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Influences, identity and historiography in Colombia: the reception of Brazilian Modernism (1940s-1960s)

Giaime Botti

ABSTRACT

The reception of Brazilian architectural modernism in Colombia has been dismissed and underestimated by national historiography. This article aims to provide a first overview of the rich system of transnational relations between Colombia and Brazil. Moving from the first acknowledgments of Brazilian architectural production in Colombia — in which triangulations with the USA played an important role, not least after the Brazil Builds exhibition catalogue reached an international audience — this article displays a varied system of transference routes that made the Brazilian-Cariocan modernism one of the main references for Colombian architects during the 1950s. This text examines recognised seminal events and lesser-known facts, highlighting the existence of a wide system of connections. It analyses the reception of the work of Niemeyer and other architects in Colombian magazines and underlines the movements of Colombian architects toward Brazil. It finally recollects a varied group of projects from the 1950s that evidence the diffusion of Brazilian-Cariocan repertories in Colombia among professionals and students. The article highlights how the reception of Brazilian modernism in Colombia should be read as the result of local cases within the global process of modernism’s ‘tropicalisation’. By doing so, it also discusses the reasons that pushed this process to the margins of the mainstream historiographic narrative.
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Introduction

The international reception of Brazilian architectural modernism has attracted a growing interest from scholars, originating a conspicuous number of studies in recent years, mostly focused on the role that national and international architectural journals played in its diffusion in local contexts. Such an investigation is still missing for the case of Colombia, where architects began to insistently look at Brazil from the early 1950s. Even Colombian architectural historiography has overlooked this phenomenon, downgrading it to a limited ‘formal influence’,¹ or a widespread but temporary ‘fashion’.² This phenomenon has never been investigated in terms of its patterns of transference, diffusion, and assimilation, nor its impact on architectural practice measured.

This article aims at providing a first overview on the relationship between the two countries in the architectural field, highlighting not only the function of magazines in spreading ideals and images from Brazil, but also the fundamental role played by Colombian architects educated in that country. In addition, it provides a first outlook on the consequences of this process of assimilation in architectural practice, displaying the particular paths underlying certain projects and the widespread diffusion of these imaginaries among professionals and students. This acknowledgment poses further questions about what should be defined as Brazilian modernism. As will be discussed, a
certain formal repertoire, far from being exclusively Brazilian, was primarily presented in the 1940s and 50s as ‘Brazilian’ by a series of influential authors, and it was consequently read as such by Colombian architects. This happened in a context in which international modernism was struggling to renew its image, as evidenced, for instance, by Sigfried Giedion’s attempt to frame Finland and Brazil as the new epicentres of modernism.³

Several studies support the establishment of a solid comparative framework to understand the reception of Brazilian modernism in other Latin American countries and read these encounters as results of both local and international processes.⁴ These episodes represent particular ‘moments of intra-regional dialogue’⁵ that help in the construction of a more connected history of architecture in Colombia and Latin America. This history emphasises the role of transnational networks like the Centro Interamericano de Vivienda y Planeamiento (CINVA) and the Pan-American Congresses of Architects, and shows the importance of architects’ academic and professional mobility as well as of the circulation of magazines.

Finally, this article promotes a revision of intra-Colombian relations in terms of transference paths. Through the Brazilian case, it suggests how the circulation of international models often bypassed Bogotá. Cases in Medellín, Cali, and Barranquilla prove that the interest in Brazilian architecture was dispersed throughout the whole country through multiple and autonomous routes, challenging the centre-periphery paradigm generally proposed by Colombian historiography.⁶

As developments appear varied, intertwined, and overlapping, proceeding chronologically or by authorship would result in a flattened narrative that would not show the true complexity of a process of cultural assimilation that has many
protagonists and routes. For these reasons, the present article avoids the construction of a simple chronological narrative by displaying a system of interconnected layers.

**Early reception: Brazil Builds and the first contacts**

For Colombian architects, the first reported encounter with Brazilian modernism occurred in 1939, when Gabriel Serrano visited the World’s Fair in New York. In the National University magazine *Ingeniería y Arquitectura* the Colombian described the exhibition mentioning the Chilean, Argentinian, Venezuelan, and Brazilian pavilions as ‘the most marvellous’, and showing pictures of Lucio Costa, Oscar Niemeyer, and Josep Lluís Sert’s ephemeral building.

The next encounter happened years later, when in 1947 Colombian delegates at the Sixth Pan-American Congress of Architects (Lima-Cuzco) visited the Brazilian Exhibition. From that moment on, large delegations of Colombian architects participated in the Congresses, originating further occasions to observe advancements in Brazil’s architectural production. In 1948, Serrano travelled to Brazil after the Banco de la República board asked his firm, Cusego, to design its Barranquilla headquarter. After his return, three important things happened: an extract of his report from Brazil was published in *Proa* magazine [Fig. 1]; Bogotá hosted an exhibition of that material; and Serrano had an external wall of his house decorated with Candido Portinari’s azulejos [Fig. 2]. According to the report, Serrano visited Rio de Janeiro and Pampulha. His article for *Proa* featured four architects and nine works with photos and sketches: Oscar Niemeyer’s Ministry of Education and Public Health (attributed to him alone), the Boavista Bank in Rio de Janeiro, and the Pampulha complex; Marcelo and Milton Roberto’s Brazilian Press Association (ABI) headquarters and Santos Dumont airport in
Rio; and Lucio Costa’s Nova Cintra apartments building in the same city. In the introduction, Serrano highlighted some typical aspects of Brazilian modernism: the design of comfortable and functional buildings, the cooperation between artists and architects, the importance of gardens, the expertise in concrete structures, and the presence of sun-control elements— all themes that suggest an acquaintance with Brazil Builds. In actual fact, the catalogue of this 1943 exhibition at the Museum of Modern Art in New York, curated by Philip Lippincott Goodwin in collaboration with photographer George Everard Kidder Smith, represented a milestone for the international acknowledgement of Brazilian modernism and provided an interpretation of this architecture that included all the elements mentioned by Serrano.

Nevertheless, other architects had already explored these themes and repertoires, even before that moment and outside of Bogotá. In 1947, Alfonso Caycedo Herrera and engineer Ángel Castro Borrero won the competition for the Cali railway station. Their (unbuilt) proposal represented the first published project in Colombia to make explicit reference to Brazilian architecture:

[…] which is logically called functional architecture, of which we have marvellous examples spread all over the world. Among those that most inspired us to develop the project are the Ministry of Education and Public Health in Rio de Janeiro, the Brazilian Press Association (ABI), and the Brazilian pavilion at the World’s Fair in New York. Furthermore, the climatic conditions of Brazil, as well as its light coefficient, are quite similar to ours throughout the year; from there we will take its architecture, which has raised extraordinary interest in the world of Modern Art, as a model.
Currently, Rio de Janeiro’s building of the Ministry of Education and Public Health is globally considered the most beautiful monument of our time due to its sobriety of lines. Brazilian architects have solved the issue of excessive tropical light through brise-soleils that, like gigantic shutters, are simultaneously architectural motifs of great attraction. The location of our station is such that the main façade directly receives the afternoon’s intense sunlight. This excess brightness and heat of our city forced us to solve the problem with an adequate combination of the famous Brazilian brise-soleil.15

Given the emphasis on climate and on the *brise-soleil* as a ‘famous Brazilian’ solution, the reference to the narrative of *Brazil Builds* appears clear. In fact, in Philip L. Goodwin’s book, the first part of the second introduction was primarily dedicated to the problem of sun control, and the first two featured buildings were the Rio de Janeiro Ministry of Education and Public Health and the Brazilian Press Association (ABI) headquarters.16

In the same period, in Barranquilla, German émigré Leopoldo Rother17 designed the National Building (1946-52), a block with strong affinities with Perret’s and Le Corbusier’s work, but also reminiscent of Brazil for its urban presence as a freestanding, modern, monumental public building, with an open intermediate floor over a platform. In addition, the project showed a clear concern for natural shading and ventilation, architecturally addressed with the use of concrete latticework and cantilevers. Mauricio Pinilla has highlighted the influence that *Brazil Builds* had on Rother, who had probably acquired the exhibition catalogue.18
The role played by Goodwin’s book in the early reception of Brazilian architecture in Colombia appears evident. Although it remains difficult to understand its actual availability among architects, the interpretation of Brazilian modernism and the built references known by Colombians were in perfect agreement with Goodwin. In this respect, Serrano’s trip emerges more as a consequence of this first acknowledgment than its cause. In addition, the case of Cali railway station helps to challenge the proposed Bogotá-centric paradigm, showing how architects on the nation’s periphery were acquainted with Brazilian modernism before Serrano’s journey.

Brazil in Colombian magazines: building a comparative framework

Architectural magazines often played a pivotal role in the international diffusion of imaginaries, and the case of Brazilian architecture in Colombia is no exception. An overview of major architectural periodicals in the country not only proves the growing interest toward Brazil that arose in the 1950s, but also helps to cast light on some specific transfer routes.

The second issue of *Proa* (1946) featured Brazilian architect Pablo Camargo’s Ciudad del Periodista in Rio de Janeiro. However, its use in the magazine was directed toward the internal debate on urban planning, contrasting this modern high-density settlement with Bogotá’s sprawl. The next article on Brazilian architecture was Serrano’s report in 1948. After that, Brazil was not featured for nearly two years, probably because *Proa*’s founder Carlos Martínez was mainly interested in urban planning, and questions potentially more related to Brazilian modernism, such as the debate on the synthesis of the arts, or the relationship between climate and architecture, were only marginally discussed. The attention toward Brazilian architects then
increased to the point of making them the major Latin American reference in the magazine. The turning point occurred in the early 1950s, when the first Colombian architects who had trained in Brazil returned, and many more started heading there. In 1951, two young graduates, Humberto Flórez Álvarez and Luis José Riaño, from the Rio de Janeiro school and the São Paulo school respectively, edited a monograph on Brazil [Fig. 3]. Eight projects were featured, including works by renowned masters as well as by less famous architects from Rio de Janeiro and São Paulo. After that, Proa started featuring Brazilian architecture with a certain regularity, thanks to a folder of material brought to Colombia by Riaño. First a project designed by some São Paulo students tutored by Rocha Miranda was published, then an award-winning house designed by Henrique Mindlin. The article that featured Mindlin’s project mentioned the Paulistana school for the first time in Colombia, presenting it as a more sober version of the Cariocan, and São Paulo as a city with a different climate and topography to Rio de Janeiro’s. Other articles followed, with material also coming from Jacobo Kuperman, another Colombian student based in Brazil, by whom Proa published a school project. Kuperman, like Flórez and Riaño, acted as a sort of correspondent, bringing many Brazilian projects into Proa. Oscar Niemeyer, Affonso Eduardo Reidy, Sérgio Bernardes, and other more- or less-known architects were featured, and even Zanine Caldas’s furniture appeared. The first São Paulo Biennials were publicised by Proa, but Colombian participation stagnated. Finally, the seminal event of the competition for Brasilia made it into Proa through material collected by other Latin American magazines. Another Colombian journal, Revista A, despite its rather national outlook, dedicated a monograph to Brazil (8, 1957), based on material collected by Juan José Vargas, another Colombian student based in Rio de Janeiro. Two texts on
Oscar Niemeyer, one written by Silvio de Niemeyer, a Brazilian architect living in Medellín and studying at CINV A, and the other from Oscar himself, emphasised the role of the master, although works from Affonso Eduardo Reidy and Francisco Bolonha were also featured. The presence of Silvio de Niemeyer in Colombia shed light on the relevance that the Centro Interamericano de Vivienda y Planeamiento (CINV A) had in connecting housing and planning professionals from all over the continent, fostering cross-fertilisation. In these years, the Centre was visited by many leading figures, including Félix Candela and Rino Levi.

The reception of Brazilian architecture in Colombian magazines shows a varied system of transference between the two countries. The masters’ work was easily acknowledged through international connections with other magazines, while some critical articles were written by Colombian architects close to Proa’s editorial board. A more complete overview, often transcending mainstream authors, was provided by a group of young Colombian correspondents. Statistically, the highest presence of Brazilian content in Proa was recorded between 1951 and 1953, after which the number of articles decreased. This moment coincided with the first two São Paulo Biennials, but also with an initial moment of international criticism. Max Bill’s harsh sentences were also reported by Proa after his trip to Brazil in June 1953, and a special issue of The Architectural Review presented further criticism. Nevertheless, Brazilian architecture, and Niemeyer’s work in particular, continued to enjoy a favourable reception in Colombia for the whole decade. Colombian architect Edgar Burbano quoted Bill’s critique in Proa and responded in defence of the seriousness and logic expressed by Niemeyer, and his ability to plastically express the condition of Brazilian society. Only in 1963, on the occasion of Roberto Burle Marx’s exhibition at the
Bogotá National Museum, did Eduardo Angulo praise the pioneering value of Lucio Costa, Oscar Niemeyer, and Affonso Eduardo Reidy, before declaring the existence of a more mature, intellectual, and elaborate architecture in Latin America, especially in Colombia.\footnote{37} As for the rest of Latin America, the reception of Brazilian modernism followed similar but not identical paths. In 1948, the same year that Gabriel Serrano reached Brazil, Peruvian architect Carlos Morales Macchiavello also travelled to there and published an article in the magazine *El Arquitecto Peruano*.\footnote{38} In Chile, the reception of Brazilian modernism was promoted by Manuel Marchant Lyon, director of the magazine *Arquitectura y Construcción*, who travelled to Brazil, Argentina, and Uruguay in 1948. After that, his magazine published several Brazilian projects. According to Horacio Torrent in *Arquitectura y Construcción*, the reception occurred along precise and original lines: Rino Levi’s and the Roberto brothers’ formal orthodoxy was preferred to Niemeyer’s exuberance.\footnote{39} In México, this process started after Mario Pani’s trip to Brazil in 1951. As George Flaherty has pointed out, the director of *Arquitectura México* was looking for an internationally recognised model to confirm his speculation on mass housing and the so-called ‘*integración plástica*’.\footnote{40} These and other international magazines, where Brazil was highly visible from the end of the 1940s,\footnote{41} were then available to architects and students. At the same time, *Proa* was featuring Brazil with a coverage incomparable to that of any other country.

In addition, high visibility was granted by at least three exhibitions on Brazilian architecture held in Bogotá in about fifteen years.\footnote{42} The first one, already mentioned, took place after Gabriel Serrano returned from Brazil. In 1960, the Brazilian Ministry of Foreign Affairs hosted the second, which travelled to Bogotá and Caracas. The exhibition displayed Brazilian architectural production from the Portuguese era to the
foundation of Brasilia through photographs, several models of Oscar Niemeyer’s buildings, and a replica of Aleijadinho’s prophet Joel statue.\textsuperscript{43} The last exhibition, held in 1963 at the Bogotá National Museum\textsuperscript{44} was dedicated to the work of Roberto Burle Marx, a figure already well-known and with personal acquaintances in Colombia.\textsuperscript{45}

**Complex itineraries: education and travels for a widespread knowledge**

Although the rise of Brazilian architecture as an international reference represented a global phenomenon, a more detailed and diversified view on local processes seems necessary. Colombians started aiming at Brazil in the late 1940s, consolidating this trend in the first half of the 1950s, after the international reception of Brazilian architecture reached its apogee. A reading of *Proa* shows that during the 1950s a remarkable number of Colombians went to Brazil for their studies, the magnitude of which has only recently been revealed.\textsuperscript{46} More than 20 students have been identified,\textsuperscript{47} but figures may have been higher.\textsuperscript{48} These movements were determined by a clear choice of school: the vast majority of Colombians headed for the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, and only a handful to other cities. Colombians wanted to study architecture in Rio because it was the city of Niemeyer, and many recognised architects had teaching positions in the faculty: Sérgio Bernardes, Ernâni Mendes de Vasconcelos, and Affonso Eduardo Reidy, who taught in the graduate course of urban planning.

A good example of how Colombian architectural practice was influenced by professionals trained in Brazil is visible in the work of Alfonso Noguera and Jorge Santander’s office, whose production displayed many typical elements of the Cariocan repertoire after Álvaro Larreamendy, an architect educated in Rio de Janeiro, joined the practice. Their unbuilt project for the Academia de Medicina in Bogotá was crowned by
an organic water tank akin to the one on top of the Ministry of Education and Public Health in Rio de Janeiro designed by Le Corbusier, Oscar Niemeyer, and others. The house they designed for Antonio Sefair in Bogotá featured other Cariocan elements, albeit contaminated: circular pillars clad with ceramic tiles, a sinuous roof volume inscribed within a thin regular roof sustained by thin columns, an external entrance ramp plus staircase, an internal curved ramp, and the organic design of the garden facing the street. Similar elements also characterised projects they designed for the Banco Cafetero in various cities.49

Another interesting case is that of Jaime Arrazola Madrid, another Colombian trained in Rio de Janeiro and active in Brazil, Colombia, and Venezuela. His hotel and office blocks can be considered typical examples of 1950s ‘tropicalised’ international style and are among the few projects realised by Colombians published in Brazilian journals.50

While many moved for a long period of time, others travelled only for short visits. Excursions to Brazil were organised for fifth year students of the Colombian National University of Bogotá in 1950 and 1953. As a result, thesis work developed by a group in 1950 presented clear references to Brazilian modernism, as most of the students had travelled to that country in the same year.51 Their proposals not only featured typical elements such as the so-called butterfly roof and the cobogó (concrete or masonry latticework), but also included direct references to Brazilian projects. For instance, the two churches proposed by students for the industrial settlements of Paz de Río and Belencito were simplified copies of Oscar Niemeyer’s São Francisco (without the three chapels at the rear)52 [Fig. 4]. Another student who undertook a similar study trip in 1953, Luis Raúl Rodríguez, was later the architect of the Cúcuta Country Club, a
‘literal transcription’ of Niemeyer’s Yacht Club in Pampulha, according to Germán Téllez.\textsuperscript{53}

In the early 1950s, the broad diffusion of Brazilian models within Colombian architectural schools is striking, probably thanks to students’ travels and readings, but not yet to \textit{Proa}’s coverage. Also in Medellin, students from the Pontifical Bolivarian University used Niemeyer’s chapel in Pampulha as the basis for a thesis project,\textsuperscript{54} while another student from the local branch of the Colombian National University, Iván Arango, developed a more original project: described as an aspiration to light and air, his design for a church was defined by a series of extruded concrete frames (larger and smaller, alternated) with a triple parabolic arch canopy at the front.\textsuperscript{55}

Literal transcriptions and more original interpretations prove the dissemination of Pampulha’s church as well as other Brazilian models within architectural schools. For instance, two similar projects for a hotel and a holiday residence, designed by students of the Bogotá and the Medellín architecture faculties respectively, displayed generic affinities with Oscar Niemeyer’s works in the mixed layouts of regular horizontal blocks and organic elements, in the use of the \textit{brise-soleil}, and in the organic design of the landscape [Fig. 5].\textsuperscript{56}

The presence of architects trained in Brazil or who had travelled there became significant in many other cities, especially Cali. Here, architects were pushed to look at Brazil for suggested responses to its climate. The exquisite residential and commercial architecture developed in the city from the 1950s found a clear reference in Brazilian modernism.\textsuperscript{57} The well-known firm of Fernando Borrero, Alfredo Zamorano, and Renato Giovanelli designed the Cali Banco de Colombia (1959) as a compact but volumetrically complex corner building with wide shading cantilevers. Its overall
design shows a certain similarity with Rino Levi and others’ IAB building in São Paulo (1947), but also, again, a more generic display of the Brazilian repertoire in the thin vertical *brise-soleil* of the platform and in the Burle Marx-inspired terrace garden [Fig. 6]. It is interesting to notice a likely personal acquaintance between Levi and these architects. In 1957 Levi visited the CINVA in Bogotá, and also travelled to Medellín and Cali. One year later, an article regarding his visit was published in the Brazilian magazine *Acrópole*, presenting an apartment building designed by Borrero, Zamorano & Giovanelli as an example of contemporary Colombian architectural production.58

With a group of local architects, Borrero and Giovanelli designed a Country Club on the outskirts of Cali between 1954 and 1958. This Club has been praised as one of the most sophisticated examples of landscape design in Colombia.59 The design of the complex displayed similar ideas to that of Cariocan architecture, with formal elements such as light asymmetric V-shaped pillars akin to those used by Oscar Niemeyer in the canopy at Ibirapuera Park built in São Paulo in 1954. The reference appears as much conceptual as formal. The strong horizontal white lines of the building, the well shaded, ventilated and permeable interiors, and the tropical garden displayed a mature assimilation of Cariocan design culture [Fig. 7]. Not surprisingly, Borrero and Zamorano had travelled to Brazil before starting this project,60 and many other architects undertook similar journeys.

From Medellín, Raúl Fajardo Moreno, Jaime Greiffenstein, Augusto González, and Reinaldo Posada travelled to Pampulha.61 Posada collaborated with Elias Zapata Sierra in the design of the Postobón Factory in Medellín, whose patio displayed a landscape composition *à la* Burle Marx, with an abstract design of the green and a mosaic on the wall [Fig. 8]. This approach to landscape in the Antioquian capital in the
1950s was common, as can be seen in the gardens outside Enrique Olaya Herrera airport designed by Zapata, or in the sinuous canopy – clearly inspired by Niemeyer – designed for the Club Unión by an unknown architect [Fig. 9]. Even students from Medellín’s Pontifical Bolivarian University noted in a thesis that this widespread language was immediately associated with Brazil:

The evident revolution in [architectural] magazines is bringing us a new form of academicism, more nefarious than that of cornices and volutes. The ‘modern style’ is already well established among us, and those who manage to introduce in their work those four or five elements that have already become traditional after three years of application, can count on being considered revolutionary artistic geniuses, even if their experience does not go beyond the penultimate year of their education, and their studies and efforts are limited to wholly embracing the work of the most fashionable of the Brazilians, or the latest exaggerated curve of a garden à la Burle Marx.62

**The adoption of the Cariocan repertoire in Colombia**

The international acknowledgment of the work of many Brazilian modernist architects and the broad dissemination of these references in Colombia started to be felt in architectural practice by the end of the 1940s. A series of projects designed up to the 1960s displayed different degrees of assimilation of themes, repertoires, and principles that after World War II had increasingly been identified as typically Brazilian, although in fact they were mainly characteristic of the so-called Cariocan school, or even just of Oscar Niemeyer’s work. The narrative on the *brise-soleil*, that started with Goodwin’s
*Brazil Builds* (1943), remained almost unquestioned throughout the following decade. According to Henrique E. Mindlin, who explicitly referred to him in his writings, the open ground floor with *pilotis* and the use of *brise-soleil* constituted the ‘most conspicuous factors of modern architecture in Brazil’.

Henry-Russell Hitchcock gave a central position to Cariocan architects in his seminal *Latin American Architecture Since 1945*, highlighting a formal repertoire based on low paraboloid shell vaults, butterfly roofs, *brise-soleil*, *azulejos*, and gardens. Even critical positions, like those expressed by Max Bill, depicted Brazilian modernism as a style primarily defined by these elements. Therefore, it should not come as a surprise that during the 1950s, despite acknowledging different tendencies, Colombian architects understood Brazilian modernism according to this interpretation, which provided a useful and legitimised architectural repertoire, with a few clear characteristics: the presence of sun-control devices, the importance of gardens, and the cooperation of architects and artists, as Serrano pointed out in 1948. Or, an architecture distinguished by the strong presence of the curved line and the free ground floor, as Edgar Burbano stressed in an article recognising the conceptual overlap between Cariocan architecture and Niemeyer’s.

In this respect, the following section can be read as an initial inventory of projects designed in Colombia during a decade marked by a growing interest in Brazil. This inventory includes a few well-known cases already recognised by established Colombian historiography, but expands to other lesser-known projects, in order to discuss this narrative and its restrictive appraisal.

As already mentioned, the Banco de la República headquarters in Barranquilla, designed by Cuéllar, Serrano, Gómez & Cía after leading partner Gabriel Serrano had
travelled to Brazil, was completed in 1952 [Fig. 10]. Despite its partitioned ground floor, this office building presented clear references to buildings that Serrano had visited in Brazil. In particular, the four façades presented different systems of sun protection — from a deep concrete grid on the Eastern front, to a vertical *brise-soleil* on the South-West facing side — certainly a novelty in Colombia. Other elements of interest were the roof garden and the sinuous flowerbed located in the hall, an obvious adoption of the language of Roberto Burle Marx’s garden design. However, the implications of this building were wider: Serrano’s idea of replacing massive concrete slabs with hollow biaxial slabs, which finally led to the development of the Reticular Celulado system with Italian engineer Domenico Parma, resulted from Serrano’s observation of concrete construction techniques in Brazil. It should be noted here that, despite huge differences in the relationship between political power and architecture in Colombia and Brazil, the construction industry in the two countries used similar structural materials and methods, in that they both relied heavily on reinforced concrete.

After the completion of the Banco de la República, other buildings in Barranquilla showed a debt to Cariocan modernism. The most emblematic project was the troubled José Alejandro García and Roberto McCausland’s Barranquilla Municipal building, designed in 1950 and completed only in 1959 by Vittorio Magagna and Ricardo González Ripoll with considerable modifications. The original design was a 21-storey block raised on 18 circular pillars. In a diagram published both in *Proa* and in *Mejoras*, the architects compared two volumetric solutions: one lower with a larger footprint, the other thinner and taller. The latter option, according to the designers, presented many advantages: it freed more ground for public green spaces, it favoured cross ventilation and natural lighting, and it reduced the façade surface exposed to the
western heat. The general scheme is redolent of the Ministry of Education and Public Health in Rio de Janeiro: both were located on the edge of their plot and were elevated on circular piloris; both had two differentiated main façades – one protected by brise-soleil, the other with a curtain-wall; and both had an organic water-tank on the roof and a ceramic mural on the side façade [Fig. 11]. The axonometric diagram used by García and McCausland to justify plot occupation and volumetric choices is also reminiscent of that published in Le Corbusier’s Œuvre complète to compare traditional forms of plot occupation with what he called ‘Nouvelles méthodes réalisées (en cours d’exécution)’ for the Ministry of Education and Public Health. However, by almost halving its height, the final realisation of the Municipal building destroyed the proportions and part of the resemblance.

In addition to these major projects, other buildings featuring various systems of brise-soleil and free-form volumes on the roof were designed in Barranquilla during the same period. However, most of this production has remained generally unacknowledged by Colombian historiography, while two other phenomena have been recognised: the diffusion of the brise-soleil throughout the country, even in non-tropical locations such as Bogotá; and the emergence of Oscar Niemeyer’s São Francisco church in Pampulha as a model for religious architecture.

The clearest example of unnecessary and decorative use of sun-control elements was probably represented by Esguerra, Sáenz, Urdanéta & Samper’s building for the Servicio Nacional de Aprendizaje (SENA) in Bogotá (1958), a block that employed a multi-layered system of references: from Le Corbusier’s brutalist poetic – particularly significant for Germán Samper, who had worked for long time on the Chandigarh project during his stay in Paris – to Brazilian echoes in the differentiated brise-soleil of
its façades and in the use of an external block of vertical connections, as in Oscar Niemeyer’s Conjunto Kubitschek in Belo Horizonte (1951), or the Palácio da Agricultura in São Paulo (1955).

Clearly, while the use of sun-control devices appeared of doubtful benefit in Bogotá, in other parts of the country it was easily justified by the climate. Among the countless cases, it is worth mentioning Enrique Wiesner’s Bogotá Bank in the city of Girardot (1951), one of the first buildings published in Proa that displayed a façade with vertical *brise-soleil* and praised for the way it dealt with the local hot climate. Or the Miguel de Aguinaga building, designed in 1954-55 by Augusto González Velásquez and others for the firm Ingeniería y Construcciones in the centre of Medellín.

As for religious architecture, Germán Téllez recognised a widespread diffusion of thin concrete shells inspired by Niemeyer after the construction of Juvenal Moya’s chapel for the Gimnasio Moderno in Bogotá in 1954. This temporary infatuation resulted in the design of a series of churches in Armenia, Barranquilla, Cúcuta, Medellín, and Sogamoso which more or less adhered to that model. In fact, Moya’s chapel had quite an original design, being a Greek-cross plan church. Other examples, like the Torcoroma church in Barranquilla (1958) designed by José Alejandro García (one of the authors of the first proposal for the Municipal building), appeared much less imaginative, with a front recalling Pampulha’s façade, albeit without Niemeyer’s plastic ability and gracefulness in shaping elements like the canopy and the bell tower. Thus, Niemeyer’s church became an unavoidable reference, as an easily reproducible, fashionable, and constructible template. In these decades, many simplified versions were built, such as Cleves, Nariño & Cía’s chapel for the Police in Bogotá, a simple parabolic concrete vault completely open on one side; or Alfonso López Garrido’s
Nuestra Señora de Fátima church in Cali. However, the great interest in concrete membrane structures in Colombia had at least one other fundamental reference in Félix Candela, well-known by Colombians and advertised by Proa. Following the work of the two masters, the use of parabolic vaults for a large variety of programmes spread across the country.

The adoption of Cariocan models involved both young and experienced architects, and not only for religious buildings. Pizano, Pradilla & Caro’s Country Club for the Empresa Colombiana de Petróleos in Barrancabermeja and their project for a small airport in Guaymaral, both displayed the entire typical Cariocan repertoire. For the airport project, the firm was criticised in Revista A: the roof and the triple vaults — resembling Affonso Eduardo Reidy and Jorge Moreira’s Viação Férrea do Rio Grande do Sul — were denounced as an unnecessary and confused sculptural gesture. Still in the 1960s, the Club Social Naval in Cartagena was designed by an unknown architect as a copy of Niemeyer’s Pampulha Yacht Club. Undoubtedly, not all the projects and architects mentioned so far met the standards of quality that a selection in the field of architectural history requires. Nonetheless, they do fit in the category of representatives of the period. Fernando Luiz Lara’s study on ‘popular modernism’ in Brazil has helped us understand how this modernist repertoire crossed the borders of professionalism and reached a wider public ready to appropriate it. In Colombia, all ranks of the profession endorsed and adopted this repertoire. Brazil, known by Colombian architects through international and national publications and direct experience, represented a legitimate, Latin, tropical, and technologically approachable model for more than a decade [Fig. 12].
Conclusions: a different canon and a forgotten history

The system of relations linking Colombia to Brazil is a remarkable case from many points of view. First, because by displaying a broad and varied network of architects’ travels and content circulating throughout the Americas, it helps to challenge the view of the continent as composed of non-communicating countries. In this sense, the metaphor of the archipelago as proposed by Luis E. Carranza and Fernando Luiz Lara seems fitting. Nonetheless, the projects and influences discussed above show how certain Latin American countries were linked by particular routes of transfer and dialogue for much longer than just the most recent decades.

Second, the networks of relations between Colombia and Brazil allow us not only to highlight the relevance that personal experiences had in transferring and disseminating ideas and imaginaries between countries, but also to emphasise the function that magazines and books had. It is quite clear that Brazil Builds and Proa played different roles. Brazil Builds appears as a key impulse for a first and well-defined dissemination of Brazilian modernism that encountered receptive ears throughout the whole Colombian territory, where architects were trying to adapt modernism to the local environment. In this sense, Bogotá was far from providing any possible paradigm to hot tropical cities like Cali or Barranquilla, and Brazil Builds was a product that did not require any intermediation from Bogotá. On the other hand, national magazines such as Proa and Revista A provided a detailed coverage of Brazil for a decade, thanks to a rich network involving other international periodicals and a generation of young architects with a solid personal acquaintance of Brazil and its architects.
Finally, the analysis of this case proves how the interest in Brazilian modernism should not be reduced to a simple infatuation for a language and its repertoire. On the contrary, the process of its reception and assimilation was a phenomenon that involved many professionals for more than a decade and marked a whole generation of architects. The impact in terms of formal repertoire was deep, favoured by an understanding of Brazil as a country with similar climatic conditions (at least to major Colombian cities excluding Bogotá) and a construction industry heavily reliant on concrete rather than steel. Nowadays, this process can be read as both the product of particular transference routes, and a reflection of the general process of ‘tropicalisation’ of modern architecture that Jorge Francisco Liernur has presented as a typical feature of the 1950s.\textsuperscript{80} Despite this, the architecture designed and built in Colombia according to Brazilian suggestions and principles has remained at the margins of the mainstream historical narrative. This happened because this architecture did not fit into the canons established by historiography. As canonical histories characterised Colombian architecture as simple, austere, and functional\textsuperscript{81} – an argument already used in the 1960s to counterpose Colombian modernism to the monumental Mexican or the exuberant Cariocan\textsuperscript{82} – it is not surprising that the architectural production discussed here has not found a place in the historiographic construction, which since the publication of Jorge Arango and Carlos Martínez’s \textit{Arquitectura en Colombia} in 1951,\textsuperscript{83} has always overlapped the concept of ‘Colombian’ architecture with that of ‘Bogotan’.
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