 'sea' at $h$. 1.40. 'Tethys', meaning 'sea' is a possible reading at Call. Aet. fr. 110.70 Harder, and seems to be how Catullus understood it (66.70) lux autem canae Tethyi restituit; cf. Call. h. 3.44, 231, 1069; see Matthews (2008) 199, Navarro Antolín (1996) 518, Hunter (2006) 67). As well as using 'Triton' to mean the Nile, Lycophron has 'Tethys’ meaning the sea at Alex. 1069. In a passage where A. has used ŋ̇́fios to create an imaginary name for ancient Egypt such a metonymic use of 'Triton' would not be out of place; see Priestley (2014) 126-7.

عủpúppoos is a conjecture of Meineke (1843) 47 for transmitted ' $\varepsilon$ úppoos’. Although the word does not exist elsewhere (only eủpupé $\omega \nu$ Il. 2.849, 5.545, 2.1261); cf. [Aesch.] PV 852-3 (the further wanderings of Io) öoŋท $\pi \lambda \alpha$ тúppous Neĩ 1 os / áp $\delta$ éveı $\chi$ Өóva. This speech of Prometheus opens with words 'There is a city Canobus'; Káv $\omega \beta$ ßos is the title of one of A.'s lost poems. Cf. also Aesch. fr. 300 1-6




 evidence for A's knowledge of Aeschylus.

The proverbial fertility of Egypt (cf. Bacchyl. fr. 20B. 14-16 S-M, Ar. fr. 581.15 PCG) is caused by the annual flood (cf. Call. fr. 384.27 Pfeiffer, Strabo 15.1.22-3). The Ptolemies emphasised the richness of the land and used it as an ideological weapon; see Hunter on Theocr. 17.77-85, 95-7.

For $\alpha \nprec \delta \varepsilon \tau \alpha ı ~ ’ H \varepsilon p i ́ n ~ c f . ~ \Sigma ~(p . ~ 276 ~ W e n d e l), ~ q u o t i n g ~ E u r . ~ H e l . ~ 1-3 ~ N \varepsilon i ́ \lambda o u ~ \mu e ̀ v ~$
 такеíons xíovos úypaíveı yúnv, also [Aesch.] PV 852-3, Aesch. fr. $300 \operatorname{TrGF}$ (both quoted above) together with Hdt. 2.13.3, 22.3, Tibull. 1.7.23 Nile pater . . . te propter nullos tellus tua postulat imbres, / arida nec pluvio supplicat herba Iovi.

There is the possibility that A . is playing with possible meanings of $\delta \varepsilon u ́ \omega$, more usually 'wet' or 'drench' but also 'miss, want' (= $\delta \varepsilon ́ \omega$, LSJ s.v. $\delta \varepsilon u ́ \omega$ (B)). The latter meaning is more usual as a deponent form but cf. Alcaeus P.Oxy. 1788.15 ii Szúovtos. The Tibullus passage (see above) lends support to this interpretation, as does Eur. Hel. 1-3. Both passages help to resolve $\Sigma$ 's doubts about the syntax (p. 277
 oै $\mu \beta$ pos, omitting $\delta$ ह́, which was added to avoid the asyndetion, (except in PE). The floods provide sufficient irrigation. See 272-4n. TIvó $\varphi \propto \sigma$ ৷ for the further significance of Tibullus' poem.

 a̋poupaı.

## 

 made his way all round through the whole of Europe and Asia, trusting in the might and strength and courage of his people.' It has been generally assumed that Argos means Sesostris, a semi-mythical king of Egypt whose conquests are described in Hdt. 2.102-11. The use of indefinite tivó conveys a sense of the distant past, as well as the conjectural vagueness of the style of the earliest geographers; Pearson (1938) 455-6 and Murray (1970) 162 n .1 for variants of the name of the Pharaoh and Priestley (2014) 144-57 on the links between A. and Herodotus.

There also seems to be an allusion to a contemporary account of Sesostris (Sesoösis) in Hecataeus of Abdera (Diod. Sic. 1.54.1 (= FGrH 264 F 25.54.1) with Murray (1970) 168 n .9 ) who explains that Sesoösis before beginning his campaign of world conquest ' courted the goodwill of all of the Egyptians by generosity and by these means acquired soldiers who were prepared to die for their leaders'; see Stephens (2003) 177. It is certainly how the reference is understood by $\Sigma$ (p. 277 Wendel) who, calling him Sesonchosis, cites a range of authorities. However, 'campaigning through Europe and Asia' and 'founding many cities' might also allude to the conquests of Alexander.

In a Ptolemaic context, one also thinks of Dionysus, linked with whom would be Osiris (Fraser (1972) 206). A familiar story connected with both gods is a triumphal trip throughout the known civilised world and the language in which this is described in a passage from Diodorus Siculus (1.27.5), 'I am Osiris the King, who campaigned to every country, as far the unhabited regions of the Indians and those who lie in the far north, as far as the sources of the River Ister and back to the other areas as far as Ocean' is similar to the present passage. For this language of the
'extension of boundaries as a kingly duty' see Hunter (2006) 61, comparing Tibull. 1.7.23, Virg. Aen. 6.804-5.
 adding Catull. 68.89 Asiae Europaeque. The landmass, according to ancient geographers was divided into three continents, Europe, Asia and Africa. Both Herodotus and Eratosthenes seemed to deny the usefulness and validity of these divisions (Hdt. 4.45.6, Strabo 1.4.7, Fraser (1972) 530) in a world where geographical knowledge, due to the impetus provided by Alexander's conquests was constantly
 Eúpผ́mпை kaì 'Ađíav; and Herodotus describing Sesostris' triumphal tour at 2.103.

According to Herodotus (2.103), Sesostris is supposed to have marked his
 Єん



For the combination $\beta$ ín and káptos cf. Od. 13.143, 18.139 ßín каì кáptєï $\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime} i \kappa \omega \nu$, Il. 8.226, 17.329. For this type of variation depending on sound and association cf. Merkel (1854) XxxviII-XLIV, (on the same thing in Callimachus), De Jan (1893) 23, and Edwards (1971) 74 for the origins of this technique later developed by the Hellenistic poets. For A.'s freedom in the use of $\sigma \varphi \omega i t \varepsilon \rho \circ \varsigma$ see Rengakos (1993) 118-19 and (2002), noting that it may be related to Antimachus fr. 8 Matthews. Antimachus seems to have been the first to use this possessive as a third person, although he preserved its dual nature. A. is more indiscriminate in its use: second person singular (= oós) at 3.395, third singular (= ös) at 1.643, 2.465, 544,


## 

 of which some are still inhabited and some not.' Cf. Sesostris' travels and conquests described at Hdt. 2.106 combined with the description of the foundation of Colchis at 2.103 (272-4n.).

While, on the one hand, A. specifically places Sesostris' city founding in a primeval time, before the constellations, before the moon, $\mu \mathrm{u}$ ía $\delta^{\prime}$ ä $\sigma$ tn could be a reference to the 33,333 cities of Ptolemaic Egypt (Theocr. 17.82-4), which according to Hunter ad loc. is a number that derives from Hecataeus of Abdera (cf. Diod. Sic. 1.31.7-8) and evokes the Egyptian and Ptolemaic passion for counting and census making. It might also contain a reference to Herodotus' opening (Hdt. 1.5.3-4) in which he says that he will describe how some cities have become great and others



 then.' The Ionicism mou $\lambda \grave{\text { ùs }}$ is appropriate in a passage with an Herodotean


 There is no connection between this and A.'s desired meaning 'for a long age has passed'. A. is using the compound of the rare $\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\eta} \nu \circ \theta \varepsilon$ to emphasise the elevated nature of Argos' discourse (see Richardson on Hom. Hym. 2.279). For less elevated

 also Soph. Tr. 69, Pl. Prt. 310a, Xen. Cyr. 8.8.20. тарєvர́vo日\&v occurs elsewhere in
 тои̃ $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \grave{\eta} \lambda \cup \theta \varepsilon)$. There is a similar mss. confusion at Eur. Ba. 16 ह́m $\pi \lambda \theta \omega \dot{\nu} \sim$ $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon \lambda \theta \omega \dot{\omega}$ where Dionysus is describing a similar triumphal progress to that of Sesostris, (see 272-4n.).

 unshaken even now and the sons of those men whom that king thus settled to dwell in
 Tòv $\chi \omega \tilde{\omega} \rho \circ \nu$ kaì $\nu$ ũv oıkÉOvఠı 'having settled in the land where they continue even now to inhabit' (the Phoenicians' first colonisations). For more Herodotean references
 тñs Ko $\lambda$ хíסos.

Aia was originally a mythical land in the far east; see Vian (1987) 250, West (2005) 62. It was the golden home of the rising sun; cf. Mimn. fr. 11a 1-3 IEG

 identification with Colchis is Eumelus Corinthica fr. F2 ${ }^{\text {a }} 6-8$ EGF; cf. Soph. fr. 915 $\operatorname{Tr} G F$ єis $A i ̃ \alpha \nu \pi \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu$ on which $\Sigma$ says (Steph. Byz. 37.1) Aĩ $\alpha$, тó $\lambda ı s$ Kó $\lambda \chi \omega \nu$. . .

 'Aia, a city of the Colchians . . . there is another 'Aia' in Thessaly. Sophocles
mentions the first one saying 'sailing to Aia' and the second one in this way 'There is a place called Aia, a settlement of the Thessalians'. This is a unique reference to a Thessalian 'Aia' and one in which A. might have been interested as establishing a Greek hinterland for his Ptolemaic patrons, even though in these lines he is implying that Sesostris, an Egyptian ruler, founded Colchis. See 257-93n. and Stephens (2003) 189-90.
 stability of its institutions and its use of writing (279 үрапттoús / y $\rho \alpha \pi \tau$ ũs) were defining characteristics of Egypt; cf. Pl. Phdr. 274c5-75b1, Tim. 21e24, Leg. 700a701 b .


 and refers back to the policy of conquest and colonisation described in 275. For $\gamma \varepsilon$ used to modify a subordinate clause cf. Soph. OT 715 kà̀ tòv $\mu \varepsilon ́ v, ~ \omega ̋ \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho ~ \gamma ' ~ \grave{~ \varphi a ́ t ı s, ~}$
 unmetrical and not comparable with 4.282 हैठтı סغ́ TIS Toтauós where the last syllable uós has been lengthened by ictus and position (Mooney p. 424). Fränkel (OCT) pointed out that usually printed ő $\sigma \gamma \varepsilon$ does not exist as a demonstrative pronoun in either A. or Homer. Erbse (1963) 27 'since ös is possible in Epic poetry, then so is ő $\sigma \gamma \varepsilon$ ' is not convincing.
 $277-8 n ., 272-4 \mathrm{n}$. ка́ $\rho \tau \varepsilon і ̈ \lambda \alpha \tilde{\omega} \nu$. There is a similar anagrammatic and assonantal


For the epanalepsis (here with polyptoton) see 263-4n. and cf. Call. h. 5.40-1 Kрєĩov ס'sis őpos ఢ’кíбато / Kрєĩov őpos. A.'s use of repetition here may be Herodotean imitation; see Baragwanath and De Bakker (2012) 134-5.

##  

ย̇ாiviooouévoioiv. 'They who preserve the writings of their fathers, engraved on pillars, upon which are marked all the ways and the limits of sea and land for those who journey on all sides round.' Cf. Herodotus describing Aristagoras of Miletos,

 (Hdt. 5.49); also Pl. Tim. 23a quoted on 257-93n., Diog. Laert. 5.51.10 áva日हĩvaı סغ̀
 pillars lies in the fact they preserve knowledge that comes from Egypt through the Colchians, who, according to Herodotus, (Hdt. 2.104) were descended from the Egyptian conquerors under Sesostris; see Thalmann (2011) 43. The description is part of the cartographical theme, which runs throughout the Argonautica, particularly the latter half; on maps and narrative, see Purves (2010) 119.
 enjambment of the type frequent in Argos' speech. $\gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau$ ũs, printed by Fränkel, is a Homeric hapax (cf. Od. 24.229 where Laertes is described in his garden: кขпиĩ $\delta \propto \varsigma$
 occurs in a papyrus fragment of Eratosthenes' Hermes $\gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau$ ũs áv $v \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \pi \omega$ [ (fr. 397 col. ii 1 SH with note ad loc.), which seems to have some connection with writing.

 the text of Aristophanes) this was what the revolving block was called at Athens on which the laws were preserved’. Davis (2011) 17, discussing the evidence about кúp $\beta$ \&ıs, concludes that they were widely employed throughout the Greek-speaking world in the sixth century BC to early fifth century to carry any authoritative text. A.'s use of the word here enhances the antiquity of his description.

There are also traces of a scholarly discussion of $\gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau$ ũs at Apollon. Soph.




 scrapping') tries to make a link between the Homeric use of $\varepsilon$ ह̇tı $\rho \dot{\alpha} \varphi \omega$ 'graze' and $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \omega$ 'write' 'such as the line "he grazed the skin of a man (Il. 4.179)" and "now you have grazed me on the foot" and having written on a folded tablet many souldestroying things (Il. 6.169)" that is to say you have engraved many signs'.

The ancient critics, perhaps beginning with A. himself, were puzzled by the strange Homericism ' $\gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau$ ũs' and tried to explain it by linking it with a more explicable root ( $\gamma \rho \alpha \Phi / \gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau)$. This possibility is reinforced by Athen. 10.451d (II 481.17-19 Kaibel) 'And Achaeus the Eretrian . . . sometimes makes his language obscure, and says many things in an enigmatic way; for instance, in his 'Iris' (I. 20 F $19 \operatorname{Tr} G F$ ), a satyr play, he says: " a flask made of litharge full of ointment was suspended from a Spartan tablet, written upon and twisted on a double stick", meaning to say a white strap, from which a silver flask was suspended; and he has spoken of a Spartan written tablet ( $\gamma \rho \alpha \pi т$ òv . . . кúp $\beta ı v$ ) when he merely meant the

Spartan 'scytale' (a Spartan method of sending dispatches). And that the Lacedaimonians put a white strip of leather, on which they wrote whatever they wished, around the "scytale" we are told plainly enough by Apollonius Rhodius in his treatise on Archilochus.' In view of the evidence that A. wrote about a related textual
 Kú $\beta \not \beta_{1} \propto$ as his interpretation of a difficult word, which has been mistakenly corrected by a particularly learned scribe who remembered the Homeric parallel. On Achaeus the Eretrian and the Spartan Scytale see S. West (1988) 42-8.

There are five forms of the gen. of the 3 rd person singular pronoun in $A$. $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon v$,
 person singular reflexive (e.g. 2.973), but also here for the 3rd person plural. It adds an appropriate archaic tone to Argos' description of ancient times; see Rengakos (1993) 112, (2002).

The same is true of the rare use of étpúoual to mean 'guard, protect, preserve',
 عipúataı is explained as an Ionicism for $\varepsilon$ elpuvtaı, a perfect form with present sense, 'have guarded and still guard'.
 The more usual phrase is meípata yains, often associated closely with Oceanus; cf. Il. 14.200, Od. 4.563, 11.13, Hes. Th. 518, Op. 168-71, Hom. Hym. 5.227. As part of
 from its more usual accusative form (Il. 14.308, Od. 20.98, Hom. Hym. 2.430); see Thalmann (2011) 43 n .58 on 'wet and dry' as a polar expression in Homer, where it often describes the area over which the gods travel.



 like Herodotus, holds the key to accurate information.

## 

 $\pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha \theta$ ńs $\tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{̀} \dot{o} \lambda_{k} \alpha \delta_{1} \nu \eta \grave{\eta} \pi \varepsilon \rho \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha 1 \cdot$ 'There is a river, the uppermost horn of Ocean, broad and exceeding deep, crossable in a merchant ship.' This type of scene-
 the style is close to epic (e.g. Aesch. Pers. 447 vñoós tis ėбтí, Eur. Hipp. 1199 àkтń
 was taken over by the Hellenistic and Latin poets; cf. 1.1117, 2.360, 927, 3.1085, Antim. fr. 2 Matthews ĚOti tis n̉veuóeıs ó入ıyos $\lambda$ óqos, Aratus 233, 311, Virg. Aen. $1.159,7.563,4.481-2$ (with a variation by Call. on the traditional word order: h. 4.191


For ưTாатоข кє́pas cf. $\Sigma$ (p. 210 Wendel) 2.1211 who mentions Herodorus (c.


 'Herodorus tells the story of Typhon lying in it (Lake Serbonis) in the work in which he also tells the story of Nysa: there is a certain Nysa, mountain high, with forests thick, in far off Phoenicia, close to Aegyptus' streams.' The sense has been considered incomplete; see Allen (1904) 4 who mentions that a reference to Homer
may have dropped out. Herodorus, however, wrote in prose and the line is correctly identified as a variant of Hom. Нуm. 1.8-9 Üтатоv őpos at Diod. Sic. 1.15.4, Fowler, $E G M$ ii § 1.6.2. Although the Alexandrian critics did not use evidence in their textual work from the hymns, not considering them to be Homeric (Richardson (2010) 32),



кє́pas ' $W_{k \varepsilon \propto \nu 0 i ̃ o ~ i s ~ a ~ r e v e r s a l ~ o f ~ t h e ~ b e g i n n i n g ~ o f ~ H e s . ~ T h . ~ 789 . ~ S e e ~ W e s t ~}^{\text {n }}$ (1966) who states that the metaphor is probably connected with the representation of rivers as bulls (cf. Eur. Or. 1378, Jones (2005) 11, 43 n. 1).




 marked it far off.' The Greeks had known about the lower reaches of the Ister for a long time. Hdt. (4.48) describes the Ister as the most important of the rivers known to him and located its sources in the land of the Celts: (quoted above). On the popularity in general of Herodotus in the Hellenistic Era, see Murray (1972) 213 who notes that Herodotus heavily influenced Hecataeus of Abdera, who glorified 'the land of Egypt', presenting it 'as the source of all civilisation and the ideal philosophical state'. The suggested structure of Hecataeus' work-'Firstly, the archaeologia, prehistory . . . the mythical period . . . then perhaps a geographical section' finds a number of echoes in Argos' speech.

According to A., the Black Sea and Adriatic Sea are linked by the Ister which he sees as a network of waterways connected with the Okeanos; cf. Fränkel (1968) 507-9, Vian (1987) 254 with n. 15, Meyer (2001) 229, Thalmann (2011) 157-61.


 great river encompassing the earth and the source of all other rivers (West on Th. 789).

 цориúpovoıv. 'which for a while cuts through the boundless pasture alone in one stream; for beyond the blasts of the north wind, far off in the Rhipaean mountains, its springs bubble forth.' àmeípova . . . ảpoupav is a combination of à $\pi t \varepsilon i^{\prime} \rho o v \alpha \gamma \alpha i ̃ \alpha v$ (final sedes at Il. 7.446 and often) and $\zeta$ síß $\omega \rho$ ov őpoupav ( final sedes at Od. 5.463 and often).

Read Té $\mu v \varepsilon ı$ (with Fränkel ad loc.) rather than transmitted Tध́ $\boldsymbol{\mu \nu \varepsilon \tau ' , ~ w h i c h ~ a s ~ a ~}$ present middle form with elision is difficult to parallel; cf. Od. 3.175 סєĩ $\varepsilon$, каì




More Herodotean reminiscences complete these lines. For घĩs oĩos cf. 2.17



 between tivoıñs ßopéao and 'Pıtaíoıs èv ő $\rho \varepsilon \sigma \sigma ı v$. The blasts ( $\dot{\rho} \iota \pi \alpha i ́)$ of Boreas were supposed to come from these mythical mountains; cf. Soph. OC 1248, Virg. G. 1.240 Scythiam Riphaeasque arduus arces.
 Vian (1981) 17-8, Scherer (2006) 35, EGM II p. 227, $\Sigma 4.257-62 \mathrm{~b}$ (p. 273 Wendel), 282-91b (p. 280 Wendel), Delage (1930) 202; cf. Hecat. 1 F 18a $F G r H=\Sigma$ (p. 273





 àm’ oűpeos.

## 

 the boundaries of the Thracians and Scythians, here, dividing its stream into two, it sends its waters partly into the eastern sea.' Cf. in general Herodotus' description of the course of the Ister (284n.).

 oưpous. The genitive was probably altered by a scribe who wished to avoid three
 The accusative is found with ह̇mıßaiveıv in the sense of 'go to a place' ( $\mathrm{LSJ}^{9}$ III). The
parallels are not as close（Hdt．7．50，Soph．Aj．144）．For émßßaíveıv with the genitive in A．（not the accusative）cf． $2.875,3,869,1152,4.458$ ．

For $\delta ı \chi \emptyset$ with $\sigma \chi i \zeta \omega$ cf．Pl．Tim．21e $\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀$ öv кори甲ウ̀v $\sigma \chi i \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha ı$ tò тоũ


The second mss．$\varepsilon$ हैv $\theta \alpha$ seems awkward．Read $\alpha \cup ̃ \theta ı$ and cf． $1.303 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ où $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v$

 Perhaps the scribe had the common Homeric tag év $v \alpha$ к $\alpha i$ év $v \alpha$ in mind．The

 $\theta \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \propto \nu$ ．The scholiast＇s tò $\mu$ àv $\alpha \cup ̛ T o u ̃ ~ s t r o n g l y ~ s u g g e s t s ~ t h a t ~ h e ~ h a d ~ \alpha u ̃ ~ \theta l ~ i n ~ h i s ~$ text．For similar corruptions cf．Eur．Tro．1098－1100 and also［Hes．］fr． 276 M－W．

Read n̉oıív for transmitted lovínv．An allusion to the Pontos is required． Wilamowitz＇s $\mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime}$＇$\dot{\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \eta \nu ~((1924) ~ 187) ~ i s ~ p o s s i b l e ~ b e c a u s e ~ o f ~ t h e ~ c o n t r a s t ~ c r e a t e d ~}$ with 292－3 $\gamma$ वíņ ös úpetépṇ．However the paraphrase in $\Sigma$（p． 280 Wendel）on which
 （Gerhard） 1816 80－82 or n่oıńv（Platt（1914）42）is preferable；see Delage（1930） 201 and cf． 2.745 घís $\alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu / \eta$ クoıńv．

## 


 flows through a deep gulf that connects with the Trinacrian sea，that sea which lies along your land，if indeed Achelous flows forth from your land．＇One ancient name of Sicily，referring to its triangular shape，was Trinakria（Thuc．6．2．2），and A．＇s＇deep
gulf' is the Adriatic ( $\Sigma 289-91 d=$ p. 281 Wendel). Perhaps A. had in mind the myth of Arethusa the nymph who changed into a Syracusan spring to escape the hunter Alpheios, who pursued her from Western Greece to Sicily in the form of a submarine river ( $\Sigma$ Pind. Nem. 1.3, Paus. 5.7.2). A. seems to think that the western branch of the Ister similarly flowed under the Adriatic, either to join up with the Acheloos or else, like the Alpheios, to Sicily; cf. Strabo 6.2 .4 who discusses the topic of submerged rivers; see further Green (1997) 305-6.

Instead of $\delta$ ıó Fränkel suggested either $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$, mpós or motí, troubled by A's ideas about how rivers meet the sea. However, the Ister joins the Пóvtos Tpivakpíos




For $\pi \alpha \rho \propto \kappa \varepsilon ́ к \lambda_{I t} \alpha$ ı used as a geographical term cf. Hecat. 1 F 286 FGrH $=$ Steph. Byz. s.v. Mnסía $(\mu 172=$ III 312 Billerbeck $=$ p. 449 Meineke $) \chi \omega \dot{\rho} \alpha \tau \alpha i ̃ s$



 a̋venos).

The Homeric hapax è $\xi \alpha v i ́ \eta \sigma ı v ~(I l . ~ 18.471) ~ e c h o e s ~ 290 i ̈ \eta \sigma ı v ~ a n d ~ 291 ~$
 here and in Callimachus of rivers.

## 


and the goddess granted them a favourable omen; as they saw it they all shouted in approval that they should take this path.' The mention of a portent may continue the Herodotean theme that runs through Argos’ speech (cf. the тépas, which appeared to

 portents are one of the permitted exceptions to the distanced position of the gods in the narrative as compared with Homer. However, Hollman (2011) 51-75 argues that, while Herodotus is acutely concerned with the many 'signs' that he narrates in his inquiry, the origins of such an interest can be traced back to the archaic period (cf. Pelling (2006) 75-104 and Stesichorus fr. 170.1 Finglass). The idea of a guiding portent is based on scenes such as $I l$. 4.75-7 (Athena compared with one of Zeus'

 19.375-6 (Achilles' shield compared to the light of a beacon) $\omega^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ ö $\tau^{\prime}$ 'äv $\varepsilon^{\prime} k$
 aï $\gamma \lambda \eta$ ), Il. 12.252-6 (Zeus sends a whirlwind to lead the way for the Trojans against the Greek ships).
 (e.g. Il. 2.324 тó $\delta^{\prime}$ है甲 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$
 is always used of 'making a gift' and almost invariably implies hand-to-hand


 connotation of gift-giving is still evident.
 Argonauts' presiding deity. The guiding star is Hera's gift to the Argonauts. She cuts short Argos' geographical speculations and points them towards the correct route; the Colchians are, after all, close behind them.

For ai̋oıov of omens cf. Pind. P. 4.23, N. 9.18, Soph. OT 52, Call. Ia. fr.

 description at $\operatorname{Arg}$. 4.1618-19. For the construction of $\sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \theta \propto ı$ Tńv $\delta$ ' oĩ $\mu \circ v$ cf.
 1045, Phil. 911, 1416.

## 296-7 غ̇ாıா

$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon$ v́oıuov $\mathfrak{\eta} \varepsilon \nu$. 'For a furrow of heavenly ray appeared right in front, marking the route they had to travel.' Virgil elaborated the idea of the shooting star making a mark in the sky, when describing the star which shows Aeneas and his family that they must leave Troy (Aen. 2.692-7; cf. Lucan 5.561-3, 10.502, Dante Paradiso 15.13-8).


 'the furrow made by the wood' (Xen. Cyn. 9.18), where there is some connotation of dragging and the marks left by it; to talk of a star making an ó $\lambda_{\text {kós }}$ in the heavens is not difficult and implies the mirroring of celestial and terrestrial phenomena inherent in the idea of omens.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\sigma} \sigma ı \rho \nu$ against transmitted $\mu$ ópoıuov is the correct reading of the Etymologicum Magnum (82.15 Gaisford; see Fränkel OCT pp. Xvi, xxiI), which was
 (for this type of error see Fränkel viII). For the rarer ớ $\mu$ घ́́бııov cf. Euphorion fr. 156



##  

 $\theta \eta \varepsilon$ únєvoi. 'Leaving Lykos' son there, joyfully they sailed over the sea with the sails spread, gazing with wonder on the mountains of the Paphlagonians.' The Argonauts' joy results from the omen that Hera has sent them; for joy at a cosmic
 perhaps signify the frantic activity of departure. Things slow down as the sails are spread, the Argo glides over the waves and the sailors gaze at the passing landmarks. For statistics about dactylic lines in A. see Mineur (1984) 35, 36-41.

The son of King Lykos of the Mariandynoi was last heard of at 2.814, and if A. did not mention him in this way, no reader would give him a second thought. Such tidying-up of loose ends is unhomeric. There are numerous examples, in both Homeric poems, of inconsistencies of plot and character; cf. the case of Pylaemenes, slain in $I l .5 .576$, but mourning the death of his son at $I l$. 13.653, an incongruity which Zenodotus avoided by emendation. The Alexandrian critics, notably Zoilus of Amphipolis, known as Homeromastix, criticised him for this, and A. by being so careful of loose ends may be trying to avoid similar criticism of his own poetry. See Nünlist (2009) 240-2.

For the division of KATAYTOӨI, as A. would have written, cf. Od. 21.90
 кат' $\alpha \cup ̛ T o ́ \theta$ I, i.e. they must decide whether the preposition belongs to to the adverb or stands in tmesis with the verb. At Il. 10.273, 21.201, Od. 21.90 катаuтó $\theta$ ı with $\lambda \varepsilon$ ímeıv is usually written separatim in accordance with Herodian's view of the first passage (Lentz iI/2.71.3). In A. Vian and Fränkel both print katautó ${ }^{\prime}$ I everywhere except 3.889 (see Vian (1980) 138). Mss. do not show any clear policy. For an attempt to differentiate between A.'s frequent uses of the word cf. Cuypers (1970) 313: tmesis impossible at $2.776,4.537,1409$, tmesis possible at $1.517,1356,2.16$, 892, 3.648, and tmesis most satisfactory at 3.889. See Rengakos (1993) 155-6, Gow on Theocr. 25.153, Cuypers on Arg. 2.16.
 10.506). For variation A. substitutes $\lambda$ वípغ $\alpha$ (first in Hom. Hym. 3.406, but cf. Od. 20.206 where it means rags).

Phineus mentions the Paphlagonian mountains as one of the sights on the Argonauts' outward route at 2.357-8. $\theta$ áóouaı is used of 'gazing in wonder'; cf. Od.

 narrative style, which A. uses to describe the Argonauts' voyages; cf. 2.940-5, Od. 3.170-3, Hom. Hym. 3.409-30. Proper names in such passages lend verisimilitude and, in the case of 2.941-2, euphony; cf. Virg. Aen. 3.124-7. There is a contrast with the lack of detail when the Argonauts' journey across Europe is described (4.316337); Vian (1987b) 254 notes its brevity and lack of chronological detail and believes that A. did not have the information to hand and refused in a semi-scientific way to
describe anything for which he did not have evidence (cf. Call. fr. 612 Pfeiffer á $\mu \alpha ́ \rho т ч \rho o v ~ o u ̉ \delta e ̀ v ~ a ́ s i ́ \delta \omega) . ~$

 round Karambis since both the breezes and the gleam of heavenly fire stayed with them until they arrived at the great stream of the river Ister.' The Argonauts do not hug the coast after the fashion of a periplous (Thalmann (2011) 11-13), which was
 $\gamma \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \not \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Instead of rounding the point, they set course across the Black Sea, carried along by the winds and guided by Hera's portent. $\gamma v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi T \omega$ is first in $A$. meaning 'rounding a headland', but $\gamma \nu \alpha \alpha^{\mu} \pi \tau \omega$ is the poetic equivalent of кó $\mu \pi \tau \omega$ and is frequently so used, especially in Herodotus, (e.g. 4.42 к $\alpha \mu \psi \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon s$ 'Hрак $\lambda$ é $\alpha s$


When the Argonauts make good progress, with a favourable wind behind them, A. varies his descriptive phrases. His language is never strictly formulaic; cf.

 92, Fantuzzi and Hunter (2004) 248, Martin (2011) 8-13.


 cf. $\Sigma^{\text {AbT }}$ Il. 4.75-9 (I 459.38-48 Erbse), [Thphr.] fr. 6.1.13 Wimmer, Arat. 926-9. Theocritus' colloquialism is in contrast with A.'s emphasis on the 'fiery radiance' that


 oúpaviou tuypós. The map below shows the initial route across the Black Sea.

$\rightarrow \quad$ Route of Argonauts


Route of Colchians


## 



'Some of the Colchians travelled through the Dark Rocks at the mouth of the Pontos, searching in vain.' This first group are not seen again until the Argonauts reach Phaeacia (4.1001-3).
$\mu \propto \sigma t \varepsilon \cup ́ \omega$ is not in Homer (cf. Hes. fr. 209.4 M-W), who only has $\mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon \cup ́ \omega$. For

 parallel for the phrase, the type (neuter plural adjective as adverb plus participle) is



The rocks are elsewhere called kúaveaı at 1.3, 2.318, 770, and 4.1003; cf. Eur.
 દ̇ $\pi \varepsilon ́ p \alpha \sigma \varepsilon v$ ảkтás, Hdt. 4.85, Soph. Ant. 966, Eur. Med. 1-2, Strabo 3.2.12. The Cyanean Rocks in question are identified with the Blue Rocks near the Thracian Bosporus; see Oliver (1957) 254-5. One of the terms of the so-called Peace of Callias (449-8 B.C.), as it was transmitted in antiquity (Dillon and Garland (2000) 263-5), forbade the Persians to sail within the Chelidonian Islands, or Phaselis, and the Cyanean Rocks (Callisthenes 124 F 16, Crateros 342 F 13 FGrHist évסov סغ̀
 (2011) 34. Using these landmarks as a boundary within such a treaty indicates that the Cyanean Rocks and the neighbouring Chelidonian Islands were well-known and closely associated by at least the $4^{\text {th }}$ century. Theocritus was perhaps playing on this association when he wrote at 13.41 kuáveóv тє $\chi £ \lambda_{\imath}$ ठóviov.

Homer never uses kuavéos of the sea; but cf. Arg. 4.842-3 દै $\mu \pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon$ סívaıs /
 kuavéaıs סívaıs, Stewart (2006) on the interpretation of Greek colour terms. She argues (327) that from Homer down to the second century kyan- words contain two ingredients: 'a dark, darkly-shining blue, and a poetic 'affect' of threat.'

#  

 Apsyrtus made for the river, which he entered through the Lovely Mouth, leaving the Argonauts behind.' Apsyrtos' party follow a route based on the erroneous idea that the Danube, having its source in the Rhipaean mountains, divides at a central point, the Kauliakos spur, (nn. 4.285-7, 323-6, Delage (1930) 209) with one arm emptying eastward into the Black Sea, and the other westward into the Adriatic (see map above).
A. mentions only two mouths in the Ister delta, though different estimates exist, (Herodotus (4.47) and Ephorus (FGrHist 70 F 157) say five but Timagetus ( $F H G$ IV $519=\Sigma 4.306$ ) says three, and reverses their position; see Casella (2010) 473 n. 18. The 'Fair Mouth', K $\alpha$ 入òv otó $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$, was north of the mouth called Narex: Vian (1981) 160. The triangular island Peuke is described as being formed by these two mouths, which unite above its apex. Apsyrtos and the Colchians take the southern route, and get ahead of the Argonauts, who enter by the northern one; for the route, see Casella (2010) 472-4, Kos (2006) 15.

For K $\alpha \lambda$ д̀̀ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha$, a well-omened place that will lead to a far from wellomened result, cf . K $\alpha$ 入òs $\wedge ı \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ (1.954). However, someone reading this line for the first time in scriptio continua would probably take the words not as a proper name but


 and imagery, which continues in the next lines, enlivens the narrative and is appropriate because Apsyrtus is attacking the Argonauts, albeit from a distance; cf. with $\lambda_{ı} \propto \circ \theta$ вís, $O d .5 .462$ тотаиоĩo $\lambda_{ı} \propto \sigma \theta$ zís and, in the context of hand-to-hand
 Il. 15.543, 20.418, 21.255).

## 307-8 т

 reached the furthest gulf of the Ionian Sea before them.'. ப́mé $\phi \theta \eta$ is also a 'fighting' word, generally used to mean 'getting in first with one's blow'; cf. Il. 7.144-5



$\alpha$ ưxéva $\gamma$ aíns designates the stretch of land between the Pontus and the

 1240. There was a mistaken belief that the division between the Adriatic and the Aegean was narrow enough for both seas to be visible from the summit of Mt. Haimos in the Balkan range of Thrace (Strabo 7.5.1).

For the non-epic use of $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ of a ship entering another sea cf. 1.928, 4.596,

 $\alpha \ddot{ } \lambda \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$.
 also associated with the body. It forms part of a chiasmus (kó $\lambda \pi<v \sim \pi \alpha v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \chi \alpha т о v /$ Ë○ん то́vтоıо ~'lovíoıo), which ends the paragraph and divides the ring structure into which this passage is set (305-8~313-14). For further examples of word-patterning
such as 308 cf. $1.917,2.434,3.1215,4.144,604$, Call. h. 4.14, 6.9 , and see Reed (1995) 94-5 on similar word arrangements.

 póov. 'For a certain island is enclosed by Ister, by name Peuke, three-cornered, its base stretching along the coast, and with a sharp elbow towards the river.' Casella (2010) 474 describes possible connections between these lines and the work of Timagetus. Callimachus in the Aetia, while also dividing the pursuing Colchians into two groups, said that the Argonauts returned by the same route which they came; see Harder (2012) iI 162-3. Perhaps A. is commenting on the Aetia, based on his own geographical research; cf. $4.303 \mu \propto \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ úovtes with Call. Aet. fr. 10 Harder $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau$ úos

 see 285-7n.
A. writes in the style of a versifying geographer, cf. Od. 295-6 عैv $\theta \propto$ vótos


 4.178, Thuc. 4.53. For the close links between poetry and geography see Lightfoot (2014) 8-11.
 and 11.507 , referring to the arrowhead, apparently meaning 'three-barbed'. Later the word was used to describe the three headlands of Sicily ( $\dot{\eta}$ Tpıvakpía); cf. Call. Aet. fr. 1.35-6 with Harder ad loc., h. 4.31. A. is describing a similarly shaped piece of
land. The eũpos or wide, lower edge of the arrowhead-like island faces the sea and the
 turned towards the mouth of the river Ister (moti póov). For the use of comparisons to shapes, geometrical and otherwise see Lightfoot (2014) 25 n. 100.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \tilde{\omega} \nu \alpha$ continues the theme of using terms for parts of the body but with a


 elsewhere, meaning 'foreland' or 'headland'.

## 

 are split in two. One mouth they call the mouth of Narex, and the other, at the lower end, the Fair mouth.' For the geography see 305-6n. A. may have confused the position of the mouths.

 ค́ ́єı where the poet talks of $\pi \eta \gamma \alpha i ̀ ~ / ~ \delta o ı \alpha i ́ ~ a n d ~ t h e n ~ t a k e s ~ t h e m ~ o n e ~ b y ~ o n e ~(\eta ̀ ~ \mu \varepsilon ́ v) . ~$ The estuary splits into two around the pointed end of the island. $\delta$ oıai / $\sigma \chi^{\prime} \zeta$ К
 estuary' of a river, or its waters (132-4n.).

 understand $\nu \eta \sigma \tilde{T}$ 'on the lower side of the island', as opposed to 315 vńбоьо кат' àкрота́ттл.

 Apsyrtus and his Colchians rushed with all speed; but the heroes went upwards towards the highest part of the island, far away.' These lines complete the ring composition that opened with 303 (see 307-8n.).

Read $\tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \delta \varepsilon$, my emendation, made independently (1972) of Livrea ('in notis'; see Vian (1981) app. crit., Luiselli (2003) 155 n. 36) for transmitted Tñ̃ סé. The natural thing is to say that one of the parties went through one of the two openings, and not that they went through Tñ̃ $\delta$, 'there' (Platt (1919) 82). Il. 5.281 Tñs $\delta$ ह̀ $\delta ı \propto \pi \rho o ́$ supports the alteration. Similar phrases (Il. 5.66, 7.260, 14.494, 20.276) always refer
 દi̋бхто kגì тñs. For explanatory asyndeton in brisk narratives of this kind cf. Hes. Th. 769-71 (with West). Tñ̃ $\delta \varepsilon$ in the majority of mss. arose from a desire to avoid the asyndeton.

Luiselli (2003) 153 reports the reading ] $\varepsilon \pi \iota \neg \rho \circ$ in 313 from a papyrus in the Bodleian Library (MS. Gr. class. c. 237 (P) fr. A), dating from the sixth or seventh century. He supports this by suggesting that we read oi $\delta^{\prime}$ '"̈ $\left.\rho^{\prime}\right] \varepsilon \pi!\pi \rho \circ$, to avoid the
 /. . . ěkє $\lambda \sigma \alpha \nu$. Adoption of this reading would remove one of the images connected with fighting and parts of the body (305-6n.) that run through this passage. Pace Luiselli, it is to be seen as lectio facilior. émımpó occurs eleven times in A., against once for $\delta ı \alpha \pi \rho o$, and would be an easy change to make for a scribe who did not fully understand A.'s use of סıampó.

For $\omega \rho \mu \eta \eta^{\theta} \eta \quad \sigma \alpha v$ in the context of hand-to-hand combat cf. Il. 10.359




'And in the meadows the country shepherds left their countless flocks through fear of the ships, thinking that they were beasts coming out of the monster-teeming sea.' The fear that the Argo inspires in these early pastoral nomads must be linked to the tradition (rejected by A.; see Jackson (1997) 251 n. 4)) that Argo was the first ship (see $\Sigma$ Eur. Med. 1.1, Catull. 64.11, Jackson (1997) 233-50, Dräger (1999) 419-22, Fabre-Serris (2008) 172). A. uses the shepherds' fear to stress that the Argonauts (and Colchians) are going into unknown territory. Transhumance still exists as a way of life in Romania. For its existence in antiquity cf. Soph. OT 1132-5 with Thoneman (2011) 198.


 quTò é éXouo (cf. $\Sigma$ (p. 283 Wendel) and $\Sigma^{\text {AT }} I l .4 .483=$ I $530.37-8$ Erbse) There also seems to have been a problem as to its number; cf. Euphorion fr. 135 Lightfoot oióv
 3.1220 and plural at $2.795,3.1202$. Perhaps A. knew mss. of Homer in which $\varepsilon i \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \grave{n} \varsigma$ ह̈̀ $\lambda \varepsilon \circ \varsigma$ was written to avoid the hiatus.
A. delays the subject of $\lambda \varepsilon i ̃ \pi o v$ by the enjambment of поıцéves ä $\gamma \rho \alpha \cup \lambda \circ$, and oiớ $\tau \varepsilon \theta \tilde{\eta} \rho \alpha \varsigma$ placed at the end of the line suggests that he is leading into a land-
animal development of the description. The meaning only becomes clear with móvtou


 $L_{S J}{ }^{9}$ s.v. $\left.\theta \tilde{\eta} \rho\right)$.

 $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho о \iota \omega \dot{\tau} \alpha_{s}=[$ Hes.] fr. $195.39 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{W}$. For shepherds fearful at the sight of the Argo or in general cf. 4.319n., the fragment of Accius's Medea preserved by Cicero (N. D. 2.89 = fr. 1 Ribbeck), Catull. 64.15 aequoreae monstrum Nereides admirantes (monstrum $=$ the Argo), Virg. Aen. 8.107-10 (of Aeneas' arrival in Rome), 2.307-8 (a shepherd frightened by an impending flood), Ov. Ars 2.77-8 and Met. 8.217-20 where amazement at the flying Icarus is described. It is not difficult to imagine a relief or group sculpture (like the Laocoon or the dying Gauls) with such fearful emotions vividly depicted on the faces of the subjects, after the fashion of the Pergamene school; see Green (1990) 336-61. The passage contrasts the rusticity of these
 mistake ships for sea monsters, with the background of Greek thought about ships and seafaring as exemplified in the Homeric epics; see Thalmann (2011) 158-61. The allusion marks how A.'s Argonauts' are on the edge of the Ptolemaic sphere of influence and, in a literary sense, have gone much further than any of their predecessors; see 309-11 n. on Callimachus' version of the Argonauts' return.

For ő $\sigma \sigma 0 \mu \propto ı$ meaning 'imagine, see with the mind's eye' cf. Il. 18.224, Od. 1.115 etc, but for 'predict evil to others', Il. 14.17, 24.172. This is later modified to ‘see’ or ‘look’; cf. Call. fr. 374 Pfeiffer ő $\mu \mu \alpha \sigma ı ~ \lambda о \xi \grave{v} v ~ ப ́ m o \delta p a ́ \xi ~ o ̉ \sigma \sigma o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta ~ w h e r e ~$

Pfeiffer's parallels show that óббó $\mu \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma=\beta \lambda \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega /$ ópó $\omega$ (cf. Aesch. Sept. 498
 word whose meaning is disputed, A. reflects all the possibilities. At 2.28 Énì $\delta^{\prime}$
 ó $\sigma \sigma o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o$, with the earlier Homeric connotation.

The meaning of $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \propto \kappa \check{\prime} \tau \varepsilon \circ$ in in Homer was disputed; cf. Il. 8.222, 11.5,

 hollows'. A. adopts the latter meaning here; cf. Et. Mag. 574.41-2 Gaisford

 (cf. 12.96-7). A. emphasises this interpretation by emphatic oĩ $\alpha$ т $\varepsilon$ Өñpas at 4.317. A
 (crocodiles; see Hunter ad loc.) and Theogn. $175 \beta \alpha \theta$ vкńtє $\alpha$ móvtov (West perhaps wrongly prints the variant $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \kappa \grave{T \tau \varepsilon \alpha) . ~ C f . ~ i n ~ g e n e r a l ~ H d t . ~} 6.44$ Өпрı$\omega \delta \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta s$ $\theta \propto \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma o \sigma$ s, Hor. C. 4.14.47 belluosus Oceanus.
 had they seen seafaring ships.' The motif of amazement at a possible new find or invention, or, here, sighting at sea has a long history; cf. Aesch. Diktyulki fr. 46a

 'Look into the depths of the sea. I'm looking. What are we to call this? A whale or a shark, or . . ' ' In another Aeschylus fragment (25e $\operatorname{Tr} G F$ ), a shepherd describes his impression of Glaucus emerging from the sea in language that is similar to the present
passage. After A. cf. Arrian's description of Alexander's fleet getting underway on the River Hydaspes (Anab. Alex. 6.1-6), 'One may imagine the noise of this great fleet getting away under oars all together: it was like nothing ever heard before . . . The natives . . . had never before seen horses on shipboard'.
 ı'ठ $\omega \mu \alpha ı$ / oĩov ПєıpíӨoov . . . (followed by two lines of proper names as in A.'s




 and the like is frequent (Il. 3.240 etc). A. reverses the common epic mópos $\gamma \varepsilon$, with $\gamma \varepsilon$ emphasising that the shepherds had not seen sea-going ships before. Fränkel (1968) 476) notes that the peoples of this region lack sea-going ships and that the point emphasised by $\gamma \varepsilon$ is that they might have small boats that enable them to travel short distances along the river, but they cannot undertake the long-distance voyages to distant places that are one of the distinguishing features of Greek civilisation (Thalmann (2011) 158 n. 28).

## 320-2 oűt' oũv $\operatorname{Op\eta ́ı\xi ıv~\mu ı\gamma \alpha ́\delta \varepsilon s~\Sigma кú\theta \alpha ı,~oủ\delta è~\Sigma í\gamma uvvoı,~/~oűt\varepsilon ~}$

 Tpaukéviol, oű月’ oi $\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \Lambda \alpha u ́ p ı o v ~ \eta ̋ \delta \eta ~ / ~ \Sigma i ́ v \delta o ı ~ \varepsilon ́ \rho \eta \mu \alpha i ̃ o v ~ \pi \varepsilon \delta i ́ o v ~ \mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha ~$ vaıetáovol. 'neither the Scythians mixed with the Thracians, nor the Sigynni, nor yet the Traukenii, nor the Sindi that now inhabit the vast desert plain of Laurium.' Catalogues and lists play a part in epic poetry. A catalogue is first and foremost a way of giving information and in this passage A. has something in common with periplousand periodos poetry, popular in the Hellenistic period, such as the works attributed to Pseudo-Scymnus of Chios, Apollodorus of Athens, Pseudo-Scylax, and Simmias. On Hellenistic love of geographical catalogues, see Krevans (1983) 208, Romm (1992) 30-1 and Lightfoot (2014) 9-10. There are earlier examples, such as the Catalogue of Ships at Il. 2.494-974, which may originate in a description of a voyage along the coast of Greece because the order of place names corresponds to a logical circuit of much of the known Greek world; see Beye (2006) 97. At Hom. Hym. 3.30-45 the poet stresses the great distance that Leto had to travel before she could give birth to her son. At Aesch. Pers. 485-95 the geographical details add realism to the wretched retreat of the Persians; cf. Eur. Ba. 13-18, [Aesch.] PV 709-35, Call. h. 4.70-6, 4.562-6.

Scythia was traditionally seen as being one of the ends of the earth; cf. [Aesch.] PV 1-2, Hdt. 4.99. Herodotus knows of (at least) four different versions of the Scythians' origins, which he reports, consecutively, at the beginning of Book 4. Perhaps A.’s phrase $\Theta \rho \eta \dot{६ ı ı ~ \mu ı \gamma \alpha ́ \delta \varepsilon \varsigma ~} \Sigma$ кর́ $\theta \propto ı ~ r e f l e c t s ~ h i s ~ k n o w l e d g e ~ o f ~ H e r o d o t u s . ~$ His Argonauts are explorers extending the limits of the known Greek world. On the popularity of Herodotus in Alexandria, see Murray (1972), West (2011) 70 and on Herodotus and the Scythians Hartog (1988) 3-19, and on Herodotus and the sources of the Danube and his possible influence on A., Pearson (1934), Casella (2010) 4767.
 influenced by the language of early geographers; cf. [Scylax] 3.2. ámò סè ’ßńp モ̌Xovtaı ^í


 (2011)



 $\Sigma$ ıývvoas. At 2.99, A. talks of the Bebryces wielding 'hard clubs and hunting spears,'
 weapon derives from the name of the tribe. The names of exotic tribes and the mention of the deserted plains of central Europe strengthens A's picture of the Argonauts as explorers of the unknown.

We should read with Wellauer oűte Tpaukévıoı. P.Oxy. 2694 has oűt' oũv Tpaukéviol. The transmitted text is oủt’ aũ (PE) and oủt’ oũv (LASG). A consideration of the structure ou่ . . . oűt $\ldots$. . oűT $\varepsilon$ helps us decide between them. At Il. 17.19-21, we have the sequence oủ . . . / oút' oũv . . . oűte . . . / oűte and at $O d$. 2.199-201 oủ . . . / oűt' oũv . . . / oűte. The particle oũv lends weight to a member of the sequence thought to require emphasis (such as 'the Scythians mixed with the Thracians'), and it is not usually in combination with $\alpha \tilde{v}$ which seems to be used slightly differently; e.g. Soph. El. 911, OT 1373 oủk . . . oúס' $\alpha u ̃ ~ a n d ~ i n t r o d u c i n g ~ a ~$


 merit such treatment here. oűt' oũv is defended by Vian on the grounds that A. allows such repetitions, though the two that he quotes 2.142-3 and 4.1228-9 are not of the same type as the one under discussion. oũv was added from 320 metri gratia and
 repetition. For the scansion of oúte cf. 3.848, 4.619, Il. 18.404, Od. 5.32, 7.247, and Hellenistic poets' often liking to scan two repeated words differently (e.g. Arg. 2.707



Tpaukévioı is a correction formally proposed by Kassel (1969) 98 based on an entry in Steph. Byz. 631 s.v. TpauxÉviol (p. 631 Meineke): हैӨvos пєpì tòv आóvtov Ev̌६ョivov ő $\mu$ opov Sívסoıs, though first mentioned, as Kassel points out, by Housman (1916) $136 \mathrm{n} .1=(1972) 924$, 'I only mention them in order to bring together a pair of «ै $\pi \alpha \xi$ єip $\eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v \propto$ which ought to merge in one.'




 which support the conjecture vaı Svensson (1937) 32. Confusion between participle and present indicative is common in such clauses; cf. Hes. Th. 592, 877 with West ad loc.

Kívסoı are mentioned by Herodotus at 4.28 during his description of Scythia, as living near the Cimmerian Bosphorus. For épquaĩov $\pi \varepsilon \delta i ́ o v ~ \mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha c f . ~[A e s c h] ~ P V$.

 form épnuaĩos occurs first in Emped. fr. 49.3 D-K vuktòs ép $\quad$ นaíns and [Simon.]




 when they had passed near the mount Angouron, and the cliff of Kauliakos, far from the mount Angouron, round which the Ister divides and pours its stream in abundance this way and that, and the Laurion plain.' An őpos is often a natural landmark in such
 Pers. 493 and for the repetition which seems to be a feature of this geographical style

 repetitions in this passage may also be another attempt (see 320-2n. oi mepì $\wedge \alpha \cup ́ p ı o v$

 $\Pi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \gamma \circ$ ũ and for another repetitious geographical passage, see 4.1759-61.
$\alpha \not \approx \omega \theta \varepsilon v$ ह̇óvta is 'suspectus' according to Fränkel but cf. 4.443 and Xen.


 Kauliakos as the spur of Kalemegdan at the confluence of the Sava and the Danube near Belgrade. For $ิ$ т $\pi \varepsilon ́ \rho ı ~ \delta \grave{~} \sigma \chi i \zeta \omega \nu$ cf. Pl. Tim. 21e (288-90n.), Hdt. 2.33, 4.49.

 be connected with anything else and A . is describing the course of a river not the sea. Therefore read with Hoelzlin ((1641) 296), Merkel ((1852) 136) and Platt ((1914) 42)
${ }^{\circ} \lambda_{1 s}$. There are many parallels in A. for ${ }^{\circ} \lambda_{1 s}$ in this position; cf. 2.87, 3.272, 3.972





$\eta \dot{\eta \varepsilon i} \neq \alpha \nu \tau 0$ thus used is not Homeric. It first appears in tragedy (Aesch. Pers. 69). $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \varepsilon i \beta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ is more usual; cf. Hom. Hym. 3.409, Hdt. 1.72, 6.41 and occurs


 vavoítopov.

## 

 sea of Cronos and cut off every path by which the Argonauts could escape.' This whole passage has reminded some critics of scenes from Xenophon's Anabasis; see Fantuzzi and Hunter (2004) 129-31. In both works, Greeks achieve a dangerous return journey by a circuitous route, pursued by a barbarian multitude. The language also has a military flavour. The Sea of Cronos is the northern Adriatic (see also 4.509,



 $\delta \rho o ́ \mu o ı s$, as the only literary parallel; see Vian (1981) 24 n. 3. The allusion to Cronos
plays a part in A．＇s attempt to recreate a pre－Homeric world（Radke（2007）197－8 and passim）．

غ́ктроно $\lambda \varepsilon i ̃ v$ is only in A．and at Orph．Lith．706．However，the phrase may be
 similar are 4．523，Il．5．598，Od． 10.351 ．
 for such military manoeuvres include Thuc．8．80．3 $\alpha \mathfrak{i} \mu \varepsilon ̀ \nu \tau \tilde{\tau} \nu \Pi \varepsilon \lambda о \pi о \nu \nu \eta \sigma i ́ \omega \nu$
 8．99．1，8．100．2．




 кє入દ́vӨ०ข，5．383．


329－30 oi $\delta^{\prime}$ öדı日ع
тотацоĩo катń入uӨ०v，عis


à $\gamma \chi$ ó日ı vńoous．＇And they （the Argonauts）came out of the river behind and reached the two Brygean islands of Artemis near at hand．＇The map shows the general area of engagement（336－7n．）and the supposed
end of the Argonauts' journey across Europe.The Colchians have taken up a defensive position to prevent the Argonauts' retreat. Even for Greeks of A.'s day this area was a kind of Finisterre, where the country of the beyond began; see Cabanes (2008) 158-9 on the Brygean islands.
 means 'they came out from the river', and not, as Mooney suggests, 'they came down the river'. Livrea translates 'dietro, gli eroi scendevano lungo il fiume' and Hunter 'the heroes travelled down the river behind them and came out.'


 and Livrea, 'to go forth to' or 'proseguire per,' is from a different context and an unconvincing parallel. The required meaning here must be 'cross to'. Therefore the Homeric parallels quoted by Livrea where ék $\pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \propto \nu$ means 'cross' are not sufficient (Il. 13.652, 16.346, Od. 7.35 etc.).

Geographical adjectives in -is are frequent in Hellenistic poetry; cf. in A. $\Delta \mathrm{o} \lambda \circ$ otriis
 $94 \mathrm{n} .9, \mathrm{~K}-\mathrm{B}$ ІІ 282.

## 

 sacred shrine and on the other, the Argonauts disembarked, avoiding Apsyrtus's great force.' The exactness of the detail reinforces A.'s adopted persona as military historian. For $\pi \lambda \eta \theta$ ن̀v $\pi \varepsilon \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o l ~ c f . ~ I l . ~ 11.405 \pi \lambda \eta \theta \grave{v ~ \tau \alpha \rho ß \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \varsigma, ~ T h u c . ~ 2.89 .1 ~}$


 21．71，22．183）．The $\tau \omega \tau \nu$ ทौtol of PE seems to be preferable to $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \delta^{\prime}$ ทैtoı（LASG）， where $\delta \varepsilon ́$ was probably added by a scribe to avoid asyndeton．For $\tau \omega ̃ \nu \eta ँ T o \iota ~ c f . ~ 3.59, ~$
 ф $\omega v \grave{\eta} \mu \mathrm{e} v$, Nic．Th．770－1．In addition，the problem of whether to read $\delta$ ń toı or $\delta^{\prime}$ クैtol is difficult（see Bühler（1960）131，Denniston 533）．クैтoı is sufficiently emphatic here without the introduction of $\delta \dot{1}$ ．

そ̌ $\delta \varepsilon \Theta \lambda \circ v$ is a recherché word，not in archaic epic；cf．Antim．fr． 33 Matthews， Call．h．2．72，fr．162．1 Harder，880， 987 and by emendation at Aesch．Ag．776．For its counterpart，$\theta \varepsilon ́ \mu \varepsilon \theta \lambda \alpha$ ，see $118-21$ n．

 $\theta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \alpha \alpha_{\sigma} \sigma \eta s$. ＇Since they（the Colchians）left these among many islands，showing reverence to the daughter of Zeus：but the others，packed full of Colchians，protected the ways of the sea．＇Read vńo $\omega \nu$ instead of transmitted vńoous．A．is likely to have repeated $\nu \tilde{\sigma} \sigma o s$ from 330 ỏ $\gamma \chi$ óOı vńoous but in a different case or form；cf．4．1712
 to be stressed．The two islands of Artemis have been adequately introduced already．

 vท́oou．

$\Delta$ ós vióv, an indirect reference to another situation in which the possession of a woman was the point at issue. Instead of a river packed with corpses (Il. 21.220
 Kó $\lambda$ xoıoı), tracking the possible route of the Argonauts.
 $\pi \lambda n \dot{\theta}$ ous т $\tilde{\nu} \nu \varepsilon \kappa \rho \tilde{\omega} \nu$, oủ $\sigma \tau \varepsilon v \alpha ́ \zeta \omega \nu$, it appears that some critics there took oteivouaı as the equivalent of otévc, a meaning allowed by Livrea in the other place where the word occurs in A. (2.128). Although there is no pointer to this meaning in that place (see Cuypers (1970) 156-7), it would be typical of A. to utilise all possible alternatives. It is not the meaning here, as is evident from the self-glossing of 4.332, $336 \pi \lambda \eta \theta$ v́v. See Rengakos (1994) 141-2, particularly 650n., where the ancient exegesis of oteivouaı is discussed with reference to Soph. fr. $1096 \operatorname{Tr} G F$ and Theocr. 25.97.
mópous . . . $\theta \alpha \lambda$ д́́oons denotes the seaways around the Adriatic islands (see maps pp. 209, 213 and cf. 4.524-5). The latter passage well describes the coastal waters between Rijeka and Zadar, where, as Pliny noted (N.H. 3.151-2), there are over a thousand islands and a network of estuaries and narrow shallow channels.

For mópous हỉpuvto $\theta \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma o n s ~ c f . ~ O d . ~ 12.259 ~ m o ́ p o u s ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda$ ós and the verbal
 near the sea.' The form elpuvto occurs in Homer at Il. 12.454 , with the meaning

 often, is expressing an opinion concerning the meaning of a rare Homeric form; see 370-2n., Rengakos (2001) 197-203. The same type of tactic is described at Aesch.



Apsyrtus led his host on to the coasts, near the islands, as far as the river Salangon

 corrupt. The scribe's eye has gone back to 330 व̉ $\gamma x o ́ \theta$ ı víбous and 333 入ímov évסo日ı vńoous. However, the sense is clear: Apsyrtus, after having filled the islands with soldiers, does the same for the coasts near the islands. See the modern maps (above and below) for a possible site for these manoeuvres. If this interpretation is correct, the variant vńo $\sigma \nu$ ( $\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{mg}} \mathrm{V}^{2 s 1}$; see Vian (1974) LXXXVI-II) for vńбous is a necessity.







According to [Scylax] = Shipley (2011) 23-4, an Illyrian tribe Nesti lived by the side of the river Nestos. This has been identified with the modern Cetina; see Wilkes (1969) 5. The geography of the area lends reality to the story that A . is trying to recreate. As a many-sided narrator, he is playing the role of both historian and geographer.


 combat, few against many, but they avoided this great strife by first reaching an
 Hector that to fight when outnumbered is bad strategy. A. models this scene on a moment in Homer in which a warrior unusually advises caution rather than the pursuit of $\kappa \lambda$ ह́Oऽ, even though Hector rejects the seer's advice. There is a similar discussion of whether a smaller number can be made to fight against a larger at Hdt. 7.103 kai îoıev
 through Greek history; cf. Thermopylae (Hdt. 7.228.1) and Salamis (Hdt. 8.60.1


ப́тєík $\theta$ Oov. The Argonauts are portrayed as negotiators rather than fighters. A. ironically introduces the possibility of deadly combat, only for it to be avoided by treaty.


 adjective (fourteen times in A.) is used as an equivalent to $\chi \propto \lambda \varepsilon \pi$ ós or ò $\lambda \in \varepsilon \theta$ pıos; cf. Rengakos (2008) 248, (1994) 154, 156, 169.
$\sigma \cup v \theta \varepsilon \sigma i ́ \alpha ı$ are a recurring theme in this section and in the relationship of Jason and Medea as a whole; cf. 4.378 and 390, which form part of Medea's accusations against Jason for the breaking of the promises made in 4.95-8, and 4.1042-44

 concerning treaties and oaths has a double meaning: agreements are to be feared not only because Nemesis and the Furies will punish those who violate them, but also because they may be made secretly to the disadvantage of others and lead easily to deception (Mori (2008) 160). Even in Book 3, when Medea is supposedly besotted by the exotic foreigner, she realises that she is entering into a bargain; cf. $3.110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime} \delta_{1}$
 agreements is a fine thing', where ouvŋuooúvn suggests a covenant or agreement sanctioned by the gods or kinship (see Mori (2008) 161 n .39 ).

Nestor uses $\sigma u v \theta \varepsilon \sigma i ́ \alpha$ in a similar recriminatory manner at $I l .2 .339-41$ nñ̃ $\delta \dot{\eta}$



 and at Arg. 4.95-8.

In its prose form, $\xi u v \theta n \dot{k} n$, the noun is part of the language of diplomacy; cf.


 featuring in the present negotiations with Aietes; cf. 1.145.1, Dion. Hal. Ant. Rom. 10.59.2. The making and affirming of treaties played a particular part in Ptolemaic diplomacy; see Marquaille (2008) 51, Adams (2008) 92. Perhaps the Argonauts' solution represents something of contemporary diplomatic practice.
$\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \propto$ veĩkos is a common epic combination (Il. 13.121, 15.400 with Finglass


 reading at $I l .4 .444,15.223$. A. conforms to this practice, except for the present at 4.474, on the formation of which see Marxer (1935) 14. There the present marks the drama of that particular moment; here the aorist participle functions as a complement to the action of the main verb. See Bühler (1960) 122 and Vian (1959) 161, where examples of present and aorist participles are distinguished and discussed. Later poets favour the present; cf. Quint. Smyrn. 3.361, 4.348, Opp. Hal. 1.529 with Campbell (1981) 27, who adds post-Hellenistic references.

 historiography (cf. Polyb. 21.24). Similar are Dion. Hal. Ant. Rom 4.48.3, 5.1.3. For Tદ́ $\mu \nu \omega$ followed by an infinitive in explanation of a treaty cf. Hdt. 4.201.2. These
parallels lend strong support for Schneider's $\sigma u v \theta \varepsilon \sigma i ́ \eta \nu$ (in Merkel (1854) 223)
 treaty has been sanctioned by sacrifice, the most significant ritual action of an oath; see Fletcher (2012) 9, Sommerstein and Bayliss (2012) 302-3.


 Golden Fleece, since Aietes himself had promised them if they should fulfil the contests, they should keep it as justly won, whether they carried it off by craft or quite openly despite the King's unwillingness.' The treaty between Colchians and Argonauts seems a reasonable proposal and contrasts with the emotional nature of Medea's reaction. For the asyndeton cf. Aietes' remarks starkly reported at 4.231-5, and K-G II 866, which says that asyndeton frequently occurs when a new clause is introduced by $\mu \varepsilon ́ v$; cf. $O d .12 .341$ with Denniston 111. The language is suitably legalistic (e.g. єítє . . . єítє, emphasing the conditions attached to the agreement, and




Read кєívழ (Castiglioni; see Vian (1981) LXXIX)) for transmitted квĩvoı. In
 demonstrative pronoun кєĩvoı is awkward, especially after oфıбוv in the previous line. The close parallel, Pind. P. 4.230-1, shows that we require a reference to Aietes and





Fränkel's $\varepsilon i ̉$ kév oí is wrong because $\varepsilon \mathfrak{c}$. . . ở $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \lambda$ ous represents the protasis of a vague future conditional in oratio obliqua, 'Since Aietes promised that they would have the Fleece, if they were to fulfil the tasks for him'. Such protases do not generally take take ơv or $\kappa \varepsilon$, (Goodwin §74.1). Two possible parallels, Il. 11.791-2 =
 potentiality, not as here a condition. The parallel, 1.490-1 甲рá $\zeta \varepsilon \circ \delta^{\prime}$ öтாт
 (1968) 478 is not close. Pace Vian (1981) 161 KEINOI for KEINWI is as likely a corruption as KENOI for KEINOI.

 together with the frequent Homeric mótuov / oĩtov áva $\pi \lambda$ ர́б- (Il. 4.170, 8.34, 354,
 $\alpha \alpha^{\prime} \theta \lambda$ oı are no light task and to be accomplished to their fullest extent; cf. Il. 4.170 oí




 archaising form in $-\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \nu$ stresses the formality of the agreement (14-15n. $\left.\lambda_{\eta} \theta \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \nu\right)$.



explanatory clause introduced by $\gamma$ áp, and for عỉtॄ Só入oıธıv cf. $O d .1 .296=11.120$

 ártnúp $\omega v$, 'the woman that they took from him by force, in spite of his (Achilles') disagreement', with its reference to the abduction of Briseis and the dispute over Chryseis at the beginning of the Iliad. For similarities between the position of Medea, as a woman fleeing her country and that of Helen in the Iliad, see Knight (1995) 255. See LSJ s.v. ámoúpas for the defective (only ámnúp $\omega \nu, \alpha \varsigma, \alpha$, ámŋúp $\omega \nu$ ) aorist
 a note of legal nicety to the indictment: 'they took the Fleece quite openly.'

 should be entrusted to the daughter of Leto, away from everybody else.' As in 341, the item in dispute is put at the start of the sentence. For the end of the phrase in parenthesis cf. 3.627, Arat. 712 ả́ $\varphi$ рท́рıтта тє́入оıто, Call. h. 1.5, Il. $23.382=527$
 Homer and later (Il. 4.49, 323, Hes. Op. 759, Arg. 2.913, 2.1043, 3.500, 4.794, Call. Aet. fr. 43.70-1 Harder, fr. 200a. 1 Pfeiffer, h. 3.244-5, 4.49). The parenthesis heightens the tension, coming immediately after Mńס́סıa $\nu$ - what is to become of her? The middle of the line has lost a single syllable, LAGPE having only to. The lack of a syllable is corrected only in S; see Fränkel (1961) XII, and Vian (1974) XLIX, LX who comments on the propensity of this scribe to make corrections. However, тó $\delta \varepsilon$ (Brunck) is to be preferred to tó $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ( $3.200,382,481,1134$ ) and other conjectural supplements ( $\gamma \varepsilon$, tó Wellauer, Merkel, tóסє $\delta \mathfrak{n}$ and tó $\gamma \varepsilon \delta \eta$ Fränkel
(1968) 478-9) because demonstrative ő $\delta \varepsilon$ is frequent in such statements by A. (Vian

 of $\mathrm{TO} \Delta \mathrm{E}$ might have been omitted by a scribe, unfamiliar with parenthetical statements of this kind, and untroubled by the resulting faulty scansion. Wellauer's $\gamma \varepsilon$, tò $\gamma$ á $\rho$ can be ruled out because the emphasis is required in the parenthesis 'for this was the point at issue' and not with Medea.

For syncopated $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha ı ~ c f . ~ \pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu o ı ~ a t ~ O d . ~ 2.237, ~ 3.74, ~ 9.255 ; ~ ;$ $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon ́ \sigma \alpha \nu$ at 4.66 and $\pi \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \varepsilon$ тто at Call. h. 2.76, 2.249. Its meaning here seems to be unhomeric, e.g. тарӨغ́ $\mu \varepsilon v o ı ~ a t ~ O d . ~ 2.237$ means 'stake or hazard'. Here the sense is 'entrust or commit to the charge of another person.' See LSJ s.v. 2a mapariӨnuı for
 ó $\theta$ हòs $\pi \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \theta \varepsilon t o$.

The combination koúpṇ $\wedge \eta \tau \omega i \delta \delta$ is a variation on the Homeric koúpŋ $\Delta$ íos (333-5n.) and appears elsewhere in A. at 2.938, 3.878; cf. Alex. Aetol. fr. 4.7 Magnelli $\theta \varepsilon n ̃ s ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \Lambda \eta t \omega i ̂ ß o s ~(c f . ~ M a g n e l l i ~ a d ~ l o c . ~ w i t h ~ F e r n a ́ n d e z-G a l i a n o ~ v i ~ 571 ~ s . v . ~$ 'Papvovoís), Bühler on Mosch. Eur. 44, Call. h. 3.45, Phil. Thessal. A.P. 9.22.1 = $2873 G P$ for the predilection of Hellenistic poets for patronymic or ethnic adjectives in -15 .

## 


 of the kings who issue judgements should decide whether she had to return to the house of her father or to the rich city of Orchomenos or follow the heroes to Greece.'

Line 347 consisting of four polysyllabic words gives a sonorous feel to the forthcoming judgement of the kings, eventually pronounced by Alcinoos in Phaeacia (4.1098-1120). These alternatives form a large part of Medea's speech to Jason; cf.




The concepts of Dike and Themis, together with $\sigma u v \theta \varepsilon \sigma$ óaı and őpкıа, are significant themes in the relationship between Jason and Medea (338-40n.). Both involve the notion of right, Themis having to do with what is right for all and Dike signifying what is right for each within the larger context of social life; see Carstens (1985) 11-12, Sullivan (1995) 174.




 pronouncing a legally binding judgment ( $\theta$ ह́pıs). It contrasts with the conflict and the fierce reaction described in $350-91$. The calm of epic legal procedure is disrupted not by the heroic temper of an Achilles, as at the beginning of Iliad 1, but by A.'s equally tempestuous replacement for him, Medea. For the phrase, which must also be related to the Homeric $\sigma к \eta \pi т$ тũ̌os $\beta \propto \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon$ ús, a sceptred king (Il. 2.86, Od. 2.231 Mondi (1980) 203-16), and Finglass on Soph. El. 420-1) cf. [Hes.] fr. 10.1 M-W
 $\delta_{ı} \propto \sigma$ т́̀ $\lambda$ oı at $I l$. 1.238. $\theta \varepsilon \mu$ ıоточ́Xoı occurs only in A. It emphasises the right of such kings to judge.
 Fränkel and Vian) should be in the text and called 349, as it was before Ruhnken's Epistola Critica II (1752) 67-8: for him the line was a secure part of the mss. tradition and indeed, although P.Oxy. 2691 ( $=4.348-56$ ) offers no clear evidence, ] $] \varepsilon \mu![$ being the original reading, Haslam (1978) 66 n. 46 notes that the letter could easily be $\varepsilon$. All medieval mss. contain the line and this observation raises the possibility that so did those of antiquity.

Unfortunately Ruhnken later changed his mind ((1782) 310) and has been followed by subsequent editors (Brunck, Wellauer, Fränkel and Vian). The line, however, forms part of an ascending tricolon (cf. Ruhnken's Latin paraphrase ad loc.) and makes good sense in that the case of Medea's legal guardianship involves three parties; Aietes, Jason, as her betrothed, and her nephew Argos or one of his brothers; cf. the way in which she appeals to the sons of Phrixos at the beginning of Book 4 (4.71). The agreement mentions three possibilities: Medea can go back to the house of her father, or can be put under the protection of her relatives in Orchomenos, or can be taken back to Greece by Jason. In 4.195 he speaks of the Argonauts' mission on behalf of 'all Achaea'. The line is repeated from 2.1186 where the family relationships of the main characters are discovered and discussed. Such repetition has parallels in A.; cf. $3.410=496$. The line gains significance here by echoing the moment, when important family links are discovered for the first time.







## 

 this over in her mind, bitter pains shook her heart unceasingly.' After the terse previous section, the language becomes more complex and elaborate. $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \zeta \mu \alpha$

 rapit varias perque omnia versat). It is equivalent to $\alpha \dot{v} \alpha \pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \circ \mu \alpha 1$, the usual word

 Өици̃ (4.1748) makes this clear; cf. Hesych. $\pi 1377$ (p. 68 Hansen) $\pi \varepsilon \mu \pi \alpha \zeta \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \cdot$

 from a speech in which Penelope describes her fate to the still unknown Odysseus;
 melancholic; Medea is on the attack to prevent herself from becoming abandoned. Although the two lines only have one word in common, the sense is similar and taken with other variations, particularly $\operatorname{Arg} 3.1103$ Tñs $\delta^{\prime}$ à $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon เ v o ́ t \alpha \tau \alpha ı$ кра $\delta^{\prime} \eta \nu$


 тєтคท́ $\propto \propto \sigma \iota v$, Theocr. 21.5, Call. h. 5.83, fr. 714.1 Pfeiffer, Catull. 64.99. v $\omega \lambda \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ́ s$
emphasises the continuity of the pain. The óvĩaı that shake her are not those of love but of anger.

In Homer $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta \omega$ is used of physical, often violent, movement; cf. Il. 8.199
 of a routed army being 'turned round', 22.448 Tñs $\delta^{\prime}$ ' $\lambda \lambda \varepsilon \lambda i ́ \chi \theta \eta ~ \gamma \cup i ̃ \alpha ~ o f ~ A n d r o m a c h e ' s ~$ fainting when she hears that Hector may be dead. It is appropriate here because Medea is not in love; she is angry because an agreement has been broken and she has realised that Jason is capable of betraying her. A.'s use of the word to describe pain afflicting the heart is an innovation in epic language. As often in describing Medea's
 $\phi \rho \varepsilon ́ v \alpha \varsigma$. The use of tiváooo in hexameter poetry is similar to that of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \lambda i \zeta \omega ;$ cf. Il.
 Sappho by A. cf. Acosta-Hughes (2010) 12-62.

## 

 є̈кфато $\mu$ च̃Өov. 'Straightaway she called Jason aside, alone, away from his friends, and when they were far from the others, face-to-face, she made this sorrowful speech.' While A. has stressed the collective responsibilities of the Argonauts for the negotiations with the Colchians (380-40), Medea personalises her criticism by specifically accusing Jason. With a degree of paranoia, roused by the conspiracies of the male, she calls him away (vóopıv) from his followers, a fact emphasised by the length of the word ( $\varepsilon$ к̇трока $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta)$ employed. It is the preliminary to a highly emotional and threatening speech; see Sistakou (2012) 96. For vóoqıv cf. 3.913
 more vulnerable separated from the group and easier to talk to alone.
 vaıєтаóvt


 $\lambda_{ı} \propto \circ \theta$ és.
A. has built up the introductory line to Medea's speech from the frequent Homeric clausula 甲áтo $\mu$ ũ $\theta \circ v$ ( $O d .2 .384,8.10,21.67$ etc.) which rarely has an adjective with $\mu$ ṽ $0 \circ v$ (but cf. Od. 6.148, Il. 21.393) and never a descriptive adverb. бтоvóєvta is frequent in A. and Homer, but never of $\mu \tilde{v} \theta \circ \varsigma ;$ cf. Medea's reply to
 of the anguish that she feels and in the threats that she has prepared for others.
$\varepsilon ่ v \omega \pi \alpha \delta i ́ s$ is only in A. Homer has $\varepsilon v \omega \omega \pi \alpha \delta^{\prime} \omega \varsigma$ (Od. 23.94); cf. in particular
 the eye contact that Circe makes with Medea, through which she recognises her relative's guilt.

355-90 The chief antecedent of this rhetorical tour de force is Medea's speech at Eur. Med. 446-520 which also focuses on the invalidity of Jason's oaths and the desolation of Medea, summarising the core arguments of Euripides' play. Catullus was influenced by both speeches when writing Ariadne's soliloquy at 64.132-201. It stands at the beginning of a long tradition of abandoned heroines; see Lipking (1988)
2. Medea, however, unlike Catullus' Ariadne and others, is not yet abandoned. She is
fighting to hold Jason to his bargain. Her words are blunt and natural, alternating between questions, pleas and curses.

Nonetheless, the whole piece is full of art and literary allusion. 4.355-69 is an opening address to Jason, full of attack and carried forward through the use of enjambment. Words in the emphatic position summarise many of the important
 па́трп $\nu \sim v o \sigma ф ı \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \eta v)$. The speech is full of bitter echoes of what has gone before (nn. 372-3, 388-9.)

In 4.370-6 Medea demands that Jason keep his promises or kill her immediately. How can she return to her father's house? She is still the suppliant (nn. $81-101,358-9)$. In the closing part of the speech (4.376-90), she is seized by anger of heroic proportions. If he breaks his oaths, she will call down the avenging Erinyes. By finishing on the keyword $\sigma u v \theta \varepsilon \sigma$ ớ $\omega v$ (338-40n., 390), she reinforces the main theme and echoes the first line of her opening statement ( $\sigma u v \alpha \rho \tau \cup ́ v \propto \sigma \theta \varepsilon \sim \sigma u v \theta \varepsilon \sigma I \alpha ́ \omega \nu)$; see Toohey (1995) 153-75.
 Aison, what is this plot that you have devised together about me?' Medea begins in a formal manner, not using the words of a lover; cf. Нот. Нуm. 4.261 ^птоїठף, тíva
 with a question; cf. Il. 1.552 aivótatє K Koví́ŋn, поĩov tòv $\mu \tilde{v} \theta \circ v$ हैєıाтєऽ; and also the database associated with Beck (2012), http://www.laits.utexas.edu/DeborahBeck/home, where an enquiry about speeches opening with questions in the Iliad yields a total of forty-seven. The use of the plural verb emphasises that she is one woman against a group of men. Her opening
complaint is that this group are conspiring to overturn an agreement previously made between her and Jason (4.95-100).

For the structure cf. Meleager A.P. 4.1.1= 3926 HE (Tívı Távv I.4. Medea's approach to Jason resembles the way in which Iliadic warriors address one another at moments of crisis; cf. Il. 8.229 (Agamemnon encouraging his men) Tñ ěß $\alpha v \varepsilon \cup \cup x \mid \omega \lambda \alpha i ́$ ' where are your boastings gone?', parallel to Medea's questions about

 $\varepsilon \notin \eta \eta \kappa \varepsilon$; The opening question sets a tone of remonstrance, the level of which varies with the particular situation. In Medea's case the use of ouvaptúvouaı in the plural form, rather than the simple verb, emphasizes that she feels that the Argonauts are plotting against her. The substitution of $\mu \varepsilon v o i v \eta$ for $\beta$ fou $\lambda$ n' ('desire' instead of 'plan') heightens the emotional level.

 poetry (also at Call. h. 1.90). Marxer (1935) 38 compares the formation of $\mu \varepsilon v o ı v n$
 always at the end of the line (1.1134, 2.449, 566).
 $\beta o v \lambda \grave{n}$ / á $\mu \varphi^{\prime}$ é $\mu$ oí (Odysseus trying to deceive Eumaeus by telling him that he is a Cretan merchant). The situation is similar. The Thesprotians intend to sell Odysseus into slavery; Jason may be intending to hand Medea over to the Colchians. Similar vocabulary in the next line (n.) suggests that there may be a specific allusion to that passage. Odysseus's general situation is analogous to that of Medea, in that they are both attempting to take control of their fate, but perhaps Medea's allusion to an

Odyssean lie is meant to underline the atmosphere of deception now created between her and Jason.

 success cast you completely into forgetfulness and do you care nothing for all that you said, when hard pressed by necessity?' Ariadne rails against Theseus' forgetfulness in the same way; cf. Catull. 64.135 immemor a! devota domum periuria portas?; see also Eur. Med. 465-519, Virg. Aen. 4.305-30 and 383-4n. For introductory ท̃モ́, introducing an additional provoking alternative, cf. 3.11-12 $\alpha \cup ̉ T \eta ̀ ~ \nu u ̃ \nu ~ \pi \rho ० т \varepsilon ́ \rho \eta, ~$


The rhetorical juxtaposition of two abstract nouns is striking. $\alpha^{\gamma} \gamma \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \alpha$ ı 1 is almost personified. Just like one of Pindar's triumphing athletes, Jason has been taken



 linguistically similar speech by Medea). The use of abstract nouns in Homer is largely restricted to direct speech; see Cauer (1921-3) 438-9, Krarup (1949) 1-17, Griffin (1986) 37, Hunter (1993b) 109-11. A. does not discriminate in this way, probably due to the influence of prose (Denniston (1952) 38, quoting Isocrates using examples such

 (355-6n. هُ $\mu \varphi^{\prime}$ غ่цоí).
 à $\gamma \eta \nu 0$ íñoıv $̇ v \tilde{\eta} K \alpha \varsigma$ ．Glory is an opposite of forgetfulness and Jason is not forgetting at all as Medea＇s next remark shows．$\lambda \alpha \theta ı 甲 \rho o \sigma u ́ v \eta$ is only in A．（though cf． $\lambda \alpha \theta ı$ өó $\gamma \gamma$ ıı［Hes．］Scut．131．）For another heroic character reproached with
 （＝11．790）．Achilles＇and Patroclus＇forgetfulness is different from the cynical abandonment that Jason has been plotting．The majority of nouns ending in－甲pooúvn derive from words in $-\omega \nu$ ；e．g．$\sigma \omega \varphi \rho \circ \sigma$ úvŋ from $\sigma \omega \varphi \rho \omega \nu$（Buck and Petersen
 Latte）and $\lambda \alpha \theta \alpha \sigma \mu \circ v i ́ n \cdot \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \cdot \lambda \eta \sigma \mu \circ \sigma v_{v} \eta(\lambda 94=$ II 564 Latte）；cf．Hes．Th．55．） $\lambda \alpha \theta ı 甲 p \circ \sigma u ́ v \eta$ would not have been a difficult formation；see Redondo（2000） 141.
 （similar lines are Il．9．630，12．238，20．190），a line athetised by Zenodotus．A．wrote a monograph Прòs Zquóסotov（Pfeiffer（1968）147）．By using the phrase A．is perhaps implicitly rejecting Zenodotus＇critical decision；see Rengakos（1993）49－86，nn． 253－6，259－60．

ف́s árop－is frequent in Homer（Il．8．523，9．41，17．180， 24.373 etc）but ő $\sigma$＇ á $\gamma$ ópeu－does not occur．Similarity in pronunciation makes it is an easy variation（cf． 2.23 ผ่s ảץ

 хрєьоі̃ đ́vaүкаín．The latter is unique in Homer；the former comes from Jason＇s first approach to Medea．She is directly echoing his words and reversing the situation． Jason was a suppliant；now Medea takes up that role，both here and at 4．83－91．

## 358-9 тои̃ тоı Dıòs 'Ike

$\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \iota v$; 'Where are your oaths by Zeus protector of suppliants? Where, then, have all your sweet promises gone?' Although she is blaming her lover, Medea speaks

 a jilted young girl about to be abandoned by a sophisticated foreigner, and a character of heroic stature. This tension within the text increases its dramatic power. Other

 (spoken by Ariadne deserted by Theseus and Bacchus) heu, ubi pacta fides? ubi, quae iurare solebas? The anaphora strengthens the force of her accusations, as does the added toI, an arresting particle, which buttonholes the addressee; see Finglass on Soph. Aj. 221-23, Denniston 547, Cooper (1998) 321-6 and for the anaphora, Il. 13.770 (Hector criticising Paris, as Medea questions Jason here), Call. h. 3.113-6, Rufin. A.P. 5.15.1-4, 5.27.1-3.

 ह̋סtw. Medea picks up Jason’s own words to give point to her remarks.
'Ikєбíos is a common cult-title of Zeus (Aesch. Suppl. 359, 616, Soph. Phil. 484, Eur. Hec. 345) but Medea's phrase is a strong one; cf. Aesch. Suppl. 479, where Pelasgos states that the wrath of Zeus Hikesios is the highest fear among mortals. On the cult titles of Zeus in Homer, see Lloyd-Jones (1983) 5 and the continuing importance of the title, Mikalson (1998) 227 and Swain (1996) 196. For Hiketeia, see Gould (1973) 74-103 = (2001) 22-77, Naiden (2006) 111, with reference to Jason's offer of his right hand at 4.82-100.
 poets (cf. Call. A.P. 9.507.2 = $1298 H E$ ). A.'s use of $\mu \varepsilon ı \lambda i ́ \chi ı \circ \varsigma \sim \mu \varepsilon \lambda i ́ \varphi \rho \omega \nu$ is similar


 dedisti/voce.

For the idea of sweetness in speech cf. Il. 1.248-9 (Nestor) $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta \cup \varepsilon \pi n ̀ s$
 jé $\varepsilon v$ đú $\delta$ ń with Eustathius’ interpretation of this passage (1.151.15) ‘[the honey] from the Muses' beehive', Finglass on Stes. fr. 3, Pind. N. 3. 76-8 غ́ץ

 For Nestor's 'honey-sweetness' as exemplifying the middle style of oratory cf. Quint. Inst. 12.10.64, Cic. Brut. 40, Sen. 31, Tac. Dial. 16.5, with Hunter (2012) 162. Tissol (1997) 21 on the figure of syllepsis (the comparison 'sweeter than' applied to unexpected objects). A.'s portrayal of Jason as 'honey-tongued' has a long tradition. The idea can be found in other cultures; cf. Song of Solomon 11 ' Thy lips, O my spouse, drop as the honeycomb: honey and milk are under thy tongue', with West (1997) 229-30, (2007) 90, Xenophon was called the Attic bee (Suda s.v. $Z_{\varepsilon \nu \circ \varphi \tilde{\omega} \nu=}$ Iv 494.47 Adler), a swarm of bees was said to have settled on Plato's lips when he was a child (Cic. De div. 1.36.78), and Milton's description of Belial (P. L. 2.112-4 'His tongue / Dropped manna, and could make the worse appear / The better reason'). Calypso and the Sirens also have honey-sweet voices ( $\mu$ ع $\lambda$ íyприц: Od. 12.187); see Graverini (2005) 186-7.
úmooxદбín occurs only once in Homer (Il. 13.369); elsewhere úmóoरદбıs. It is used several times by A. and Callimachus: $2.948,3.510,625,4.456$, Call. Aet. fr. 59.19 Harder, A.P. 6.150.2 $=1136 H E$. A. is fond of nouns ending in the Ionic $-\imath \eta$;
 The form $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \sigma I v$ occurs once in the Iliad (Il. 2.134) and not in the Odyssey. Hesych. $\beta 495$ = 1319 Latte has $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha ́ \alpha \sigma \sigma$ • $\beta \varepsilon \beta$ ńк $\alpha \sigma$. It is part of A.'s more elaborate version of Il. 2.339 (338-40n.): parallel clauses with anaphora; öркıळ expanded with $\Delta$ ios 'lkєoíoı; use of the unHomeric úmooxєбin; introduction of the metaphorical use of $\mu \varepsilon \lambda ı$ хро́s.

 which, abandoning all restraint, with shameless determination, I have left my country, the glories of my home and even my parents, things that were dearest to me.' Introductory ñ̃s is bitterly ironic: she has left everything for sweet promises. The dactyls and repeated T sounds of $360-1$ emphasise the importance of the things she has lost and contrast with the softer, more melancholy sounds of 363 .

 talking to Menelaus; see 367-8n.), Il. 3.173-5, 5.213, [Hes.] Scut. 1 . . . тро入ıтои̃б $\alpha$


 $\pi \alpha ́[\mu \pi \alpha v]$ é $\mu v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \eta$, Theogn. 1291 IEG, Eur. Tro. 946-7 (Helen’s speech in her own

 $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon ́ \alpha$ (see below). Medea's mention of this heroic ideal is another pointed contrast with Jason's 'sweet promises'.

For oủ katà kóซuov cf. Il. 2.44, 5.759, 8.12, 17.205, Od. 3.138 etc., but
 kóбuov must be intended as an explanatory gloss. A., in writing this line, possibly remembered the sound of $I l .5 .593$ Kиסоıuòv ávaıס́́ $\alpha$ סпïotñtos. In this connection

 ... ; A. had a scholarly opinion about the passage that he imitates here.

In Homer $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon ́ \alpha \alpha$ only occurs in the phrase $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon ̇ \alpha$ ỡ $\nu \delta \rho \omega \nu$ (Od. 8.73, Il. 9.189, 524); and for the idea of a $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \rho \circ v$ having к $\lambda$ ह́os cf. Pind. P. 4.280 кגì tò
 the $\alpha$ as short generally; cf. $1.1 \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\alpha} \phi \omega \tau \omega \tilde{\tau}$; see West on Hes. Th. $100 \kappa \lambda \varepsilon \tau \pi$ $\pi \rho о т \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ à $v \theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \pi \omega \nu$.
 $4.203 \gamma \varepsilon \rho \alpha \rho \circ$ '́s $\tau \varepsilon \tau о \kappa \tilde{\eta} \propto \varsigma$ and for the different quantity of $\tau \varepsilon$ in the same line $c f . I l$. 1.177, 2.58, Call. h. 1.2 with Denniston (1954) 500; 320-2n.


 $\mu \varepsilon ́ v$, Tớ $\mu$ oí ėбтt. Perhaps úméptatos subtly introduces the lyricism of 363 .

## 


sea with the plaintive kingfishers because of your toils.' These lines add a note of pathos, intensified by the long vowels, to the theme of separation in 360-2.


 sadness of the Halcyons becomes a literary topos; see below on ${ }^{\prime} \lambda_{\kappa v o ́ v e \sigma \sigma ı . ~}^{\text {. }}$


 mentioning the legendary sadness of the Halcyon are collected by Thompson (1895) 48; see also Gow on Theocr. 7.57, Shapiro (1991) 115-7; cf. Alcm. fr. 26.2-3 PMGF



 форєонє́vŋ

## 

$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \dot{n} \sigma \varepsilon ı \propto \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \lambda$ ous. 'so that through me you might safely accomplish the contests of the bulls and the earthborn men.' For the enclitic $\mu$ oı cf. Od. 15.42 oưvekó
 means ' safe for her', here the required meaning must be 'safe through me'; cf. with
 it is thanks to Medea that Jason is alive at all. Similarly, the parallelism of $\alpha$ ג $\mu$ í . . . à $\mu \varphi_{i}$ emphasises the extent of Medea's help against the worst that animals and men
had to offer. Medea reminds Jason of the gratitude that he owes her, by alluding to her previous services; cf. Eur. Med. 476-82, Ariadne at Catull. 64.149 certe ego te in medio versantem turbine leti eripui, Dido at Virg. Aen. 4.317 si bene quid de te merui. While Medea's sibilants do not hiss as violently as they do in Euripides' play (Med. 476), the same threatening tone does seem present. Cf. Eur. IT 765 тò $\sigma \tilde{\omega} \mu \alpha \sigma \notin \sigma \alpha \varsigma$

 Clayman (1987) 69-84.

 bulls herself), Il. 15.587 where Zenodotus read oi đútழ instead of $\beta$ óєббı, Od.
 ßózooıv, Stes. fr. 15.27 with Finglass $a d$ loc. on cattle-rustling. The words are an important leitmotif in the relationship between the two, establishing a verbal link between significant moments in Books 3 and 4.
 the terms of the agreement made about her. The phrase is somewhat ironical at the end of this sentence: he can accomplish these labours only because of Medea's help, so the second person is not as celebratory of Jason's achievements as he would like.

## 366-7 บ̋бт

 $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ṇ. 'And finally, even the Fleece which was the reason for your expedition, you took through my folly.' The climax of Medea's argument ('you survived the contests because of me and needed me to take even the Fleece', referring to her assistance in
 matter became known＇or＇when my part in the matter became known＇（غ̇ாaiöøTós and


 пá久

 also a similar statement of the expedition＇s purpose at 4．191－2 グסף $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ र $\rho \varepsilon ו \omega$ ，$\tau n ̃ s$
 preservation by PE（familia k）of good readings，different from those of LASG． Fränkel＇s explanation of the corruption（confusion between EПI $\omega I \Pi \wedge O O \Sigma Y M M I N$ and $\wedge O O N A I \Sigma X$ in 367 ，later corrected to fit the sense and the metre）seems convincing．The phrase coming after $\kappa \tilde{\omega} \propto \varsigma$ emphasises how important the Fleece was －the very goal of their expedition－and the value of Medea＇s contribution．
 фаíveто тоити́．It is an indication of Medea＇s emotional state that she ends on such a word not e．g．$\mu \tilde{T}$ tis．She bitterly regrets her assistance even as she recounts it． Rengakos（1993） 157 points out，with particular reference to the Homeric hapax $\mu \alpha \tau i n$ ，that Od． 10.79 is missing from a Ptolemaic Homeric papyrus（P．Oxy．778） from about the same time as A．The word＇s occurrence elsewhere only in A．（also 1. 805）is another indication of A．＇s involvement in contemporary Homeric scholarship．
 over women．＇Agamemnon，when questioned by Odysseus in the underworld，says of



 4.471-4), similarly contrived by סó入os.

Medea's character has evolved from the Nausicaa figure of Book 3. She has already (360-2n.) used words similar to those of Helen to describe her predicament and while these lines allude to Clytemnestra, the next strand of her argument recalls Andromache (368-9n.).

In general, her situation is similar to that of Helen, a woman who has eloped with a foreigner from her native land, for whose recovery a military expedition has been sent (Knight (1995) 255). These subtle allusions to the words of heroines are part of the prequel technique, common in Roman and Hellenistic poetry. Another example is Theocritus' Polyphemus who hopes that a future visitor (Odysseus) will teach him to swim (11.61). They form part of the process whereby the knowing reader is drawn more closely into an ironic narrative. A. is saying that in Medea he has discovered the original of all the great Homeric women and that her words are not an echo of theirs, but their source.

Medea's thought that one bad or shameless woman makes all women bad finds another echo at Od. 24.198-202 where Agamemnon predicts that Penelope's faithfulness will be immortalised in song but Clytemnestra's murder of her husband will bring evil repute on all womankind, even the virtuous. Other examples are Eur. Ion 398-400 where Creusa says that the reputations of evil women get mixed up with


 Soph. fr. $679 \operatorname{Tr} G F$ where a character in his Phaedra asks that a chorus be sympathetic and silent, for 'a woman should cover up what brings shame on women', and Eur. Med. 410-30 where a hope is expressed for new songs that can generalise men's unfaithfulness in the same way that men have generalised women's. Medea is to be seen as the archetype of these tragic women; see Chong-Gossard (2008) 18-19.

## 

 Hellas, as your daughter, wife and very sister.' Medea echoes Andromache when she

 stresses her total dependence on her man; Achilles killed her father, destroyed her city, slaughtered her brother and made a slave of her mother. Medea puts herself in the position of a suppliant but states her case more strongly. A. evokes the Hector and Andromache passage only to emphasise the differences. Medea herself has broken these familial relationships. $\tau \tilde{\omega} \varphi \eta \mu i ́$ is a strong assertion and ironically stresses that Medea's shaming all women is the reason for her becoming Jason's bride. It is usually the virtue of a woman that is the explanation for this. She alludes to Andromache's words but asserts her right to demand Jason's protection. Andromache uses language that attributes qualities to Hector; Medea's assertions are made about herself. On Hector and Andromache, see Graziosi and Haubold (2010) 44-7.

The rhetorical idea of one individual constituting an entire relationship is old. In near Eastern texts, rulers or gods are often said to be 'like father and mother' to their people (Graziosi and Haubold (2010) 201). Clearchus, one of the leaders of the

Ten Thousand, after a period of hesitation, reminiscent of Jason (Anab. 1.3.2), says later in his speech (1.3.6) vouí̧ бu $\mu \mu \alpha ́ x$ ous.

Other heroines have spoken in the same way: Soph. $A j$. 514-17 (Tecmessa to

 Өavaбípous oikńтopas. The relationship between Ajax and Tecmessa is different from that of Andromache with Hector. Achilles' actions have made Andromache totally dependent on him, while Tecmessa says that Ajax, while he sacked her city, is not to blame for for the deaths of her parents. For other variations on the theme cf. Soph. El. 1145-8, Eur. Hel. 278, Ov. Her. 3.51, all of which emphasise the dependency of the speaker on her protector. Medea reverses the topos to underline the sacrifice that she has made for Jason and their mutual dependency.
 ó入oóqpovos Aińtao, is a powerful climax to the ascending tricolon that describes the links that Medea believes have been made between them. $\mu \varepsilon \theta^{\prime}$ ' $E \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \alpha \gamma \alpha i ̃ \alpha v$ ध̈ $\pi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ Is a significant (and unique) variation on the more familiar $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \dot{\delta} \delta \alpha \gamma \alpha i ̃ \alpha \nu$ iкє́ $\sigma \theta \propto ı$ (Od. 4.558, 823, 5.15, 207): Medea is deserting her native land and following Jason, as a dependent suppliant, to his.

 way, protect me graciously and do not leave me, faraway from you, alone, as you pay court to kings, but defend me come what may.' Medea changes the tone of her appeal and turns from forceful argument to supplication. $\pi \rho o ́ \varphi \rho \omega \nu$ indicates a conciliatory
tone, almost prayer-like in nature; cf. Aesch. Suppl. 216 (to Apollo) đuүүvoĩto סñta каì тарабтаín про́ $\rho \omega \nu$, Soph. El. 1380 (to Apollo) $\alpha i t \omega ̃, ~ \pi \rho о т i ́ t v \omega, ~ \lambda i ́ \sigma \sigma o \mu \alpha ı, ~$ $\gamma \varepsilon \nu 0$ ũ трó $\rho \rho \omega \nu$, Pind. P. 5.11, Od. 5.143. Medea is trying to capture Jason’s goodwill as though he were a god, and indeed one of the psychological points of supplication is that the act shows that the suppliant is no threat. In Medea's speech, however, the power inherent in the act is made more explicit. Together with the act of supplication comes the threat of retaliation by greater powers on behalf of the suppliant; cf. Od. 13.213-14, 14.283-4 where the protector is Zeus and 4.381, 386 where she calls on Hera and the Erinyes, respectively.

ப́mepíotaoo (cf. Aesch. Suppl. 216) expresses the defence that a man can
 фí入os oűtıs ảvì̀ $\mu \varepsilon \not \subset u ́ \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon$ (where Zenodotus and Aristarchus read $\pi \alpha$ píotao, against mss. $\pi \alpha$ í $_{\sigma} \tau \alpha \sigma 0$ ). A. uses the imperative in -oo twice (elsewhere at 3.1 in imitation of $I l$. 11.314; see Rengakos (1993) 70-1).
$\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon ́ \mu \varepsilon \mu \circ \cup ́ \nu \eta \nu$ represents the ultimate plea of one about to be abandoned.
Her condition verges on that of bereavement. Admetus is described as left alone by

 not to leave them because a 'deserted woman is nothing' (Aesch. Suppl. 749 үvvì $\mu o v \omega \theta \varepsilon i ̃ \sigma ’$ oú $\delta$ ह́v). Tecmessa (Soph. $A j$. 496-503) emphasises the consequences of Ajax's death, his abandonment of her, more than the actual fact itself.

غ́moıxó $\mu \varepsilon v \circ s$ gives the picture of Jason lobbying the Kings to obtain the desired decision in the dispute and being most assiduous in doing so; cf. 4.274-5




عi̋puoo is an imperative formed from épú $\omega$, meaning here 'to save, protect'. For the other semantic areas covered by this verb (draw, protect, drag) see LSJ ${ }^{9}$ s.v.
 кákфú $\lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \varepsilon$. A. reflects all aspects of what must have been a disputed derivation among Alexandrian critics (e.g. protect, save at 1.401, 1.1083, 2.1269, 3.713, 3.1305, 4.279 etc; drag, check at $1.357,1.760,1.1204,3.913,4.237$ etc). The archaic flavour acts as a suitable introduction to the formal appeal to $\delta i ́ k \eta$ and $\theta$ épıs which follows.

## 

 'let justice and right, to which we have both agreed, stand firm.' Өépıs and סíkп refer back to Jason's oath at 4.95-8 and to his speech at the temple of Hecate where, as a



 móбıv. Her appeal (347-9n. for the significance of סíkп and Ө́́pıs) also recalls the
 with West). The solemnity of the phrasing is subverted by the sordid nature of the dispute.

 combination of סíkn, Ө́́pıs, öркоऽ (and 'Epıv̛́єऽ) cf. Hes. Op. 219-21, 385-7n.

The use of $\sigma u v \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \nu$ emphasises the bargain that she believes she has made with Jason, in the same way that 4.355 Tíva Tńvסॄ $\sigma u v \propto \rho T u ́ v \propto \sigma \theta \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon v o ı v n ́ v$ stresses the agreement about to be made between him and the Colchians about her fate.

## 

 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \tilde{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ' If not, then straight away with your sword slash the middle of this my throat.' Medea presents the irresolute Jason with a stark alternative to keeping his word, which implies more resolution than he has previously shown. She prefers a hero's death to abandonment. Her fate is not to be that of a tragic heroine 1012-4; see Loraux (1987)) but of a warrior perishing in battle from an adversary's

 together with the tone: from the elevated appeal to the abstract concepts of Dike and Themis to physical brutality. On the different readings at $I l .18 .34$ (Zenodotus

 may pay a fitting price for my wantonness, cruel man!' The words are full of irony and self-recrimination, after the style of Helen in the Iliad. غ́oıкóta splits the line into two, balancing a question of Homeric interpretation and a noun with lyrical and elegiac associations.

7n.), 'gratify', representing two possibilities in a philological argument. દ̇mínpa


 (1882) 111), supposed a tmesis and read érínpa. Buttmann (1861) 338-44 showed that this was mistaken, but other poets anticipated this interpretation (Soph. OT 1093


uapyooúvn ( $\mu$ áp $\gamma \circ$ Arg. 3.120, Alcm. fr. 58.1.1 PMGF; $\mu \alpha \rho \gamma \circ \sigma u ́ v \eta$ Anacr. fr. 5.2. IEG, and, for the dative plural, Theogn. 1271) is the lack of $\sigma \omega \varphi \rho \circ \sigma u ́ v \eta$ in

 to the judgment of Paris where it was rejected by Aristarchus and Aristophanes $\left(\Sigma^{\mathrm{A}}=\right.$

 $\mu \alpha \chi \lambda$ ooúvnv tòv otíxov), perhaps through prudishness: see Richardson ad loc.), but

 uápros ท̃v. For the use of the abstract noun, see 356-8n.

Transmitted $\sigma \chi$ £́т $\lambda_{ı \varepsilon ~ s h o u l d ~ b e ~ r e t a i n e d . ~ H e r m a n n ~(1805) ~}^{735}$ thought the sense demanded $\sigma \chi$ £T $\lambda i$ ín but throughout the speech Medea constantly attacks Jason; cf. 389, 1047, Virg. Aen. 4.310-11 ire per altum / crudelis. For this reason, Wilamowitz (1924) 201 n. 2 бХદ́т $\lambda_{\text {ıoı }}$ also seems wrong. 'In Homer and Herodotus it denotes cruelty or, occasionally, inhuman courage . . . the adjective suggests the question "How could you bring yourself to do this?" (Finglass on Soph. Aj. 887/8890). The pause permits the hiatus; cf. Reeve (1971) 516.

 you both entrust these cruel agreements, decides that I am the property of my brother.' These lines have the formal sound of the law courts about them (347-9n.); for the
 đỦTovó

 this genitive is an anathema to the heroic temper of Medea.
${ }^{\alpha} \mu \varphi \omega$ stresses the adversarial nature of Medea's speech. She is defending herself against both Jason and Apsyrtus. There is no good parallel for transmitted $\varepsilon \in \pi i \sigma \chi \varepsilon T \varepsilon$ meaning to submit the case to an impartial arbiter. Read $\dot{\text { untió } \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \text { with Platt }}$ (1918) 140-1. Livrea (ad loc.) finds unconvincing support for $\begin{gathered}\text { éré } \\ \text { ( }\end{gathered} \omega$ at LSJ $^{9}$ s.v. II 1, where it means 'to offer food and drink'. ÚTாé $\chi \omega$ would continue the legal colouring




There is a syllable missing at the beginning of 376 . Vian supplies $\gamma \alpha ́ \rho$, following a suggestion of Wilamowitz (375-6n.) See Vian's and Fränkel's app. crit. for other possibilities. Its abbreviation might easily have been confused with another


 my father's sight? Doubtless, with a very glorious reputation.' $\eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}$ (coniecit anon. apud Wellauer) introduces 'sarcastic anticipation of a warm welcome from an injured party' (Finglass on Soph. Aj. 1006-8). Medea is discussing alternatives to death. At Eur. Med. 502-5 she asks herself a similar question: vũv поĩ трát $\pi \omega \mu \propto$; по́тєр $\alpha$

 катє́ктаvov; cf. 4.361-2. The answer that she gives herself ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} \varsigma \sim$ ह̇ük $\lambda \varepsilon ı n ̆ \varsigma) ~ i s ~$ similar to that of the Apollonian Medea. Sophocles' Ajax, when pondering the alternatives that he faces in his own situation, questions himself in the same way (460-3) то́тєра тро̀s oîkous . . . / . . $\pi \varepsilon \rho \omega ̃ ; ~ / ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi о і ̃ o v ~ o ̋ \mu \mu \alpha ~ \pi \alpha т р i ̀ ~ \delta \eta \lambda \omega ́ \sigma \omega ~$ ф aveís / Tє $\lambda$ 人́ $\mu \omega \nu$; His answer includes the same word that A's Medea uses (465
 1006-8, Od. 14.402 (Eumaeus' offer to Odysseus' bet on his own return) oút $\omega$ үáp

 8.

For the 'effrontery involved in looking in the eye those whom one has betrayed' (Cairns (2005) 146 n. 23) cf. Soph. Aj. 460-3 (with Finglass), 1290, Eur. Med. 467-2, IA 454-5. Medea's reference to looking her father in the eye, if she is forced to go back to Colchis, is particularly pertinent, bearing in mind the piercing eyesight of the Colchian Royal Family (4.727-9).

The passage and sentiments are echoed at Ennius Med. 217-18 Jocelyn quo nunc me vortam? (cf. C. Gracchus, quoted at Cic. de orat. $3.214=$ fr. 61 Malcovati quo me miser conferam), Catull. 64.177-80 nam quo me referam? . . . and form the
basis of the questions which Dido poses herself at Virg. Aen. 4.323-30 cui me moribundam deseris?

 what grim and horrible fate will I not suffer for the terrible things I have done? While you would achieve a pleasant return home?' After the long question expressing her likely grim fate, Medea’s words đù . . . vóotov é入oıo condense sentiments such as





For the repetition of the negative, giving the maximum emphasis to the case


 choice word (őт $\overline{\text { ºs }}$ Aesch. Sept. 18, $\Sigma$ at Soph. Tr. 7-8, ȯт $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \hat{\varepsilon} \omega$ Call. fr. 310 Pfeiffer, 819, Arat. 428, 3.769, 4.1227, о́т $\lambda \varepsilon$ v́ف 2.1008), an Alexandrian formation, perhaps meant to stand for $\alpha$ वтотive or the like.

Wellauer's emendation où $\delta$ ć Kєv (in the same at $O d .4 .547$ ) for transmitted oủ $\delta \varepsilon ́ ~ \kappa \varepsilon$ (LA), oű $\kappa \varepsilon$ (SPE), or oủ $\delta \grave{\prime} \kappa \varepsilon$ (G) should be adopted. A. is also echoing Il.
 alternatives offered by Medea's rhetorical question.

## 

 'Never may Zeus' bride, the queen of all, in whom you glory, bring that to pass.' It is ironic that Medea is made to call on the very deity who is manipulating her fortunes
 $\pi \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \sigma i ́ \lambda \varepsilon ı \alpha$ (Ar. Nub. 357, 1150, of Persephone $I G$ XII/5. 310.15; cf. Stes. fr. 18.2 Finglass $\pi \alpha \mu[\beta \alpha c ı \lambda \tilde{\eta} \alpha$, of Zeus, Alcaeus fr. 308.3-4 Voigt Kpovíß $\alpha$. . . $\left.\pi \alpha \mu \beta \alpha c^{\prime} \lambda \eta i ̈\right)$, a rare word, strengthens the appeal.

тє $\lambda$ ह́бモıєv evokes Hera Teleia, goddess of marriage; cf. Ar. Thesm. 973-4
 marriage between Jason and Medea will end. In Aeschylus' Eumenides, Hera Teleia and Zeus Teleios are called on as guardians of marriage when Apollo accuses the Erinyes of disregarding marriage (Aesch. Eum. 213-5, Clark (1998) 16).

 has only the participle (Il. 2.579, 6.509, 21.519). ku $\delta, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ is an Alexandrian present formed by analogy perhaps prompted by forms such as Hom. Hym. 2.170 ku $\delta$ ıáovooaı. The supposed compound $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi I k u \delta$ ớ $\omega$ is attested nowhere else. Medea is mocking Jason because she believes that he has achieved кũסos, the point of a hero's existence, only through her aid (364-5n.).
 some time remember me, when you are overcome with continual suffering.' This is the cry of the one about to be deserted or abandoned; cf. in an heroic context, Il.

 sequere Italiam ventis, pete regna per undas / . . . / supplica hausurum scopulis et nomine Dido / saepe vocaturum. Both Dido and Medea are forced to cut their ties with a social group, the family, to attach themselves to their lovers; see Monti (1981) 50-1.

Medea's 'remember me' comes with a threat, unlike the wistful tone of Nestor

 10.472 بци appeal at $1.896,3.1069,3.1110$ are also emotionally charged but in a less menacing way.

бтрєúyєбӨaı is dis legomenon in Homer; cf. Il. 15.512 and $O d .12 .351$ where it is used to describe persons who prefer to die at once rather than be gradually worn down (бтрєúyєo日aı) (see Dyck (187) 156, Rengakos (1994) 144). Rengakos (2001) connects this explanation to glossographic exegesis (199) and applies it to the three occurrences of the word in A. (here, 4.621, 1058). There is, however, evidence that the word was a matter of debate for Hellenistic poets (cf. Timoth. fr. 792.81 Hordern Фát' वै०Ө
 бтрєuү\&סóvi at Nic. Alex. 313). For a different explanation of the word see 4.1058
 Here, A. may be echoing and varying Callimachus' phrase (above). For кá $\mu \alpha$ тоs as a disease see $\mathrm{LSJ}^{9}$ s.v., $1-2 \mathrm{n}$. Medea is condemning Jason to a long period of suffering without immediate respite.
 the Fleece like a dream vanish into the nether darkness on the wind!' First, the Fleece's radiance was overwhelming (4.171-7) and now its light is to vanish. ס́́pos . . . óvép@ stresses the futility of Jason's efforts without Medea's assistance (cf. Od.


 4.499, Aen. 5.740, 6.794-5). For $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mu \omega$ viov cf. Stes. fr. 42.2 ] . . . $\alpha \mu \omega \dot{\mu} \circ$ ıv with Finglass ad loc. who mentions the possible supplement there of $\pi ฺ \in \widehat{\delta} \alpha \mu \omega \dot{\varphi} \circ v$, 'vain, fruitless'.

The light of the Fleece will be totally extinguished in the darkness of Erebos. West (1997) 159 says that Erebos is a region of darkness as opposed to the realm of light; cf. his note on Th. 123, to which Finglass on Soph. Aj. 394a-5 adds Alcaeus A.P. 7.429.10 = $105 H E$, together with Marinatos (2010), who defines Erebos (p. 198) as 'the complete absence of sunlight to be distinguished from night which bears within herself the potential of day.' Medea threatens Jason with the total loss of his prize.

 immediately because of what I have suffered through your heartlessness.' Medea's curse comes true. After Jason delivered the Fleece to Pelias, he called upon Medea to take vengeance on him. Medea duped his daughters into boiling the dissected parts of his body in a cauldron. Pelias remained dead, and his son Acastus expelled Jason and Medea from Iolcus. The story of Medea's attempted rejuvenation is found first at Eur.

Peliades frr. 601-16 TrGF; see Fowler, $E G M$ iI §6.5.
Ironically, Medea uses words and sentiments similar to those of Jason when he

 $\sigma$ ñ̃) should be retained for the increased emphasis. Fränkel (OCT) wanted to write


Medea speaks forcefully of 'my Erinyes'. It makes the vendetta with which she threatens Jason more personal and intense; 'even beggars may have Erinyes' (Lloyd-Jones (1983) 76, alluding to Od. 17.475). It is the task of the Erinyes to pursue (Aesch. Eum. 421 ßротоктоvoũvtas ék $\delta o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ ह̇خ $\alpha$ v́vouєv). $\triangle i ́ k \eta ~ a n d ~ \theta \varepsilon ́ \mu ı s ~(4.373-~$ 4) are associated with 'Epıvúєs, since the latter especially punish sins against kinsfolk or relatives; cf. Aesch. $A g .1432-3$, Soph. Tr. 808-10. On occasions, the Erinyes are seen as the champions of justice and the natural order (Heracl. D-K 22 B $94=\mathrm{I}$ 172.9) $\Delta$ íkns émíkoupoı rather than of the rights of relatives. See Lloyd-Jones (1990) $204=(2005) 91-2$, Finglass on Soph. El. 792.
áтротт́a 'inflexibilty, hardheartedness' is a rare word and only occurs at Theogn. 218 before A. (4.1006, 1047). It is picked up by $v \eta \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon ́ s$ in 388-9n. $\Sigma$ (p. 285

 Odysseus, when compared with the $\dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \chi \alpha v i ́ \alpha$ of Jason.

 ground. You have broken a very great oath, pitiless one.' Cf. the words used at their


For oú $\theta$ épis with the infinitive cf. Il. 14.386, Aesch. Eum. 471-2, Soph. El.
 immediate and personal tone. It is hard to parallel but cf. for the construction [Simon.] A.P. 7.24.7 $=962 F G E$ кク่ $\nu \chi$ Өovì $\pi \varepsilon \pi \pi \eta \omega^{\prime}$ (for the participle, see LSJ ${ }^{9}$ s.v. $\pi i \pi T \omega$ A). For $\theta$ ह́भाร, see $347-9 n$.

An oath is regarded as the greatest, i.e. the most binding and sacred of pledges (for $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \propto \varsigma$ with öркоऽ: Aesch. $\operatorname{Ag.}$ 1290, Il. 9.132, 15.37-8). Broken oaths play an


 4.99-100), ก̃̌S où mó $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ह̀ $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} v o u ;$ see Torrance (2014) 133. This emphasis on oaths is important on two levels: first, oaths did not normally play a part in the normal wedding ceremony. Any contract would be between the bridegroom and the legal guardian of the bride. Medea, both here and in Euripides' play, speaks of Jason's oaths and pledges as having been given to her. In contracting a marriage in this way, she takes on the role of a male citizen. Euripides' (and Apollonius') divergence from custom makes the intended betrayal more personal: when he abandons Medea, Jason breaks vows that he made to Medea herself. See 88-90n., Williamson (1990) 18, Ewans (2007) 56.
$\nu \eta \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon ́ s$, addressed directly to Jason, occupies a strong position in the line and



 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \lambda \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon \varsigma$.

 ease leering at me, for all your agreements.' Medea's speech finishes strongly, with two lines full of assonance and alliteration; on such effects see Silk (1974) 173-92. A.'s imitators are Catull. 64.200-1 and Virg. Aen. 4.628-9.
 1.486, 3.791, Od. 18.11) sums up how she feels about the Argonauts at this moment: she is surrounded by ungrateful and insensitive men. It implies the same kind of

 mockery', and ' even if the hero does not experience this face to face he imagines it in his moments of brooding despair'. Medea seems to be imagining a similar situation.

Wifstrand (1928) 120 read ह̈ఠбєठӨ', 'you will not long sit', comparing 1.1290, Il. 75-6, Od. 13.423-4 and basing the form of the verb on Il. 9.455 ни́ тотє

 those addressed are actually sitting. It seems inappropriate here.
$\sigma u v \theta \varepsilon \sigma i ́ \alpha ı$ (nn. 338-40, 355-90), echoing the first line of the speech, are a theme of the discussions and the marriage between Jason and Medea. Here they will achieve the murder of Apsyrtus: later they will be tragically broken in Corinth. The full significance of this final word can only be appreciated by the reader. It is
emphasised by A.'s for combining a dactylic fifth foot with the sixth in a single word, e.g. 1.380 व่̛ $\varphi о т \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega \theta \varepsilon \nu$ (Mooney (1912) 413).

## 

 $\mu \propto \lambda \varepsilon \rho \tilde{\oplus} \pi u \rho i$. 'So she spoke, seething with grim anger. She longed to set fire to the ship, burn all the equipment and then throw herself into the consuming flames.'

Transmitted $\varepsilon$ én $\pi \varepsilon \delta \alpha \pi$ mávta cannot bear any sense which would connect it with the


 Évtea móvta. Medea wants to start a fire on board the Argo, make sure that it spreads
 $\delta ı \propto \kappa \varepsilon \alpha ́ \zeta \omega$ was interpreted as either 'burn' or 'split', $\Sigma^{\vee}$ (II 615.10 Dindorf) and
 the blaze. The corruption might have been caused by a scribe's recollection of lines
 is that of Hector's attempt to burn the Greek ships.

Rengakos (1994) 102 thinks that $\kappa \varepsilon \alpha ́ \zeta \varepsilon ı \nu=\sigma \chi i \zeta \varepsilon ı \nu$ is not possible here. He believes that ' burn the Argo', 'smash everything', and 'throw oneself on the fire' does not give the required progression in terms of Medea's threats and interprets кєá $\zeta_{\varepsilon ı \nu}$ as equivalent to $\kappa \alpha$ ṽ $\sigma \propto$. This interpretation is reinforced by a use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varnothing \lambda \varepsilon ́ \xi \propto ı$ which may also result from contemporary Homeric criticism. At Il. 9.653 ктвívovt’
 Hp. Min. 371c) which Rengakos (1993) 133 n. 1 believes that A. knew. Medea's
words are totally concentrated on burning the Argo.
Other proposed emendations have been $\alpha \not \rho \mu \varepsilon \nu \alpha$ Livrea (1973) 127, $̇ \mu \pi т \lambda \alpha$ Fränkel (1968) 483-4, ěvסo日ı Fränkel (1961), ả $\mu \varphi \alpha \delta \alpha ́$ Campbell (1971) 420, Vian

 as it seems, because Quintus' heroine, Cassandra, is armed with an axe.

## 393-4 тоі̃の $\delta^{\prime}$ 'lńб

'Jason took fright and spoke to her with soothing words.' Jason is more afraid of Medea than of the Colchian army. His answer echoes the way in which he responded
 $\mu \mathrm{O})$. The two speeches are also connected by the description of Jason's general demeanour (3.396, 4.410 ن́moooaí $\omega v$ ). Medea has lost any illusions she might have had about Jason's heroism and Jason sees that Medea resembles her father. On Jason the conciliator see Mori (2005) 210-11, on the rhetorical nature of his speeches, Volonaki (2013) 51-70 and on his soothing words 358-9n., Mori (2007) 465-6.
 lady. I too take no pleasure in this.' In Homer סaıuovín expresses astonishment or criticism (95-6n.); cf. Il. 6.326-9 (Hector to Paris) סáuóvi' oủ $\mu e ̀ v ~ k \alpha \lambda \alpha ̀ ~ \chi o ́ \lambda o v ~$

 Jason's solution is a reversal of Hector's call to action.

Even at Il. 6.407 (Andromache to Hector) $\delta \alpha ı \mu o ́ v ı \varepsilon ~ \varphi \theta i ́ \sigma \varepsilon ı ~ đ \varepsilon ~ t o ̀ ~ đ o ̀ v ~ \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \varsigma, ~$ although the speech is a tender one, the initial tone is critical. Andromache has
 $\delta \alpha ı \mu o v i ́ \eta$ is ' possessed by a $\delta \alpha i ́ \mu \omega v$. . Jason often uses the word when he is trying to placate Medea, using methods that verge on lying (3.1120, 4.95). Both îoxeo (cf. Il. 213-4 where Athena recommends restraint to Achilles) and Tà . . . aÚTఢั (Il. 7.407, Od. 2.114) are further attempts to mollify.

## 

 postpone a battle, for such a cloud of hostile men, like a fire, surrounds us, on your account.' Surrounded as he is by hordes of Colchians, Jason's advice to delay matters and relay on $\delta o ́ \lambda o s$ and $\sigma u v \theta \varepsilon \sigma$ ín rather than combat contrasts with the way in which a Homeric warrior behaves at a time of crisis. For example, Ajax exhorts the Greeks to immediate conflict rather than to suffer Hector and the Trojans' constant threats to burn the Greek ships at $I l$. 15.511-2.

The Homeric phrases that Jason alludes to (cf. Il. $7.290 \nu$ ṽv $\mu غ ̀ \nu ~ \pi \alpha v \sigma \omega ' \mu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$

 subverted by his ulterior motives.

 äүоוито. 'All who inhabit this land are keen to help Apsyrtus, so that the Colchians can take you back to your father, as if you had been plundered in war.' Jason continues to justify his course of action using Homeric phrases that attempt to mask
the reality of the situation. Medea's possible fate is softened by the potentiality of oíd $\tau \varepsilon$ and the use of a passive participle.






 квívoioı $\lambda i ́ m o ı \mu \varepsilon v$. 'If we were to join battle, we would all perish in hateful death and it would be even worse for you, if dying we were to leave you as easy prey for
 "Apпï, 18.209, 13.286, 14.386-7). The echo of Hector's words to Andromache at
 $\eta_{n} \mu \alpha \rho$ and the reference to a frequent fate on the field of Troy (cf. 1.4 aútoùs $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$
 combat. His argument is rhetorically empty in that Medea's situation will not alter much whatever happens. Unlike Andromache, she still has a family to whom she can be returned. Jason's thoughts are centred on self-preservation.
 agreement will accomplish a trick by which we will lead Apsyrtus to destruction.' Jason proposes an alternative to combat and the phrase that he uses is unexpected. Treaties are usually made to ensure peace not treachery and k $\rho \alpha i v \omega$ is a word



 debated whether he has planned to use $\delta$ ó $\lambda$ os all along or whether it is an inspiration of the moment; see Hunter (1993b) 15 discussing the unstable nature of the perspective that A. adopts with regard to Jason's characterisation. This uncertainty is typical of Jason and the euphemisms that fill the end of this speech contrast sharply with Medea's reply.

His suggestion of סó入os recalls Aesch. Cho. 555-7 (Orestes to the Chorus)
 ठó入oıo where Orestes and Electra believe that they are planning a justified revenge. In contrast, Jason and Medea offer no moral justification for their stratagem.

## 



тєтє́тuktal. 'Nor, equally, would the local people agree with the requests of the Colchians, without their leader who is your guardian and brother.' Jason explains the practicalities of his agreement. One of the reasons for the confusion of the transmitted
 фє́povtes LA, घioaîovtes and фદ́povtes D) is the vagueness of Jason's concluding
 $\alpha{ }^{\alpha} v t i \alpha ́ \zeta \omega$. Here, it is a dative plural participle, emphasising the dependency of the Colchians on the local population (366-7n.).

 there is no émí at all, simply a dative with ф£́povtes (cf. Choerilus fr. 17a. 3 PEG). If
 be seen as a compound noun, the prefix bearing the meaning of over or beyond the normal; cf. the difference between Hesychius' definitions, $\eta 1954=$ II 291 Latte $n ̃ \rho \alpha \cdot$



ảooontrip is usually explained as 'helper' (Hesych. $\alpha 5691=$ II 95 Latte)
 $\theta \varepsilon \omega \tilde{v}$ йттатоv, seems strange here. Some meaning, such as 'guardian, saviour'



 the lack of support for Telemachus in Odysseus' absence). ảooontńp is well attested in Homer and later epic poetry; see Harder (2012) II 189. The whole line, with its awkward formality, adds to the impression of prevarication that Jason gives here; see Vian (1981) 164 who takes it to refer to Medea's legal position, depending on the judgment of the kings (376).

 battle, if they do not allow me to pass through.' Jason continues to discuss possibilities rather than make decisions. The awkwardness of the syntax reflects his
 transmitted úmeí̧oual; for the infinitive with úmeík cf. 4.1676, Od. 5. 332, Soph.


 fawning way, trying to soothe her but her reply was deadly.' This line is crucial to our understanding of the relationship between the two characters. $\sigma$ óvo means 'wag the tail' and is used literally of dogs in the Odyssey (10.217, 16.6 and of Argos 17.302). Later it is used metaphorically meaning 'fawn upon' (Pind. P. 2.28, 1.52, Aesch. Ag. 798), or 'cringe before' (Aesch. Sept. 383, 704); see Barrett on Eur. Hipp. 862-3. The compound útoooaívف is used of dogs (Ael. NA 17.7), of lions (9.1) and, metaphorically, by Plutarch (Adulator 65c.7) of men. At 3.396 it describes Jason's speech to Aietes promising him help if he will treat the Argonauts as suppliants and give them the Fleece. At 3.974 Jason, when he sees that Medea is in love with him, speaks to her similarly asking for help in the contest; cf. the opening of her previous statement, (352-4n.), together with Il. 21.393 (Ares' forthright words to Athena) kai óveíర́ıov фáto $\mu$ ũӨov; see Hughes Fowler (1989) 137-9, DeForest (1994) 129.



##  

 my shameful acts, since I first sinned through my folly, and accomplished evil desires
through the will of a god.' Medea begins with a bitter echo of their first meeting (3.
 Homeric speech of deliberation and planning. $\Phi \rho$ á $\zeta$ हo vũv occurs at Il. 17.144, 22.358

 кaкóv; After the violence of Medea’s initial outburst against Jason, there is a degree of litotes in the way in which she approaches the murder of her brother, which makes it all the more chilling.

 Zeús. Interpreting this second line, A. uses the unepic á $\mu \pi \lambda \alpha \kappa$ кí and is less specific with regard to which god controlled Medea's actions. This makes her self-reproach more personal. In spite of the reference to a god ( $\theta \varepsilon o ́ \theta \varepsilon v$ - presumably Hera; 11n.), her actions (ñvooo ) appear to be more self-determined. For more links between Medea and Agamemnon see Knight (1995) 255.

For other passages where $\alpha \neq \eta$ and $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \lambda \alpha \kappa i ́ \alpha$ (or $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho т i ́ \alpha ;$ see Dawe (1967) 102) are linked cf. Archil. fr. 127 IEG, Pind. P. 2. 28-30, Soph. Ant. 1259-60. The meaning of ötn, and the way in which poets use it to describe and explain human actions, has been much discussed; cf. Dodds (1951) 5 'ate is a state of mind - a temporary clouding or bewildering of the normal consciousness. It is . . . a partial and temporary insanity; and like all insanity, it is ascribed, not to physiological or psychological causes, but to an external "daemonic agency".' However, he also states (p. 3), while commenting on Il. 19. 136-7, that this does not absolve an individual from responsibility for their actions; cf. Dawe's classification of possible meanings (1967) 99, Doyle (1984), Neuburg (1993) 503-4, Sommerstein (2013) 1-15.
 off the spears of the Colchians in the tumult of battle.' Medea's brutal sentiments are the opposite of those of Andromache to Hector. Jason's job is to fight. Andromache (Il. 6.431-2) wishes Hector to avoid combat. túvn is brusque and almost contemptuous. See Prince (2002) 22 who refers to West's note on Hes. Th. 36 believing that there is a contrast here between $\theta \varepsilon \delta \dot{\theta} \theta \mathrm{v}$ and the instructions that she is
 ring with possible linguistic connections between it and Hittite mallai harrai, 'grinds and pounds’ (Puhvel (1991) 141, Barnes (2008) 1-19). For á $\lambda \dot{\lambda} \xi ́ \xi \circ$ cf. Archil. fr.

 context. There is no need to alter it to $\dot{\alpha} \lambda$ हúfo with Fränkel (1968) 487; cf. 4.551
 is to take care of the fighting, if necessary ( $414 \sim 420$ ), while Medea plays the major role in the plot against Apsyrtus.

##   

 if I can persuade the heralds as they leave, will bewitch that man so that he comes into your power. Your task is to please him with splendid gifts so that quite alone he agrees with my words.' The transposition of one line gives tighter sense to the proposed plot and greater consistency with the reported version at 4.435-8. For the

हैठбєт'. Magic is how Medea gets her way, whether it be with the Moon (4.59), the guardian serpent (4.158) or her own brother. The heralds are said to be departing because they have just concluded a treaty (4.340) and are going back to give Apsyrtus, whose fleet is at a different location (4.453) instructions and gifts which are $\phi \propto ı \delta \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ because one in particular is a considerable work of art. oió $\theta \varepsilon v$ oĩov is echoed in 4.459 when Apsyrtus comes face to face with his sister.

## 

 him and raise war with the Colchians.' Although Medea at first seems to be employing a polite circumlocution, perhaps with a hint of sarcasm (cf. $3.485 \omega^{\omega}$
 statement is abrupt in the extreme. After an effort to distance herself from the act of murder now contemplated, she has made up her mind that the act must be done. The fatal verb $\kappa$ тعĩve, in an abrupt but objectless imperative, is a pointed contrast with Jason's previous wordiness. The omission of an object suggests an unwillingness, possibly based on magical belief, even to name the prospective victim. For àzipeo



421-34 Cloaks and outward display play an important part in this passage. The purple cloak described in this passage is an erotically-charged garment, called 'holy' (423) but used with an unholy end in view. Hypsipyle was the granddaughter of Ariadne and Dionysus, and Ariadne's story is the example that Jason uses to strengthen his case when trying to persuade Medea to help him at 3.997-1004: he tells Medea how

Ariadne helped Theseus escape from similar difficulties to his own but omits to mention that he later abandons her.

This part of the story is indirectly played out for us now through the ekphrasis of the cloak. Theseus' desertion of Ariadne is never spoken of between Jason and Medea but is depicted so vividly that any spectator would gaze on the sight insatiably (429). There is a chance that Medea will be castaway on an island and left by a Greek whom she has helped (434) but the garment that foreshadows the possibility will prove the agent that helps her avoid this but also lead to ultimate separation and tragedy. Medea, herself, has previously said, 3.1107-8 oưס' 'Apıóסvṿ / íooũuaı, 'I am not like Ariadne' and indeed she will prove herself to be much more than a plaything of a drunken god (432-3). We know as informed readers that robes and, of course, the Golden Fleece, will play a significant part in her future life. The Fleece will provide Jason and Medea's marriage bed in the sacred cave on Phaeacia (4.1145-7) but in Corinth, it will be another robe that Medea uses to poison her rival, Creusa. The description of the cloak itself draws on many sources. There is the shield of Achilles (Il. 18.478-608) and the Hesiodic shield, together with the veil and headband, the work of Athena and Hephaistos, worn by Pandora (Hes. Th. 573-84). Also in Odyssey 19.225-35 the disguised Odyssseus tells Penelope about a meeting with her husband when the latter came to Crete on his way to Troy: 'King Odysseus wore a thick double mantle ( $\chi \lambda \alpha i ̃ v \propto \nu$ порфирÉnv); it was crimson, and had a clasp of gold with two sheaths.' Jason is a hero who relies on the magic of sexual attraction, using the outward trappings of personal appearance to bolster his deficiencies. Achilles relies on his armour, Odysseus on his eloquence but Jason uses a cloak whose style might have been inherited from Demetrios Poliorketes: 'One of his chlamydes had taken months to weave on the looms, a superb piece of work in which the Kosmos
with the heavenly bodies were represented' (Plut. Demetr. 41.4-5; cf. Ath. 535f536a.). Alexander himself is spoken of as wearing 'a cloak more elaborate than the rest of his armour; it was a work of Helikon, the ancient, and presented to him as a mark of honour by the city of Rhodes' (Plut. Alex. 32.5-6). Before these two, Alkibiades was admired when he appeared in the theatre wearing his purple robe (Athen. 535c). Perhaps we are to understand Jason as being dressed as a Hellenistic king; cf. the fresco from Boscoreale, from Room H of the Villa of P. Fannius Synistor at Boscoreale, $c a .40-30 \mathrm{BC}$, which has been identified as Achilles, mourning for Patroclus, with his mother Thetis but also as an Hellenistic dynast and his wife. He has a himation of the period draped across his knees; see Müller (1994), Torelli (2003), Strootman (2007), and http://www.metmuseum.org/toah/works-of-art/03.14.6 (URL checked 07/04/2015).

For further references to garments similar to Jason's cloak cf. the descriptions of contemporary Alexandrian artistic life attributed to Kallixeinos of Rhodes, (Athen. 197A-202B: describing a festival pavilion build for the Grand Procession of Ptolemy Philadelphus) 'And in the spaces between the posts there were pictures hung by the Sicyonian painters . . . garments embroidered with gold, and most exquisite cloaks, some of them having portraits of the kings of Egypt embroidered on them; and some, stories taken from the mythology.'

 against Apsyrtus and gave many gifts of friendship.' סó $\lambda$ ov contrasts harshly with $\xi \varepsilon ı ท \mathfrak{\eta} ı \alpha \delta \tilde{\omega} \rho \alpha$. Jason is abusing one of the fundamental laws of Greek society; cf. Od.


Medea's deadly gifts in Euripides' play. Gifts ( $\xi \varepsilon ı ท \eta i i ́ \alpha, ~ \xi \varepsilon ı ท ŋ ́ i ̈ \alpha ~ \delta \tilde{\omega} \rho \alpha, \delta \omega T i v \eta)$ are offered by a host to a guest as a material symbol of friendship. In return, the host expects the guest to remember him ( $\mu \varepsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v o s ~ I l . ~ 4.592, ~ 8.431, ~ \mu ı \mu \nu \eta ́ \sigma к є т \alpha ı ~ 15.54, ~$ $\mu \nu \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ 15.126), and to reciprocate with an equally valuable gift sometime in he future ( $\alpha$ ஷ́ that guest-gifts be exchanged back and forth, and gifts that fail to elicit counter gifts
 hatching subverts this framework, for which there are Homeric antecedents: Polyphemus' cynical guest-gift ( $\xi \varepsilon ı v \grave{i ́ i o v} 9.370$ ) to Odysseus is the privilege of being eaten last of the men and the suitor Ctesippus offers as an equally cynical guest-gift (20.296) a pelting with an ox-hoof from the meat basket; see Reece (1993) 36.

## 

 'among which they gave the holy purple robe of Hypsipyle.' There are numerous references in the Iliad to garments of purple worn by kings and generals. Odysseus is given a purple cloak by Penelope (Od. 19.225). Helen weaves a purple cloth with images of the Trojan War (Il. 3.126) and likewise Andromache is weaving a purple tapestry when she receives news of Hector's death (Il. 22.441). Herodotus describes Croesus offering purple robes to Apollo at Delphi (Hdt. 1.50). The use of purple was endorsed when Alexander the Great, after his defeat of Darius, exchanged his white Macedonian robes for purple. The royal tomb at Vergina, supposed final resting place of Philip the second of Macedon, contained a fragment of purple cloth embroidered with gold; see Elliott (2008) 179, Reinhold (1970) 11, Navarro Antolín (1996) 225 and, on Jason's cloaks in particular, Lawall (1966) 154-8, Shapiro (1980) 263-86, Hunter (1993b) 52-9, Fusillo (1985) 300-7, Lovatt (2013) 183.


 Dionysos on sea-girt Dia, but he gave it to his son Thoas afterwards who left it for Hypsipyle, who gave it to the son of Aeson to take away as a finely wrought guest gift, together with many other wonderful things.' Cf. Il. 2.100-7 (the history of Agamemnon's sceptre), 10.261-71 (the boar tusk helmet worn by Odysseus in the Doloneia, originally stolen by his grandfather, Autolycus). In Homer the genealogy of an antique object often implies a comment on the present situation: the solemn tradition embodied by the staff throws into relief the deception of Agamemnon and his failure to live up to the standards of his ancestors and the helmet's biography provides a model for Odysseus' trickster-like character in the Doloneia. Similarly, Jason's cloak is associated with a story in which deception plays a major part (nn. 421-34, 421-2, 423-4).
$\Delta ı \omega \nu \cup ́ \sigma \omega$ and $\Delta i ́ n ̣ \dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi ı \alpha ́ \lambda \omega$ refer to the story of Ariadne, Theseus and Dionysus. Od. 11.321-5 places the death of Ariadne on an island called Dia. Callimachos (fr. 601 Pfeiffer), Diodoros $(4.61,5.51)$ and others tell us that Dia was an alternative or former name for Naxos. It has been suggested that Naxos was not the original setting of the story. One hypothesis is that the story was originally associated with a small island close to Crete named Dia (cf. Strabo 10.5.1, Pliny N.H. 4.61, Steph. Byz. s.v. $\Delta_{i ́ \alpha}(\delta 68=$ II 38 Billerbeck-Zubler $=$ p. 229 Meineke $)$, Fowler, $E G M$ II § 16.3.1).

## 

 $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \varepsilon ı \propto \varsigma$. 'You could never satisfy your sweet desire either by touching or gazing upon it.' This comment emphasises the superficially attractive and sensuous nature of the cloak. Appealing to three of the senses, it emphasises the eroticism, charged with mutual mistrust and treachery that exists between Jason and Medea. This heightening of the narrative is typical of Hellenistic poetry; cf. Aelius Theon's definition of ekphrasis, which requires descriptive speech to bring the subject vividly before the eyes: êk סף入oúuहvov (Progymn. 118.6) and see Zanker (1981) 297-311, Fowler (1991) 25-35 $=2000$ (64-85), Webb (1999) 7-8, Lovatt (2013) 162-205. The introduction, as well






 Nuońĩos $\varepsilon ่ \gamma \kappa \propto \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon к т о$. 'And from it a divine fragrance breathed from the time when the Nysian lord himself lay down upon it.' Ambrosial fragrance is integral to a


 P.L. 10.850-1 'A bough of fairest fruit, that downy smil'd / new gathered, and
ambrosial smell diffus'd.'
P.Oxy. 2694 according to its first editor (Kingston (1968) 55) has $\mu[\varepsilon ́] \nu \in ฺ[\nu$, instead of transmitted $\pi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon v$. Re-examination of the papyrus seems to show that this is doubtful:


A[ ]N is more likely. Fränkel (1964) 14-15, (1968) 490 n. 2 suggested $\pi v \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon \nu$.
 variation at $O d .12 .325$ and 14.458 . He sees it as a possible correction of the wellattested $\alpha \notin 1$, presupposing $\alpha \not \omega \omega$ alongside $\alpha \not \approx \mu$. He also mentions that Hesychius ( $\alpha$



 instead of ớnto would be a typical Hellenistic trick, active for middle tense (cf. Bulloch (1985) 173 on Call. h. 5.65, Boesch (1908) 16).

غ̇ $\xi \varepsilon ́ T \iota$ кعívou usually signals an aetiological explanation, here given a special
 see Thalmann (2011) 105, 115 on the use of this and similar phrases.

For the folk etymology that links Dionysus, Zeus, and Nysa, see Stephens (2003) 83-4, who notes that Stephen of Byzantium lists ten Nysas, several of which were in the Near East or North Africa (282-3n.) and that the identification of Nysan Dionysus with Osiris was common in the Hellenistic period and part of the Alexandrian poetic strategy of relocating mythological locations and events from

Mainland Greece to the Southern Mediterranean and the north－eastern coast of Africa．
 description of the funerary stelae that the Athenians insert into their hastily－ constructed walls following the Persian Wars（1．93．2）and also with Call．Aet．fr． 64.7
 However，A．is alluding rather to Od．19．49－50 $\gamma \lambda$ ukùs ütivos ikávol／E้v日＇äpa kaì
 «íסoín таракоítı，where the form is derived from $\lambda \bar{\varepsilon} \chi о \mu \alpha ı$.

## 

$\pi \alpha \rho \theta \varepsilon v i k n ̃ s$ Mıv．íSos．‘drunk with wine and nectar，feeling the lovely breasts of the maiden daughter of Minos．＇Cf．Archil．fr．196a．31－2 IEG tట̀s ట゙øтє véßp̣［ov


The phrase ớкроха́入ı६ oîvч only occurs here and at Dion．Perieg． 948 and is usually compared to $\alpha^{\alpha} \kappa \rho \circ \theta \omega \rho \propto \xi$ which $\operatorname{LSJ}^{9}$ s．v．interprets as＇slightly drunk＇but cf．
 must be wrong．ákpo－signifies＇the edge of，the height of＇and $\chi$＇$\lambda_{1 s}$ is unmixed wine．If the god has drunk this and nectar，he is a little more than slightly drunk；cf．


The figure of a drunken Dionysus is a frequent one（cf．Xen．Sym．9．2
 through the Hellenistic world．Here，the story of their marriage produces a charged erotic atmosphere as a prelude to the murder of Apsyrtus；cf．the effect produced by the steamy re－enactment described by Xenophon at Sym．9．3－5，which brings the party to an abrupt end，with the married men rushing home to their wives and the
single men wishing they were married；see Wohl（2004）354－5．However，the links between the present description and the murder that follows are of a darker nature． While the personal beauty of Jason resembles that of Dionysus，in the next scene he is to play the role of sacrificial butcher（468 及outúmos）．Death and the erotic can be close；cf．Bataille（1962）71－81 on the links between sexuality and murder and Csapo and Miller（2007）176－9， 192 n ．93）for discussion of further examples of Dionysus and Ariadne from vase painting and the theatre．

## 

 vท́бழ．＇whom Theseus once abandoned on the island of Dia after she had followed him from Knossos．＇A．adapts Od．11．321－5＇Apıá8vqv，／koúpqv Mívwos
 $\mu \alpha \rho т$ рínoı．To make possible this reference to Theseus as a model for Jason，and Ariadne as a model for Medea，A．alters the usual chronology（as exemplified by the Hecale）in which the voyage of the Argo，which brought Medea to Greece，logically takes place before Medea＇s attempt to poison Theseus and before his adventure on Crete and liaison with Ariadne．That the comparison is a false one must be an implicit comment on the relationship between Jason and Medea and the work of art through which we are led to view it．It shows a complex use of exemplarity on A．＇s part．

The tension between the Argonautica and the Hecale is likely to be deliberate

 фı入oگぇvínv ả Yópєuє；see Murray（2004）231，Bulloch（2006））．On Ariadne abandoned by Theseus，see Knox（1995）234，where he notes that＇the desertion of

Ariadne by Theseus was one of the most celebrated episodes of seduction and betrayal in ancient poetry. As the unknown author of the Aetna remarked, quis non periurae doluit mendacia, / desertam vacuo Minoida litore questus?' (21-2). The story is one of the links between Medea and Simaetha who says (Theocr. 2.45-6)
 'Apládvas. For further links between the two characters, see 4.58-61n. and Duncan (2001) 43-56. The Ariadne myth has been variously treated by Homer (Od. 11.3215), Plutarch (Thes. 20), Ovid (Met. 8.151-82, Her. 10, Ars. 1.527-64, Fast. 3.459516), [Apollod.] (Bibl. 3.1), Hyginus (Fab. 14, 43, 270) and Catullus (64). However the desertion story is not mentioned in any extant pre-Apollonian literary source.

## 


 entrusted her message to the heralds, she charmed him into coming to meet her, when she first arrived at the temple of the goddess according to the agreement and the black darkness of night covered everything, so that he could help her contrive a trick.'
 intimates that the heralds are Medea's co-conspirators.

Өغ́ $\lambda$ ý́ $\mu ı \nu$, mentioned by Merkel (1854) 227 but rejected by him, is better than the transmitted infinitive $\theta \varepsilon \lambda \gamma \varepsilon ́ \mu \varepsilon v$. It removes the problem of the anacoluthon and clarifies that it is Medea who charms, not the heralds (cf. $4.416 \mu \varepsilon ı \lambda i \xi \omega)$.
P.Oxy. 2694 (430n.) has $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{c}$. Read this rather than transmitted $\pi \varepsilon \rho$ í which does not make sense; cf. $2.1169=3.915 \mu \varepsilon$ тà $\nu \eta$ nóv.

For vuktós . . . ả $\mu \phi ı \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda$ ṇoıv cf. Aesch. Pers. $357 \mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha i ́ v \eta s ~ v u k t o ̀ s ~ i ̋ \zeta \varepsilon т \alpha ı ~$

кขモ́¢ $\propto \varsigma$. Night is a time when plotting or clandestine deeds take place (66-81n.). See Vian (1981) 20-3 on the Aeschylean language that A. used to describe Apsyrtus' murder.
 Oxy. 34.2694 has traces of a different text. Haslam (2004) 18 comments on the displacements and misalignments that the papyrus has suffered, so the space taken up by the ' $M$ ' of $\sigma u \mu \rho \rho \alpha \alpha_{\sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha । ~}$ is reconcilable with the transmitted text.


However, ' $P$ ' is discernible before $\sigma \cup \mu \varphi \rho$ áoбєт $\alpha ı$ which suggested to Kingston (1968) $56 \mu \tilde{\eta} \chi \alpha \rho$, a metrical impossibility. Although $\delta$ ó $\lambda$ os is an important theme in this section of the poem (cf. 4.421), it is difficult to match with the traces.

## 


 return home again to Aietes' house, for the sons of Phrixos had given her by force to the strangers to be carried off.'

P.Oxy. 2694 has ஸै (previously conjectured by Brunck (1810) 357 and $^{\text {(1) }}$

Koechly (1853) 14) instead of the $\omega$ ' of the paradosis. An ancient reading is not automatically rendered true by having previously been proposed by a modern scholar; see Haslam (1978) 48, quoting Paul Maas on 'deceptive confirmation', Barrett (2007)

191 n．211－‘a papyrus never＂sichert＂anything’．However cf．3．12－13 ழૅ kยv દ̇入óvtes
 $\beta$ ß́боиєv．
$\pi \varepsilon ́ p ı ~ \gamma \alpha ́ \rho ~ \mu ı \nu ~ h a s ~ b e e n ~ s e e n ~ a s ~ p r o b l e m a t i c ~(F r a ̈ n k e l ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ ~ O C T) ~ b u t ~ c f . ~ O d . ~$
 meaning＇exceedingly＇．

Although P．Oxy． 2694 has $\alpha$ ṽ $\theta ı \varsigma$ ，retain the epic form $\alpha$ ṽtıs，cf．Il． 6.367
 غі̃นı $\delta^{\prime}$ ப́то́тротоऽ $\alpha$ ṽtıs and see Pfeiffer on Call．fr．197．49．

With דépı ．．．ä $\gamma \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ı，Medea is referring to $4.80-1$ and alters the truth，to entice Apsyrtus to the proposed meeting．She was not forced to join the Argonauts， although the imagery and language through the scene between Jason and Medea suggests forced separation as an underlying alternative．

##  

 air and breezes that would have attracted a wild animal down from a high mountain， even far away．＇The implication is that Apsyrtus is the beast to be summoned by Medea＇s spells to his doom，without the guile（he is likened to a áta入òs márs＇young child＇at 460）to escape slaughter as a sacrificial animal（468 ผ゙бтє $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \nu$ кєрє $\alpha \lambda_{\kappa \varepsilon ́ \alpha}$ Taũpov）；cf．Clytemnestra＇s description of how she has trapped Agamemnon（Aesch．

 manipulates the male characters of the poem．A number of important leitmotifs
connected with her characterisation occur in this passage and the description of the murder that follows．＇The end result of Medea＇s $\mu$ ĨT15，indicated by the collocation of words such as $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \gamma \omega$ ，ठó入os and $甲 \alpha \alpha_{\rho} \rho \mu \alpha \alpha$ ，is murder by treachery，the remarkable hapax סо入октаб＇í（479），applied to the slaying of a blood relation’（Holmberg （1998）154）．
$\theta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \xi ı s$ is a characteristic closely associated with Medea．Her drugs are $\theta \varepsilon \lambda_{\kappa т \text { т́pıa }}(3.738,766,820,4.1080)$ but，in this scene，so are her words；cf． 4.416 $\mu \varepsilon ı \lambda i \xi \omega, 4.442$ тараıı $\mu \notin \dot{v} \eta$ ；on $\theta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \xi ı$ ，see $\operatorname{Pratt}$（1993）80－1，who discusses it as a major feature of the characterisation of Odysseus．

The detail of being able to draw the beasts down from the mountains reminds us of Orpheus who can move the implacable gods of the underworld，and can bring life to oaks and rocks，the most unresponsive elements of nature．Clare（2002）232， 245 has suggested that Orpheus represents order and Medea chaos through her chthonic associations and that A．attempts a deliberate contrast between the two；cf． 4.444 with Orpheus＇benign use of $\theta$ ह́ $\lambda \xi ı s$ at 1.31 ．

A．does not agree with the distinction made by Aristarchus between ơńp， «iӨńp，oủpavós and＂Oגчumos（Rengakos（2008） 251 n．33，（1994）37－9）and uses đïńp with the common post－Homeric meaning．

445－51 The narrator chides Eros as the first cause of the terrible deed that Jason and Medea are planning，and as no longer the playful child who appeared at the beginning of Book 3．The tone is dramatic and rhetorical（ $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \ldots$ ．．$\mu \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \gamma$ ，the repetition of $\tau$ ，the


In the Argonautica，problematic events are often framed by references to other agents．A．is more inclined than Homer to intervene in the events of his own poem（cf．
4.1673-5 where the narrator invokes Zeus to witness his bewilderment over the method which Medea uses to kill Talos; also 1.919-21, 4.984-6 with Homer's appeal to Patroclus at $I l .16 .692-3$ ); see Cuypers (2004) 48. The effect is of heightened emotion but 'this is countered by the editorial glossing and self-conscious reference to the sequence of his own epic; and given the overt criticism of Medea's killing, the appeal to Eros to strike down the poet's own enemies is morally disorienting' (Rutherford (2005) 31-3).

This passionate outburst has many possible sources; cf. in particular Theogn.





 4.412) and Catullus (64.94-8).

## 

 Love, great bane, great curse to mankind.' For the general sentiment cf. Pl. Sym. 188a
 Platonic influences in A.'s references to Eros.

 uápyos "Epws, 445-51n. Also Acosta-Hughes (2010) 203-4, as part of a wider argument for A.'s debt to lyric poetry, notes the Simonides fragment preserved by $\Sigma$

 Aphrodite, whom she bore to [guile-contriving] Ares'.

Although $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha$ пñ̃ $\mu$ is a frequent Homeric combination (Il. 3.50, 9.229, 17.99), นé $\gamma \alpha$ oтúyos occurs only at Aesch. Sept. 445 ([Aesch.] PV $1004 \mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha$
 кєктŋ́ $\mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$. For the anaphora $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \ldots$. . $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha$ cf. Arat. $15 \mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \theta \alpha$ ũ $\mu \alpha, \mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma ’$ àvӨ
 both deadly strifes, grieving and troubles.' Il. 1.177 đísì $\gamma$ á $\rho$ тоı êpıs тє 甲í $\lambda \eta$

 However P.Oxy. 2694 omits t'. Haslam (1978) 54 believes that it was added to avoid the hiatus. However, the omission of T' seems likelier than the addition (Fränkel (1964) 15). A.'s imitation of Il. 1.177 is neater with it than without. For the triple $\tau \varepsilon$ cf. 4.361, 468.


The mediaeval tradition is үóoı тє. П (P.Oxy. 2694) clearly has móvoı. The utrum in alterum principle favours the latter: invasion from Homer is a well-known phenomenon in the Argonautica; cf. Od. 16.144 бтоva $\chi \tilde{n} \tau \varepsilon \gamma \circ ́ \varphi$ тє and Hes. Th.

 "Epıs and Móvos occur together and the following lines are linked by тє. See Hunter
(1993b) 117 n. 70 who points out that móvol looks forward to 4.586 .
 pains on top of these are stirred up.' Cf. Philitas fr. 12.2-3 Lightfoot (350-2n.) with Spanoudakis (2002) 121-2 who compares Euphorion fr. 26.20 Lightfoot Tód' $\alpha$ à $\mu$ í
 For т ่́т $\quad \eta \chi \propto$, epic perfect with passive sense, 'have been stirred up', see $\operatorname{LSJ}^{9}$ s.v.
 тара́боєтаı по́vtos but also cf. 1.613 трпХنัv Êpov, ‘savage passion', 3. 275-6
 where A . is playing on a possible connection between т $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\chi}$ и́s and tó $\rho \propto \sigma \sigma \omega$ (Livrea (1973) 144, Berkowitz (2004) 136 n. 113).

The metaphor is that of a 'sea of troubles'; cf. Aesch. Sept. 758 как $\tilde{\omega} \nu \delta$ '

 Harrison (2005) 165 and, for the idea of a̋ $\lambda \boldsymbol{\gamma \varepsilon \alpha}$, 'piling up', Eur. Tro. 596 モ̇ாì $\delta$ '
 madness or misery.
 yourself, divine spirit, against the children of my enemies.' סvouevé $\omega v$ દ̇mì maıoì introduces an apopemptic curse, the wish that evil should be diverted onto one's enemies; cf. Finglass on Soph. El. 647. This exhortation sounds like a battle cry on the part of the poet: the 'Muse of Love', Erato, was previously invoked (3.1) and, though unnamed, called upon to take over the narration of Book 4 (4.1-2). Here,
kopúбסєo is a call to arm for battle ( Ov . Am. 1.9 militat omnis amans) as well as



 $\mu \varepsilon[\tau] \notin \omega[\rho i ́ \zeta \varepsilon \tau \alpha ı /$ ]os ó móOos, martial vocabulary used in an erotic context. Virgil unexpectedly appeals to Erato in a similar context (Aen. 7.37 nunc age, qui reges, Erato) as the Iliadic section of the Aeneid begins (see Toll (1989) 107-118, M. Sullivan (2012), Acosta-Hughes (2010) 41 n. 112).
 threw hateful folly into Medea's heart.' The section ends significantly with ớtŋv; cf.


 responsibility, see 411-13n. on ớtn.

## 

 death, when he met her? For this is the next stage in our song.' A. emphasises that he is proceeding to the next stage of his narrative and seems to stress its linear nature. There was an ancient interest in questions of chronology and temporal sequence and
 69-70 on scholiastic comments about this and Danek (2009) 275-91 on the narrative structure of the Argonautica compared with that of Homer.
 addressing Eros as his Muse, his mode of address much altered from 3.1 and 4.1-2;





The damaged letter in $\Pi$ before ó $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \theta \rho \biguplus$ seems to be a lunate sigma, which makes Eros the agent of destruction, acting through Medea, who leaves the physical action to Jason in the ensuing scene.

## 

 ค́ $\alpha$ סıáv left her in the temple of Artemis, according to the agreement, the two sides parted and beached their ships apart.' Read vض́ต (Fränkel OCT) for the mss. vض́ $\sigma \omega$ which could have come into the text from 434 and from a memory of passages describing


The $\sigma u v \theta \varepsilon \sigma$ in is that Medea should be left in the care of Artemis $(346,436)$ and the ambush is later described as taking place near the temple of the goddess (46970, together with 330-1). Later 483-4 assumes that the Argo and the Colchian ships are in different places.

## 

 غ̇ $\zeta \alpha$ ũtıs $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha i ́ p o u s . ~ ‘ B u t ~ J a s o n ~ w e n t ~ t o ~ s e t ~ a n ~ a m b u s h, ~ l y i n g ~ i n ~ w a i t ~ f o r ~ A p s y r t u s ~$ and then for his comrades.' There is a contrast between Jason and the other Argonautsand Colchians (453 тоì $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \rho \propto$ ); cf. 76 oi $\delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \alpha \rho \alpha$ т тíws referring to the Argonauts and 79 ó $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$. . . Ińo $\omega v$. Jason takes the lead in a piece of treachery, involving $\lambda$ 人́xos and סó $\lambda$ os, whereas when it comes to hand-to-hand fighting he arrives late (489 ó $\psi \underset{\text { è }}{ } \delta^{\prime}$ 'Ińocv).

 レńбou. 'But he, deceived by the terrible promises, quickly crossed the swell of the sea in his ship and disembarked onto the holy island under the darkness of night.' For

 ธ́тоохєбíaı (like $\sigma u v \theta \varepsilon \sigma i ́ \eta: 4.340,378,390)$ are an important theme in the relationships between Jason, Medea and Apsyrtus; see Hunter (1993b) 63 and 4.359 тоũ $\delta \varepsilon ̇ ~ \mu \varepsilon \lambda ı \chi \rho \alpha i ̀ ~ ப ́ m o \sigma \chi \varepsilon \sigma i ́ \alpha ı ~ \beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha ́ \alpha \alpha \sigma ı v . ~ F o r ~ n o c t u r n a l ~ \delta o ́ \lambda o s ~ c f . ~ F i n g l a s s ~ o n ~ S o p h . ~$






 ò $\lambda$ ońv, Eur. IT 110 vuktòs ő $\mu \mu \alpha$ $\lambda$ uyaías. The island is holy because it is sacred to Artemis, although a horrific mock sacrifice is to take place there.

غ́ßท́бєто, ઘ̇ס́́бєтo, so-called 'mixed-aorists', are found in several places in some Homeric mss., and were preferred by Aristarchus to the lectiones faciliores
 $=\mathrm{I} 184$ Erbse). They were regarded as imperfects by ancient grammarians ( $\Sigma^{\mathrm{A}} I l$. $1.496=$ I $137.26-30$ Erbse) and it seems best to interpret them as past tenses of the
 199, Roth (1990) 6-18, 41-59, Spanoudakis (2002) 186-7, Braswell and Billerbeck (2007) 163. In A. mss. divide between -бєто and -бато at 1.63, here, and 4.1176.
 a difference of opinion between the two poets about Homeric verb forms; see Bulloch (1985) on Call. h. 5.65 , Rengakos (1993) 103 who mentions the possibility of Homerisation.


 straight away to his sister to test her with words, as a tender child tries a wintry torrent which not even strong men can pass through, to see if she would devise some guile against the strangers.' For the guile (пєєри́бато) on the part of Apsyrtus cf. Od. 3.23
 light by the simile $\alpha$ 'á $\alpha$ 入òs márs. He is a child compared to his sister and her lover, even though he is a leader of ships and men; cf. Il. 21.282-3 (Achilles about to perish

 only in name. Perhaps, as part of the uncertain moral background against which A. paints this scene, we are to see him as a 'man-child poised precariously between tender youth and mature adulthood’ (Byre (1996) 12).


 through this episode; one thinks of Medea's children later in Corinth. There is perhaps an echo of the language of this simile at Flacc. A.P. 7.542.1-2 $=3813-4$ GP "E $\beta$ pou
 The image is one of pathos, recalling also Jason himself who, crossing the winter stream of the Anauros, lost his sandal (Arg. 1.9). 'Whereas Jason is spectacularly successful in his crossings, Apsyrtus will meet with dismal failure in his' (Byre (1996) 13.

 teגvク́oaito (only here in Homer). For A.'s use of possessive pronouns (Eĩo), in line with Homeric usage or otherwise, see 272-4n., Rengakos (1993) 112, (2002).
 together on everything.' The speed of agreement underlines Apsyrtus' gullibility. ouvaıvéف is well-attested in tragedy but not in Homer; cf. Aesch. Ag. 484, Soph. El. 402 with Redondo (2000) 133 n. 16.

464-81 Apsyrtus' murder is staged as in a tragedy. The details of the murder, the mutilation of the body, the image of the blood welling from the wound, the sideways glance of the Erinyes, the rite of licking and spitting the blood and the burying of the corpse, all visualize the horror stemming from Medea’s Eros: ' the killing itself . . . is horrible but the horror is almost impersonal . . . No speech, or thoughts or feelings are
reported: the characters are shown acting only, in a sort of surrealistic dumb show' (Byre (1996) 13); see further Sistakou (2012) 97.


 のửñs. $\Pi^{2}$ comes from P.EES inv. 88/334 (Sackler Library, Oxford): over forty small fragments from Arg. 3-4, first half of second century AD, unpublished, information about which was kindly communicated to me by Amin Benaissa of Lady Margaret Hall, Oxford. The following note is based on the emails that we exchanged.

The text of the mediaeval manuscripts, whether $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tilde{\alpha} \lambda$ To (< $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi-\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \mu \alpha ı)$ or ह̈ா $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ то ( $<\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \circ \mu \alpha ı$ ) shows no appreciable difference in meaning; see Leumann (1950) 61-4, Bühler (1960) 149-51 and Livrea ad loc. Both are inappropriate with the genitive of separation $\lambda$ óxoıo and do not scan with the variant mukivoũ. Nor is

 $\pi \alpha \lambda \tau \tau$, without a prepositional prefix governing $\lambda$ óxoıo, is difficult and undesirable. Even more worrying is the hiatus and the breach of Hermann's Bridge. mukivoĩo of SE looks like a Byzantine emendation intended to make the line scan with the corrupt reading $\varepsilon$ غ่ாัa $\lambda$ тo, $S$ going back to the circle of the Byzantine scholar Maximus Planudes and containing several such emendations.
$\varepsilon ̇ \xi \tilde{\alpha} \lambda$ to was first conjectured by Hoelzlin, but printed by Brunck in his edition; see introduction p. 13. It was incorporated by all editors of the Argonautica after Brunck and was defended by Erbse in his review of Fränkel's edition, though he was subsequently accused of being 'obstinate' by Livrea ad loc. That obstinacy, it
turns out，was well placed．

 モ́k ко入єоĩo фє́pєv そíqos．The combination is not Homeric，but cf．Od． $11.607 \gamma \cup \mu \nu o ̀ v$

 echoes the killing of Agamemnon by Aegisthus and Clytemnestra；on the question of whether a sword or an axe was used see 468－9n．



maiden turned her eyes aside and covered them with her veil that she might not see the blood of her brother when he was struck down．＇Medea＇s act of veiling stems from her shame at her participation in the murder of her brother；on the significance of Medea’s veil see Pavlou（2009）．






Clytemnestra turns her eyes away as Orestes kills Aegisthus (Attic red figure pelike vase, 510-500 BC, Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna Inv. No. IV 3725).
 Helen to whom Medea is often likened). As often A. shortens the unique Homeric phrase. She covers her eyes with 'fine linen', which often had a covering of olive oil




468-9 тòv $\delta^{\prime}$ ö $\gamma \varepsilon, \beta$,
 bull, so did Jason strike down his prey, having kept watch for him near the temple.'


 description is particularly unsavoury in its explicitness: 'as (Aegisthus) was leaning down, your brother raised on the tips of his toes and smote at his spine, smashing the vertebrae; his body was convulsed, heaving, writhing in hard and bloody death.' A. largely rejects the explicit physical nastiness of the Euripidean description in order to concentrate on Medea's reactions, but still aims to elicit an emotional response through brutality and melodrama. Each poet uses sacrificial imagery to achieve a macabre atmosphere. Euripides portrays Orestes' killing of Aegisthus as a perverse sacrifice: his hero strikes his victim in the back with a sacrificial cleaver while the latter is bending over the entrails of an earlier, more conventional victim. A., on the other hand, not only locates the murder in a precinct of Artemis, (for the inviolate
nature of which see 4.329-5), but expressly compares Jason to a sacrificial priest in a




 Earlier in this latter passage (529), it is said of Aegisthus that So入ínv غ̇фpáoбaто

 passages are Od. 11.409-11 where Agamemnon describes his own death in language similar to Od. 4.534-5, Aesch. Ag. 1125-9 (quoted below), and Eur. El. 1142-4 (Electra describing the fate about to befall Clytemnestra) kavoũv $\delta$ ' غ̇vñpктaı кaì


Unlike these parallels, A. specifically identifies the sacrificial priest to whom Jason is compared. He is a ßoutútos, the individual at the Athenian festival of Bouphonia who slew an ox in the precinct of Zeus Polieus and then fled. The origins of this festival are obscure (Porter (1990) 266 31n., Finglass (2006) 191 n. 20). The rite of Bouphonia was thought to be based on the first blood sacrifice, when a farmer caught one of his herd feeding on a vegetable offering at an altar. The $\beta$ outútos would re-enact this event by coming up behind his victim stealthily and killing it as Orestes kills Aegisthus and Jason, Apsyrtus. This veiled allusion to ritual bloodshed deepens the force of A.'s description, linked as it is with Apsyrtus' being likened to a $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha v$ кєрє $\alpha \lambda \kappa \varepsilon ́ \alpha$ т $\alpha$ ũpov, and the deed taking place, just as a ritual sacrifice would have done, outside the temple of Artemis. Although Jason is spoken of as $\gamma$ vuvòv

simile of the $\beta$ оutútros also brings to mind Clytemnestra's slaying of Agamemnon and suggests that the weapon used was an axe; for the question of whether she used an axe or a sword, see Davies (1987), Sommerstein (1989) with Aesch. Ag. 1125-8

 $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \beta \eta t o s ~ t u ́ \chi \alpha \nu ~ \sigma o ı ~ \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega, ~(\mu \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma к \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega ~ 468 ~ \mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \nu ~ к \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \alpha \lambda \kappa \varepsilon ́ \alpha) . ~$

In the midst of the slaughter, there is a philological point. A. writes 'a bull weighty in the horns' but Callimachus (h. 3.179) describes $\beta$ óes who are
 believe that oxen were attached to the plough by their horns, disagreeing with the
 a̋potpov.
$\pi \lambda \tilde{n} \xi \varepsilon v$ introduces heroic language used in an altered and sordid context; cf.


 П๊م $\omega \varsigma$ (below).

## 

'which the Brygi on the mainland opposite had once built for Artemis.' Medea has previously been likened to or associated with Artemis (cf. particularly 3.876-86.) Artemis is associated with Hecate (cf. Davies and Finglass on Stes. fr. 178, Aesch. Suppl. 676) from whom Medea's magical powers derive and who is also closely connected with the transitions that mark the stages of a woman's life. Medea's ride from the city is part of this transition, as is her role in the murder of her brother close
to the precincts of her patron goddess＇temple．Artemis＇temple also plays a significant role in Euripides＇Iphigenia in Tauris（cf．78－103 with 189－205n．，Hall（2013）27－ 31）．

The detailed location intensifies the reality of the moment．It is not the first time that Jason and Medea have had dealings in a temple；cf． $3.981 \chi \omega \rho \varrho$ モ̇v

 ’Aто入入ผ＇viós фпбוv．
 $\pi \rho \circ \delta o ́ \mu \varphi$ is a Homeric formula（Il．9．473，24．673，Od．4．302）generally referring to any sort of vestibule．Here it seems to be equivalent to the pronaos，the front of the temple，significantly close to the altar（Aesch．Suppl．494－5 $\beta \omega \mu$ oùs mpováous kaì

 11．355，20．417；cf．468－9n．and Byre（1996） 13.

 breathing out his life caught up in both hands the dark blood as it welled from the wound．＇A＇s use of the word ñpตs（here and at 477 ñp $\omega$ s $\delta^{\prime}$ Aioovíסns）must be



Fränkel（1964）15－6 cites Arg．2．737，3．231， 1292 as parallels for $\alpha$ ávaாtvєí $\omega v$ used in the sense of＇aushauchen＇，＇breathe out＇，＇exhale＇，but these passages differ from the
present one: breathing out cold air or fire is not the same as breathing out one's soul. A. is echoing a rare Homeric usage, in a context of heroic language being used to
 ávaாtíc $\omega v$ is not sufficient reason to reject the reading of $\Pi$; see Vian (1981) 166.
$\mu \varepsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \alpha i ̃ \mu \alpha$ is a frequent combination (cf. Il. $4.149=5.870,17.86 \alpha i ̃ \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$

 318-19, Theocr. 2.13, Padel (1992) 68 n. 66, Finglass on Soph. Aj. 374-6n.), which


ப่ா๐oío $\chi$ \&то gains a certain ghastly effectiveness by comparison with 4.169
 reflection of the moonlight on a similar fine garment.

## 


 described the beauty of young men, of maidenly modesty and of raising stars and the $\operatorname{sun}(1.726,778,791,3.122,298,681,963,4.126,173)$; now it marks blood-guilt contracted in the name of love (nn. 123-6, 172-3 and Rose (1985) 38-9). The gesture itself is a melodramatic one, consistent with the fact that Hellenistic tragedy had moved towards the presentation of violent acts on stage. Hall (2005) 5-6 has mentioned that Hellenistic versions of the murder of Agamemnon may have been shown on stage. Horace discusses such portrayals at Ars Poetica 153-294, arguing that violent acts such as Medea's killing her children should not be seen by the audience; see Fantuzzi and Hunter (2004) 435. The influence of late Euripidean
tragedy on the Argonautica has already been noticed (nn.195-7, 189-205). Might A. have been used to a more spectacular stage practice than that of fifth century Athens when he went to the theatre, and brought something of it into his description of the death of Apsyrtus?

For the contrast between red and white cf. Il. 4.140-1 $\alpha$ u'tík $\delta^{\prime}$ हैppeєv $\alpha i ̃ \mu \alpha$
 1389-90, Soph. Ant. 1238-9, [Eur.] Rhes. 790-1, Virg. Aen. 12.36 (the Tiber warm with blood and the plains white with bones), 12.67-9 (Lavinia's blush). The smearing of blood from the wound marks the metaphorical and physical transference of the guilt associated with the murder. The power of this symbolism is intensified by the


 red and white symbolism, see Thomas (1979) 310-16, Lovatt (2013) 274.

## 

 all, saw clearly the deadly deed that they had done.' Medea herself is referred to as 'Epıvús at Eur. Med. 1260 povíav . . . 'Epıvùv ப́ma入 $\alpha \sigma$ тóp $\omega v$.

The intricate word order begun in 472-4 continues. For other examples of
 E้тıктєv, 'others said that (ěvek) . . .', fr. 178.10 (with Pfeiffer ad loc.). Harder (2012) I 126-7 adds Call. Aet. fr. 54.4 Harder, 384.31 Pfeiffer, Eur. Or. 600, Hcld. 205, Theocr. 29.3, Soph. OT 1251, El. 688 and for similar examples in Latin see Catull.

'Epıvús encloses the whole sentence. $\nu \eta \lambda \varepsilon ı n ́ s ~(n o t ~ F r a ̈ n k e l ' s ~ v \eta \lambda \varepsilon ı \varepsilon i ̃ s) ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ ' E p ı v u ́ s ~$ embraces the 'deadly deed', as does $\lambda \circ \xi \tilde{\omega} \ldots$. . ő $\mu \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ т.

The Erinyes are said to see the crimes which they punish: Soph. Aj. 836 वं $\varepsilon$ í .

 (1985) 280 notes that admirers of Pindar in the Hellenistic age and after appear to cite phrases because of the reputation of this poet for obscurity and allegorical meaning fostered by the exegetical scholia, adding that later imitations of Pindaric phrases have a concreteness lacking in the original. This would be an appropriate description of A.'s expansion of the terse Pindaric original here. A., using the explanation given
 disapproving, sideways glance $\lambda \circ \xi \tilde{\varphi}$. . . ő $\mu \mu \alpha \tau$ tirst appears at Sol. fr. 34.5 IEG, Anacr. fr. 417.1 PMG then in Arg. 2.664-5, Call. Aet. frr. 1.38-9 Harder, 374 Pfeiffer, Theocr. 20.13, Ov. Met. 2.752. 'The piercing, side-long glance of the Erinys may indeed recall tragedy's preoccupation with both the necessity and the surprising twists of punishment for wrong-doing' (Goldhill (1991) 332, who notes the significance of ${ }_{\varepsilon}{ }^{\prime} \rho \varepsilon \xi \alpha \nu$, often used to mean 'to complete a sacrifice', (LSJ ${ }^{9}$ s.v. $\rho \dot{\varepsilon} \zeta \zeta \omega$ II)).



סо入октабías i $\lambda$ 'á $\varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ı. 'The hero, the son of Aeson, cut off the dead man's extremities, three times he licked the blood and three times he spat the pollution out from his teeth, as is the proper way for slayers to expiate treacherous murders.' Line 477 describes the ritual of maschalismos in which the dead man's extremities (غ̇ $\xi \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha)$ are cut off and tied under his neck and armpits. The use of this ritual as
a concluding motif adds another Aeschylean echo to the episode of Apsyrtus' murder (cf. Aesch. Cho. 439). Another example is found in Sophocles' play about Achilles' murder of the Trojan prince Troilus (fr. $623 \operatorname{Tr} G F$ ) in the sanctuary of Apollo Thymbraios before the walls of Troy. In the same place a different type of maschalismos took place: snakes tore to pieces Laokoon and his sons, as a late fifth century South Italian krater illustrates (cf. Simon (1992) 196-201, with KossatzDeissmann (1981) 72-85 Achilles and Troilus). The action is plainly one to be carried out in a sanctuary after an abnormal sacrifice and it is after A.'s manner to give exact details of the ritual. The traditional story is that Medea killed her brother and cut him into pieces, throwing them into the river to delay her father's pursuit (Fowler, EGM §6.6, Cic. Leg. Man. 22, Apollod. 1.9.24. The formality of the detail (for spitting out the blood cf. Aesch. fr. 186a $\operatorname{Tr} G F$ ) emphasises the cold-blooded nature of Jason's actions. See Finglass (2007) on Soph. El. 445 and Bremmer (1997) 87-8. Ceulemans (2007) argues that Jason uses the ritual of maschalismos not to atone for the murder but to avoid the victim's revenge and that the use of the word $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ (hapax in A.) continues the sacrificial context, which pervades the whole scene.

Spitting (often three times) is an old piece of folklore (cf. Gow on Theocr. 6.39) and is still a way of warding off evil in Greece today. On the one hand Jason, by licking the blood and spitting it out, is attempting to rid himself of the pollution connected with the murder, but on the other, apparently in accordance with tradition


## 480-1 Úy

 was still fresh, where to this day those bones lie among the Apsyrteis.' Apsyrtus'
name was frequently linked with the Apsyrtides islands, which were near the Illyrian coast. In early imperial times the grave of Apsyrtus was shown to passing tourists (Arr. Peripl. 6.3) and Procopius (Goth. 2.11.14) claims that in his time the inhabitants of Apsaros, once called Apsyrtus, said that the murder had taken place on the islands.

The word order in these concluding lines is mannered and chilling. 'Apsyrtus was warm flesh', says our narrator, 'but now in our day his bones still remain'. ن́ypóv opens the couplet in an emphatic position balanced by óotéa кeĩva at the end of the phrase, with the spondaic 'A $\Psi \cup \rho \tau \varepsilon$ ṽoוv solemnly ending the episode.
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