Gravitational memory for uniformly accelerated observers
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Recently, Hawking, Perry and Strominger described a physical process that implants supertranslational hair on a Schwarzschild black hole by an infalling matter shock wave without spherical symmetry. Using the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs-type symmetries of the Rindler horizon, we present an analogous process that implants supertranslational hair on a Rindler horizon by a matter shock wave without planar symmetry, and we investigate the corresponding memory effect on the Rindler family of uniformly linearly accelerated observers. We assume each observer to remain linearly uniformly accelerated through the wave, in the sense of the curved spacetime generalization of the Letaw-Frenet equations. Starting with a family of observers who follow the orbits of a single boost Killing vector before the wave, we find that after the wave has passed, each observer still follows the orbit of a boost Killing vector but this boost differs from trajectory to trajectory, and the trajectory dependence carries a memory of the planar inhomogeneity of the wave. We anticipate this classical memory phenomenon to have a counterpart in Rindler space quantum field theory.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, Hawking, Perry and Strominger\cite{1,2} (HPS) have shown that a black hole in an asymptotically flat spacetime has an infinite collection of soft hairs corresponding to the infinite supertranslation symmetries of the flat spacetime at asymptotic infinity. These supertranslations are essentially diffeomorphisms on the spacetime which leave the asymptotic structure at null infinity intact and belong to the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs (BMS) subgroup\cite{3}. Classically, diffeomorphisms do not affect the vacuum associated with the phase space of the canonically conjugate variables of gravitational degrees of freedom. However, from a field-theoretic perspective, it has been argued that the supertranslations act nontrivially on the degenerate vacua related to the infinite BMS asymptotic symmetries and are spontaneously broken, accompanied by the creation/annihilation of Goldstone bosons, namely, the soft photons and soft gravitons. The results due to Christodoulou and Klainerman\cite{4} on the stability of Minkowski spacetime and asymptotic boundary conditions allow one to construct an infinite number of nonvanishing conserved supertranslation and super-rotation charges on the past and future null infinities of generic asymptotically flat spacetimes. For the black hole spacetimes, as considered by HPS, it has been conjectured\cite{1} that these charges would enable the outgoing Hawking quanta to contain enough correlations to make the evaporation unitary. (Also see Ref.\cite{5}.)

It was shown in Ref.\cite{2} that soft hair can be implanted on a Schwarzschild black hole by a physical process, an infalling matter shock wave that does not have spherical symmetry. The metric after the wave is related to the metric before the wave by a BMS supertranslation. As these supertranslations generate nontrivial time translations on the null generators of the event horizon, they act like a gravitational memory on the horizon. This raises the possibility that these horizon supertranslations could be the mechanism that encodes correlations in the outgoing Hawking quanta\cite{6}.

Hawking’s prediction of black hole radiation\cite{7} relies on the semiclassical framework for gravity, wherein only the matter fields propagating on the classical background geometry are quantized. Within the same framework, however with a more practical approach, it is known that an Unruh-DeWitt detector coupled to the Hartle-Hawking state of the quantum field and positioned at a fixed radius outside the hole responds thermally\cite{8,9}. In particular, the response rate of the detector is of the Kubo-Martin-Schwinger form\cite{10–12}. A uniformly linearly accelerated observer/trajetory plays a central role in these semiclassical analyses: the thermal form of the Hawking radiation is a peculiarity associated only with the uniformly linearly accelerated observers. A freely falling detector, either radially infalling or on an elliptical/circular orbit responds quite differently albeit nonthermally\cite{13}.

An interesting question one would like to address is how does implanting a black hole with supertranslation hair affect the thermal response of the uniformly linearly accelerated detector. There are two aspects involved in such an investigation. First, it is well known that the passage of gravitational radiation, infalling or outgoing,
results in a change in the mutual proper separation of geodesic observers at asymptotic infinity, an effect called the gravitational memory effect [14]. More recently, it has been explicitly shown that the memory effect for geodesic observers is equivalent to that of a diffeomorphism on the Schwarzschild metric belonging to the class of BMS supertranslations at asymptotic infinity [15–18]. One can expect the supertranslations to have a distinguishable effect at a classical level on the congruence of uniformly accelerated observers as well. The second aspect is the evolution at a classical level on the congruence of uniformly accelerated motion in the Rindler spacetime. In Sec. IV, we propose a covariant way to define a uniformly linearly accelerated Schwarzschild black hole and in its Rindler analogue. We plan to address the related quantum aspects in a future paper [19].

The flat spacetime analogue of Hawking radiation is the Unruh effect [20,21]. A uniformly linearly accelerated observer, moving on an integral curve of a boost Killing vector, perceives the Minkowski vacuum to be thermal with a temperature proportional to the magnitude of its acceleration. In contrast to the black hole case, the mode solutions of the quantum field in the Rindler spacetime are known in terms of well-studied special functions. The analytical tractability and conceptual similarity often makes Rindler spacetime a preexploratory arena for studying numerous black hole effects, which we also expect the supertranslations to have a distinguishable effect when a supertranslation diffeomorphism is present. In this paper we focus on the first aspect, for uniformly linearly accelerated observers in the supertranslated Schwarzschild black hole and in its Rindler analogue. We briefly review a class of such Rindler supertranslations for a related discussion see Ref. [25]). In Sec. II, we briefly review a class of such Rindler supertranslations and introduce an asymmetric matter shock wave impinging on the Rindler horizon. In Sec. III, we motivate and propose a covariant way to define a uniformly linearly accelerated trajectory in curved spacetime. In Sec. IV, we analyze the effect of implanting supertranslational hair on uniformly linearly accelerated motion in the Rindler spacetime. Starting with a family of trajectories that follow the orbits of a single boost Killing vector before the wave, we find that after the wave has passed, each trajectory still follows the orbit of a boost Killing vector but this boost differs from trajectory to trajectory, and the trajectory dependence carries a memory of the planar inhomogeneity of the wave. We further show that the effect of supertranslations on uniformly linearly accelerated observers in the Schwarzschild spacetime is even more drastic with the trajectory falling inside the black hole horizon for an ingoing shock wave or the trajectory ejecting out to spatial infinity for an outgoing shock wave. Concluding remarks are collected in Sec. V.

The Minkowski metric is taken to have the mostly plus signature, and roman indices run over all spacetime indices.

### II. IMPLANTING SUPERTRANSLATIONAL HAIR TO THE RINDLER HORIZON

In Ref. [2], HPS considered a linearized shock wave without spherical symmetry propagating on a Schwarzschild spacetime. The metric for the complete process of implanting the supertranslational hair is given by

\[
 ds^2 = -\left(1 - 2M/r - h(v-v_0) - h(v-v_0)\frac{2\mu}{r} - h(v-v_0)\frac{MD^2 C}{r^2}\right)dv^2 + 2dvdr - h(v-v_0)D_A\left(2C - \frac{4MC}{r} + D^2 C\right)dvd\Theta^A + (r^2\gamma_{AB} + h(v-v_0)2rD_AD_BC - h(v-v_0)r\gamma_{AB}D^2 C)d\Theta^Ad\Theta^B
\]  

(2.1)

where the coordinates \((v, r, \Theta^A)\) are the advanced Bondi coordinates (surfaces of constant \(v\) are an ingoing family of null hypersurfaces), \(\gamma_{AB}\Phi^A\Phi^B\) is the metric on the two-sphere, \(D^4\) is the covariant derivative on the unit two-sphere, \(h(v-v_0)\) is the Heaviside step function and the function \(C(\Theta)\) characterizes the angular profile of the shock wave. The metric differs from a Schwarzschild metric of mass \(M > 0\) by

\[
 h_{ab} = h(v-v_0)\left(\mathcal{L}_g \delta_{ab} - \frac{2\mu}{r}\delta_a^\alpha\delta_b^\beta\right)
\]  

(2.2)

where \(\mathcal{L}_g = [C, -D^2 C/2, D^4 C/\mathcal{C}]\) is the BMS-type supertranslation vector, preserving to linear order the Bondi gauge conditions \(g_{rr} = 0 = g_{rA}\) and det \((g_{ab}/r^2) = g(\Theta)\), and satisfying the asymptotic fall-off conditions required to preserve the asymptotic infinity. The stress-energy tensor of the shock wave is

\[
 T_{vv} = \frac{1}{4\pi r^2}\left[\mu + \frac{D^2(D^2 + 2)C}{4} - \frac{3MD^2 C}{2r}\right]\delta(v-v_0),
\]

\[
 T_{rA} = -\frac{3MD_A C}{8\pi r^2}\delta(v-v_0).
\]  

(2.3)

Similarly to the Schwarzschild case, we consider a physical process version of implanting supertranslation hair to the Rindler horizon. We begin by writing the metric of the Rindler spacetime in the advanced Bondi-type coordinates \((v, r, x, y)\) as

\[
 ds^2 = -2\kappa rdv^2 + 2dvdr + \delta_{AB}dx^Adx^B
\]  

(2.4)

where \(\kappa > 0\), \(-\infty < v < \infty\) and \(-\infty < r < \infty\). In the full Rindler spacetime, shown in Fig. 1, these coordinates cover region I, where \(r > 0\), region III, where \(r < 0\), and their joint boundary, the right-going future branch of the Rindler horizon, where \(r = 0\).

The analogue of the BMS-type supertranslations for the Rindler horizon in the metric (2.4) are given by the supertranslation vector

\[
 \Xi^a = \frac{1}{\kappa} [f(x, y), 0, -r\partial^4 f(x, y)]
\]  

(2.5)
which preserves the Bondi-type gauge (2.4) in the sense that $g_{rr} = 0$ and $g_{tr} = 2$, and it also preserves the structure of the Rindler horizon, in the sense that $\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{uv} = 0 + O(r)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{tr} = 0 + O(r)$ [22–24]. Joining the metric in Eq. (2.4) to the supertranslated metric along a shock wave propagating at $v = v_0$, we find that the perturbations to the Rindler metric $h_{ab} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{ab}$ due to the shock wave are then given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{Ae} = h(v - v_0)2r \partial_A f, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{AB} = h(v - v_0)2 \left( \frac{r}{k} \right) \partial_A \partial_B f \quad (2.7)$$

where again $h(v - v_0)$ is the Heaviside step function. Hence, the full metric describing the shock wave at $v = v_0$ passing through the Rindler horizon at $r = 0$ is

$$ds^2 = -2krdv^2 + 2dvdr + 4rh(v - v_0)\partial_A f dv dx^A + \left( \partial_{AB} + 2h(v - v_0)r \left( \frac{r}{k} \right) \partial_A \partial_B f \right) dx^A dx^B. \quad (2.8)$$

Working to linear order in $f$, we find the linearized stress-energy tensor of the shock wave to be

$$T_{vv} = -rh' \partial_A \partial_A f - r \left( \frac{h'}{k} \right) \partial_A \partial_A f, \quad (2.10)$$

$$T_{tr} = \frac{h'}{k} \partial_A \partial_A f, \quad (2.11)$$

$$T_{vA} = -h' \partial_A f, \quad (2.12)$$

$$T_{AB} = 2 \frac{h'}{k} \partial_A \partial_B f, \quad A = B, \quad (2.9)$$

$$T_{AB} = -2 \frac{h'}{k} \partial_A \partial_B f, \quad A \neq B.$$
accelerated trajectories are hence a special class among worldlines on which the proper acceleration four-vector has constant magnitude. Note that if just the magnitude of the proper acceleration were fixed to a constant $\alpha > 0$, the direction of the proper acceleration four-vector would still remain freely specifiable on the $S^2$ of radius $\alpha$ in the hyperplane orthogonal to the four-velocity, at each point on the worldline.

Among the uniformly accelerated trajectories, the orbits of a boost are called uniformly \textit{linearly} accelerated. In quantum field theory, a uniformly linearly accelerated observer reacts to the Minkowski vacuum as if it were a thermal state [21]; by contrast, the observer’s response under the other types of uniform acceleration is not expected to be thermal [26–28]. This special property of the boost can be attributed to the causally disconnected quadrants separated by the boost Killing horizon, and to the fact that each trajectory stays in one of the quadrants.\textsuperscript{1} In this paper we hence focus on observers of uniform linear acceleration.

To address uniformly linearly accelerated observers in the presence of matter shock waves, we do however need to generalize the notion of uniform linear acceleration to a spacetime that is not necessarily flat. We now proceed to do this.

Letaw [26] showed that the construction of the generalized Frenet equations in Minkowski spacetime can be utilized to define analogues of the scalar curvature, the torsion scalar and the hypertorsion scalar for worldlines in flat spacetime. In particular, the scalar curvature is the magnitude of the proper acceleration. The case of uniform linear acceleration then arises when the scalar curvature is fixed to a constant positive value while the torsion and hypertorsion scalars are taken to vanish.

To generalize the Letaw-Frenet construction to curved spacetime, we begin as in Ref. [26] by defining four unit vectors forming an orthogonal tetrad using the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization procedure. These are defined at each point along the trajectory $x^a(\tau)$ of interest as

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
V_0^a &= u^a = \frac{dx^a}{d\tau}, \\
V_1^a &= \frac{u^a}{|u|}, \\
V_2^a &= \frac{|a|^2 w^a - |a|^2 (w^a u_b) u^b - (w^a a_b) a^b}{Na}, \\
V_3^a &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6} \sqrt{-g}} V_{0b} V_{1c} V_{2d} \\
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

where $a^a = u^b \nabla_b u^a$, $w^a = u^b \nabla_b a^a$ and $N = |a|(|a|^2 w^a - (a^a w^a)^2)^{1/2}$. Assuming $a^a \neq 0$ and $N \neq 0$, the four unit vectors of the tetrad by definition satisfy the following condition at the tangent space at each event along the trajectory:

\begin{equation}
V_{a\alpha} V_{\beta}^a = \eta_{\alpha\beta}
\end{equation}

where the greek indices label the respective unit vector. The generalized Letaw-Frenet equations then are

\begin{equation}
u^b \nabla_b V^a_\alpha = K^a_{\alpha\beta} V^\beta_\beta
\end{equation}

where

\begin{equation}
K_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathcal{K}(\tau) & 0 & 0 \\
\mathcal{K}(\tau) & 0 & -\mathcal{T}(\tau) & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{T}(\tau) & 0 & -\mathcal{V}(\tau) \\
0 & 0 & \mathcal{V}(\tau) & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\end{equation}

To arrive at Eq. (3.4), we may proceed as in flat spacetime [26], by taking the derivative of the orthogonality condition (3.2) along $u^a$, using Eq. (3.3) to deduce antisymmetry of $K_{\alpha\beta}$, and finally using Eq. (3.1) to deduce that the $\alpha$th row in $K_{\alpha\beta}$ can have nonzero entries only in the columns with $\beta \leq \alpha + 1$. The scalar quantities $\mathcal{K}(\tau)$, $\mathcal{T}(\tau)$ and $\mathcal{V}(\tau)$ can be straightforwardly identified as the analogues of the curvature scalar, torsion and the hypertorsion scalars respectively by simply constructing a local inertial frame around any event on the trajectory and matching the covariant scalars with those in the construction of Letaw’s Frenet equations in flat spacetime. Note that $\mathcal{K}(\tau)$ is the magnitude of the proper acceleration, $\mathcal{K}(\tau) = (u^b \nabla_b u^a) / |u|$.

We may now define the curved spacetime analogue of uniform acceleration by requiring $\mathcal{K}(\tau)$, $\mathcal{T}(\tau)$ and $\mathcal{V}(\tau)$ to be independent of $\tau$. Uniform linear acceleration is defined as the special case in which $\mathcal{K}$ is strictly positive and $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ vanish. For uniform linear acceleration, the only nontrivial Frenet equation is then the equation of motion for the normalized acceleration vector,

\begin{equation}
u^b \nabla_b V_1^a = K_1^a V_0^0 \Rightarrow u^b \nabla_b a^a = w^a = |a|^2 u^a.
\end{equation}

The above equation was also obtained in Ref. [31] by generalizing the differential-geometric characteristics of a rectangular hyperbola in Minkowski spacetime to curved spacetimes. As a technical caveat, we should note that the tetrad (3.1) is not well defined for uniform linear acceleration because the formula for $V_3^a$ takes the ambiguous form $0/0$, using Eq. (3.5). This can be remedied by defining a binormal $V_{2,3}^a$ to the plane of $V_{0a}$ and $V_{1a}$ by

---

\textsuperscript{1}If the observer is direction specific, thermality does however arise also for the accelerated trajectory constructed from a boost and a commuting spatial translation, but with an anisotropic temperature that contains a direction-dependent Doppler shift factor [29,30].
In the space spanned by this binormal, one can choose two unit vectors such that the orthonormality condition in Eq. (3.2) still holds. The analysis then proceeds as above and once again the only nontrivial Frenet equation is Eq. (3.5). The consistency of the setup can be verified by considering the change in the binormal $V_{ab}^2$ along the trajectory,

$$V_{ab}^2 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \epsilon_{abcd} V_{oc} V_{1d}. \tag{3.6}$$

In Sec. II. Starting with a family of uniformly linearly accelerated trajectories that follow the orbits of a single Killing vector before the shock wave, the task is to find what these trajectories have become after the wave has passed. We begin with the Rindler spacetime.

A. Rindler spacetime

We work in the Bondi-type gauge where the Rindler metric before the shock wave, $v < v_0$ can be expressed as in Eq. (2.4),

$$ds^2 = -2kr dv^2 + 2dv dr + \delta_{AB} dx^A dx^B. \tag{4.1}$$

The boost Killing vector in these coordinates is $\vec{\zeta}^\mu = \kappa^{-1}(1, 0, 0, 0)$. Without loss of generality, let us consider a representative Rindler trajectory for $v < v_0$ with the worldline $x^\mu(\tau) = (\tau / \sqrt{2kr}, r_0, x^3)$ where $\tau$ is the proper time along the trajectory and $r_0, x^3$ are the initial values. It is easy to check that the trajectory is linearly uniformly accelerated in the sense of Eq. (3.5), and $|a| = \kappa / \sqrt{2kr}$. Let an asymmetric shock wave at $v = v_0$ with the stress-energy tensor (2.9) impinge on the Rindler horizon. Working to linear order in the perturbation, we can investigate separately the memory effect due to the surface energy density term $\mu h(v - v_0)$ and the memory effect due to the supertranslation perturbation terms. We first consider the case without the surface energy density term and set $\mu = 0$. The resultant metric is as in Eq. (2.8),

$$ds^2 = -2kr dv^2 + 2dv dr + 4rh(v - v_0) \partial_A f dv dx^A + \left( \delta_{AB} + 2h(v - v_0) \frac{r}{k} \partial_A \partial_B f \right) dx^A dx^B. \tag{4.2}$$

with the corresponding supertranslation vector

$$A^\mu = \frac{1}{k} [f(x, y), 0, -r \partial_f ] \tag{4.3}$$

We assume that $h(v - v_0) = \lambda \mathcal{H}(v - v_0)$ where $\lambda$ is a small dimensionless perturbative parameter and $\mathcal{H}(v - v_0)$ is the Heaviside step function. To determine the trajectory on and after the shock wave for $v \geq v_0$, we make for the trajectory’s four-velocity the ansatz

$$u^\mu = \left[ 1, 0, \epsilon(v) \frac{\sqrt{2kr}}{k} \partial_f \right], \tag{4.4}$$

where $\epsilon(v)$ is of first order in $\lambda$ and must be determined from Eq. (3.5). The acceleration vector is

$$a^\mu = \left[ 0, \frac{\kappa}{2kr^2} \frac{d\epsilon}{dv} \partial_f + \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{d\epsilon}{dv} \partial_f \right]. \tag{4.5}$$

and it satisfies

$$a^\mu = \left[ 0, \frac{\kappa}{2kr^2} \frac{d\epsilon}{dv} \partial_f + \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{d\epsilon}{dv} \partial_f \right]. \tag{4.5}$$
\[ a^2 = \frac{\kappa^2}{2 \kappa r} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2). \]  

(4.6)

This shows that the ansatz (4.4) is consistent with keeping the magnitude of the acceleration constant to linear order. What remains is to impose the constraint (3.5), which to linear order takes the form

\[ 0 = w_a - a^2 u_a = \left[ 0, 0, 1, \frac{1}{\kappa \sqrt{2 \kappa r}} \frac{d^2 h}{dv^2} \partial_{\lambda} f + \frac{1}{\kappa \sqrt{2 \kappa r}} \left( \frac{d^2 \mathcal{E}}{dv^2} - \kappa^2 \mathcal{E} \right) \partial_{\lambda} f \right]. \]

(4.7)

The equation for \( \mathcal{E}(v) \) is hence

\[ \frac{d^2 \mathcal{E}}{dv^2} - \kappa^2 \mathcal{E} = -\frac{d^2 h}{dv^2} \]

and matching to the orbits of the boost Killing vector \( \tilde{\xi}_a \) before the wave gives the initial condition \( \mathcal{E}(v) = 0 \) for \( v > v_0 \). The solution is

\[ \mathcal{E}(v) = -h(v - v_0) \cosh[\kappa(v - v_0)]. \]

(4.9)

The four-velocity vector of the trajectory is hence

\[ u^a = \left[ \frac{1}{\kappa \sqrt{2 \kappa r}}, 0, -h(v - v_0) \cosh[\kappa(v - v_0)], -\frac{2 \kappa \sqrt{2 \kappa r}}{\kappa} \partial^4 f \right]. \]

(4.10)

Integrating Eq. (4.10) to first order in \( \lambda \), we find that the trajectories are

\[ x^i(\tau) = \left[ \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{2 \kappa r}}, r_c, x_c^A - h \left( \frac{\kappa(\tau - \tau_0)}{\sqrt{2 \kappa r}} \right) \right. \times \partial^4 f(x_c^A) 2r_c \sinh \left( \frac{\kappa(\tau - \tau_0)}{\sqrt{2 \kappa r}} \right) ] \]

(4.11)

where \( \tau_0 \) is the proper time at \( v = v_0 \).

For \( v < v_0 \), the trajectories (4.11) are by construction integral curves of the boost Killing vector \( \tilde{\xi}^a = \kappa^{-1} (1, 0, 0, 0) \). What are these trajectories for \( v > v_0 \)?

For \( v > v_0 \), working to linear order in \( \lambda \), the perturbed metric is related to the Rindler metric by a diffeomorphism generated by the supertranslation vector \( \Xi^a \) [Eq. (4.3)]. As \( L_{\xi} \tilde{\xi}^a = 0 \), it follows that \( \tilde{\xi}^a \) is a boost Killing vector also for \( v > v_0 \). Assume now that \( f \) is generic. It is then immediate from Eq. (4.10) that the trajectories (4.11) are \textit{not} orbits of \( \tilde{\xi}^a \). Further, we have verified that a vector field parallel to the velocity field (4.10), of the form

\[ q^a = Q(r, x^A) [1, 0, \mathcal{E}(v)2r\partial^4 f], \]

(4.12)

satisfies Killing’s equation to linear order in \( \lambda \) only when \( \mathcal{E}(v) = 0 \) and \( Q(r, x^A) \) is a constant. This means that the velocity field (4.10) is not parallel to a Killing vector, and the trajectories (4.11) do not constitute a family of integral curves of a Killing vector field.

However, the Letaw-Frenet construction at \( v > v_0 \) guarantees that each trajectory in the family (4.11) is the orbit of \textit{some} boost Killing vector. This means that the Killing vector must differ from trajectory to trajectory. When the velocity vector in Eq. (4.10) is transformed to a set of standard Minkowski coordinates \( (T, X, Y^A) \), it takes the form

\[ U^a = \left[ \cosh \left( \frac{\kappa \tau}{\sqrt{2 \kappa r_c}} \right), \sinh \left( \frac{\kappa \tau}{\sqrt{2 \kappa r_c}} \right) \right], \]

\[ \times \left[ h \left( \frac{\kappa(\tau - \tau_0)}{\sqrt{2 \kappa r_c}} \right) \alpha^A \cosh \left( \frac{\kappa \tau}{\sqrt{2 \kappa r_c}} - \kappa v_0 \right) \right] \]

(4.13)

where \( \alpha^A = \frac{\sqrt{2 \kappa r_c}}{\kappa} \partial^4 f(x_c^A) \), and we have used Eq. (4.11) to express the velocity vector in terms of the proper time \( \tau \). From Eq. (4.13) we see that a trajectory with given \( r_c, x_c^A \) is an integral curve of a boost Killing vector that is obtained by applying to \( \tilde{\xi}^a \) the Lorentz boost

\[ \Lambda_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \alpha^A \cosh \beta & \alpha^2 \cosh \beta \\ 0 & 1 & -\alpha^A \sinh \beta & -\alpha^2 \sinh \beta \\ \alpha^A \cosh \beta & \alpha^2 \sinh \beta & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha^2 \cosh \beta & \alpha^2 \sinh \beta & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]

(4.14)

where \( \beta = (1/2) \log(|r_c|) - \kappa v_0 \). Note that the magnitude and direction of the boost (4.14) depend on \( r_c \) and \( x_c^A \).

Collecting, we have shown that implanting a supertranslational hair on the Rindler horizon by our matter shock wave boosts a family of Rindler trajectories in a way that differs from trajectory to trajectory, and this trajectory dependence carries a memory of the planar inhomogeneity of the wave. This is the gravitational memory effect for uniformly linearly accelerated observers.

To end this subsection, we return to the case of positive \( \bar{\mu} \). Working to linear order, we can set the perturbations due to the supertranslational terms to zero. The relevant metric in this case is

\[ ds^2 = -2 \kappa r dv^2 + 2dvdr + \left( \delta_{\bar{A}B} + \frac{\bar{\mu}}{\kappa} \delta_{\bar{A}B} h(v - v_0)(e^{\kappa(v-v_0)} - 1) \right) dx^A dx^B. \]

(4.15)

We now find that the velocity vector field

\[ u^a = \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \kappa r}}, 0, 0, 0 \right] \]

(4.16)
has \(|a| = \kappa/\sqrt{2kr_c}\) and satisfies the Letaw-Frenet constraint (3.5) for all \(v\). For \(v < v_0\), the trajectories are orbits of the boost Killing vector \(\xi^a\). For \(v > v_0\), the metric (4.15) is transformed to a standard set of Minkowski coordinates \((T, X, Y^A)\), it takes the form
\[
U^a = \left[ \frac{X}{\sqrt{X^2 - T^2}}, \frac{T}{\sqrt{X^2 - T^2}}, \frac{\sqrt{X^2 - T^2}}{2X^2 - T^2} \right] \tag{4.17}
\]
where \(X > |T|\). We see again that after the wave has passed, each trajectory is an integral curve of a boost, but the boost differs from trajectory to trajectory. The effect can be interpreted as a focusing due to the energy density in the wave.

### B. Schwarzschild spacetime

We now turn to the infalling linearized shock wave in the supertranslated Schwarzschild spacetime, and to its consequences for a family of trajectories that are static before the wave and are continued to the future of the wave as uniformly linearly accelerated trajectories. We proceed as in Rindler. Working in the Bondi gauge where the supertranslational hair-implanting shock wave is infalling in the Schwarzschild black hole metric, the complete metric reads as in Eq. (2.1),
\[
ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - h(v - v_0)\frac{2\mu}{r} - h(v - v_0)\frac{MD^2C}{r^2} \right) dv^2 + 2dvdr - h(v - v_0)D_A \left(2C - \frac{4MC}{r} + D^2C \right) d\theta^A + \left(r^2\gamma_{AB} + h(v - v_0)2rD_AD_BC \right. \\
- h(v - v_0)r\gamma_{AB}D^2C \left)d\theta^A\theta^B \tag{4.18}\]

For notational simplicity, we write \(V = 1-2M/r\).

We consider trajectories that are static for \(v < v_0\), \(x^a(r) = [\tau/\sqrt{V(r_c)}, r_c, \Theta_c^A]\), where \(\tau\) is the proper time along the trajectory and \(r_c, \Theta_c^A\) are the initial coordinates. These trajectories are uniformly linearly accelerated in the sense of Sec. III, and the acceleration of the trajectory is \(|a| = V'(r_c)/2\sqrt{V(r_c)}\). We continue the trajectories across and to the future of the shock wave by keeping them uniformly linearly accelerated.

We first consider the effect from the shock-wave mass term \(\mu\), taking the perturbed metric to be
\[
ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - h(v - v_0)\frac{2\mu}{r} \right) dv^2 + 2dvdr + r^2\gamma_{AB}d\theta^A\theta^B \tag{4.19}\]

and assuming \(\mu > 0\). As the overall effect of \(\mu\) is to increase the mass of the black hole, we may anticipate the initially static trajectories to become unstable on crossing the shock wave and to fall into the black hole. We now verify that this is the case within the perturbative treatment. A nonperturbative treatment could be given by the methods of Refs. [32,33].

Working to linear order in the perturbation, we assume that \(h(v - v_0) = \lambda\mathcal{H}(v - v_0)\) where \(\lambda\) is a small dimensionless perturbative parameter and \(\mathcal{H}(v - v_0)\) is the Heaviside step function. To find the trajectory for \(v \geq v_0\), we assume \(r - 2M \gg 2\mu\) and seek the velocity vector field by the ansatz
\[
u^a = \left[1 + \frac{h(v - v_0)^2}{\sqrt{V}}, \mathcal{E}(v), 0, 0 \right] \tag{4.20}\]

where \(\mathcal{E}(v)\) is to be determined. For the magnitude of the acceleration vector, we find
\[
|a|^2 = \frac{V'^2}{4V} + \frac{(V'V)^2}{V^2} + \frac{V'V}{2V^2} \mathcal{E}' + \frac{(V'V)^2}{2V^2} \mathcal{E}' + \frac{(V'V)^2}{2V^2} \mathcal{E}', 0, 0 \tag{4.21}\]

Differentiation of Eq. (4.21) with respect to \(v\) shows that Eq. (4.22) implies the constancy of \(|a|\). The only equation that needs to be solved is hence Eq. (4.22).

Writing \(\mathcal{E}(v)\) in terms of \((1/\sqrt{V})dv/dr, \nu\), Eq. (4.22) gives
\[
\frac{d^2r_c}{dx^2} - V(r_c) \left(\frac{|a|^2}{2} - \frac{V''(r_c)}{2} \right) r_c = \frac{-\mu h'}{r_c} - \frac{\mu V(r_c) h}{r_c^2} - \frac{\mu V(r_c) h}{2r_c} \tag{4.23}\]

where \(r_c = r - r_c\). With the initial condition \(r_c(v) = 0\) for \(v < v_0\), the solution is
\[
r = r_c - h(v - v_0)\frac{\mu}{r_c^2} \sinh(\beta(v - v_0)) \\
- h(v - v_0)\left(\frac{\mu V}{r_c} + \frac{\mu V'}{2r_c} \right) \frac{2}{\beta^2} \sinh^2\left(\frac{v - v_0}{2r_c} \right) \tag{4.24}\]

with \(\beta^2 = V(r_c)(|a|^2 - V''(r_c))/2\). The solution has an exponential runaway and will eventually exit the regime in which the linearized treatment is valid, but the signs in Eq. (4.24) show that the trajectory will start to fall towards the black hole, as we anticipated.
When the supertranslation terms in the metric are added, the Rindler analysis suggests that the trajectories will carry a memory of the spherical anisotropy of the wave, and a generic trajectory will either fall into the black hole or escape to infinity.

V. DISCUSSION

In this paper we have demonstrated and quantified a gravitational memory effect due to a matter shock wave that implants supertranslational hair on a Rindler horizon. We considered a family of observers who follow the integral curves of a Lorentz boost prior to the wave, and we assumed that the observers continue as uniformly linearly accelerated across the wave, in the sense of a curved spacetime generalization of the Letaw-Frenet uniform linear acceleration in flat spacetime [26]. After the wave has passed, we found that each observer still follows the orbit of a boost Killing vector, but this boost differs from trajectory to trajectory, and the trajectory dependence carries a memory of the planar inhomogeneity of the wave. We also considered a matter shock wave that implants supertranslational hair on the Schwarzschild spacetime [2], showing that a similar memory effect on initially static uniformly linearly accelerated trajectories exists but involves an instability that makes the trajectories fall into the black hole or escape to the infinity.

In Schwarzschild, the linearized stress-energy tensor of the supertranslation-implementing shock wave involves a Dirac delta on a null hypersurface [2]. In Rindler, by contrast, we found that the linearized stress-energy tensor of the supertranslation-implementing shock wave, in addition to a Dirac delta term, also involves a derivative of the Dirac delta on a null hypersurface. Studying the shock wave beyond the linearized theory [32–34] could hence be significantly more challenging in Rindler than in Schwarzschild.

While our discussion was classical, it is motivated by the potential of supertranslations as a solution to the black hole information paradox [1]. As the classical memory effect due to Rindler supertranslations involves a trajectory-dependent boost, the Killing horizons of the uniformly linearly accelerated trajectories in the future of the shock wave are boosted with respect to each other. In terms of spacetime regions separated by the Rindler horizons, some of the degrees of freedom that prior to the shock wave were inaccessible to a particular Rindler observer become accessible in the future of the shock wave, and vice versa. This leads us to anticipate that the classical memory effect due to the Rindler supertranslations has a counterpart in the thermal aspects of Rindler space quantum field theory, and we plan to address this effect in a future paper [19].
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