

Hoad, Caroline and Menys, A. and Garsed, Klara and Marciani, Luca and Hamy, Valentin and Murray, Kathryn and Costigan, Carolyn and Atkinson, D. and Major, Giles and Spiller, Robin C. and Taylor, S. and Gowland, Penny A. (2016) Colon wall motility: comparison of novel quantitative semi-automatic measurements using cine MRI. *Neurogastroenterology and Motility*, 28 (3). pp. 327-335. ISSN 1365-2982

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:

http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/39606/1/submitted_revision.pdf

Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the University of Nottingham End User licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see:
http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end_user_agreement.pdf

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk



Colon wall motility: comparison of novel quantitative semi-automatic measurements using cine-MRI

Journal:	<i>Neurogastroenterology and Motility</i>
Manuscript ID	NMO-00241-2015.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	<p>Hoad, Caroline; University of Nottingham, Sir Peter Mansfield Imaging Centre, School of Physics and Astronomy; Nottingham University Hospitals Trust and the University of Nottingham, NIHR Biomedical Research Unit in Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases</p> <p>Menys, Alex; UCL, Centre for Medical Imaging</p> <p>Garsed, Klara; Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust and University of Nottingham, NIHR Biomedical Research Unit in Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases</p> <p>Marciani, Luca; Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust and University of Nottingham, NIHR Biomedical Research Unit in Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases; University of Nottingham, Nottingham Digestive Diseases Centre, School of Medicine</p> <p>Hamy, Valentin; UCL, Centre for Medical Imaging</p> <p>Murray, Kathryn; University of Nottingham, Sir Peter Mansfield Imaging Centre, School of Physics and Astronomy</p> <p>Costigan, Carolyn; University of Nottingham, Sir Peter Mansfield Imaging Centre, School of Physics and Astronomy</p> <p>Atkinson, David; UCL, Centre for Medical Imaging</p> <p>Major, Giles; Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust and University of Nottingham, NIHR Biomedical Research Unit in Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases</p> <p>Spiller, Robin; Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust and University of Nottingham, NIHR Biomedical Research Unit in Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases; University of Nottingham, Nottingham Digestive Diseases Centre, School of Medicine</p> <p>Taylor, Stuart; UCL, Centre for Medical Imaging</p> <p>Gowland, Penny; University of Nottingham, Sir Peter Mansfield Imaging Centre, School of Physics and Astronomy</p>
Key Words:	Cine MRI, motility, imaging metric, ascending colon

Colon wall motility: comparison of novel quantitative semi-automatic measurements using cine-MRI

Running title: Colonic Wall Motility using cine-MRI

C.L. Hoad^{1,2*}, A. Menys³, K. Garsed², L. Marciani^{2,4}, V. Hamy³, K. Murray¹, C. Costigan¹, D. Atkinson³, G. Major², R.C. Spiller^{2,4}, S.A. Taylor³, P.A. Gowland¹

¹ Sir Peter Mansfield Imaging Centre, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

² NIHR Biomedical Research Unit in Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases, Nottingham University Hospitals Trust and the University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

³ Centre for Medical Imaging, Division of Medicine, University College London, UK

⁴ Nottingham Digestive Diseases Centre, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

* Corresponding Author

Caroline Hoad, Sir Peter Mansfield Imaging Centre, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD

Email: Caroline.L.Hoad@nottingham.ac.uk

Tel: +44 (0)115 8466003

Fax: +44 (0)115 9515166

Author Contributions: CLH, LM, GM, RCS and PAG designed the study, KG, and CC acquired the data, AM, VH, DA, and SAT developed the registration

Hoad 2

1 algorithms and designed the analysis interface, CLH, AM, KM analysed the data.
2 CLH, AM, LM, GM, RCS, SAT and PAG interpreted the data. CLH and AM drafted
3 the manuscript. CLH, AM, LM, RCS, SAT and PAG critically reviewed the
4 manuscript. All authors approved the final version.

5
6

For Peer Review

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 ABSTRACT

2 **Background:** Recently cine MRI has shown promise for visualising movement of
3 the colonic wall, although assessment of data has been subjective and observer
4 dependent. This study aimed to develop an objective and semi-automatic
5 imaging metric of ascending colonic wall movement, using image registration
6 techniques.

7 **Methods:** Cine balanced turbo field echo (bTFE) MRI images of ascending
8 colonic motility were acquired over 2 minutes from 23 healthy volunteers (HVs)
9 at baseline and following two different macrogol stimulus drinks (11 HVs drank
10 1L and 12 HVs drank 2 L). Motility metrics derived from large scale geometric
11 and small scale pixel movement parameters following image registration were
12 developed using the post-ingestion data and compared to observer grading of
13 wall motion. Inter and intra-observer variability of the highest correlating metric
14 was assessed using Bland-Altman analysis calculated from 2 separate
15 observations on a subset of data.

16 **Key Results:** All the metrics tested showed significant correlation with the
17 observer rating scores. Line analysis produced the highest correlation coefficient
18 of 0.74 (95% CI 0.55-0.86), $p < 0.001$, (Spearman Rho). Bland-Altman analysis
19 of the inter- and intra-observer variability for the line analysis metric, showed
20 almost zero bias and small limits of agreement between observations (-0.039-
21 0.052 intra-observer and -0.051-0.054 inter-observer, range of measurement 0-
22 0.353).

23 **Conclusions and Inferences:** The line analysis index of colonic motility derived
24 from cine MRI registered data provides a quick, accurate and non-invasive
25 method to detect wall motion within the ascending colon following a colonic
26 stimulus in the form of a macrogol drink.

1

2 Keywords: Cine MRI, motility, imaging metric, ascending colon

3

4 Key Messages

5

6 Recent cine MRI has shown promise to visualise the movement of the colonic
7 walls, however assessment has been limited to subjective and semi-automatic
8 analysis.

9

10 This study reports the development of an objective metric of ascending colon
11 motility derived from cine MRI data using image registration techniques to
12 remove respiratory motion and parameterise bowel movements.

13

14 Data from 23 healthy volunteers, who had received a **macrogol** stimulus, were
15 **used** to assess potential metrics, which were derived from cine MRI data. These
16 metrics were compared to an observer score of motility.

17

18 The line analysis metric produced the **highest correlation coefficient** with the
19 observer **scoring**. Inter- and intra-observer variability was low **for this metric**.

20

21 This study has shown **that** it is possible to generate objective semi-automatic
22 metrics of colonic motility from cine MRI data using image registration
23 techniques.

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 Assessment of colonic motor activity is difficult owing to its erratic nature and
2 the inaccessibility of the colon for study. Previous methods have largely relied on
3 procedures that are unpleasant for patients and demanding for staff; these
4 involve placing pressure probes into the colon, which usually requires bowel
5 cleansing, colonoscopy and often endoscopic clips to secure the probe,
6 facilitating long term recordings (1). Recent developments with high resolution
7 manometry have provided unprecedented detail on the different types of motor
8 activity (2) but the technique remains difficult and expensive, limiting use to a
9 few specialised centres. Scintigraphic methods allow accurate, reproducible
10 assessment of transit and have been widely used to investigate pharmacologic
11 modulation of gut transit (3). However scintigraphy only assesses bulk transit
12 and does not allow detailed assessment of the motor patterns which may be
13 relevant when studying disease or drugs which specifically target abnormal
14 motility. More recently the Smart Pill has been introduced as a less invasive
15 alternative while still allowing direct assessment of motor activity (4). Although
16 better tolerated by patients, its use is limited by expense and it cannot be used
17 to reliably assess specific regions of the colon because of the inability to control
18 its position. This is also the case for the proposed Magnetic Tracking System (5)
19 which again relies on the passage of a magnetic pill through the GI tract to
20 assess the motor activity. Increasing data suggests dynamic motion capture
21 "cine" MRI is acceptable to patients and could allow detailed evaluation of colonic
22 motor activity. It has previously been shown that 1 litre of electrolyte macrogol
23 solution provides a reliable stimulus with vigorous movements of the ascending
24 colon (AC) in healthy volunteers (6) within an hour of ingestion. MRI scanners
25 are now widely available in larger hospitals and costs for a short examination

(30 minutes) are similar to those of a nuclear medicine gastric emptying test and are considerably less than endoscopy and colonoscopy.

An important component to MR based motility assessment is automation and quantitative analysis, and non-linear image registration based techniques (7) can provide a range of quantitative measures for assessing bowel motion.

Registration provides 1) parametric surrogate markers of motility and 2) allows automatic propagation of regions of interest (ROIs) through time series data once an ROI has been defined on a single image (7). This type of analysis has produced objective and repeatable metrics of global small bowel motility (8, 9).

Until recently, the most widely used registration technique was only applicable to breath-held data (8, 10) as breathing produces additional motion within the images that is not related to the GI motion of interest, thus confounding the data, by mimicking bowel movement. This is problematic for colonic motility imaging as contractions are comparatively infrequent necessitating free-breathing protocols. Recent advances however have introduced a dual stage process that first corrects respiratory motion in extended free-breathing data sets (11) before performing motility assessment (12) facilitating data collection of longer time periods. Colonic motion has been recently studied using cine MRI (6, 13, 14), but analysis remains subjective and time consuming using semi-quantitative, subjective assessment of contractions, flow and bowel wall motion. To date there have been no reported investigations of objective imaging metrics of colonic motility derived from free breathing MRI data.

The aim of this study was to develop an objective imaging metric which quantifies movement in the ascending colon walls during free breathing cine

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 MRI, by applying image registration techniques to generate the metrics and
2 validate these against observer rating scores of motility.
3
4 Materials and Methods
5
6 The data used for this work was a subset of that acquired from an open-label,
7 parallel group design study in healthy volunteers using MRI to investigate the
8 small bowel and colonic response to 1 and 2 litres of polyethylene glycol (PEG)
9 (Macrogol 3550) electrolyte solution (Moviprep[®], Norgine Pharmaceuticals Ltd,
10 Harefield, UK) (6). Such PEG solutions are widely used in larger quantities than
11 used here as bowel cleansing preparations prior to colonoscopy or barium enema
12 examinations. The full study was registered on the EU Clinical Trials Register
13 with EudraCT Number 2010-021879-85. It was approved by the National
14 Research Ethics Service (approval 10/H0906/50), the NHS Trust R&D (approval
15 10GA018) and by the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency
16 (MHRA Clinical Trial Authorization CTA 03057/0045/001-0001, protocol 10050).
17 All volunteers gave written consent before participating.
18 The details of the study protocol have been already published (5). In brief 24
19 healthy volunteers were divided into two age matched groups of 12 subjects.
20 Group 1 received 1 litre of PEG electrolyte solution at 1pm on day 1 following a 6
21 hour fast and a further 1 litre at 8am the next morning. Group 2 were given a
22 single dose of 2 litres PEG electrolyte solution at 8am on the study day following
23 an overnight fast. Group 1 was given 1 hour to ingest the solution and Group 2
24 was given 2 hours. Time 0 was then defined as the time of the first MRI scan
25 immediately after ingestion of the PEG electrolyte solution. Baseline scans
26 (performed according to the protocol described below) were acquired before the

1 PEG electrolyte solutions were ingested and then further scans were acquired
2 hourly for 4 hours following ingestion. Subjects spent around 15-20 minutes in
3 the scanner at each time point and sat upright for the rest of the time. 1 subject
4 from Group 1 withdrew from the study. To provide a range of motility data, only
5 the baseline and first two post ingestion time points were considered (baseline,
6 T=0, T=60 min). To identify potential motility metrics, the post-ingestion data
7 from group 1 and group 2 were combined to provide a range of motility
8 responses as it has previously been shown that the motility response (assessed
9 by an observer) was dose dependent (6).

10
11 Imaging was carried out using a 1.5 T Philips Achieva Scanner. Each volunteer
12 was positioned supine in the scanner with a 4 element parallel imaging body coil
13 wrapped around the abdomen. The colonic motility scan was one of a range of
14 scans acquired to characterise the response of the gastrointestinal tract to the
15 PEG solution; information on all the sequences used can be found in (6). The
16 colonic motility scan comprised of a single sagittal slice, balanced turbo field
17 echo (bTFE) positioned by the radiographer centrally through the AC with field of
18 view $330 \times 228 \text{ mm}^2$, in-plane resolution $1.5 \times 1.5 \text{ mm}$, slice thickness 15 mm,
19 flip angle 70° , repetition time/echo time 3.0/1.5 ms. A cine dataset was acquired
20 using this sequence every second for 2 minutes. Subjects were asked to shallow
21 breath throughout the acquisition.

22
23 The data was registered using the DRAM methodology (12) performed at a
24 different laboratory, blind to the subject preparation. In brief, effects of
25 respiratory motion on the data were first removed by registering using Robust
26 Data Decomposition Registration(11). The resulting images were then

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 subsequently non-linearly registered using an optic flow technique (7) to
2 generate the deformation fields which define how each image maps onto a
3 median image generated from the entire data series.
4
5 Following registration, in-house software written using Matlab® (The Mathworks
6 Inc) was used to allow an observer to define regions of interest (ROI) and lines
7 across the single median image of the AC (Figure 1). This information was then
8 used to automatically derive both small scale pixel-based and large scale
9 geometry-based metrics, from the registration parameters.
10
11 Four potential imaging metrics were investigated, two based on small scale pixel
12 deformation fields and two based on large scale geometry changes. A single
13 observer (CH) defined all the ROIs to generate the different metrics.
14 (A) **SD_JAC**: The standard deviation (SD) of the Jacobian, representing the
15 fractional area change of each pixel due to deformation, averaged over an
16 ROI encompassing the whole AC (drawn by an observer), a measure
17 which has previously been used to quantify small bowel motility (8). Each
18 image in the time series is registered to a target image, so that each pixel
19 has a displacement field associated with it for each time point. Distortions
20 that do not result in a local change of area have a Jacobian value of 1. If
21 the local area decreases, the value of the Jacobian will decrease towards
22 zero and if the area expands its value will increase above 1. Therefore,
23 when we report a SD Jacobian of 0.34 for a given pixel we are saying that
24 for 68% of time points, the total fractional area change falls within 0.34
25 units of 1 (with 1 being no displacement). The larger the SD Jac the
26 greater the variation in fractional area and hence more movement of the

- pixels. SD_JAC, generated pixel by pixel (through the time series), was averaged over a ROI drawn by an observer covering the whole AC to generate the metric. Example maps of SD_JAC are shown in figure 2A
- (B) **SD_I**: The standard deviation of the variation in intensity, linked to flow due to movement of the colonic contents generated by wall movement. SD_I generated pixel by pixel was averaged over a ROI drawn by an observer covering the whole AC.
- (C) **LA**: Line analysis index. The AC was divided into three regions (bottom-section including cecum, mid-section and top-section up to the hepatic flexure) and in each region an observer drew either 3 or 5 lines perpendicular to the main axis of the AC, depending on the overall length of the AC (Figure 1a). These lines were automatically propagated through the time series using the deformation fields from the registration and the length of each line at each time point was saved for subsequent analysis, giving between 9 and 15 time series. The time series were smoothed using a moving average of 5 data points. Example smoothed time series are shown in figure 2B. For additional visualisation of motility, colour motility plots were generated of (a) absolute difference in length from mean for points along AC against time (Figure 2C) and (b) change in length between consecutive time points (wall velocity- Figure 2 D). A series of LA Motility Indices were defined as the percentage of the time series line analysis data which had a change in length (i.e. wall velocity) between consecutive time points of greater than 0.25, 0.5 and 0.75 mms^{-1} after smoothing ($\text{LA}_{0.25\text{mms}^{-1}}$, $\text{LA}_{0.5\text{mms}^{-1}}$ and $\text{LA}_{0.75\text{mms}^{-1}}$).
- (D) **NAC**: The Normalised Area Change across the time series was defined by first splitting the AC into three regions of interest (ROIs)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 (Figure 1b) drawn by an observer; 3 regions were chosen to help identify
2 smaller wall movements which may have been missed if using a large ROI
3 of the whole AC. The ROIs were then automatically propagated through
4 the time series data using the deformation fields defined during
5 registration and the areas of the ROIs were plotted against time, and the
6 resulting time course was smoothed using a moving average of 5 points.
7 Each time course was normalised to its mean value and the number of
8 time points which were more than 3, 5 and 10% different from the mean
9 were counted and summed over all 3 regions to give three NAC Motility
10 Indices ($NAC_{3\%}$, $NAC_{5\%}$ and $NAC_{10\%}$).
11 These different measures were compared to an observer rating which was
12 carried out on the raw, unregistered data. The Observer Rating Score (6) was
13 defined as the duration of wall motion multiplied by the number of sections of
14 the ascending colon showing motion (with the AC being divided into 3 sections).
15 Thus if motion was observed involving the whole AC for 15 seconds and later
16 involving only 1 third of the AC for 20 seconds the corresponding observer rating
17 score would be $(15 \times 3) + (20 \times 1) = 65$ in units of segment x s. This was
18 estimated by a single observer (CH). This measure was used as the comparator
19 as it had shown to differentiate between low and high motility previously (6).
20 Motility plots using the LA data were used to define the different types of
21 contractions observed.
22
23 Inter and intra-observer variations of the highest correlating metric were
24 obtained from data acquired by 2 observers (CH with over 15 years experience
25 of analysing GI MR images and KM with 4 years experience) and 1 observer on 2
26 occasions (CH) with the analysis separated by a 3 month period, respectively.

1 Observers were required to draw on the median image only of a subset of the
2 total data (N=33 from 11 subjects) and all subsequent analysis and generation
3 of the metrics were automated. KM was shown how to interact with the analysis
4 software (i.e. draw regions on the anatomy, save outputs etc.) by CH.

5
6 As a demonstration of the utility of the best correlating metric to differentiate
7 between different colonic motor activity, the differences over time (including
8 baseline data) between the two different macrogol stimuli (1L and 2L) were
9 investigated. Previous manually observed scoring of this data showed distinct
10 differences with time and between the stimuli. (6).

11 12 *Statistics*

13 Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS 20.0 (IBM) and GraphPad Prism
14 6.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA). All data were tested for
15 normality using the Shapiro-Wilks test.

16
17 As the observer rating score was non-normally distributed, all potential metrics
18 were correlated to it using Spearman Rho correlation coefficients, statistical
19 significance was set at $p < 0.05$. The parameter with the highest correlation to
20 the observer rating score was then used to determine the observer variability of
21 the measurement.

22
23 To assess the inter and intra-observer variability, Bland-Altman limits of
24 agreement between observers were calculated (15) and correlation between
25 observations was assessed by the intra-class correlation coefficient.

26

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 1 Results

2 2 After registration to remove respiratory motion, a small amount of data still
3 3 showed large scale movements of the whole abdomen, which when registered
4 4 using the optic flow technique, translated into large changes in the images not
5 5 associated with specific motion of the bowel. This mis-registration led to errors
6 6 in the metrics generated due to additional global distortions of the anatomy
7 7 (from respiratory motion) producing large scale changes to the deformation
8 8 fields, and hence overestimating motility. Data sets which showed large scale
9 9 breathing distortions on the images post registration were excluded from
10 10 subsequent analysis. These were identified using organs such as the liver and
11 11 kidney which should normally be static after image registration to correct for
12 12 respiration. As a result 7 data sets (15 %) were excluded from post-ingestion
13 13 data and a further 4 data sets (17 %) from the baseline data.

14
15 15 Demographics of the subject groups used for metric correlation and inter-
16 16 observer measurements are given in Table 1. Example images and 2D motility
17 17 plots are shown in Figure 2. All proposed measures showed significant
18 18 correlation with the observer rating scoring, however only a few metrics
19 19 (SD_JAC and line analysis) showed highly significant correlation ($p < 0.01$) and
20 20 this is summarised in Table 2 and Figure 3. The normalised area change indices
21 21 and SD_I showed very weak correlation with the observer rating score. The
22 22 $LA_{0.25\text{mm s}^{-1}}$ and $LA_{0.5\text{mm s}^{-1}}$ indices showed the highest correlation with the observer
23 23 rating score and this metric was therefore investigated for the inter- and intra-
24 24 observer measurements.

25

1 The line analysis plots of displacement and velocity also provided additional
2 information as to the types of contractions seen following this stimulus.
3 Examples of the different types of contractions are shown in Figure 4. Using the
4 plots to define the contractions, there were 5 types of contractions seen:
5 segmental antegrade and retrograde contractions, whole AC antegrade and
6 retrograde contractions and near simultaneous large amplitude contractions.
7 The last type of contraction appears to significantly change the whole lumen
8 diameter rapidly and over a very short period of time (typically < 20 s). All these
9 types of contraction could be visually seen by observing the cine data if
10 displayed as a movie. Dilation of the lumen was also observed on many of the
11 datasets (Figure 4 B and C).

12
13 Inter and intra-observer Bland-Altman measurements and Intra-class correlation
14 coefficients are summarised in Table 3 for the $LA_{0.25\text{mm/s}}$ and $LA_{0.5\text{mm/s}}$ indices
15 These data show that there was very little bias between observations for the
16 inter- and intra-observer measurements of line analysis with small limits of
17 agreement compared to the range of values measured (limits of agreement
18 Inter-obs $LA_{0.5\text{mm/s}}$: -0.051 to 0.054, range of measurement: 0.000-0.353)..
19 Intra-class correlation coefficients were statistically significant (and coefficients
20 above 0.9) for both LA indices calculated.

21
22 The variation of the colonic motility activity (as assessed with $LA_{0.5\text{mm/s}}$ index)
23 with both time and macrogol stimulus is shown graphically in Figure 5. Baseline
24 motility was low compared to the post-stimulus data, with the 2 L having a
25 larger effect immediately after ingestion.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1

2 Discussion

3 This study has shown that objective metrics of ascending colon motility can be

4 generated non-invasively with minimal observer input using cine MRI combined

5 with registration techniques. The line analysis (LA) indices proved to be the most

6 robust measures of those examined, correlating well with the subjective scoring

7 of wall movement (6). In addition the motility plots of wall deformation and wall

8 velocity allowed a quick visual assessment of any contractions including smaller

9 movements which were occurring, in a similar way to pressure changing maps

10 generated by high resolution manometry. Five different types of contraction

11 were observed both visually, and from the motility plots, following the macrogol

12 stimulus, both segmental over short distances in the AC as well as encompassing

13 the whole AC region. Retrograde and antegrade and near simultaneous wall

14 movements were observed and were a result of the colonic response to the large

15 fluid content from the stimulus drink. These contractions were probably induced

16 to aid mixing and absorption the fluid within the chyme. The most common type

17 of wall movement was segmental retrograde, however it is beyond the scope of

18 this paper to define all the contractions observed throughout the datasets. By

19 using image registration to correct for breathing effects, data could be acquired

20 over extended time periods, without any additional observer analysis time

21 needed. The recording period of 2 minutes used in this study could be extended

22 to allow more sporadic motility patterns to be investigated. The imaging

23 sequences are available on all modern scanners hence the technique could be

24 widely used in clinical practice. As colonic wall movement occurs on a longer

25 time scale than a breath hold (2) the image registration is important if these

26 methods are to be of clinical use. Typical computing time for the registration for

1 a 2 minute cine-MRI dataset would be 15 mins, with observer drawing and
2 metric calculations taking a further 2-3 minutes. In comparison manual
3 assessment of the motility from a single 2 minute cine could take up to 10
4 minutes, depending on the number of contractions present, and this would
5 increase with longer acquisition periods. Inter and intra-observer variability was
6 extremely low, with excellent correlation between the 2 measurements acquired,
7 reflecting the objective nature of this registration-based approach which is
8 crucial for clinical practice.

9
10 This study showed that an influx of fluid into the AC stimulates rapid
11 contractions which can be detected over a short recording period. Different
12 amounts of ingested fluid, elicit different responses, with the tendency of larger
13 amounts of fluid to initially stimulate more wall movement. A previous study by
14 Kirchhoff et al (14) demonstrated that MRI is extremely accurate in detecting
15 high amplitude propagation pressure waves as defined by manometry when the
16 data are acquired simultaneously. They also noted that MRI showed increases in
17 luminal diameter which were not detected by the manometry probe, as already
18 observed by Wright et al (16) who carried out simultaneous measurements in
19 the stomach. This increased sensitivity to wall activity provided by MRI, may
20 provide a greater understanding of the physiology of the colon in terms of
21 mixing its contents and propelling them towards the rectum. Recent work using
22 high resolution manometry by Dinning et al (17, 18) has shown that the motor
23 patterns within the colon are far more complicated than previously thought, and
24 the crude spacing of conventional manometry sensors resulted in mis-
25 interpretation of the actual motor patterns. Cine MRI with image registration
26 could now provide an alternative, non-invasive approach to viewing the colonic

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 walls. This could provide further information on the basic physiology of the
2 colon, without disturbing the natural environment. This technique can also be
3 extended to other segments of the colon and in defining objective clinical
4 information in constipation patients. Further studies are needed to determine
5 both optimal timings of MRI assessment of colonic motility following PEG
6 ingestion and the optimal volume of stimulating drink to ingest. However it
7 could be envisaged that a protocol similar to that used for MR imaging of the
8 small bowel in Crohn's disease could be developed. Subjects would arrive at the
9 MRI unit and be given the stimulating drink. A period of time later they would
10 have a short 20-30 minute MRI scan where measurements of colonic motility
11 could be made.

12

13 There are limitations to the proposed technique. The validation of the metrics
14 was based on observer scores of motility and is therefore not a 'gold standard'
15 measure for comparison although the observers did have extensive experience
16 of imaging the colon using MRI. However it is also possible that the computer
17 algorithm will detect motion in the colon not visualised by the observer. The line
18 analysis metric showed good consistency across different subjects with a wide
19 range of observed motility scores. Acquisition of the data is currently from a
20 single slice positioned within the AC and thus results could be influenced by poor
21 positioning of the slice, or anatomy which is not easily visualised in a single
22 plane. Multislice acquisition, to gain greater coverage of the anatomy, is possible
23 with reduced temporal or spatial resolution and would allow investigation of
24 other less straight colonic regions such as the descending and sigmoid colon.

25 Over estimation of motility from imperfect registration of the data occurred, if
26 the raw data showed large movements of all internal organs from respiration.

1 From the subjects studied here 14-18% of the data was corrupted with mis-
2 registration errors and was discarded. However in future studies, these errors
3 can be minimised by training of the subjects to breath in a shallow manner
4 during data acquisition and with active monitoring of the data collected; an
5 approach not available with this data, which was looked at retrospectively. It is
6 also possible that other more sophisticated metrics may provide greater
7 correlation to the motility seen in the AC; however a simplistic approach was
8 taken for this study to allow fast generation of the metrics studied.

9

10 In conclusion the line analysis index of colonic motility derived from cine MRI
11 registered data provides a quick, accurate and non-invasive method to detect
12 wall motion within the ascending colon following a colonic stimulus in the form of
13 a macrogol drink.

14

15 Acknowledgements, funding and disclosures

16 This is a summary of independent research funded by the National Institute for
17 Health Research Biomedical Research Unit. The views expressed are those of the
18 authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of
19 Health.

20

21 AM is a director and shareholder of Motilent Ltd. All other authors have no
22 conflicts of interest to disclose.

23

24 Abbreviations:

25 AC – Ascending colon

26 bTFE – balanced Turbo Field Echo

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1 HV – Healthy volunteers
- 2 LA – Line analysis
- 3 MRI – Magnetic Resonance Imaging
- 4 NAC – Normalised Area Change
- 5 PEG - polyethylene glycol
- 6 ROI – Region of Interest
- 7 SD_JAC – Standard deviation of the Jacobian
- 8 SD_I – Standard deviation of Intensity
- 9

For Peer Review

References:

1. Bampton PA, Dinning PG, Kennedy ML, Lubowski DZ, Cook IJ. Prolonged multi-point recording of colonic manometry in the unprepared human colon: Providing insight into potentially relevant pressure wave parameters. *American Journal of Gastroenterology*, 2001; 96(6): 1838-1848.
2. Dinning PG, Wiklendt L, Maslen L, Gibbins I, Patton V, Arkwright JW, Lubowski DZ, O'Grady G, et al. Quantification of in vivo colonic motor patterns in healthy humans before and after a meal revealed by high-resolution fiber-optic manometry. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*, 2014; 26(10): 1443-57.
3. Deiteren A, Camilleri M, Bharucha AE, Burton D, McKinzie S, Rao AS, Zinsmeister AR. Performance characteristics of scintigraphic colon transit measurement in health and irritable bowel syndrome and relationship to bowel functions. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*, 2010; 22(4): 415-23, e95.
4. Rao SS, Kuo B, McCallum RW, Chey WD, DiBaise JK, Hasler WL, Koch KL, Lackner JM, et al. Investigation of colonic and whole-gut transit with wireless motility capsule and radiopaque markers in constipation. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*, 2009; 7(5): 537-44.
5. Hiroz P, Schlageter V, Givel JC, Kucera P. Colonic movements in healthy subjects as monitored by a Magnet Tracking System. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*, 2009; 21(8): 838-e57.
6. Marciani L, Garsed KC, Hoad CL, Fields A, Fordham I, Pritchard SE, Placidi E, Murray K, et al. Stimulation of colonic motility by oral PEG electrolyte bowel preparation assessed by MRI: comparison of split vs single dose. *Neurogastroenterology and Motility*, 2014; 26(10): 1426-1436.
7. Odille F, Menys A, Ahmed A, Punwani S, Taylor SA, Atkinson D. Quantitative assessment of small bowel motility by nonrigid registration of dynamic MR images. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 2012; 68(3): 783-793.
8. Menys A, Taylor SA, Emmanuel A, Ahmed A, Plumb AA, Odille F, Alam A, Halligan S, et al. Global Small Bowel Motility: Assessment with Dynamic MR Imaging. *Radiology*, 2013; 269(2): 442-449.
9. Hahnemann ML, Nensa F, Kinner S, Kohler J, Gerken G, Umutlu L, Lauenstein TC. Quantitative assessment of small bowel motility in patients with Crohn's disease using dynamic MRI. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*, 2015.
10. Hahnemann ML, Nensa F, Kinner S, Gerken G, Lauenstein TC. Motility Mapping as Evaluation Tool for Bowel Motility: Initial Results on the Development of an Automated Color-Coding Algorithm in Cine MRI. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 2015; 41(2): 354-360.
11. Hamy V, Menys A, Helbren E, Odille F, Punwani S, Taylor S, Atkinson D. Respiratory Motion Correction in Dynamic-MRI: Application to Small Bowel Motility Quantification during Free Breathing. *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention - Miccai 2013, Pt II*, 2013; 8150: 132-140.
12. Menys A, Hamy V, Makanyanga J, Hoad C, Gowland P, Odille F, Taylor SA, Atkinson D. Dual registration of abdominal motion for motility assessment in free-breathing data sets acquired using dynamic MRI. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, 2014; 59(16): 4603-4619.
13. Buhmann S, Kirchhoff C, Wielage C, Mussack T, Reiser MF, Lienemann A. Assessment of large bowel motility by cine magnetic resonance imaging using two different prokinetic agents - A feasibility study. *Investigative Radiology*, 2005; 40(11): 689-694.
14. Kirchhoff S, Nicolaus M, Schirra J, Reiser MF, Goke B, Lienemann A. Assessment of colon motility using simultaneous manometric and functional cine-MRI analysis: preliminary results. *Abdominal Imaging*, 2011; 36(1): 24-30.
15. Bland JM and Altman DG. Measuring agreement in method comparison studies. *Stat Methods Med Res*, 1999; 8(2): 135-60.
16. Wright J, Evans D, Gowland P, Mansfield P. Validation of antroduodenal motility measurements made by echo-planar magnetic resonance imaging. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*, 1999; 11(1): 19-25.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

17. Dinning PG, Wiklendt L, Gibbins I, Patton V, Bampton P, Lubowski DZ, Cook IJ, Arkwright JW. Low-resolution colonic manometry leads to a gross misinterpretation of the frequency and polarity of propagating sequences: Initial results from fiber-optic high-resolution manometry studies. *Neurogastroenterology and Motility*, 2013; 25(10): E640-E649.

18. Dinning PG, Wiklendt L, Patton VE, Bampton PA, Cook IJ, Gibbins I, Lubowski DZ, Arkwright JW. Spatial Aliasing of Colonic Manometry Data: What Have We Been Missing or Mislabeling? *Gastroenterology*, 2013; 144(5): S919-S919.

For Peer Review

1 Tables

2
3 Table 1. Demographics of the two subject groups, data presented as mean (std
4 dev)

	Total Cohort (N=23)	Inter-observer Group (N=11)
Gender M / F	11/12	3/8
Mean Age (yrs)	27.1 (8.5)	26.7 (10.2)
Age Range (yrs)	19-50	20-50
BMI (kgm^{-2})	23.2 (2.4)	23.2 (2.3)

For Peer Review

Table 2. Correlation of potential metrics with the observer rating score.

Metric	Post Ingestion N= 39		
	Spearman Rho correlation	95% Confidence interval of coefficient	p-value
SD_JAC	0.492	0.199-0.704	0.002
SD_I	0.368	0.050-0.619	0.021
LA _{0.25mms⁻¹} Index	0.673	0.447-0.819	<0.001
LA _{0.5mms⁻¹} Index	0.739	0.545-0.858	<0.001
LA _{0.75mms⁻¹} Index	0.649	0.411-0.804	<0.001
NAC _{3%} Index	0.366	0.047-0.617	0.022
NAC _{5%} Index	0.353	0.032-0.608	0.028
NAC _{10%} Index	0.329	0.006-0.590	0.041

Table 3. Bland-Altman Limits of Agreement and Intra class correlation coefficients for intra- and inter-observer measurements of the LA metrics (N=33).

Metric (range of observation 1)	Intra-observer			Inter-observer		
	Bias	95% Limits of Agreement	ICC	Bias	95% Limits of Agreement	ICC
LA _{0.25mm/s} ⁻¹ Index (0.009-0.625)	0.014	-0.046-0.074	0.981 *	- 0.005	-0.096-0.085	0.956 *
LA _{0.5mm/s} ⁻¹ Index (0.000-0.353)	0.007	-0.039-0.052	0.964 *	0.001	-0.051-0.054	0.947 *

* p<0.001

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 Figure Legends

2

3 Figure 1. Sagittal images through the AC showing; (A) Placement of lines for line
4 analysis (LA) index (B) Position of ROIs for normalised area change (NAC) index
5

6 Figure 2. (A) Example images from cine MRI data showing overlay (red/blue) of
7 standard deviation of Jacobian. Original data as insert. (B) Example single
8 smoothed line length plot from mid AC. (C) Wall displacement motility plots from
9 line analysis, colour bar indicates change in length of smoothed line length from
10 mean. (D) Wall velocity motility plot, colour bar indicates change in consecutive
11 line lengths after smoothing.

12

13 Figure 3. Graphs showing correlation between motility metrics and observer
14 rating scores for non-baseline data. (A) SD_JAC, (B) SD_I, (C) LA_{0.5 mms⁻¹} motility
15 index, (D) NAC_{5%} motility index.

16

17 Figure 4. Examples of different types of colonic motility shown on line analysis
18 plots of displacement and velocity. (A) 3 retrograde segmental contractions –
19 black arrow heads. (B) 2 whole AC retrograde contractions – grey arrow. (C)
20 Near simultaneous high amplitude whole AC contraction – black arrow. (D) Low
21 amplitude retrograde contraction – Grey arrow heads. Red regions on the
22 displacement maps represent an large increase in the lumen diameter compared
23 to the mean value. This is highlighted by the white arrow heads on B and C.

24

25 Figure 5. Box and whisker plot showing the variation of LA_{0.5 mms⁻¹} with both time
26 and macrogol stimulus. Groups N=11 1L drink, N=12 2L drink. Missing data

1 due to breathing artefacts baseline: N=2 1L, N=2 2L; T=0 mins: N=2 1L, N=3

2 2L; T=60 mins: N=1 1L, N=1 2L.

3

For Peer Review




Figure 1. Sagittal images through the AC showing; (A) Placement of lines for line analysis (LA) index (B) Position of ROIs for normalised area change (NAC) index
90x59mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 2. (A) Example images from cine MRI data showing overlay (red/blue) of standard deviation of Jacobian. Original data as insert. (B) Example single smoothed line length plot from mid AC. (C) Wall displacement motility plots from line analysis, colour bar indicates change in length of smoothed line length from mean. (D) Wall velocity motility plot, colour bar indicates change in consecutive line lengths after smoothing.

148x199mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 3. Graphs showing correlation between motility metrics and observer rating scores for non-baseline data. (A) SD_JAC, (B) SD_I, (C) LA_{0.5 mm s⁻¹} motility index, (D) NAC_{5%} motility index.
95x60mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 4. Examples of different types of colonic motility shown on line analysis plots of displacement and velocity. (A) 3 retrograde segmental contractions – black arrow heads. (B) 2 whole AC retrograde contractions – grey arrow. (C) Near simultaneous high amplitude whole AC contraction – black arrow. (D) Low amplitude retrograde contraction – Grey arrow heads. Red regions on the displacement maps represent an large increase in the lumen diameter compared to the mean value. This is highlighted by the white arrow heads on B and C.

180x156mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 5. Box and whisker plot showing the variation of $LA_{0.5\text{ mms}^{-1}}$ with both time and macrogol stimulus. Groups N=11 1L drink, N=12 2L drink. Missing data due to breathing artefacts baseline: N=2 1L, N=2 2L; T=0 mins: N=2 1L, N=3 2L; T=60 mins: N=1 1L, N=1 2L.
85x82mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Colon wall motility: comparison of novel quantitative semi-automatic measurements using cine-MRI

Running title: Colonic Wall Motility using cine-MRI

C.L. Hoad^{1,2*}, A. Menys³, K. Garsed², L. Marciani^{2,4}, V. Hamy³, K. Murray¹, C. Costigan¹, D. Atkinson³, G. Major², R.C. Spiller^{2,4}, S.A. Taylor³, P.A. Gowland¹

¹ Sir Peter Mansfield Imaging Centre, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

² NIHR Biomedical Research Unit in Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases, Nottingham University Hospitals Trust and the University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

³ Centre for Medical Imaging, Division of Medicine, University College London, UK

⁴ Nottingham Digestive Diseases Centre, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

* Corresponding Author

Caroline Hoad, Sir Peter Mansfield Imaging Centre, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD

Email: Caroline.L.Hoad@nottingham.ac.uk

Tel: +44 (0)115 8466003

Fax: +44 (0)115 9515166

Author Contributions: CLH, LM, GM, RCS and PAG designed the study, KG, and CC acquired the data, AM, VH, DA, and SAT developed the registration

1 algorithms and designed the analysis interface, CLH, AM, KM analysed the data.
2
3 CLH, AM, LM, GM, RCS, SAT and PAG interpreted the data. CLH and AM drafted
4
5 the manuscript. CLH, AM, LM, RCS, SAT and PAG critically reviewed the
6
7 manuscript. All authors approved the final version.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

1
2
3 ABSTRACT
4

5 **Background:** Recently cine MRI has shown promise for visualising movement of
6
7 the colonic wall, although assessment of data has been subjective and observer
8
9 dependent. This study aimed to develop an objective and semi-automatic
10
11 imaging metric of ascending colonic wall movement, using image registration
12
13 techniques.
14

15 **Methods:** Cine balanced turbo field echo (bTFE) MRI images of ascending
16
17 colonic motility were acquired over 2 minutes from 23 healthy volunteers (HVs)
18
19 at baseline and following two different macrogol stimulus drinks (11 HVs drank
20
21 1L and 12 HVs drank 2 L). Motility metrics derived from large scale geometric
22
23 and small scale pixel movement parameters following image registration were
24
25 developed using the post-ingestion data and compared to observer grading of
26
27 wall motion. Inter and intra-observer variability of the highest correlating metric
28
29 was assessed using Bland-Altman analysis calculated from 2 separate
30
31 observations on a subset of data.
32
33

34 **Key Results:** All the metrics tested showed significant correlation with the
35
36 observer rating scores. Line analysis produced the highest correlation coefficient
37
38 of 0.74 (95% CI 0.55-0.86), $p < 0.001$, (Spearman Rho). Bland-Altman analysis
39
40 of the inter- and intra-observer variability for the line analysis metric, showed
41
42 almost zero bias and small limits of agreement between observations (-0.039-
43
44 0.052 intra-observer and -0.051-0.054 inter-observer, range of measurement 0-
45
46 0.353).
47
48

49 **Conclusions and Inferences:** The line analysis index of colonic motility derived
50
51 from cine MRI registered data provides a quick, accurate and non-invasive
52
53 method to detect wall motion within the ascending colon following a colonic
54
55 stimulus in the form of a macrogol drink.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Keywords: Cine MRI, motility, imaging metric, ascending colon

Key Messages

Recent cine MRI has shown promise to visualise the movement of the colonic walls, however assessment has been limited to subjective and semi-automatic analysis.

This study reports the development of an objective metric of ascending colon motility derived from cine MRI data using image registration techniques to remove respiratory motion and parameterise bowel movements.

Data from 23 healthy volunteers, who had received a macrogol stimulus, were used to assess potential metrics, which were derived from cine MRI data. These metrics were compared to an observer score of motility.

The line analysis metric produced the highest correlation coefficient with the observer scoring. Inter- and intra-observer variability was low for this metric.

This study has shown that it is possible to generate objective semi-automatic metrics of colonic motility from cine MRI data using image registration techniques.

1 Assessment of colonic motor activity is difficult owing to its erratic nature and
2 the inaccessibility of the colon for study. Previous methods have largely relied on
3 procedures that are unpleasant for patients and demanding for staff; these
4 involve placing pressure probes into the colon, which usually requires bowel
5 cleansing, colonoscopy and often endoscopic clips to secure the probe,
6 facilitating long term recordings (1). Recent developments with high resolution
7 manometry have provided unprecedented detail on the different types of motor
8 activity (2) but the technique remains difficult and expensive, limiting use to a
9 few specialised centres. Scintigraphic methods allow accurate, reproducible
10 assessment of transit and have been widely used to investigate pharmacologic
11 modulation of gut transit (3). However scintigraphy only assesses bulk transit
12 and does not allow detailed assessment of the motor patterns which may be
13 relevant when studying disease or drugs which specifically target abnormal
14 motility. More recently the Smart Pill has been introduced as a less invasive
15 alternative while still allowing direct assessment of motor activity (4). Although
16 better tolerated by patients, its use is limited by expense and it cannot be used
17 to reliably assess specific regions of the colon because of the inability to control
18 its position. This is also the case for the proposed Magnetic Tracking System (5)
19 which again relies on the passage of a magnetic pill through the GI tract to
20 assess the motor activity. Increasing data suggests dynamic motion capture
21 "cine" MRI is acceptable to patients and could allow detailed evaluation of colonic
22 motor activity. It has previously been shown that 1 litre of electrolyte macrogol
23 solution provides a reliable stimulus with vigorous movements of the ascending
24 colon (AC) in healthy volunteers (6) within an hour of ingestion. MRI scanners
25 are now widely available in larger hospitals and costs for a short examination

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 (30 minutes) are similar to those of a nuclear medicine gastric emptying test
2 and are considerably less than endoscopy and colonoscopy.
3
4 An important component to MR based motility assessment is automation and
5 quantitative analysis, and non-linear image registration based techniques (7)
6 can provide a range of quantitative measures for assessing bowel motion.
7 Registration provides 1) parametric surrogate markers of motility and 2) allows
8 automatic propagation of regions of interest (ROIs) through time series data
9 once an ROI has been defined on a single image (7). This type of analysis has
10 produced objective and repeatable metrics of global small bowel motility (8, 9).
11 Until recently, the most widely used registration technique was only applicable to
12 breath-held data (8, 10) as breathing produces additional motion within the
13 images that is not related to the GI motion of interest, thus confounding the
14 data, by mimicking bowel movement. This is problematic for colonic motility
15 imaging as contractions are comparatively infrequent necessitating free-
16 breathing protocols. Recent advances however have introduced a dual stage
17 process that first corrects respiratory motion in extended free-breathing data
18 sets (11) before performing motility assessment (12) facilitating data collection
19 of longer time periods. Colonic motion has been recently studied using cine MRI
20 (6, 13, 14), but analysis remains subjective and time consuming using semi-
21 quantitative, subjective assessment of contractions, flow and bowel wall motion.
22 To date there have been no reported investigations of objective imaging metrics
23 of colonic motility derived from free breathing MRI data.
24
25 The aim of this study was to develop an objective imaging metric which
26 quantifies movement in the ascending colon walls during free breathing cine

1 MRI, by applying image registration techniques to generate the metrics and
2 validate these against observer rating scores of motility.

3

4 Materials and Methods

5

6 The data used for this work was a subset of that acquired from an open-label,
7 parallel group design study in healthy volunteers using MRI to investigate the
8 small bowel and colonic response to 1 and 2 litres of polyethylene glycol (PEG)
9 (Macrogol 3550) electrolyte solution (Moviprep[®], Norgine Pharmaceuticals Ltd,
10 Harefield, UK) (6). Such PEG solutions are widely used in larger quantities than
11 used here as bowel cleansing preparations prior to colonoscopy or barium enema
12 examinations. The full study was registered on the EU Clinical Trials Register
13 with EudraCT Number 2010-021879-85. It was approved by the National
14 Research Ethics Service (approval 10/H0906/50), the NHS Trust R&D (approval
15 10GA018) and by the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency
16 (MHRA Clinical Trial Authorization CTA 03057/0045/001-0001, protocol 10050).
17 All volunteers gave written consent before participating.

18 The details of the study protocol have been already published (5). In brief 24
19 healthy volunteers were divided into two age matched groups of 12 subjects.
20 Group 1 received 1 litre of PEG electrolyte solution at 1pm on day 1 following a 6
21 hour fast and a further 1 litre at 8am the next morning. Group 2 were given a
22 single dose of 2 litres PEG electrolyte solution at 8am on the study day following
23 an overnight fast. Group 1 was given 1 hour to ingest the solution and Group 2
24 was given 2 hours. Time 0 was then defined as the time of the first MRI scan
25 immediately after ingestion of the PEG electrolyte solution. Baseline scans
26 (performed according to the protocol described below) were acquired before the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 PEG electrolyte solutions were ingested and then further scans were acquired
2 hourly for 4 hours following ingestion. Subjects spent around 15-20 minutes in
3 the scanner at each time point and sat upright for the rest of the time. 1 subject
4 from Group 1 withdrew from the study. To provide a range of motility data, only
5 the baseline and first two post ingestion time points were considered (baseline,
6 T=0, T=60 min). To identify potential motility metrics, the post-ingestion data
7 from group 1 and group 2 were combined to provide a range of motility
8 responses as it has previously been shown that the motility response (assessed
9 by an observer) was dose dependent (6).

11 Imaging was carried out using a 1.5 T Philips Achieva Scanner. Each volunteer
12 was positioned supine in the scanner with a 4 element parallel imaging body coil
13 wrapped around the abdomen. The colonic motility scan was one of a range of
14 scans acquired to characterise the response of the gastrointestinal tract to the
15 PEG solution; information on all the sequences used can be found in (6). The
16 colonic motility scan comprised of a single sagittal slice, balanced turbo field
17 echo (bTFE) positioned by the radiographer centrally through the AC with field of
18 view $330 \times 228 \text{ mm}^2$, in-plane resolution $1.5 \times 1.5 \text{ mm}$, slice thickness 15 mm,
19 flip angle 70° , repetition time/echo time 3.0/1.5 ms. A cine dataset was acquired
20 using this sequence every second for 2 minutes. Subjects were asked to shallow
21 breath throughout the acquisition.

23 The data was registered using the DRAM methodology (12) performed at a
24 different laboratory, blind to the subject preparation. In brief, effects of
25 respiratory motion on the data were first removed by registering using Robust
26 Data Decomposition Registration(11). The resulting images were then

1 subsequently non-linearly registered using an optic flow technique (7) to
2 generate the deformation fields which define how each image maps onto a
3 median image generated from the entire data series.

4
5 Following registration, in-house software written using Matlab[®] (The Mathworks
6 Inc) was used to allow an observer to define regions of interest (ROI) and lines
7 across the single median image of the AC (Figure 1). This information was then
8 used to automatically derive both small scale pixel-based and large scale
9 geometry-based metrics, from the registration parameters.

10
11 Four potential imaging metrics were investigated, two based on small scale pixel
12 deformation fields and two based on large scale geometry changes. A single
13 observer (CH) defined all the ROIs to generate the different metrics.

14 (A) ***SD_JAC***: The standard deviation (SD) of the Jacobian, representing the
15 fractional area change of each pixel due to deformation, averaged over an
16 ROI encompassing the whole AC (drawn by an observer), a measure
17 which has previously been used to quantify small bowel motility (8). Each
18 image in the time series is registered to a target image, so that each pixel
19 has a displacement field associated with it for each time point. Distortions
20 that do not result in a local change of area have a Jacobian value of 1. If
21 the local area decreases, the value of the Jacobian will decrease towards
22 zero and if the area expands its value will increase above 1. Therefore,
23 when we report a SD Jacobian of 0.34 for a given pixel we are saying that
24 for 68% of time points, the total fractional area change falls within 0.34
25 units of 1 (with 1 being no displacement). The larger the SD Jac the
26 greater the variation in fractional area and hence more movement of the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

pixels. SD_JAC, generated pixel by pixel (through the time series), was averaged over a ROI drawn by an observer covering the whole AC to generate the metric. Example maps of SD_JAC are shown in figure 2A

(B) **SD_I**: The standard deviation of the variation in intensity, linked to flow due to movement of the colonic contents generated by wall movement. SD_I generated pixel by pixel was averaged over a ROI drawn by an observer covering the whole AC.

(C) **LA**: Line analysis index. The AC was divided into three regions (bottom-section including cecum, mid-section and top-section up to the hepatic flexure) and in each region an observer drew either 3 or 5 lines perpendicular to the main axis of the AC, depending on the overall length of the AC (Figure 1a). These lines were automatically propagated through the time series using the deformation fields from the registration and the length of each line at each time point was saved for subsequent analysis, giving between 9 and 15 time series. The time series were smoothed using a moving average of 5 data points. Example smoothed time series are shown in figure 2B. For additional visualisation of motility, colour motility plots were generated of (a) absolute difference in length from mean for points along AC against time (Figure 2C) and (b) change in length between consecutive time points (wall velocity- Figure 2 D). A series of LA Motility Indices were defined as the percentage of the time series line analysis data which had a change in length (i.e. wall velocity) between consecutive time points of greater than 0.25, 0.5 and 0.75 mms⁻¹ after smoothing ($LA_{0.25mms^{-1}}$, $LA_{0.5mms^{-1}}$ and $LA_{0.75mms^{-1}}$).

(D) **NAC**: The Normalised Area Change across the time series was defined by first splitting the AC into three regions of interest (ROIs)

(Figure 1b) drawn by an observer; 3 regions were chosen to help identify smaller wall movements which may have been missed if using a large ROI of the whole AC. The ROIs were then automatically propagated through the time series data using the deformation fields defined during registration and the areas of the ROIs were plotted against time, and the resulting time course was smoothed using a moving average of 5 points. Each time course was normalised to its mean value and the number of time points which were more than 3, 5 and 10% different from the mean were counted and summed over all 3 regions to give three NAC Motility Indices ($NAC_{3\%}$, $NAC_{5\%}$ and $NAC_{10\%}$).

These different measures were compared to an observer rating which was carried out on the raw, unregistered data. The Observer Rating Score (6) was defined as the duration of wall motion multiplied by the number of sections of the ascending colon showing motion (with the AC being divided into 3 sections). Thus if motion was observed involving the whole AC for 15 seconds and later involving only 1 third of the AC for 20 seconds the corresponding observer rating score would be $(15 \times 3) + (20 \times 1) = 65$ in units of segment x s. This was estimated by a single observer (CH). This measure was used as the comparator as it had shown to differentiate between low and high motility previously (6). Motility plots using the LA data were used to define the different types of contractions observed.

Inter and intra-observer variations of the highest correlating metric were obtained from data acquired by 2 observers (CH with over 15 years experience of analysing GI MR images and KM with 4 years experience) and 1 observer on 2 occasions (CH) with the analysis separated by a 3 month period, respectively.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 Observers were required to draw on the median image only of a subset of the
2 total data (N=33 from 11 subjects) and all subsequent analysis and generation
3 of the metrics were automated. KM was shown how to interact with the analysis
4 software (i.e. draw regions on the anatomy, save outputs etc.) by CH.

5
6 As a demonstration of the utility of the best correlating metric to differentiate
7 between different colonic motor activity, the differences over time (including
8 baseline data) between the two different macrogol stimuli (1L and 2L) were
9 investigated. Previous manually observed scoring of this data showed distinct
10 differences with time and between the stimuli. (6).

11
12 *Statistics*

13 Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS 20.0 (IBM) and GraphPad Prism
14 6.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA). All data were tested for
15 normality using the Shapiro-Wilks test.

16
17 As the observer rating score was non-normally distributed, all potential metrics
18 were correlated to it using Spearman Rho correlation coefficients, statistical
19 significance was set at $p < 0.05$. The parameter with the highest correlation to
20 the observer rating score was then used to determine the observer variability of
21 the measurement.

22
23 To assess the inter and intra-observer variability, Bland-Altman limits of
24 agreement between observers were calculated (15) and correlation between
25 observations was assessed by the intra-class correlation coefficient.

26

1 Results

2 After registration to remove respiratory motion, a small amount of data still
3 showed large scale movements of the whole abdomen, which when registered
4 using the optic flow technique, translated into large changes in the images not
5 associated with specific motion of the bowel. This mis-registration led to errors
6 in the metrics generated due to additional global distortions of the anatomy
7 (from respiratory motion) producing large scale changes to the deformation
8 fields, and hence overestimating motility. Data sets which showed large scale
9 breathing distortions on the images post registration were excluded from
10 subsequent analysis. These were identified using organs such as the liver and
11 kidney which should normally be static after image registration to correct for
12 respiration. As a result 7 data sets (15 %) were excluded from post-ingestion
13 data and a further 4 data sets (17 %) from the baseline data.

14
15 Demographics of the subject groups used for metric correlation and inter-
16 observer measurements are given in Table 1. Example images and 2D motility
17 plots are shown in Figure 2. All proposed measures showed significant
18 correlation with the observer rating scoring, however only a few metrics
19 (SD_JAC and line analysis) showed highly significant correlation ($p < 0.01$) and
20 this is summarised in Table 2 and Figure 3. The normalised area change indices
21 and SD_I showed very weak correlation with the observer rating score. The
22 $LA_{0.25\text{mm/s}^{-1}}$ and $LA_{0.5\text{mm/s}^{-1}}$ indices showed the highest correlation with the observer
23 rating score and this metric was therefore investigated for the inter- and intra-
24 observer measurements.

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 The line analysis plots of displacement and velocity also provided additional
2 information as to the types of contractions seen following this stimulus.
3 Examples of the different types of contractions are shown in Figure 4. Using the
4 plots to define the contractions, there were 5 types of contractions seen:
5 segmental antegrade and retrograde contractions, whole AC antegrade and
6 retrograde contractions and near simultaneous large amplitude contractions.
7 The last type of contraction appears to significantly change the whole lumen
8 diameter rapidly and over a very short period of time (typically < 20 s). All these
9 types of contraction could be visually seen by observing the cine data if
10 displayed as a movie. Dilation of the lumen was also observed on many of the
11 datasets (Figure 4 B and C).
12
13 Inter and intra-observer Bland-Altman measurements and Intra-class correlation
14 coefficients are summarised in Table 3 for the $LA_{0.25\text{mm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}}$ and $LA_{0.5\text{mm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}}$ indices
15 These data show that there was very little bias between observations for the
16 inter- and intra-observer measurements of line analysis with small limits of
17 agreement compared to the range of values measured (limits of agreement
18 Inter-obs $LA_{0.5\text{mm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}}$: -0.051 to 0.054, range of measurement: 0.000-0.353)..
19 Intra-class correlation coefficients were statistically significant (and coefficients
20 above 0.9) for both LA indices calculated.
21
22 The variation of the colonic motility activity (as assessed with $LA_{0.5\text{mm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}}$ index)
23 with both time and macrogol stimulus is shown graphically in Figure 5. Baseline
24 motility was low compared to the post-stimulus data, with the 2 L having a
25 larger effect immediately after ingestion.
26

1

2 Discussion

3 This study has shown that objective metrics of ascending colon motility can be
4 generated non-invasively with minimal observer input using cine MRI combined
5 with registration techniques. The line analysis (LA) indices proved to be the most
6 robust measures of those examined, correlating well with the subjective scoring
7 of wall movement (6). In addition the motility plots of wall deformation and wall
8 velocity allowed a quick visual assessment of any contractions including smaller
9 movements which were occurring, in a similar way to pressure changing maps
10 generated by high resolution manometry. Five different types of contraction
11 were observed both visually, and from the motility plots, following the macrogol
12 stimulus, both segmental over short distances in the AC as well as encompassing
13 the whole AC region. Retrograde and antegrade and near simultaneous wall
14 movements were observed and were a result of the colonic response to the large
15 fluid content from the stimulus drink. These contractions were probably induced
16 to aid mixing and absorption the fluid within the chyme. The most common type
17 of wall movement was segmental retrograde, however it is beyond the scope of
18 this paper to define all the contractions observed throughout the datasets. By
19 using image registration to correct for breathing effects, data could be acquired
20 over extended time periods, without any additional observer analysis time
21 needed. The recording period of 2 minutes used in this study could be extended
22 to allow more sporadic motility patterns to be investigated. The imaging
23 sequences are available on all modern scanners hence the technique could be
24 widely used in clinical practice. As colonic wall movement occurs on a longer
25 time scale than a breath hold (2) the image registration is important if these
26 methods are to be of clinical use. Typical computing time for the registration for

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 a 2 minute cine-MRI dataset would be 15 mins, with observer drawing and
2 metric calculations taking a further 2-3 minutes. In comparison manual
3 assessment of the motility from a single 2 minute cine could take up to 10
4 minutes, depending on the number of contractions present, and this would
5 increase with longer acquisition periods. Inter and intra-observer variability was
6 extremely low, with excellent correlation between the 2 measurements acquired,
7 reflecting the objective nature of this registration-based approach which is
8 crucial for clinical practice.

9

10 This study showed that an influx of fluid into the AC stimulates rapid
11 contractions which can be detected over a short recording period. Different
12 amounts of ingested fluid, elicit different responses, with the tendency of larger
13 amounts of fluid to initially stimulate more wall movement. A previous study by
14 Kirchhoff et al (14) demonstrated that MRI is extremely accurate in detecting
15 high amplitude propagation pressure waves as defined by manometry when the
16 data are acquired simultaneously. They also noted that MRI showed increases in
17 luminal diameter which were not detected by the manometry probe, as already
18 observed by Wright et al (16) who carried out simultaneous measurements in
19 the stomach. This increased sensitivity to wall activity provided by MRI, may
20 provide a greater understanding of the physiology of the colon in terms of
21 mixing its contents and propelling them towards the rectum. Recent work using
22 high resolution manometry by Dinning et al (17, 18) has shown that the motor
23 patterns within the colon are far more complicated than previously thought, and
24 the crude spacing of conventional manometry sensors resulted in mis-
25 interpretation of the actual motor patterns. Cine MRI with image registration
26 could now provide an alternative, non-invasive approach to viewing the colonic

1 walls. This could provide further information on the basic physiology of the
2 colon, without disturbing the natural environment. This technique can also be
3 extended to other segments of the colon and in defining objective clinical
4 information in constipation patients. Further studies are needed to determine
5 both optimal timings of MRI assessment of colonic motility following PEG
6 ingestion and the optimal volume of stimulating drink to ingest. However it
7 could be envisaged that a protocol similar to that used for MR imaging of the
8 small bowel in Crohn's disease could be developed. Subjects would arrive at the
9 MRI unit and be given the stimulating drink. A period of time later they would
10 have a short 20-30 minute MRI scan where measurements of colonic motility
11 could be made.

12
13 There are limitations to the proposed technique. The validation of the metrics
14 was based on observer scores of motility and is therefore not a 'gold standard'
15 measure for comparison although the observers did have extensive experience
16 of imaging the colon using MRI. However it is also possible that the computer
17 algorithm will detect motion in the colon not visualised by the observer. The line
18 analysis metric showed good consistency across different subjects with a wide
19 range of observed motility scores. Acquisition of the data is currently from a
20 single slice positioned within the AC and thus results could be influenced by poor
21 positioning of the slice, or anatomy which is not easily visualised in a single
22 plane. Multislice acquisition, to gain greater coverage of the anatomy, is possible
23 with reduced temporal or spatial resolution and would allow investigation of
24 other less straight colonic regions such as the descending and sigmoid colon.
25 Over estimation of motility from imperfect registration of the data occurred, if
26 the raw data showed large movements of all internal organs from respiration.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 From the subjects studied here 14-18% of the data was corrupted with mis-
2 registration errors and was discarded. However in future studies, these errors
3 can be minimised by training of the subjects to breath in a shallow manner
4 during data acquisition and with active monitoring of the data collected; an
5 approach not available with this data, which was looked at retrospectively. It is
6 also possible that other more sophisticated metrics may provide greater
7 correlation to the motility seen in the AC; however a simplistic approach was
8 taken for this study to allow fast generation of the metrics studied.

9
10 In conclusion the line analysis index of colonic motility derived from cine MRI
11 registered data provides a quick, accurate and non-invasive method to detect
12 wall motion within the ascending colon following a colonic stimulus in the form of
13 a macrogol drink.

14
15 Acknowledgements, funding and disclosures

16 This is a summary of independent research funded by the National Institute for
17 Health Research Biomedical Research Unit. The views expressed are those of the
18 authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of
19 Health.

20
21 AM is a director and shareholder of Motilent Ltd. All other authors have no
22 conflicts of interest to disclose.

23
24 Abbreviations:

- 25 AC – Ascending colon
26 bTFE – balanced Turbo Field Echo

- 1 HV – Healthy volunteers
- 2 LA – Line analysis
- 3 MRI – Magnetic Resonance Imaging
- 4 NAC – Normalised Area Change
- 5 PEG - polyethylene glycol
- 6 ROI – Region of Interest
- 7 SD_JAC – Standard deviation of the Jacobian
- 8 SD_I – Standard deviation of Intensity
- 9

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References:

1. Bampton PA, Dinning PG, Kennedy ML, Lubowski DZ, Cook IJ. Prolonged multi-point recording of colonic manometry in the unprepared human colon: Providing insight into potentially relevant pressure wave parameters. *American Journal of Gastroenterology*, 2001; 96(6): 1838-1848.

2. Dinning PG, Wiklendt L, Maslen L, Gibbins I, Patton V, Arkwright JW, Lubowski DZ, O'Grady G, et al. Quantification of in vivo colonic motor patterns in healthy humans before and after a meal revealed by high-resolution fiber-optic manometry. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*, 2014; 26(10): 1443-57.

3. Deiteren A, Camilleri M, Bharucha AE, Burton D, McKinzie S, Rao AS, Zinsmeister AR. Performance characteristics of scintigraphic colon transit measurement in health and irritable bowel syndrome and relationship to bowel functions. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*, 2010; 22(4): 415-23, e95.

4. Rao SS, Kuo B, McCallum RW, Chey WD, DiBaise JK, Hasler WL, Koch KL, Lackner JM, et al. Investigation of colonic and whole-gut transit with wireless motility capsule and radiopaque markers in constipation. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*, 2009; 7(5): 537-44.

5. Hiroz P, Schlageter V, Givel JC, Kucera P. Colonic movements in healthy subjects as monitored by a Magnet Tracking System. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*, 2009; 21(8): 838-e57.

6. Marciani L, Garsed KC, Hoad CL, Fields A, Fordham I, Pritchard SE, Placidi E, Murray K, et al. Stimulation of colonic motility by oral PEG electrolyte bowel preparation assessed by MRI: comparison of split vs single dose. *Neurogastroenterology and Motility*, 2014; 26(10): 1426-1436.

7. Odille F, Menys A, Ahmed A, Punwani S, Taylor SA, Atkinson D. Quantitative assessment of small bowel motility by nonrigid registration of dynamic MR images. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 2012; 68(3): 783-793.

8. Menys A, Taylor SA, Emmanuel A, Ahmed A, Plumb AA, Odille F, Alam A, Halligan S, et al. Global Small Bowel Motility: Assessment with Dynamic MR Imaging. *Radiology*, 2013; 269(2): 442-449.

9. Hahnemann ML, Nensa F, Kinner S, Kohler J, Gerken G, Umutlu L, Lauenstein TC. Quantitative assessment of small bowel motility in patients with Crohn's disease using dynamic MRI. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*, 2015.

10. Hahnemann ML, Nensa F, Kinner S, Gerken G, Lauenstein TC. Motility Mapping as Evaluation Tool for Bowel Motility: Initial Results on the Development of an Automated Color-Coding Algorithm in Cine MRI. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 2015; 41(2): 354-360.

11. Hamy V, Menys A, Helbren E, Odille F, Punwani S, Taylor S, Atkinson D. Respiratory Motion Correction in Dynamic-MRI: Application to Small Bowel Motility Quantification during Free Breathing. *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention - Miccai 2013, Pt Ii*, 2013; 8150: 132-140.

12. Menys A, Hamy V, Makanyanga J, Hoad C, Gowland P, Odille F, Taylor SA, Atkinson D. Dual registration of abdominal motion for motility assessment in free-breathing data sets acquired using dynamic MRI. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, 2014; 59(16): 4603-4619.

13. Buhmann S, Kirchhoff C, Wielage C, Mussack T, Reiser MF, Lienemann A. Assessment of large bowel motility by cine magnetic resonance imaging using two different prokinetic agents - A feasibility study. *Investigative Radiology*, 2005; 40(11): 689-694.

14. Kirchhoff S, Nicolaus M, Schirra J, Reiser MF, Goke B, Lienemann A. Assessment of colon motility using simultaneous manometric and functional cine-MRI analysis: preliminary results. *Abdominal Imaging*, 2011; 36(1): 24-30.

15. Bland JM and Altman DG. Measuring agreement in method comparison studies. *Stat Methods Med Res*, 1999; 8(2): 135-60.

16. Wright J, Evans D, Gowland P, Mansfield P. Validation of antroduodenal motility measurements made by echo-planar magnetic resonance imaging. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*, 1999; 11(1): 19-25.

- 1
2
3 17. Dinning PG, Wiklendt L, Gibbins I, Patton V, Bampton P, Lubowski DZ, Cook IJ,
4 2 Arkwright JW. Low-resolution colonic manometry leads to a gross
5 3 misinterpretation of the frequency and polarity of propagating sequences: Initial
6 4 results from fiber-optic high-resolution manometry studies.
7 5 Neurogastroenterology and Motility, 2013; 25(10): E640-E649.
8 6 18. Dinning PG, Wiklendt L, Patton VE, Bampton PA, Cook IJ, Gibbins I, Lubowski DZ,
9 7 Arkwright JW. Spatial Aliasing of Colonic Manometry Data: What Have We Been
10 8 Missing or Mislabeling? Gastroenterology, 2013; 144(5): S919-S919.
11 9
12 10

For Peer Review

1 1 Tables

2
3 3 Table 1. Demographics of the two subject groups, data presented as mean (std
4 4 dev)

	Total Cohort (N=23)	Inter-observer Group (N=11)
Gender M / F	11/12	3/8
Mean Age (yrs)	27.1 (8.5)	26.7 (10.2)
Age Range (yrs)	19-50	20-50
BMI (kgm ⁻²)	23.2 (2.4)	23.2 (2.3)

5
6

For Peer Review

1 Table 2. Correlation of potential metrics with the observer rating score.

2

Metric	Post Ingestion N= 39		
	Spearman Rho correlation	95% Confidence interval of coefficient	p-value
SD_JAC	0.492	0.199-0.704	0.002
SD_I	0.368	0.050-0.619	0.021
LA _{0.25mms⁻¹} Index	0.673	0.447-0.819	<0.001
LA _{0.5mms⁻¹} Index	0.739	0.545-0.858	<0.001
LA _{0.75mms⁻¹} Index	0.649	0.411-0.804	<0.001
NAC _{3%} Index	0.366	0.047-0.617	0.022
NAC _{5%} Index	0.353	0.032-0.608	0.028
NAC _{10%} Index	0.329	0.006-0.590	0.041

3

4

5

Table 3. Bland-Altman Limits of Agreement and Intra class correlation coefficients for intra- and inter-observer measurements of the LA metrics (N=33).

Metric (range of observation 1)	Intra-observer			Inter-observer		
	Bias	95% Limits of Agreement	ICC	Bias	95% Limits of Agreement	ICC
LA _{0.25} mm/s ⁻¹ Index (0.009-0.625)	0.014	-0.046-0.074	0.981 *	- 0.005	-0.096-0.085	0.956 *
LA _{0.5} mm/s ⁻¹ Index (0.000-0.353)	0.007	-0.039-0.052	0.964 *	0.001	-0.051-0.054	0.947 *

* p<0.001

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Sagittal images through the AC showing; (A) Placement of lines for line analysis (LA) index (B) Position of ROIs for normalised area change (NAC) index

Figure 2. (A) Example images from cine MRI data showing overlay (red/blue) of standard deviation of Jacobian. Original data as insert. (B) Example single smoothed line length plot from mid AC. (C) Wall displacement motility plots from line analysis, colour bar indicates change in length of smoothed line length from mean. (D) Wall velocity motility plot, colour bar indicates change in consecutive line lengths after smoothing.

Figure 3. Graphs showing correlation between motility metrics and observer rating scores for non-baseline data. (A) SD_JAC, (B) SD_I, (C) $LA_{0.5\text{ mms}^{-1}}$ motility index, (D) $NAC_{5\%}$ motility index.

Figure 4. Examples of different types of colonic motility shown on line analysis plots of displacement and velocity. (A) 3 retrograde segmental contractions – black arrow heads. (B) 2 whole AC retrograde contractions – grey arrow. (C) Near simultaneous high amplitude whole AC contraction – black arrow. (D) Low amplitude retrograde contraction – Grey arrow heads. Red regions on the displacement maps represent an large increase in the lumen diameter compared to the mean value. This is highlighted by the white arrow heads on B and C.

Figure 5. Box and whisker plot showing the variation of $LA_{0.5\text{ mms}^{-1}}$ with both time and macrogol stimulus. Groups N=11 1L drink, N=12 2L drink. Missing data

- 1 due to breathing artefacts baseline: N=2 1L, N=2 2L; T=0 mins: N=2 1L, N=3
- 2 2L; T=60 mins: N=1 1L, N=1 2L.
- 3

For Peer Review