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Abstract

Angiogenesis, a process that enables the growth of blood vessels from a pre-existing vasculature and is common to all solid tumours greater than 1 mm$^3$ in size (Gacche and Meshram, 2014). The angiogenic process is heavily promoted by vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). Compounds able to inhibit VEGF signalling have been shown to reduce cancer mass (Arjaans et al., 2016). However, VEGF receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors (RTKIs), a class of anti-VEGF treatment, have been shown to cause cardio-toxicity, with hypertension being a commonly reported, and often severe, side effect (Eskens and Verweij, 2006; Widakowich et al., 2007; Abi Aad et al., 2015). Depending on the nature of the study, the incidence of hypertension in the VEGF RTKI patient population ranges from 23% to 90% (Hamberg et al., 2010; La Vine et al., 2010; Aparicio-Gallego et al., 2011; Bible et al., 2014). Due to the increasing incidence and seriousness of hypertension observed in oncology clinics, it is clear that there are important cardiovascular issues relating to the use of RTKIs, particularly those that target VEGF, that require further exploration. This body of work set out to determine the in vitro potencies of vandetanib, pazopanib, cediranib and sorafenib at VEGFR2, alongside the in vivo cardiovascular haemodynamic and vasoactive profile of vandetanib and pazopanib, two VEGF RTKIs shown to cause hypertension in approximately 32% (Wells et al., 2012) and 33%-40% of the patient population, respectively (Bible et al., 2014).

In NFAT luciferase assays cediranib, sorafenib, pazopanib and vandetanib were shown to inhibit, in a non-competitive fashion, VEGF$_{165}$ mediated signalling in vitro. In haemodynamic studies, using Doppler flowmetry and
telemetry methodologies, both vandetanib and pazopanib caused significant hypertension (P<0.05, in comparison to vehicle). Pazopanib and vandetanib lead to significant vasoconstriction of the mesenteric and hindquarter vascular beds, pazopanib also produced significant vasoconstriction in the renal vascular bed (P<0.05, in comparison to vehicle). None of the variables measured in the haemodynamic studies significantly differed between the 30 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) pazopanib and 25 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) vandetanib groups. In chronic radio-telemetric studies, vandetanib was shown to cause a significantly greater but more transient increase in mean arterial blood pressure in comparison to pazopanib (P<0.05). Vandetanib was also shown to inhibit VEGF and ACh-mediated vessel dilatation in pressure myography experiments. Finally, vandetanib and pazopanib were shown to induce vasodilatation in the presence of a vasoconstrictor (U46619), a previously unseen finding.

In conclusion, the body of work undertaken here has given novel insight into the ability of non-competitive anti-VEGF RTKIs to inhibit VEGF-mediated signalling and vessel dilatation as well as produce direct effect of vessel diameter in the absence of VEGF. It has also produced a validated method of hypertension in a rat model, both in the short and long term. These models have shown that different anti-VEGF RTKIs have different regional haemodynamic and post-treatment hypertensive side effect profiles. These findings are important for understanding the mechanisms behind the therapeutic and non-therapeutic effects of VEGF RTKIs and allow for further research into the signalling mechanism involved in VEGF RKTI-mediated hypertension and the potential therapeutic treatments that could treat this.
Chapter 1: General Introduction
1.1 General Introduction

Cancer is a complex group of diseases, with over 100 different known classifications (Stewart, 2014). It is a leading cause of morbidity worldwide, with 14 million newly diagnosed cases and 8.2 million cancer related deaths in 2012 (Stewart, 2014; World Health Organisation, 2016). The development and progression of cancer involves multiple changes and/or mutations in gene expression, leading to up- or down-regulation of specific signalling pathways (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011). Common to all solid tumours is the ability to induce angiogenesis, a process that enables the growth of blood vessels from the pre-existing vasculature (Gacche and Meshram, 2014). Increasing blood flow to the tumour mass is a requirement for tumour growth beyond 2-3 mm³ in size (Folkman, 1971). Pathological angiogenesis results in the development of blood vessels that are unstructured, tortuous and innately leaky (Ferrara, 2001). The resultant enhanced vessel permeability facilitates the escape of tumour cells from the tumour mass as well as their transportation to distant sites, wherein secondary metastases may form (Wong et al., 2015). One of the key, and most potent, mediators of angiogenesis is vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). The inhibition of tumorigenic processes, such as angiogenesis, is critical to preventing cancer progression. The recent development of several anti-angiogenic drugs that target VEGF, or its receptors, has highlighted the important relationship between the cardiovascular system and the tumour microenvironment. This relationship has become one of the main focuses of oncology and cardiovascular research.

VEGF is important for cell survival, proliferation, increased vascular density and vasodilatation (Liang et al., 2013;
Domigan et al., 2014). Neutralisation or blockade of VEGF signalling, either by inhibiting the VEGF ligand directly, i.e. with the humanised monoclonal antibody bevacizumab that prevents the VEGF ligand from interacting with the receptor, or by targeting the associated VEGF receptor 2 (VEGFR2) signalling pathway, generally with receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors (RTKIs), has been shown to decrease these events (Liang et al., 2014). There are several RTKIs such as vandetanib (Zactima™), pazopanib (Votrient®), sorafenib (Nexavar®) and cediranib (Recentin™) (Knosel et al., 2014) that are currently used or are in late Phase trials as adjuvant treatments alongside current chemotherapeutic agents (Herbst et al., 2010; Arjaans et al., 2016).

VEGF RTKIs are a multi-targeted drug class. This approach was first designed to reduce the likelihood of drug resistance (Huang and Kauffman, 2013). However, it has been shown that anti-angiogenic/anti-VEGF treatments can lead to cardio-toxicity, with hypertension being a commonly reported and severe side effect (Eskens and Verweij, 2006; Widakowich et al., 2007; Abi Aad et al., 2015). Depending on the nature of the study, the incidence of hypertension in the clinical setting ranges from 23% to 90% of the patient population (Hamberg et al., 2010; La Vine et al., 2010; Aparicio-Gallego et al., 2011; Bible et al., 2014), with approximately 32% of the patient population taking vandetanib (Wells et al., 2012) and 33%-40% of the patient population prescribed pazopanib (Hamberg et al., 2010; Bible et al., 2014) developing hypertension. Moreover, the development and escalation of pre-existing hypertension in these patient populations has been linked to multiple severe complications including venous or arterial thrombo-embolisms, acute heart failure,
intracerebral haemorrhage and reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome (Govindarajan et al., 2006; Chu et al., 2007; Pouessel and Culine, 2008; Eschenhagen et al., 2011). The resultant hypertension caused by treatment with VEGF RTKIs has proven difficult to treat clinically, as many patients appear to be resistant to conventional anti-hypertensive therapies, including angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, calcium channel blockers, beta blockers and thiazide diuretics; all of which appear to have little or no effectiveness in this patient population (Kruzliak et al., 2013).

VEGF blockade, by therapeutic compounds such as RTKIs, has been shown to produce cardiotoxic effects. To date, the role of VEGF in the cardiovascular system is poorly understood, under both normal and pathophysiological conditions. A better understanding of the mechanisms by which hypertension occurs in the absence of VEGF signalling would help to improve the side effect profile of current and future anti-angiogenic treatments.

1.2 VEGF

VEGF represents a ligand family of dimeric polypeptides, of approximately 46 kDa, which propagate pro-survival and pro-angiogenic signals (Liang et al., 2013).

In the early 1970’s, J. Folkman first reported that a secreted tumour factor, initially termed tumour angiogenesis factor (TAF), was required for the initiation of tubule formation (Folkman, 1971; Folkman, 1972). TAF was shown to induce angiogenesis and allow tumour growth past 2-3 mm³ in size (Folkman, 1971). Several years later, TAF was isolated and partially purified (Senger et al., 1983), in a study that
demonstrated its role in vascular permeability. Thereafter, it was termed vascular permeability factor (VPF). Subsequently TAF and VPF were recognised to be the same factor and it was ultimately renamed as VEGF by Ferrara, who fully sequenced the human and bovine VEGF in 1989 (Senger et al., 1983; Senger et al., 1986; Leung et al., 1989; Ferrara and Henzel, 1989).

The mammalian VEGF family consists of 5 members, namely, VEGF-A, VEGF-B, VEGF-C, VEGF-D and placental-derived growth factor (PIGF) (Olsson et al., 2006). VEGFs propagate their signal through binding to VEGF receptors. The VEGFR family of receptor tyrosine kinases (VEGFR1, VEGFR2, VEGFR3 and soluble (s) VEGFR1) are structurally comprised of a 7 immunoglobulin like (Ig) extracellular domain, a linked hydrophobic transmembrane domain and multiple intracellular kinase domains (Koch et al., 2011). However, the soluble form of VEGFR1 (sVEGFR1) comprises only the extracellular domain of the receptor. The intracellular portion of VEGFR1, VEGFR2 and VEGFR3 contain a juxta-membrane domain, a kinase insert domain, an ATP binding domain, a phosphotransferase domain and a C-terminal domain (Figure 1.1) (Stuttfeld and Ballmer-Hofer, 2009; Brozzo et al., 2012). VEGF ligands have differing affinities for different members of the VEGF receptor family (Woolard et al., 2009) as well as for heparan sulphate glycoproteins (HSG) and neuropillin (NP) (a 130-140 kDa co-receptor which also interact with semaphorin) (Soker et al., 1998). There are two NPs, namely, NP1 which is able to bind to VEGF-A, -B and PIGF and is found in arterial endothelial cells (ECs) and NP2 which is able to bind to VEGF-A, -C and -D and is found in venous and lymphatic ECs (Djordjevic and Driscoll, 2013).
Figure 1.1 Diagrammatical representation of VEGFR1 and VEGFR2 receptor structure, their corresponding ligands and signalling pathways. The VEGFR comprises of 7 immunoglobulin like (Ig) extracellular domain of each receptor, linked to a hydrophobic transmembrane domain. The intracellular portion of each receptor contains a juxta-membrane domain, a kinase insert domain, an ATP binding domain, a phosphotransferase domain and a C-terminal domain. The VEGFR1 and 2 canonical signalling pathways comprise of the PLCγ, PI3K and MAPK pathways, allowing these receptors to influence cell survival (yellow), cell migration (blue), cell proliferation (green) and vessel permeability and vasodilatation (clear). Adapted from information in Olsson et al., 2006; Stuttfeld and Ballmer-Hofer, 2009; Brozzo et al., 2012; Bretz et al., 2013; Domigan et al., 2014;.
VEGF-A is able to bind to NPs (expressed on tumour cells and ECs), VEGFR1, a 180 kDa protein also referred to as Flt1, (expressed on monocytes and vascular ECs) and VEGFR2 (expressed on vascular ECs) (Zachary and Gliki, 2001). VEGF-B and PIGF are able to bind NP as well as VEGFR1. Lastly, VEGF-C and VEGF-D both bind to VEGFR3 (a 195 kDa protein also referred to Flt4) found on lymphatic ECs (Zachary and Gliki, 2001).

The extent of production and secretion of VEGF is determined by the extracellular environment, in particular the availability of oxygen (Kaur et al., 2005). When oxygen is freely available, factor inhibiting hypoxia inducible factors (FIH) and prolyl hydroxylase domain proteins (PHDs) (Maxwell et al., 2001) degrade cytoplasmic hypoxia inducible factors (HIF) such as HIF-1α (Ahn et al., 2014) reducing the ability of HIF to transcribe the vegf gene.

However under hypoxic conditions, FIHs and PHDs are inhibited. This allows HIF-1α to translocate to the nucleus, whereupon it is able to increase both VEGF production and secretion, through its action as a transcription factor (Semenza et al., 1997; Ferrara, 2001). This action also positively feeds-back to further up-regulate HIF expression levels (Blancher et al., 2001).

VEGF-A is currently the most studied VEGF variant (Goel and Mercurio, 2013). The VEGF-A gene is alternatively spliced to give multiple VEGF-A isoforms with differing bioavailability’s (Woolard et al., 2009). These isoforms include VEGF_{121}, VEGF_{145}, VEGF_{148}, VEGF_{165}, VEGF_{183}, VEGF_{189} and VEGF_{206} (Koch et al., 2011). Each isoform differs in its specificity for VEGFR2, as well as HSG and NP binding (Pan et al., 2007;
Koch et al., 2011). VEGF-A isoforms fall into two major groups: VEGF$_{xxx}$ and VEGF$_{xxx}$b, with xxx symbolising amino acid number. VEGF$_{xxx}$ and VEGF$_{xxx}$b isoforms differ primarily as a consequence of alternative splicing within exon 8 (Bates., 2013). This leads to the terminal 6 amino acids, and therefore tertiary structure of VEGF$_{xxx}$ and VEGF$_{xxx}$b isoforms to differ, for example cysteine-160 in VEGF$_{165}$ is changed to a serine in VEGF$_{165}$b (Woolard., 2009). This alters the tertiary structure of VEGF$_{165}$b, in comparison to VEGF$_{165}$, by removing a disulphide bond formed between cysteine-160 and cysteine-146 in the VEGF$_{165}$ isoform (Woolard et al., 2009). There are also a number of charged amino acids (such as arginine) in the C-terminus of VEGF$_{165}$, which are supplemented by neutral amino acids, such as lysine in VEGF$_{165}$b, further varying each isoform’s tertiary structure. Although these structural changes do not greatly affect the affinity of VEGF$_{165}$b for VEGFR2 in comparison to VEGF$_{165}$ (Kawamura et al., 2008), they are thought to reduce VEGF$_{165}$b efficacy at the VEGFR2 receptor by reducing the probability of receptor transphosphorylation (Kawamura et al., 2008). This reduces the probability and amplification of receptor-mediated downstream signalling. It has also been shown that VEGF$_{165}$b is unable to bind to the VEGFR2 co-receptor, NP1 which acts to enhance the cell migratory and cell proliferative signalling of VEGFR1 and VEGFR2 (Kawasaki et al., 1999; Chaudhary et al., 2014; Zachary, 2014). The reduced efficacy of VEGF$_{165}$b at VEGFR2 and reduced affinity for NP1, in comparison to VEGF$_{165}$, has led to VEGF$_{165}$b being referred to as ‘anti-angiogenic’ due to its weak agonist action and its ability to competitively inhibit the receptor binding of more efficacious VEGFs such as VEGF$_{165}$a (Woolard et al., 2004; Kawamura et al., 2008; Carter et al., 2015). VEGF$_{165}$b comprises around 50% of the VEGF$_{165}$
isoforms found in tissues such as the lung, kidney, bladder and colon (Cheung et al., 1998). However, in relatively angiogenic tissues, such as the placenta, VEGF165b expression is low (Bates et al., 2006). It has also been noted that tumours that express abnormally high amounts of VEGF165b grow more slowly in comparison to those expressing high amount of VEGF165, further supporting the hypothesis that VEGF165b is a weaker promoter of angiogenesis (Pritchard-Jones et al., 2007). The presence of VEGF165b has also been shown to reduce the potency of Avastin (bevacizumab) (Varey et al., 2008). This is thought to be due to VEGF165b competitively binding to Avastin leaving VEGF165 able to activate VEGFR2-mediated angiogenesis. As VEGF165b has been shown to be overexpressed in various tumours (Rennel et al., 2008), understanding the effect of VEGF165b on RTKI action is important for future drug development and has potential clinical applications regarding treatment choice.

Binding of VEGF-C and VEGF-D to VEGFR3 leads to the activation of the Phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) and mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways (Salameh et al., 2005). This is important for lympho-EC survival and proliferation. VEGFR3 signalling has also been shown to have a role in cardiovascular development with vegfr3 -/- mice dying at embryonic day 9.5 due to impaired cardiovascular and vessel development (Dumont et al., 1998). However, VEGFR3 signalling has not been shown to be integral to adult vascular development, and therefore will not be discussed further.

VEGFR1, VEGFR2 and the co-receptor NP1 are known to facilitate a number of processes in the vasculature, including EC survival, vessel sprouting and vasodilatation (Olsson et al., 2006). The activation of VEGFR2, via VEGF-A isoforms, has the
most prominent promotional effect on these processes (Koch et al., 2011). NP1 is able to bind VEGF-A, VEGF-B and PIGF. This interaction allows it to form an oligomeric complex with VEGFR1 or VEGFR2 and enhance the resultant cell migration and proliferation (Kawasaki et al., 1999; Parker et al., 2012).

VEGFR1 binds to its ligand via extracellular Ig domains 1-3 (Nieminan et al., 2014). This interaction induces receptor dimerisation and trans-phosphorylation of intracellular tyrosine residues, subsequently leading to activation of phospholipase C (PLC) and MAPK signalling cascades (Sawano et al., 1997) increasing cell migration, proliferation and vascular permeability (Ito et al., 1998). Although it is clear that VEGFR1 causes downstream signalling when activated, the current level of involvement of VEGFR1 in EC proliferation, migration and vascular permeability is debated in the literature. It has been shown in vitro that VEGFR1 is not essential for these processes to occur (Gille et al., 2001). However, contrary to this, phosphorylation of VEGFR1 tyrosine residue 1213 has been shown to cause sustained activation of angiogenesis through its interaction with the p85 subunit of PI3K, leading to the induction of the PI3K pathway (Cai et al., 2003). Although the full role of VEGFR1 remains to be determined, its presence has been shown to be important in development, with the vegfr1 -/- knockout being lethal in mice at embryonic day 8.5 (Fong et al., 1999). Interestingly, deletion of the tyrosine kinase domain of VEGFR1 in mice leads to normal development (Hiratsuka et al., 1998). This has led to the theory that sVEGFR1 and VEGFR1 may act as anti-angiogenic decoy receptors, by sequestering VEGF and therefore reducing the extent of the angiogenic response (Carmeliet et al., 2001; Murakami et al., 2006).
Like VEGFR1, VEGFR2 knockout mice also experience embryonic lethality at embryonic day 8.5-9 (Shalaby et al., 1995). This, and the embryonic lethality of vegfa -/- (lethal at embryonic day 9.5-10.5) and vegfa+/-(lethal at embryonic day 11-12) in mice, highlights the importance of the VEGF-A/VEGFR2 signalling pathway in EC survival, proliferation and angiogenesis (Ferrara et al., 1996; Carmeliet et al., 1996). VEGF-A or C-terminal truncated forms of VEGF-C and VEGF-D bind to the Ig 2 and 3 domains of VEGFR2. This leads to activation, dimerization and trans-phosphorylation of the receptor (Dougher-Vermazen et al., 1994), allowing VEGFR2 to activate various pathways, including the PLCγ, MAPK, focal adhesion kinase (FAK), PI3K and phospholipase A2 (PLA2) pathways (Figure 1.1). These interactions lead to VEGF-A/VEGFR2- induced proliferation, migration, cell survival, cell permeability and angiogenesis, as well as vasodilatation though the production of nitric oxide (NO) and prostaglandin (PGI2), two potent vasodilatory factors (Horii et al., 1978; Kitsukawa et al., 1995; Bauer and Sotnikova, 2010). NP1/VEGFR2 oligomeric complex signalling has been shown to increase cell migration, cell survival, permeability and angiogenesis, compared to that of VEGFR2 alone (Pan et al., 2007; Jia et al., 2010). The involvement of NP1 in VEGF signalling is important in the regulation of vascular development and tone, with np1 -/- being lethal in mice at embryonic day 12.5, due to reduced vasculature and irregular neuronal patterning (Kawasaki et al., 1999). Overexpression of NP1 leads to constitutive vessel dilatation and heart malformation (Kitsukawa et al., 1995). Due to VEGFR2 signalling having the most influence over angiogenesis and cell survival, this receptor along with its ligand VEGF-A, have been
targeted pharmacologically in diseases where angiogenic regulation is abnormal, e.g. cancer.

In addition to its role in angiogenesis (discussed in Section 1.3.1.1), VEGF/VEGFR2 also promotes vascular permeability (Senger et al., 1986). Although it is not fully understood how VEGF increases vascular permeability, its strong affiliation with the production of vesicular-vacuolar organelles is thought to be integral (Feng et al., 1996). Vesicular-vacuolar organelles are able to form channels through the EC by fusing with each other, therefore facilitating the diffusion of molecules (Feng et al., 1996). Murohara et al. (1998) demonstrated that NO and PGI₂ have been linked to the formation of vesicular-vacuolar organelles, showing that VEGF induced permeability was substantially reduced in the presence of Nω-Nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME; eNOS inhibitor) and indomethacin (cyclooxygenase inhibitor) in vitro (Murohara et al., 1998).

VEGF not only contributes to the progression of cancer through stimulating angiogenesis and increasing vascular permeability, but has also been linked to tumour initiation and tumour survival (Goel and Mercurio, 2013). Autocrine VEGF signalling has been observed in multiple tumour types (Bachelder et al., 2001; Hamerlik et al., 2012), and has been suggested as a characteristic of aggressive cancer types (Mak et al., 2010; Cao et al., 2012). It is thought that the ability of the tumour cell to signal independently through VEGF-A, in an autocrine manner, helps to increase its survival. The inhibition of VEGF-A has been shown to reduce tumour cell growth and survival (Lee et al., 2012). The presence of VEGF in micro-dissected tumours and its role in cell de-differentiation have garnered interest in the function of VEGF in tumour initiation (Senger and Van De Water, 2000; Bates et al., 2003;
Mak et al., 2010). How cells increase their expression of VEGF-A and VEGFRs in order to promote a tumour phenotype is currently unknown. However, it is thought that environmental hypoxia may be an important driver. The sustained activation of HIF-1α, the hypoxic-inducible transcription factor for VEGF-A, in certain tumour types goes someway to supporting this hypothesis (Mimeault and Batra, 2013). Finally, the presence of VEGFRs on T-cells (Hansen et al., 2012) and macrophages (Galdiero et al., 2013) has been associated with the progression of tumour growth. It has been hypothesised that VEGF, secreted by macrophages located in the hypoxic tumour environment, may act to further contribute to tumour growth by increasing tumour cell survival and angiogenesis (Goel and Mercurio, 2013).

The high expression of VEGF-A in certain tumour types, e.g. breast and colorectal cancer, has also been associated with increased tumour aggressiveness, poorer responses to chemotherapy and increased mortality rates (Toi et al., 2001; Foekens et al., 2001; Cao et al., 2012). Due to this, chemotherapies have been designed to target the VEGF-A/VEGFR2 pathway, with some also targeting VEGF-A/VEGFR1 and VEGFR3 signalling in order to reduce tumour cell survival and tumour angiogenesis.

1.3 VEGF in Angiogenesis

Since the initial demonstration of the importance of the vasculature in the development of solid tumours (Folkman, 1971), the wider understanding of angiogenic processes in health and disease has quickly developed and is now recognised as the process of vessel sprouting and extension from the pre-existing vasculature (Carmeliet and Jain, 2011).
In order for this to occur, Phalanx cells, an EC phenotype located on the luminal side of the vessel, act to monitor oxygen levels (De Bock et al., 2009). When hypoxic conditions are detected, HIF-1α translocates to the nucleus (Maxwell et al., 2001) and promotes the transcription of pro-angiogenic signalling molecules such as VEGF (Semenza et al., 1997; Ferrara, 2001). This action also positively feeds back to up-regulate HIFs, which are able to independently activate the PI3K and PLCγ pathways, further compounding the pro-angiogenic signal (Blancher et al., 2001).

VEGF causes ECs to release matrix metalloproteases (MMPs). MMPs degrade the vascular basement membrane (Ohuchi et al., 1997) allowing the ECs to migrate to the outer layers of the vessel, as well as cause the release of basement membrane-sequestered VEGF that further promotes the angiogenic process (Lee et al., 2005).

In order for the vessel to branch, the now motile ECs begin to segregate themselves phenotypically into either tip or stalk cells (Eilken and Adams, 2010). Tip cells, characterised by their motility and expansive filopodia, lead the branching process, whereas stalk cells, typically characterised by their high proliferation rate, fewer filopodia in comparison to tip cells and excretion of basement membrane proteins, follow the tip cell toward the concentrated end of the VEGF gradient and help to form the vessel lumen (Gerhardt et al., 2003). The identity of the cell as either a tip or stalk cell is versatile and changes throughout the branching process according to the expression of notch (Hellstrom et al., 2007); a single pass transmembrane receptor which is involved in the control of cell differentiation and cell fate. The VEGF/VEGFR-2 signalling pathway is able to stimulate tip cell induction, by increasing
production of the notch receptor ligand: delta like ligand-4 (Dll4) (Gerhardt et al., 2003). Dll4 binds to notch on neighbouring cells and reduces the likelihood of them becoming tip cells by decreasing expression levels of VEGFR2, VEGFR3 and NP1, while increasing the expression level of VEGFR1. Once a new vessel has branched, the vessel lumen is formed (Bentley et al., 2009; Potente et al., 2011).

Currently, it is thought that vessel lumen formation occurs via the ‘cord-hollowing’ process; a mechanism by which the ECs orientate themselves into an apical/basal polarity, with expression of negatively charged CD34-sialomucins (CD34) on the apical (luminal) membrane (Strilic et al., 2009) (Figure 1.2). The negative charge leads to membrane repulsion and the opening of a gap between the ECs. CD34 then interacts with cytosolic F-actin via moesin. This interaction allows for cytoskeletal retraction, lumen formation and blood flow (Strilic et al., 2009). Perfusion of the vessel increases local oxygen and nutrient concentrations leading to remodelling of the lumen and vessel maturation (Nicoli et al., 2010).
ECs create VE-cadherin expressing junctions with each other while forming the protruding vascular stalk. This interaction causes EC polarisation where ECs express negatively charged CD34-sialomucins on their apical membrane. CD34-sialomucin recruits F-actin via binding to moesin, this and the negative charge of CD34-sialomucins, lead to apical-membrane retraction and lumen formation. Figure adapted from Strillic et al., 2009.

The stimulation of vessel growth surrounding and within a tumour increases the likelihood of tumour metastasis, as tumour cells are able to disseminate through intravasation (Wyckoff et al., 2000). Once this occurs, the cell is transported via the vasculature to a distant organ/site. The tumour cell is able to extravasate into the surrounding tissue and new tumour formation occurs. As tumour cells must adapt to be able to survive within the circulating blood, these micro-metastases tend to create more malignant tumour types (Chambers et al., 2002).

There are several different mechanisms by which a tumour mass can initiate angiogenesis. Amongst the most well-known is constitutive up-regulation of the production and secretion of pro-angiogenic factors, such as VEGF (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2000; Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011). However, the pathological vessels created by this process are disorganised in structure and placement, as well as leaky and tortuous due to weak intercellular connections (Ehling and Mazzone, 2016). This increases the likelihood of tumour metastasis and
decreases vessel perfusion, thereby reducing the ability of the vessel to deliver oxygen, nutrients and chemotherapeutic agents. These pathological vessels are also less able to remove waste, causing the tumour microenvironment to become toxic. This is thought to promote the selection of more malignant tumour cell phenotypes and to maintain the constitutive up-regulation of pro-angiogenic factors (Potente et al., 2011).

As well as tumour cells being able to cause vessel sprouting and extension, other mechanisms by which tumour cells access the vascular system have been noted. The most prominent of these are the induction of intussusceptive angiogenesis, allowing directed vessel growth through endothelial and mural cell reorganisation (Djonov et al., 2003); co-option, where cancerous cells grow directly around vessels; and vascular mimicry, where tumour cells line the internal and external vessel walls. Finally some ‘stem cell-like’ cancer cells are able to differentiate into an EC phenotype which incorporates into the vessel wall in a process known as postnatal vasculogenesis (Carmeliet and Jain, 2011). Tumour cells are also able to aid vessel repair by recruiting endothelial progenitor cells, which strengthen and repair the vascular wall, ensuring blood flow to the tumour (Wang et al., 2010).

Through the above mechanisms, neoplastic tumour cells are able to access oxygen and nutrients via the pre-existing vascular system. Therefore, the pharmacological targeting of signalling molecules that allow tumour cells to promote angiogenesis, or maintain vessel structure and permeability is seen as a key treatment strategy in cancer. Amongst the pro-angiogenic factors produced, VEGF is one of the principal mediators of angiogenic progression and maintenance, EC
survival and vessel permeability and has hence become the main target of anti-angiogenic treatments.

1.3.1 Anti-VEGF Treatments in Cancer

Pharmaceutical companies have harnessed a variety of techniques to neutralise the action of VEGFs. Currently there are a range of compounds which inhibit VEGF-A, VEGFR1, VEGFR2 and/or VEGFR3 and have been approved for use in the treatment of cancer, or are currently undergoing clinical trials (Arjaans et al., 2016; Bible and Ryder, 2016).

Of the current therapeutic strategies which target VEGF signalling, the first to be clinically approved was the monoclonal humanised VEGF antibody, bevacizumab (Avastin™). Bevacizumab is approved as a treatment in advanced metastatic cancers such as colorectal and non-squamous, non-small cell lung cancer. It acts by binding free VEGF, reducing its availability to bind to VEGFR2 and therefore its ability to subsequently promote angiogenesis (Bagri et al., 2010). Ranibizumab is another immuno-pharmacological agent (humanised antibody Fab fragment) that binds to VEGF and is FDA approved for use in the treatment of age-related macular degeneration (Lowe et al., 2007).

Another strategy pharmaceutical companies have adopted to target VEGF signalling involves decoy receptors, such as Aflibercept. Aflibercept was approved for use in colorectal cancer in 2012 (Sharma et al., 2013) and works by binding to extracellular VEGF, again reducing circulating VEGF levels and inhibiting receptor downstream signalling. Aflibercept is a soluble humanised immunoglobulin antibody constant region
fused to the extracellular Ig 1-3 domains of VEGFR1 (Gomez-Manzano et al., 2008). This allows Aflibercept to bind to and inhibit the actions of VEGF-A, VEGF-B and PDGF.

A further pharmacological strategy clinically approved for the inhibition of VEGF signalling is the use of RTKIs (Table 1.1). There are three classes of RTKIs. Class I RTKIs are able to bind to the active conformation of the receptor, competitively antagonising ATP binding at various intracellular kinase groups (Gotink and Verheul, 2010). Examples of this group include vandetanib, cediranib and pazopanib (Hennequin et al., 2002; Wedge et al., 2002; Knowles et al., 2006; Gotink and Verheul, 2010; Davis et al., 2011; Blanc et al., 2013). Class II RTKIs, such as sorafenib (Zhang et al., 2009), bind to the non-active conformation of the receptor, at the hydrophobic pocket of the activation loop, and antagonise receptor activation by sterically blocking ATP binding. Finally, class III RTKIs work by covalently binding to sulphur-rich cysteine residues within the intracellular region of the receptor, regardless of receptor conformation. This action blocks ATP binding, reducing the ability of the receptor to enter its active conformational state. One example of a class III RTKI is neratinib (Gotink and Verheul, 2010; Davis et al., 2011).

Unlike immunological compounds, RTKIs are multi-targeted (Table 1.1) (Belcik et al., 2012). The multi-targeted aspect of these compounds makes them a popular therapeutic tool, as the ability for the tumour to act independently of multiple signalling pathways is less likely.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RTKI</th>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>Indications</th>
<th>Adverse effects</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vandetanib</td>
<td>VEGFR2,3, EGFR, RET</td>
<td>Medullary thyroid cancer</td>
<td>Hypertension, haemorrhage, QT prolongation, diarrhoea, rash</td>
<td>(Wedge et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase III: Non-small cell lung cancer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pazopanib</td>
<td>VEGFR1,2,3, PDGFR, c-kit</td>
<td>Renal cell carcinoma, advanced tissue sarcoma</td>
<td>Hypertension, diarrhoea, fatigue, weight loss</td>
<td>(Bible et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorafenib</td>
<td>VEGFR2,3, PDGFR, Flt-3, c-kit</td>
<td>Advanced renal cell carcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
<td>Hypertension, cardiac ischemia, diarrhoea, dermatological effects,</td>
<td>(Eskens and Verweij, 2006; Widakowich et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cediranib</td>
<td>VEGFR1,2,3, PDGFR, FGFR1</td>
<td>Phase III: Ovarian cancer, Phase III: Cervical cancer</td>
<td>Hypertension, diarrhoea, nausea</td>
<td>(Mulders et al., 2012; Schmidt, 2015; Minguet et al., 2015; Ruscito et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.1 Summary of four VEGFR RTKIs; their targets, indications and adverse effects.
All treatments which block VEGF have been shown to have VEGF-related side effects. These include rash, proteinuria, cardiomyopathy, haemorrhage, wound complications and hypertension amongst other effects (Eskens and Verweij, 2006; Widakowich et al., 2007; Chen and Cleck, 2009; Hall et al., 2013; Le et al., 2014). These side effects are thought to be related to the inhibition of VEGF, as VEGF-specific compounds such as bevacizumab display these alone, whereas compounds that are multi-targeted to VEGF and other signalling molecules show these toxicities as well as other adverse effects, such as weight loss and nausea (Table 1.1). In order to improve cancer treatment and therefore prognosis and outcome, it is important to investigate why anti-angiogenic treatments display particular side effects and how these can be reduced.

1.3.1.1 Vandetanib

Vandetanib (otherwise known as ZD6474 or Zactima™, produced by AstraZeneca) is a Class I VEGFR2, VEGFR3, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), rearranged during transfection (RET) kinase specific RTKI which is FDA approved for use in the treatment of medullary thyroid cancer. It is also known to inhibit many other kinases (Table 1.2); however, the significance of this on its anti-tumorigenic action and adverse effect profile has not been analysed.

Vandetanib is able to cross the cell membrane and bind to the intracellular portion of the active conformation of the VEGFR2 receptor, inhibiting ATP binding, receptor phosphorylation and consequent downstream signalling (Figure 1.3). Its action on VEGFR2, VEGFR3 and EGFR leads to a reduction in MAPK, PI3K and PLCγ pathway activation, subsequently reducing cell
growth, proliferation and survival (Thornton et al., 2012). Vandetanib has been shown to reduce tumour angiogenesis via inhibition of VEGFR2; and tumour cell survival, growth and morphogenesis due to its inhibition of EGFR (Grandis and Sok, 2004; Thornton et al., 2012). Interestingly, the overexpression of EGFR has been shown in multiple cancer types including breast, lung and prostate (Klijn et al., 1992; Visakorpi et al., 1992; Fujino et al., 1996). Therefore, Vandetanib demonstrates dual action through its multi-targeted design; able to inhibit blood supply and metastasis through its inhibition of VEGFRs, while reducing tumour cell growth and survival via EGFR inhibition. The anti-tumour effects of EGFR inhibition have also been observed with EGFR-specific inhibitors, such as erlotinib, a RKTI which is FDA approved for the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer (Smith, 2005). Finally, Vandetanib inhibits RET, a tyrosine kinase receptor whose activation via glial cell line derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) increases neuronal cell survival. It has been shown that the development of medullary thyroid cancer is strongly associated with gain of function mutations in RET (Vidal et al., 2005; Knowles et al., 2006). It is thought that the multi-targeted action of Vandetanib reduces the likelihood of tumour cells to develop ‘by-pass’ mechanisms through progressive mutations, therefore reducing the ability of the tumour to become resistant to treatment.

Vandetanib binds to VEGFR2 with a half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC$_{50}$) of 0.38 µM, to VEGFR3 with an IC$_{50}$ of 0.26 µM and to EGFR with an IC$_{50}$ of 0.043 µM (IC$_{50}$ gained from purified Glutathione S-transferase (GST) or histidine (His) tagged proteins assays; (Davis et al., 2011)) (Table 1.2). However, its potency at tyrosine kinases can vary, with
inhibition of RET being reduced in cases where particular point mutations in the kinase insert domain are present, e.g. valine 804 (Carlomagno et al., 2004).
Table 1.2 Summary of the IC$_{50}$ (μM) values of vandetanib and pazopanib against various kinases, and the percentage of their patient population who develop hypertension, respectively (Hamberg et al., 2010; Wells et al., 2012; Bible et al., 2014). IC$_{50}$s for vandetanib were gained from a cell based assay where all kinases were expressed as human recombinant GST-fusion proteins or as His tagged proteins (labelled in table) (Leigh Verbois, 2011). Pazopanib IC$_{50}$s were gained using recombinantly expressed catalytic domains of the kinases stated above (Kumar et al., 2007).

Following oral administration in humans (300 mgday$^{-1}$), vandetanib reaches its peak plasma volume concentration between 4-7.5 h depending on the dose given (50-600 mg)
with a plasma half-life of approximately 19 days. Vandetanib metabolism occurs primarily via cytochrome-P4503A4 (Ton et al., 2013), leading to the potential for drug-drug interaction with concomitantly prescribed compounds such as methadone and cisapride. The major metabolite of vandetanib, N-desmethyl-vandetanib, which circulates at 11% of vandetanib’s total plasma concentration in humans, is produced via cytochrome-P4503A4 oxidation (Leigh Verbois, 2011; Kandel and Lampe, 2014). It also has a large volume of distribution (2680 l) and a low clearance from the body (8.47 l/h) (Holden et al., 2005), signifying its presence in the body long after dosing. Vandetanib was approved for the treatment of medullary thyroid cancer in April 2011 (Chau and Haddad, 2013).

In humans, vandetanib has been shown to cause various documented side effects including nausea, rash, ECG prolongation, vomiting, anorexia, diarrhoea and hypertension. Hypertension is a common side effect among the patient population with 33% of those taking vandetanib developing graded hypertension and 9% of those developing grade 3+ hypertension (Wells et al., 2012).

### 1.3.1.2 Pazopanib

Pazopanib, like vandetanib, is a multi-targeted RTKI approved for the treatment of advanced stage renal cell carcinoma and soft tissue sarcoma (Cella et al., 2016) Developed by Glaxo Smith Kline and commercially known as Votrient, pazopanib is a synthetic class I RTKI small molecule inhibitor of VEGFR1, VEGFR2, VEGFR3, PDGFRα, PDGFRβ and c-kit (Figure 1.3). By inhibiting all 3 forms of VEGFR, pazopanib is able to reduce
cell growth, cell proliferation, angiogenesis and vascular permeability (Verbois et al., 2008; Thornton et al., 2012).

Pazopanib’s inhibition of PDGFRα and PDGFRβ has been shown to reduce tumour angiogenesis and metastasis through reducing PDGFRα and PDGFRβ associated MAPK, PI3K and PLCγ pathway activation. In particular the reduction of PDGF signalling has been shown to reduce pericyte recruitment during angiogenesis, therefore reducing vessel stability (Heldin, 2013).

c-kit, also known as the stem cell factor receptor, has been shown to play a role in cell survival and proliferation. Its constituent activation has been noted in multiple malignancies such as gastrointestinal stroma and melanoma. The inhibition of c-kit is thought to reduce cell survival and proliferation,
therefore, reducing tumour size (Verbois et al., 2008; Stankov et al., 2013). It was shown that tumours implanted into CB-17 SCID mice reduced in size by 90%, 77%, and 99% at doses of 10, 30, and 100 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively (Verbois et al., 2008). Pazopanib has varying affinity for the VEGFR receptors (IC\(_{50}\) values of 10, 30 and 47 nM, for the human VEGFR1, VEGFR2 and VEGFR3 receptors respectively) and has similar potencies at PDGFR-\(\alpha\) (IC\(_{50}\)=71 nM), PDGFR-\(\beta\) (IC\(_{50}\)=84 nM) and c-Kit (IC\(_{50}\)=74 nM) (Kumar et al., 2007; Verbois et al., 2008; Bible et al., 2012).

Following oral administration in humans, pazopanib (800 mg day\(^{-1}\)) reaches its peak plasma volume concentration between 2.0 to 4.0 h with a mean plasma half-life of 30.9 h. Pazopanib is primarily metabolised by CYP3A4, and has been shown to be metabolised by breast cancer resistant protein and multi drug resistance protein 1 in vitro, indicating the possibility for tumour resistance to develop against pazopanib (Justice and Robertson, 2008; Weiss et al., 2014; Cella and Beaumont, 2016).

Pazopanib has been shown to have multiple side effects in humans, with the most common being hair discolouration; thought to be linked to its action on c-kit, hepatic toxicity, hyperkalaemia, anorexia, vomiting, rash and hypertension. Hypertension occurs in 40% of the patient population, with 3% of those developing grade 3+ hypertension (Hamberg et al., 2010). In a phase I study completed by GSK, where a custom measure of hypertension was used (patient populations who demonstrated >15 mmHg rise in mean arterial blood pressure on three separate occasions or whose anti-hypertensive medication had to be adjusted due to an increase in the severity of their hypertension (given when blood pressure
reached 160/100 mmHg on three separate occasions over 2 weeks)), found that 63% of the patient population taking pazopanib developed hypertension, with 23% of those having grade 3+ hypertension. In this study, the majority of the patient population developed hypertension within the first 4 weeks of treatment (Hamberg et al., 2010), prompting the FDA to insist on blood pressure monitoring during treatment. These side effects may also arise from pazopanib’s inhibition of various other kinases. It has been shown, that like vandetanib, pazopanib also inhibits a subset of cardio-active kinases such as TIE2 and EGFR (Kumar et al., 2007)(Table 1.2).

1.3.1.3 Cediranib and Sorafenib

Sorafenib (produced by Bayer) and otherwise known as Nevaxar or BAY 43-9006, is a class II RTKI that inhibits VEGFR2, VEGFR3, PDGFR, C-Raf, B-Raf, Flt-3 and c-kit among other tyrosine kinases (Davis et al., 2011; Hasskarl, 2014). It has been FDA approved for the treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma and hepatocellular carcinoma (Eskens and Verweij, 2006; Widakowich et al., 2007). Sorafenib has been shown to reduce tumour angiogenesis and tumour size. This action is thought to be due to its inhibition of VEGFR2 and PDGFR (Heldin, 2013; Mahalingam et al., 2014). In clinical trials where sorafenib was given orally at 400 mg twice daily it was shown to have a half-life of approximately 36 h with a peak plasma concentration after 3 h (Hasskarl, 2014). The side effect profile of sorafenib includes multiple cardiotoxic effects such as hypertension, haemorrhage, QT prolongation and cardiac ischemia as well as off target side effects such as diarrhoea and rash (Hasskarl, 2014).
Cediranib (produced by AstraZeneca and planned to be marketed under the name Recentin), is currently undergoing Phase III trials for ovarian cancer (Robinson et al., 2010; Mulders et al., 2012; Schmidt, 2015; Ruscito et al., 2016). It has been shown to act as a class I inhibitor (Figure 1.3) of VEGFR1, VEGFR2, VEGFR3, PDGFR and FGFR1 (Wedge et al., 2005; Mulders et al., 2012; Minguet et al., 2015; Ruscito et al., 2016). Cediranib has been shown to lead to a reduction in tumour angiogenesis and tumour growth (Mulders et al., 2012). In humans dosed orally, cediranib displays a half-life of 22 h and maximal plasma concentration at 3 h (Ruscito et al., 2016). However it also produces hypertension, nausea, fatigue and diarrhoea in the patient populations taking it (Ruscito et al., 2016).

1.3.2 Cardiovascular Consequences of RTKIs

VEGF is a key mediator of pathophysiological as well as physiological angiogenesis (Liang et al., 2014; Shibuya, 2014). Therefore the inhibition of VEGF-VEGFR signalling not only reduces pathophysiological vessel growth but also leads to multiple cardiovascular-related adverse events (Hong et al., 2015). VEGF inhibitors have been shown to increase a patient population’s likelihood of developing venous or arterial thrombo-embolisms (Eschenhagen et al., 2011), proteinuria, delayed wound healing (Veronese et al., 2006), reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome (Govindarajan et al., 2006), neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, haemorrhage (Hapani et al., 2010) and hypertension (Hong et al., 2015; Abi Aad et al., 2015; Souza et al., 2015).

The above side effects are serious for the patient’s quality of life and chances of survival. They also have an impact on the
possible treatment regimens prescribed. For example, a patient taking a VEGF RTKI is unable to undergo surgery as the risks of haemorrhage and delayed wound healing are too great. In patient populations with metastatic colorectal cancer, who had discontinued the anti-VEGF therapy bevacizumab for 60 days prior to surgery, 13% of patient populations experience grade 3 or 4 surgical complications, including haemorrhage, bowel perforation and delayed or abnormal wound healing (Scappaticci et al., 2005). This study demonstrates the need to think carefully about the side effect profile of compounds when embarking on surgical intervention or when changing treatment regimens.

The occurrence of hypertension in anti-VEGF treatments has been commented on as a possible biomarker for drug efficacy, as patients who respond positively to anti-VEGF treatment also develop hypertension (Scartozzi et al., 2009; Kieran et al., 2012; Hong et al., 2015). The percentage of patients who develop hypertension has been suggested to positively correlate with the specificity of an RTKI to VEGFRs (Sleijfer et al., 2009; Mourad and Levy, 2011).

It has been shown that approximately 23 to 90% of the anti-VEGF treatment population develop hypertension during treatment (Bible et al., 2014; Hong et al., 2014; Abi Aad et al., 2015; Granito et al., 2016). In light of this, many researchers have postulated reasons as to why reduced VEGF levels are linked to the development of hypertension. However, these hypotheses should be looked upon cautiously (discussed further in Section 1.5). Methodological flaws in blood pressure measurement, for example long time lags between blood pressure measurements (blood pressure is usually measured once every 15 or 21 days, clinically), may
lead to an underestimation of the number of patient populations who develop elevated blood pressure (Mir et al., 2009). A study in which patient populations receiving sunitinib had their blood pressure measured weekly, found that 100% of the treatment cohort developed hypertension (Azizi et al., 2008). An increase in the cohort of pazopanib patients who developed hypertension was also seen in phase I trials when GSK changed their definition of hypertension (study described previously) (Hamberg et al., 2010). This result was mirrored in a phase II trial involving the treatment of soft tissue sarcomas with pazopanib, where almost all of the patient population treated with pazopanib developed some degree of hypertension (Sleijfer et al., 2009).

Therefore, the connection between the level of hypertension development within anti-VEGF treatment groups and the anti-VEGF drug efficacy needs to be further explored.

1.4 The Pathophysiology of Hypertension

In order to understand how anti-VEGF/VEGFR treatments may cause hypertension, it is important to understand how hypertension can occur in the absence of pharmacological intervention.

Hypertension refers to an arterial blood pressure above 140 mmHg/90 mmHg (classed as grade I mild hypertension (McCormack et al., 2012)). The maintenance of a steady blood pressure is important for the function and health of the cardiovascular system as well as the body as a whole. The incidence of hypertension increases the risk of developing renal disease, stroke, heart failure and cardiac infarction (Cutler, 1996).
Blood pressure is controlled by the heart and blood vessels. The heart acts to pump blood around the body, providing a pressure gradient to ensure blood flow, while the vessels allow for transportation of blood and act to maintain or change pressure through providing resistance (Katz, 2002).

Since vessel length doesn’t change in the adult and under normal conditions blood viscosity remains relatively constant, resistance is largely determined by the radius of blood vessels and is mainly dependent on vessel tone. Vessel tone is controlled on 3 major levels: (1) the nervous system, (Chapleau et al., 1991; Estanol et al., 2011) (2) the endocrine system (Ponchon and Elghozi, 1996) and (3) local autocrine and paracrine signalling (Furchgott and Zawadzki, 1980).

### 1.4.1 Nervous Control of Vessel Tone

Immediate/short term changes in blood pressure are detected by baroreceptors located in the aortic arch and the carotid sinuses (Chapleau et al., 1991; Estanol et al., 2011). An increase in blood pressure leads to vessel wall stretching and an increase in baroreceptor signalling (Estanol et al., 2011). This primarily leads to the release of noradrenaline from sympathetic nerves innervating the vessel adventitia.

Noradrenaline binds to and activates α and β adrenoceptors that can produce a change in vessel diameter (Joyner and Casey, 2014). The effect of noradrenaline on vascular tone depends on the type and subtype of adrenoceptor expressed (Joyner and Casey, 2014). Activation of α1-adrenoceptors leads to contraction of the vessel wall, whereas activation of β2-adrenoceptors leads to relaxation of the vessel wall and a reduction in heart rate. This allows the body, in a fight or flight situation, to reduce blood flow to organs such as the gut,
which are not necessary in crisis, and increase blood flow to necessary organs, for example the skeletal muscles and heart through vascular bed specific variation of the adrenoceptor subtype (Hauzer et al., 2014). Compounds that inhibit α₁-adrenoceptors, such as Doxazosin, and compounds that inhibit β₂-adrenoceptors, such as propranolol, are used to treat hypertension (Levy, 1989).

1.4.2 The Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System and its Effect on Vessel Tone

Endocrinological control of vascular tone is dominated by the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (Ponchon and Elghozi, 1996). The renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system is activated by the detection of low blood volume by baroreceptors in the kidney. This causes the release of renin from the juxta-glomerular cells of the kidney and in turn aldosterone release from the adrenal gland (Persson et al., 2004). When renin enters the circulation it cleaves the plasma protein angiotensinogen into angiotensin I. Angiotensin I is further cleaved into angiotensin II by angiotensin converting enzyme, located in the lungs and vascular endothelium. Angiotensin II is a very potent vasoconstrictor. This action is achieved through its interaction with angiotensin II receptor I receptors on smooth muscle cells and sympathetic nerve endings. Angiotensin II and aldosterone have both been shown to have an anti-natriuretic effect, increasing blood volume (Lamas and Rodriguez-Puyol, 2012). Compounds such as losartan, a selective, competitive angiotensin II receptor 1 type 1 antagonist (Mavromoustakos et al., 2001) and Ramipril, a angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor (Yasky et al., 1996), can be used to inhibit the vasoconstrictive actions of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, therefore reducing
blood pressure. Thiazide diuretics, such as chlorthalidone, and aldosterone antagonists, such as spironolactone, can also be used to treat sodium dependent hypertension as they inhibit the reabsorption of sodium and chloride from the distal convoluted tubules of the kidney, reducing blood volume (Blowey, 2016).

1.4.3 Vascular Control of Vessel Tone

Furchgott and Zawadzki (Furchgott and Zawadzki, 1980) showed that the removal of ECs from the vessel lumen removed the ability of the vessel to dilate in response to acetylcholine (ACh), demonstrating the importance of endothelial signalling in the control of vessel tone. As well as responding to endocrine and neural signalling molecules mentioned previously, ECs are able to release their own vasoactive compounds such as nitric oxide (NO) (Moncada and Higgs, 2006), VEGF (see Section 1.1.1) and prostacyclin (PGI₂) in response to various signals such as shear stress, ACh and bradykinin (Cahill and Redmond, 2016).

Prostacyclin production is a calcium dependent process involving the oxidation and peroxidation of arachidonic acid via the PLA₂- COX signalling pathway (Nakayama, 2006). Once released by the EC it is able to signal via IP receptors to reduce platelet activation, cause vasodilatation and reduce smooth muscle cell remodelling (Stitham et al., 2007) via the IP₃ and PKCy signalling pathways (Mitchell et al., 2008). Its vasodilatory actions have been harnessed in the treatment of pulmonary hypertension with the use of synthetic analogues like iloprost (Fallah, 2015).
NO, made from the enzymatic conversion of arginine by endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) diffuses into the smooth muscle cell cytosol where it induces a vasodilatory effect (Gelinas et al., 2002). This occurs through its interaction with soluble guanylate cyclase (sGC), which in turn produces cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP). cGMP has been linked with the opening of Kir K⁺ channels leading to membrane hyperpolarisation and vascular smooth muscle cell relaxation (Levick et al., 2010). It has also been associated with the activation of protein kinase G (PKG), which phosphorylates myosin light chain phosphatase. PKG activation leads to the reduction of intracellular calcium concentrations through phosphorylation of the sarco-endoplasmic reticulum calcium channel (SERCA) and the plasma membrane calcium channel (PMCA). Overall these actions lead to smooth muscle cell relaxation and vasodilatation (Horowitz et al., 1997; Hood et al., 1998). NO has also been shown to be important for the kidney’s tubule-glomerular feedback. When NO levels are reduced, the kidney retains excess sodium and therefore fluid, leading to hypertension through increased blood volume (Zou and Cowley, 1999). Nitric oxide can be given to relieve angina and causes a reduction in blood pressure (Abrams, 1996).

Finally, calcium channel blockers, like amlodipine, are also used in the treatment of hypertension (Mason et al., 2003). They act by inhibiting calcium influx into smooth muscle cells, therefore reducing the ability of the smooth muscle cell to constrict, leading to vasodilatation (Mason et al., 2003).
1.5 Current Theories on RTKI-Mediated Hypertension

The reason why anti-VEGF therapies cause hypertension is unknown. Currently it is thought that it may be due to a reduction in NO/PGI$_2$ and/or a reduction in vessel number or number of functioning vessels (vascular rarefaction (Hayman et al., 2012)). It is presently believed that a reduction in VEGF leads to the down regulation of eNOS. Under normal physiological conditions, VEGF is able to stimulate eNOS to produce NO via the PI3K, MAPK and PLCγ pathways (Gelinas et al., 2002) (Figure 1.1). When VEGF action is blocked, eNOS is down-regulated and the vessel constitutively constricts leading to hypertension. Within the microcirculation this leads to rarefaction, reducing the density of the microvascular network and therefore increasing blood pressure (Feihl et al., 2006). Rarefaction can be classed as either functional, where there is a high density of unperfused micro vessels, or as structural, where there is a reduction in the density of the microvasculature. Both forms of rarefaction lead to an increase in blood pressure (Feihl et al., 2006). It has been shown that anti-VEGF therapies, such as bevacizumab, cause rarefaction and that this positively correlates to the severity of the hypertension developed (Mourad et al., 2008). However, whether rarefaction leads to hypertension or hypertension leads to rarefaction remains unclear.

VEGF RTKI-mediated hypertension has been shown to be resistant to pharmacological intervention with angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, calcium channel blockers, beta blockers and thiazide diuretics (Rini et al., 2011; Kruzliak et al., 2013). The lack of treatment available for VEGF RTKI-mediated hypertension further increases the need to understand its aetiology.
1.6 Aims and Hypothesis

Through characterising the cardiovascular action of RTKIs *in vivo* and their pharmacology at VEGFR2 *in vitro*, the detrimental cardiovascular effects of these compounds and the possible mechanisms of action by which VEGF is important for vascular tone will be explored. With this in mind, the aims of the present investigations were:

a) To determine the pharmacological characteristics of a panel of receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors (RTKIs) (cediranib, sorafenib, pazopanib and vandetanib) in a whole cell system using VEGF-stimulated nuclear factor of activated T-cell (NFAT) signalling in HEK-293 cells expressing the human VEGFR2 and an NFAT reporter gene linked to firefly luciferase. The actions of the above RTKIs were also explored in the presence of two VEGF-A isoforms, VEGF$_{165a}$ and VEGF$_{165b}$.

**Null hypotheses:**

1. There will be no difference in IC$_{50}$ between cediranib, sorafenib, pazopanib and vandetanib in the presence VEGF$_{165a}$ or VEGF$_{165b}$.

2. There will be no difference in agonist action (eg, EC$_{50}$ or E$_{MAX}$ values) between VEGF$_{165a}$ and VEGF$_{165b}$.

b) To assess the effect of various concentrations of vandetanib and pazopanib on heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure, hindquarter, renal and mesenteric vascular conductances in male Sprague Dawley rats.

**Null hypotheses:**

1. There will be no vandetanib or pazopanib mediated effect on heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure,
hindquarter, renal and mesenteric vascular conductances in male Sprague Dawley rats.

2. There will be no vandetanib mediated effect on vessel structure or number.

3. There will be no difference in heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure, hindquarter, renal and mesenteric vascular conductances in male Sprague Dawley rats given vandetanib or pazopanib.

c) To investigate the chronic effects of vandetanib and pazopanib on heart rate and blood pressure in male Sprague Dawley rats.

**Null hypothesis:**

1. There will be no chronic effects of vandetanib and pazopanib on heart rate and blood pressure in male Sprague Dawley rats.

d) To assess the action of vandetanib and pazopanib on isolated pressurised mesenteric arterioles, and to elucidate the effect of vandetanib on VEGF and ACh-mediated vessel dilatation.

**Null hypotheses:**

1. There will be no vandetanib or pazopanib mediated effects on isolated pressurised mesenteric arterioles.

2. Vandetanib or pazopanib will not effect VEGF or ACh-mediated vessel dilatation.
Chapter 2: General Methods
2.1 NFAT-Luciferase Gene Reporter Assay

In order to look at the downstream signalling of VEGFR2 in a whole cell system, the NFAT reporter gene was used. NFAT leads to the transcription of target genes which contribute to cell growth and proliferation (Bretz et al., 2013). The activation, dimerisation and transphosphorylation of VEGFR2 leads to the downstream activation of calcineurin and in turn the dephosphorylation and translocation of NFAT into the nucleus (Figure 2.1) (Armesilla et al., 1999). NFAT, once in the nucleus, forms a transcription complex with Fos and Jun (Hogan et al., 2003) allowing it to bind to DNA and transcribe various gene products, in the VEGFR2 NFAT cells used here (Section 2.1.1), this includes the reporter gene product: firefly luciferase (Figure 2.1). By measuring the amount of luminescence emitted from the mono-oxygenation of 5′fluoroluciferin by firefly luciferase (*photinus pyralis*), the extent of VEGFR2 activation and signalling via the calcium-calcineurin pathway in VEGFR2 NFAT cells can be quantified.

Luciferase is an enzyme capable of catalysing the mono-oxygenation of 5′fluoroluciferin, causing it to produce yellow/green light (550-570 nm) (Figure 2.1). The ONE-Glo™ Luciferase Assay System (Promega ONE-Glo™ Luciferase Assay System Literature # TM292) can be used to measure NFAT linked firefly luciferase reporter gene expression in mammalian cells.
Figure 2.1. Schematic Representation of the NFAT Luciferase Assay. The activation of VEGFR2 by VEGF causes the receptor to dimerise and phosphorylate specific intracellular tyrosine kinases. This in turn leads to the activation of calcineurin, which dephosphorylates NFAT, leading to the transcription of firefly luciferase though the PLCy pathway. The addition of 5’fluoroluciferin allows for the linear measurement of firefly luciferase production and in turn NFAT activation through the measurement of oxyfluoroluciferin using a microplate luminescence counter (Perkin Elmer Topcount). Chemical reaction taken from Promega ONE-Glo™ Luciferase Assay System Literature # TM292.

2.1.1 Cell Culture

HEK 293 cells, from the human embryonic kidney, are commonly used as an in vitro model due to their amenable transfection rate and reliable growth rate in the cell culture environment. This allows them to be used in experiments where the activity of an induced component is of interest (Thomas and Smart, 2005). As HEK 293 cells do not natively express VEGFR1, VEGFR2 or VEGFR3, unlike more physiologically relevant endothelial cell models, they provide the opportunity to study the effects of multi-targetted RKTIs on VEGFR2-NFAT signalling without interference from native receptor-NFAT signalling that may also be activated by VEGF\textsubscript{165a} or VEGF\textsubscript{165b}; the chosen agonists in these experiment.
HEK 293 cells were first isolated in 1977 by Graham et al., who transformed them into an immortal cell line by transfecting chromosome 19 with human adenovirus type 5 (Ad5) DNA (Graham et al., 1977). The HEK 293 cells used here have been further modified with an NFAT-RE-luc2P vector, which leads to the transcription of firefly luciferase when the NFAT response element (NFAT-RE) is activated. They have also been transfected with a KDR-5K vector which causes the HEK 293 cell to transcribe and translate human VEGFR2.

All sterile procedures were performed in the Cell Signalling Research Group Cell Culture Facility. Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Media (DMEM) and Dulbecco’s phosphate buffered saline (PBS) were purchased from Sigma, while heat-treated foetal calf serum (FCS) came from PAA labs. Adherent human embryonic kidney 293 cells (HEK 293) stably transfected with an NFAT-RE-luc2P vector (Promega pGL4.30, E8481) and KDR-5K vector were provided by Promega Corporation (Madison, USA) and are referred to as VEGFR2 NFAT cells hereafter.

Cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS and 0.5% G418 (Life Technologies) (subsequently referred to as growth media) in humidified conditions at 37°C/ 5% CO₂ + 95% air. All sterile cell culture techniques were performed in a class 2 laminar flow cell culture hood with pre-warmed liquids so as not to cause excessive shock to the cells.

2.1.2   Cell Passage

Cells were generally maintained in T75 flasks containing 20 ml growth media and grown to between 80-90% confluence before passaging. In order to passage the cells, media was
removed and they were washed with 5 ml PBS. One ml trypsin-Ethylene diaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (0.5% and 0.2%, respectively) was added to the cells in order to hydrolyse membrane bound adhesion proteins (via trypsin), and to chelate Ca²⁺ ions, reducing cell clumping by reducing cadherin binding (via EDTA), allowing the cells to enter suspension. Once cells began to detach from the flask, 9 ml of growth media was added. The cell suspension was transferred into a 25 ml universal tube and centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 min and the supernatant was discarded. This was done to remove any cell debris and trypsin-EDTA solution. The pellet was suspended in 10 ml of media. This was divided into new flasks containing 20 ml growth media. Cells were routinely passaged at ratios of 1:5 and 1:10 in order to keep the passage number below 15 post-purchase.

2.1.3 Long Term Storage

For long-term storage, cells were suspended (Section 2.1.2) in sterile (filtered with a 0.2 μm syringe filter) FCS with 10% (v/v) DMSO in order to reduce ice crystal formation during freezing. 500 μl of cell suspension was aliquoted into cryogenic tubes, which were placed into an isopropanol-filled container. This allowed for controlled cell freezing at a rate of 1°C per min in a -80°C freezer. After 24 h the aliquots were transferred to liquid nitrogen storage until use.

Cells were thawed rapidly before use, through the addition of 500 μl warmed growth media. Once thawed, the cells were immediately transferred to a T75 flask containing 20 ml pre-warmed growth media. After 24 h the media in the flask was replaced with fresh media in order to remove DMSO and cell debris. This increased the likelihood of cells growing
healthily. Finally, cells were passaged a minimum of once before experimental use.

2.2 NFAT-Luciferase Assay

VEGFR-NFAT cells, used in this assay, artificially expressed firefly luciferase downstream of the NFAT promoter. Physiologically, NFAT leads to the transcription of target genes which contribute to cell growth and proliferation (Hogan et al., 2003, Armesilla et al., 1999). However, in the VEGFR2 NFAT cells, NFAT activation also leads to the transcription of firefly luciferase. At the end of the experimental procedure, addition of the ONE-Glo assay reagent, lysed the cell membrane. The ONE-Glo assay reagent also contains the firefly luciferase substrate, 5-fluoroluciferin. Firefly luciferase catalyses the mono-oxygenation of 5-fluoroluciferin to oxyfluroluciferin (Figure 2.1). This reaction produces energy in the form of luminescence that can be measured via a luminescence counter, allowing the extent of VEGFR2-mediated activation of NFAT signalling to be quantified.

Reporter gene systems have previously been used as an alternative to biochemical assays, and allow for compound efficacy at specific receptors to be measured. Unlike other assays, reporter gene assays do not involve radiation, have been shown to be highly sensitive and are relatively cheap due to their ability to be used in 96 well and 384 well plate systems, reducing the requirement for expensive reagents (Hill et al., 2001). The NFAT-luciferase reporter gene assay is also very sensitive because the signal measured is at the terminal portion of the signalling pathway, allowing for maximal amplification (Hill et al., 2001).
2.2.1 Experimental Method Protocol 1: The ‘non-confluent monolayer’ Method

Reagents received in the Promega ONE-Glo™ kit were prepared according to the manufacturer’s instructions, aliquoted and stored at -20°C. Reagents were used within one freeze thaw cycle to reduce any freeze-thaw initiated breakdown of reagent components. Cells were suspended in 10 ml media and counted using a haemocytometer. Cells were then suspended in DMEM and seeded at a density of 30000 cells per well in white 96 well plates with clear flat bases (Greiner Bio-one, Stonehouse, UK). Prior to cell seeding, wells were coated with 5 mgml⁻¹ poly-D-lysine (Sigma) for a minimum of 30 min to enhance cell adherence. The plates were then washed with media, to remove any residual unbound poly-D-lysine before the cells were added.

After 24 h, media was replaced with FCS free media. This was done to reduce FCS interference within the measured pathway. FCS, and growth factors contained within FCS, have been shown to activate multiple signalling pathways within the cell (Even et al., 2006). ‘Serum-starving’ helps to reduce this effect and produce a more specific readout (Hill et al., 2001). After 24 h the FCS free media was removed and replaced with DMEM containing 0.1% bovine albumin serum (BSA). VEGF was incubated with the cells at 37°C/ 5% CO₂ for 5 h. After the 5 h incubation, the experimental media was removed from the wells and 50 μl of DMEM and 50 μl ONE-Glo™ Luciferase Assay reagent was added to the wells. A white plastic cover was placed over the bottom of the plate to ensure no signal was lost, and luminescence was measured using a microplate scintillation and luminescence counter (TopCount NXT Packard) set to read at 19.1 °C (the one-Glo™ Luciferase
Assay reagent is temperature sensitive and is more stable at lower temperatures (Promega ONE-Glo™ Luciferase Assay System Literature TM292)) with a 5 min delay, to allow for the biochemical reaction to take place and for background luminescence absorbed by the plate from indoor fluorescent lighting to fade, before reading relative luminescence units. An average of the counts per second, taken over 3 seconds, was used in all experimental analyses. Each individual experiment was performed in triplicate or quadruplicate and repeated a minimum of 4 times.

2.2.2 Experimental Method Protocol 2: The ‘suspension’ Method

Cells were seeded in a T75 at 5x10^6 using DMEM + 10% FCS and incubated at 37°C/ 5% CO₂ for 3 days (until the media turned yellow and the flask was 100% confluent). As DMEM contains a phenol red pH indicator, colour change from red to yellow indicates a more acidic pH, inferring high cell confluence. On the 4th day, cells were washed with 5 ml PBS and detached using 3 ml Versene (0.02% EDTA in PBS: as versene contains only a chelating agent, it is used as a gentle method of lifting cells from a surface into suspension (Chen et al., 2015)). Once cells had detached, 6 ml of DMEM + 0.1% BSA was added. Cells were centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 min, counted using a haemocytometer and suspended in DMEM + 0.1% BSA. They were subsequently seeded at a density of 40000 cells per well in white sided, clear flat-bottomed, 96 well plates which had been coated with poly-D-lysine. Cells were incubated for 1 h at 37°C/ 5% CO₂ to allow them to settle within the wells. Cells were then incubated with set concentrations of RTKI (10x final concentration) at 37°C/ 5% CO₂ for 1 h prior to the addition of
VEGF. VEGF was incubated with the cells at 37°C/ 5% CO₂ for 5 h. After the 5 h incubation, the experimental media was removed from the wells and 50 μl of DMEM and 50 μl ONE-Glo™ Luciferase Assay reagent was added to the wells. A white plastic cover was placed over the bottom of the plate and luminescence was measured using a microplate scintillation and luminescence counter (TopCount NXT Packard). Each individual experiment was performed with triplicate or quadruplicate replicates and the experiment was repeated a minimum of 4 times.

2.2.3 Data Analysis

All data were fitted using non-linear regression in GraphPad Prism 6 (San Diego, CA). VEGF₁₆₅ and VEGF₁₆₅b concentration response curves were fitted to the following equation:

\[
Response = \frac{E_{\text{max}} \times [A]}{[A] + EC_{50}}
\]

Where [A] is the concentration of VEGF and EC₅₀ is the molar concentration of agonist required to generate 50% of the maximal response. Eₘₐₓ was defined as the maximal response of an agonist. In experiments where a VEGF concentration-response curve in the presence of RTKI was generated, data were also fitted to the above equation, where either the EC₅₀ or Eₘₐₓ were shared between curves.

Inhibition curves obtained with RTKIs in the presence of a fixed concentration of VEGF₁₆₅ or VEGF₁₆₅b were fitted to the following equation:
\[
\% \text{ Response to VEGF} = \frac{100 \times IC_{50}}{[I] + IC_{50}}
\]

Where IC_{50} is the molar concentration of RTKI required to inhibit 50% of the response to VEGF and [I] is the concentration of RTKI.

All data have been presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined by Student’s unpaired t-test or by a one or two-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post-hoc analysis, where appropriate. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### 2.3 Telemetry

The use of radio-telemetry was first published in the early 1990’s by Brockway et al (Brockway et al., 1991), who demonstrated that it was possible to accurately measure mean arterial blood pressure (± 5 mmHg) and heart rate chronically (>1 month) while not interfering with the conscious, unrestrained experimental rat, thereby reducing external stressor effects (Brockway et al., 1991; Guiol et al., 1992; Kramer et al., 1993). This is particularly relevant to studies directed at the cardiovascular system, where small interferences with an animal can have a detrimental effect on the experimental readouts (Lamprecht et al., 1973; Gartner et al., 1980; Kramer and Kinter, 2003). More recent advances in radio-telemetric methodologies have further increased the accuracy of blood pressure measurements to ± 3 mmHg (DSI, 2013) (Table 2.1).

For the studies described herein, the implanted radio telemetric device was placed in the intra-peritoneal cavity of
each rat. It consisted of a battery, a strain-gauge sensor and an electronic module, which was able to process information from the strain-gauge sensor and transmit this information to a receiver plate (RPC-1) (Figure 2.2) (Guiol et al., 1992). Protruding from the radio telemetric device was one positive and one negative ECG lead, as well as a catheter (outer diameter 0.7 mm) (Guiol et al., 1992) which was positioned in the abdominal aorta. The catheter tip contained an anti-thrombogenic film and gel membrane, ensuring catheter patency throughout the experiment (Brockway et al., 1991).

Data recorded by the implanted radio-telemetric device were sent to the receiver plate via AM radio waves (DSI, 2013). Each receiver plate was programmed to receive data from one corresponding transmitter. All receiver plates used in an experiment electronically relayed data collected by their registered radio-telemetric device to a data exchange matrix (DSI, 2013) (Figure 2.2). The data exchange matrix then consolidated the information from multiple receiver plates before electronically sending it to a PC installed with DataSciences Dataquest A.R.T™ software (Guiol et al., 1992; DSI, 2013). Finally, an ambient pressure reference module (Figure 2.2), which was able to measure atmospheric pressure, relayed the atmospheric pressure to the Dataquest A.R.T™ software (DSI, 2013). This allowed the software to subtract atmospheric pressure from the pressure detected by the radio-telemetric device and convert it into mmHg, as well as display, plot and store all data collected (Guiol et al., 1992; DSI, 2013) (Figure 2.2).
Figure 2.2 Illustration of the DSI radio-telemetric experimental set up. The DSI radio-telemetric telemetry device was implanted into the rat, which was then housed in a cage placed on top of a receiver plate. This received information from the radio-telemetric device and relayed it to the data exchange matrix, and finally to a computer for observation and analysis.
### 2.3.1 Telemetry Variables

Heart rate was derived from electrocardiogram (ECG) measurements, taken via two ECG leads positioned at the rat’s lower sternum and manubrium (Kramer et al., 1993). Dataquest A.R.T™ software isolated the R wave from each QRS complex. The time between each ECG R wave, also known as the inter-beat interval (s) was calculated. With this information heart rate (beats per minute, BPM) was derived (heart rate=60/inter-beat interval (s)) (DSI, 2013).

The radio telemetric device also measured mean arterial blood pressure via an intra-arterial catheter positioned in the abdominal aorta. Pressure values received from the implanted telemetry device were averaged over 50 sub-segments of each pressure segment length (one pressure segment was defined as one systolic and two diastolic values) to give a mean arterial blood pressure value (mmHg) (DSI, 2013).

### 2.3.2 Surgical Procedures

#### 2.3.2.1 Animals

Male Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River, Margate, UK), weighing between 200-300 g, were used for all telemetry studies. This outbred strain was chosen due to their genetic heterogeneity and calm nature (Ghirardi et al., 1995; Rex et al., 2007). Animals were housed in temperature (21-23°C) and light (12 h light/dark cycles from 6 am/6 pm) controlled conditions. All animals had free access to food and water and were allowed to acclimatise to the housing conditions for ≥10 days before any surgery was performed. Animals were housed in pairs prior to telemetry device implantation. They were then singly housed overnight after telemetry device
implantation, and subsequently pair housed for the remainder of the experiment. Pair housing was maintained to ensure effects from long term isolation, such as increased locomotor activity, anxiety and aggression were mitigated (Arakawa, 2005). This was not only important for animal welfare but also experimental results (Malkesman et al., 2006). All in vivo experimentation was approved by the Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body (University of Nottingham) and performed in keeping with the Scientific Procedures Animals Act (1986), under U.K Home Office approved Project Licence (40/3466) and Personal License authority.

2.3.2.2 Implantation of Telemetry Devices

Animals were initially anaesthetised with fentanyl citrate, (300 µgkg⁻¹, Jansen-Cilac Ltd, opioid agonist) mixed with medetomidine (Domitor, 300 µgkg⁻¹, Pfizer, α₂-adrenergic agonist), i.p (supplemented with 0.5 ml fentanyl citrate 50 µgml⁻¹ and 1 mgml⁻¹ medetomidine to maintain anaesthetic depth throughout the surgery) (Flecknell, 2010). Once animals were fully anaesthetised (no flinch response after firmly pinching the hind-paw), their weight was recorded and their abdominal midline, and neck were shaved. The shaved areas, paws and tail were cleaned with chlorohexidine gluconate (0.5% w/v in 70% v/v IMS, Adams Healthcare) and the animal was wrapped in a clear surgical drape (cling film, Glad, USA) before being placed on a heated surgical table, set at 37°C, in the dorsal recumbent position. From this point onwards surgery was completed under aseptic conditions, which were approved and monitored by the Home Office.

The telemetry device DSI C50-PXT was used in the vandetanib studies and DSI HD-S11 in the pazopanib studies (Table 2.1).
Both implants were activated using a magnet and their activity was checked using an AM radio receiver, prior to implantation. A 4-5 inch midline abdominal incision was made along the *linea alba*. The contents of the abdomen were wrapped in sterile, saline-saturated tissue and pushed to one side, exposing the vasculature underneath. The distal abdominal aorta was identified and cleared of adipose and connective tissue using blunt dissection techniques. This reduced surgical trauma, helping to ensure blood flow post-catheterisation (Huetteman and Bogie, 2009). The vessel was occluded superiorly and an incision made using a 21 gauge needle bent 90° at the bevelled end. The gel filled catheter of the telemetry device was inserted into the distal abdominal aorta (approximately 1 cm below the renal artery) and the vessel closed using Vet Bond (3M) and cellulose patching (DSI) (Huetteman and Bogie, 2009). The vessel was then released, to ensure blood flow returned through the artery and no blood leaked from the incision. The content of the abdomen was then carefully replaced. A pouch was made on the underside of the xiphisternum using blunt dissection and the tip of the positive ECG lead was stripped of insulation and secured into a loop before being attached into the pouch using suture (3/0) (Huetteman and Bogie, 2009). A 1 cm incision was made on the anterior of the neck and the negative ECG lead was tunnelled subcutaneously from the abdomen to the opening in the neck (Deveney et al., 1998). The tip of the ECG lead was stripped of insulation and secured into a loop at the manubrium with suture (3/0) (Sgoifo et al., 1996). The body of the telemetry device was attached to the body wall via suture (4/0) and the abdomen and neck were then closed and all wounds were dusted with surgical wound powder. The animal was given 5 ml saline, 0.02 mg kg\(^{-1}\) Vetersgesic.
(Buprenorphine, Alstoe Animal Health, partial opioid agonist) and 1 mg kg\(^{-1}\) Antisedan (Atipamezole hydrochloride, Pfizer \(\alpha_2\)-adrenergic antagonist), i.p Vetersic (0.02 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) was given as an analgesic 4 h after surgery. Animals were then rehoused singularly in an individually ventilated cage over night before being reintroduced to their cage mate. This period of individual housing allowed for the rat to rest and for the skin and muscle incisions to begin healing. Greene \textit{et al} demonstrated that recovery from telemetry surgery, where parameters such as heart rate, body temperature, mean arterial blood pressure and activity return to post-surgical readings, takes approximately 1 week (Greene \textit{et al.}, 2007). Therefore, rats were given a 10-14 day recovery period with free access to food and water. They were monitored daily and satisfactorily inspected by the Named Veterinary Surgeon before recording took place.
Table 2.1 Summary of the differences between the C50-PXT and HD-S11 radio-telemetric implants (DSI, 2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C50-PTX</th>
<th>HD-S11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accuracy (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td>±3 mmHg</td>
<td>±3 mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pressure Range (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td>-20 - 300</td>
<td>-20 - 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approx. Transmitter Body</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (mm)</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>34.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (g)</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (cc)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biopotential Lead Dimensions:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (cm)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Diameter (mm)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coil Diameter (mm)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter Dimensions:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (mm)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Diameter (mm)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary of the differences between the C50-PXT and HD-S11 radio-telemetric implants**

- The HD-S11 is lighter with a flatter body shape making it more comfortable for the rat.
- The HD-S11 sends its implant ID with each data point thus eliminating any potential animal mix ups or cross talk issues.
- The HD-S11 automatically sends its calibration values and ID to the Dataquest A.R.T™ software thus eliminating any human error.
- The HD-S11 device displays remaining battery life via the Dataquest A.R.T™. Software whereas the C50-PXT requires a manual log. This allows for easier experimental planning.
2.3.2.3 Telemetry Experimental Method

Each telemetry device was turned on using a magnet which was run down the flank of the animal, ensuring its close proximity to the telemetry device. Heart rate and mean arterial blood pressure were recorded every 15 min for 1 min for 9 days (vandetanib) or 3 days (pazopanib) before the compound of interest was given either i.p in vehicle (2% Tween, 5% propylene glycol in 0.9% saline solution) or vehicle was administered i.p, once every 24 h for 21 days. A 10 day off-treatment period was observed where recordings took place without the animal being disturbed. At the end of the study the animal was terminally anesthetised with 50 mgkg⁻¹ pentobarbitone (Dolethal, Vetoquinol, UK), i.p.

2.3.2.4 Statistical Analysis

All data were expressed as mean ± SEM. Data were displayed parametrically to follow scientific convention, but non-parametric statistical analyses were used, since not all the data were normally distributed (Kolmogorov-Smirnoff normality test). A Friedman test was performed to determine if within group changes from baseline values were significant. Between-group two tailed comparisons were made using the Mann Whitney-U test (for 2 groups) and the Kruskal Wallis test (more than 2 groups) with significance being accepted at P<0.05. All statistical analysis was performed using Graphpad prism 6.00.
2.4 Mesothelium Panel Staining for ECs

To investigate the effects of vandetanib on the microvasculature, a pilot study was performed where mesothelium, from rats participating in the radio-telemetric vandetanib 25 mg kg$^{-1}$day$^{-1}$ vs vehicle study (Chapter 2, Section 2.3, and Chapter 4) were harvested and stained for ECs using the antibody Isolectin-B4 and for cell nuclei with Hoechst (Benest and Bates, 2009). The rat mesentery on average contains 30-50 mesothelium panels (Figure 2.3), with each panel consisting of microvessels, macrophages, lymphocytes, mast cells and fibroblasts (Norrby, 2006; Norrby, 2011). The rat mesothelium staining study was first introduced by Norrby in 1986 (Norrby et al., 1986) and has been shown to have multiple advantages. For example the membranes harvested are easy to isolate and dissect, transparent and are only a few cells thick. They are ideal for histological staining as the antibody is able to penetrate the whole tissue without the need for tissue slicing. Therefore, there is a reduced risk of damaging vessel structure, ensuring the structures seen are as close to their native state as possible, and that the entire vascular network can be visualised (Norrby et al., 1986; Norrby, 2008; Norrby, 2011). The transparency and thin width of these vessels makes this tissue attractive for use in microscopy, as the light transmitted from the microscope is able to penetrate through the tissue.

The total number of junctions (indication of vessel branching), and total vessel length (indication of rarefaction and vessel destruction) were measured (Gould et al., 2011; Zudaire et al., 2011).
2.4.1 Method of Tissue Isolation

At the end of the 25 mg kg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) i.p vandetanib telemetry experiment, each animal was terminally anesthetised with 50 mg kg\(^{-1}\) pentobarbitone (Dolethal, Vetoquinol, UK-GABA\(_A\) agonist) i.p, as described above (Section 2.3.2.3). A 5 cm abdominal midline incision was made and the mesentery isolated. The superior mesenteric artery was tied as a physiological marker to allow for subsequent tissue orientation and positioning. The mesentery was washed with PBS and fixed in 4\% paraformaldehyde (pH 7.4) for 2 h at room temperature. Mesothelium panels (Figure 2.3) were isolated from the first 3\(^{rd}\) of the mesentery (third closest to the superior mesenteric artery) using blunt forceps and spring action surgical scissors. Mesothelium panels were stored at 4\°C in 8 well plates filled with PBS, prior to staining.

Dissection of mesenteric panels

Figure 2.3 Illustration of mesothelium panel dissection. Each rat mesentery contains between 30-50 panels. Each panel consists of a mesothelium membrane containing microvessels, macrophages, lymphocytes and mast cells and fibroblasts (Norrby, 2006; Norrby, 2011). This image shows an isolated rat mesentery with the intestine, vessels, fat and mesothelium present. White dotted lines illustrate where panels were taken from.
2.4.2 Staining Procedure

Each panel was washed in PBX (0.5% Triton-X100 in PBS) for 1 h at room temperature with PBX solution being removed, discarded and replaced every 10 min. All wash and incubation steps were performed on a slow moving shaker. The mesothelium panels were incubated in 1% BSA in PBX (BSA-PBX) at room temperature to reduce the likelihood of antibody-nonspecific binding to reactive sites such as Fc receptors within the tissue (Ramos-Vara, 2005). The panels were then incubated overnight at 4°C with 10 μgml⁻¹ biotinylated Isolectin GS-IB4 (from *Griffonia simplicifolia* (Molecular Probes)). Panels were subsequently washed in PBX for 1 h at room temperature with PBX solution being removed, discarded and replaced every 10 min. Panels were then incubated at room temperature for 2 h with 1 μgml⁻¹ Tetramethyl-rhodamine-isothiocyanate (TRITC)-labelled streptavidin (S-870 Molecular Probes) before being washed in PBX (0.5% Triton-X100 in PBS) for 1 h at room temperature with the PBX solution being changed every 10 min. Finally, panels were incubated with Hoechst (2 μgml⁻¹ diluted in BSA-PBX), to label cell nuclei, before being placed onto 3-Aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APES) coated microscopy slides. APES coating was used to positively charge the slide and therefore reduce sample movement (Maddox and Jenkins, 1987). A coverslip (32 mm x 0.17 mm) was placed over the tissue sample and secured in place with DABCO Fluorescence Mounting Medium (200 mg DABCO (1-4-Diazabicyclo-2-2-2-octane), 14 ml PBS, 86 ml Glycerol), which has been shown to reduce fluorescence signal fading (Valnes and Brandtzaeg, 1985).
2.4.3 Analysis

16 bit images were taken on a Zeiss Axio observer Z1 using a Hamamatsu Orca flash 4 C11440 HD camera and an EC-Plan-neofluar 10x/-0.3 ph1 objective. Images were analysed using ImageJ and AngioTool 0.6b (Zudaire et al., 2011). AngioTool 0.6b uses a subset of algorithms to map vessels within an image and calculate total vessel length and total number of vessel junctions. All analysis was blinded. Data were analysed using Microsoft Excel 2010 and GraphPad Prism 6.0. Statistical significance was determined using an unpaired one tailed Mann Whitney-U test (P<0.05).

2.4.3.1 AngioTool 0.6b

AngioTool was used to gain a quantitative, non-subjective analysis of total vessel length and total number of branch points. AngioTool 0.6b is an open source analysis program written using Java script. It is compatible with the open source software ImageJ. The images analysed were converted into 16 bit grey scale using ImageJ and then uploaded to the AngioTool software. AngioTool analyses the image using a multiscale hessian-based enhancement filter (Zudaire et al., 2011), allowing the program to distinguish linear structures from background (Sato et al., 1998). Once the vessels are detected within the image, the software maps a skeleton onto the image (Chapter 4) (Arganda-Carreras et al., 2010) and calculates user set parameters, such as total vessel length and total junction number (junction defined as a vessel branch point) (Zudaire et al., 2011). The mapped skeleton layed over the original image allows the user to ensure that all vessel structures have been detected. If this is not the case the user can adjust pre-set parameters, which allow gaps in the
mapped skeleton to be filled or for background noise to be removed (Zudaire et al., 2011). The ‘mapped’ image is saved along with the values for the measured parameters into an excel file.

2.5 The Measurement of Regional Haemodynamics in Conscious Rats

In order to measure the effect of RTKIs on regional haemodynamics in the rat, blood flow velocities were measured using the Doppler principle (Section 2.5.1). Small (1-2 mm in diameter) ultrasonic pulsed Doppler flow probes were implanted around vessels of interest (the mesenteric artery, renal artery and abdominal aorta), and the Doppler shift (difference in frequency transmitted and frequency received), was measured, allowing blood flow velocity to be subsequently derived (Hartley and Cole, 1974). This is described in detail below. Alongside pulsed Doppler flow probe implantation, an intra-arterial (i.a) catheter was implanted 10-14 days later to measure blood pressure and heart rate simultaneously. Intra-venous (i.v) and intra-peritoneal (i.p) catheters were also implanted to allow for drug administration. This method facilitated the measurement of regional haemodynamic changes in conscious, freely-moving animals for up to 4 days. Drugs were administered via intravascular catheters, allowing animals to remain undisturbed in their individual cages. The following sections will describe the theory behind the ultrasonic pulsed Doppler technique and describe the methodology used.
2.5.1 The Pulsed Doppler Method

The pulsed Doppler method was first developed by Hartley et al. (1974) for use in dogs (Hartley and Cole, 1974). By using a single piezoelectric crystal, capable of emitting and receiving sound waves, Hartley et al were able to greatly reduce the size of the probes needed in comparison to methods like the continuous wave Doppler technique, which needs both emitting and receiving ultrasonic crystals. The first description of the use of Hartley et al’s pulsed Doppler flowmetry technique in rats was by Haywood et al in 1981 (Haywood et al., 1981). This technique was improved further by Gardiner et al (Gardiner et al., 1990), who adapted the system to reduce ‘aliasing’ at high velocities (Section 2.6.3).

Doppler shift is measured via a small (1 mm) ultrasonic piezoelectric crystal embedded in a probe and held at a 45° angle to the specified vessel. When connected to a flowmeter, the piezoelectric crystal emits a short burst of ultrasonic energy at 20 MHz. When this comes into contact with a moving object, for example an erythrocyte, the ultrasonic energy is reflected back toward the crystal face. The energy reflected back is time-delayed and at a slightly different frequency compared to the energy emitted, and the shift in frequency (Doppler shift) is directly related to the velocity of the moving target (Hartley and Cole, 1974). The frequency at which the ultrasound is emitted (20 MHz) is higher than that used in systems such as the continuous wave Doppler system. This is advantageous as it produces a higher energy scatter allowing for a small crystal face to be used, as the energy returned is higher (Hartley and Cole, 1974). The time delay seen between the emission and detection of the ultrasonic signal is directly proportional to the distance between the
moving erythrocytes and the face of the crystal. The system used in these studies allows for the Doppler shift to be measured at various points across the lumen diameter (between 1-10 mm) by adjusting the time delay of the crystal (range setting). In order to make the most reliable measurements, the ultrasonic energy is pulsed to the middle of the vessel where there is maximal laminar flow. In practice, this is done by slowly adjusting the range of the probe until the largest signal is found.

By using the Doppler equation:

$$\Delta f = 2f_o \frac{V}{C} \cos \Theta$$

Where $\Delta f = $ Doppler shift, $f_o =$ transmitted frequency in Hz, $V =$ velocity of fluid, $C =$ velocity of sound in the fluid, $\Theta =$ angle between the flow axis and acoustic axis (the angle of the crystal in relation to the blood vessel), the difference between the frequency emitted and the frequency returned can be calculated.

In the days (prior to catheter implantation) following implantation of the pulsed Doppler flow probe, fibrous tissue grows to encapsulate the vessel and probe. The fibrous capsule acts to keep $\Theta$ and the diameter of the vessel directly beneath the probe constant. As $f_o$ (20 MHz), $C$ (velocity of sound in fluid) and $\Theta$ (about 45°) remain constant, $\Delta f$ is linearly related to the velocity of fluid, in this case blood flow velocity. As the diameter of the vessel directly under the cuff also remains constant, blood flow velocity is directly proportional to blood flow. This assumption was investigated by Haywood, who measured blood flow simultaneously using
the pulsed Doppler flowmeter method and the electromagnetic flowmeter method, Haywood showed measured changes in blood flow were directly proportional to changes in flow velocity across a range of pharmacologically-induced vasoconstriction and vasodilatation (Haywood et al., 1981). The measurement of Doppler shift in conjunction with mean arterial blood pressure (measured via an i.a catheter attached to a pressure transducer) allows for vascular conductance (vascular conductance=mean Doppler shift/mean arterial blood pressure) (Gardiner et al., 1990) to be calculated.

2.5.2 Construction of Doppler Flow Probes

Pulsed Doppler flow probes were assembled using a method similar to that described by Haywood (Haywood et al., 1981). Piezoelectric crystals with attached wires were obtained from Crystal Biotech Inc (USA) and tested for their ability to send and receive ultrasonic signals. This was done by attaching the piezoelectric crystal to a flowmeter and dipping it in and out of distilled water; if the crystal was working, sound was produced through the flowmeter. Once this had been confirmed, the crystal was inserted into a 3-4 mm length of medical grade silicone tubing (Figure 2.4). One side of the tubing was cut at a 45° angle, allowing the piezoelectric crystal to sit at a 45° angle to the vessel when it was implanted. The wires of the crystal were then pulled through the other side of the tubing, ensuring the face of the crystal was positioned toward the angled side of the tubing. The crystal was held in place with a small amount of dental wax until it was ready to be permanently fixed. On the opposite side of the tubing (where the wires protrude) a piece of polystyrene was attached as an acoustic baffle, ensuring the ultrasound was projected forward.
The probe was then left to set for 24 h (Gardiner et al., 1990).

In order to form a cuff, which was later used to attach the crystal to the vessel, the piezoelectric crystal + acoustic baffle was mounted onto a needle by melting the dental wax on the crystal (Figure 2.4). A silastic cuff was then built up around the subunit and the needle. The probe was left overnight, allowing the silastic gel to set (Figure 2.4). Finally, the silastic cuff was cut along the underside of the needle, releasing the probe from the needle and forming two flaps, which subsequently had sutures (6/0 ophthalmic silk) sewn into them to provide ties; this later allowed for the probe to be secured around the vessel (Figure 2.4, Figure 2.5.a.).
Piezoelectric crystals with attached wires were tested for their ability to send and receive ultrasonic signals.

Crystal was inserted into a 3-4mm length piece of medical grade silicone tubing. One side of the tubing was cut at a 45° angle, allowing the probe and therefore the piezoelectric crystal to sit at a 45° angle to the vessel when implanted.

On the opposite side of the tubing (where the wires protrude) a piece of polystyrene is attached as an acoustic baffle.

In order to form a cuff the piezoelectric crystal/acoustic baffle was mounted onto a needle by melting the dental wax on the crystal. A plastic cuff was then built up around the subunit and the needle.

Figure 2.4 Labelled image of the pulsed Doppler probe construction process.
2.6 Surgical Procedures

2.6.1 Animals

Male Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River, Margate, UK) weighing between 300-500 g were used for all pulsed Doppler flowmetry studies. Animals were housed in temperature (21-23°C) and light (12 h light/ dark cycles from 6 am/ 6 pm) controlled conditions. All animals had free access to food and water and were allowed to acclimatise to the housing conditions for ≥10 days before any surgery was performed. Animals were housed in pairs prior to Doppler flow probe implantation. They were then singularly housed overnight after pulsed Doppler flow probe implantation, pair housed again before catheterisation and single housed post-catheterisation surgery. All in vivo experimentation was approved by the Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body (University of Nottingham), and performed in keeping with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act (1986), under UK Home Office approved Project Licence (40/3466) and Personal License authority.

2.6.2 Implantation of Doppler Flow Probes

Animals were initially anaesthetised with fentanyl citrate, (300 µgkg⁻¹, Jansen-Cilac Ltd, opioid agonist) mixed with medetomidine (Domitor, 300 µgkg⁻¹, Pfizer, α₂-adrernergic agonist), i.p (supplemented with 0.5 ml fentanyl citrate 50 µgm⁻¹ and 1 mgm⁻¹ medetomidine to maintain anaesthetic depth throughout the surgery, as required) (Flecknell, 2010). Once animals were fully anaesthetised (assessed by firmly pinching the hind-paw), weight was recorded and the abdominal midline, posterior of the neck and left flank above the hind limb were shaved. The shaved areas, paws and tail
were cleaned with chlorohexidine gluconate (0.5% w/v in 70% v/v IMS, Adams Healthcare) and the animal was wrapped in a clear surgical drape (cling film, Glad, USA) before being placed on a heated surgical table, set at 37°C, in the dorsal recumbent position.

A 4-5 inch midline abdominal incision was made along the *linea alba*. The contents of the abdomen were wrapped in sterile, saline-saturated tissue and pushed to one side, exposing the vasculature underneath. Vessels of interest (left renal artery, superior mesenteric artery and the distal abdominal aorta) (Figure 2.5) were identified using a microscope. These vessels were cleared of adipose and connective tissue using blunt dissection techniques. An appropriate sized probe, with a lumen equivalent to the vessels diameter (renal/ mesenteric artery approximately 1 mm, aortic artery approximately 1.7 mm), was selected for each vessel and coupling gel (ultrasound gel, Henleys Medical, UK) was added to the centre of the probe, onto the face of the crystal. Each probe was then connected to a Doppler flowmeter and checked for acceptable signal detection. Providing the signal was of good quality, the probe was then sutured around the vessel (Haywood *et al.*., 1981; Gardiner *et al.*., 1990).

Probe wires were secured to the left abdominal wall with 2-0 vicryl suture (Ethicon, UK) and tunnelled via the left flank to the posterior of the neck, where signals were re-verified using the Doppler flowmeter. Wire ends were secured with suture and sterile tape to the nape of the neck (approximately 0.3 cm of wire/ tape was left exposed). The abdominal contents were carefully repositioned and the muscle wall sutured using 2-0 vicryl (Ethicon, UK). Excess wire was coiled
and anchored subcutaneously at the left flank. Finally, the abdominal skin layer was sutured using 4-0 vicryl (Ethicon, UK) and surgical wound powder (Battle, Hayward and Bower Ltd, UK) was dusted over all the wounds to reduce the likelihood of infection and promote wound healing. Animals were given 0.05 ml Amoxycare LA (150 mgml⁻¹ (15% w/v) ampicillin trihydrate, Animalcare, UK) intra-muscularly and 5 ml warmed saline i.p to aid post-surgical hydration and to ensure the abdominal cavity and its contents were moist, reducing the risk of post-surgical trauma (suggested best practice by the named veterinary surgeon). Anaesthetic was reversed using 0.02 mgkg⁻¹ Vetergesic (buprenorphine, Alstoe Animal Health, UK, partial opioid agonist) and 1 mgkg⁻¹ Antisedan (atipamezole hydrochloride, Pfizer α₂-adrenergic antagonist). Animals were housed singly in cages positioned over heating pads for the first 6 h post-surgery, during this time their welfare was checked every 15 min and 0.02 mgkg⁻¹ Vetergesic was given 4 h after surgery as an analgesic top-up. Animals were then housed singularly in an individually ventilated cage over night before being reintroduced to their cage mate. This period of individual housing allowed for the rat to rest and for the skin and muscle incisions to begin to heal. Rats were allowed free access to food and water during their 10-14 day recovery period, and were monitored daily and satisfactorily inspected by the Named Veterinary Surgeon before catheterisation took place.
Figure 2.5 Illustration of flow probe, i.v and i.a catheter placement. Doppler flow probes were tied around the mesenteric (a.1.), renal (a.2.) and distal aortic (a.3.) arteries. 10-14 days later, catheters were introduced into the right jugular vein (i.v: a.4.) and ventral caudal artery (i.a: a5.). Post-catheterisation surgery, flow probes were connected to a flow meter, allowing Doppler shift to be recorded (b.1., b.2, b.3. representative trace). The i.a catheter was connected to a pressure transducer in order to record mean arterial blood pressure (b.4). Representative Doppler shift and mean arterial blood pressure traces (b) were obtained from regions, as indicated in (a).
2.6.2.1 Implantation of Intra-vascular Catheters

Venous catheters consisted of 150 cm Portex polythene tubing (internal diameter 0.28 mm x outer diameter 0.61 mm) and intra-peritoneal catheters consisted of 150 cm Portex polythene tubing (internal diameter 0.40 mm x outer diameter 0.80 mm). The arterial line consisted of 6 cm Portex polythene tubing (internal diameter 0.28 mm x outer diameter 0.61 mm) heat-sealed to 85 cm Portex nylon tubing (internal diameter 0.58 mm x outer diameter 1.02 mm). All catheters were packed and sterilised with ethylene oxide before surgery. Prior to insertion all catheters were flushed with 15 Uml⁻¹ heparinised saline.

Animals were fully anaesthetised with fentanyl citrate (300 µgkg⁻¹, Jansen-Cilac Ltd) mixed with medetomidine (Domitor, 300 µgkg⁻¹, Pfizer) i.p, supplemented when required to maintain depth of anaesthesia. Depth of anaesthesia was monitored using the hind-paw toe pinch method. The weight of the animal was recorded and the anterior of the neck (between the larynx and sternum) and right flank were shaved and cleaned with chlorohexidine gluconate (0.5% w/v in 70% v/v IMS, Adams Healthcare). The wire tips protruding from the rat’s posterior neck were freed and stripped of insulation allowing the signal from each probe to be verified using the flowmeter. The wires were then soldered into a 6-way miniature connector (Microtech, Boothwyn, PA, USA) (Figure 2.6). The rat was then wrapped in a clear surgical drape before being placed on the surgical table in the dorsal recumbent position.
2.6.2.2 Intra-venous Catheters

A 1 cm incision was made on the anterior of the neck. Connective tissue was parted using blunt dissection and the right jugular vein isolated and ligated posteriorly. Lidocaine (0.5% w/v, Antigen Pharmaceuticals) was used to relax the vessel and further numb the neck. Either 2 (i.p administration studies) or 3 (i.v administration studies) venous catheters were tunnelled from the nape of the neck to the exposed jugular vein, and then advanced (by 2 cm) into the jugular vein through a small incision made in the vein. Catheters were secured into place using suture (4-0 silk thread), flushed with heparinised saline (15 Uml⁻¹) and sealed with a wire spigot (Figure 2.5). The incision was then sutured closed and surgical wound powder dusted over the wound (Haywood et al., 1981, Gardiner et al., 1990).

2.6.2.3 Intra-Arterial Catheters

A 2 cm incision was made along the ventral side of the base of the tail and the ventral caudal artery exposed. Lidocaine (0.5% w/v, Antigen Pharmaceuticals) was applied to relax the vessel, the vessel was occluded by lifting on a pair of forceps and ligated; an arterial catheter was then advanced 6 cm into the distal aorta and secured in place with suture (4-0 silk thread) (Figure 2.5). The catheter was then flushed with heparinised saline (15 Uml⁻¹) and sealed with a wire spigot before it was tunnelled via the dorsal end of the tail superiorly to the nape of the neck, where the probe wires and venous catheters exited. The tail was sutured closed (Van Dongen, 1990).
2.6.2.4 Intra-peritoneal Catheters

An incision was made along the skin of the right flank and an intra-peritoneal catheter inserted through the abdominal wall by 4 cm. The catheter was secured to the muscle wall by suture (4-0 silk thread), both at the site of entry and 1-2 cm posterior to the incision. The catheter was tunneled subcutaneously to exit at the nape of the neck, flushed with heparinised saline (15 U ml\(^{-1}\)) and sealed with a spigot. The skin was sutured and surgical wound powder dusted over the incision.

2.6.3 Doppler Experimental Set Up

Post-surgery, the animals were transferred to a cage in the experimental room and fitted with a harness (Figure 2.6). The catheters were threaded through a hollow spring attached to a counter balance and a miniature 6 way plug (Figure 2.6.d.) and secured to the harness; any exposed wires and soldered joints were protected with tape (Figure 2.6.b., 2.6.c.). The connector was attached to an extension lead and the probe signals checked using a Doppler flowmeter. Anaesthesia was reversed using 0.02 mg kg\(^{-1}\) Vetergesic (buprenorphine, Alstoe Animal Health) and 1 mg kg\(^{-1}\) Antisedan (atipamezole hydrochloride, Pfizer). The arterial line was connected to a fluid-filled swivel (Gardiner et al., 1990) which in turn was connected to an infusion pump set to infuse heparinised saline (15 U ml\(^{-1}\)) at 0.4 ml h\(^{-1}\) during non-experimental periods (Figure 2.6). Animals had free movement within the cage and free access to food and water.
Figure 2.6 Illustration of exteriorised catheters, flow probe wires, harness placement and experimental set up (shown when the animal was recovering from anaesthesia post-catheterisation surgery). During flow probe implantation and catheterisation surgery, the catheters and flow probe wires were exteriorised at the back of the neck and a 6 way plug soldered to the wire ends (a.). While the animal was still anesthetised a harness was attached (b., c.). The 6 way plug + wires were wrapped in tape, to protect the soldering joints, and secured to the back of the harness (b.). The catheters were fed through a steel spring for protection (d.1.). The spring was attached to a counter balance swivel (d.2) allowing the rat free movement within the cage. The 6 way plug was attached to the Doppler flow meter (d.3.) via a connector lead (d.4.). Finally the i.a catheter was attached to an infusion pump (d.5.) set to infuse heparinised saline (15 Um{l}^{-1}) at 0.4 mll{h}^{-1} during non-experimental periods. During experimental periods the i.a catheter was connected to a pressure transducer (d.6.).
After a 24 h recovery period, experiments began and lasted for a total of 4 days, with recordings taken continuously for 4.5 h per day. Baseline recordings were taken for a minimum of 30 min in all studies undertaken. Heart rate and blood pressure were continuously monitored via the i.a catheter connected to a pressure transducer (Gould, Eastlake, Ohio, USA) and a transducer amplifier (Gould, Eastlake, Ohio, USA) model 13-4615-50), which interfaced with the IDEEQ recording system (Maastrich Instruments, The Netherlands). Pressure changes were detected by strain gauges, in the pressure transducer, as changes in resistance. The change in resistance was converted to a change in voltage by a Wheatstone bridge circuit. Calibration of the pressure transducer, using a sphygmomanometer, allowed changes in voltage to be converted into changes in mmHg by the IDEEQ software.

The catheter-transducer-IDEEQ recording system was able to follow a fundamental frequency of approximately 40 Hz. The ability to measure frequencies up to the 5th harmonic of the heart rate means that systolic and diastolic arterial blood pressures can be measured faithfully i.e., not over-dampened or under-dampened. Over-dampening of the blood pressure signal was reduced by using rigid arterial catheter tubing, and by using a custom ‘low volume’ transducer filled with de-gassed water. This reduced possible air spaces and bubbles within the transducer. Under-dampening, which would cause resonance, was avoided by the use of the flexible, small bore, intra-vascular section of the arterial catheter (Gardiner et al., 1990).
The Doppler shift was measured by connecting the flow probes to a Doppler flowmeter (Crystal Biotech, Holliston, MA, USA) and in turn to the IDEEQ recording system. The Doppler flowmeter used here had been modified to detect pulse repetition frequencies (PRF) of 125 KHz. In 1990, Gardiner et al. demonstrated a PRF of 62.5 KHz was insufficient to accurately measure changes in rat aortic Doppler shift, in the presence of nitroprusside and methoxamine (Gardiner et al., 1990). This was concluded to be due to the Nyquist (sampling) theorem (Cho et al., 2011), which states that to accurately convert analogue signals to digital, the sampling frequency (pulse-repetition frequency (PRF)), which in this case is the number of times the piezoelectric crystal emits and receives a signal per second, must be greater than half of the maximum frequency, or over twice the frequency response (Stewart et al., 1985). When this parameter is not met, a phenomenon called aliasing occurs. Alias signals are signals of the same value on corresponding sides of the waveform, when the maximum frequency response is not at least twice that of the PRF, these alias signals overlap leading to the loss of high frequency components of the signal and to a distortion of the continuous waveform (Cho et al., 2011).

### 2.6.4 Statistical Analysis

All data were expressed as mean ± SEM. Data were displayed parametrically to follow scientific convention, but non-parametric statistical analyses were used, since not all the data could be considered to be normally distributed. A Friedman test was performed to determine if within group changes from baseline values were significant. Between group two tailed comparisons were made using Mann Whitney-U test
(for 2 groups) and Kruskal Wallis test (more than 2 groups) with significance being accepted at P<0.05. All statistical analysis was performed using Biomedical version 3.4 (Nottingham, UK).

2.7 Isobaric Myography

Isobaric (pressure) myography comprises of an isolated vessel segment being mounted and secured onto 2 glass micro-cannulae (Mulvany and Halpern, 1976). This allows the vessel to be exposed to transmural pressure and changes in vessel diameter (caused by vessel contraction/relaxation) to be measured. Unlike wire myography, which measures the force exerted by a vessel that is undergoing contraction (Mulvany and Halpern, 1977), pressure myography allows for changes in the diameter of the vessel to be measured. It has multiple advantages over wire myography including maintenance of the cross sectional shape of the vessel, as well as control over the intramural pressure within a vessel. It also ensures that the endothelium remains relatively untouched during the set-up of the experimental procedure, helping to reduce undue vessel trauma (Mulvany and Halpern, 1976; Halpern and Mulvany, 1977).

2.7.1 Preparation of Small Mesenteric Arteries

Male, Sprague Dawley rats, weighing between 150-200 g were killed by striking the cranium, followed by exsanguination. This technique was carried out in accordance with Schedule 1 of the UK, Animals Scientific Procedures Act (1986). The mesentery was removed and transferred to a dissection dish containing ice cold (0-4°C) Krebs-Henseleit physiological salt solution (PSS) (118 mM NaCl, 4.8 mM KCl, 1.1 mM
MgSO$_4$.7H$_2$O, 25 mM NaHCO$_3$, 1.2 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, 12 mM Glucose, 1.25 mM CaCl$_2$.2H$_2$O) (White et al., 2013). The PSS was gassed with 5% CO$_2$ 95% air prior to being used. This ensured the pH of the solution was 7.4 and that the solution was oxygenated. The mesentery was laid flat in PSS and pinned allowing for vessel isolation. The arteriole was identified by its ‘V’ shaped branching anatomy. The second order branch of the arteriole was carefully stripped of fat and connective tissue with forceps and spring action surgical scissors, ensuring vessel stretching and damage was kept to a minimum. Approximately 5-7 mm of the arteriole connected to a 0.5-1 mm side branch was transferred into either a living systems CH2 or DMT 120CP pressure myography chamber. Second order mesenteric arterioles (diameter 100-400 μm) were used as they are representative of the vessels in vascular beds that influence total peripheral resistance and blood pressure (Christensen and Mulvany, 2001).

2.7.2 Myography System

Due to equipment availability, two separate pressure myography systems were used to collect data, the living systems CH2 and DMT 120CP pressure myographs. This Section shall discuss how the vessel was mounted onto the myograph and highlight any differences between the two set-ups (Table 2.2).

The myography bath contained 10 ml PSS and was perfused with 95% air 5% CO$_2$ to maintain a pH of approximately 7.4. Temperature was maintained at 36-37°C. Both myography systems used self-heating baths. All tubing was filled with PSS solution ensuring all air bubbles were removed. This was done so that no air could enter the vessel when it was mounted. It
has been shown that perfusion of a vessel with air disrupts the endothelial layer affecting the ability for the endothelium to control vessel tone and, therefore, affecting the results gained (Falloon et al., 1993). The side of the vessel which had an off branch attached was carefully slipped onto the right hand micro-cannula using forceps. It was then tied in place, ensuring the tie was placed after the off-branch, with a single strand of nylon thread. A small amount of PSS solution was allowed to flow through the micro-cannula and vessel to remove any residual blood in the vessel. The other side of the vessel was then carefully mounted onto the left hand micro-cannula and tied in place. The myography bath was then placed onto the microscope platform (Table 2.2).

90 mmHg was applied to the vessel and no flow of PSS was allowed to ensure no flow mediated vasoactivity (Ando and Yamamoto, 2009). Static pressure of the vessel was checked in the living systems CH2 system by switching the peristaltic pump to manual and checking for maintenance of pressure; on the DMT 120CP system maintenance of pressure was checked by comparing the pre-vessel and post-vessel pressure transducer readings and looking for pressure differences. One of the major differences between these two systems is how the vessel was pressurised. The Living Systems myograph used a pressure-servo peristaltic pump connected to a pressure transducer. The peristaltic pump worked by actively displacing fluid, therefore forcing it along the tubing. The DMT 120CP system used a flow control system, where a mixture of 95% air 5% CO₂ was used to pressurise a bottle containing PSS solution, the pressure in the bottle forced the fluid out of the bottle, and through the vessel, at a set pressure (detected by pressure transducers placed before and after the vessel).
Intraluminal pressure was set to 90 mmHg (Fenger-Gron et al., 1995). Fenger et al (1995) demonstrated that in conscious freely moving Wistar rats, the approximate blood pressure in the intestine was 96 mmHg. However, as these animals had recently undergone surgery prior to the blood pressure measurement, a procedure that has been shown to raise blood pressure for up to 10 days (Greene et al., 2007) a pressure of 90 mmHg was chosen to reduce the likelihood of over-stretching and/or damaging the vessel.

Edge detection software (Table 2.2) was used to measure the distance between each of the vessel walls, giving a value for internal vessel diameter (μm). The edge detection software worked by identifying changes in brightness across an image. As the vessel wall is thicker and does not allow as much light to penetrate it, in comparison to the vessel lumen, the vessel lumen diameter can be easily distinguished and measured. Both edge detection systems allowed the user to adjust the edge detection in real time to ensure an accurate measurement was taken. The vessel was allowed to equilibrate to bath conditions for 30 min before the experimental procedure began (see Chapter 6 for further detail).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Living Systems CH2</th>
<th>DMT 120CP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressurisation technique</td>
<td>Peristaltic pump</td>
<td>Flow control system using 95% air 5%CO2 mixture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscope</td>
<td>Olympus CK40</td>
<td>Zeiss Axio Vert A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge detection and analysis software</td>
<td>Lab Chart 7 (ADInstruments)</td>
<td>MyoView II (DMT)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2 Summary of the key differences between the Living Systems CH2 and DMT 120CP myography systems.
2.7.3 Statistical Analysis

Data collected from more than one system was not collated but instead has been shown and analysed independently. Data obtained from the DMT 120CP system were fitted using non-linear regression in GraphPad Prism 6 (San Diego, CA) to obtain EC_{50} values.

\[
Response = \frac{E_{\text{max}} \times [A]}{[A] + EC_{50}}
\]

Where [A] is the concentration of VEGF or ACh and EC_{50} is the molar concentration of agonist required to generate 50% of the maximal agonist response. In experiments where a VEGF/ ACh concentration- response curve in the presence of RTKI/ DMSO/ PSS control was generated, data were also fitted to the above equation.

All data were expressed as mean ± SEM. A non-parametric, two-tailed group comparison Friedman test was performed to determine if any changes seen from the baseline value were significant. Non-parametric between group two tailed comparisons (Mann Whitney (for 2 groups) and Kruskal Wallis (more than 2 groups) were performed to look for significant differences between groups. An unpaired student T test was used to compare E_{\text{max}} and EC_{50}. These were gained by averaging the individual experimental E_{\text{max}} and EC_{50} values from each experimental non-linear regression curve fit (Graphpad prism 6.00). Significance was accepted at P<0.05.
Chapter 3: Effects of Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors on VEGF$_{165}$- and VEGF$_{165b}$-Stimulated NFAT-Luciferase Gene Transcription in HEK-293 Cells Expressing Human VEGFR2
3.1 Introduction

This Chapter will address the \textit{in vitro} action of RTKIs on VEGFR2-mediated responses, by quantifying their ability to inhibit the VEGF-VEGFR2-mediated activation of the PLC\(\gamma\)-NFAT pathway in a whole cell system. This pathway was chosen as it is involved in the promotion of cell growth and proliferation (Figure 1.1) (Armesilla \textit{et al}., 1999; Hogan \textit{et al}., 2003). As these processes specifically contribute to the progression of angiogenesis and therefore, pathologically, the progression of solid tumour growth (Section 1.3), their inhibition by VEGF RTKIs is important for the successful treatment of cancer.

The use of an \textit{in vitro} whole cell system allows for the inhibitory actions of vandetanib, pazopanib, cediranib and sorafenib at VEGFR2 to be quantified. Additional understanding of the RTKI mechanism of action at VEGFR2 \textit{in vitro} is important. It is currently thought that the ability of RTKIs to elicit an effect is dependent on their capacity to bind to intracellular regions of VEGFR2 (Wedge \textit{et al}., 2002; Hennequin \textit{et al}., 2002; Knowles \textit{et al}., 2006; Gotink and Verheul, 2010; Davis \textit{et al}., 2011; Blanc \textit{et al}., 2013), therefore understanding the potency of these RTKIs when a cell membrane is present is important.

Finally, a better understanding of the mechanism of antagonism and order of potency of cediranib, sorafenib, pazopanib and vandetanib, against two VEGF-A splice variants: VEGF_{165}, the predominantly studied pro-angiogenic VEGFR2 ligand (Liang \textit{et al}., 2013), and VEGF_{165b}, a VEGF-A splice variant with reduced efficacy at VEGFR2 in comparison to VEGF_{165} (Woolard \textit{et al}., 2009), may help to further the
understanding of how VEGFR inhibitors lead to varying levels of hypertension *in vivo* and in the clinic (Varey *et al.*, 2008) (Section 1.1). VEGF$_{165b}$ has previously been shown to be overexpressed in various tumour types (Rennel *et al.*, 2008) and to affect the growth of tumours (Pritchard-Jones *et al.*, 2007) (described fully in Section 1.2). It has also been shown to affect the potency of Avastin (Varey *et al.*, 2008), an anti-angiogenic monoclonal humanised VEGF antibody. Therefore, a better understanding of RTKI action against VEGF$_{165b}$ is important for future drug development and has potential clinical applications regarding treatment choice.
3.2 Methods

3.2.1 Experimental Method Protocol 1: The ‘non-confluent monolayer’ Method

VEGFR2 NFAT cells were detached from the T75 flask (as described in Section 2.1.2) and seeded at a density of 30000 cells per well in white sided, clear flat-bottomed 96 well plates which had been coated with poly-D-lysine. After 24 h, media was replaced with FCS free media. 24 h later, the FCS free media was removed and replaced with DMEM + 0.1% BSA. 10 μl DMEM + 0.1% BSA or VEGF_{165} diluted in DMEM + 0.1% BSA (100 nM–30 pM final concentration) were then added and the cells incubated at 37°C/ 5% CO₂ for a further 5 h. After the 5 h incubation period, the experimental media was removed from the wells and 50 μl of DMEM and 50 μl ONE-Glo™ Luciferase Assay reagent was added to each well. A white plastic cover was placed over the bottom of the plate and luminescence was measured using a microplate scintillation and luminescence counter (TopCount NXT Packard) set to read at 19.1°C with a 5 min delay before reading relative luminescence units. An average of the counts per second, taken over 3 seconds, was used in all experimental analysis. Each individual experiment was performed in quadruplicate and repeated n number of times (n number per experiment specified in Section 3.3).

3.2.2 Experimental Method Protocol 2: The ‘suspension’ Method

VEGFR2 NFAT cells were seeded in a T75 at 5x10^6 using DMEM + 10% FCS and incubated at 37°C/5% CO₂ for 3 days (until the media turned yellow and the flask was 100% confluent). On the 4th day cells were washed with PBS and
detached using 3 ml Versene. Once cells had detached, 6 ml of DMEM + 0.1% BSA was added and the cells were counted using a haemocytometer. Cells were centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 min and re-suspended in DMEM + 0.1% BSA. They were subsequently added to the well at a density of 40000 cells per well in white sided, clear, flat-bottomed, 96 well plates which had been coated with poly-D-lysine. Cells were incubated for 1 h at 37°C/5% CO₂ and then incubated with set concentrations of RTKI (10 μl diluted to 10x final concentrations in DMEM + 0.1% BSA) at 37°C/5% CO₂ for 1 h prior to the addition of 10 μl VEGF in DMEM + 0.1% BSA. VEGF was incubated with the cells at 37°C/5% CO₂ for 5 h. After the 5 h incubation, 100 μl ONE-Glo™ Luciferase Assay reagent was added to the wells and the plate was prepared and read as described in Section 3.2.1. Each individual experiment was performed in triplicate or quadruplicate and repeated n number of times (n number per experiment specified in Section 3.3).

3.2.3 Data Analysis

Data were fitted using non-linear regression (see Section 2.2.3). All data have been presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined by either Student’s T-test or a one or two way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post-hoc analysis, where appropriate. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data were fitted using non-linear regression (GraphPad Prism 6.00).
3.3 Results

3.3.1 Characterisation of the VEGF_{165}-Mediated NFAT Luciferase Response, in the Presence and Absence of RTKIs

VEGF_{165} produced a concentration dependent increase in NFAT-mediated luciferase production (Figure 3.1). Cells cultured using the ‘non-confluent monolayer method’ gave a larger SEM and a lower signal: basal noise ratio between and within experiments (Figure 3.1) in comparison to experiments performed using the ‘suspension method’ (Figure 3.1). Due to this, it was decided that the more cell dense, suspension cell culture method, where cells were not allowed to form a monolayer would be used throughout the remainder of the study (protocol 2). VEGF_{165} pEC_{50} values obtained using this methodology were similar to those obtained using the non-confluent monolayer methodology, however the experimental window was larger and variation within and between experiments was reduced (Figure 3.1, Table 3.1).
Figure 3.1 The effect of VEGF\textsubscript{165} on firefly luciferase production (luminescence measured using relative luminescence units (RLU)) in VEGFR2 NFAT Cells. Cells were treated with VEGF\textsubscript{165} either in suspension (a, b) or as a non-confluent monolayer (c, d). Graphs on the left are representative of one experiment (performed in quadruplicate), graphs on the right represent normalised pooled data (b n=10, d n=6), where 0\% is defined as the basal luminescence count (RLU) and 100\% is defined as the 10 nM VEGF\textsubscript{165} positive control luminescence count (RLU).
Table 3.1 Comparison of the suspension or non-confluent monolayer cell culture methods on NFAT reporter gene responses following stimulation with VEGF$_{165}$. VEGFR2 NFAT cells were treated with VEGF$_{165}$ (in quadruplicate at each concentration in each experiment) either as suspension or as a non-confluent monolayer. Table 3.1 shows the $E_{\text{max}}$ (fold over basal), demonstrating the size of assay window for each technique, the VEGF$_{165}$ $\text{pEC}_{50}$ (negative log of the EC$_{50}$ (mol/L)) and number of individual experiments performed (n) for each technique. Data are displayed as mean ± SEM. Students unpaired T test between group comparison demonstrated a significant difference between $E_{\text{max}}$.

Using the suspension method, all four VEGF RTKIs were shown to inhibit VEGF$_{165}$-NFAT-mediated luciferase production (Figure 3.2, Figure 3.3). The action of these compounds was not increased or diminished by DMSO (vehicle), which was used at a comparable concentration to the highest concentration of experimental compound used (as seen in Figure 3.2). pIC$_{50}$ values obtained for each compound were similar to the K$_{D}$ values reported by Davis et al., (Davis et al., 2011), who used purified VEGFR2 kinase domains (Table 3.2) to look at RTKI binding. Although IC$_{50}$ values are related to affinity (K$_{D}$), they are an empirical measure which are dependent upon a number of different experimental factors. Perhaps most importantly, the IC$_{50}$ of competitive compounds is directly related to the concentration of agonist used to stimulate the system. In addition, where IC$_{50}s$ are determined for compounds targeting intracellular binding sites, the membrane permeability of
compounds can have a significant impact on observed IC\textsubscript{50} values, with poorly permeable compounds having much higher cellular IC\textsubscript{50}s than their K\textsubscript{i}s measured against soluble purified catalytic domains. The observation that the cellular IC\textsubscript{50}s observed in this study are similar to the K\textsubscript{D} values reported for soluble catalytic domain (Gotink and Verheul, 2010; Davis \textit{et al.}, 2011) suggests that these compounds are not competitive with VEGF and also readily cross the plasma membrane to access the intracellular binding site.

Sorafenib (-25.0 ± 2.6%, n=5) and vandetanib (-23.0 ± 3.7%, n=5) both caused a significant inhibition below constitutive (basal) activity (P<0.05, paired T test, Figure 3.3 a, d). This may indicate that sorafenib and vandetanib have off target effects at higher concentrations. Cediranib and pazopanib did not show a marked inhibition below basal (Figure 3.3 b, c). However, when cediranib was incubated with unstimulated cells, an inhibition of basal readings was seen (Figure 3.4). This suggests that the inhibition below basal seen in these experiments is caused by off-target effects.
Figure 3.2 The effect of selected RTKIs on VEGF₁₆₅ stimulated firefly luciferase production in VEGFR2 NFAT cells in suspension (confluent method). VEGFR2 NFAT cells were pre-treated with vandetanib (n=1) (a), pazopanib (n=1) (b), cediranib (n=1) (c) and sorafenib (n=1) (d) for 1 h at 37°C/5% CO₂ before treatment with +1 nM VEGF₁₆₅ for 5 h at 37°C/5% CO₂. Data shows 1 representative experiment. Data are displayed as mean ± SEM. Each individual experiment was performed in quadruplicate. Student paired T test of basal value versus RTKI highest concentration showed vandetanib and sorafenib significantly inhibited constitutive activity.
Figure 3.3 The effect of selected RTKIs on VEGF$_{165}$ stimulated firefly luciferase production in VEGFR2 NFAT cells in suspension (confluent method). VEGFR2 NFAT cells were pre-treated with vandetanib (n=5) (a), pazopanib (n=5) (b), cediranib (n=5) (c) and sorafenib (n=5) (d) for 1 h at 37°C/ 5% CO$_2$ before treatment with +1 nM VEGF$_{165}$ for 5 h at 37°C/ 5% CO$_2$. Data have been pooled and normalised to the corresponding basal and 1 nM VEGF$_{165}$ controls. Data are displayed as mean ± SEM. Each individual experiment was performed in quadruplicate. Student paired T test of basal value versus RTKI highest concentration showed vandetanib and sorafenib significantly inhibited constitutive activity.
Figure 3.4. The effect of cediranib on basal NFAT-luciferase Production. VEGFR2 NFAT cells were pre-treated with cediranib for 1 h at 37°C/5% CO₂ before treatment with 10 μl DMEM+0.1% BSA for 5 h at 37°C/5% CO₂. Data shown in panel a. is representative of 1 experiment, whereas data shown in panel b. shows n=5 experiments which have been pooled and normalised to the basal response. Each individual experiment was performed in quadruplicate. Data are displayed as mean ± SEM.
Table 3.2 RTKI pIC\textsubscript{50} values (negative log of the IC\textsubscript{50} (mol/L)) obtained from VEGF\textsubscript{165}-mediated NFAT responses versus published pK\textsubscript{D} values (negative log of the K\textsubscript{D} (mol/L)) from purified kinase domains. VEGFR2 NFAT cells were treated with each RTKI + 1 nM VEGF\textsubscript{165}. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM of n separate experiments *pK\textsubscript{D} values were taken from Davis et al., 2011 (Davis et al., 2011).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RTKI</th>
<th>VEGF\textsubscript{165} pIC\textsubscript{50} mean\pm SEM (suspension)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Reported binding pK\textsubscript{D} for purified kinase domain.*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cediranib</td>
<td>9.13\pm0.01</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pazopanib</td>
<td>8.25\pm0.03</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorafenib</td>
<td>8.01\pm0.06</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vandetanib</td>
<td>6.72\pm0.03</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All four RTKIs inhibited VEGF\textsubscript{165}-mediated NFAT activation in a non-competitive manner. This was demonstrated by the significant reduction in VEGF\textsubscript{165} E\textsubscript{max} coupled with a relatively small shift in pEC\textsubscript{50} with increasing concentrations of each RTKI (Figure 3.5, Table 3.3). Each RTKI demonstrated a significant decrease in E\textsubscript{max} (P<0.05, two-way ANOVA) between concentrations. VEGF\textsubscript{165} in the presence of the highest concentration of each RTKI showed a significant change in pEC\textsubscript{50} compared to VEGF\textsubscript{165} alone (P<0.05, two-way ANOVA).
Figure 3.5 The effect of varying concentrations of RTKIs on VEGF\textsubscript{165}-stimulated firefly luciferase production in VEGFR2 NFAT cells. VEGFR2 NFAT cells were treated with 10 nM VEGF\textsubscript{165} and varying concentrations of vandetanib (a), pazopanib (b), cediranib (c) and sorafenib (d). Data have been pooled and normalised to the corresponding basal and 10 nM VEGF\textsubscript{165} controls. Data displayed as mean ± SEM. Each individual experiment was performed in quadruplicate and repeated n=6 (cediranib), 5 (pazopanib), 7 (sorafenib) and 5 (vandetanib) times separately. Between group two-way ANOVA with dunnet’s post hoc analysis was performed on $E_{\text{max}}$ and $EC_{50}$ values.
Table 3.3 The effect of pre-incubation with RTKI on VEGF₁₆₅-induced NFAT production. pEC$\textsubscript{50}$ and E$\textsubscript{max}$ values for VEGF₁₆₅ were obtained in the presence of increasing concentrations of 4 RTKIs. Data shown are mean ± SEM. Each individual experiment was performed in quadruplicate and repeated n=6 (cediranib), 5 (pazopanib), 7 (sorafenib) and 5 (vandetanib) times separately. * P<0.05 compared to corresponding control in the absence of RTKI (between group two-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s post-hoc analysis).
3.3.2 Characterisation of the VEGF$_{165b}$ Mediated NFAT Luciferase Response, in the presence and absence of RTKIs

In comparison to VEGF$_{165}$, VEGF$_{165b}$ was shown to be a lower efficacy agonist at VEGFR2 (Figure 3.6). VEGF$_{165b}$ led to a concentration dependent NFAT-mediated luciferase production and had a pEC$_{50}$ of 9.21 ± 0.08 (n=5). When normalised to the 30 nM VEGF$_{165}$ response, VEGF$_{165b}$ had an $E_{\text{max}}$ of 62.1 ± 1.2% (n=5). As the EC$_{50}$ values demonstrated by VEGF$_{165}$ and VEGF$_{165b}$ were similar, the data shown here suggest that although the efficacy between these two endogenous ligands is different, their potency is not.

As with VEGF$_{165}$, the four RTKIs inhibited VEGF$_{165b}$ stimulation of the NFAT response element (Table 3.4). pIC$_{50}$ values for the four RTKIs in respect to inhibition of VEGF$_{165b}$ signalling, were similar to those seen when using VEGF$_{165}$ stimulation (Table 3.2, Table 3.4).
Figure 3.6 Characterisation of the VEGF$_{165b}$-mediated NFAT luciferase response. VEGFR2 NFAT cells were treated with increasing concentrations of VEGF$_{165}$ or VEGF$_{165b}$ in order to investigate isoform specific differences in NFAT production (n=5) (a). VEGFR2 NFAT cells were also pre-treated with increasing concentrations of cediranib (1 h at 37°C/5% CO$_2$) followed by stimulation with 3 nM VEGF$_{165b}$ (5 h at 37°C/5% CO$_2$) (n=5) (b). Data have been pooled and normalised to the corresponding basal and 30 nM VEGF$_{165}$ controls except for the data in b that have been normalised to 3 nM VEGF$_{165b}$. Data are displayed as mean ± SEM. Each individual experiment was performed in quadruplicate.
Table 3.4 Inhibition of 3 nM VEGF<sub>165</sub>b by four representative RTKIs. VEGFR2 NFAT cells were treated with increasing concentrations of each RTKI and 3 nM VEGF<sub>165</sub>b. Data were presented as mean ± SEM of n separate experiments. Each individual experiment was performed in triplicate (vandetanib and sorafenib) or quadruplicate (cediranib and pazopanib). Individually fitted pIC<sub>50</sub> values were obtained from each individual experiment and then pooled to provide the mean ± SEM data provided here.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RTKI</th>
<th>Inhibition of 3nM VEGF&lt;sub&gt;165&lt;/sub&gt;b pIC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cediranib</td>
<td>9.38±0.07</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pazopanib</td>
<td>8.29±0.10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorafenib</td>
<td>7.96±0.04</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vandetanib</td>
<td>7.00±0.04</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4 Discussion

In order to investigate the potency of vandetanib, sorafenib, cediranib and pazopanib at VEGFR2 in living cells, an NFAT reporter gene assay was used (Voon et al., 2005). This also allowed for the quantitative analysis of RTKIs in the presence of VEGF₁₆₅ and VEGF₁₆₅b and for a comparison of VEGF₁₆₅ and VEGF₁₆₅b-mediated NFAT stimulation.

3.4.1 Methodology

Cells were treated either in a non-confluent monolayer (using protocol 1: Section 3.2.1) or in suspension (using protocol 2: Section 3.2.2). In experiments where cells were allowed to adhere to the base of the well, a large SEM and a low signal: basal noise ratio between and within experiments was seen (Figure 3.1c, d). However, when cells were grown to full confluence in a T75 flask and then used in suspension during the experimental procedure (Figure 3.1 a, b), the error within and between experiments was reduced. One reason for this difference may be the difference in cell cycle stage. Cells which have been cultured and maintained at 100% confluence (labelled here as suspension) are more likely to be in a state of quiescence (G0), or senescence (G1/S) where the cell is in a resting state and not preparing for mitosis (Patel et al., 2005; Yoon and Seger, 2006), therefore the production and secretion of growth factors is reduced (Zhang et al., 2004). Autocrine and paracrine signalling from growth factors produced by cells which are growing or preparing to go through mitosis would lead to a high basal level of bioluminescence (as seen in non-confluent monolayer experiments, Figure 3.1 c, d).
3.4.2 Characterisation of the VEGF₁₆₅ and VEGF₁₆₅b Mediated NFAT Luciferase Response

Both VEGF₁₆₅ and VEGF₁₆₅b were able to stimulate NFAT-mediated luciferase expression in a concentration dependent manner (Figure 3.6). Although both isoforms had very similar pEC₅₀ values (VEGF₁₆₅: 9.66 ± 0.05 vs VEGF₁₆₅b: 9.21 ± 0.08), VEGF₁₆₅b was a partial agonist of NFAT-luciferase production eliciting a maximal response that was 61.94% ± 2.26% (mean ± SEM) of that achieved by VEGF₁₆₅. The reduced efficacy of VEGF₁₆₅b at VEGFR2 compared with VEGF₁₆₅ had been previously reported by Woolard et al. and others (Woolard et al., 2004; Cebe Suarez et al., 2006; Kawamura et al., 2008; Catena et al., 2010) and is thought to be caused by differences between the protein structure of VEGF₁₆₅ and VEGF₁₆₅b (Chapter 1, Section 1.2).

Another reason for the difference in the reported efficacy of VEGF₁₆₅b at VEGFR2 compared to VEGF₁₆₅ may be due to the cell type tested and/or the signalling cascade measured in the experiment. It has previously been shown that VEGF₁₆₅b is a lower efficacy agonist of VEGFR2-mediated MAPK phosphorylation in CHO cells transfected with VEGFR2 (Woolard et al., 2004). However, VEGF₁₆₅b showed greater efficacy at VEGFR2 compared to VEGF₁₆₅ at the same signalling pathway in human microvascular ECs (Woolard et al., 2004). This difference may be due to differences in receptor expression, downstream transcription machinery and/or receptor coupling efficiency between cell phenotypes.
3.4.3 The Action of RTKIs on the VEGF\textsubscript{165} and VEGF\textsubscript{165b} Mediated NFAT Luciferase Response

The 4 RTKIs tested here (cediranib, vandetanib, sorafenib and pazopanib) demonstrated the ability to inhibit VEGFR2-NFAT signalling mediated by VEGF\textsubscript{165} and VEGF\textsubscript{165b}. There was little difference in pIC\textsubscript{50} values for each RTKI between the two VEGF isoforms. As the binding target for all of the RTKIs tested is different from the binding site of VEGF\textsubscript{165} and VEGF\textsubscript{165b} (intracellular kinase domain of the receptor vs Ig domain 2 and Ig domain 3 on the extracellular portion of the receptor) their ability to inhibit VEGFR2, regardless of ligand subtype (i.e. VEGF\textsubscript{165} or VEGF\textsubscript{165b}) may be due to their allosteric action (Zhang \textit{et al.}, 2009; Dosch and Ballmer-Hofer, 2010; Gotink and Verheul, 2010; Leppanen \textit{et al.}, 2010; Davis \textit{et al.}, 2011). This result also suggests that these RTKIs have lipophilic properties, allowing them to diffuse through the cell membrane and bind to the intracellular portion of the receptor in a whole cell system. Their ability to readily cross the cell membrane is further implied by the similarity between the IC\textsubscript{50} values gained here and Davis’ K\textsubscript{D} values calculated from isolated catalytic domains (Table 3.2) (Gotink and Verheul, 2010; Davis \textit{et al.}, 2011).

Sorafenib and vandetanib both caused a significant inhibition (below basal) of VEGF\textsubscript{165} NFAT-luciferase activation (P<0.05, paired T test; Figure 3.3.a, d). This was also seen for cediranib, (P<0.05, paired T test; Figure 3.6.b) with VEGF\textsubscript{165b}-mediated NFAT-luciferase activation. When cediranib was incubated with unstimulated cells it produced an inhibition of the basal RLU reading (Figure 3.4). Therefore, it is likely that RTKI inhibition below basal is due to the multi-targeted
nature of these RTKIs, allowing them to reduce basal NFAT activity via a different tyrosine kinase system.

All of the RTKIs tested demonstrated classical non-competitive antagonism of VEGFR2 (a significant decrease in $E_{\text{max}}$ without a marked change in VEGF$_{165}$/VEGF$_{165b}$ EC$_{50}$ (Figure 3.5)), an outcome that is likely to be due to the difference in ligand and drug receptor binding sites. A decrease in $E_{\text{max}}$ occurs when an antagonist, in this case an RTKI, is able to remove a proportion of the signalling receptor population from the system, reducing the ability for the agonist (in this case VEGF) to produce a full response, regardless of concentration, resulting in the EC$_{50}$ remaining relatively constant.

3.4.4 Conclusion

In conclusion, cediranib, sorafenib, pazopanib and vandetanib have been shown to non-competitively (Figure 3.5) inhibit VEGF$_{165}$- and VEGF$_{165b}$–VEGFR2 mediated activation of the NFAT-luciferase reporter gene, demonstrating nano-molar IC$_{50}$ values (Table 3.2, Table 3.4). Finally, both VEGF$_{165}$ and VEGF$_{165b}$ were shown to stimulate VEGFR2-mediated NFAT-luciferase activation; however the efficacy of VEGF$_{165b}$ at VEGFR2 was lower than VEGF$_{165}$ (Figure 3.6).

Based on the data discussed in this Chapter, vandetanib and pazopanib, two class I RTKIs with different IC$_{50}$ values were selected to be characterised in vivo. By being able to relate the inhibition of VEGFR2 by pazopanib and vandetanib in vitro to the hypertensive side effect profile of vandetanib and pazopanib in vivo, the importance of VEGF signalling in VEGF RTKI induced hypertension can be further explored.
Chapter 4: The *In Vivo* Cardiovascular Actions of Vandetanib
4.1 Introduction

Approximately 23%, up to as many as 90%, of the patient populations taking VEGF therapies develop hypertension or experience a worsening in their existing hypertensive condition (Hamberg et al., 2010; La Vine et al., 2010; Aparicio-Gallego et al., 2011; Bible et al., 2014). The development and escalation of pre-existing hypertension in these patient populations has been linked to multiple severe complications including venous or arterial thrombo-embolisms, acute heart failure, proteinuria, haemorrhage and reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome (Govindarajan et al., 2006; Chu et al., 2007; Pouessel and Ciline, 2008; Eschenhagen et al., 2011). A deeper understanding of the acute and chronic hypertensive effects of RTKIs may help to elucidate the physiological sequelae responsible.

With this in mind, the hypertensive effect of vandetanib, a VEGFR2, VEGFR3, EGFR and RET kinase inhibitor (see Section 1.3.1.1) approved for the treatment of medullary thyroid cancer, was explored in the rat. Regular (1 min recording every 15 min) recordings of heart rate and mean arterial blood pressure were taken with the intention of exploring the long term effects of vandetanib (21 day treatment; 25 mgkg^{-1}day^{-1} i.p) on blood pressure and heart rate. The post-treatment effects of vandetanib (measured for 10 days post-treatment) were also analysed with the aim of investigating whether vandetanib-induced cardiovascular physiological changes, such as vessel rarefaction.

Mesenteric mesothelium were taken from rats involved in the study described above and stained for ECs with the hope of better understanding the effects of vandetanib on vessel
structure over time. It is currently thought that a key mechanism by which VEGF RTKIs, such as vandetanib, cause hypertension is vascular rarefaction (Small et al., 2014). Vascular rarefaction is the process of vessel regression, reducing the density of the microvascular network and therefore increasing blood pressure (Feihl et al., 2006; see Section 1.2.5). Rarefaction has previously been described in in vivo models of hypertension, within multiple tissue types such as the mesentery, cremaster muscle, skin and brain showing these vascular changes (Triantafyllou et al., 2015). Rarefaction has also been implicated as a possible cause of VEGF RTKI induced hypertension, with Steeghs et al demonstrating a reduction in capillary density in humans during a phase I trial for telatanib, a VEGFR2, VEGFR3 and PDGFR-β RTKI (Steeghs et al., 2008; Mross et al., 2011).

In the study presented herein, the regional haemodynamic effects of vandetanib on heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure and mesenteric, renal and hindquarter vascular conductances over a period of 4 days were also investigated. Although it is widely known that VEGF RTKIs cause hypertension in humans (Lankhorst et al., 2015; Abi Aad et al., 2015; Kruzliak et al., 2014), there has been little or no investigation into the regional haemodynamic effects of these compounds in either animal models or human subjects. Understanding how vandetanib affects blood flow to specific vascular beds may help to elucidate some of the physiological processes responsible for the wide range of side effects caused by vandetanib.
4.2 Methodology

4.2.1 Time Course of the Effects of Vandetanib on Cardiovascular Variables, Measured By Radio-Telemetry

Rats were implanted with radio-telemetry devices as described in Chapter 2.4. Ten days post-surgical implantation of the radio-telemetry device, mean arterial blood pressure and heart rate were monitored and recorded for 1 min, every 15 min, for 9 days. Rats were randomly assigned to be administered 0.5 ml of either 25 mg kg⁻¹day⁻¹ vandetanib i.p (Sequoia research products, SRP0098v), diluted in vehicle (2% Tween, 5% propylene glycol in 0.9% saline solution) (n=7) or vehicle (n=5) for 21 days. A post-treatment monitoring period of 10 days was observed (Figure 4.1).

Figure 4.1 Schematic diagram of the vandetanib 25 mg kg⁻¹day⁻¹ i.p radio-telemetric experimental timeline.

4.2.1.1 Statistical Analysis

All data have been expressed as mean ± SEM with significance being accepted at P<0.05. A Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test was performed to test for normality. This test showed data was not normally distributed. A non-parametric, two-tailed within group comparison (Friedman’s test) was performed to determine if any changes seen from the baseline value were significant. A non-parametric between group two tailed comparison (Mann Whitney-U test) was performed to analyse whether differences between test groups were significant. This was done using Graphpad prism 6.00.
4.2.2 The Action of Vandetanib on Mesenteric Vessel Structure Following In Vivo Dosing For 21 Days and a Post-Treatment Period of 10 Days

On the final day of the telemetric study, as described in Section 2.3, rats were killed with 50 mg kg\(^{-1}\) pentobarbitone i.p and the mesentery was removed (as detailed in Section 2.4). The mesentery was washed with PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (pH 7.4) before 4 mesenteric membranous panels were isolated, washed in PBX (0.5% Triton-X100 in PBS for 1 h) and incubated in 1% BSA-PBX for 1 h. Mesenteric panels were then incubated over night at 4 \(^\circ\)C with 10 \(\mu\)g ml\(^{-1}\) biotinylated Isolectin GS-IB4 (from *Griffonia simplicifolia*; Molecular Probes), washed with PBX for 1 h and incubated with 1 \(\mu\)g ml\(^{-1}\) TRITC-labelled streptavidin (S-870 Molecular Probes) for 2 h to stain ECs. Mesenteric panels were washed with PBX for 1 h and then incubated with Hoechst (2 \(\mu\)g ml\(^{-1}\) diluted in BSA-PBX 30 min), to stain for cell nuclei, before being mounted onto APES coated microscopy slides with fluorescence mounting media.

4.2.2.1 Data Analysis

Images (16 bit) were taken on a Zeiss Axio observer Z1 using a Hamamatsu Orca flash 4 C11440 HD camera and an EC-Plan neofluor 10x/-0.3 ph1 objective. Images were analysed using Angioutil 0.6b (Zudaire et al., 2011). All analysis was blinded. Data were collated and plotted to show mean ± SEM using Microsoft Excel 2010 and GraphPad Prism 6.00. Statistical significance was determined using an unpaired one tailed Mann Whitney-U test (P<0.05).
4.2.3 Regional Haemodynamic Effects of Vandetanib Measured by Pulsed Doppler Flowmetry (i.v)

Rats were implanted with miniature pulsed Doppler flow probes and intra-vascular catheters as described in Section 2.6. Starting 24 h after catheter surgery, animals were randomly assigned to be administered with a 0.4 ml priming bolus dose of vandetanib (Sequoia research products, SRP0098v) at 12.5 mgkg⁻¹h⁻¹ (n=6), 25 mgkg⁻¹h⁻¹ (n=6) or vehicle (2% Tween 80, 5% propylene glycol in 0.9% saline) (n=6) i.v infused over 6 min once every 24 h. 0.4 ml of vandetanib or vehicle was then immediately infused over 45 min at a concentration of 12.5 mgkg⁻¹h⁻¹. Cardiovascular variables were recorded continuously for 4.5 h per day over a 4-day period (Figure 4.2).

![Figure 4.2 Schematic diagram of the vandetanib i.v Pulsed Doppler Flowmetry experimental timeline.](image)

4.2.4 Regional Haemodynamic Effects of Vandetanib Measured by Pulsed Doppler Flowmetry (i.p)

Rats were implanted with miniature pulsed Doppler flow probes and intra-vascular catheters as described in Section 2.6. Starting 24 h after catheter surgery, animals were randomly assigned to be administered with a 0.5 ml bolus dose of vandetanib (Sequoia research products, SRP0098v) at 12.5 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ (n=8), 25 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ (n=8), 50 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ (n=8) or vehicle (n=8) (2% Tween 80, 5% propylene glycol in 0.9% saline), i.p once every 24 h.
Cardiovascular variables were recorded continuously for 4.5 h per day over a 4-day period (Figure 4.3).

Figure 4.3 Schematic diagram of the vandetanib i.p Pulsed Doppler Flowmetry experimental timeline.

4.2.4.1 Statistical Analysis

All data have been expressed as mean ± SEM with significance being accepted at P<0.05. A Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test was performed to test for normality. This test showed data was not normally distributed. A non-parametric, two-tailed within group analysis (Friedman’s test) was performed to determine if changes seen from baseline values were significant. Non-parametric between group two tailed comparisons (Mann Whitney (for 2 groups) and Kruskal Wallis (more than 2 groups) were performed to test for significant differences between groups. All statistical analyses were performed using Biomedical version 3.4 (Nottingham, UK).
4.3 Results

4.3.1 Time Course of Effects of Vandetanib on Cardiovascular Variables Measured By Radio-Telemetry

Effects of vandetanib on cardiovascular variables measured by radio-telemetry are shown on Figure 4.1, Figure 4.2, Figure 4.3, Figure 4.4, Table 4.1 and Table 4.2.

4.3.1.1 Mean Arterial Blood Pressure

Mean arterial blood pressure remained steady throughout the 9 day pre-treatment period in both the vandetanib and vehicle groups, mean arterial blood pressure did not change significantly throughout the study for the vehicle group (Figure 4.4). In rats administered vandetanib (25 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹) i.p., mean arterial blood pressure increased significantly by 4.5 ± 0.3 mmHg (P<0.05, Friedman) during the first 24 h of the treatment period (Figure 4.4, Table 4.1). This pressor effect was maintained throughout the remainder of the dosing period. However, when the peak plasma concentration time range (4-8 h post-dosing) (Ton et al., 2013) was analysed (see Section 1.3.1.1 for more detail), a significant increase of +13.8 ± 2.6 mmHg from baseline was seen on day 2 of treatment (Figure 4.4, Table 4.1). This finding was mirrored when the data were analysed in 3 h time slots (P<0.05, Friedman comparison against mean daytime (06:00-18:00) baseline data) (Figure 4.4). The rise in mean arterial blood pressure (mmHg) was significantly different from the vehicle group (P<0.05, Mann Whitney; Figure 4.1) and was maintained throughout the 21 day dosing period (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 4.4, Table 4.1).
During the post-dosing observation period, mean arterial blood pressure decreased from $+10.9 \pm 0.4$ mmHg to $+8.4 \pm 0.6$ mmHg (25 mg kg$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ i.p) on the 7th day after treatment (Figure 4.4, Table 4.1). The decrease was maintained for the remainder of the post dosing period (Figure 4.4, Table 4.1). The steady decline in mean arterial blood pressure over the 10 day post-dosing observation period can be seen in more detail in Figure 4.4d., which shows the final day of treatment followed by the 10 day post-treatment observation period analysed in 3 h time slots. At the end of the study (Day 10 post-treatment period), there was no significant difference between the vandetanib and vehicle groups. The vandetanib treatment group had a mean arterial blood pressure of $+4.4 \pm 0.4$ mmHg, whereas the vehicle group had a mean arterial blood pressure of $+2.4 \pm 0.4$ mmHg above baseline (Table 4.1). Of interest a daytime/night-time increase and decrease in mean arterial blood pressure can be clearly seen in Figure 4.4c, d. This was further explored in Figure 4.5.
Figure 4.4. Mean arterial blood pressure of male Sprague Dawley rats dosed with vandetanib 25 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) i.p (n=7) vs vehicle (n=5). Data displayed as mean ± SEM. Data were analysed and displayed in 24 hour bins (a), 4-8 h after dosing (b) or in 3 h bins, separated into the last day prior to dosing and the first 4 days of dosing (c) or the last day of dosing followed by the 10 day post-treatment period (d). Red line- vandetanib 25 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) i.p, black line- vehicle i.p

#P<0.05 Mann Whitney between group comparison

*P<0.05 Friedman test with data points compared against the mean of the 9 day pre-treatment period (a, b) or against the mean daytime (06:00-18:00) (orange*) and mean nighttime (18:00-06:00) (black*) values (c, d). Arrows show dosing times (c, d)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>24 h Vehicle</th>
<th>24 h Vandetanib 25 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹</th>
<th>4-8 h Post-treatment vehicle</th>
<th>4-8 h Post-treatment vandetanib 25 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 10</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 11</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 12</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 13</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 14</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 16</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 17</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 18</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 19</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 20</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 21</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 10</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1 Mean ± SEM change from baseline (defined as the mean value of the 9 day pre-treatment period) mean arterial blood pressure of male Sprague Dawley rats dosed with vandetanib 25 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ i.p (n=7) vs vehicle (n=5). Data were analysed and displayed in 24 h bins (left) or 4-8 h Sections after dosing (right). Black baseline: average of 9 days pre-treatment period; blue text: treatment period; red text: post-treatment period.
Due to the daytime/night-time pattern seen in the mean arterial blood pressure recordings (Figure 4.4d) the data were analysed in two 12 h slots (06:00-18:00 h or 18:00-06:00 h) (Figure 4.5). Changes in mean arterial blood pressure in response to vandetanib (25 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) i.p) or vehicle, i.p followed the same trend (pre-, during- and post-treatment) as the data presented in Figure 4.4a, b (analysed over 24 h or 4-8 h post-dosing). The average mean arterial blood pressure was higher during the night-time throughout the study (Figure 4.5).
Figure 4.5 Mean arterial blood pressure of male Sprague Dawley rats dosed with vandetanib 25 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ i.p (n=7) vs vehicle (n=5). Data displayed are mean ± SEM. Data were analysed and displayed in 12 h bins, separated into (a) daytime (06:00-18:00) or (b) night-time (18:00-06:00). Red line- vandetanib 25 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ i.p, black line- vehicle i.p #P<0.05 Mann Whitney between group comparision *-P<0.05 Friedman test with data points compared against the mean 9 day pre-treatment period.
4.3.1.2 Heart Rate

In the vehicle group, heart rate was significantly higher compared to the vandetanib group prior to vandetanib administration (Figure 4.6). Over the 21 day treatment period, and during the 10 day post-treatment period, heart rate in the vehicle group gradually reduced such that by the end of the study it was -40 ± 3 BPM lower than at the start (Table 4.2).

The pattern of heart rate change was similar in the vandetanib group to that seen in the vehicle-treated group (Figure 4.6, Table 4.2).

The average heart rate (throughout the study) was lower in the vehicle group in the 4-8 h post-dosing data analysis (330 ± 2 BPM) compared to the 24 h analysis (366 ± 2 BPM (Table 4.2)). There was a similar reduction in heart rate in the vandetanib group (25 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹, i.p). The average heart rate, over the entire study, was approximately 320 ± 1 BPM (4-8 h post-dosing) compared to 358 ± 1 BPM (24 h analysis (Figure 4.6, Table 4.2)) in the vandetanib group.

There was a significant difference between the vehicle and vandetanib groups (P<0.05, Mann Whitney; Figure 4.6) during the last day of baseline recording and the first 4 days of treatment, however, there was no significant difference between groups in the last day of treatment and 10 days post-treatment observation period (Mann Whitney). There were no significant changes in heart rate in the vehicle or vandetanib groups during the last 10 days of dosing (Friedman compared to the last 24 h of dosing) (Figure 4.6).
Figure 4.6 Mean heart rate of male Sprague Dawley rats dosed with vandetanib 25 mg kg$^{-1}$day$^{-1}$ i.p (n=7) vs vehicle (n=5). Data are displayed as mean ± SEM. Data were analysed and displayed in 24 h bins (a), 4-8 h after dosing (b) or in 3 h bins, separated into the last day prior to dosing and the first 4 days of dosing (c) or the last day of dosing followed by a 10 day post-treatment period (d). Red line shows data obtained with vandetanib 25 mg kg$^{-1}$day$^{-1}$ i.p Black line shows the vehicle control i.p. #P<0.05 Mann Whitney between group comparison *-P<0.05 Friedman test with data points compared against the mean of the 9 day pre-treatment period (a, b) or against the mean daytime (06:00-18:00 h) (blue*) and mean night-time (18:00-06:00 h) (black*) values (c, d). Arrows show dosing times (c, d)
Table 4.2 Mean ± SEM change from baseline (defined as the mean value of the 9 day pre-treatment period) heart rate of male Sprague Dawley rats dosed with vandetanib 25 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) i.p (n=7) vs vehicle (n=5). Data displayed are mean ± SEM. Data were analysed and displayed in 24 h bins (left) or 4-8 h Bins after dosing (right). Black baseline: average of 9 days pre-treatment period; blue text: treatment period; red text: post-treatment period.
A daytime/night-time elevation and reduction in heart rate can be clearly seen in Figure 4.6c, d. Therefore data were analysed in as two 12 h slots (06:00-18:00 h or 18:00-16:00 h) (Figure 4.7). Changes in heart rate in response to vandetanib (25 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹, i.p) or vehicle i.p followed the same trend (pre-, during- and post-treatment) as the data presented in Figure 4.6a, b. (analysed over 24 h or 4-8 h post-treatment). However there was an average difference of 52 BPM between the daytime (Figure 4.7.a.) and night-time (Figure 4.7b) data, with the night-time heart rate being higher throughout the study (Figure 4.7).
Figure 4.7 Mean heart rate of male Sprague Dawley rats dosed with vandetanib 25 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ i.p (n=7) vs vehicle i.p (n=5). Data displayed as Mean ± SEM. Data were analysed and displayed in 12 h bins from 06:00-18:00 h (a) or from 18:00-06:00 h (b). Red line- vandetanib 25 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹, i.p, black line- vehicle i.p #-P<0.05 Mann Whitney between group comparision *-P<0.05 Friedman test with data points compared against the mean of the 9 day pre-treatment period (a, b)
4.3.2 The Effects of Vandetanib on Mesenteric Vessel Structure Following In Vivo Dosing For 21 Days and a Post-treatment Treatment Period of 10 Days

In order to explore the possibility of structural vascular changes following treatment with vandetanib, mesenteric capillary beds were collected at the end of the experiment, stained for the presence of isolectin-B4, an EC marker, and evaluated for vessel length and the number of vessel junctions.

Mesenteric capillary beds taken from rats treated with vandetanib (25 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹, i.p) showed a trend towards reduction in total vessel length and total vessel junction number compared to those taken from the vehicle-treated group (Figure 4.8, Figure 4.9, Figure 4.10), however this trend was not significant (P>0.05 Student T test). A reduction in total vessel length and total vessel junction number is suggestive of vessel rarefaction (Small et al., 2014).
Figure 4.8 Representative images of the mesenteric vasculature of male Sprague Dawley rats treated with vandetanib 25 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1} day\textsuperscript{-1}, i.p or vehicle i.p for 21 days before a 10 day post-treatment observation period. The mesentery was harvested and stained for cell nuclei (images in blue), and Isolectin-B4 (a marker of ECs) (images in red). Images were analysed using AngioTool 6.0b. AngioTool 6.0b vessel tracking overlay is shown on the right, with red lines indicating vessel structure and blue dots indicating vessel junctions.
Figure 4.9 Total mesenteric vessel length (mm) in Sprague Dawley rats treated with vandetanib 25 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) i.p or vehicle i.p for 21 days before a 10 day post-treatment period. The mesentery was harvested and stained for cell nuclei and Isolectin-B4 (EC marker). 4 mesenteric panels were taken from each rat (n=3 rats for both groups). These data were pooled to give mean total vessel length of treated (red bar) and vehicle (black bar) groups (a). Individual rat data (mean of 4 mesenteric panels per animal) for treated (red bars) and vehicle (black bars) groups is shown in (b). Data displayed as mean ± SEM. Between group unpair Student T test showed no significant difference, P>0.05 (a).
Figure 4.10 Total vessel junction number in Sprague Dawleys treated with vandetanib 25 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹, i.p or vehicle i.p for 21 days before a 10 day post-treatment period. The mesentery was harvested and stained for cell nuclei and Isolectin-B4 (EC marker). 4 mesenteric panels were taken from each rat (n=3 rats for both groups). These data were pooled to give total vessel junction number mean total vessel junction number of treated (blue bar) and vehicle (black bar) groups (a). Individual rat data (mean of 4 mesenteric panels per animal) for treated (blue bars) and vehicle (black bars) groups are shown in (b). Data shown as mean ± SEM. Between group unpair Student T test showed no significant difference, P>0.05 (a).
4.3.3 Regional Haemodynamic Effects of Vandetanib Measured by Pulsed Doppler Flowmetry (i.v)

The cardiovascular effects of vandetanib (12.5 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) or 25 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\)) or vehicle administered i.v are shown in Figure 4.11 and Table 4.3.

Prior to administration of vandetanib on day 1 of the experiment, there were no significant differences between any of the experimental groups (Table 4.3).

In rats given vehicle, there were no significant changes in heart rate and small rise in blood pressure compared to baseline (P<0.05, Friedman) at 24-28 h, followed by a decrease from 48 h onwards with the exception of the 51 h and 72 h time points, compared to baseline (Figure 4.11). Renal vascular conductance showed small decreases towards the end of the study compared to baseline (P<0.05, Friedman) at 51, 52, 75 and 76 h. There was no significant change in mesenteric vascular conductance compared to baseline. Hindquarters vascular conductance showed a reduction at 24-28 h (P<0.05, Friedman) and then increased at 48-52 h (P<0.05, Friedman) compared to baseline (Figure 4.11).

In rats administered 12.5 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) vandetanib i.v, there were no significant changes in heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure or hindquarters vascular conductance compared to baseline (Figure 4.11). However, there was a gradual reduction in renal vascular conductance, compared to baseline, which was significant from 48 h onwards (Figure 4.11). Mesenteric vascular conductance showed an early, transient fall at 1-4 h (P<0.05, Friedman) and then a later, more persistent, significant decrease from 48 h onwards, with the exception of
the 72 h time point in comparison to baseline (P<0.05, Friedman).

The heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure, and the 3 vascular conductance measurements for 12.5 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ vandetanib i.v group were not significantly different (P>0.05, Mann Whitney) from the corresponding vehicle i.v measurements (Figure 4.11).

In rats given 25 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ vandetanib i.v, there was a gradual, modest decrease in heart rate at 50 h, 52 h and 76 h (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 4.11b.) compared to baseline. Mean arterial blood pressure significantly increased at 25-28 h and 49 h, 50 h, 51 h, 73 h and 74 h compared to baseline (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 4.11). No significant changes in renal vascular conductance were seen throughout the study (Figure 4.11), but there were significant decreases in mesenteric vascular conductance and hindquarters vascular conductance compared to baseline (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 4.11). The heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure, and the 3 vascular conductance measurements for 25 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ vandetanib i.v group were not significantly different (P>0.05, Mann Whitney) from the corresponding vehicle i.v measurements (Figure 4.11).

The 25 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ vandetanib i.v and 12.5 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ vandetanib i.v were not significantly different in any of the cardiovascular variables measured (P<0.05, Mann Whitney) (Figure 4.11).
Figure 4.11 Regional haemodynamic effects of vandetanib in conscious male Sprague-Dawley rats following i.v administration of vandetanib at 12.5 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ (a, n=6), 25 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ (b, n=6) or vehicle (a, b, n=6). Data shown (mean ± SEM) illustrate mean arterial blood pressure, heart rate and regional changes in vascular conductance over the entire study period (4 days). Open circle- vandetanib 12.5 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ i.v (a.) or vandetanib 25 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ i.v (b.), closed circle- vehicle i.v (a., b.). #-p<0.05 Mann Whitney between group comparision*+-p<0.05 Friedman test with data points compared against baseline (defined as 0 h on day 1 (before treatment took place). Δ-change from baseline.
Table 4.3 Resting (day 1 at least 30 min prior to drug administration) cardiovascular variables prior to the administration of vandetanib or vehicle i.v (n=6). Representative measurements were taken in the 30 min prior to i.v administration. Data displayed as mean ± SEM. HR: heart rate, MAP: mean arterial blood pressure, DS: Doppler shift, VC: vascular conductance. Mann Whitney between group comparision showed no significant differences between resting variables, P>0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vehicle (i.v.)</th>
<th>12.5 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ Vandetanib (i.v.)</th>
<th>25 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ Vandetanib (i.v.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats min⁻¹)</td>
<td>345±11</td>
<td>361±19</td>
<td>365±16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>99±2</td>
<td>106±3</td>
<td>104±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal DS (KHz)</td>
<td>8.3±0.6</td>
<td>9.2±1.2</td>
<td>8.4±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesenteric DS (KHz)</td>
<td>8.5±1.6</td>
<td>8.5±0.7</td>
<td>9.2±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindquarters DS (KHz)</td>
<td>5.7±0.5</td>
<td>5.3±0.9</td>
<td>5.5±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal VC ([KHz mmHg]10⁻³)</td>
<td>83.8±7.8</td>
<td>87.7±13.0</td>
<td>79.6±7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesenteric VC ([KHz mmHg]10⁻³)</td>
<td>85.1±15.4</td>
<td>79.0±5.8</td>
<td>90.1±17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindquarters VC ([KHz mmHg]10⁻³)</td>
<td>57.8±4.9</td>
<td>50.3±9.4</td>
<td>51.9±4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.4 Regional Haemodynamic Effects of Vandetanib Measured by Pulsed Doppler Flowmetry (i.p)

Cardiovascular effects of vehicle or vandetanib administered i.p are shown in Figure 4.12, Figure 4.13, Figure 4.14, Table 4.4 and Table 4.5.

Prior to administration of vandetanib on day 1 of the experiment, there were no significant differences between any of the experimental groups (Table 4.4).

In rats given vehicle i.p, there was a significant decrease in heart rate (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 4.9) and a significant increase in mean arterial blood pressure at sporadic time points throughout the study, compared to baseline (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 4.12). There were no significant changes in renal and mesenteric vascular conductance compared to baseline (Figure 4.12). Hindquarters vascular conductance was significantly reduced during day 2, 3 and 4 of the study compared to baseline (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 4.12).

In rats given vandetanib (12.5 mg kg$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$) i.p there was a sporadic but significant change from baseline in heart rate (P<0.05, Friedman). There was also a significant pressor effect relative to baseline (P<0.05, Friedman) throughout day 2, 3 and 4 of the study (Figure 4.12). Renal vascular conductance significantly decreased (P<0.05, Friedman) from 26 h onwards compared to baseline (Figure 4.12). Mesenteric vascular conductance significantly decreased (P<0.05, Friedman) during the 24-76 h time points (excluding the 48 and 72 h time points) of the study compared to baseline (Figure 4.12). Hindquarters vascular conductance significantly decreased (P<0.05, Friedman) in comparison to baseline 2 h after the
first dose of vandetanib was administered. This decrease was maintained from 4 h until the end of the study (Figure 4.12).

Mean arterial blood pressure and hindquarters vascular conductance for the vandetanib (12.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) i.p group were significantly different from the vehicle i.p group (P<0.05, Mann Whitney; Figure 4.12, Table 4.5).
Figure 4.12 Regional haemodynamic effects of vandetanib in conscious male Sprague Dawley rats following i.p administration of vandetanib 12.5 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ (n=8) or vehicle i.p (n=8). Data shown (mean ± SEM) illustrate mean arterial blood pressure, heart rate and regional changes in vascular conductance over the entire study period (4 days). Open circles show data obtained with vandetanib 12.5 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ i.p and closed circles show the corresponding vehicle control i.p #p<0.05 Mann Whitney between group comparision *p<0.05 Friedman test with data points compared against baseline (defined as 0 h on day 1 (before treatment was initiated). Δ-change from baseline measurements.
In rats given vandetanib (25 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) i.p there was a significant decrease in heart rate during day 2 and during the last 2 h of days 3 and 4 compared to baseline (\(P<0.05\), Friedman). Mean arterial blood pressure increased (\(P<0.05\), Friedman) throughout day 2, 3 and 4 of the study compared to baseline (Figure 4.13). Renal vascular conductance was significantly decreased (\(P<0.05\), Friedman) 25 h after dosing. This reduction was relatively consistent throughout the study (Figure 4.13). Mesenteric vascular conductance significantly decreased in comparison to baseline (\(P<0.05\), Friedman) 24 h after the first dose, and similarly to the renal effects, this reduction was maintained until the end of the study period (Figure 4.13). Hindquarters vascular conductance significantly decreased (\(P<0.05\), Friedman) in comparison to baseline at 24 h after the first dose of vandetanib (25 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) i.p was administered. This decrease was maintained until the end of the study (Figure 4.13).

Mean arterial blood pressure, mesenteric and hindquarters vascular conductance for the Vandetanib (25 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) i.p group were significantly different from the vehicle i.p group (\(P<0.05\) Mann Whitney; Figure 4.13, Table 4.5).
Figure 4.13 Regional haemodynamic effects of vandetanib in conscious male Sprague Dawley rats following i.p administration of vandetanib 25 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) (n=8) or vehicle i.p (n=8). Data shown (mean ± SEM) illustrate mean arterial blood pressure, heart rate and regional changes in vascular conductance over the entire study period (4 days). Open circle- vandetanib 25 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) i.p, closed circle- vehicle i.p #-p<0.05 Mann Whitney between group comparision *-p<0.05 Friedman test with data points compared against baseline (defined as 0 h on day 1 i.e. before treatment took place). Δ-change from baseline measurement
In rats given vandetanib (50 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\)) i.p a significant decrease in heart rate (P<0.05, Friedman) from 1 h-76 h (excluding the 4 h time point) was seen in comparison to baseline (Figure 4.14). The highest dose of vandetanib caused a significant pressor effect compared to baseline (P<0.05, Friedman), which was apparent 1 h after drug administration. Mean arterial blood pressure was consistently higher than the baseline on days 2, 3 and 4 (Figure 4.14). Although there was little effect in the renal vascular bed at earlier time points, renal vascular conductance was significantly decreased (P<0.05, Friedman) during day 4 in comparison to baseline (Figure 4.14). In contrast, mesenteric vascular conductance was significantly decreased 1 h after vandetanib administration and this vasoconstriction was sustained for the remainder of the study (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 4.14). Likewise, hindquarters vascular conductance significantly decreased (P<0.05, Friedman) 1 h after the first dose of vandetanib in comparison to baseline (Figure 4.14). This decrease was also maintained until the end of the study, although there were some fluctuations throughout the study period (Figure 4.14).

The mean arterial blood pressure for the vandetanib (50 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\)) i.p group was significantly different from the vehicle i.p group (P<0.05, Mann Whitney; Figure 4.14, Table 4.5). No other measured variables were significantly different between groups.

There were no significant differences between all measured variables in the 12.5 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\), 25 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) and 50 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) groups (P<0.05, Kruskal Wallis).
Figure 4.14 Regional haemodynamic effects of vandetanib in conscious male Sprague-Dawley rats following i.p administration of vandetanib 50 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ (n=8) or vehicle (n=8). Data shown (mean ± SEM) illustrate mean arterial blood pressure, heart rate and regional changes in vascular conductance over the entire study period (4 days). Open circle- vandetanib 50 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ i.p, closed circle- vehicle i.p # -p<0.05 Mann Whitney between group comparision* -p<0.05 Friedman test with data points compared against baseline (defined as 0 h on day 1 (before treatment took place). Δ-change from baseline measurement.
Table 4.4 Resting (day 1 at least 30 min prior to drug administration) cardiovascular variables prior to the administration of stated compound i.p (n=8). Representative measurements were taken 30 min prior to i.p administration. Data represented as mean ± SEM. HR: heart rate, MAP: mean arterial blood pressure, DS: Doppler shift, VC: vascular conductance. Mann Whitney between group comparison showed no significant differences between resting variables, P>0.05.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vehicle</th>
<th>12.5 mgkg⁻¹ day⁻¹ vandetanib</th>
<th>25 mgkg⁻¹ day⁻¹ vandetanib</th>
<th>50 mgkg⁻¹ day⁻¹ vandetanib</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats min⁻¹ )</td>
<td>354±5</td>
<td>367±4</td>
<td>362±11</td>
<td>372±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>104±3</td>
<td>98±2</td>
<td>103±3</td>
<td>107±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal DS (KHz)</td>
<td>8.9±1.4</td>
<td>8.8±0.9</td>
<td>8.9±1.0</td>
<td>9.4±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesenteric DS (KHz)</td>
<td>11.4±1.4</td>
<td>10±1.3</td>
<td>12.5±1.1</td>
<td>8.7±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindquarters DS (KHz)</td>
<td>5.8±0.4</td>
<td>6.2±0.5</td>
<td>6.2±0.7</td>
<td>5.9±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal VC ([KHz mmHg]10⁻³)</td>
<td>86±14.4</td>
<td>89.6±8.6</td>
<td>84.8±7.3</td>
<td>89.4±10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesenteric VC ([KHz mmHg]10⁻³)</td>
<td>110.3±14.6</td>
<td>102.3±12.3</td>
<td>121.3±10.6</td>
<td>81.3±7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindquarters VC ([KHz mmHg]10⁻³)</td>
<td>56.5±5.0</td>
<td>64.3±6.6</td>
<td>60.9±6.8</td>
<td>55.4±4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
$\Delta$ HR (beats min\(^{-1}\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vandetanib (i.p)</th>
<th>12.5 mg kg(^{-1}) day(^{-1})</th>
<th>25 mg kg(^{-1}) day(^{-1})</th>
<th>50 mg kg(^{-1}) day(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$ MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal DS (KHz)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesenteric DS (KHz)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindquarters DS (KHz)</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$%\Delta$ Renal VC</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$%\Delta$ Mesenteric VC</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$%\Delta$ Hindquarters VC</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.5 Summary of the regional haemodynamic effects of 12.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) vandetanib i.p (n=8), 25 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) vandetanib i.p (n=8) and 50 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) vandetanib i.p (n=8) over the entire study period (4 days). Arrows represent significant direction of change compared to vehicle group (n=8) (P<0.05, Mann Whitney). Dashes represent no significant change in comparison to vehicle (p>0.05 Mann Whitney). $\Delta$-change in HR (heart rate), MAP (mean arterial blood pressure), renal, mesenteric and hindquarters DS (Doppler shift) and renal, mesenteric and hindquarters VC (vascular conductance).
4.4 Discussion

A greater understanding of how VEGF RTKIs cause hypertension is needed. Through examining the actions of vandetanib on cardiovascular variables such as heart rate and blood pressure, the cardiovascular side effect profile of vandetanib can be further elucidated. The data discussed in this Chapter demonstrate that vandetanib has a hypertensive and vasoconstrictive action in the rat.

4.4.1 Methodology

To examine the acute actions of vandetanib on heart rate, blood pressure and vascular conductance in the rat, vandetanib was first administered i.v. This decision was made based on previous experience with other RTKIs in this model (Woolard et al.; unpublished observations). The method of i.v administration allows vandetanib to enter the blood plasma instantly and avoid first pass metabolism. However, preliminary data (Figure 4.11) showed only very small increases in blood pressure vs vehicle. The hypertensive action of vandetanib has previously been reported to be a substantially larger than this (Janse, 2005; Gu et al., 2009; Blasi et al., 2012). This observation, coupled with the lack of immediate effect on blood pressure one would expect to see with i.v administration and the difficulties experienced in maintaining vandetanib in solution; made it clear that either an alternative route of administration or an alternative vehicle should be considered. The vehicle used in this study was a combination of previously published vandetanib vehicles (Advani et al., 2007; Tai et al., 2010; Wibom et al., 2010). It was observed that vandetanib, although unable to solubilise fully in 0.4 ml vehicle (volumes used for i.v administration), it
was able to solubilise in a larger volume of vehicle. This lead to the conclusion that the vandetanib concentrations administered (12.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\), 25 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) were higher than the limit of solubility for our chosen vehicle. A lack of full compound solubility could lead to a reduction in drug exposure and therefore a reduction in the vandetanib-mediated effects seen. As vandetanib is given orally in humans, it was decided that i.p delivery would more closely mimic the route of administration in humans (Turner et al., 2011). Logistically, changing the route of delivery to i.p allowed for a larger catheter to be implanted (see Section 2.6.2.4) and for vandetanib to be delivered in a larger volume of vehicle. i.v administration limits the volume that can be administered, as an increase in blood volume leads to a direct increase in blood pressure; this is not an issue with i.p delivery.

4.4.2 Mean Arterial Blood Pressure

In the telemetry study, vandetanib caused a significant increase in blood pressure within the first 24 h after the initial dose. This increase was sustained throughout the dosing period and for 7 days post-dosing, before decreasing to between +5.0 mmHg ± 0.5 mmHg and +4.4 mmHg ± 0.5 mmHg on day 7-10 post-dosing (Figure 4.4, Figure 4.5, Table 4.1). The hypertensive effect of vandetanib was shown to be time dependent (Figure 4.4) with mean arterial blood pressure being significantly higher 4-8 h after dosing compared to the mean 12 h (daytime) blood pressure (P<0.05, Mann Whitney). This result indicates that vandetanib may reach its peak plasma volume concentration within 4-8 h of i.p administration. This conclusion is supported by vandetanib’s FDA report which states that absorption typically took between 2-8 h in rats and dogs (Thornton et al., 2012).
In the haemodynamic studies, a significant pressor effect was observed in all vandetanib (i.p) treatment groups within 24 h of dosing, compared to baseline. All concentrations of vandetanib showed a significant increase in blood pressure compared to the vehicle group (Figure 4.12, Figure 4.13 and Figure 4.14). However, there was no significant difference in mean arterial blood pressure between dose groups. The lack of dose-dependent response implies the maximum hypertensive effect of vandetanib has been reached with these doses. The ability for VEGF RTKIs, including vandetanib, to cause hypertension has been well documented (Gu et al., 2009; Kappers et al., 2010; Leigh Verbois, 2011; Blasi et al., 2012; Grabowski et al., 2012).

The reason as to why VEGF RTKIs cause hypertension is not fully understood. Currently it is thought that their action on VEGFR2 signalling activity is key as the VEGFR2 signalling pathway is involved in vascular growth and maintenance. A study by Mayer et al confirmed that vandetanib led to hypertension in humans within a 6 week treatment period (Mayer et al., 2011). Mayer hypothesised that this action may be due to antagonism of VEGF NO-dependant vasodilatation and subsequently demonstrated the capacity of vandetanib to reduce resting brachial arterial diameter, increase vascular resistance and decrease systemic nitrate concentrations (Mayer et al., 2011). VEGF-antagonism related hypertension has also been shown to not be related to the renin-angiotensin and sympathetic systems, further supporting hypertension in patient populations taking VEGF RTKIs as being primarily caused by vessel dysregulation (Veronese et al., 2006).

Vascular rarefaction, which has been shown to occur in mice within 7 days of administration of AG-013736, a small
molecule inhibitor of VEGF/PDGF (Kamba and McDonald, 2007), has also been implicated as a cause of VEGF-RTKI induced hypertension. It has been shown that when vessels close down, for example due to pro-constrictive signalling or vessel damage, autonomic rarefaction can occur (Greene et al., 1981). A reduction in vessel number and/or functioning vessels may go some way to explaining the prolonged hypertensive effect of vandetanib post-treatment regimen (Figure 4.4). Vessel regrowth, a process which would increase vessel surface area and therefore decrease blood pressure can take between 5-10 days to occur (in vitro) (Davis et al., 2000, di Blasio et al., 2015), and requires VEGF (Cai et al., 2003).

However, in the preliminary staining study of mesenteric panels taken from rats treated with 25 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ (Figure 4.8, Figure 4.9, Figure 4.10), vandetanib showed no significant signs of rarefaction in comparison to the vehicle group. However, the 25 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ vandetanib group mesenteric staining showed a trend toward reduced total vessel length and total vessel junction number in the vandetanib group, compared to vehicle, which could indicate a reduction in vessel density and an increased degree of spacing between vessels. As animals in this study had a 10 day ‘recovery’ period before tissue was harvested, it is possible that during vandetanib treatment rarefaction may occur, although it is not permanent once vandetanib is removed. This theory is supported by the gradual trend of reduction in blood pressure when vandetanib treatment was removed. It would be interesting to perform this study on animals at different lengths of treatment period, for example 4 days, 10 days and 21 days to test this hypothesis. Whether sustained hypertension is a production of reduced VEGF-dependant vasodilatation, vascular rarefaction
or a combination of both is unknown and needs further investigation.

The most commonly seen hypertensive reaction in humans taking vandetanib is grade 2 hypertension (160-169 systolic over 100-109 mmHg diastolic; Qi et al., 2012). Given the variation in age and the possible pre-existing cardiovascular pathophysiology’s within the human patient population taking vandetanib, it is difficult to know the average increase in blood pressure seen due to vandetanib, rather than other variables. It is therefore difficult to compare the average increase in mean arterial blood pressure seen in the rat to the human. These results suggest more extensive human studies are needed to look at the off- as well as on-treatment development and progression of hypertension while taking vandetanib.

4.4.3 Vascular Conductance

Vandetanib (i.v or i.p) had no significant effect on the renal vascular bed in comparison the vehicle (Table 4.5). However, mesenteric (25 mgkg^{-1}day^{-1} i.p) and hindquarter (12.5 mgkg^{-1}day^{-1} and 25 mgkg^{-1}day^{-1} i.p) vascular conductances were significantly reduced, in comparison to vehicle (Figure 4.12, Figure 4.13, Figure 4.14). There were no significant differences between the renal, mesenteric and hindquarter vascular conductances in the 12.5 mgkg^{-1}day^{-1} and 25 mgkg^{-1}day^{-1} groups i.v. These results suggest that vandetanib (i.p) has a vascular bed-specific vasoconstrictive effect in the hindquarters and mesenteric vascular beds at various doses. The 50 mgkg^{-1}day^{-1} i.p group showed no significant differences compared to vehicle in any of the vascular beds studied. The variation between doses implies
that the vasoconstrictive effect of vandetanib is dose dependent and therefore may be due to inhibition of multiple receptors.

A reduction in vascular conductance is indicative of a reduction in vessel diameter and an increased resistance to blood flow. Vandetanib induced-vasoconstriction has been previously reported in resting brachial arteries in humans (Mayer et al., 2011) and implies that vessel function and signalling may be altered by vandetanib. Whether sustained hypertension is a product of reduced VEGF-dependant vasodilation or due to off-target, i.e inhibition of other vasoactive receptor tyrosine kinases, effects needs further investigation.

4.4.4 Heart Rate

Vandetanib produced a significant decrease in heart rate throughout the telemetry study, when dosed at 25 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) for 21 days (Figure 4.6, Figure 4.7). However, when the data was normalised to baseline (Table 4.2), the vandetanib group did not have as great a decrease in heart rate as the vehicle group. Vandetanib did not cause a significant decrease in heart rate compared to the vehicle group in both regional haemodynamic studies (i.p and i.v; Figure 4.11, Figure 4.12, Figure 4.13, Figure 4.14, Table 4.5), however the vandetanib 50 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) group had a significantly decreased heart rate in comparison to baseline, which looked to be time dependent, with heart rate decreasing throughout the day, after each dose, and then increasing at the beginning of the next day (prior to dosing). Overall, these results suggest vandetanib does not have a predominant bradycardic effect in the rat.
Vandetanib has been previously reported to induce bradycardia in orally dosed rats (Verbois, 2011). Although this is the only previously published record of vandetanib causing a decrease in heart rate, other VEGF-targeting RTKIs have also been shown to result in bradycardia, including pazopanib and sunitinib (Blasi et al., 2012; Heath et al., 2013). The bradycardic effect seen in the 50 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) haemodynamic study group may be a compensatory reaction to vandetanib-induced vessel constriction. A drug-induced consistent increase in blood pressure would be detected by baroreceptors found on the aortic arch and carotid bodies. When baroreceptors are activated through vessel stretching, negative feedback on heart rate occurs through vagal parasympathetic action (Janse, 2005). This would lead to a compensatory decrease in heart rate, and in turn blood pressure. However, this hypothesis needs to be explored further.

### 4.4.5 Conclusion

Vandetanib demonstrated a hypertensive effect when given chronically. It also caused vasoconstriction of the mesenteric and hindquarter vascular beds at 12.5 and 25 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\). This and previously discussed data suggest that these effects may be due to antagonism of VEGFR2, which initially leads to inhibition of NO-dependent vasodilatation and subsequently endothelial dysfunction. This action would chronically manifest \textit{in vivo} as a reduction in vessel conductance, seen in the regional haemodynamic studies in this Chapter, and an increase in blood pressure, which in turn may lead to a compensatory bradycardic effect (as seen with 50 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) vandetanib). The preliminary mesenteric vascular staining results also suggest that vandetanib, when given over a 3
week period, may cause a reduction in vessel number. This rarefractive response to vandetanib would further promote hypertension in the rat (Triantafyllou et al., 2015). The constrictive effect of vandetanib in regionally specific vascular beds is a novel finding. However, whether the cardiovascular profile found here is unique to vandetanib is unknown. In order to gain a better understanding of VEGF RTKI cardiovascular profiles, it is important to be able to compare compounds. In order to look for similarities and differences between VEGF RTKIs, and therefore gain a better understanding of RTKI cardiovascular profiles, the next Chapter (Chapter 5) will study pazopanib, a class I VEGF RTKI with a greater potency at VEGFR2 than vandetanib (Chapter 3, (Davis et al., 2011; Carter et al., 2015)).
Chapter 5: The *In Vivo* Cardiovascular Actions of Pazopanib
5.1 Introduction

To determine whether mean arterial blood pressure, heart rate and vascular conductance in the renal, mesenteric and hindquarters vascular beds differ between RTKIs of the same class (Gotink and Verheul, 2010), but with differing potencies at VEGFR2 (Davis et al., 2011; Carter et al., 2015), pazopanib was studied in the rat as a comparison to vandetanib (Chapter 4). Pazopanib, like vandetanib, is a Class I RKTI. However, pazopanib demonstrates greater potency at VEGFR2 (Chapter 3) and a higher incidence and severity of hypertension in the human pazopanib-patient population has been reported (see Section 1.3.1.2, Table 1.2) (Hamberg et al., 2010; Wells et al., 2012; Bible et al., 2014).

In order to compare the action of vandetanib with pazopanib; chronic, regular recordings of heart rate and blood pressure were analysed in order to explore the long-term effects of pazopanib on blood pressure and heart rate. The post-treatment effects of pazopanib were also analysed to assess pazopanib-induced cardiovascular changes, such as vessel rarefaction, which may lead to a sustained hypertensive response after pazopanib treatment was stopped.

Moreover, the regional haemodynamic effects of pazopanib on blood pressure, heart rate and the vascular conductance of the mesenteric, renal and hindquarter vascular beds over a period of 4 days were also investigated.
5.2 Methodology

5.2.1 Time Course of Effects of Pazopanib on Cardiovascular Variables Measured By Radio-Telemetry

Rats were implanted with radio-telemetry devices as described in Section 2.3. Ten days post-surgical implantation of the device, mean arterial blood pressure and heart rate were monitored and recorded for 1 min, every 15 min, for 3 days. Rats were randomly assigned to be given 0.5 ml of either pazopanib 30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\), i.p (Sequoia research products, SRP010772p), diluted in vehicle (2% Tween, 5% propylene glycol in 0.9% saline solution) (n=7) or vehicle (n=4) for 21 days. A post-treatment monitoring period of 10 days was observed (Figure 5.1).

![Figure 5.1 Schematic diagram of the pazopanib 30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) i.p radio-telemetric experimental timeline.](image)

5.2.1.1 Statistical Analysis

All data have been expressed as mean ± SEM with significance being accepted at P<0.05. A Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test was performed to test for normality. This test showed data were not normally distributed. A non-parametric, two-tailed within group comparison (Friedman’s test) was performed to determine if any changes seen from the baseline value were significant. A non-parametric between group two-tailed comparison (Mann Whitney-U test) was performed to analyse
whether differences between test groups were significant. This was done using Graphpad Prism 6.00.

5.2.2   Regional Haemodynamic Effects of Pazopanib Measured by Pulsed Doppler Flowmetry (i.p)

Rats were implanted with miniature pulsed Doppler flow probes and intra-vascular catheters as described in Section 2.6. 24 h after catheter surgery, animals were randomly assigned to be administered with a 0.5 ml bolus dose of pazopanib i.p (Sequoia research products, SRP010772p) at 10 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ (n=8), 30 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ (n=8), 100 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ (n=8) or vehicle (n=8) (2% Tween 80, 5% propylene glycol in 0.9% saline) i.p once every 24 h. Cardiovascular variables were recorded continuously for 4.5 h per day over a 4 day experimental period (Figure 5.2).

Figure 5.2 Schematic diagram of the pazopanib Pulsed Doppler Flowmetry experimental timeline.

5.2.2.1   Statistical Analysis

All data have been expressed as mean ± SEM with significance being accepted at P<0.05. A Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test was performed to test for normality. This test showed data were not normally distributed. A non-parametric, two-tailed within group (Friedman’s test) was performed to determine if changes seen from baseline values were significant. Non-parametric between group two tailed comparisons (Mann Whitney (for 2 groups) and Kruskal Wallis (more than 2
groups) were performed to test for significant differences between groups. All statistical analyses were performed using Biomedical version 3.4 (Nottingham, UK).
5.3 Results
5.3.1 Time Course of Effects of Pazopanib on Cardiovascular Variables Measured By Radio-Telemetry

The effects of pazopanib on cardiovascular variables measured by radio-telemetry are shown in Figure 5.3, Figure 5.4, Figure 5.5, Figure 5.6, Table 5.1 and Table 5.2.

5.3.1.1 Mean Arterial Blood Pressure

Mean arterial blood pressure, measured by radio-telemetry, remained consistent throughout the 3 day pre-treatment period in both the pazopanib and vehicle groups, and was steady thereafter in the vehicle group (Figure 5.3). In rats given pazopanib (30 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ i.p), mean arterial blood pressure increased significantly by +7.1 ± 0.5 mmHg (P<0.05, Friedman) during day 8 of the treatment period (Figure 5.3, Table 5.1). This was maintained throughout the dosing and post-dosing periods. The increase in mean arterial blood pressure (mmHg) in the pazopanib 30 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ i.p group was significantly different from the vehicle group (P<0.05, Mann Whitney; Figure 5.3). The vehicle group did not demonstrate a significant increase in mean arterial blood pressure throughout the study (Figure 5.3).

As the vehicle group had a higher starting mean arterial blood pressure (Table 5.1) data have been normalised (value - average of 3 day pre-treatment period) (Figure 5.3b, Table 5.1). In order to more easily see the differences within and between the pazopanib 30 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ i.p and vehicle i.p groups, this style of analysis has been adopted throughout.
Figure 5.3 Mean arterial blood pressure (measured by radio-telemetry) of male Sprague Dawley rats dosed with pazopanib 30 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ i.p (n=7) or vehicle (n=4). Data are displayed as mean ± SEM. Data were analysed and displayed in 24 h bins (a), or as mean change from baseline (average of 3 day pre-treatment period) in 24 h bins (b). Red line-pazopanib 30 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ i.p and black-vehicle controls i.p #p<0.05 Mann Whitney (pazopanib vs vehicle) or *p<0.05 Friedman test with data points compared against the mean of the 3 day pre-treatment period.
Table 5.1 Mean arterial blood pressure (mmHg) and change in mean arterial blood pressure (Δ mmHg) from baseline (defined as the mean value of the 3 day pre-treatment period) of male Sprague Dawley rats dosed with pazopanib 30 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ i.p (n=7) or vehicle (n=4). Data are presented as mean ± SEM and were analysed and displayed in 24 h bins (left) or 2-4 h bins after dosing (right). Black baseline: average of 3 days pre-treatment period; blue text: treatment period; red text: post-treatment period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>24 h Vehicle</th>
<th>24 h Pazopanib 30 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹</th>
<th>2-4 h Post-treatment vehicle</th>
<th>2-4 h Post-treatment pazopanib 30 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 8</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 9</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 10</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 11</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 12</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 13</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 14</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 15</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 16</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 17</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 18</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 19</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 20</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 21</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Due to the daytime/night-time pattern seen in the mean arterial blood pressure recordings, the data were analysed in two 12 h slots-segments: (a) daytime (06:00-18:00 h) and (b) night-time (18:00-06:00 h) (Figure 5.4). Changes in mean arterial blood pressure in response to pazopanib (30 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ i.p) or vehicle followed the same trend (pre-, during- and post-treatment) as the data presented in Figure 5.3 (analysed over 24 h). However there was a significant mean difference of 5.3 mmHg between the daytime (P<0.05, Mann Whitney) and night-time data (Figure 5.4), with the night-time mean arterial blood pressure higher in comparison throughout the study (Figure 5.4).

The peak plasma concentration time range (2-4 h post-treatment) (Justice and Robertson, 2008) for pazopanib was also analysed. Figure 5.4c shows a significant (P<0.05, Friedman) difference from baseline (+7.8 ± 1.1 mmHg) on day 5 of treatment (Figure 5.4, Table 5.1).
Figure 5.4 Change in mean arterial blood pressure from baseline of male Sprague Dawley rats dosed with pazopanib 30 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ i.p (n=7) or vehicle i.p (n=4). Data are displayed as mean ± SEM. Data were analysed and displayed in 12 h bins, separated into daytime (06:00-18:00 h) (a), or night-time (18:00-06:00 h) (b) periods. Data collected 2-4 h after dosing are displayed in graph (c). Red line and symbols show data obtained following treatment with pazopanib 30 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ i.p The black line and symbols show the equivalent vehicle control i.p #p<0.05 Mann Whitney between group comparison *p<0.05 Friedman test with data points compared against the mean daytime (a), night-time (b) or 2-4 h after 9 am (scheduled dosing time) (c) of the 3 day pre-treatment period.
5.3.1.1 Heart Rate

Data have been normalised (value - average of 3 day pre-treatment period) (Figure 5.5, Table 5.2) to more clearly show the change in heart rate in comparison to baseline.

Over the 21 day treatment period and the 10 day post-treatment period, heart rate in the vehicle group showed a greater decline than the pazopanib group, such that at the end of the study it was -18.5 ± 4.0 BPM lower than at the start (Table 5.2). A significant difference between the pazopanib and the vehicle groups was seen (P<0.05, Mann Whitney; Figure 5.5, Table 5.2) with the vehicle group showing a greater decrease in heart rate than pazopanib throughout the study.
Figure 5.5 Mean heart rate of male Sprague Dawley rats dosed with pazopanib 30 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ i.p (n=7) or vehicle i.p (n=4). Data are displayed as mean ± SEM. Data were analysed and displayed in 24 h bins (a), or as mean change from baseline (average of 3 day pre-treatment period) in 24 h bins (b). Red line show data obtained with pazopanib 30 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ i.p Black line shows the vehicle controls i.p #p<0.05 Mann Whitney test performed for pazopanib vs vehicle *p<0.05 Friedman test with data points compared against the mean of the 3 day pre-treatment period.
Table 5.2 Mean ± SEM change from baseline (defined as the mean value of the 3 day pre-treatment period) heart rate of male Sprague Dawley rats dosed with pazopanib 30 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ i.p (n=7) vs vehicle (n=4). Data were analysed and displayed in 24 h bins (left) or 2-4 h bins after dosing (right). Black baseline: average of 3 days pre-treatment period; blue text: treatment period; red text: post-treatment period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>24 h Vehicle</th>
<th>24 h Pazopanib 30 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹</th>
<th>2-4 h Post-treatment vehicle</th>
<th>2-4 h Post-treatment pazopanib 30 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline</strong></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 1</strong></td>
<td>-5.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 2</strong></td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 3</strong></td>
<td>-6.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 4</strong></td>
<td>-5.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 5</strong></td>
<td>-7.5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 6</strong></td>
<td>-3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 7</strong></td>
<td>-4.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 8</strong></td>
<td>-6.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 9</strong></td>
<td>-8.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 10</strong></td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 11</strong></td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 12</strong></td>
<td>-7.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 13</strong></td>
<td>-14.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 14</strong></td>
<td>-11.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 15</strong></td>
<td>-11.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 16</strong></td>
<td>-7.6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 17</strong></td>
<td>-11.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-4.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 18</strong></td>
<td>-17.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 19</strong></td>
<td>-20.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-9.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 20</strong></td>
<td>-21.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>-13.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 21</strong></td>
<td>-18.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-13.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 1</strong></td>
<td>-23.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-10.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 2</strong></td>
<td>-25.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-6.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 3</strong></td>
<td>-23.5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 4</strong></td>
<td>-16.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 5</strong></td>
<td>-15.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 6</strong></td>
<td>-20.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>-11.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 7</strong></td>
<td>-20.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-10.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 8</strong></td>
<td>-24.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 9</strong></td>
<td>-30.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>-6.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Day 10</strong></td>
<td>-30.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-4.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.2 Mean ± SEM change from baseline (defined as the mean value of the 3 day pre-treatment period) heart rate of male Sprague Dawley rats dosed with pazopanib 30 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ i.p (n=7) vs vehicle (n=4). Data were analysed and displayed in 24 h bins (left) or 2-4 h bins after dosing (right). Black baseline: average of 3 days pre-treatment period; blue text: treatment period; red text: post-treatment period.
A daytime/night-time fluctuations in heart rate was clearly seen. Therefore data were analysed in two 12 h time periods: (a) daytime (06:00-18:00 h) and (b) night-time (18:00-06:00 h) (Figure 5.6a, b). Changes in heart rate in response to pazopanib or vehicle i.p followed the same trend (pre-, during- and post-treatment) as the data presented in Figure 5.5a, b (analysed over 24 h). However, there was a significant mean difference of 50 BPM between the daytime (P<0.05, Mann Whitney; Figure 5.6a.) and night-time (Figure 5.6b.) data, with the heart rate higher during the night-time period (Figure 5.6).

The peak plasma concentration time range (2-4 h post-treatment) for pazopanib was analysed (Justice and Robertson, 2008). There were no significant differences within the pazopanib and vehicle treatment groups in comparison to baseline (P<0.05, Friedman). However, there was a significant difference between the pazopanib and vehicle group (P<0.05, Mann Whitney; Figure 5.6).
Figure 5.6 Change in heart rate from baseline of male Sprague Dawley rats dosed with pazopanib 30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) i.p (n=7) or vehicle i.p (n=4). Data are displayed as mean ± SEM. Data were analysed and displayed in 12 h daytime bins (06:00-18:00 h) (a), 12 h night-time Sections (18:00-06:00 h) (b) or to show the 2-4 h period after treatment (c). Red line- pazopanib 30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) i.p, black line- vehicle i.p #p<0.05 Mann Whitney test performed for pazopanib vs vehicle groups *p<0.05 Friedman test with data points compared against the mean daytime (a.), night-time (b.) or 2-4 h after 9 am (scheduled dosing time) (c.) of the 3 day pre-treatment period.
Regional Haemodynamic Effects of Pazopanib Measured by Pulsed Doppler Flowmetry (i.p)

Cardiovascular effects of pazopanib or vehicle administered i.p are shown in Figure 5.7, Figure 5.8, Figure 5.9, Table 5.3 and Table 5.4.

Prior to administration of pazopanib on day 1 of the experiment, there were no significant differences between any of the experimental groups (Table 5.3).

In rats given vehicle i.p, heart rate significantly decreased compared to baseline, although not consistently, throughout the study (P<0.05, Friedman). Mean arterial blood pressure significantly decreased, at 50 and 72-76 h, in comparison to baseline (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 5.7). Renal vascular conductance showed a continued significant decrease from baseline at 50-76 h (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 5.7). Mesenteric vascular conductance increased significantly from baseline at 4 and 24 h (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 5.7). Hindquarters vascular conductance was significantly reduced at 1 h and throughout days 2, 3 and 4 of the study (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 5.7).

In rats given pazopanib (10 mgkg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) i.p), there was a significant decrease in heart rate, in comparison to baseline, at 1 h and throughout days 2, 3 and 4 of the study (excluding 25 and 72 h) (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 5.7). Mean arterial blood pressure significantly increased, compared to baseline, 3 h after the initial dose, this increase was maintained and was significant throughout days 2 and 3 (P<0.05 Friedman; Figure 5.7). There was no significant change in renal vascular conductance throughout the study (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure
Mesenteric vascular conductance significantly decreased, compared to baseline (P<0.05, Friedman) at 1 h and then from 25 h until the end of the study (Figure 5.7). Hindquarters vascular conductance significantly decreased (P<0.05, Friedman), in comparison to baseline, at 1, 2 and 4 h. This significant decrease was maintained throughout the study (excluding time points 75 and 76 h) (Figure 5.7).

All measured variables of the pazopanib (10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) i.p group were not significantly different from the vehicle i.p group (P<0.05, Mann Whitney; Figure 5.7, Table 5.4).
Figure 5.7 Regional haemodynamic effects of pazopanib in conscious male Sprague-Dawley rats following i.p administration of pazopanib 10 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) (n=8) or vehicle (n=8). Data shown (mean ± SEM) illustrate mean arterial blood pressure, heart rate and regional changes in vascular conductance over the entire study period (4 days). Open circle- pazopanib 10 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) i.p, closed circle- vehicle i.p #-p<0.05 Mann Whitney pazopanib vs vehicle groups *-p<0.05 Friedman test with data points compared against baseline (defined as 0 h on day 1 (before treatment took place). Δ-change from baseline measurement (0 h)
In rats given pazopanib (30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) i.p) there was a significant decrease in heart rate during days 2, 3 and 4 (P<0.05, Friedman Figure 5.8). Mean arterial blood pressure significantly increased from baseline throughout days 2, 3 and 4 (P<0.05, Friedman Figure 5.8). There was a significant decrease in renal, mesenteric and hindquarters vascular conductance, from baseline, from 24 h onwards (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 5.8).

Mean arterial blood pressure, renal and mesenteric vascular conductance for the pazopanib (30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) i.p) group were significantly different from the vehicle i.p group (P<0.05, Mann Whitney; Figure 5.8, Table 5.4).
Figure 5.8 Regional haemodynamic effects of pazopanib in conscious male Sprague-Dawley rats following i.p administration of pazopanib 30 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) (n=8) or vehicle (n=8). Data shown (mean ± SEM) illustrate mean arterial blood pressure, heart rate and regional changes in vascular conductance over the entire study period (4 days). Open circle show data obtained with pazopanib 30 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) i.p Closed circle show the corresponding vehicle controls i.p \#p<0.05 Mann Whitney test between pazopanib vs vehicle groups *-p<0.05 Friedman test with data points compared against baseline (defined as 0 h on day 1 (before treatment took place). Δ-change from baseline.
In rats given pazopanib (100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) i.p) there were significant decreases in heart rate compared to baseline, sporadically throughout the study (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 5.9). Mean arterial blood pressure significantly increased, in comparison to baseline, throughout days 2, 3 and 4 (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 5.9). There was a significant decrease in renal vascular conductance, compared to baseline, primarily during day 4 of the study (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 5.9). Mesenteric vascular conductance significantly decreased from 24 h onwards, in comparison to baseline (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 5.9). Hindquarters vascular conductance significantly decreased (P<0.05, Friedman), in comparison to baseline, at 4 h; this was maintained for the remainder of the study (Figure 5.9).

Mean arterial blood pressure, mesenteric and hindquarters vascular conductance for the pazopanib (100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) i.p) group were significantly different from the vehicle i.p group (P<0.05, Mann Whitney; Figure 5.9, Table 5.4).

There were no significant differences between heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure, mesenteric and hindquarters vascular conductance between the 10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\), 30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) and 100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) groups. However, there was a significant difference between the renal vascular conductance in the 30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) and 100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) groups (P<0.05, Kruskal Wallis).
Figure 5.9 Regional haemodynamic effects of pazopanib in conscious male Sprague Dawley rats following i.p administration of pazopanib 100 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ (n=8) or vehicle (n=8). Data shown (mean ± SEM) illustrates mean arterial blood pressure, heart rate and regional changes in vascular conductance over the entire study period (4 days). Open circle- pazopanib 100 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ i.p, closed circle- vehicle i.p #-p<0.05 Mann Whitney test for comparison between the pazopanib and vehicle groups*-p<0.05 Friedman test with data points compared against baseline (defined as 0 h on day 1 (before treatment took place). Δ-change from baseline.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vehicle</th>
<th>10 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ pazopanib</th>
<th>30 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ pazopanib</th>
<th>100 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ pazopanib</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats min⁻¹)</td>
<td>355±15.2</td>
<td>345±6.9</td>
<td>359±6.4</td>
<td>351±5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>105±2.6</td>
<td>101±2.8</td>
<td>96±1.3</td>
<td>103±3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal DS (KHz)</td>
<td>10.1±1.6</td>
<td>8.4±0.6</td>
<td>9.2±0.5</td>
<td>8.1±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesenteric DS (KHz)</td>
<td>9.9±1.1</td>
<td>12.4±0.8</td>
<td>11.4±1.2</td>
<td>11.2±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindquarters DS (KHz)</td>
<td>6.0±0.3</td>
<td>5.6±0.2</td>
<td>5.5±0.4</td>
<td>5.3±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal VC [[KHz mmHg]10⁻³]</td>
<td>95.7±13.5</td>
<td>83.1±6.5</td>
<td>94.7±4.5</td>
<td>78.0±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesenteric VC [[KHz mmHg]10⁻³]</td>
<td>95.4±11.7</td>
<td>123.0±8.6</td>
<td>117.7±12.9</td>
<td>109.3±5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindquarters VC [[KHz mmHg]10⁻³]</td>
<td>57.6±2.7</td>
<td>55.7±1.9</td>
<td>56.5±4.4</td>
<td>52.5±4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.3 Resting (day 1 at least 30 min prior to drug administration) cardiovascular variables prior to the administration of stated compound i.p (n=8). Representative measurements were taken 30 min prior to i.p administration. Data represented as mean ± SEM. HR: heart rate, MAP: mean arterial blood pressure, DS: Doppler shift, VC: vascular conductance. Mann Whitney between group comparison showed no significant differences between resting variables, P>0.05.
Table 5.4 Summary of the regional haemodynamic effects of 10 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) pazopanib i.p (n=8), 30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) pazopanib i.p (n=8) and 100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) pazopanib i.p (n=8) over the entire study period (4 days). Arrows represent significant direction of change compared to vehicle group (n=8) (P<0.05, Mann Whitney between group comparison). Δ-change in HR (heart rate), MAP (mean arterial blood pressure), renal, mesenteric and hindquarters DS (Doppler shift) and renal, mesenteric and hindquarters VC (vascular conductance).
5.4 Discussion

Through examining the actions of pazopanib on cardiovascular variables such as heart rate and blood pressure, the cardiovascular side effect profile of pazopanib can be further elucidated. The data discussed in this Chapter demonstrate pazopanib has a dose dependent, time dependent hypertensive, vasoconstrictive action in the rat with the dosing schedules used here. This discussion will also compare these findings with those in Chapter 4: The In vivo Cardiovascular Actions of Vandetanib, with the purpose of highlighting differences and similarities between these two compounds.

5.4.1 Methodology

During the pazopanib (30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) i.p telemetry experiment, variation between the baseline recordings of the pazopanib and vehicle groups made changes between and within the group, in non-normalised data, difficult to see (Figure 5.3). However, the difference between the baseline values of the vehicle group and pazopanib group were not significant (P>0.05; student T test, Figure 5.10). Variation between animals prior to treatment may be a consequence of genetic heterogeneity due to the strain of rat used (Ghirardi et al., 1995; Rex et al., 2007). This hypothesis is supported by Rex et al, who demonstrated that populations of rats from the same stock, but bred separately, show different behavioural and genetic differences (Rex et al., 2007). This study was performed over a 1 year period, allowing genetic variation between the cohorts of rats used to develop. This variation may lead to distortion of the power calculation, performed prior to performing the experiment, in order to justify the number of animals needed to gain statistical power. The power
calculation for this experiment stated that 3.29 rats were needed, per group, to gain statistical power to test changes in mean arterial blood pressure against P=0.05.
Figure 5.10 Mean 72 h baseline radio-telemetric recordings of mean arterial blood pressure of male Sprague Dawley rats prior to treatment with pazopanib 30 mg kg$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ i.p (n=7, in red) or vehicle (n=4, in blue). Data are displayed as mean ± SEM. Between group Student T test demonstrated no significance.
5.4.2 Mean Arterial Blood Pressure

In the telemetry study, when the data were analysed as 12 h and 24 h data bins, pazopanib caused a significant pressor effect 8 days after the initial dose. However, when the data from 2-4 h after dosing were analysed, blood pressure was significantly higher 5 days after the initial dose. This result indicates that pazopanib has a greater pressor effect 2-4 h after administration. Pazopanib has been shown to reach its peak plasma volume concentration between 2-8 h in humans (Justice and Robertson, 2008). As the process of an i.p injection can cause animal stress and therefore elevate heart rate and blood pressure transiently, it is important to take into account the effect of post-injection stress when taking measurements close to the injection time. However, this is unlikely to have affected the analysis here, as seen in Figure 5.4, the 2-4 h post-administration data point is on average lower than the 3 h data point which includes the dose administration; implying dosing stress has not caused the increase in blood pressure.

The increase in blood pressure seen throughout the pazopanib telemetry dosing period was maintained throughout the 10 day no-treatment period, unlike vandetanib (Section 4.3.1). This difference between the two compounds is of particular interest as pazopanib is reported to have a shorter plasma half-life (vandetanib: 19 days vs pazopanib: 30.9 h see Sections 1.3.1.1 and 1.3.1.2). Therefore the results gained here suggest that pazopanib may lead to less severe but also less-reversible hypertension, in comparison to vandetanib. One explanation for this disparity could be a difference in the kinetic profile of pazopanib in comparison to vandetanib. Slow dissociation of drug from its target can lead to a disconnect
between the drugs pharmacokinetic profile and pharmacodynamic effects, with measured efficacy significantly outlasting detectable plasma concentrations of drug (Vauquelin and Charlton, 2010).

In the haemodynamic studies a significant rise in blood pressure was observed in all pazopanib (i.p) treatment groups within 24 h of dosing, compared to baseline. The 30 and 100 mgkg\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) pazopanib groups showed a significant elevation in mean arterial blood pressure compared to the vehicle group (Figure 5.8 and Figure 5.9). The pressor effect of pazopanib appears to be dose dependent, with the increase in blood pressure correlating to the increase in dose (Figure 5.7, Figure 5.8 and Figure 5.9). This was not seen with the vandetanib (Chapter 4).

Previous studies have shown that the ED\(_{50}\) for pazopanib is 29.4 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) when given twice daily, orally (Justice and Robertson, 2008) in comparison to 25 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) for vandetanib (Ciardiello et al., 2003) in mice i.p. Therefore assuming that the ED\(_{50}\) in rat is the same as mouse and that the difference between a dose of 29.4 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) and 30 mgkg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) is negligible, it is possible to directly compare the effect of pazopanib and vandetanib at these doses. In the telemetry studies, vandetanib caused a significantly greater increase in daily (24 h) mean arterial blood pressure than pazopanib (P<0.05, Mann Whitney U). However, the difference between vandetanib-induced and pazopanib-induced hypertension in the haemodynamic study (over 4 days) was not significantly different.
The reasons why VEGF RTKIs may cause an increase in blood pressure have been previously discussed in Sections 1.5 and 4.4.2.

### 5.4.3 Vascular Conductance

Renal and mesenteric vascular conductances were significantly lower, in comparison to vehicle, in the 30 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ pazopanib treatment group, and mesenteric and hindquarter vascular conductances were significantly reduced, in comparison to vehicle, in the 100 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ i.p group (Figure 5.8, Figure 5.9). There was a significant difference in renal vascular conductance between the 30 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ and 100 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ i.p groups, however there was no significant difference in regional vascular conductances between the vandetanib 25 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ i.p and the pazopanib 30 mgkg⁻¹ day⁻¹ i.p groups.

The implications of reduced vascular conductance have previously been discussed in Section 4.4.3.

### 5.4.4 Heart Rate

Throughout the telemetry study, no significant differences in heart rate from baseline were seen, and the heart rate in the pazopanib group was significantly higher than that of the vehicle group (Figure 5.5, Figure 5.6, Table 5.2). Pazopanib did not produce a significant decrease in heart rate, compared to vehicle, in the i.p regional haemodynamic study. However it did cause sustained significant decreases in heart rate compared to baseline in the 10 and 30 mgkg⁻¹day⁻¹ groups (Figure 5.7, Figure 5.8). These results suggest pazopanib does not have a prominent bradycardic effect.
However, in a published randomised, double blind placebo controlled study in humans, it was shown that pazopanib was able to induce concentration dependent bradycardia which occurred by day 9 of the study (Heath et al., 2013).

Heart rate for vandetanib 25 mgkg⁻¹ day⁻¹ i.p and pazopanib 30 mgkg⁻¹ day⁻¹ i.p were not significantly different in either the haemodynamic or telemetry studies. Whether or not VEGF RTKIs cause bradycardia has not been well documented. Along with the above mentioned human study using pazopanib and the previously discussed published evidence of vandetanib-induced bradycardia (Section 4.4.4), sunitinib, a VEGF RTKI, has also been reported to cause a decrease in heart rate in telemetered rats, while not affecting cardiac structure or function (Blasi et al., 2012). The reasons why RTKIs may lead to bradycardia have been previously discussed in Section 4.4.4.

**5.4.5 Conclusion**

The data shown here demonstrates that pazopanib causes hypertension and dose dependent constriction of the renal, mesenteric and hindquarter vascular beds (Figure 5.7, Figure 5.8, Figure 5.9). Of particular interest is the prolonged hypertension seen post-treatment with pazopanib. This finding is novel and suggests pazopanib is either able to cause more-permanent physiological changes within the rat’s cardiovascular system or that it has a different kinetics profile in comparison to vandetanib (Chapter 4). Although vandetanib and pazopanib commonly inhibit VEGFR2, their IC₅₀ at VEGFR2 vary from 6.72 ± 0.03 to 8.25 ± 0.03, respectively (Chapter 3; Carter et al., 2015). Potency at VEGFR2 seems to be directly comparable to the percentage of patients who develop
hypertension, with the more potent compounds such as pazopanib, having a wider spread hypertensive effect in the populous taking them (Hamberg et al., 2010; Wells et al., 2012; Bible et al., 2014). However, in the rat, vandetanib was shown to cause a numerically greater and more transient increase in mean arterial blood pressure, in comparison to pazopanib, highlighting the need for further research into RTKI pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic profiles.

As the in vivo data collected here and in Chapter 4, along with the literature discussed (Section 1.2.5) suggest that the hypertensive side effect profile of VEGF RTKIs may be, in part, linked to endothelial dysfunction and changes in vessel tone, Chapter 6 will primarily focus on the effect of vandetanib on vessel tone.
Chapter 6: The Actions of Vandetanib, Pazopanib, hVEGF$_{165}$ and Acetylcholine on Vessel Diameter
6.1 Introduction

Current literature (Fan et al., 2014; Kruzliak et al., 2014; Lankhorst et al., 2014; Abi Aad et al., 2015; Lankhorst et al., 2015) and the data discussed so far suggest that the hypertensive side effect profile of VEGF RTKIs may be linked to endothelial dysfunction and vessel tone. Therefore this Chapter focuses on the actions of vandetanib and pazopanib on vessel tone. It will also explore the effect of vandetanib on VEGF$_{165}$ and ACh-mediated vasodilatation.

It has previously been shown that haemodynamic force is a key stimulus for the production of vasoactive and vasodilatory, substances, such as NO, by ECs (Rubanyi et al., 1986). Haemodynamic force, created by the flow of blood, can be characterised into two major types; shear stress and cyclic circumferential stretch (Yamamoto and Ando, 2015; Gutterman et al., 2016). Increases in force cause activation of calvolae-situated mechanosensory complexes consisting of stretch activated (SA) channels, integrin proteins and platelet EC adhesion molecule-1 (PECAM) and VEGFR2 (Chatterjee and Fisher, 2014b), leading to the production of vasoactive signalling molecules, such as NO and PGI$_2$, as well as anti-thrombogenic mediators e.g. thrombomodulin and HSG (Ando and Yamamoto, 2009; Conway and Schwartz, 2012). Therefore, understanding the action of vandetanib and pazopanib on vessel tone, in pressurised vessels, may help to elucidate whether vandetanib and pazopanib-mediated hypertension is due to the inhibition of constitutive vasoactive substances.

Secondly, the inhibition of VEGF-mediated dilatation by vandetanib was explored. VEGF is a potent mediator of
vasodilatation (Section 1.2), and its inhibition by RTKIs is believed to be the most likely cause of hypertension in the patient populations taking VEGF targeted anti-angiogenic treatments (Kruzliak et al., 2014; Lankhorst et al., 2014; Small et al., 2014; Lankhorst et al., 2015). Although the above hypothesis is widely accepted, the actions of RTKIs on vessel tone in the presence of VEGF have not previously been explored.

Finally, due to the results obtained in Chapter 3, which demonstrate vandetanib is able to inhibit basal measurements of NFAT-mediated luciferase production (Section 3.4.3) and because of the reported multi-targeted nature of VEGF RTKIs (Section 1.3.1), the effects of vandetanib on ACh-mediated vasodilatation was explored. ACh is a neurotransmitter able to cause vasodilatation through activating the muscarinic (M3) ACh receptor (mAChR) on vascular ECs (Kruse et al., 2012). This leads to vasodilatation through the production of NO and PGI2 (Durand and Gutterman, 2013), because ACh-mediated dilatation has been shown to use the same vasodilatory signalling molecules as VEGF (NO and PGI2) but does not do this through activation of a receptor tyrosine kinase, knowing if vandetanib is able to inhibit ACh-mediated dilatation is indicative of whether vandetanib is able to potently inhibit the intracellular signalling kinases responsible for the production of NO and PGI2.

By understanding the action of vandetanib and pazopanib, 2 VEGF RTKIs and the action of vandetanib on VEGF and ACh-mediated dilatation, on vessel tone in the presence of cyclic circumferential stretch, the role of these vasoactive substances in VEGF RTKI-induced hypertension can be further elucidated.
6.2 Methodology

6.2.1 Living Systems Pressure Myography

Rats were killed using stunning and cervical dislocation in accordance with Schedule 1 of the UK, Animals Scientific Procedures Act (1986). Second order mesenteric vessels were harvested, cleaned of connective tissue and mounted on the pressure myograph (Living Systems pressure myograph, Section 2.7). The myography bath contained 10 ml PSS (118 mM NaCl, 4.8 mM KCl, 1.1 mM MgSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O, 25 mM NaHCO\textsubscript{3}, 1.2 mM KH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}, 12 mM Glucose, 1.25 mM CaCl\textsubscript{2}·2H\textsubscript{2}O) and was perfused with 95% air/ 5% CO\textsubscript{2} to maintain a pH of approximately 7.4. Temperature was maintained at 36-37°C. Intraluminal pressure was set to 90 mmHg and the vessel was allowed to equilibrate to these conditions for 30 min (Figure 6.1).

After 30 min, PEG vehicle (2% Tween, 5% poly ethylene glycol in 0.9% saline solution) (final concentrations of 0.001%-10%), vandetanib (stock: 10\textsuperscript{-2} M diluted in DMSO, then further diluted in PSS to give final concentrations of 10\textsuperscript{-10} M-10\textsuperscript{-5} M), pazopanib (stock: 10\textsuperscript{-2} M diluted in DMSO, then further diluted in PSS to give final concentrations of 10\textsuperscript{-10} M-10\textsuperscript{-5} M) or DMSO (equivalent volumes of DMSO to those found in the vandetanib and pazopanib final solutions, diluted in PSS) were added cumulatively to the bath to generate a concentration response curve. Each concentration was added for 10 min. Vessels were dilated using 10\textsuperscript{-5} M ACh (final concentration) at the end of the experiment to check for endothelial function. If there was no response the experiment was discounted from the analysis. Vessels were imaged using an inverted microscope attached to a camera, and the internal diameter was measured using a
dimension analyser (Living Systems Instrumentation), linked to a MacLab data acquisition system.

6.2.2 DMT 120CP myography

Rats were killed using stunning and cervical dislocation in accordance with Schedule 1 of the UK, Animals Scientific Procedures Act (1986). Second order mesenteric vessels were harvested, cleaned of connective tissue and mounted on the pressure myography (DMT 120CP, Section 2.7). The myography bath contained 10 ml PSS and was perfused with 95% air/ 5% CO₂ to maintain a pH of approximately 7.4. Temperature was maintained at 36-37°C. PSS contained 0.01% BSA if hVEGF₁₆₅ was used in the study, this was done to ensure the hVEGF₁₆₅ did not adhere to surfaces within the bath. Intraluminal pressure was set to 90 mmHg and the vessel was allowed to equilibrate to these conditions for 30 min (Figure 6.1). After 30 min vessels were constricted by 40-60% of the initial diameter using 10⁻⁷ M U46619 (9,11-dideoxy-9α,11α-methanoepoxy prostaglandin F₂₀), this response was allowed to stabilise for 30 min before compounds: vandetanib (stock: 10⁻² M diluted in DMSO, then further diluted in PSS to give final concentrations of 10⁻¹⁰ M-10⁻⁵ M), DMSO (equivalent volumes of DMSO to those found in the vandetanib final solutions, diluted in PSS), ACh (diluted in PSS, final concentration range from 10⁻¹⁰ M-10⁻⁵ M) or hVEGF₁₆₅ (stock concentration: 10⁻⁶ M, stock diluted in PBS + 0.1% BSA final concentration range, diluted in PSS + 0.1% BSA, between 10⁻¹⁴ M-10⁻⁹ M) were added cumulatively to the bath to generate a concentration response curve (Figure 6.1). Each concentration was added and incubated with the vessel for 5 min (time taken to see full response). Vessels were dilated using 10⁻⁵ M ACh (final concentration) at the end of the
experiment to check for endothelial function. If there was no response the experiment was discounted from the analysis. Vessels were imaged using an inverted microscope attached to a camera, and the internal diameter was measured using a dimension analyser (DMT) linked to a computer with DMT MyoView II software (Figure 6.1).

6.2.3 Statistical Analysis

Data obtained from the DMT 120CP system were fitted using non-linear regression (3-parameter) in GraphPad Prism 6 (San Diego, CA) to obtain EC\textsubscript{50} values (Section 2.8.3). All data have been expressed as mean ± SEM with significance being accepted at P<0.05. A non-parametric, two-tailed group comparison (Friedman) was performed to determine if any changes seen from the baseline value were significant. Non-parametric between group two-tailed comparisons (Mann Whitney U test for 2 groups and Kruskal Wallis for more than 2 groups) were performed to look for significant differences between groups. An unpaired Student’s T test was used to compare E\textsubscript{max} and pEC\textsubscript{50} values which had been gained by averaging the individual n values from each experimental non-linear regression curve fit. This was done using Graphpad prism 6.00.
Figure 6.1 Representative trace showing the experimental protocol for pre-constriction of mesenteric arterioles with U46619. 30 min after preconstriction, vandetanib (10 µl 10^{-4} M, giving a final concentration of 10^{-7} M) was incubated with the vessel for 10 min and a concentration response curve for ACh was generated (10^{-10} M-10^{-5} M-final concentrations).
6.3 Results
6.3.1 The Effects of Vandetanib and Pazopanib on Pressurised Vessel Diameter

Increasing concentrations of vandetanib, pazopanib and the corresponding vehicle control of DMSO caused no significant change in vessel diameter, compared to baseline measurements (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 6.2). However, there was a significant difference between the vandetanib concentration response vs the corresponding vehicle control of DMSO for vandetanib and pazopanib (P<0.05, Mann Whitney; Figure 6.2).

The PEG vehicle used in the in vivo studies in Chapters 4 and 5, was also tested on pressurised mesenteric vessels, in order to explore whether the PEG vehicle has a constrictive effect on rat vessels. The PEG vehicle (2% tween80 + 5% PEG in 0.9% saline solution) showed no significant effect on vessel diameter at concentrations up to 10% vehicle (final concentration) in comparison to baseline (Friedman; Figure 6.2).
Figure 6.2 The effect of selected RTKIs on vessel diameter. Vessels were treated with increasing concentrations of vandetanib n=5 (a), pazopanib n=6 (b), equivalent DMSO vehicle concentrations n=4 (a, b) or the in vivo PEG vehicle used in Chapters 4 and 5 (2% tween80 + 5% PEG in 0.9% saline solution) n=7 (c). Data presented has been pooled and normalised to initial vessel diameter. Each point represents the mean ± SEM. #p<0.05 Mann Whitney between group comparison *p<0.05 Friedman test with data points compared initial vessel diameter.
6.3.2 The Effects of Vandetanib on the Vessel Diameter of Pre-Constricted Pressurised Vessels

Vandetanib significantly increased vessel diameter at concentrations between $3 \times 10^{-7}$ M and $10^{-5}$ M in pre-constricted vessels in comparison to the vessel diameter produced by U46619 constriction ($P<0.05$, Friedman; Figure 6.3). This increase in diameter was significantly different to the DMSO vehicle control ($P<0.05$, Mann Whitney; Figure 6.3). The DMSO vehicle control, at the equivalent concentration of vandetanib $10^{-5}$ M, caused a significant increase in vessel diameter ($P<0.05$, Friedman).

$h$VEGF$_{165}$ caused an increase in vessel diameter in pre-constricted vessels. This was significantly different, from baseline, at $3 \times 10^{-9}$ M and $1 \times 10^{-9}$ M ($P<0.05$, Friedman; Figure 6.3). $h$VEGF$_{165}$ had a pEC$_{50}$ of $13.0 \pm 0.5$. The increase in diameter was significantly different from the DMSO vehicle control group ($P<0.05$, Mann Whitney; Figure 6.3). The DMSO concentration response data showed that DMSO caused a significant increase in vessel diameter at $3 \times 10^{-10}$ M-$10^{-9}$ M ($P<0.05$, Friedman).
Figure 6.3 The effects of vandetanib and hVEGF165 on vessel diameter after preconstriction with U46619. Vessels were preconstricted with U46619 (10^{-7} M), allowed to normalise of 30 min and then treated with increasing concentrations of vandetanib n=6 (a), VEGF n=5 (b) or the vehicle DMSO (n=6 (a) n=5 (b)). Data presented have been pooled and normalised to the vessel diameter produced by U46619 constriction. Each point represents the mean ± SEM. #-p<0.05 Mann Whitney between group comparison *-p<0.05 Friedman test with data points compared against the vessel diameter produced by U46619 constriction.
6.3.3 The Effects of Vandetanib on hVEGF<sub>165</sub> Mediated Vessel Dilatation

Vandetanib significantly inhibited hVEGF<sub>165</sub>-mediated vessel dilatation in comparison to the DMSO control (P<0.05, Mann Whitney; Figure 6.4). The hVEGF<sub>165</sub> + 10<sup>-7</sup> M vandetanib concentration response curve experiment showed no significant change in vessel diameter throughout the concentration range tested (P<0.05, Friedman; Figure 6.4). However, hVEGF<sub>165</sub> + DMSO control caused a significant increase in vessel diameter from 3x10<sup>-10</sup> M onwards (P<0.05, Friedman), with an E<sub>max</sub> of 110% ± 27% compared to the mean hVEGF<sub>165</sub> +10<sup>-7</sup> M vandetanib E<sub>max</sub> of 37% ± 15%. The mean pEC<sub>50</sub> for the hVEGF<sub>165</sub>+10<sup>-7</sup> M vandetanib group was 11.7 ± 0.6, compared to the mean pEC<sub>50</sub> of 12.2 ± 0.2 for the hVEGF<sub>165</sub>a + DMSO, demonstrating that although vandetanib did not cause a significant shift in hVEGF<sub>165</sub> pEC<sub>50</sub> it did cause a reduction in the efficacy of hVEGF<sub>165</sub>. 
Figure 6.4 The effect of vandetanib on VEGF induced vessel dilatation after preconstriction with U46619 (10^-7 M). Vessels were preconstricted with U46619, allowed to normalise of 30 min and then treated with 10^-4 M vandetanib (10^-7 M final concentration) (n=7), or the equivalent DMSO control (n=5) for 10 min before being exposed to increasing concentration of VEGF. Data presented have been pooled and normalised to the vessel diameter produced by the addition of vandetanib or DMSO, after U46619 constriction. Each point represents the mean ± SEM from n separate experiments. # p<0.05 Mann Whitney between group comparison* p<0.05 Friedman test with data points compared against vandetanib/DMSO incubation step.
### 6.3.4 The Effects of Vandetanib on ACh Mediated Vessel Dilatation

ACh + 10 μl PSS elicited significant vessel dilatation between $10^{-7}$ M-$10^{-5}$ M ACh ($p<0.05$, Friedman; Figure 6.5). The ACh + DMSO control group showed a significant increase in vessel diameter between $10^{-6}$ M-$10^{-5}$ M ACh ($p<0.05$, Friedman; Figure 6.5). The ACh + $10^{-7}$ M vandetanib group demonstrated a significant increase in vessel diameter at $10^{-5}$ M ACh ($p<0.05$, Friedman; Figure 6.5). The ACh + $10^{-7}$ M vandetanib group showed a significantly smaller increase in vessel diameter in comparison to ACh + 10 μl PSS ($p<0.05$, Kruskal Wallis). This difference was not seen between the ACh + $10^{-7}$ M vandetanib and ACh + DMSO control or between the ACh + DMSO control and ACh + 10 μl PSS group ($p>0.05$, Kruskal Wallis), demonstrating vandetanib significantly inhibits ACh-mediated dilatation. The pEC$_{50}$ for ACh + PSS was $6.6 \pm 0.2$. pEC$_{50}$ values for the other experimental groups could not be calculated due to the non-linear regression curve not reaching the asymptote.
Figure 6.5 The effect of vandetanib, DMSO and PSS on ACh induced vessel dilatation after preconstriction with U46619 (10^{-7} M)

Vessels were preconstricted with U46619, allowed to normalise of 30 min and then treated with 10 μl 10^{-4} M vandetanib (10^{-7} M final concentration) (n=7), or 10 μl of the equivalent DMSO concentration (n=5), or 10 μl PSS (n=6) for 10 min before being exposed to increasing concentration of ACh. Data presented has been pooled and normalised to the vessel diameter produced by the addition of vandetanib, DMSO or PSS after U46619 constriction. Each point represents the mean ± SEM. #-p<0.05 Kruskal Wallis between group comparison*-p<0.05 Friedman test with data points compared against the PPS/ DMSO/ vandetanib incubation value after U46619 constriction.
6.4 Discussion

This Chapter set out to characterise the effects of vandetanib and pazopanib alone, and vandetanib in combination with hVEGF\textsubscript{165} or ACh on vessel tone. The effects of vandetanib in the presence of hVEGF\textsubscript{165} or ACh were explored due to the preliminary experimental results shown in Section 4.3.2. In vessels not pre-constricted with U46619, vandetanib and pazopanib had no significant effect (Figure 6.2). However, in pre-constricted vessels vandetanib caused a significant dilatation (Figure 6.3). Vandetanib was also shown to significantly reduce hVEGF\textsubscript{165} and ACh-mediated vessel dilatation (Figure 6.4, Figure 6.5). Here the possible reasons for these findings and how they relate to the current literature will be discussed.

6.4.1 The Effect of Vandetanib and Pazopanib on Pressurised Vessel Diameter

Vandetanib and pazopanib did not have a significant effect on vessel diameter in vessels which had not been pre-constricted with U46619 (Figure 6.2). The PEG vehicle used in Figure 6.2 and for the \textit{in vivo} experiments in Chapters 4 and 5 had no significant effect on vessel tone.

The lack of effect of vandetanib and pazopanib on vessel diameter was somewhat unexpected as Mayer \textit{et al} (2011) had previously reported vandetanib was able to reduce resting brachial arterial diameter and increase vascular resistance (Mayer \textit{et al}., 2011).

One explanation for the above result is that the vessels used in the experimental preparation were not under sufficient haemodynamic pressure to produce vascular cell signalling,
and therefore vandetanib/pazopanib targeted receptor tyrosine kinases were not activated. This would mean vandetanib and pazopanib were unable to bind to their target kinases (see Section 1.3.1 for explanation of class I RTKI mechanism) and could not elicit an effect. Haemodynamic pressure consists of 2 factors; shear stress and cyclic circumferential stretch (Chatterjee and Fisher, 2014a; Yamamoto and Ando, 2015).

In the isobaric myography protocol used here, vessels experienced cyclic circumferential stretch but not shear stress, as no flow through the vessel was allowed. The role of shear stress in the absence of cyclic circumferential stretch and vice versa, along with how ECs differentiate these mechano-signals is not fully understood (Yamamoto and Ando, 2015). However, there is an emerging theme in the literature that suggests cyclic stress in the absence of shear stress is primarily linked to endothelial remodelling. Sipkema et al demonstrated that in intact vessels, cyclic stretch lead to reorganisation of the endothelial cytoskeleton, with actin filaments realigned toward the direction of the cyclic stress (Sipkema et al., 2003). Cyclic circumferential stretch has also been linked to the regulation of cell and vessel remodelling by Wang et al who showed human ECs exposed to cyclic stress had increased expression of metalloproteinases (Michel, 2003; Wang et al., 2003). The myography experiments discussed herein would need to be repeated with the addition of shear stress to test this hypothesis further.

Vandetanib- and pazopanib-mediated vasodilatation would go relatively unseen in vessel preparations that had not been pre-constricted, as the vessel diameter does not have as much capacity to dilate. In order to test this vandetanib was added in a cumulative manner to vessel pre-constricted with U46619.
6.4.2 The Effect of Vandetanib on the Vessel Diameter of Pre-Constricted Pressurised Vessels

Vandetanib led to a significant dilatation in vessels pre-constricted with U46619 (Figure 6.3). This result was unexpected and contradicts the current literature (Bhargava, 2009; Kružliak et al., 2014; Lankhorst et al., 2014; Abi Aad et al., 2015; Lankhorst et al., 2015).

U46619, used to constrict the vessels, is a thromboxane A$_2$ (TxA$_2$) agonist (Scornik and Toro, 1992). TxA$_2$ production in the EC is initiated by shear stress, which triggers the Ca$^{2+}$ dependent production of arachidonic acid; this is converted into prostaglandin H2 and subsequently to TxA$_2$ by TxA$_2$ synthase (otherwise known as CYP5). TxA$_2$ binds to thromboxane receptors (TP) on smooth muscle cells. TP is coupled to both Gq/11 and G12/13 G proteins, and therefore signals via the PLC and Rho kinase pathways to elicit contraction (Tsai and Jiang, 2006; Ellinsworth et al., 2014). TxA$_2$ has been shown to reduce NO and PGI$_2$-mediated vessel relaxation (Feletou et al., 2011). Vandetanib has been shown to bind to intracellular kinases involved in the vascular smooth muscle contraction mediated by TxA$_2$, for example Davis et al showed that vandetanib was able to bind to MEK with a Kd of 49 nM (Davis et al., 2011). Vandetanib has been shown to be able to bind to the active conformation of tyrosine kinase domains (Gotink and Verheul, 2010), this paired with its ability to cross the cell membrane (Carter et al., 2015) and reverse U46619-mediated constriction suggests that vandetanib may inhibit tyrosine kinase activity in vasoconstrictive as well as vasodilatory signalling pathways.
6.4.3 The Effects of Vandetanib on hVEGF\textsubscript{165a}-Mediated Vessel Dilatation

Vandetanib was shown to non-competitively inhibit hVEGF\textsubscript{165a}-mediated dilatation (Figure 6.4) significantly reducing the maximal effect of hVEGF\textsubscript{165a} while not causing a significant shift in hVEGF\textsubscript{165a} pEC\textsubscript{50} (Figure 6.4), in keeping with the \textit{in vitro} findings in Chapter 3. The inhibition of VEGF-mediated dilatation in isolated vessel preparations supports the hypothesis that VEGF RTKIs cause vasoconstriction.

hVEGF\textsubscript{165} had a pEC\textsubscript{50} of 13.0 $\pm$ 0.5 (Figure 6.3) in pre-constricted rat mesenteric arterioles. This is significantly different from the potency of hVEGF\textsubscript{165a} in HEK293 cells transfected with VEGFR2 and NFAT-RE-luc2P (Chapter 3, hVEGF\textsubscript{165a} pEC\textsubscript{50}=9.66 $\pm$ 0.05 p<0.05, student T test). This in part may be due to the use of human VEGF\textsubscript{165}, rather than the equivalent rat VEGF\textsubscript{164} (Ishii \textit{et al.}, 2001). The protein sequence for rat VEGF\textsubscript{164} has 88\% homology with the human VEGF\textsubscript{165a} (Figure 6.6). Although this does not rule out a difference between the potency of the two VEGF isoforms at VEGFR2, it does show that these 2 isoforms are similar. Comparing the effect of rat VEGF\textsubscript{164} in this experimental set up to human VEGF\textsubscript{165} data shown here (Figure 6.3) would allow for any difference between the actions of these two isoforms on rat mesenteric arterioles to be further explored.
The difference in the potency of VEGF in the recombinant VEGF-NFAT cell line vs the isolated rat mesenteric arteriole could also be due to the physiological and structural differences between tissues and cell lines. Unlike the recombinant cell line, the arteriole is comprised of multiple cell phenotypes which natively express VEGFR2 and the corresponding signalling proteins needed to produce a vasoactive response. As the vessel is intact, its ability to increase a vasodilatory/vasoconstrictive response though electrical transduction is maintained (Segal et al., 1999). This process primarily occurs through gap junction signalling. Gap junctions are comprised of two connexons, one provided by each cell to form small ‘pores’ between cells in very close proximity. Gap junctions allow small molecules (<1000 Da) and electrical current to pass between cells (Salameh et al., 2005). Propagation of electrical signals between endothelial and vascular smooth muscle cells leads to a fast response to stimuli and smooth coordinated constriction/dilatation of the vessel (Lehoux et al., 2006). As each cell does not have to be
stimulated individually, less agonist is needed reducing the EC$_{50}$ of stimulatory molecules.

### 6.4.4 The Effects of Vandetanib on ACh-Mediated Vessel Dilatation

ACh causes endothelium dependent relaxation of vascular smooth muscle, and therefore vessel dilatation (Furchgott and Zawadzki, 1980). ACh binds to M$_3$ mAChR on ECs. This in turn leads to the production of NO and PGI$_2$ via the activation of the PLC and PI3K pathways (Socha et al., 2012; Kang, 2014). ACh uses the same signalling pathways as VEGFR2 to produce NO and PGI$_2$. Therefore inhibition of ACh-mediated dilatation by VEGF RTKIs, which have not been shown it interact with GPCRs, such as the M$_3$ mAChR, would demonstrate vandetanib is able to functionally inhibit intracellular signalling kinases alongside receptor tyrosine kinases. Figure 6.5 shows vandetanib is able to significantly inhibit ACh-mediated vessel dilatation. This result, and the findings from Davis et al and others (Kumar et al., 2007; Davis et al., 2011), which demonstrate RTKIs are able to bind to catalytic domains of intracellular kinases, as well as the data in Chapter 3, which shows vandetanib is able to penetrate the cell membrane, suggests that vandetanib does not specifically inhibit receptor tyrosine kinases. This result shows the need for a better understanding of RTKI targets. It is possible that the multi-targeted actions of RTKIs, like vandetanib, lead to an inhibition of vessel dilatation, regardless of the initiating signalling molecule.
6.4.5 Conclusion

Vandetanib and pazopanib produced no significant effect on vessel diameter in non-preconstricted vessels. Vandetanib has been shown to inhibit VEGF and ACh-mediated vessel dilatation. Vandetanib was also shown to elicit vasodilatation in the presence of a vasoconstrictor, a novel finding. Overall this Chapter clearly demonstrates the importance of the multi-targeted nature of pazopanib and vandetanib. A clearer understanding of the effects of RTKIs on endothelial signalling in vessels or in the whole animal is needed to gain a greater understanding of the underlying mechanisms that lead to hypertension.
Chapter 7: General Discussion
7.1 Introduction

One of the main side effects of anti-angiogenic VEGF therapies is the development of cardio-toxicity, specifically hypertension. The main objectives of this work were to determine the haemodynamic profile of VEGF therapies and to elucidate the possible signalling sequelae involved in the development of RTKI-induced hypertension. Through characterising the haemodynamic, cardiovascular and signalling profile of a panel of RTKIs in vitro and in vivo the detrimental hypertensive effect of these compounds and the possible mechanisms of action by which hypertension occurs were explored. With this in mind the specific aims of this project were:

a) To determine the pharmacological characteristics of a panel of receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors (RTKIs) (cediranib, sorafenib, pazopanib and vandetanib) in a whole cell system using VEGF-stimulated Nuclear Factor of Activated T-cell (NFAT) signalling in HEK293 cells expressing the human VEGFR2 and an NFAT reporter gene linked to firefly luciferase. The actions of the above RTKIs were also explored in the presence of two VEGF-A isoforms, VEGF$^{165a}$ and VEGF$^{165b}$.

b) To investigate the chronic effects of vandetanib and pazopanib on heart rate and blood pressure in male Sprague Dawley rats.

c) To assess the effect of various concentrations of vandetanib and pazopanib on heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure, hindquarter, renal and mesenteric vascular conductances in male Sprague Dawley rats.

d) To assess the action of vandetanib and pazopanib on isolated pressurised mesenteric arterioles, and to
elucidate the effect of vandetanib on VEGF and ACh-mediated vessel dilatation.

7.2 The Pharmacological Characteristics of a Panel of Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors (RTKIs) (Cediranib, Sorafenib, Pazopanib and Vandetanib) in a Whole Cell System

This aim was addressed in Chapter 3, where cediranib, sorafenib, pazopanib and vandetanib were shown to non-competitively inhibit VEGF$_{165}$ and VEGF$_{165b}$-VEGFR2 mediated activation of the NFAT-luciferase reporter gene. Both VEGF$_{165}$ and VEGF$_{165b}$ were shown to stimulate VEGFR2-mediated NFAT-luciferase activation; however the efficacy of VEGF$_{165b}$ at VEGFR2 was lower than VEGF$_{165}$.

The findings from the study described in Chapter 3 raised several questions:

1. Do RTKIs have the same order of potency at VEGFRs in human tissue?
2. Do RTKIs show a bias toward blocking certain signalling pathways over others, for example by blocking certain tyrosine kinase residues at a higher potency than others?
3. Does the order of potency found in vitro translate to the extent and commonality of hypertension in the rat/human?

Question 1 is important as it allows for the results gained here to be related to physiologically relevant tissue, and therefore give more insight onto RTKI potency. In order to answer this question, human primary cells from various tissues, e.g. resistance arterioles and the heart could be exposed to
cumulative concentrations of VEGF_{165} in the presence of varying concentrations of RTKI and vice versa, similar to the experiments performed in Chapter 3. This would allow for a comparison in the order of potency of cediranib, sorafenib, pazopanib and vandetanib in more relevant tissue types.

An answer to question 2 would give a greater understanding of RTKI pathway bias. This is important as different VEGFR2 signalling pathways have different outcomes for the cell (Figure 1.1), and therefore RTKI bias may be, in part, responsible for the differences seen between various RTKI side effect profiles. In order to address this question, reporter gene assays, such as the one used in Chapter 3 could be used. Rather than looking at the activation of NFAT, the activation of serum response element (SRE), a response element of the MAPK pathway (Hill et al., 2001) could be used. The MAPK pathway in ECs is linked to cell proliferation and vasodilatation (Figure 1.1): processes important for tumour growth and blood pressure control, respectively.

Question 3 addresses whether the order of potency found in the cell line translates to the extent and commonality of hypertension in the rat/human. In order to investigate this, cediranib, sorafenib, pazopanib and vandetanib would need to be given to rats/humans at equivalent ED_{50} values. This would allow for a direct comparison between the severity and commonality of hypertension between compound populations. In Chapters 4 and 5, vandetanib and pazopanib were given to rats at equivalent ED_{50} values, these studies elucidated that although pazopanib has a greater potency at VEGFR2 in vitro it did not cause a greater increase in mean arterial blood pressure over a 21 day dosing period, but rather produced a more sustained increase in mean arterial blood pressure after
treatment. This result suggests that vandetanib and pazopanib may have different pharmacokinetic profiles (Section 5.4.2).

Understanding the link between *in vitro* VEGFR2 receptor potency and the *in vivo* hypertensive side effect profile is important for new VEGF RTKI compound design.

### 7.3 The Chronic Effects of Vandetanib and Pazopanib on Heart Rate and Blood Pressure in Male Sprague Dawley Rats

In long-term telemetry studies (Chapter 4 and 5), vandetanib appeared to demonstrate significant hypertensive effects within 24 h of the first dose. This was maintained throughout the dosing period and for 7 days after dosing for the vandetanib 25 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹ treatment group. In a preliminary staining study of the mesenteric vasculature performed on tissue taken from the vandetanib treatment group in the telemetry study, no significant signs of rarefaction were seen, however there was a trend toward rarefaction, suggesting that rarefaction may have occurred during the treatment period and been reversed post-treatment. In comparison pazopanib produced a significant increase in mean arterial blood pressure from day 10 day of dosing. This effect persisted throughout the measured post-treatment period. Patient populations taking pazopanib have been shown to be more likely to develop hypertension than those prescribed vandetanib (Hamberg *et al*., 2010; Wells *et al*., 2012; Bible *et al*., 2014). This knowledge, combined with the results from Chapters 4 and 5 indicate that VEGF RTKI induced hypertension may be, in part, due to physiological cardiovascular changes such as rarefaction. It is also possible that pazopanib has a different kinetics profile to vandetanib (previously discussed in Section
5.4.2). These findings are novel and have raised multiple research questions:

1. Do vandetanib and pazopanib cause rarefaction after 3 weeks of treatment, and does this process reverse after treatment stops?
2. Do VEGF RTKIs cause endothelial dysfunction?
3. Are the kinetics profile between vandetanib and pazopanib different?

In order to address the first question further mesenteric studies would need to be undertaken. By looking at the mesenteric vasculature at different stages of treatment length as well as post-treatment it would be possible to ascertain if rarefaction is a contributing factor to VEGF RTKI induced hypertension.

Question 2 addresses the hypothesis that VEGF RTKIs cause endothelial dysfunction which consequently leads to hypertension. In order to explore this further, blood plasma concentrations of endothelial dysfunction markers and inflammatory molecules, such as c-reactive protein and interleukin 6, respectively (Lopez-Garcia et al., 2004), from humans/ rats which had been subject to VEGF RTKI treatment vs no/vehicle treatment should be measured and compared.

Finally, the higher potency of pazopanib at VEGFR2 (IC$_{50}$=8.25 ± 0.03 in comparison to vandetanib (IC$_{50}$=6.72 ± 0.03; Carter et al., 2015) leads to the prediction that pazopanib would cause a greater increase in blood pressure than vandetanib. This hypothesis is supported by the greater number of patients who experience hypertension with pazopanib compared to vandetanib in the clinic (Hamberg et
al., 2010; Bible et al., 2014; Wells et al., 2012). The considerably shorter plasma half-life of pazopanib in comparison to vandetanib (Section 1.3.1.1 and 1.3.1.2) would also lead to the prediction that the hypertensive effects of pazopanib would wane prior to those of vandetanib. However this was not the case (Chapter 4 and 5). One explanation for this observation is a difference in the kinetic profile of pazopanib in comparison to vandetanib. Slow dissociation of a drug from its target can lead to a disengagement between its pharmacokinetic profile and the compounds pharmacodynamic effects, with measured efficacy significantly outlasting detectable plasma concentrations of drug (Vauquelin and Charlton, 2010). If radiolabelled versions of the compounds were available, their dissociation rates could be directly measured using scintillation proximity assays with an immobilised version of the catalytic domain of the VEGFR (Park et al., 1999), allowing further exploration of this hypothesis.

7.4 The Effect of Various Concentrations of Vandetanib and Pazopanib on Heart Rate, Mean Arterial Blood Pressure, Hindquarter, Renal and Mesenteric Vascular Conductances in Male Sprague Dawley Rats.

The dose dependent effects of pazopanib i.p and vandetanib i.p on heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure, and vascular conductance of the renal, mesenteric and hindquarter vascular beds were investigated over 4 days. Both vandetanib and pazopanib lead to hypertension and vasoconstriction of the mesenteric and hindquarter vascular beds, pazopanib also caused significant vasoconstriction of the renal vascular bed. None of the variables measured in the haemodynamic studies
significantly differed between the 30 mg kg$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ pazopanib and 25 mg kg$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ vandetanib groups. The effect of vandetanib and pazopanib on vascular conductance is a novel finding and suggests these compounds may lead to hypertension via a vasoactive mechanism. The results gained in these studies raised multiple questions:

1. Are the cardiovascular effects of vandetanib and pazopanib persistent when rats are dosed chronically and are they consistent once treatment has stopped?
2. Do vandetanib and pazopanib affect vessel tone?
3. Are the effects of vandetanib and pazopanib on blood pressure and vascular conductance negated in the presence of a NO-donor or PGI$_2$?

Question 1 is aimed toward characterising the long term effects of RTKIs and will give more information about the incidence of permanent physiological adaptations in the presence of VEGF RTKIs. This question was addressed in Chapters 4 and 5 and was discussed in Section 7.3.

With the evidence that vandetanib and pazopanib lead to reduced vascular conductance, there is a likely possibility that RTKI-induced hypertension, is in part, caused by their effect on vessel tone. In order to investigate this hypothesis further, and address question 2, myography studies were performed in Chapter 6. The results from these studies will be further discussed in Section 7.5.

Finally, an understanding of the signalling processes involved in the hypertensive and vasoconstrictive effects of pazopanib and vandetanib is needed. Chapter 3 and previously discussed published data suggest that these effects may be due to
antagonism of VEGFR2, which initially leads to inhibition of NO-dependent vasodilatation and in turn endothelial dysfunction. By looking at the effects of vandetanib and pazopanib on heart rate, blood pressure and vascular conductance in the presence of an NO donor and/or epoprostenol (PGI$_2$), the underlying signalling mechanisms behind VEGF RTKI induced hypertension can be further explored.

7.5 The Action of Vandetanib and Pazopanib on Isolated Pressurised Mesenteric Arterioles, and the Effect of Vandetanib on VEGF and Acetylcholine Mediated Vessel Dilatation.

This aim was addressed in Chapter 6 where pazopanib and vandetanib were shown to have no significant effect on vessel diameter in non-preconstricted vessels. Vandetanib was shown to inhibit VEGF- and ACh-mediated vessel dilatation, a novel finding. Vandetanib was also able to induce vasodilatation in the presence of a vasoconstrictor (U46619), a previously unseen finding. The results in Chapter 6 clearly demonstrate the importance of the multi-targeted nature of pazopanib and vandetanib. Finally, hVEGF$_{165}$a was shown to be significantly more potent in rat mesenteric arterioles than in the VEGF NFAT recombinant HEK293 cell line, this result was expected due to the ability for ECs, which contain the full plethora of VEGFR signalling machinery, to propagate vasoactive signals through cell-cell interactions (discussed fully in Chapter 6). These results, combined with those previously discussed raise multiple questions:

1. Does vandetanib and pazopanib affect vessel structure?
2. Do vandetanib and pazopanib exert the same effect in the presence of other vasoactive substances?
3. Do class II and class III RTKIs demonstrate the same effect as vandetanib and pazopanib in non-preconstricted vessels?

Vessel stiffness has been noted as a physiological marker of hypertension (Chirinos, 2012). Vessel stiffness is characterised by a thickening of the vessel wall and leads to the vessel being less responsive to vasoactive substances and haemodynamic stress, reducing the ability for the vessel to dilate. Whether this is a marker of hypertension or a cause, is currently disputed in the literature (Hall et al., 2012). In order to look for structural changes in the vessel, vessel biopsies from rats/humans treated with vandetanib/ pazopanib could be harvested and histological staining of the vascular smooth muscle performed.

Previous research has shown that vandetanib and pazopanib are able to bind to multiple receptor tyrosine kinases as well as intracellular signalling kinases (Davis et al., 2011); however the effect of this on their hypertensive side effect profile is unknown. In order to address question 2, the experiments in Chapter 6 Sections 6.3.3 and 6.3.4 should be repeated in the presence of vasoactive stimuli shown to be inhibited by vandetanib and pazopanib. One example of this would be PDGF. The inhibition of PDGF signalling has been shown to reduce pericyte recruitment during angiogenesis, therefore reducing vessel stability (Heldin, 2013). Understanding the effects of VEGF RTKIs multi-targeted action on their vasoactive profile will help to further improve future drug design.
Finally, vandetanib and pazopanib were shown to have no effect on unstimulated vessel preparations. Both vandetanib and pazopanib are class I RTKIs. They exert their inhibitory effects by binding to the active conformation of the receptor, competitively antagonising ATP binding at various intracellular kinase groups (Gotink and Verheul, 2010). However, class II and class III RTKIs are able to bind to their targets while they are in the non-active conformational state (Zhang et al., 2009; Gotink and Verheul, 2010; Davis et al., 2011). By repeating the experiments in Figure 6.2, with sorafenib and neratinib a greater understanding of how an RTKI’s mechanism of action affects VEGF RTKI vasoactivity would be gained.

### 7.6 Overall Conclusion

Targeted therapeutics, as opposed to traditional cytotoxic therapies, were first brought to the clinic in the hope that they would reduce many of the side effects seen with non-specific cytotoxic chemotherapy. VEGF RTKIs, which are multi-targeted, have become a popular therapeutic tool as they have been shown to be relatively well tolerated in comparison to cytotoxic chemotherapies and drug resistance is a less common problem.

The inhibition of VEGF, a potent stimulant for angiogenesis (Gacche and Meshram, 2014), by VEGF RTKIs has been shown to reduce vessel density, cancer metastasis and tumour size (Liang et al., 2014). Significant increases in progression free survival for a multitude of cancer types has lead to VEGF RTKIs being FDA approval for the treatment of various solid tumours. For example, sorafenib and pazopanib have been shown to significantly improve progression free survival in renal cell
cancer, with patients taking these compounds on average living 3 to 9 months longer (Meadows and Hurwitz, 2012).

However, anti-VEGF RTKIs have been shown to have class specific side effects such as hypertension. Hypertension can lead to life threatening conditions such as stroke, arterial thrombo-embolisms and acute heart failure (Eschenhagen et al., 2011). Therefore, clinicians must weigh up the cost-benefit ratio of prescribing these compounds, as although they extend a patient’s progression free survival they are also able to produce serious side effects for those taking them. Whether a chosen VEGF RTKI will be prescribed depends on the age of the patient, any pre-existing conditions the patient may have, the tumour type and its genetic profile as well as any other concomitant treatments prescribed eg, surgical removal of the tumour mass. A better understanding of how VEGF RTKIs cause hypertension may help to further inform clinicians about the best treatments to prescribe VEGF-RTKI mediated hypertension as well as assist the research and development of anti-VEGF compounds that prolong patient progression free survival while not being cardio-toxic.

The body of work undertaken here has given novel insight into the ability for anti-VEGF RTKIs work non-competitively to inhibit VEGF mediated effects in the cell. It has also produced a validated method of reproducing hypertension in a rat model, both in the short and long term. These models have shown that different anti-VEGF RTKIs have different regional haemodynamic and post-treatment hypertensive side effect profiles. Finally, an isolated vessel myography technique was developed that demonstrated VEGF RTKIs are able to cause vessel dilatation, while also inhibiting VEGF and ACh mediated
vessel dilatation, implying these compounds may have further off target effects.

The ability to use validated in vivo models to reproduce anti-VEGF RTKI mediated hypertension allows for future investigation into the mechanisms behind how hypertension occurs as well as how best to reverse it. The models tested here also allow for further study into the post-treatment hypertensive profile of these compounds – an important issue for patients who stop taking drugs like pazopanib, shown here to have a more sustained hypertensive effect in the rat after treatment in comparison to vandetanib. Finally, the experimental model of myography developed here enables further research into the direct effect of VEGF RTKIs on vessel diameter. This may provide an opportunity to re-define the proteins compounds like vandetanib interact with. Not only is this important for understanding the mechanisms behind the therapeutic and non-therapeutic effects of vandetanib but may also aid development of the next generation of safer anti-angiogenic therapies.
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