Performance testing of a cross-flow membrane-based liquid desiccant dehumidification system
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Abstract

A membrane-based liquid desiccant dehumidification system is one of high energy efficient dehumidification approaches, which allows heat and moisture transfers between air stream and desiccant solution without carryover problem. The system performance is investigated experimentally with calcium chloride, and the impacts of main operating parameters on dehumidification effectiveness (i.e. sensible, latent and total effectiveness) are evaluated, which include dimensionless parameters (i.e. solution to air mass flow rate ratio \(m^*\) and number of heat transfer units \(NTU\)) and solution properties (i.e. concentration \(C_{sol}\) and inlet temperature \(T_{sol,in}\)). The sensible, latent and total effectiveness reach the maximum values of 0.49, 0.55, and 0.53 respectively at \(m^*=3.5\) and \(NTU=12\), and these effectiveness are not limited by \(m^*\) and \(NTU\) when \(m^*>2\) and \(NTU>10\). Both the latent and total effectiveness increase with \(C_{sol}\), while almost no variation is observed in the sensible effectiveness. All effectiveness can be improved by decreasing \(T_{sol,in}\). The experimental data provide a full map of main design parameters for the membrane-based liquid desiccant air conditioning technology.
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Nomenclature

\( A \)  
membrane surface area (m\(^2\))

\( AH \)  
absolute humidity (kg/m\(^3\))

\( c_p \)  
specific heat capacity (J/kgK)

\( C \)  
concentration (%)

\( C_r^* \)  
capacitance ratio

\( d \)  
width of the rectangular channel (m)

\( h \)  
convective heat transfer coefficient (W/m\(^2\)K)

\( H \)  
height of the rectangular channel (m)

\( H^* \)  
operating factor

\( k \)  
thermal conductivity (W/m K)

\( L \)  
characteristic length of the rectangular channel (m)

\( m^* \)  
solution to air mass flow rate ratio

\( \dot{m} \)  
mass flow rate (kg/s)

\( Nu \)  
Nusselt number

\( NTU \)  
number of heat transfer units

\( NTU_m \)  
number of mass transfer units

\( P \)  
atmospheric pressure (pa)

\( P_v \)  
equilibrium vapour pressure of desiccant solution (pa)

\( RH \)  
relative humidity (%)

\( T \)  
temperature (\(^\circ\)C)

\( U \)  
overall heat transfer coefficient (W/m\(^2\)K)

\( \dot{V} \)  
volumetric flow rate (l/min)

\( W \)  
humidity ratio (kg/kg)

Greeks

\( \varepsilon \)  
effectiveness

\( \delta \)  
thickness of membrane (m)

\( \rho \)  
density (kg/m\(^3\))

Subscripts

\( air \)  
air flow

\( crit \)  
critical value

\( in \)  
inlet

\( lat \)  
lateral
1. Introduction

Buildings consume a significant part of the global total energy, particularly heating, ventilation and air-conditioning (HVAC) systems are responsible for around 50% of the energy consumed in buildings [1]. As a matter of fact, the energy consumption for dehumidification process accounts for 20-40% of the total energy used in HVAC systems, and it can be higher when 100% fresh air ventilation is required for better indoor environment [2]. Without proper air dehumidification, occupants would feel uncomfortable and mildew would grow on building interior walls in the humid region. Furthermore, production safety and quality would be seriously affected by high humidity level [2]. It has been shown that the building energy consumption could be decreased by 20-64% with efficient dehumidification technologies [3].

Currently, cooling coil is mostly preferred for dehumidification [4], which adopts cooled water as the cold medium generated from vapour compression system (VCS). The conventional VCS has advantages of good stability in performance, long life and a reasonable electrical COP (between 2 and 4) [5]. However, the working fluids used in VCS such as R-22, R-410A and R-134A with the high global warming potential are harmful to the environment. Furthermore, VCS consumes substantial amount of electrical energy [6]. In the traditional cooling coil, air dehumidification is undertaken simply by cooling air below its dew point for condensation in order to reduce its moisture content. Normally, this type of dehumidification is followed by reheating the dehumidified air to a desired temperature. Consequently, this combined process consumes a considerable amount of energy to cool (typically using a VCS) and heat (using hot water or electricity) the supply air [7].

In the traditional desiccant system, the vapour pressure gradient between humid air and desiccant results in heat and moisture transfers [8, 9]. The system operates using either solid or liquid desiccant. Solid desiccant system is compact, simple and less subject to desiccant carryover and corrosion problems, while liquid desiccant system has lower regeneration temperature, higher dehumidification capacity and lower air side pressure drop [10]. Liquid desiccants can be regenerated using low-grade heat sources such as solar energy, and the regenerated solution can be used as energy storage medium as well [11]. In such way, the liquid desiccant system has been well developed recently.
The traditional liquid desiccant system commonly adopts the packed bed, where air and desiccant are in direct contact. Comprehensive researches have been conducted on the direct contact system [12-15], and it has been found that air conditioning energy consumption reduces by up to 26-80% in the hot and humid climate. However, in the direct contact system, small desiccant droplets are carried over by the supply air to the indoor environment, which badly affects the occupant health, building structure and furniture [2].

Recently, selectively permeable membrane has been used to replace the packed bed as the heat and mass transfer medium to overcome the desiccant droplet carryover problem. Semi-permeable membrane is able to prevent the solution from carrying over into the supply air, while selectively permitting heat and moisture transfers between the liquid desiccant and supply air [2, 16-20]. The selectively permeable membrane can be classified into two types: parallel plate [21-33] and hollow fiber [34-38]. Several researches have been carried out to investigate the membrane-based dehumidifier performance. For example, Moghaddam et al. [21] experimentally and numerically studied different parameter influences on the steady state performance of a small-scale counter-flow liquid-to-air membrane energy exchanger (LAMEE), these parameters include thermal capacity ratio (Cr*), number of heat transfer units (NTU) and number of mass transfer units (NTU_m). Hemingson et al. [22, 23] developed a model of moisture transfer resistance between the membrane and solution for a counter-flow LAMEE, and conducted experimental tests under a range of outdoor weather conditions. Fan et al. [24, 25] built a mathematical model for a single cross-flow LAMEE, which is applied to a run-around LAMEE system consisting of both dehumidifier and regenerator. The impacts of Cr*, NTU and NTU_m on both sensible and latent effectiveness of the run-around system are evaluated. Seyed-Ahmadi et al. [26, 27] developed a mathematical model to simulate the transient behaviours of a single cross-flow LAMEE and a run-around LAMEE, which is also compared with Fan’s steady state model. Apart from counter and cross flows, an innovative flow configuration, counter-cross flow, has been investigated. Vali et al. [28, 29] modelled a run-around LAMEE system using the counter-cross flow exchangers as dehumidifier and regenerator, and assessed the steady state system performance. Moghaddam et al. [30] studied the effect of the direction of heat and mass transfer inside the counter-cross flow LAMEE through experiment and numerical simulation. However, in the above researches, the fundamental data required for mathematical modelling such as Nusselt number (Nu) and Sherwood number (Sh) are simply borrowed from well-known books, which are generally obtained under uniform temperature or heat flux boundary condition. Thus they are unable to reflect the real heat and mass transfer properties. To solve this problem, Huang et al. [31] proposed a mathematical model for the cross-flow parallel-plate membrane module to conjugate heat and mass transfer in a cross-flow LAMEE under a fully developed flow.
condition. The fundamental data of $Nu$ and $Sh$ under various aspect ratios are calculated. However, the assumption of a fully developed flow is not reasonable in this model. Accordingly, they [32] improved this model by considering the effect of the developing entrance length on the fluid flow pattern.

Most of the researches in literatures focus on numerical modelling of heat and mass transfer in LAMEE. Some of them experimentally assess the LAMEE performance for different heat and mass transfer directions or liquid desiccant types [3] [30]. Some researchers analyse the impacts of $NTU$, solution to air mass flow rate ratio ($m^*$), and solution inlet temperature ($T_{sol,in}$) on whole liquid desiccant air-conditioning system [39]. A few studies investigate the membrane-based dehumidifier performance with regard to $NTU$, $m^*$ and solution inlet concentration ($C_{sol}$) [21-25][40]. Thus in order to get a full map of the operating characteristics of a LAMEE, a series of experimental tests are carried out in this study to evaluate the performance of a full-scale membrane-based cross-flow liquid desiccant dehumidifier. The experimental results are presented with regard to the four important operating parameters: $NTU$, $m^*$, $T_{sol,in}$ and $C_{sol}$. This work provides a comprehensive parametric study on the dehumidifier performance through experimental investigations, which supplies valuable data for liquid desiccant air conditioning system design.

2. Test Apparatus and Instrumentation

A test facility is designed and built in the laboratory to assess the performance of a cross-flow membraned-based liquid desiccant dehumidification system under different operating conditions. The test rig mainly consists of a dehumidifier, a regenerator, two solution tanks and three heat exchange units. The schematic diagram of the test rig is shown in Fig. 1, and the dehumidifier specifications and membrane physical properties are given in Table 1.

![Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the laboratory test rig](image-url)
Table 1
Dehumidifier specifications and membrane physical properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L^*$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W^*$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{air}$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{sol}$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{mem}$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>$1.05 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{mem}$</td>
<td>W/mK</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1 Air loop
The outdoor air flows into the dehumidifier where both its moisture content and temperature are reduced by cold desiccant solution, then it leaves the dehumidifier unit at dry and cool state. Its flow rate is controlled by adjusting an AC axial fan rotation speed (ebm-papst Muldingen GmbH & Co. KG). An air conditioning unit and a humidifier are used to simulate the hot and humid weather condition. The dehumidifier structure is illustrated in Fig. 2. The dehumidifier has a dimension of 410mm (L) x 230mm (W) x 210mm (H) with 11 air channels and 11 solution channels. As can be seen in Fig. 2, wavy polyethylene sheets are used to support the air channels. Air and desiccant solution flows are in a cross configuration. Heat and mass transfer takes place in semi-permeable membranes that separate the air and solution channels. Three gauze layers are paved on the top surface of the dehumidifier unit to ensure even solution distribution.

Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of the dehumidifier
2.2 Liquid loop

Calcium chloride (CaCl₂) solution is circulated in the system by two identical pumps (15W centrifugal magnetically driven type with flow rate range of 0-10L/min) and their flow rates are measured by two liquid flow indicators (Parker UCC PET 1-15 L/min). Before entering the dehumidifier, the strong solution is pre-cooled in a brazed plate heat exchanger HX2 by the weak solution, and further cooled in HX3 by cold water. Afterwards, the strong solution is pumped into the dehumidifier and sprayed through a nozzle. Then the strong solution flows downwards in the solution channels, absorbs the moisture from the air and becomes weak solution. The weak solution is then pumped into HX2 for pre-heating, followed by further-heating in HX1 by hot water. The heated weak solution is pumped into the regenerator. The re-concentrated solution from the regenerator is collected by a stainless steel solution tank. Then the strong solution is pumped out of the strong solution tank to HX2 and a whole circuit is completed. The desiccant solution and air transport properties are listed in Table 2.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(k_{\text{air}})</td>
<td>W/mK</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k_{\text{sol}})</td>
<td>W/mK</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_{\text{air}})</td>
<td>m²/s</td>
<td>(2.46 \times 10^{-5})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_{\text{sol}})</td>
<td>m²/s</td>
<td>(8.92 \times 10^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c_{p,\text{air}})</td>
<td>J/kgK</td>
<td>1020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c_{p,\text{sol}})</td>
<td>J/kgK</td>
<td>3200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho_{\text{air}})</td>
<td>kg/m³</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 Instrumentation

Air velocities through the dehumidifier and regenerator are measured at the air duct outlets by a thermo-anemometer (Testo 405) with a measuring range up to 10m/s. All fans at the inlets of the dehumidifier and regenerator are equipped with infinitely variable speed controllers to adjust air flow rates. All air inlets and outlets are instrumented with humidity and temperature sensors (Sensirion Evaluation KIT EK-H4). Water and desiccant solution temperatures are measured with K-type thermocouples, and all sensors are connected to a DT500 data logger. The dehumidifier, regenerator, heat exchangers, storage tanks and pipes are well insulated to reduce the environment influence.

A correlation based on Melinder’s work [41] is used to determine the solution concentration, which is a function of solution density and temperature. The correlation is given as:

\[
C_{\text{sol}} = -253.147703 + 0.0443853996T_{\text{sol}} + 0.000163666247T_{\text{sol}}^2 \\
+ 0.331709855\rho_{\text{sol}} - 0.000079370267\rho_{\text{sol}}^2
\]  

(1)
Where $C_{sol}$ is solution concentration (%), $T_{sol}$ is solution temperature (°C) and $\rho_{sol}$ is solution density (g/ml). The solution density is measured by Brannan hydrometers. All measurement devices and their accuracies are listed in Table 3.

Table 3: Measurement devices and uncertainties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Testo thermos-anemometer</td>
<td>Air velocity</td>
<td>0-10 m/s</td>
<td>±5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensiron Evaluation KIT EK-H4</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>-40-125 °C</td>
<td>±0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative humidity</td>
<td>0-100 %</td>
<td>±3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-type thermocouple probe</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>0-1100 °C</td>
<td>±0.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT500 Datalogger</td>
<td>Data acquisition</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>±0.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker UCC PET liquid flow indicator</td>
<td>Solution flow rate</td>
<td>1-15 L/min</td>
<td>±5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker liquid flow indicator</td>
<td>Water flow rate</td>
<td>2-22 L/min</td>
<td>±2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brannan hydrometer</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>1-1.2 g/ml</td>
<td>±2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brannan hydrometer</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>1.2-1.4 g/ml</td>
<td>±2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4 Uncertainty analysis

Uncertainty analysis provides a measure of the errors during a measurement associated with a calculated value. Thus it is of vital importance to estimate uncertainties during the experiment. Based on a method of propagation of uncertainties introduced by Taylor [42], when the computed value $q$ is any function of several variables $x, \cdots, z$, the uncertainty of $q$ can be obtained by:

$$
\delta q = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \delta x\right)^2 + \cdots + \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial z} \delta z\right)^2}
$$

(2)

Based on Eq. (2), the absolute uncertainty of a calculated value can be derived. Error bars are included in the graphs for experimental result analyses. The detail uncertainties for all target measurements are given in Appendix.

3 Experimental methodology

The system performance indicators and relevant parameters are defined in this section, and the experimental procedures for dimensionless parameter and solution property tests are presented.

3.1 Dehumidifier performance evaluation

3.1.1 Operating parameters

3.1.1.1 Capacitance ratio ($C_r^*$)

Heat capacity rate is defined as the product of specific heat capacity and mass flow rate ($W/K$). Thus the heat capacities of desiccant solution and air are expressed by Eqs. (3)-(4) [43].

$$
C_{sol} = \dot{m}_{sol}c_{p,sol}
$$

(3)

$$
C_{air} = \dot{m}_{air}c_{p,air}
$$

(4)
Where $\dot{m}_{sol}$ is solution mass flow rate (kg/s), $\dot{m}_{air}$ is air mass flow rate (kg/s), $c_{p,sol}$ is solution specific heat capacity (J/kgK) and $c_{p,air}$ is air specific heat capacity (J/kgK).

Then the capacitance ratio (or heat capacity rate ratio) $C_r^*$ is given by Eq. (5) [11].

$$C_r^* = \frac{c_{sol}}{c_{air}} \frac{\dot{m}_{sol} c_{p,sol}}{\dot{m}_{air} c_{p,air}}$$

### 3.1.1.2 Solution to air mass flow rate ratio ($m^*$)

Solution to air mass flow rate ratio is a measurement of relative flow rate of two heat exchanging fluids. In this experiment, the solution to air mass flow rate ratio ($m^*$) is used since it is a more straightforward parameter. The solution to air flow rate ratio is defined as:

$$m^* = \frac{\dot{m}_{sol}}{\dot{m}_{air}}$$

### 3.1.1.3 Operating factor ($H^*$)

Operating factor is a dimensionless number defined as the ratio between the latent energy difference and sensible energy difference for the air and desiccant solution at the inlets [29].

$$H^* = \frac{\Delta H_{lat}}{\Delta h_{sem}} \approx 2500 \frac{W_{air,in} - W_{sol,in}}{T_{air,in} - T_{sol,in}}$$

Where $T_{air,in}$ and $T_{sol,in}$ are air and solution temperatures respectively (°C). $W_{air,in}$ is air humidity ratio (kg/kg) and $W_{sol,in}$ is solution equilibrium humidity ratio (kg/kg).

### 3.1.1.4 Number of heat transfer units (NTU)

*Effectiveness-NTU* method is one of the most commonly used ways for heat exchanger analysis. Compared with *log-mean-temperature-difference* method, it provides a superior way to analyse heat exchanger in terms of non-dimensional variables [44].

$$NTU = \frac{UA}{c_{min}}$$

Where $U$ is the overall heat transfer coefficient (W/m²K), $A$ is membrane surface area (m²), $c_{min}$ is the minimum value of air and desiccant solution heat capacity rates (W/K), $h_{air}$ is air convective heat transfer coefficient (W/m²K), $h_{sol}$ is solution convective heat transfer coefficient (W/m²K), $\delta$ is membrane thickness (m) and $k_{mem}$ is membrane thermal conductivity (W/mK).

### 3.1.1.5 Number of mass transfer units (NTU_m)

The number of mass transfer units is defined as following:

$$NTU_m = \frac{U_m A}{m_{min}}$$

Where $U_m$ is the overall mass transfer coefficient (kg/m²s), $A$ is membrane surface area (m²), $m_{min}$ is the minimum value of air and desiccant solution mass flow rates (kg/s), $h_{m,air}$ is air convective heat transfer coefficient (kg/m²s), $h_{m,sol}$ is solution convective heat transfer coefficient (kg/m²s), $\delta$ is membrane thickness (m) and $k_{mem}$ is membrane thermal conductivity (kg/m²s).
Where $U_m$ is the overall mass transfer coefficient ($kg/m^2s$), $\dot{m}_{min}$ is the minimum mass flow rate of air and desiccant solution ($kg/s$), $h_{m,air}$ is convective mass transfer coefficient of air ($kg/m^2s$), $h_{m,sol}$ is convective mass transfer coefficient of desiccant solution ($kg/m^2s$), $\delta$ is thickness of membrane ($m$), $k_m$ is membrane water permeability ($kg/m s$). It has been showed the convective mass transfer coefficient of desiccant solution is much higher than that of the air, thus $\frac{1}{h_{m,sol}}$ can be neglected for the simplicity.

3.1.2 Effectiveness

Effectiveness is the most important parameter used to evaluate the performance of a heat and mass exchanger [45]. Three types of effectiveness have been defined in this study: sensible effectiveness ($\varepsilon_{sen}$), latent effectiveness ($\varepsilon_{lat}$) and total effectiveness ($\varepsilon_{tot}$). $\varepsilon_{sen}$ is the ratio between the actual and maximum possible rates of sensible heat transfer in a heat exchanger. $\varepsilon_{lat}$ is the ratio between the actual and the maximum possible moisture transfer rates in a mass exchanger. $\varepsilon_{tot}$ is the ratio between the actual and maximum possible energy (enthalpy) transfer rates in a heat and mass exchanger. The capacity rate of desiccant solution is higher than that of the air, which means $Cr^* \geq 1$, then the sensible, latent and total effectiveness are defined by Eqs. (12) - (14) [46].

\[
\varepsilon_{sen} = \frac{\Delta T_{air,in} - \Delta T_{air,out}}{T_{air,in} - T_{sol,in}} \quad (12)
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{lat} = \frac{\Delta W_{air,in} - \Delta W_{air,out}}{W_{air,in} - W_{sol,in}} \quad (13)
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{tot} = \frac{\varepsilon_{sen} + H^* \varepsilon_{lat}}{1 + H^*} \quad (14)
\]

Where $T_{air,out}$ is air temperature at the outlet ($^\circC$) and $W_{air,out}$ is air humidity ratio at the outlet ($kg/kg$).

3.2 Experimental procedure

3.2.1 Dimensionless parameter tests

At first, the experiment aims to explore the impacts of number of heat transfer units ($NTU$) and solution to air mass flow rate ratio ($m^*$) on the dehumidifier performance. The air inlet condition is set at a temperature of $30^\circC$ and relative humidity (RH) of 70%, and the solution concentration is 39%. $NTU$ is set in the range of 4 to 12. For each $NTU$, seven tests are conducted with $m^*$ set as 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3 and 3.5. Because air heat capacity rate is always lower than desiccant solution’s, thus Eq. (8) can be written as:

\[
NTU = \frac{UA}{\dot{m}_{air} \varepsilon_{p,air}} \quad (15)
\]

In order to determine the required air mass flow rate for a corresponding $NTU$, the overall heat transfer coefficient ($U$ value) needs to be decided at first. According to Eq. (9), $\delta$ and $k_{mem}$ are physical properties of the membrane material, so $h_{air}$ and $h_{sol}$ need to be determined. In this
experiment, these two parameters are obtained from air side Nusselt number \((Nu_{air})\) and solution side Nusselt number \((Nu_{sol})\).

Many literatures have investigated \(Nu\) with different channel aspect ratios based on a constant temperature or heat flux boundary condition. However, according to Huang’s comments [31], these values are unable to accurately reflect heat and mass transfer properties in the membrane module since membrane surface boundary condition is neither uniform temperature (concentration) nor uniform heat flux (mass flux). In literature [31], the natural formed boundary layer has been simulated and the values of \(Nu\) under different channel aspect ratios are derived as given in Table 4.

**Table 4**

Fully developed Nusselt numbers \(Nu_{C,a}\) for air side and \(Nu_{C,s}\) for solution side in the parallel-plate membrane channel for various aspect ratios [31]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect ratio</th>
<th>(Nu_{C,a})</th>
<th>(Nu_{C,s})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>4.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>5.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>6.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td>7.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>8.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\infty)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The air and solution side aspect ratios are 27 and 47 respectively in this study, thus the corresponding Nusselt numbers can be calculated: \(Nu_{air} = 6.58\), \(Nu_{sol} = 7.74\) referred to Table 2. The characteristic length of a rectangular channel can be obtained by applying \(L = (4dH)/(2(d+H))\), where \(d\) is the channel width (m) and \(H\) is the channel height (m), which are given in Table 1. For the dehumidifier, the air side and solution side characteristic length are \(0.015m\) and \(0.008m\) respectively. Subsequently, \(h_{air}\) and \(h_{sol}\) can be derived as 13.16 \(W/m^2K\) and 532.13 \(W/m^2K\) respectively. Then the \(U\) value is calculated as 12.78 \(W/mK\). For a given \(NTU\), the required air mass flow rate can be derived from Eq. (15), correspondingly a series of \(m^*\) values are obtained. Based on Eq. (6), once the air mass flow rate is determined, a series of solution mass flow rates corresponding to different \(m^*\) can be obtained as well. All target measurements are shown in Table 5.
Target measurements for dimensionless parameter tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NTU</th>
<th>$m^*$</th>
<th>$C_r^*$</th>
<th>$m_{sol}$ (kg/s)</th>
<th>$V_{sol}$ (l/min)</th>
<th>$m_{sol}$ (kg/s)</th>
<th>$V_{sol}$ (l/min)</th>
<th>$m_{sol}$ (kg/s)</th>
<th>$V_{sol}$ (l/min)</th>
<th>$m_{sol}$ (kg/s)</th>
<th>$V_{sol}$ (l/min)</th>
<th>$m_{sol}$ (kg/s)</th>
<th>$V_{sol}$ (l/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>1.292</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.646</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>2.584</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>1.722</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>1.292</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>1.033</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>3.875</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>2.584</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>1.938</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>1.550</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>1.292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>5.168</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>3.445</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>2.584</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>2.067</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>1.722</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>6.459</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>4.306</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>3.230</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>2.584</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>2.153</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>5.167</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>3.875</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>3.100</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>2.584</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>10.85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>6.028</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>4.521</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>3.617</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>3.014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.2 Solution property tests

The next stage of experiment aims to investigate the dehumidifier performance variations with solution inlet temperature ($T_{sol}$) and solution concentration ($C_{sol}$). In this stage, the air inlet condition is set as 30ºC and 70% RH, and NTU and $m^*$ are set to be 8 and 2 respectively. The testing range of the solution temperature is from 18ºC to 23ºC. For each NTU, three solution concentrations are tested: 33%, 36% and 39%. Since NTU and $m^*$ are kept constant, the air and solution flow rates are unchanged. The air mass flow rate is calculated to be 0.030 kg/s and the solution mass flow rate is 0.061 kg/s (volume flow rate 2.583 l/min).

For analysis, the air specific humidity or humidity ratio ($kg/kg$) needs to be determined. A correlation between RH (%) and absolute humidity (AH) ($kg/m^3$) is derived by Mander [47]:

$$AH = \frac{6.112 \times 10^{-3} \times RH \times 17.67 \times T}{1000 \times (273.15 + T)}$$ (16)

Where $T$ is air temperature (ºC). Then air specific humidity $W_{air}$ ($kg/kg$) can be calculated by:

$$W_{air} = \frac{AH}{\rho_{air}}$$ (17)

Where $\rho_{air}$ is air density (kg/m$^3$).

The equilibrium specific humidity ($W_{sol}$) is used to calculate both the sensible and latent effectiveness, the relationship between the specific humidity and vapour pressure is given by [40]:

$$W_{sol} = 0.62198 \frac{P_v}{P - P_v}$$ (18)

Where $P$ is the atmospheric pressure (Pa) and $P_v$ is vapour pressure of desiccant solution (Pa).

The equilibrium vapour pressure of desiccant solution is a function of $T_{sol}$ and $C_{sol}$ ($P_v = f(T_{sol}, C_{sol})$), the correlation is given by [49]:

$$Log P_v = KL \left[ A - \frac{B}{T - E_s} \right] + \left[ C - \frac{D}{T - E_s} \right]$$ (19)
Where $P_v$ is solution equilibrium vapour pressure (kPa), $K$ is an electrolyte parameter relating to solute ($CaCl_2$); $A, B, C, D$ and $E_s$ are parameters regarding to solvent (water). Accordingly, a psychrometric chart of CaCl$_2$ is plotted and shown in Fig. 3.

![Fig. 3. Psychometric chart of CaCl$_2$](image)

3.3 Experiment validation based on analytical solution

Experimental results are validated by comparing to Zhang and Niu’s analytical solution for enthalpy exchanger with membrane cores. According to their research, the sensible effectiveness is a function of two dimensionless parameters, $NTU$ and $C_r^*$. For unmixed cross flow, the function can be presented as [50]:

$$
\varepsilon_s = 1 - \exp\left[\frac{\exp(-NTU^{0.78}C_r^{*-1}) - 1}{NTU^{-0.22}C_r^{*-1}}\right] \quad (20)
$$

Similar to sensible effectiveness, the latent effectiveness can be calculated:

$$
\varepsilon_l = 1 - \exp\left[\frac{NTU^{0.22}}{m^{*-1}} \exp(-m^{*-1}NTU_m^{0.78}) - 1 \right] \quad (21)
$$

4. Results and Discussion

Forty five experimental tests have been conducted to achieve the objectives of this study. Based on the experimental results, the influences of main operating parameters on the system performance are analysed.

4.1 Effects of dimensionless parameters

Two dimensionless parameters, $m^*$ and $NTU$, are examined to identify their influences on the dehumidifier performance, experimental results are compared to Zhang’s analytical solution [50]. The effectiveness experimental and analytical results under $m^* = 0.5$ and 1 are shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5.
The variation trends of experimental data are similar to that of the analytical results for both sensible and latent effectiveness under $m^* = 0.5$ and 1. However, the sensible effectiveness discrepancies between them are significant, and the analytical results are higher for both $m^*$. The discrepancies between experimental and analytical results are caused by the following assumptions. Firstly, membrane frosting, membrane fouling, and mal-distribution effects are neglected in the analytical models. Secondly, the inhomogeneous membrane properties, such
as thickness and thermal conductivity, are not considered in the analytical models. Last but not least, the laminar flow is assumed for the air stream in the models to calculate convective heat and mass transfer coefficients. However the amount of heat and mass transfer enhancements are not considered, which could be another source of discrepancy between experimental and analytical results.

The variations of the sensible, latent and total effectiveness with $m^*$ and $NTU$ are shown in Fig.6. Comparatively the sensible effectiveness is the lowest one among these three effectiveness at the same $m^*$ and $NTU$, while the latent effectiveness is the highest one. The maximum values of the sensible, latent and total effectiveness are 0.478, 0.561 and 0.539 respectively when $m^* = 3.5$ and $NTU = 12$. Oppositely, the lowest values of these effectiveness are 0.167, 0.181, and 0.177 when $m^* = 0.5$ and $NTU = 4$. The separate effects of $m^*$ and $NTU$ are addressed in the following sections.

![Fig. 6. Variations of effectiveness: (a) sensible effectiveness (b) latent effectiveness and (c) total effectiveness with $m^*$ and $NTU$](image)

### 4.1.1 Effect of mass flow rate ratio $m^*$

The effectiveness under each testing condition can be obtained on the basis of the theories in section 3.1. One example of the effectiveness at $NTU = 6$ is given in Table 6, the variations of the sensible, latent and total effectiveness with $m^*$ under different $NTUs$ are shown in Figs. 7–9.

**Table 6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m^*$</th>
<th>$C_0^*$</th>
<th>$\theta_{air,in}$ (°C)</th>
<th>$\theta_{air,out}$ (°C)</th>
<th>$\theta_{sol,in}$ (°C)</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{sen}$</th>
<th>$H^*$</th>
<th>$W_{air,in}$ (kJ/kg)</th>
<th>$W_{air,out}$ (kJ/kg)</th>
<th>$W_{sol,in}$ (kJ/kg)</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{lat}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{total}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.565</td>
<td>30.293</td>
<td>28.710</td>
<td>19.909</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>2.874</td>
<td>0.0180</td>
<td>0.0152</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td>0.3093</td>
<td>0.2937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>30.045</td>
<td>27.788</td>
<td>20.162</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>3.050</td>
<td>0.0182</td>
<td>0.0146</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>0.3728</td>
<td>0.3622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.695</td>
<td>30.416</td>
<td>27.952</td>
<td>21.178</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>3.173</td>
<td>0.0183</td>
<td>0.0145</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
<td>0.3972</td>
<td>0.3919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>29.981</td>
<td>27.178</td>
<td>20.564</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>3.158</td>
<td>0.0182</td>
<td>0.0138</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>0.4389</td>
<td>0.4305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7.825</td>
<td>29.652</td>
<td>26.894</td>
<td>20.804</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>3.265</td>
<td>0.0180</td>
<td>0.0137</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>0.4446</td>
<td>0.4399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>29.468</td>
<td>26.835</td>
<td>20.490</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>3.416</td>
<td>0.0182</td>
<td>0.0130</td>
<td>0.0055</td>
<td>0.4361</td>
<td>0.4332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>10.85</td>
<td>29.790</td>
<td>26.701</td>
<td>20.369</td>
<td>0.434</td>
<td>2.981</td>
<td>0.0175</td>
<td>0.0133</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.4435</td>
<td>0.4411</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 7. Sensible effectiveness variations with $m^*$ under different NTUs

Fig. 8. Latent effectiveness variations with $m^*$ under different NTUs
It is evident that the sensible, latent and total effectiveness increase with $m^*$. For instance, at $NTU=6$, the sensible effectiveness increases from 0.249 to 0.434 as $m^*$ changes from 0.5 to 3.5. In the meanwhile, the latent and total effectiveness increase from 0.309 to 0.444 and from 0.294 to 0.441 respectively. However, the gradients of their increases become less moderate when $m^*$ is in the range of 0.5 to 2, and a slight variation is observed once $m^*$ is over 2. Similar effects of mass flow rate ratio are presented in literature [29], these effectiveness (sensible, latent and total) reach the maximum values and the dehumidification system has the highest efficiency when heat capacitance ratio $Cr^*$ reaches a critical value $Cr_{crit}$ (around 6.26). These effectiveness increase with $Cr^*$ and is more sensitive to $Cr^*$ at lower $Cr^*$ [51, 52]. As the heat capacitance ratio $Cr^*$ is proportional to the mass flow rate ratio $m^*$, the effectiveness variations with $m^*$ are similar to that with $Cr^*$. Therefore a similar critical value of $m^*$ is defined as $m_{crit}^*$, which is 2 in this study. A similar trend obtained from numerical modelling is found in literatures [24, 25], both the sensible and latent effectiveness increase with $m^*$ when $m^* < 1$, but they are nearly constant when $m^* \geq 1$. So in most cases, it is desirable to maintain the dehumidification system operating at a condition where $m^*$ is equal to $m_{crit}^*$. It is also worth mentioning that at a low $NTU$, all effectiveness are very low especially for the latent effectiveness. For instance, at $NTU = 4$, the latent effectiveness is in the range of 0.181 to 0.265. Thus there is hardly benefit to increase $m^*$ or $Cr^*$ for performance improvement at low $NTU$. On the other hand, the increase rate of the sensible effectiveness is more significant compared with that of the latent effectiveness at the same $NTU$. For instance, at $NTU = 6$, the sensible effectiveness increases by 74% when $m^*$ increases from 0.5 to 3.5, while the latent effectiveness...
effectiveness only rises by 43%. Similarly, at $NTU = 8$, the sensible effectiveness increases by 68% in the same mass flow rate ratio range, whereas the latent effectiveness rises only by 35.4%.

4.1.2 Effect of number of heat transfer units NTU

**Fig. 10.** Sensible effectiveness variations with $NTU$ under different $m^*$

**Fig. 11.** Latent effectiveness variations with $NTU$ under different $m^*$
The variations of three effectiveness with NTU under different $m^*$ are presented in Figs. 10-12. Compared to the flow rate, the non-dimensional group NTU is a comprehensive indicating parameter because it eliminates the impact of channel geometric properties. Significant increases of the sensible, latent and total effectiveness with NTU can be found when NTU is in the range of 4 to 6, and the associated gradients reduce from NTU = 8 and at the end the gradients are becoming negligible. These trends indicate that at a high NTU, the effectiveness improvements are no longer limited by NTU, in other words, increasing NTU will not enhance the system efficiency. Similar to $Cr^*_\text{crit}$ and $m^*_\text{crit}$ mentioned previously, a critical NTU exists and is defined as NTU$_{\text{crit}}$. All effectiveness reach the maximum as NTU reaches the critical value NTU$_{\text{crit}}$, which is 8 in this experiment. These results show a good agreement with numerical simulation data of a cross-flow air-to-air enthalpy exchanger with hydrophilic membrane cores in literature [53]. The effectiveness of the enthalpy exchanger increase with NTU when NTU is in the range of 0 to 5 and the increase gradients become moderate when NTU is greater than 5. A similar effectiveness variation trend is indicated in literature [39] as well, both the supply air humidity ratio and temperature decrease as NTU increases from 1 to 10. However, less significant effects on the system performance are noted when NTU > 10.
The variations of the sensible, latent and total effectiveness with NTU at $m^* = 3.5$ are plotted in Fig. 13. The maximum sensible effective is around 0.478 at NTU = 12, while the maximum latent and total effectiveness are approximately 0.561 and 0.539 respectively. At the same $m^*$, the latent effectiveness is higher than the sensible effectiveness. One reason restricting the sensible effectiveness is high cold water temperature. The sensible effectiveness is limited seriously by the inlet solution temperature, which depends on the cold water temperature in the system. Another reason is that the solution cannot be evenly spayed to the membrane surface, especially at high NTU and low $m^*$, for example when the solution mass flow rate is very low. Therefore, spray nozzle with a larger volumetric spray distribution pattern should be used to improve dehumidification performance. The latent effectiveness is strongly affected by the membrane vapour diffusion resistance, which is related to membrane water permeability. Thus the latent effectiveness can be improved by increasing the membrane water permeability [54]. This can be implemented by utilizing porous membranes or increasing membrane surface area [28, 29]. However, the porous membrane that has lower vapour diffusion resistance may lead to the problem of droplets carryover. Additionally, the bigger size membrane results in higher air pressure drop, and thus more fan power is required. Moreover, the crystallization of the desiccant would considerably affect heat and mass transfer in the dehumidifier by changing the membrane water permeability [28]. As a result, investigations in the optimum membrane vapour diffusivity with considerations of latent effectiveness, carryover and fan power are needed for further research.

**Fig. 13.** Effectiveness variations with NTU under $m^* = 3.5$
To sum up, both the sensible and latent effectiveness of dehumidifier reach their maximum values at $m^*_{\text{crit}} = 2$ and $NTU_{\text{crit}} = 8$, and the gradients of their increases hardly change as $m^*$ is over $m^*_{\text{crit}}$ and $NTU$ is over $NTU_{\text{crit}}$. The sensible effectiveness can be improved by utilizing spray nozzle with a larger volumetric spray distribution pattern, and the latent effectiveness can be enhanced by increasing membrane water permeability.

4.2 Effects of solution properties

The influences of desiccant solution properties on the system performance are investigated, the main parameters of the solution properties are solution concentration $C_{\text{sol}}$ and inlet temperature $T_{\text{sol, in}}$. The variations of the sensible, latent and total effectiveness with $C_{\text{sol}}$ and $T_{\text{sol, in}}$ are presented in Fig. 14, with $NTU$ and $m^*$ set at 8 and 2 respectively. The sensible effectiveness reaches the maximum value of 0.446 when $C_{\text{sol}} = 33\%$ and $T_{\text{sol, in}} = 18\degree C$, and its minimum value is 0.424 when $C_{\text{sol}} = 39\%$ and $T_{\text{sol, in}} = 23\degree C$. By contrast, the maximum latent effectiveness is 0.538 at $C_{\text{sol}} = 39\%$ and $T_{\text{sol, in}} = 18\degree C$, and its minimum value is 0.372 at $C_{\text{sol}} = 33\%$ and $T_{\text{sol, in}} = 23\degree C$. For the total effectiveness, its maximum value is 0.510 at $C_{\text{sol}} = 39\%$ and $T_{\text{sol, in}} = 18\degree C$, and the minimum one is 0.389 when $C_{\text{sol}} = 33\%$ and $T_{\text{sol, in}} = 23\degree C$. The effects of $C_{\text{sol}}$ and $T_{\text{sol, in}}$ on the effectiveness are analysed separately in the following sections.

**Fig. 14.** Variations of effectiveness: (a) sensible effectiveness (b) latent effectiveness and (c) total effectiveness with $C_{\text{sol}}$ and $T_{\text{sol, in}}$
4.2.1 Effect of solution concentration $C_{sol}$

**Fig. 15.** Sensible effectiveness variations with $C_{sol}$ under different $T_{sol,in}$

**Fig. 16.** Latent effectiveness variations with $C_{sol}$ under different $T_{sol,in}$
The solution concentration has a significant effect on the system performance since it is directly related to the surface vapour pressure. The variations of the effectiveness with $C_{sol}$ are shown in Figs. 15-17, it can be seen that increasing concentration has different impacts on the sensible, latent and total effectiveness. The sensible effectiveness is negatively related to $C_{sol}$, while the latent and total effectiveness are positively related to $C_{sol}$. At the inlet solution temperature of 21°C, the sensible effectiveness decreases from 0.442 to 0.439 as the solution concentration increases from 33% to 39%, while the latent and total effectiveness increase from 0.402 to 0.434 and from 0.414 to 0.435 respectively. The sensible effectiveness is insensitive to the solution concentration as only a slight decrease with the concentration can be seen. This is because the increase of latent effectiveness would lead to more latent heat to be released to the air channel during condensation on the solution side membrane surface. In the meanwhile, the convective heat transfer coefficient on the air side is relatively low, as a result, the sensible effectiveness would be slightly decreased. For instance, at $T_{sol,in} = 18$°C, the sensible effective decreases by 0.67% when $C_{sol}$ increases from 33% to 39%. Meanwhile, the latent and total effectiveness increase by 19.6% and 13.8% respectively.
4.2.2 Effect of solution inlet temperature $T_{sol,in}$

Fig. 18. Sensible effectiveness variations with $T_{sol,in}$ under different $C_{sol}$

Fig. 19. Latent effectiveness variations with $T_{sol,in}$ under different $C_{sol}$
The solution inlet temperature $T_{sol,in}$ is another key parameter influencing the system performance as it is related to the surface vapour pressure as well. It is clearly reflected in Figs. 18-20 that unlike the impacts of $C_{sol}$, these effectiveness decrease accordingly with the solution inlet temperature. This is attributed to the reduction of vapour pressure at the solution side. Similar effects are stated in literature [53], the lower solution inlet temperature leads to the lower conditioned air temperature and humidity ratio, which contributes to the higher sensible and latent effectiveness.

The impact of $T_{sol,in}$ on the sensible effectiveness is far less than that on the latent one. This means that the sensible effectiveness is also insensitive to $T_{sol,in}$. Similar to the impact of $C_{sol}$, this is mainly due to the fact that the increasing of latent effectiveness would contribute to more latent heat to be released to the air channel during the process of condensation. As a result, the sensible effectiveness is weakened. For instance, at $C_{sol} = 33\%$, the sensible effectiveness decreases by 2.9\% as the solution inlet temperature increases from 18°C to 23°C, while the latent and total effectiveness reduce by 17.3\% and by 13.1\% respectively.

It is also found that at different concentrations, the solution temperature has different effects on the effectiveness. The higher the solution concentration, the more significant effect the solution temperature has. As the solution temperature reduces from 23°C to 18°C, the sensible effectiveness increases by 4.5\% at the solution concentration of 39\%, by 3.3\% and 3.0\% at the solution concentrations of 36\% and 33\% respectively. In terms of the latent effectiveness, when the solution temperature decreases from 23°C to 18°C, the latent effectiveness rises by 28.4\% at the solution concentration of 39\%, by 23.2\% at the concentration of 36\% and by 21\% at the
concentration of 33%. Thus decreasing solution temperature would be a more effective way for the performance improvement with the high concentration solution.

To sum up, the system can achieve higher latent effectiveness at lower solution temperature and higher concentration, which is clearly noted in Fig. 14 (b). The solution temperature and concentration have more significant influences on the latent effectiveness compared with the sensible effectiveness. As shown in Fig. 14 (a), the sensible effectiveness hardly varies with the solution temperature and concentration. A similar statement is presented in literature [53], which indicates the sensible effectiveness of a cross-flow membrane-based enthalpy exchanger is not sensitive to its operating conditions. The system dehumidification performance can be improved by increasing the solution concentration and lowering the solution inlet temperature. Comparatively, increasing the solution concentration is preferred in the liquid desiccant system, because more energy is needed to achieve lower solution inlet temperature. However, the use of highly concentrated solution could cause the crystallization problem, which leads to fluid mal-distribution, blockage of the channels, high pumping pressure and membrane fouling. Therefore, the solution properties need to be assessed to avoid crystallization risk [54].

5. Conclusions

The performance evaluation of a cross-flow membrane-based dehumidification system with CaCl₂ desiccant solution is carried out experimentally in this study. The influences of main operating parameters on dehumidification effectiveness (sensible, latent and total effectiveness) have been assessed, which include number of heat transfer units (NTU), solution to air mass flow rate ratio (m*), solution inlet temperature (Tsol, in) and concentration (Csol). Following key points can be concluded based on the experimental results:

- The sensible, latent and total effectiveness increase with m* and NTU. However, the increase gradients hardly change when m* and NTU are over m* crit and NTU crit respectively.
- It is desirable to operate the system at the critical condition where m* crit = 2 and NTU crit = 8.
- The sensible effectiveness is the lowest one among the three effectiveness at the same m* and NTU, while the latent effectiveness is the highest one. The increase rate with NTU in the sensible effectiveness is more significant compared to that in the latent effectiveness.
- The sensible effectiveness can be improved by utilizing spray nozzle with a larger volumetric spray distribution pattern, while the latent effectiveness can be increased by enhancing membrane water permeability.
Both the latent and total effectiveness increase with the solution concentration while the sensible effectiveness nearly has no variation. All effectiveness can be improved by decreasing the solution inlet temperature.

Increasing solution concentration is a preferable way to improve dehumidification efficiency with less energy consumption. However, the operating condition needs to be assessed to avoid crystallization risk for high concentrated solution.

Future research work will be conducted to explore the impacts of various liquid desiccants on the system performance.
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Appendix

Absolute uncertainties for dimensionless parameter tests are given in Table A.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m^*$</th>
<th>NTU</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{sen}}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{lat}}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{tot}}$</th>
<th>$m^*$</th>
<th>NTU</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{sen}}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{lat}}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{tot}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.01615</td>
<td>0.06213</td>
<td>0.044883</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.014702</td>
<td>0.042904</td>
<td>0.03229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.016724</td>
<td>0.060976</td>
<td>0.044846</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.014907</td>
<td>0.042307</td>
<td>0.032089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.018229</td>
<td>0.060499</td>
<td>0.045483</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.015979</td>
<td>0.049164</td>
<td>0.036836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.017755</td>
<td>0.059658</td>
<td>0.044874</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.013815</td>
<td>0.043305</td>
<td>0.031994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.018229</td>
<td>0.061614</td>
<td>0.046308</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.013669</td>
<td>0.041306</td>
<td>0.030547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.014997</td>
<td>0.051354</td>
<td>0.038294</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0141</td>
<td>0.041523</td>
<td>0.030913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.015058</td>
<td>0.048103</td>
<td>0.036417</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.014249</td>
<td>0.041133</td>
<td>0.03077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.016149</td>
<td>0.04873</td>
<td>0.037254</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.01429</td>
<td>0.039403</td>
<td>0.029659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.015396</td>
<td>0.045433</td>
<td>0.034703</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.015076</td>
<td>0.039804</td>
<td>0.030384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.016223</td>
<td>0.046097</td>
<td>0.035492</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.017679</td>
<td>0.0459</td>
<td>0.035811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.016694</td>
<td>0.046315</td>
<td>0.036027</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.014121</td>
<td>0.044403</td>
<td>0.03252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.015134</td>
<td>0.046261</td>
<td>0.03485</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.013709</td>
<td>0.04044</td>
<td>0.030115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.014984</td>
<td>0.048837</td>
<td>0.03626</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.016475</td>
<td>0.041759</td>
<td>0.032289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.014602</td>
<td>0.045189</td>
<td>0.033609</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.014109</td>
<td>0.040556</td>
<td>0.030278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.015736</td>
<td>0.045647</td>
<td>0.034502</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.015603</td>
<td>0.039519</td>
<td>0.030438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.014731</td>
<td>0.04392</td>
<td>0.032928</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.014506</td>
<td>0.040724</td>
<td>0.030244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.01483</td>
<td>0.044008</td>
<td>0.033099</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Absolute uncertainties for solution property tests are given in Table A.2.

**Table A.2**
Absolute uncertainties for solution property tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_{sol, in}$ (°C)</th>
<th>$C_{sol}$ (%)</th>
<th>$\delta_{sen}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{lat}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{tot}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18.142</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.01084</td>
<td>0.053881</td>
<td>0.035335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.058</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.010978</td>
<td>0.04145</td>
<td>0.029275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.103</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.010862</td>
<td>0.037063</td>
<td>0.026455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.498</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.013142</td>
<td>0.055662</td>
<td>0.038496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.903</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.011601</td>
<td>0.042919</td>
<td>0.030657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.396</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.012323</td>
<td>0.038406</td>
<td>0.028218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.395</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.016322</td>
<td>0.065111</td>
<td>0.045988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.975</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.014607</td>
<td>0.046138</td>
<td>0.034533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.190</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.016092</td>
<td>0.04561</td>
<td>0.034219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.220</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.020806</td>
<td>0.075518</td>
<td>0.054722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.999</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.017421</td>
<td>0.054272</td>
<td>0.04077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.388</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.02154</td>
<td>0.049277</td>
<td>0.039237</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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