Title: The DSF Family of Quorum Sensing Signals: Diversity, Biosynthesis and Turnover

Authors: Lian Zhou¹, Lian-Hui Zhang², Miguel Cámara³, Ya-Wen He¹,*

¹State Key Laboratory of Microbial Metabolism, School of Life Sciences & Biotechnology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China;
²Guangdong Province Key Laboratory of Microbial Signals and Disease Control, State Key Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Subtropical Agro-Bioresources, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, China;
³School of Life Sciences, Centre for Biomolecular Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2RD, United Kingdom

*Correspondence: yawenhe@sjtu.edu.cn (Y.W. He).

Keywords: quorum sensing, DSF, biosynthesis, naturally occurring signal turnover, Xanthomonas.

Abstract

The diffusible signaling factor (DSF)-based quorum sensing (QS) system has emerged as a widely conserved cell-cell communication mechanism in Gram-negative bacteria. Typically, signals from the DSF family are cis-2-unsaturated fatty acids which regulate diverse biological functions. Recently, substantial progress has been made on the characterization of new members of this family of signals. There have also been new developments in the understanding of the biosynthesis of these molecules where dual enzymatic activities of the DSF synthase and the use of various substrates have been described. The recent discovery of a naturally occurring DSF turnover mechanism and its regulation provides a new dimension in our understanding of how DSF-dependent microorganisms modulate virulence gene expression in response to changes in the surrounding environment.
DSF-Dependent QS Signaling System in Diverse Gram-Negative Bacteria

Bacterial cells are capable of sensing and responding to changes in their populations through communication using small signal molecules, a mechanism known as quorum sensing (QS). Over the past few decades, several groups of QS signals have been identified, paving the way for the dissection of signaling networks and significantly advancing our understanding on the remarkable ability of microorganisms to modulate a wide range of biological functions [1,2]. The diffusible signal factor (DSF) family represents an intriguing type of QS signal molecules found in diverse Gram-negative bacterial pathogens [3-5]. DSF type-based QS systems can be generally grouped into three categories according to their genomic context. The first category, represented by the crucifer pathogen *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris* (Xcc), typically shows colocalization of the genes encoding key signaling components such as RpfF, RpfC, and RpfG in the *rpf* gene cluster [3,4]. RpfF encodes a key enzyme required for DSF biosynthesis whereas RpfC and RpfG constitute a two-component system involved in signal perception and transduction [6, 7]. The activated HD-GYP domain of RpfG has phosphodiesterase activity and is able to degrade cyclic di-GMP (c-di-GMP), an inhibitory ligand of the global transcription factor Clp. Consequently, derepressed Clp drives the expression of several hundred of genes including those encoding virulence factor production [8-10]. This type of QS system has been functionally verified in *Xanthomonas* sp., *Xylella fastidiosa*, *Lyso bacter enzymogenes*, and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* [3, 11].

The second category, represented by the opportunistic pathogens *Burkholderia cenoce pacia* and *Cronobacter turicensis*, does not contain a typical *rpf* cluster, having only *rpfF* and a novel sensor gene *rpfR* in the same locus [12, 13]. Similarly the RpfF/RpfR system modulates intracellular c-di-GMP level in *B. cenocepacia*. The third category is represented by the opportunistic human pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. In this organism the biosynthesis of the DSF type molecule cis-2-decenolic acid has been attributed to the putative enoyl-coenzyme A hydratase DspI although the mechanism of perception of this molecule remains to be elucidated [14, 15]. Recently, a cluster of five genes (PA4978 - PA4983) has also been proposed to be involved in cis-2-decenolic acid synthesis and perception in *P. aeruginosa* [16].
With the improvement of DSF detection methods, significant progress has been made in our understanding of the QS systems driven by the DSF family of signal. This includes the discovery of several new members of the DSF family of signals as well as the elucidation of some new DSF-dependent biological functions. Biochemical and genetic analyses have also unveiled the biosynthetic pathways and the various substrates for these signal molecules. Furthermore, a naturally occurring DSF turnover mechanism has recently been identified in Xcc and the rice bacterial blight pathogen, *X. oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (*Xoo*). Through this system, DSF signaling in the post-quorum growth phase can be effectively terminated. These findings together with previous research, have placed the DSF-type signaling system as one of the best-studied QS systems in bacteria. This review will provide an update on these new developments with the aim to build a more comprehensive picture of the QS systems driven by the DSF family of signals. More detailed background on the DSF family signals can be found in previous reviews [3-5, 17].

**Diversity of the DSF Signal Family and DSF-Regulated Biological Functions**

Previously, *cis*-11-methyl-dodecenoic acid (DSF), *cis*-2-dodecenoic acid (BDSF), and *cis*,*cis*-11-methyl-dodeca-2,5-dienoic acid (CDSF) were identified in cultures of *Xcc, Xoo* and the *B. cepacia* complex (Figure 1) [3,18,19]. Similarly, *cis*-2-decenoic acid and *trans*-2-decenoic acid (SDSF) were found to be produced by *P. aeruginosa* and *Streptococcus mutans* respectively (Figure 1) [14, 20]. Recently, three biologically active new members of the DSF family of signals, *cis*-10-methyl-2-dodecenoic acid (IDSF or DSF-II), *cis*-9-methyl-2-decenoic acid, and *cis*-2-undecenoic acid have been characterized in *Xcc* (Figure 1) [21, 22]. A variety of both saturated and unsaturated free fatty acids were identified in the cultures of the phytopathogen *X. fastidiosa*, with *2-cis*-unsaturated fatty acids XfDSF1 (2-tetradecenoic acid) and XfDSF2 (2-*cis*-hexadecanoic acid) being biologically active (Figure 1) [23,24]. Furthermore, a DSF-like signal (LeDSF3) was characterized as 13-methyltetradecanoic acid in the biocontrol agent strain *Lysobacter enzymogenes* (Figure 1) [25]. Surprisingly, LeDSF3, unlike other members of the DSF family, does not contain the *cis* double bond, which has been shown to be essential for its
biological activity in Xcc [19]. Whether LeDSF3 is the true QS signal produced by L. enzymogenes remains to be determined. These findings show a much broader spread of the DSF family of signals amongst bacteria than initially anticipated.

RpfF-dependent signaling has been associated with the regulation of motility, biofilm formation, iron uptake, EPS and extracellular enzyme production, and virulence [3]. Recent evidence indicates that the DSF signal family provides a fitness advantage to Xcc during interspecies competition in mixed cultures. DSF type signals from Xcc interfered with morphological transition and sporulation in Bacillus thuringiensis through modulation of the expression of ftsZ, which encodes a key protein involved in bacteria cell division [21]. DSF also elicited innate immunity in plants, an effect that was suppressed through the secretion of xanthan, the main exopolysaccharide component in Xcc [26]. In L. enzymogenes OH11, LeDSF3 positively regulates the biosynthesis of an antifungal antibiotic known as the heat-stable antifungal factor [25]. Recently, BDSF from Burkholderia species has been shown to cause biofilm dispersion, increased levels of relA and (p)ppGpp production and an upregulation of iron uptake mechanisms through induction of siderophore production in Francisella novicida, a model organism for Francisella tularensis [27]. The XfDSF synthase gene rpfF from X. fastidiosa was expressed ectopically in ‘Freedom’ grape which is susceptible to Pierce’s disease caused by X. fastidiosa. DSF activity could be detected in xylem sap of transgenic grape overexpressing rpfF [28]. Production of DSF family signals in transgenic grape may cause pathogen confusion, thereby reducing the severity of Pierce’s Disease in grape [28]. These new findings illustrate the increasing expansion of the spectrum of the biological functions attributed to the DSF signal family, particularly in the areas of interspecies and inter-kingdom communication.

**Biosynthetic Pathways Leading to the Production of the DSF Family of Signals**

Biosynthesis of DSF family of signals in Xcc is dependent on the synthase RpfF [29]. RpfC negatively controls DSF biosynthesis via a post-translational mechanism involving RpfC-RpfF interactions [30]. Recently, the enzymatic activity of RpfF, corresponding
substrates, reaction products and biosynthetic pathway of DSF family of signals, have been elucidated in Xcc. These genes have been identified in diverse bacterial species, suggesting that biosynthesis of DSF family of signals appears to be widely conserved.

**RpfF Has Both Dehydratase and Thioesterase Activities**

The DSF synthase RpfF is the key enzyme involved in the synthesis of signals from the DSF family in a wide range of bacterial species. Bcam0581 shares about 37% identity with Xcc RpfF and is responsible for BDSF biosynthesis in B. cenocepacia [31]. Bcam0581 is a bifunctional enzyme that has been shown not only to dehydrate 3-hydroxydodecanoyl-acyl carrier protein (ACP) to yield cis-2-dodecenoyl-ACP, and but also cleaves its thioester bond to generate the final product cis-2-dodecenoyl acid (BDSF) [32]. The dehydratase and thioesterase activities of the Xcc DSF synthase RpfF have also been experimentally verified recently [22]. This RpfF firstly cleaves the thioester bonds of acyl-ACPs, including 3-hydroxydodecanoyl-ACP to release holo-ACP, indicating the presence of thioesterase activity. Then, RpfF converts 3-hydroxyacyl-ACP substrates into cis-2-acyl-ACP, supporting a further activity for this enzyme as dehydratase. BDSF was detected in *in vitro* reaction mixtures containing 3-hydroxydodecanoyl-ACP and RpfF [22].

*In vivo* these two enzymatic activities from RpfF and Bcam0581 may be coupled, although the underlying mechanistic details remain unclear.

Using *in vitro* assays, RpfF from Xcc showed thioesterase activity towards acyl-ACP substrates with carbon chains ranging from 8 to 14, suggesting a broad substrate specificity for this enzyme. This probably explains why a single bacterial species is able to produce multiple DSF family signals in rich medium [21, 22]. However, among all of the five acyl-ACP substrates tested, RpfF showed the highest activity on decanoyl-ACP, dodecanoyl-ACP and 3-hydroxydodecanoyl-ACP, suggesting that RpfF might have a preference for substrates with 10-12 carbons.

**The Biosynthetic Pathway of the DSF Family of Signals Probably Branches from the Classic Fatty Acid Synthesis Pathway**

In bacteria, fatty acid synthesis is catalyzed via a set of distinct monofunctional enzymes
Fatty acid synthesis is best understood in *Escherichia coli* where acetyl coenzyme A (acetyl-CoA) is the primer and malonyl-CoA is the chain extender. A range of enzymes, including ACC (acetyl-CoA carboxylase), FabD (malonyl-CoA:ACP transacylase), FabH (β-ketoacyl-ACP synthase III), FabG (β-ketoacyl-ACP reductase), FabA/FabZ (β-hydroxyacyl-ACP dehydratase), FabI (enoyl-ACP reductase), and FabB/FabF (β-ketoacyl-ACP synthase I or II) are involved in fatty acid synthesis [33]. The *Xcc* genome contains almost all the genes required for bacterial fatty acid synthesis, including the gene cluster Xcc0581-Xcc0582 (encoding FabB and FabA), a *fab* cluster (Xcc1016-Xcc1020 encoding FabH, FabD, FabG, AcpP and FabF), Xcc1362 (FabZ) and Xcc0115 which encodes a newly identified enoyl-ACP reductase (FabV) [22,34]. Analysis of deletion mutants showed that Xcc0581-Xcc0582 and the *fab* clusters are essential for bacterial growth in *Xcc* [22]. The *Xcc* biosynthetic pathway for the DSF family of signals probably branches off from the classic fatty acid synthesis pathway. First, intermediate 3-hydroxyacyl ACPs are usually generated during elongation, and β-ketoacyl-ACP reductase (FabG) is directly responsible for 3-hydroxyacyl ACPs production in bacteria. In an ΔrpfC mutant strain, overexpression of Xcc1018, which encodes FabG, led to a significant increase in the production of DSF, BDSF, CDSF and IDSF [22]. Second, the addition of cerulenin, an antibiotic that binds to long chain 3-keto-acyl-ACP synthases (FabF and FabB) and blocks fatty acid synthesis [35], to cultures of the *Xcc* ΔrpfC mutant had only a slight effect on bacterial growth but significantly inhibited the biosynthesis of DSF family signals [22]. Finally, FabH encoded by Xcc1016 was shown to be required for the biosynthesis of DSF family of signals in *Xcc* [36].

Carbohydrates and Non- Branched Amino Acids Promote BDSF Biosynthesis

The composition and ratio of the diverse DSF type signals produced by cultures of *Xcc* and *Xoo* are influenced by the composition of the growth media [18, 22]. In rich media, DSF is the main signal being produced. In contrast, in nutrient limiting media, BDSF appears to be the dominant signal [18, 22]. To gain a further insight on how medium composition influences the production of DSF type signals in *Xcc*, media XY containing XOLN salts and 0.2 g/L of yeast extract was developed as a base medium [22]. Since carbohydrates and
amino acids are two major nutrients present in the xylem fluids of plants [37,38], the effect of sucrose, glucose, starch and fructose as well as and non-branched amino acids on the biosynthesis of different types of DSF signal molecules was tested. In XY medium with these carbon sources, BDSF represented more than 80% of the DSF type signals produced [22]. Deng et al. [39] showed that exogenous addition of host plant juice or ethanol extract to the growth medium of Xcc could significantly boost the biosynthesis of DSF type molecules. Further $^{13}$C-labeling experiments demonstrated that glucose acts as a substrate providing the carbon element for the biosynthesis of the DSF family of signals.

Methyl Substitutions in DSF and IDSF Originate from Branched-Chain Amino Acids

In bacteria, branched-chain fatty acids are synthesized from branched-chain acyl-CoA primers with malonyl-CoA as the chain extender [40]. The branched-chain acyl-CoA primer can be synthesized from the α-ketoacids, α-ketoisocaproic acid, α-ketoisovaleric acid, and α-keto-b-methylvaleric acid. These α-ketoacids are derived from the catabolism of the branched-chain amino acids leucine, valine, and isoleucine [41]. Xanthomonas typically has many branched and hydroxyl-branched fatty acids [42]. Using XYS medium (XY supplemented with 2.0 g/L sucrose) as a base medium, the effect of branched-chained amino acids on the production of different DSF type signals was investigated. The addition of leucine significantly promoted DSF biosynthesis, suggesting that the 11-methyl substitution is derived from leucine [22]. Although valine has one carbon less than leucine, the addition of high concentrations of valine to cultures of Xcc ΔrpfC mutant also resulted in an increase in DSF biosynthesis [22]. This is probably because in vivo valine is converted into α-ketoisovalerate, which can be further used for leucine biosynthesis [35].

The addition of isoleucine significantly promoted IDSF biosynthesis, suggesting that the 10-methyl substitution is derived from isoleucine. The metabolic origin of different members of the DSF family of signals explains why Xcc and Xoo produce multiple DSF type of signals in rich media. These media contain sucrose and a high concentration of tryptone, peptone or yeast extract, which provide a rich source of amino acids including branched-chain amino acids [22].
Considering all of the above, a general biosynthetic pathway for DSF, BDSF and IDSF is shown in Figure 2 [22]. The relative concentrations of the acyl-ACP intermediates and their affinities for RpfF lead to differential production of DSF, BDSF and IDSF [22].

**Control of DSF Biosynthesis Through RpfF and RpfC Interactions**

One of the remarkable features of QS systems is that the QS signals are capable of autoregulating their own biosynthesis. This simple yet sophisticated QS signal autoinduction mechanism enables bacteria to sense their population density and effectively synchronize the expression of QS-regulon within the community [43]. The mechanism also allows resetting of the whole QS circuit when a portion of bacterial cells are transferred to a new environment [44]. Increasing evidence suggests that Xcc is able to autoregulate the biosynthesis of the DSF family of QS signals [3-5]. Previous results revealed that RpfC, a DSF sensor, can also bind to RpfF via its REC domain to negatively control DSF biosynthesis [3-5]. This was further verified with the resolution of the crystal structure of a complex containing RpfF and the REC domain of RpfC [45]. Recent work with X. fastidiosa has provided further insights into the role of the RpfF–RpfC interactions [46]. XfDSF-dependent signaling in Xylella requires both RpfC and RpfF. RpfF represses RpfC signaling activity, which in turn is derepressed by XfDSF. Enzymatically inactive variants of RpfF can also support DSF signal transduction. Intriguingly, two populations of RpfF (RpfF-1 and RpfF-2) and RpfC (RpfC-1 and RpfC-2) with differences in their amino acid sequences were found in a panel of clinical isolates of S. maltophilia. Each RpfF variant was associated with a specific RpfC variant (RpfF-1 with RpfC-1 and RpfF-2 with RpfC-2) [47]. These findings further support the role of RpfC–RpfF interactions in the control of DSF biosynthesis. However, the detailed mechanism behind this control remains to be elucidated.

**Turnover of the DSF Family of Signals**

It is now widely accepted that bacterial cells need to exit the highly energy-demanding QS maximal activation phase during the post-quorum phase. The QS signal turnover systems are one of the QS exit mechanisms most frequently identified in bacteria [48]. Several
bacterial strains belonging to the genera *Bacillus*, *Paenibacillus*, *Microbacterium*, *Staphylococcus*, and *Pseudomonas* are capable of rapidly breaking down DSF [49]. The genes *carAB*, which encodes enzymes responsible for the synthesis of carbamoylphosphate in *Pseudomonas* spp strain G, were identified to be required for DSF inactivation [49]. However, the mechanism by which bacteria degrade or inactivate DSF remains unclear. The naturally occurring turnover systems have been less studied for the DSF family of signaling molecules [50].

*RpfB is a Fatty Acyl-CoA Ligase Involved in the Turnover of the DSF Family of Signals in Xanthomonas*

Previous results in *Xcc* and *Xoo* showed that the DSF family of signals accumulate in the early stationary phase of growth, and their levels subsequently decline sharply [18, 19, 29]. This suggested the existence of a naturally occurring DSF signal turnover system which might be responsible for this decline in DSF signal levels during the stationary phase of growth. In *Xcc*, the *rpfB* gene located immediately upstream of *rpfF* was initially predicted to be involved in DSF biosynthesis [29]. However, the defects in DSF production observed in *rpfB* mutants in the *Xcc* 8004 strain were caused by a polar effect on the downstream *rpfF* gene [51] despite the fact that a previous finding revealed that *rpfF* also has its own promoter which would enable its expression independently of *rpfB* [6]. Hence, instead of participating in DSF biosynthesis, it was suggested that *rpfB* may be involved in DSF processing in *Xcc* and *X. fastidiosa*, affecting the profile of DSF-like fatty acids as observed by thin-layer chromatography in an *rpfB* mutant [51]. Subsequent detailed biochemical and genetic analysis revealed that in *Xcc* RpfB could functionally replace the archetypal bacterial fatty acyl-CoA ligase (FCL) FadD, a key enzyme involved in the β-oxidation pathway in *E. coli* [52]. *In vitro*, RpfB was found to activate a wide range of fatty acids to their CoA esters [52]. The authors suggested that these fatty acyl-CoAs activated by RpfB could be further catabolized by the fatty acid β-oxidation pathway. Alternatively, they could also be utilized to restore membrane lipid synthesis *in vivo* [52]. Surprisingly, although RpfB utilizes different fatty acids of variable chain lengths, *in vitro* enzymatic activity assays have shown that RpfB has little apparent effect on the QS signals
DSF and BDSF [52]. Therefore, the authors proposed that RpfB plays a more important role in pathogenesis by counteracting the thioesterase activity of the DSF synthase RpfF [52].

To improve the detection sensitivity of the DSF family of signals, a quantitative detection method using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) was developed [53]. This resulted in a reduction of the threshold levels of detection of DSF and BDSF to 1µM, enabling a fast and more accurate determination of the levels of these molecules in Xcc cultures and reaction mixtures [53]. The in vitro assay as described by Bi et al. [52] was then repeated to test the effect of purified RpfB on DSF and BDSF levels. The purified RpfB was shown to have little effect on BDSF and DSF in vitro, but to rapidly inactivate sodium oleate. Deletion of rpfB in Xcc or Xoo significantly boosted DSF and BDSF production during growth, while over-expression of rpfB or its homolog fadD completely abolished DSF signal production. In addition, expression of rpfB in E. coli also efficiently scavenged exogenous BDSF and DSF [53]. Finally, RpfB functionally complemented the E. coli ΔfadD mutant strain for growth on fatty acids as a sole carbon source, and the key residue E-365, required for the enzymatic activity, was shown to be critical for the catalytic activity of the RpfB FCL, suggesting that FCL activity is required for signal turnover in Xcc [52, 53].

The reasons behind the different activity of RpfB on DSF type signals under in vitro and in vivo conditions remain unknown. However, there are two potential explanations that may explain this discrepancy. One is that RpfB-dependent DSF and BDSF turnover may require additional factors such as co-factors, metals, or salts, which are only present in vivo. Another possibility is that RpfB may adopt different conformations in vivo and in vitro. Nevertheless, further research is required to explain these differences.

Regulation of rpfB Expression in Xanthomonas

rpfB expression is growth phase-dependent in Xcc and Xoo [53, 54]. RpfB transcript levels are low in mid-exponential stage, slightly increase during the late exponential stage, are
maximal at early stationary phase, and subsequently decline [53]. This very much matches
the pattern of DSF production during growth [18, 19, 29], further supporting the idea that
RpfB might be responsible for DSF turnover. Analysis of rpfB expression in an ΔrpfF
mutant strain in the presence of different concentrations of DSF also showed that RpfB
expression is regulated by the DSF signal in a concentration-dependent manner. Exogenous
addition of DSF (0.5 μM to 2.5 μM) maintained rpfB expression at wild-type levels,
whereas further increases of DSF concentrations (10.0 μM to 50.0 μM) significantly
enhanced rpfB expression [53].

As outlined above, DSF signaling in Xanthomonas involves the two-component system
RpfC/RpfG, the second messenger c-di-GMP, and the global regulator Clp [3, 4].
Previously, S1 nuclease protection assays revealed that rpfB expression was upregulated by
RpfC [6]. Recent findings demonstrated that mutation of rpfC, rpfG, or clp in Xcc and Xoo
led to an increase in expression of rpfB at the transcriptional and translational levels [53,
54]. Furthermore, in vitro studies showed that the global transcriptional factor Clp
represses rpfB expression through direct interaction with the conserved DNA motif
AATGC-tgctgc-GCATC on the rpfB promoters of Xcc and Xoo [50]. The second
messenger c-di-GMP, which is the ligand of Clp, effectively reverses the interaction
between Clp and the rpfB promoters [53].

Taken together, these findings clearly show that RpfB represents a naturally occurring
DSF-family QS signal turnover system in the phytopathogen Xanthomonas. Although
more detailed regulatory mechanisms remain to be experimentally verified, a general
working model for the regulation of the RpfB-dependent DSF type signal turnover in
Xanthomonas is proposed (Figure 3).

Biological Significance of the Turnover System for DSF Type Signals in Xanthomonas

In Xcc strains XC1 and 8004, the DSF family of signals positively regulate EPS and
extracellular enzyme production, but negatively regulate biofilm formation in [3-5]. In line
with this observation, deletions of rpfB in Xcc strains marginally increased the production
of extracellular protease, amylase, cellulase, and EPS, and consequently led to enhanced virulence on Chinese radish in a leaf clipping virulence assay [53]. On the other hand, over-expression of rpfB in Xcc significantly reduced the production of extracellular enzymes and EPS, and attenuated bacterial virulence on plants [53]. In contrast to what was found in Xcc, rpfB deletion in Xoo strain PXO99A significantly reduced EPS and extracellular amylase production, and resulted in reduced virulence on rice cultivars IRBB3 and IR24 [54]. The rpfB deletion mutant of PXO99A also displayed reduced EPS production [54]. Further analysis showed that simply deleting rpfB in PXO99A did not affect xanthomonadin production, however, a double deletion of rpfB and rpfC affected the level of xanthomonadin (yellow pigment) production in Xoo PXO99A [54].

The discrepancies in bacterial virulence-associated traits between the rpfB mutants of Xcc and Xoo are proposed to be at least partially due to the different levels of the DSF family of signals produced by these two Xanthomonas species [54]. The Xoo wild-type strain PXO99A produces approximately 10 times more DSF and BDSF than the Xcc strain XC1 [52, 53]. The biosynthesis of the DSF family of signals, EPS and xanthomonadin demands a high level of common metabolic precursors, carbohydrates and amino acids in Xanthomonas [22, 39]. Over-production of the DSF type signals by PXO99A ΔrpfBΔrpfC probably drains the pool of carbohydrates and amino acids needed for EPS and xanthomonadin biosynthesis, which in turn affects EPS production.

The RpfB-Dependent Signal Turnover System Is Present in a Wide Range of Bacterial Species

Searches against the Nr database in NCBI revealed that rpfB homologs are widely present in all the bacterial species containing the three categories of DSF-based QS systems. In the first category, all the bacterial species harbour homologs of rpfB, rpfF, rpfC, rpfG and clp [4]. The putative Clp binding site was also found in the promoter regions of the rpfB homologs in some of these bacteria such as Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citri, Xanthomonas fuscans subsp. fuscans and Xanthomonas hortorum pv. carotae [53]. Thus, it is likely that these bacteria also rely on RpfB to turnover DSF type QS signals. In the other
two categories of DSF-based QS systems represented by *P. aeruginosa* and *B. cenocepacia*, RpfB homologs are also present, however, their roles in signal degradation and their regulation remain to be investigated.

**Concluding Remarks and Future Perspectives**

We have provided an update on current state of knowledge for the DSF family of signaling systems including the increasing diversity of the DSF family of signals, the functions they regulate, their biosynthetic pathway and a naturally occurring turnover system for these signal in *Xanthomonas*. These exciting findings have shown that the signaling cascade and signal turnover system for the DSF family of signals play an important role in the regulation of virulence in a wide range of *Xanthomonas* species. However, many questions on the regulation of these systems remain to be answered (see Outstanding Questions).

First, the mechanism underlying the broad substrate specificity of RpfF and how both dehydratase and thioesterase activities found in RpfF are coupled deserves further investigation. The roles of branched-chain amino acid aminotransferase and α-keto acid dehydrogenase in the proposed biosynthetic pathways of the DSF family of signal molecules also needs to be studied. Second, in the *in vitro* enzymatic assay, RpfB efficiently activates a group of free fatty acids exclusive to DSF and BDSF. The mechanism behind this phenomenon and the existence of any potential cofactors working together with RpfB *in vivo* needs to be elucidated. Moreover, it will be interesting to understand how the inactivated DSF signals are recycled by *Xanthomonas* and whether RpfB is required for β-oxidation of other fatty acids in *Xanthomonas*. Whether other signaling pathways or c-di-GMP effectors have a role in regulating *rpfB* expression or other Clp-regulated functions, which may be involved in controlling DSF turnover, deserve further investigation. Finally, *cis*-2-decenoic acid synthesis and perception in *P. aeruginosa* and BDSF signaling in *B. cenocepacia* deserve further investigation. Addressing these questions will be key to gain a more detailed understanding on the signaling and regulatory mechanisms of this family of cell-cell communication signals. These findings could pave the way to develop new tools to fight against crop losses resulting from diseases caused by pathogens using these signaling systems to control the production of virulence traits.
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**Figure legends**

**Figure 1.** The Chemical Structures of the DSF-Family of Quorum Sensing Signals. This family comprises cis-2-unsaturated fatty acids of different chain lengths and branching. The archetype cis-11-methyl–dodecenoic acid designated DSF was first described in *Xanthomonas campestris*. DSF, BDSF, CDSF, IDSF, cis-9-methyl-2-decenoic acid, cis-2-undecenoic acid were then identified from *X. campestris* and *X. oryzae*. These family
of molecules were also found to be produced by *Burkholderia cenocepacia* (BDSF, CDSF, DSF), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (cis-2-decenoic acid), and *Xylella fastidiosa* (XfDSF1, XfDSF2). The related molecules are produced by *Lysobacter enzymogenes* (LeDSF3) and *Streptococcus mutans* (SDSF).

**Figure 2.** Schematic Model for the Biosynthesis of DSF, BDSF and IDSF [22]. When there are carbohydrates, acetyl-CoA is produced and converted into malonyl-CoA by acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC). FabD synthesizes malonyl-ACP from ACP and malonyl-CoA, and malonyl-ACP is condensed with acetyl-CoA by FabH to form 3-keto-butyl-ACP for the initial step of the fatty acid synthesis elongation cycle. The elongation cycle results in the intermediate 3-hydroxydodecanoyl-ACP. RpfF catalyzes the synthesis of BDSF using 3-hydroxydodecanoyl-ACP. In the presence of carbohydrates, leucine and isoleucine, the branched-chain amino acid aminotransferase IlvE catalyzes the deamination of leucine and isoleucine to form 2-keto-isocaproic acid (KIC) and 2-keto-β-methylvaleric acid (KMV) respectively, which the α-ketoacid dehydrogenase (BCKA) uses to form iso-butyril-CoA and 2-methylbutyryl-CoA respectively. Malonyl-ACP is then condensed with these acyl-CoAs to form 3-keto-butyl-ACP, iso-3-keto-hexanoyl-ACP and anteiso-3-keto-hexanoyl-ACP for the initial step of the fatty acid synthesis cycle. The intermediates 3-hydroxydodecanoyl-ACP, 11-methyl-3-hydroxydodecanoyl-ACP and 10-methyl-3-hydroxydodecanoyl-ACP are formed via the fatty acid elongation cycle. RpfF converts these acyl-ACP intermediates to DSF (11-methyl-cis-2-dodecenoic acid), BDSF (cis-2-dodecenoic acid) and IDSF/DSF-II (10-methyl-cis-2-dodecenoic acid).

**Figure 3.** Proposed Model for Cell Density-Dependent Turnover of DSF Type Signals in *Xanthomonas* [53]. At the pre-quorum sensing (QS) phase, the DSF sensor RpfC forms a complex with the DSF synthase RpfF through its receiver domain, which limits DSF biosynthesis at a basal level. High intracellular levels of c-di-GMP bind to the transcription factor Clp. The Clp complex then binds to rpfB promoter region to inhibit its transcription. The bound Clp fails to bind to the promoter region of the virulence genes engXCA. At the QS phase, RpfC undergoes autophosphorylation upon sensing high levels of extracellular DSF signals. Through the conserved phosphorelay mechanism, RpfG is then phosphorylated leading to activation of its c-di-GMP phosphodiesterase activity. Clp is
freed from c-di-GMP and can then bind to the promoter region of the virulence genes

*engXCA* to initiate their transcription. Clp is also released from the promoter region of *rpfb*
enabling its transcription. At the post-QS phase, the extracellular levels of the DSF family

of signal molecules returns to a low level and the dephosphorylated RpfC and RpfF

reforms a complex. Dephosphorylation of RpfG leads to inactivation of its c-di-GMP

phosphodiesterase activity. The intracellular levels of c-di-GMP return to a high level

enabling c-di-GMP-bound Clp to bind to the promoter region of *rpfb* therefore repressing

the transcription of this gene.
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