Fabrication and microstrain evolution of Al-TiB$_2$ composite coating by cold spray deposition
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Abstract

This paper investigates the microstructure evolution of Al-TiB$_2$ coatings prepared by cold spraying. In situ Al-TiB$_2$ composite powders containing uniformly distributed titanium diboride (TiB$_2$) particles with a size range of 5 to 100 nm in the Al matrix and Al/Al-TiB$_2$ blended powders were used as the cold spray feedstock for coating fabrication on aluminium alloy substrates. The microstructures of the feedstock powders and as-deposited coatings were characterised using scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis and X-ray diffraction (XRD). Al/Al-TiB$_2$ blended powder coatings, compromising closely packed powder particles, were sprayed to an approximate thickness of 500 µm. Al-TiB$_2$ composite coatings (approximately 50 µm thick) were obtained retaining the microstructure of the composite powders being sprayed and no evidence of detrimental phase transformation was found. However, micro-cracks were found to exist in the Al-TiB$_2$ coating due to the hardly deformable powder particles. Little or no microstrain was revealed in the as-sprayed Al-TiB$_2$ coating, indicating that annealing may have occurred due to the localised adiabatic heating during the spraying process. It is demonstrated that it is possible to fabricate
the Al-TiB$_2$ composite coating by cold spray deposition but further improvements to eliminate coating cracking are required.
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1. Introduction

Aluminium matrix composites (AMCs) have emerged as high performance structural materials for a wide range of applications in aerospace, automotive and transportation industries because of their improved strength, modulus and enhanced wear resistance compared to unreinforced bulk alloys.\textsuperscript{1-3} Ceramic reinforced aluminium matrix composites is of particular interest due to their tribological, wear, creep and fatigue properties can be tailored to meet specific requirements by controlling the volume fraction, particle size and distribution of the reinforcing particles in the aluminium matrix.\textsuperscript{4-7} Conventional ceramic particles, such as carbides, oxides, nitrides and borides, are widely used to reinforce aluminium alloys.\textsuperscript{8-14} Among these reinforcements, more recently, titanium diboride (TiB\textsubscript{2}) has been an attractive candidate since it exhibits high hardness and modulus.\textsuperscript{15} The TiB\textsubscript{2} reinforced AMCs (Al-TiB\textsubscript{2}) have been achieved by various manufacturing methods, e.g. friction stir processing\textsuperscript{16-18}, gas pressure infiltration\textsuperscript{19, 20}, in-situ reactive processes\textsuperscript{21, 22}, etc. Meanwhile, Al-TiB\textsubscript{2} composites are also employed as coatings to improve the wear resistance and hardness of aluminium and its alloys, especially in the structures where the properties of the surface layers are of primary importance. However, careful considerations are needed in coating production in order to maintain the original composite structure and to avoid any detrimental phase formation.

One method that appears to have the potential to meet the above requirements is the cold gas dynamic spraying or, more simply, cold spray. Unlike plasma spray and high velocity oxy-fuel thermal spray,\textsuperscript{23-28} which use high temperature jets, cold spray involves the acceleration and impact of solid particles onto a substrate to form a coating at moderate to low temperatures. The bulk of the particles do not undergo any melting in cold spray; however, the temperature at the interfacial zone can rise significantly. Powder particles are accelerated by a supersonic gas jet to velocities in a range of 300 – 1200 m/s through a convergent-divergent de Laval nozzle.\textsuperscript{29} Particles impinging on a substrate will either rebound or bond
with the substrate depending on the material type and, more importantly, particle velocity on impact with the substrate.\textsuperscript{30} Bonding occurs at sufficient kinetic energy of the impinging particles, which allow rapid and significant deformation of the powder particles under high pressures and strain rates (10\textsuperscript{6-9} s\textsuperscript{-1}).\textsuperscript{31} Compressive stress is usually developed in the cold sprayed coatings due to the relatively low temperatures and high strain induced during impact. Since the temperature is much below the melting point of the feedstock powder, oxidation, phase transformations as well as chemical decomposition of the powder can be inhibited. Thus the desirable properties of the powders are retained in cold sprayed coatings.\textsuperscript{32}

Hitherto, cold spraying has been utilised to deposit many types of materials include pure metals, alloys and, more recently, composite materials. Examples include TiO\textsubscript{2}-Zn\textsuperscript{33}, SnO\textsubscript{2}-Ag\textsuperscript{34}, WC-Co\textsuperscript{35}, WC-Ni\textsuperscript{36}, Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-Cu\textsuperscript{37}, Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-Al\textsuperscript{38}, Al-SiC\textsuperscript{39}, Cr\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{2}-Ni\textsuperscript{40}, SiC-Al-Si\textsuperscript{41}, Al/Al-Si\textsuperscript{42} and TiB\textsubscript{2}-Cu\textsuperscript{43}. In all the above cases of composite coatings, cold spraying has been demonstrated to be able to obtain uniformly distributed reinforcing phase within the matrix. However, studies of composite coatings such as Al-TiB\textsubscript{2} deposited by cold spraying does not appear to have been previously reported. It is generally recognised that spraying of ceramics is difficult because of its brittleness, it is challenging to study the behaviour of composite powders with high content of TiB\textsubscript{2}. In addition, although it is commonly believed that large microstrains are generated in the coating since the cold spraying relies on plastic deformation for coating formation\textsuperscript{44}, it was noticed that the thermally activated recovery process could occur during cold spraying, especially in Al contained coatings, causing thermal softening rather than strain hardening.\textsuperscript{45} But none of the above existing works on Al composite coatings have examined the microstrain development in cold sprayed coatings. Since mechanical properties of such coatings can be affected by the residual stress/strain; therefore, the aims of the present study are to elucidate the microstructures of Al-TiB\textsubscript{2} composite coatings formed in cold spraying and to investigate the microstrain evolution from the initial mechanically alloyed powder to the deposited coating.
2. Materials and experimental techniques

2.1. Materials

Al-20 wt.% TiB$_2$ powder having a particle size ~20 µm was obtained from a double-step mechanical alloying process (referred as Al-TiB$_2$ hereafter). The details of this process and procedures are given elsewhere.$^{21}$ Aluminium powder of 99.7% purity was obtained from Alpoco Ltd (Minworth, UK) and had a size range from 15 to 45 µm. Soft Al powder and hard, mechanically alloyed, Al-TiB$_2$ powder were mixed together in the required weight proportion (1:1) and blended in a turbula mixer for 2 h in air to obtain a blended powder. Subsequently the Al + 50 wt.% Al-TiB$_2$ blended powder and Al-TiB$_2$ powder were employed as feedstock materials for cold spraying. The above powders were deposited onto samples cut from AA6061 plate, sample dimensions were 75 × 25 × 3 mm$^3$. The aluminium alloy substrates were prepared by grit blasting with Al$_2$O$_3$ having a particle size ~500 µm under a nominal blasting pressure of 0.5 MPa and then degreased with alcohol prior to spray deposition. The coatings obtained by deposition of the Al-TiB$_2$ and blended Al-50 wt.% Al-TiB$_2$ powders and are designated as Al-TiB$_2$ coating and blended powder coating respectively.

2.2. Cold spraying

Cold spraying was carried out with an in-house built cold gas spraying system at the University of Nottingham comprising a high pressure gas supply, a high pressure powder feeder, a converging-diverging nozzle and an X-Y traverse unit. The detailed design of the cold spray system is described elsewhere.$^{46}$ Room temperature helium at 2.9 MPa was utilised as the process accelerating gas and nitrogen was employed as the powder carrier gas at a pressure of 3.0 MPa. The pressure difference between the carrier gas and the process gas is to facilitate powder transport into the main gas flow. A high pressure powder feeder (Praxair 1264HP, Indianapolis, IN, USA) with a powder feed wheel speed of 4 rpm (20 g/min) was used during the cold spraying process. The martensitic steel de Laval nozzle had a throat
diameter of 1.35 mm, with an area expansion ratio of ~8.8. The nozzle-substrate standoff distance for all the spray runs was fixed at 20 mm. The nozzle was attached to a frame and the substrates were fixed onto a computer controlled X-Y traverse table. Eight passes at a traverse speed of 100 mm·s\(^{-1}\) were used to build up the coating thickness for each feedstock powder. The velocity of particles for the chosen spraying parameters was 550-700 m/s depending on the size of the particles.

2.3. Microstructural characterisation

Powder samples were prepared by sprinkling a small quantity of loose powder onto an adhesive mount. Coating cross-sections were cut, mounted in a conductive resin and sequentially ground and diamond polished to a 1 µm surface finish. Prepared samples were examined in a FEI XL 30 scanning electron microscope (SEM) operated at 20 kV. Both secondary electrons (SE) and backscattered electrons (BSE) were used to form images and semi-quantitative energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) was utilised for analysis of elemental compositions. Image analysis was carried out to measure coating porosity using the image analysis software Image J.\(^{47}\) X-ray diffraction (XRD) of the powders and as-sprayed coatings was performed on a Bruker D-500 X-ray diffractometer operating at 40 kV and 25 mA using Cu-\(K\alpha\) source with a wavelength of 0.15406 nm. XRD scans in the 2\(\theta\) range 20° - 120° were conducted with a step size of 0.02° and 4 s counting time per step. Microstrain analysis was carried out using Williamson-Hall method\(^{48}\) and whole powder pattern method (WPPM) incorporated in Rietveld refinement\(^{49, 50}\). The microhardness of coatings was measured on the polished coating cross-sections using a LECO M-400 microhardness tester with a 100 gf load and 15 s dwell time. Values quoted are the average of ten indents taken along the mid-plane of a coating cross-section.

3. Results
3.1. Powder Characteristics

Figure 1 shows the morphology of the blended powder and Al-TiB\textsubscript{2} powder. The blended powder shown in Figure 1(a) consists of spherical Al powder and irregular, rock shaped Al-TiB\textsubscript{2} powder varying from 5 to 25 \(\mu\)m. In the mechanically alloyed Al-TiB\textsubscript{2} powder, as shown in Figure 1(b), the larger particles are the Al matrix whilst the smaller satellites are the TiB\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles that exhibit a size range of 5 – 100 nm. Figure 2 shows the microstructure of a single Al-TiB\textsubscript{2} particle. EDX analysis revealed the darker phase to be the Al matrix, and the brighter phase to be the TiB\textsubscript{2} reinforcing particles. It is evident that the nanosized TiB\textsubscript{2} particles are distributed on the surface of the particle from Figure 2(a) and also embedded within the aluminium matrix from Figure 2(b). The XRD patterns of both powders shown in Figure 3 confirm that only two phases are present, aluminium and titanium diboride. No evidence for the minor phases such as TiAl and Al\textsubscript{3}Ti intermetallic compounds can be found. It can be seen that the peaks associated with TiB\textsubscript{2} in the blended powder are not as intensive as those in the Al-TiB\textsubscript{2} powder, which is due to the lower content of TiB\textsubscript{2} in the blended powder.

3.2. Microstructure of coatings

The microstructures of the cold sprayed blend powder coatings and Al-TiB\textsubscript{2} coatings are shown in Figure 4. It is seen that the blended powder resulted in a much thicker coating, \(~\)500 \(\mu\)m, and the thickness of the Al-TiB\textsubscript{2} coating was only \(~\)50 \(\mu\)m. The blended powder coating shown in Figure 4(a) and (b) is composed of a uniformly distributed mixture of Al and Al-TiB\textsubscript{2} powder particles. The two phase microstructure and the shape of the Al-TiB\textsubscript{2} particles in the blended powder coating was retained, consisting of the light contrast TiB\textsubscript{2} particles and the dark contrast Al matrix. The blended powder coating has a porosity less than 2\% from image analysis in Figure 4(a) but poor interface bonding between the Al-TiB\textsubscript{2} and the Al particles is found in Figure 4(b). Micron sized cracks are visible in the Al-TiB\textsubscript{2} coating as
shown in Figure 4(c) and (d). These tend to occur as delaminating cracks between the layers that have been deposited due to poor bonding resulted from poor particle deformation. Table 1 tabulates various processing and microstructural measurements for the coatings. It can be seen that the coatings have low porosity, less than 2% in both cases. A microhardness difference is also evident as shown in Table 1. The composite Al-TiB$_2$ coating exhibits higher hardness (132±22 HV) than the blended powder coating (71±15 HV). XRD patterns of as-sprayed coatings in Figure 5 confirm no evidence of new phase transformation during spraying.

3.3. Microstrain analysis

In order to investigate the evolution of microstrain and crystallite size in the Al matrix from the mechanically alloyed Al-TiB$_2$ composite powder to the as-sprayed Al-TiB$_2$ coating, the Williamson-Hall (WH) plot$^{48}$ and whole powder pattern method (WPPM) incorporated in Rietveld refinement$^{49,50}$ were conducted. For the WH method,

$$\sqrt{B_M^2 - B_I^2 \cos \theta} = 4 \varepsilon \sin \theta + \frac{0.9 \lambda}{D}$$

where $B_M$ is the measured full width half maximum (FWHM) from the original Al-TiB$_2$ powder and as-sprayed Al-TiB$_2$ coatings, $B_I$ is the instrumental broadening from the well-annealed Al powder, $\theta$ is the diffraction angle, $\varepsilon$ is the microstrain, $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the X-rays used in nanometers (0.154056 nm for Cu-K$\alpha$ source) and $D$ is the average crystallite size. The annealing of the Al powder was carried out at 350 °C in Argon for 8 h followed by furnace cooling. The WH plot is shown in Figure 6, demonstrating the microstrain embedded in the as-received Al-TiB$_2$ powder due to mechanical alloying. However, the slope of the as-sprayed Al-TiB$_2$ coating is almost zero, which indicates that little or no microstrain presents in the coating. The accuracy of the WPPM results is documented by very good correspondence between measured data and obtained fit in Figure 7. The average crystallite size and microstrain in the Al-TiB$_2$ powder and coating obtained are summarised in Table 2. The results obtained from WH plot matches very well with the WPPM results even though
only five aluminium reflections were used for WH plot and the whole powder XRD pattern for the WPPM analysis.

4. Discussion

4.1. Bonding mechanism

The less porous and almost crack-free structure of the blended powder coating, seen in Figure 4 (a) and (b) can be attributed to the high deposition efficiency of readily deformable Al powder. As has been previously reported by Bakshi et al., the pure Al powder can be sprayed to a much thicker coating compared to the Al powder mixtures, i.e. Al/Al-Si. It is possible that the soft Al powders entrap the Al-TiB₂ powder particles between the deforming Al particles. Bonding occurred due to the extensive deformation of pure Al powder in the blended powder coating. Indeed Figure 4(a) and (b) provide the evidence that the Al-TiB₂ particles are entrapped by the pure Al powder in the blended coating. Meanwhile, poor bonding may exist at localised areas where the Al-TiB₂ particles were subjected to high impact forces, i.e. the brittle Al-TiB₂ initially bombarded the substrate, causing cracking of the Al-TiB₂ particles, as shown in Figure 4(b). The lower deformability of the Al-TiB₂ particles can lead to larger energy transfer to Al particles during impact. Since Al particles are relatively soft and easily deformable, splats can be built up to produce thick coating and high deposition efficiency was achieved.

The much thinner Al-TiB₂ coating indicates the lower deposition efficiency of Al-TiB₂ particles as compared to that of blended powder for the same spraying conditions and almost identical powder feed rates. The deformation of particles is largely due to the deformation of soft Al matrix in the composite particles. The nanosized and hardly deformable TiB₂ is widely retained and extensive cracking occurred in the Al-TiB₂ coating. Since the bonding typically relies on the deformation of the particles in the cold spraying, the high content of TiB₂ particles in the powder experiences little or no deformation. The splats cannot be deformed as
much as that in the blended powder coating and they either rebound or spall off from the pre-
deposited layers, causing a thinner coating with extensive cracks as seen in Figure 4(c) and 
(d). Critical particle velocities of most metals and alloys for cold spray deposition were
reported to be in the range of 500 – 700 m/s by theoretical calculation and experimental 
works.\textsuperscript{51} It can be generally summarised into the following equation,
\begin{equation}
V_{\text{critical}} \left( \frac{m}{s} \right) = 667 - 14\rho + 0.08T_m + 0.1\sigma_u - 0.4T_i \tag{2}
\end{equation}
where $\rho$ is the density in g/cm$^3$, $T_m$ is the melting temperature in °C, $\sigma_u$ is the ultimate 
strength in MPa, and $T_i$ is the initial particle temperature in °C. The above equation is
reasonable in the sense that the particle strengthening will increase the critical particle
velocity because as the strength is increased, less deformation of the particle would occur. As 
a result, the critical velocity will be increased to allow enough deformation of the 
strengthened particles to assist the bonding between the sprayed particles. Since it is already
known that materials properties can have substantial influences on the critical velocity in cold 
spraying, Schmidt et al.\textsuperscript{52} worked to improve the accuracy of Eq. (2), in which the mechanical
balance of an impact is proposed, as simplified in Eq. (3),
\begin{equation}
V_{\text{critical}} \left( \frac{m}{s} \right) \sim \sqrt{\sigma_{TS}} \tag{3}
\end{equation}
where $\sigma_{TS}$ is the tensile strength. With experimentally measured hardness values of spray
powders, the tensile strength can be replaced by the Vickers hardness to estimate the critical
velocity. Taking the hardness value from Table 1, it is found that,
\begin{equation}
V_{\text{critical}} (Al - TiB_2) \sim 1.8 V_{\text{critical}} (Al) \tag{4}
\end{equation}
The critical velocity for aluminium powder with particle size below 45 µm was determined to 
be approximately 660-700 m/s.\textsuperscript{51} Since the velocity of particles used was 550-700 m/s for
cold spraying in this study, it can be concluded from Eq. (4) that the impact velocities for Al-
TiB$_2$ powders were insufficient to produce good particle deformation and intimate
particle/particle bonding, leaving cracks at the interlamellar region.
4.2. Microstrain interpretation

It is well known that the mechanical alloying generates the internal strain in the crystal lattice due to the high speed in situ ball milling process. This internal strain usually causes the peak broadening in the X-ray diffraction analysis, as seen from Figure 3 where Al peak in the Al-TiB₂ powder are slightly broader. It is widely believed that the cold spraying involves plastic deformation of powder particles with large resultant strain (100%~300%). However, this was not observed and on the contrary, the Al peaks became narrower and no clear microstrain was found in the Al-TiB₂ coating. This may be attributed to the localised heat generated at the particle interface, which acted as an annealing effect to remove the microstrain of the coating.

During the cold spraying process, the initial kinetic energy of the in-flight particle is mainly dissipated into plastic deformation and viscous effects/frictional work of the impacting particle and substrate, provided that the coatings were deposited well by cold spraying. But in this study, since the soft Al matrix is reinforced by the non-deformable TiB₂ particles, less deformation occurred during cold spraying. The low deposition efficiency indicates that the mechanically alloyed composite powders were not sprayed well and most of them rebounded due to their poor deformability. This can be treated as the shot peening process in which the kinetic energy of in-flight particles has mainly been transferred to the heat to the pre-deposited layers. The heat generated may be conducted away or fluxed out, to justify the adiabatic heating, using the similar approach proposed by Assadi et al.,

\[ x_d = \sqrt{D_{th} \cdot t_{impact}} \]  

where \( x_d \) is the heat diffusion distance, \( D_{th} \) is the thermal diffusivity, taken as \( 8.5 \times 10^{-5} \) m²/s and \( t_{impact} \) is the total impact time. \( x_d \) is found around 47 µm, which is close to the Al-TiB₂ coating thickness of ~50 µm. Therefore, the adiabatic heating condition applies, indicating that the continuous impact particles through cold spraying caused the heat accumulation in the coating. This gives an instant temperature increase of approximately 220~260 °C during impact, which agrees well with the reported work that the average temperature of Al under
impact conditions during cold spray can achieve 44% or even higher of the Al melting temperature. Consequently, the annealing of the coating occurred and microstrain in the coating diminished. The growth in the crystalline size of Al matrix from Table 2 further supports this view. It should be noted that the heat generated did not lead to any oxidation since oxide peaks were not found in the Al-TiB₂ coating in Figure 5. Even with little or no microstrain in the Al-TiB₂ coating, it still exhibits a higher hardness compared to the blended powder coating, which is attributed to the fact that the higher volume fraction of the TiB₂ particles in the Al-TiB₂ coating.

4.3. Comparison with previous studies

Composite powders have been successfully cold sprayed in a few studies. Generally, cold sprayed composite coatings, depending on the spray parameters used, are about 50-100 µm due to the presence of hardly deformable reinforcing phase. It is also quite common that the composite structure of the feedstock powder is typically retained. Cracks also exist in other similar studies and low deposition efficiencies were reported when cold spraying of composite powders. It has been shown that the porosity resulted from cold spraying of Al and Al/Al-Si systems with almost identical spraying parameters is generally below 2%, which agrees well with the porosity that obtained in this study. Much lower deposition efficiency of Al-TiB₂ powder was found in the present study compared to the blended powder as seen in Figure 4, which is consistent with the work reported previously. But none of the previous studies have focused on the microstrain evolution on cold spraying composite powders. Ajdelsztajn et al. suggested that the heat may be generated during the continuous impact of rebounded particles during cold spraying and thermal softening would occur. Such phenomenon could be consistent with the little or no microstrain in this cold sprayed Al-TiB₂ coating. However, due to the spraying parameters vary among the reported work and it is hard to make direct comparison with other studies. Although it is clear that further work is needed
with cold spraying to establish with better control of spraying parameters for this Al-TiB₂ composite powders, it is evident that the Al-TiB₂ composite powders can be successfully deposited by cold spraying to form composite coatings. Further work is necessary to carefully manipulate the spraying parameters and conditions to produce crack-free Al-TiB₂ coatings by cold spraying.

5. Conclusions

- Two different coatings were prepared by cold spraying, namely the blended powder coating and Al-TiB₂ coating, no new phase formation was observed after spraying. The blended powder coating was thick and less porous while the Al-TiB₂ coating was thin with cracks. The soft Al powder entraps the hard Al-TiB₂ powder in the blended powder to facilitate the coating formation.

- The low deposition efficiency of Al-TiB₂ coating was attributed to the high volume fraction of hard and non-deformable Al-TiB₂ particles. Micro-cracks were found in the Al-TiB₂ coating, which is possibly due to the poor bonding of Al-TiB₂ particles, leading to the cracks at the interlamellar region.

- Little or no microstrain was found in the Al-TiB₂ coating compared to that in the initial mechanically alloyed Al-TiB₂ powder. This is due to the heat generated during spraying that acted as an annealing effect, as such the microstrain in the coating was relieved. Further work is necessary to eliminate the cracks by carefully controlling the cold spraying parameters.
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Table 1 Microstructural parameters and microhardness of the cold sprayed coatings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>wt.% of Al-TiB₂ in the powder mixture</th>
<th>Vol.% of Al-TiB₂ particles</th>
<th>Vol.% of porosity</th>
<th>Coating thickness, µm</th>
<th>Vickers hardness, HV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blended powder coating</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>37 ± 3</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>71 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-TiB₂ coating</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>132 ± 22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Average crystalline size and lattice strain of Al matrix in the Al-TiB₂ powder and coating calculated by the Williamson-Hall (WH) plot and whole powder pattern method (WPPM).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Crystalline size (nm)</th>
<th>Lattice strain (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WH</td>
<td>WPPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-TiB₂ powder</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-TiB₂ coating</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Secondary electron SEM micrographs showing the morphology of 1:1 mixture of Al and Al-TiB₂ blended powder (a) and Al-TiB₂ powder (b).
Fig. 2. Backscatter electron SEM micrographs showing the microstructure of the Al-TiB$_2$ powder particle: (a) overall structure and (b) cross section of the particle.

Fig. 3. XRD patterns of blended powder (a) and Al-TiB$_2$ powder (b).
Fig. 4. SEM micrographs of the cross section of the cold sprayed coatings: (a) and (b) blended powder coating at low and high magnification; (c) and (d) Al-TiB$_2$ coating at low and high magnification.

Fig. 5. XRD patterns of blended powder coating (a) and Al-TiB$_2$ coating (b).
Fig. 6. Williamson-Hall plot of Al-TiB$_2$ powder and coating for microstrain analysis.

Fig. 7. Result of Rietveld refinement of Al-TiB$_2$ coating with WPPM incorporated for size-strain determination; blue points are measured data, red pattern is the obtained fit and gray curve at zero intensity is the difference curve.