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A transaminase from Halomonas elongata and four mutants generated by an \textit{in silico}-based design, were recombinantly produced in \textit{E. coli}, purified and applied to the amination of mono-substituted aromatic carbonyl-derivatives. While benzaldehyde derivatives resulted excellent substrates, only NO\textsubscript{2}-acetophenones were transformed into the (S)-amine with high enantioselectivity. The different behaviour of wild-type and mutated transaminases was assessed by \textit{in silico} substrate binding mode studies.

\textbf{Introduction}

The remarkable work of Codexis-Merck in evolving an amino transferase to achieve a “greener” synthesis of Sitagliptin,\textsuperscript{1} highlighted the promising features of this class of enzymes as biocatalytic tool for the manufacturing of chiral amines,\textsuperscript{2} valuable building blocks for a wide range of bioactive compounds.\textsuperscript{3,4} The mechanism underlying \(\omega\)-transaminase activity has been generally assumed similar to that of aspartate transaminase;\textsuperscript{5,6} only recently the reaction mechanism of Chromobacterium violaceum \(\omega\)-transaminase has been investigated by means of density functional theory calculations and the energy profile for the conversion of (S)-1-phenylethylamine to acetophenone has been calculated. In this study the involved transition states and intermediates have been characterised.\textsuperscript{7} The first step in the conversion of carbonyls into amines involves the nucleophilic attack from the amino donor intermediate pyridoxamine-5'-phosphate (PMP) to the amino acceptor carbonyl group.\textsuperscript{7} The stereoelectronic features of the substrate play a crucial role; in the case of ketones, especially aromatic ones, the carbonyl carbon is often not sufficiently electrophilic and the reaction is slow and thermodynamically unfavoured.\textsuperscript{8} This aspect heavily influences the wide applicability of these enzymes as an alternative to conventional synthesis.\textsuperscript{9} On the other hand, unfavourable steric interactions between the enzyme and the substrate may hamper the reactivity and can only be gauged after investigation of the enzyme-substrate binding mode.\textsuperscript{10} Beside stereoelectronic effects involved in the enzymatic interactions, water solubility of hydrophobic substrates may also strongly influence the reactivity. Therefore, in attempt to characterise the electronic behaviour of the amino transferase from Halomonas elongata (HEWT),\textsuperscript{11} we investigated the role of different substituents on aromatic ketones, aldehydes, and the corresponding (S)-amines. The effect on the catalytic efficiency of the wild-type enzyme was initially studied with different mono-substituted acetophenones, having both electron withdrawing and donating groups (Table 1, column 3), where L-alanine was used as amino donor. Wild-type HEWT generally resulted poorly active, showing significant conversions only with meta- and para-nitroacetophenones. The reasons for this limited reactivity can be related to unfavourable electronic effects for substrates with EDG groups, whereas only strong EWG groups allowed significant yields by favouring the reactivity of the amine of PMP towards the carbonyl group (Fig. 1). The absence of reactivity towards ortho-nitroacetophenone can be ascribed to steric effects, as previously reported for the lack of activity towards these substrates with the yeast \textit{P. glucozyma} ketoreductase KRED1-Pglu.\textsuperscript{12}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{Fig_1.png}
\caption{Fig. 1 Representation of the electronic density with EWG and EDG substituted molecules.}
\end{figure}

\textbf{Results and discussion}

An \textit{in silico} model of the HEWT was generated to aid with the design of key mutations,\textsuperscript{13} specifically introduced to probe the steric effect of the substituents. The three-dimensional structure was simulated on the resolved structure of the homologs amino transaminase from Chromobacterium violaceum.\textsuperscript{14} Due to the medium-high homology between the two proteins (56%), the built model was deemed reliable providing a quality standard score (Z score < 2.5) and it allowed for an accurate evaluation of the catalytic pocket.\textsuperscript{15} The enzyme topology for this class of enzymes has been well elucidated and it can be easily described by two pockets.
surrounding the cofactor pyridoxal phosphate (PLP).\textsuperscript{16,17} The large one accepts bulky groups such as aromatic rings, while the second, smaller one, accommodates short hydrophobic groups.

\textit{Ortho-} meta- and \textit{para}-nitroacetophenones were selected to map \textit{in-silico} the active site of HEWT (Fig. 2). The steric bulk of the nitro group, when positioned in \textit{ortho} and \textit{meta}, appeared to interfere with tryptophan 56 and tyrosine 149 in the large pocket, as well as with phenylalanine 84 which is located between the two pockets; (Fig 2 A-B). On the other hand, the \textit{para} position is less hindered and the model predicts major interference only with W56 positioned deep into the pocket (Fig. 2 C).

\subsection*{An \textit{in silico} mutagenesis approach was used to evaluate optimal substitutions.\textsuperscript{18} W56G on the large pocket, and F84A near the small one, yielded a lower docking energy favouring the substrates accommodation with a greater effect on the \textit{ortho} substitution (ESI, Table S.2); Y149A also was indicative of a better docking, however this amino acid is involved in PLP stacking, therefore a more...}

**Fig. 2 Model of the catalytic site of HEWT with \textit{ortho-} meta- and \textit{para}-nitroacetophenone.**

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Entry & Substrate & WT & ee % & W56G & ee % & Y149F & ee % & F84A & ee % & I258A & ee % \\
\hline
1a & Acetophenone & 5.0 ± 0.4 & >99 & 6.0 ± 0.1 & >99 & < 5 & 5.0 ± 0.3 & >99 & 5.0 ± 0.4 & >99 \\
1b & p-NO\textsubscript{2} & 19.6 ± 0.2 & >99 & 15.7 ± 0.5 & 96 & 14.2 ± 1.2 & 95 & 12.4 ± 0.5 & 95 & 19.1 ± 0.9 & >99 \\
1c & m-NO\textsubscript{2} & 10.2 ± 0.8 & >99 & 10.7 ± 0.7 & 95 & 7.3 ± 0.5 & 97 & 6.2 ± 0.6 & 96 & 8.6 ± 0.2 & 95 \\
1d & o-NO\textsubscript{2} & < 5 & >99 & 15.9 ± 1.6 & 98 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 \\
1e & p-F & < 5 & >99 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 \\
1f & m-F & < 5 & >99 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 \\
1g & o-F & < 5 & >99 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 \\
1h & p-CF\textsubscript{3} & < 5 & >99 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 \\
1i & m-CF\textsubscript{3} & < 5 & >99 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 \\
1j & o-CF\textsubscript{3} & < 5 & >99 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 \\
1k & p-OCH\textsubscript{3} & < 5 & >99 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 \\
1l & m-OCH\textsubscript{3} & < 5 & >99 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 \\
1m & o-OCH\textsubscript{3} & < 5 & >99 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 \\
1n & p-CH\textsubscript{3} & < 5 & >99 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 \\
1o & m-CH\textsubscript{3} & < 5 & >99 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 \\
1p & o-CH\textsubscript{3} & < 5 & >99 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 & < 5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Molar conversions of ammination of mono-substituted acetophenones 1a-p (10 mM) with transaminases (0.1 mg/mL) in phosphate buffer medium 50 mM pH 8; DMSO 10% as cosolvent, 1 M L-Alanine and 0.1 mM PLP. Biotransformations were carried out for 24 h at 37°C under gently agitation.}
\end{table}
conservative substitution (Y149F) was selected. Finally, I258 appeared to be critical for enlarging the pocket; it is spatially near W56 and prevents its side chain to rotate. A I258A mutation will allow a higher rotational flexibility to the tryptophan ring leaving more space for the substrate to dock (Fig. 3). Notably, the selected amino acids are highly conserved among this class of amino transaminase (96% among 487 protein sequences aligned and Y149 is 100% retained).

The four generated mutants of HEWT were thus investigated with \textit{para}-\textit{meta}- and \textit{ortho}-mono-substituted acetophenones as amino acceptor (Tab. 1, columns 4-7). The biotransformations were performed over 24 hours and under the reaction conditions selected; the only substrates transformed were the NO$_2$-acetophenones (entry 1b-d).

The enantioselectivity was also monitored validating that both the WT and the mutants selectively produced the (S)-amines, confirming that the mutations did not affect the stereo-preference of the catalyst. The W56G variant is the only one able to transform the \textit{ortho}-nitroderivative. Due to the low reactivity of acetophenones, the same study was performed on more reactive mono-substituted benzaldehydes (Tab 2, entry 2a-p), using L-alanine as amino donor. As predicted by the molecular modelling, the substitution of bulky amino acids such as W56 and F84 with glycine and alanine respectively, and the replacement of Y149 with phenylalanine (lacking the OH group fundamental for steric and electronic interaction with the substrate), gave an enhanced molar conversion for all the \textit{ortho}-substituted aldehydes into the corresponding primary amines with respect to the wild type transaminase. However, in the case of \textit{meta}-derivatives the activity of the different transaminases was predominantly dependent on substituent bulk and/or hydrophobicity whereas the electronic properties had negligible effects. For \textit{para}-substituted aldehydes, I258A was the best variant both with EWG and EDG, as steric effects at this position prevailed on the electronic ones; mutating I258 allowed for greater flexibility of the neighbouring W56 side chain substantially increasing the size of the active site. The direct mutation of W56 with glycine yielded very similar results with respect to the wild type, highlighting the role of this amino acid in stabilising the \textit{para}-substituents. A number of chiral amines with different substitution patterns on the aromatic ring have also been included in this study (Tab 3, entry 3a-h) using as amino acceptor sodium pyruvate. Amines are generally better accepted substrates than the corresponding ketones, and steric rather than electronic effects can easily be identified.
The proteins yields after the IMAC purification were estimate to be: WT 100 mg, W56G 93 mg, F84A 47 mg, Y149F 32 mg, and I258A 88 mg for 1 Liter conditions and evaluate then their stability (see ESI).

### Experimental

#### Expression and purification of the HEWT in E. coli

Protein expression and purification was performed following previously reported protocols. The proteins yields after the IMAC purification were estimate to be: WT 100 mg, W56G 93 mg, F84A 47 mg, Y149F 32 mg, and I258A 88 mg for 1 Liter of expression media. The assay derived from Schatzle, et al. was used as standard enzymatic assay following the procedure applied in Cerioli, et al. to assess the enzymes activity in standard conditions and evaluate then their stability (see ESI).

#### HEWT mutant generation

The SupC gene of HEWT harbourced in a pSETb plasmid was mutated using the QuickChangeXL single point mutation kit provided by Agilent Technologies. The oligonucleotide primers designed exploiting the QuickChange Primer Design tool (Agilent Technologies)

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>W56G</th>
<th>Y149F</th>
<th>F84A</th>
<th>I258A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>(S)-PEA</td>
<td>99.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>99.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>99.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>99.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>83.5 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>(S)-p-F</td>
<td>99.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>95.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>95.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>47.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>95.9 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c</td>
<td>(S)-m-F</td>
<td>99.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>95.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>91.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>34.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td>93.2 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3d</td>
<td>(S)-o-F</td>
<td>82.9 ± 2.0</td>
<td>84.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>68.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>20.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3e</td>
<td>(S)-p-OCH₃</td>
<td>99.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>98.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>97.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>70.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>98.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3f</td>
<td>(S)-m-OCH₃</td>
<td>97.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>94.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>97.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>75.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>98.2 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3g</td>
<td>(S)-o-OCH₃</td>
<td>71.7 ± 1.9</td>
<td>78.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>46.2 ± 2.4</td>
<td>10.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>72.1 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3h</td>
<td>(S)-p-CH₃</td>
<td>99.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>98.6 ± 1.5</td>
<td>97.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>76.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>98.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>W56G</th>
<th>Y149F</th>
<th>F84A</th>
<th>I258A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>(S)-PEA</td>
<td>99.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>99.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>99.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>99.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>83.5 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>(S)-p-F</td>
<td>99.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>95.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>95.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>47.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>95.9 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c</td>
<td>(S)-m-F</td>
<td>99.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>95.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>91.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>34.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td>93.2 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3d</td>
<td>(S)-o-F</td>
<td>82.9 ± 2.0</td>
<td>84.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>68.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>20.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3e</td>
<td>(S)-p-OCH₃</td>
<td>99.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>98.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>97.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>70.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>98.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3f</td>
<td>(S)-m-OCH₃</td>
<td>97.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>94.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>97.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>75.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>98.2 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3g</td>
<td>(S)-o-OCH₃</td>
<td>71.7 ± 1.9</td>
<td>78.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>46.2 ± 2.4</td>
<td>10.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>72.1 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3h</td>
<td>(S)-p-CH₃</td>
<td>99.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>98.6 ± 1.5</td>
<td>97.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>76.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>98.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
were synthesised from Eurofins Genomics®. The four HEWT mutants were achieved using the following primers: W56G 5'-cgccgatgcggacgaggt-3'; F84A 5'-ctgccgtaataacccagctctcaagacgcatc-3'; Y149F 5'-gtcgcgagaacgcctttcagcgctcca-3'; I258A 5'-gccccagcggaggtgggctccggcagg-3' (mutated codon is underlined).

**Ketones and aldehydes reactions**

The batch reactions to evaluate the conversion after 24 h, with wild-type and mutated HEWT, were performed in 1.5 mL micro centrifuge tubes; 200 μL reaction mixture in 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 8.0, containing 10 mM amino acceptor substrate dissolved in 10% DMSO, 1.0 M L-Alanine (amino donor substrate), 0.1 mM PLP, and 0.1 mg/mL enzyme, was left under gentle shaking at 37 °C. 10 μL aliquots were quenched with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) 0.2% at 24 hours and then analysed by HPLC equipped with a Supelcosil LC-18-T column (250 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 μm particle size; Supelco, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany). The compounds were detected using an UV detector at 210 nm, or 250 nm after an isocratic run with 25% acetonitrile/75% water with TFA 0.1% v/v at 25 °C with a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The retention times in minutes are listed in Table S.1. Calibration curve for substrate and product were carried out with commercial standard and the conversion was then estimated, when possible, both from the substrate signal decreasing and product signal forming.

**Amines reactions**

The batch reactions to evaluate the conversion after 24 h, with wild-type and mutated HEWT, were performed in 1.5 mL micro centrifuge tubes; 200 μL reaction mixture in 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 8.0, containing 10 mM amino donor substrate dissolved in 10% DMSO, 10 mM Pyruvate (amino acceptor substrate), 0.1 mM PLP, and 0.1 mg/mL enzyme, was left under gentle shaking at 37 °C. The conversion was evaluated by HPLC with the same procedures described above. Retention times are listed in Table S.1 in ESI. The kinetic profiles for the amine substrates were measured using a Bio-tek EPOC2 microplate reader. The reaction mixture was set up in 300 μL of activity buffer (50 mM phosphate buffer pH 8.0) containing a variable concentration of substrate amino donor (0.01 to 2 mM), 10 mM of pyruvate, 0.1 mM PLP and an appropriate amount of enzyme. The reaction rate was detected at 245 nm over 20 minutes at 25°C. The molar extinction coefficient was calculated for each product in order to calculate the reaction rate in mm/s (data not shown). The data were elaborated by fitting them with the Lineweaver–Burk double reciprocal plot which yielded the Michaelis-Menten parameters (Km and Kcat).

**Chirality evaluation**

To evaluate the enantioselectivity of the enzymes, the chiral amine products were assessed by chiral HPLC analysis, following derivatization to the corresponding amides by adapting the method reported by Andrade et al. with Codex® ATA Screening Kit. Addition of 100 μL 5 M NaOH to the sample was followed by extraction in 900 μL methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE) and then by addition of 5 μL triethylamine and 5 μL acetic anhydride. A normal-phase HPLC method was performed on an Agilent Technologies 1200 equipped with a Chiralpak® IC (250 mm x 4.6 mm, 5 μm particle size). Detection at 254 nm after an isocratic run 95:05 Heptane:Ethanol at 25 °C with a flow rate of 1 mL/min. Employing this method, product and starting materials were separated with the following retention times (in minutes): acetophenone (7.1), derivatized (R)-1-phenylethylamine (16.7) and (S)-1-phenylethylamine (19.1), 4-nitroacetophenone (12.4), derivatized (R)-4-nitrophenylethylamine (22.4) and (S)-4-nitrophenylethylamine (24.2), 3-nitroacetophenone (13.6), derivatized (R)-3-nitrophenylethylamine (23.7) and (S)-3-nitrophenylethylamine (26.7), 2-nitroacetophenone (14.2), derivatized (R)-3-nitrophenylethylamine (24.8) and (S)-3-nitrophenylethylamine (27.1).

**Protein modelling and structural analysis**

The protein model was build using the SWISS-MODEL Homology Modeling tool, superimposing the HEWT protein sequence on the resolved structure of the homologues amino transaminase from *Cromobacterium violaceum* (PDB: 4a72). Substrate docking was performed exploiting the open source tool AutoDock Vina. The results elaboration and the structural evaluation was achieved using the molecular visualization system PyMOL (open source license). Sequence analysis and alignment was performed with CunSurf, software for multiple blast provided by BioSoft.

**Conclusions**

Transaminase from *Halomonas elongata* (HEWT) showed a wide variety of catalytic abilities towards different aromatic substrates (ketones, aldehydes, amines). Acetophenone derivatives were poor substrates for the wild-type enzyme, since only meta- and para-NO₂ acetophenones were reduced with molar conversions ranging from 10 to 20%. Four mutants were designed following *in silico* substrate-enzyme binding mode studies. Mutant W56G proved able to catalyse also the amination of ortho-NO₂ acetophenone, as a result of reduced interferences and facilitated substrate binding. Variants W56G and F84A, which have an enlarged binding pocket, showed better performances with ortho-substituted benzaldehyde derivatives, whereas I258A was the best mutant for para-derivatives. Since the investigated mutations involved highly conserved amino acids, these findings might reflect the behaviour of homologs α-transaminases. Therefore, as general rule, the mutation of large pocket tryptophan with a smaller residue yields to an improvement in the reactivity of ortho-substituted aromatic compounds. The change of the large pocket isoleucine provides instead an increase in activity of para-substituted molecules. On the other hand, FB4 between the two
pockets was very sensible to substitution and when it was changed for smaller alanine, it gave a worst conversion of ortho and para aromatic amines. Consequently, this position has a fundamental role in the oxidation step of amino transaminase and it should be preserved in order to maintain the optimal enzyme efficiency.

Acknowledgements
The authors wish to thank Cariplo Foundation for funding the project “INnovative Biocatalytic OXidations – INBOX” (Rif.2014-0568) “Ricerca integrata biotecnologie industriali” (Dr. Contente) and Science Foundation Ireland through the Synthesis and Solid State Pharmaceutical Centre grant number 12/RC/2275 (Planchestainer and reagents).

Notes and references