Self-Assembly under Confinement: Nanocorrals for Understanding Fundamentals of 2D Crystallization
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ABSTRACT: Nanocorrals with different size, shape, and orientation are created on covalently modified highly oriented pyrolytic graphite surfaces using scanning probe nanolithography, i.e., nanoshaving. Alkylated diacetylene molecules undergo laterally confined supramolecular self-assembly within these corrals. When nanoshaving is performed in situ, at the liquid—solid interface, the orientation of the supramolecular lamellae structure is directionally influenced by the gradual graphite surface exposure. Careful choice of the nanoshaving direction with respect to the substrate symmetry axes promotes alignment of the supramolecular lamellae within the corral. Self-assembly occurring inside corrals of different size and shape reveals the importance of geometric and kinetic constraints controlled by the nanoshaving process. Finally, seed-mediated crystallization studies demonstrate confinement control over nucleation and growth principles.
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Supramolecular self-assembly on surfaces is an active area of research aimed toward the realization of a range of (functional) two-dimensional (2D) crystals.1−4 Control over the network morphology and defect density is a necessity for precise engineering of these materials. Formation of high-quality interfaces is important for engineering organic thin-film devices with π-conjugated molecules, where the relative orientation of molecules is crucial to enable efficient charge transport across electrodes.5,6 Great efforts are taken to improve the quality of 2D crystals,7−9 by avoiding molecular defects and limiting domain boundaries. A host of variables are available to achieve this, either intrinsically (molecular design and symmetry)10 or extrinsically (temperature,11,12 solute concentration,13 type of solvent14,15 capillary flow,16 and substrate).17 Despite the tunability of these parameters, the time scales and complexity of molecular recognition and assembly processes have thus far limited a complete comprehension and control of the 2D crystal formation. As such, studies targeting the fundamental understanding of kinetic and thermodynamic parameters within these systems are rare.11,18−20 An ability to manipulate nucleation and growth processes would afford greater control over network formation and possibly a more detailed molecular level understanding of assembly principles.

One approach to study the elementary aspects of self-assembly consists of compartmentalizing the assembling molecules into laterally confined areas. Beebe et al. demonstrated that by heating highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) in the presence of oxygen, thermally oxidized pits can be etched into the surface.21 These pits were proven to be useful tools for molecular self-assembly confinement studies; however their preparation methods limit control over the shape, dimensionality, or orientation with respect to the symmetry axes of the underlying substrate lattice.22,23 Other studies within confined spaces have been reported by de Oteyza et al., who showed that under ultra-high-vacuum conditions, self-assembly of diindenoperylene on Cu (111) step edges leads to the formation of a long-range ordered structure with co-directionally oriented molecules.24 Alignment is observed along the confined step edges of the Ag (877) vicinal surface for terminal alkyne-functionalized polyphenylene building blocks.25 Studies targeting confinement at very small length scales (a few nanometers) have demonstrated the role of electronic surface states using well-organized adsorbates.27−29 Never-
theless, alternative approaches that yield well-defined corrals for studying molecular self-assembly under nanoconfinement may afford greater insight into the fundamentals of recognition and growth processes. In this work, we create confined spaces (nanocorrals) with geometric size, shape, and orientational control on covalently modified HOPG surfaces. Corral production involves two separate steps. First, HOPG is covalently modified by aryl radicals that are electrochemically (EC) generated from an aryl diazonium precursor species (Figure 1a). In the second step, the tip of a scanning tunneling microscope (STM) is used to precisely remove the covalently bound species from the surface, a process that is referred to as “nanoshaving” (Figure 1b). Subsequent self-assembly investigations (Figure 1c) within these well-defined nanocorrals are carried out at the liquid–solid interface using PCDA. The PCDA lamellae alignment is controlled by nanoshaving within in situ created nanocorrals. Corrals formed ex situ do not display the same alignment behavior.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Supramolecular Self-Assembly of PCDA on Unconfined HOPG. First, supramolecular self-assembly of PCDA on freshly cleaved HOPG was evaluated as an internal benchmark.
formed sp3-hybridized carbon through a radical addition reaction. The result is a newly subsequently react with the HOPG surface (see Figure 1a) formation of aryl radicals. These unstable aryl radicals
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The angle obtained at the 1-phenyloctane/HOPG interface (Figure S2). The inset shows the high-symmetry axes of graphite (black arrows) and the possible orientations of the lamellae (red dashed lines). The angle θ between the direction of the alkyl chains and that of the lamellae was determined to be 86.7 ± 0.3°. (c) Tentative molecular model corresponding to the STM image in (b).

Figure 2. (a) Chemical structure of 10,12-pentacosadiynoic acid, PCDA; (b) STM image (10 × 10 nm²) of PCDA self-assembled network obtained at the 1-phenyloctane/HOPG interface (Vc = −0.8 V, Ic = 100 pA). Unit cell parameters: a = 0.5 ± 0.1 nm, b = 6.6 ± 0.1 nm, γ = 83.8 ± 0.4°. The inset shows the high-symmetry axes of graphite (black arrows) and the possible orientations of the lamellae (red dashed lines). The angle θ between the direction of the alkyl chains and that of the lamellae was determined to be 86.7 ± 0.3°. (c) Tentative molecular model corresponding to the STM image in (b).

for comparison studies between the self-assemblies of PCDA on open terraces and laterally confined terraces. As shown in Figure 2, PCDA readily self-assembles at the 1-PO/HOPG interface. Individual molecules are clearly distinguished in the STM images. Self-assembly of the molecules is largely promoted by two types of intermolecular interactions: directional hydrogen bonding between the carboxylic acid moieties and van der Waals interactions between neighboring alkyl chains. The supramolecular packing consists of rows of parallel stacked molecules, called lamellae. The brightest features in the STM image provided in Figure 2b correspond to the diacetylene units, which are tilted with respect to alkyls. The darkest rows correspond to the meeting of the methyl ends of the molecules, whereas the rows of medium contrast are assigned to the regions of hydrogen bonding. The substrate epitaxy is reflected in a selective orientation of the alkyl chains along the high-symmetry axes of graphite. The angle, θ, between the lamellae direction and the graphite axis with commensurate alkyls was measured to be 86.7 ± 0.3°. This results in two equivalent twinned domains for each of the three high-symmetry axes of graphite: six domain orientations in total (Figure S2).

Nanocorral Creation. To create the nanocorrals, the HOPG surface was first covalently modified with a dense monolayer of aryl species using cyclic voltammetry. The electrochemical modification of HOPG is carried out in aqueous solutions containing diazonium cations generated in situ from stable aniline precursors. Incorporating sterically hindering substituents on the aniline precursor limits the grafting to monolayer species. When concentrations greater than 2 mM are used, a high density of monolayer aryl species can be covalently bound or “grafted” to the surface. After diazonitization, the mechanism of covalent attachment involves reduction of the diazonium cation, expulsion of N₂, and formation of aryl radicals. These unstable aryl radicals subsequently react with the HOPG surface (see Figure 1a) through a radical addition reaction. The result is a newly formed sp³-hybridized carbon—carbon bond that covalently links the aryl species to the HOPG surface. Further experimental details involving the preparation of the covalently grafted HOPG are provided in the Methods section and Supporting Information.

Nanocorrals inside the covalently modified HOPG surface are created with detailed control over the nanoshaving process using the Keysight PicoLITH 2.1 software package. Different shapes for the creation of nanocorrals are first designed within the PicoLITH software (Figure S3). The software then rasters the STM tip in the desired areas to nanoshave the corrals. The fast nanoshaving direction moves across the corral to define the width, and the slow direction moves downward to define the height of the corral. During this nanoshaving process, the STM is operating in high current (typically 200 pA) and low sample bias (~1.0 mV). These scan parameters bring the tip in close proximity to the graphite substrate, such that the covalently bound aryls are degrafted and removed from the surface, i.e., nanoshaving. While the mechanism for this degrading process is still poorly understood, the HOPG surface carbon atoms have previously been demonstrated to revert back to their original sp² hybridization. Detailed investigations targeting the mechanism of nanoshaving and its dependence on nanoshaving parameters (voltage, tunneling current, and tip speed) are currently ongoing. In most cases, the tip speed for nanoshaving was set to 400 nm/s. For all of the corrals in this work, the distance covered along the slow nanoshaving direction by each raster sweep varies only slightly (between 4.0 and 8.0 Å), depending on the corral size. Thus, the time required to complete the nanoshaving depends on the area of the corral.

Assembly attempts on HOPG surfaces modified with a high density of grafted species showed no self-assembly of PCDA on top or in between the grafting features. Rather, the only observation made by STM imaging is the grafted surface itself (Figure S4). In contrast, we have recently shown that when the grafting density is lower, perturbations within the molecular self-assembly are observed. In these cases, the grafted species act as barriers and impede self-assembly. Ex Situ vs in Situ Creation of Nanocorrals and Its Impact on the Assembly Process. To initially establish an
understanding of the influence of lateral confinement on the self-assembly of PCDA, experiments were performed on bare (unconfined) HOPG, ex situ corrals, and in situ corrals (Figure 3). Ex situ corrals were created by nanoshaving the grafted (dry) surface, then exposing the corrals to a 1-phenyloctane solution of PCDA. Within ex situ corrals, the entire nanocorral surface is exposed and self-assembly can occur in all areas. The self-assembly of PCDA inside an ex situ nanocorral (180 × 180 nm²) was found to be nearly identical to that observed on the open terraces of unmodified HOPG. Within ex situ corrals, the entire nanocorral surface is exposed and self-assembly can occur in all areas. The self-assembly of PCDA inside an ex situ nanocorral (180 × 180 nm²) was found to be nearly identical to that observed on the open terraces of unmodified HOPG. In both cases, domains of varying size and orientation are observed (Figure 3a,b). This is a strong indication that multiple nucleation events occurred. Despite the fluid environment above the corral, ripening into single domains was not observed. Similar to observations made by Beebe et al., the corral boundaries do not appear to favor nucleation, as unstable/no self-assembly is observed at the edges (Figure S5).21 Empty surface regions are also found in the assembly of PCDA on bare HOPG (Figure S5). Occasionally, features indicative of PCDA multilayer lamellae are observed inside the ex situ nanocorrrals. STM topography measurements show the suspected multilayer structure is ~0.5 Å above the monolayer lamellae (Figure S6). Similar multilayer structures from PCDA are also observed on the bare HOPG (Figure S6). Additional STM images of the ex situ corrals and of PCDA self-assembly within ex situ nanocorrals can be found in Figures S7 and S8, respectively. From our observations it appears that assembly within relatively large ex situ corrals proceeds similar to that observed on bare HOPG. In situ corrals were created by carrying out nanoshaving directly in the presence of a 1-phenyloctane solution containing PCDA. The self-assembly of PCDA was found to be drastically different in corrals that are created in situ when compared to bare HOPG or ex situ corrals. In situ corrals almost always show a single large domain of PCDA lamellae. Importantly, the in situ created nanocorrals always show the presence of PCDA networks inside them. Thus, the self-assembly of PCDA within the in situ generated corral occurs within the time needed for the nanoshaving and the subsequent imaging scan (~2 min). In comparison, the time lapse between the ex situ generation of corrals and the subsequent imaging of the PCDA network was on the order of 1 h. Thus, the assembly behavior of PCDA in the in situ nanocorrals cannot be the result of a ripening effect occurring over time. Rather, the act of nanoshaving inside the
A PCDA solution must govern the observed molecular alignment within the nanocorrals.

**In Situ Nanocorral Effects: Nanoshaving Orientation with Respect to Graphite.** The impact of lateral confinement on PCDA self-assembly within the *in situ* nanocorrals was further probed by rotating the nanoshaving orientation with respect to the underlying hexagonal graphite lattice. Importantly, the slow nanoshaving direction for the corral in Figure 3c is approximately orthogonal to a major axis of graphite, colored red. This creates a situation where three possible general orientations of PCDA lamellae can occur (Figure S9). Parallel, +60° diagonal, and −60° diagonal domain orientations of the PCDA lamellae can occur within the corral as defined by the angle between the direction of slow nanoshaving and the lamellae direction. The assembly within the corral appearing in Figure 3c is thus characterized as a parallel PCDA domain. In this case, the long axis of the molecule is parallel with the fast nanoshaving direction.

When the slow nanoshaving direction is rotated so that it runs along a major graphite axis, a different result is observed (Figure 3d). In this case, the lamellae align diagonally in the nanocorrals. Assemblies that demonstrate this behavior are referred to as diagonal PCDA domains. Epitaxial matching and assembly constraints in the corral require the molecules to arrange in either a diagonal (+30° or −30°) or a perpendicular manner; a parallel alignment is substrate registry forbidden (Figure S9).

The assembly of PCDA displays a domain twinning effect as a result of the 2D chirality (Figure S2). It was not possible to selectively induce a specific 2D chirality within any particular nanocorrals. The angle separating the equivalent mirror structures is reasoned to be too acute for such a selection. Therefore, the twinned domains are treated equally, creating only three possible categories for PCDA lamellae alignment for any specific nanoshaving direction (Figure S9). A detailed explanation of the nanoshaving process, the registry forbidden structures, and the assignment of domain behavior is further described in the Supporting Information.

For a statistical understanding of the dependence of the PCDA lamellae orientation on the nanoshaving orientation with respect to graphite, more than 50 *in situ* corrals of each type (orthogonal to and along a graphite major symmetry axis) were created. For faster analysis, the size of the nanocorrals was reduced to ∼50 × 50 nm². When the square corrals are created with the slow nanoshaving direction orthogonal to a major graphite axis, the PCDA lamellae overwhelmingly (90.4%) assemble into parallel PCDA domains. Compare this to the statistical outcome of 33.3%. When a diagonal domain exists, they were typically accompanied by a separate parallel domain (Figure S9). Alternatively, when the slow nanoshaving direction was parallel to a major graphite axis, the reverse was observed. Diagonal PCDA lamellae are slightly preferred with a nearly equal population of + and − domains at 38.3% and 37.9%, respectively. Perpendicular PCDA domains occupy only 23.8% (Figure S9). These results demonstrate that the orientation of slow nanoshaving direction with respect to the symmetry axes of graphite can be used to influence the orientation of PCDA lamellae within *in situ* corrals.

**In Situ Nanocorral Effects: Corral Size Impact on PCDA Alignment.** After establishing the difference between *ex situ* and *in situ* corrals, as well as orientational effects relative to HOPG symmetry axes, we went on to investigate the impact of the *in situ* nanocorrals size on PCDA self-assembly. Corrals of varying sizes were created to evaluate how the nanocorral size affects the PCDA lamellae directionality bias observed from *in situ* nanoshaving. The nanocorrals were created by orienting the slow nanoshaving direction orthogonal to the graphite lattice, allowing the PCDA lamellae to preferentially align parallel. The results of this size-dependent study are shown in Figure 4a. In the four larger corrals (average size: 67 × 67 nm², 46 × 46 nm², 37 × 37 nm², 28 × 28 nm²) the results are consistent with those presented in Figure 3c, where the lamellae align along the slow nanoshaving direction. For the two smaller corrals (20 × 20 nm² and 11 × 11 nm²) the PCDA lamellae are not aligned along the slow nanoshaving direction (inset Figure 4a). Instead, the lamellae are aligned diagonally with respect to the slow nanoshaving direction. A statistical representation of the dependence of lamellae orientation on the corral size is presented with a red-colored trend in Figure 4b. Statistics were acquired by counting the number of domains present in each corral for each particular orientation. In general, the alignment of PCDA lamellae in larger corrals is consistent until the corral size approaches ∼30 nm. Below this size, the tendency of the lamellae to preferentially orient parallel decreases sharply and eventually it becomes close to the statistically unbiased outcome within corrals of ∼10 nm lateral size (Figure 4b). Thus, the orientational bias of the PCDA lamellae within *in situ* generated corrals is dependent on the size of the corral.

The corral size also influences the probability of self-assembly when significant confinement restraints exist (Figure 4b, black). Self-assembly is frequently observed inside square corrals of 20
× 20 nm² or larger. However, the propensity of the PCDA molecules to self-assemble inside corrals of 10 × 10 nm² is reduced to 65% (Figure 4b, black). A representative image of these empty corrals is shown in Figure S10. This observation is consistent with previous studies, where decreasing the size of the confined space results in prolonged molecular ordering time scales. It is important to note that only the image immediately after nanocorral creation was used for the analysis of the assembly statistics. Time-dependent studies under these strict nanoflammement conditions are expected to produce other valuable insights. Nevertheless, the smallest corral created was suitable for assembly and STM imaging of an ordered PCDA domain. Corrals below 10 nm could not reliably be created with size and shape control. At such a small scale, the corral size begins to approach the dimension of the covalently bound aryls that confine the assembly.

In Situ Nanocorral Effects: Geometric Shape Impact on PCDA Alignment. To further investigate the impact of in situ nanocorral formation on the resultant PCDA assembly, the geometric shape of the corral was changed. Again, the slow nanoshaving direction was carefully chosen to promote parallel PCDA lamellae alignment. Nanocorrals in the shape of a square, a circle, a downward facing triangle, and an upward facing triangle are shown in Figure 5. The lamellae of PCDA align with preference along the slow nanoshaving direction for the square, the circle, and the downward triangle. A statistical analysis with more than 30 corrals of each particular shape is shown comparing the relative number of domains with a particular orientation (Figure 5). With 86% preference, the square fosters the formation of lamellae parallel to the slow nanoshaving direction. The upward triangle, on the other hand, dramatically reduces this alignment trend with only 66% alignment along the slow nanoshaving direction. A (+) diagonally aligned domain inside the upright triangle, occupying 22% of the total population, is shown in Figure 5d. The triangle study demonstrates how the method (top-to-bottom or bottom-to-top) of nanoshaving a particular shape can alter the tendency of PCDA lamellae to preferentially align. More importantly, this study demonstrates that the initial stages of the corral formation must play an important role in directing the PCDA self-assembly. Hence, the seeding and growth of the assembly of PCDA must take place as the HOPG surface is being gradually exposed.

Origin of Preferential PCDA Lamellae Alignment. The question thus arises: how does the nanoshaving of in situ nanocorrals give rise to preferential parallel PCDA lamellae alignment? Standard self-assembly of molecules at the liquid–solid interface proceeds in three distinct stages: nucleation, free growth, and ripening. When molecules are deposited on freshly cleaved HOPG, all of these processes contribute to the final observed structure. We propose, however, that the gradual revelation of the surface from in situ nanoshaving at the liquid–solid interface gives rise to a combination of geometric and kinetic constraints that affect the standard processes by which self-assembly typically occurs.

To demonstrate the concept of geometric constraints, we focus on the variations observed in the corral size study. Importantly, the nanoshaving of each individual corral was completed, starting with the largest corral, before moving on to the next corral. The shaving rate is consistently held at 400 nm/s. Thus, the time required for nanoshaving each particular corral decreases proportionally with decreasing size of the corral. This also creates a situation where the geometric
limitations placed on the system at the initial stages of corral formation are very different for each particular corral. At early stages (<2 s) of nanoshaving, the largest corrals exist as high aspect ratio rectangles where assembly can occur. On the other hand, the aspect ratio for the smaller nanocorrals is drastically lower for the same nanoshaving time (Figure S11). While the total nanoshaving area between the corrals is the same at these early times, the geometric constraints are very different (Figure S11). Ultimately, these different constraint conditions are believed to bias the nucleation and growth processes to impact the observed size-dependent preferential alignment.

The behavior observed in the upright and downward triangles provides strong evidence that kinetic factors created by the nanoshaving process are also influential in determining the available PCDA orientations for adsorption. The downward facing triangle is first nanoshaved along the base, whereas the upright triangle is nanoshaved from the apex first. This places the assembly of PCDA within both corrals under different kinetic constraints that limit the possible orientations for adsorption of PCDA (Figure S12). During the initial stages of corral formation, nanoshaving from the triangular base restricts the options for PCDA assembly to one particular orientation with respect to the graphite lattice (Figure S13). However, nanoshaving from the apex of the triangle opens the diagonal axes of the graphite surface at the same rate as the parallel orientation (Figure S13). Thus, the “method” of nanoshaving the triangle creates kinetic limitations that can restrict the possible PCDA assembly orientations. It is important to note that the same geometric constraints that exist within the varying sized corrals also play an important role in the triangular assembly as well. The corral size series is also influenced by similar kinetic factors that restrict assembly to one particular orientation for a period of time. Given the same nanoshaving rate, the time scales of these kinetic restrictions decrease proportionally with the size of the corral. Through these kinetic and geometric constraints, in situ nanoshaving afforded control over nucleation and growth processes to preferentially select specific orientations of the PCDA lamellae.

Finally, the presence of the potentially large STM tip in close proximity to the newly created corrals places an additional kinetic constraint on molecular adsorption events. Steric blocking effects from the tip are expected to hinder molecular diffusion in the vicinity of the tip. During this time, the PCDA molecules may experience limited access to the exposed surface. This effect is particularly impactful when the dimensionality of the corral is similar to that of the tip. Such restrictions to PCDA self-assembly place additional kinetic constraints on the system that likely impact the observed orientation of the PCDA lamellae within small corrals (below 30 × 30 nm²). Similarly, for the upward facing triangle steric hindrance of the tip might delay PCDA self-assembly within the initial degraffed area.

Assembly alignment observed in previous work from Beebe et al. inside ex situ fabricated corrals likely occurs via different pathways.21 In their work, formation of a film using a pure liquid compound resulted in assembly inside etched corrals, as well as on the open terraces of HOPG. Ripening effects and registry impacts from the assembly on the open terraces and elevated layers are believed to contribute to the observed alignment.21 In our work, corrals of similar size to the work from Beebe et al. fabricated under dry conditions (ex situ) and later topped with a PCDA solution in 1-phenylcyclohexane yielded multiple domain orientations. This suggests that multiple nucleation events are responsible for the final observed structure, which is not surprising given the relatively large size of the corral (∼180 × 180 nm²) when compared to the average domain size on bare HOPG (Figure 3a). Since no assembly is observed on top of the covalently modified graphite, our assemblies cannot experience the same registry/ripening effects from open terrace assemblies. Related to Beebe’s work, we observe poor ordering at the corral boundaries, also suggesting an assembly inhibition from corral perimeters. We also never observed full molecular disassembly and reorientation within the corrals, but dynamics were occasionally observed. Beebe’s methods allow for confinement studies on elevated terraces. With our corrals, such an investigation is not possible. Size, shape, and orientation control on the graphite surface can, however, be achieved. This control motivated us to probe nucleation and growth events with this highly precise method for confining molecules.

In Situ Nanocorral Effects: Seeding and Growth Demonstration. Using the constraints inherent to in situ nanocorrals, we designed an experiment to directly demonstrate the basic crystallization concept37,48 of “seeding and growth” under nanoconfinement conditions. The experiment involves the creation of a circular seed corral followed by two other rectangular arm corrals made in the presence of PCDA to allow additional growth. First, the expected alignment is shown by creating the individual corrals separately (Figure 6a). In this
case, the alignment of the domain of PCDA lamellae inside the circular seed is parallel. Similarly, the alignment of PCDA inside the rectangular arm is also classified as parallel (given the slow nanoshaving direction), but the orientation with respect to the circular seed is clearly different. This result is expected given the nanoshaving orientation of the corrrals with respect to the major graphite axes.

In a separate experiment, the rectangular arms are overlapped with the circular seed when the nanoshaving is performed. Importantly, the circular seed is nanoshaved first followed by the rectangular arms. In this case, the lamellae within the rectangular corrrals are in registry with the lamellae inside the circular seed corral (Figure 6b). The PCDA lamellae are observed to run continuously from the seed into the arms. Hence, once the seed domain was formed, the PCDA growth upon exposure of additional free graphite (rectangular arm) is observed in the same direction as the lamellae observed in the seed. Occasionally, new nucleation events occur inside the arms and create domain boundaries (Figure 6b, top rectangle). The propensity for these new nucleation events within the rectangular corrrals is expected to be dependent on the seed (and arm) size, shape, orientation, and degrafting parameters. These parameters along with the rate of surface exposure and concentration impact on 2D crystal nucleation and growth are currently under more detailed investigation.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have revealed several general effects involved in laterally confined molecular self-assembly occurring inside nanocorrals at a liquid–solid interface. Corrrals of various size, shape, and orientation are fabricated by in situ STM nanoshaving on covalently modified HOPG surfaces. Statistical analysis of these results demonstrates the importance of geometric and kinetic constraints on the resultant assembly orientation. Confinement effects during the initial stages of nanocoral formation are shown to strongly affect nucleation and growth processes. Furthermore, the confinement constraints placed on the assembly were employed for control over “seeding and growth” processes under nanocorral confinement conditions. In the future coupling these corral methods with fast scanning probe microscopy techniques may allow direct observation of molecular assembly events. Confinement impacts on switching, chirality, multicomponent assembly, phase transitions, and stimuli-responsive networks are expected to emerge. The concept of nanoshaving can possibly be extended to surfaces other than HOPG, given that diazonium modification on both conducting metals and semiconducting silicon surfaces is well documented. The nanocorral approach and confinement principles described herein are expected to be invaluable tools for future studies on the thermodynamic and kinetic parameters involved in crystallization.

METHODS

STM Experiments. All experiments were performed at room temperature (20–22 °C) using a PicoLE (Keysight) or Molecular Imaging STM system operating in constant-current mode at the 1-phenyloctane/HOPG interface. STM tips were prepared by mechanical cutting from Pt/Ir wire (80%/20%, diameter 0.25 mm). For self-assembly imaging, a saturated solution of 10,12-pentacosadiynoic acid (≥97%) in 1-phenyloctane (98%) was drop-casted on the surface of a freshly cleaved (or covalently modified) HOPG substrate (grade ZYB, Advanced Ceramics Inc., Cleveland, OH, USA). Both PCDA and 1-phenyloctane were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. The reported unit cell parameters of PCDA on HOPG are averaged values deduced from examination of six images that have been corrected for drift using recorded graphite images under the same conditions except for Vc = −1 mV and Ic = 200 pA. Nanoshaving was performed using the PicoLITH v2.1 software. All images were processed using the Scanning Probe Imaging Processor (SPIP) software (Image Metrology ApS). Imaging parameters are indicated in the figure captions and are denoted by Vc for the sample bias and Ic for the tunneling current.

Covalent Modification of HOPG. Electrochemical grafting of 3,5-bis-tert-butylbenzenediazonium (3,5-TBD) was performed using cyclic voltammetry in aqueous solutions. Due to the low stability of 3,5-TBD, it was generated in situ from the corresponding aniline compound. To this end, ≥3 mg of 3,5-bis-tert-butylaniline (3,5-TBA) was dissolved in 5 mL of aqueous hydrochloric acid (50 mM), and 100 μL of aqueous NaNO2 (0.1 M) was added for activation of the diazotization reaction. The solution was gently shaken for 1.5 min before injection into the electrochemical cell. A lab-built single-compartment three-electrode cell, with a working electrode area of 50.3 mm2, Pt wire counter, and Ag/AgCl/3.0 M NaCl reference electrode was used to carry out the cyclic voltammetry. Prior to each experiment, the HOPG working electrode was freshly cleaved using Scotch tape. A typical current–voltage diagram obtained during cyclic voltammetry is shown in Figure S1. Every experiment consisted of three voltage sweeps. After modification, the HOPG samples were rinsed with Milli-Q water to remove any physisorbed material from the surface. 3,5-Bis-tert-butylaniline (98%) and analytical grade hydrochloric acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. High-purity water (Milli-Q, Millipore, 18.2 MΩ cm, TOC < 3 ppb) was used for preparation of the aqueous solutions. All electrochemical measurements were performed using an Autolab PGSTAT101 potentiostat (Metrohm Autolab BV, The Netherlands).
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