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ABSTRACT

Background

Specific treatments for influenza are limited to neuraminidase inhibitors and adamantanes. Corticosteroids show evidence of benefit in sepsis and related conditions, most likely due to their anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory properties. Although commonly prescribed for severe influenza, there is uncertainty over their potential benefit or harm.

Objectives

To systematically assess the effectiveness and potential adverse effects of corticosteroids as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of influenza, taking into account differences in timing and doses of corticosteroids.

Search methods


Selection criteria

We included randomised controlled trials (RCTs), quasi-RCTs and observational studies that compared corticosteroid treatment with no corticosteroid treatment for influenza or influenza-like illness. We did not restrict studies by language of publication, influenza subtypes, clinical setting or age of participants. We selected eligible studies in two stages: sequential examination of title and abstract, followed by full text.

Data collection and analysis

Two pairs of review authors independently extracted data and assessed risk of bias. We pooled estimates of effect using random-effects meta-analysis models, where appropriate. We assessed heterogeneity using the I² statistic and assessed the quality of the evidence using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) framework.
Main results

We identified 19 eligible studies (3459 individuals), all observational; 13 studies (1917 individuals) were suitable for inclusion in the meta-analysis of mortality. Of these, 12 studied patients infected with 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09). Risk of bias was greatest in the 'comparability domain' of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale, consistent with potential confounding by indication. Data specific to mortality were of very low quality. Reported doses of corticosteroids used were high and indications for their use were not well reported. On meta-analysis, corticosteroid therapy was associated with increased mortality (odds ratio (OR) 3.06, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.58 to 5.92). Pooled subgroup analysis of adjusted estimates of mortality from four studies found a similar association (OR 2.82, 95% CI 1.61 to 4.92). Three studies reported greater odds of hospital-acquired infection related to corticosteroid therapy; all were unadjusted estimates and we graded the data as very low quality.

Authors’ conclusions

We did not identify any completed RCTs of adjunctive corticosteroid therapy for treating influenza. The available evidence from observational studies is of very low quality with confounding by indication a major potential concern. Although we found that adjunctive corticosteroid therapy was associated with increased mortality, this result should be interpreted with caution. In the context of clinical trials of adjunctive corticosteroid therapy in sepsis and pneumonia that report improved outcomes, including decreased mortality, more high-quality research is needed (both RCTs and observational studies). Currently, we do not have sufficient evidence in this review to determine the effectiveness of corticosteroids for patients with influenza.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Steroids for the treatment of influenza

Review question

We reviewed the evidence regarding the effect of additional ('adjunctive') steroid treatment in individuals with influenza infection.

Background

The majority of individuals with influenza have a fever, headache and a cough and get better without any specific treatment. However, a small proportion of people develop a more severe form of influenza, requiring admission to an intensive care unit in hospital. These patients are often prescribed steroids as part of their treatment, although the evidence that steroids are beneficial in these circumstances is controversial.

Study characteristics

We searched for studies comparing additional steroid treatment with no additional steroid treatment in individuals with influenza. This evidence is current to June 2015. We identified a total of 19 studies with 3459 individuals; none of them were clinical trials. The majority of studies investigated adults admitted to hospital with pandemic influenza in 2009 and 2010.

Key results

We did not find any relevant clinical trials on this topic. The evidence available from existing observational studies was of very low quality. We found that patients with influenza who received additional steroid treatment might have had a greater risk of death compared to patients who did not receive steroid treatment. Hospital-acquired infection was the main 'side effect' related to steroid treatment that was reported in the included studies; all studies reported a greater risk of hospital-acquired infection in the group treated with steroids. However, it was not possible to be certain if patients with more severe influenza were selected to receive steroid treatment in the first place. Therefore, it is not possible to be certain whether additional steroid treatment in patients with influenza is truly harmful, or not. Clinical trials of additional steroids in the treatment of individuals with influenza are therefore warranted to clarify the situation. In the meantime, the use of steroids in influenza remains a clinical judgement call.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)</th>
<th>Relative effect (95% CI)</th>
<th>No of participants (studies)</th>
<th>Quality of the evidence (GRADE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assumed risk</td>
<td>Corresponding risk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Corticosteroid therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>141 per 1000</td>
<td>334 per 1000 (206 to 493)</td>
<td>OR 3.06 (1.58 to 5.92)</td>
<td>1915 (13 studies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital-acquired infection</td>
<td>See comment</td>
<td>See comment</td>
<td>Not estimable</td>
<td>619 (3 studies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(composite outcome including death and intensive care unit admission)</td>
<td>See comment</td>
<td>See comment</td>
<td>Not estimable</td>
<td>322 (2 studies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical ventilation</td>
<td>See comment</td>
<td>See comment</td>
<td>Not estimable</td>
<td>377 (2 studies)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The basis for the assumed risk (e.g. the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The corresponding risk (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.
Pooled analysis. We downgraded the quality of evidence from low (observational data) to very low due to high risk of indication bias (sicker adults with influenza were more likely to receive corticosteroids) and clinical/statistical heterogeneity (unadjusted estimates of odds ratio for mortality were presented in some studies and the definition of mortality varied across the studies). We upgraded the quality of evidence once as plausible confounding was likely to change the effect estimate.

Results were not pooled. We downgraded the quality of evidence from low (observational data) to very low due to high risk of indication bias (sicker adults with influenza were more likely to receive corticosteroids) and clinical and statistical heterogeneity (unadjusted estimates of odds ratio for hospital-acquired infection were presented in all studies, and the definitions for hospital-acquired infection varied across the studies). We upgraded the quality of evidence once as plausible confounding was likely to change the effect estimate.

Results were not pooled. We downgraded the quality of evidence from low (observational data) to very low due to high risk of indication bias (sicker adults with influenza were more likely to receive corticosteroids) and clinical and statistical heterogeneity (unadjusted estimates of odds ratio and risk ratio for critical illness were presented in all studies, and the definitions for critical illness varied across the studies). We upgraded the quality of evidence once as plausible confounding was likely to change the effect estimate.

Results were not pooled. We downgraded the quality of evidence from low (observational data) to very low due to high risk of indication bias (sicker adults with influenza were more likely to receive corticosteroids) and clinical and statistical heterogeneity (unadjusted estimates of odds ratio for mechanical ventilation were presented in all studies). We upgraded the quality of evidence once as plausible confounding was likely to change the effect estimate.
BACKGROUND

Description of the condition

Influenza is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide and has a high financial burden. Seasonal influenza occurs annually during the winter months in temperate zones of both the Northern and Southern hemispheres and all year round in the tropics (Viboud 2006). Global estimates of seasonal influenza from the World Health Organization (WHO) report one billion cases, including three to five million cases of severe illness annually (WHO 2008). About 210,000 influenza-related respiratory deaths occur globally per influenza season; 81% of these in persons aged 65 years and above (Simonsen 2013). The reported per capita total cost of a case of influenza illness in national studies ranges from USD 27 to USD 52 in European countries and USD 45 to USD 63 in the United States (Peasah 2013). Estimates of the influenza-related hospitalisation rate in the United States range from 63 to 107 per 100,000 persons annually at a cost of USD 11,096 to USD 83,216 per admission; amongst adults, hospitalisation rates are highest in persons aged 65 years age and above (309/100,000) (Peasah 2013; Zhou 2012). The population-based incidence estimate for influenza-associated critical illness in the USA is 12 per 100,000 person-years; this represents 1.3% of all critical illness hospitalisations or 3.4% of critical illness hospitalisations during the influenza season (Ortiz 2014a). Estimates from the United Kingdom indicate an influenza-attributable annual GP consultation rate of 2156 per 100,000 population and a corresponding annual hospitalisation rate of 34 per 100,000 population (Cromer 2014).

Pandemic influenza occurs unpredictably and infrequently due to reassortment of the influenza virus or adaptive mutation of a virus that has crossed the species barrier (Taubenberger 2008). Although the case fatality ratio associated with the recent influenza A (H1N1) pandemic in 2009 and 2010 was lower in comparison to previous pandemics (0.03% versus 2.5% in 1918 and 1919) (Donaldson 2010), a modelling study of global mortality due to the recent pandemic estimated 201,200 respiratory deaths and 83,300 cardiovascular deaths, with 80% of the deaths in individuals younger than 65 years (Dawood 2012). This shift in mortality towards younger age groups was estimated to have led to between 334,000 and 1,973,000 ‘years of life lost’ in the United States alone (Viboud 2010). Worldwide clinical data from the influenza A (H1N1) pandemic in 2009 revealed that more than one-fifth of hospitalised individuals experienced severe disease requiring admission to an intensive care unit (Jain 2009; Muthuri 2013; Richard 2012). The onset of critical illness following hospital admission occurred rapidly (median one day) and was commonly due to acute respiratory distress syndrome with refractory hypoxaemia, septic shock and/or multisystem organ failure, often requiring prolonged ventilation (Jain 2009; Kumar 2009). Critical care delivery systems were overwhelmed, especially in low and middle-income countries, affecting entire hospital services downstream (Ortiz 2013). The mortality associated with critical care admission due to severe influenza was high (14% to 22%) (Jain 2009; Richard 2012).

Current antiviral treatment options for influenza are limited to the neuraminidase inhibitors (NI) and adamantanes, although widespread adamantane use has been hampered by the global emergence of drug resistance (Deyde 2007). A Cochrane systematic review of randomised placebo-controlled trials (RCTs) reported a reduced time to first alleviation of symptoms by 0.6 to 0.7 days in NI treated adults, but no differences were seen between the two groups with regard to hospitalisation rates or occurrence of influenza-related adverse events (Jefferson 2014). In contrast, an individual patient level meta-analysis of over 29,000 patients with 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09) infection from 78 observational studies across the world found that NI treatment at any time, in comparison to no treatment, was associated with a 19% reduction in mortality risk; early treatment (within two days of symptom onset) was associated with a 52% reduction in mortality risk in comparison to late treatment (Muthuri 2013).

Description of the intervention

Endogenous corticosteroids are produced principally in the adrenal glands from cholesterol and are regulated by the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis (Molenaar 2012); they possess several anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory and vascular properties including inhibition of pro-inflammatory cytokines, reduction of leucocyte trafficking, stimulation of apoptosis of T-lymphocytes, maintaining endothelial integrity and vascular permeability and regulation of vascular tone by inhibition of vasodilators (nitrous oxide) and increasing sensitivity to vasopressors (Coutinho 2011; Kaufmann 2007; Rhen 2005). These properties form the rationale for testing corticosteroids in sepsis and related conditions.

A systematic review of RCTs investigating sepsis and septic shock reported that low-dose corticosteroid use increased 28-day shock reversal and reduced intensive care unit length of stay and 28-day mortality (Annane 2009). For the treatment of bacterial meningitis, corticosteroids appear to reduce hearing loss and neurological complications (Brouwer 2010), while in tuberculous meningitis, an improvement in survival was reported (Prasad 2008).

With regard to respiratory infections, a Cochrane systematic review of systemic corticosteroid use in all-cause pneumonia found no overall mortality benefit, but a reduction in time to resolution of symptoms was seen; in a subgroup of individuals with severe pneumonia, a reduction in the need for mechanical ventilation and improved oxygenation was found (Chen 2011). A further meta-analysis that included additional RCTs reported a survival benefit from corticosteroid therapy in the subgroup of severe pneumonia (Nie 2012). A RCT in 2015 found a lower risk of treatment failure with adjunctive corticosteroid therapy in hospitalised pa-
patients with severe community-acquired pneumonia with a high inflammatory response (Torres 2015), while a RCT in hospitalised patients with community-acquired pneumonia found adjunctive corticosteroids were associated with a reduction in the time to clinical stability (Blum 2015). The most recent meta-analysis, including the latest studies, suggests an overall beneficial effect from adjunctive corticosteroids in the treatment of patients with community-acquired pneumonia (Siemieniuk 2015). There is limited evidence that systemic corticosteroids as adjunctive therapy to antibiotics in people with acute sinusitis may offer modest benefits for short-term symptom relief (Venekamp 2014). In children with croup, a review found that corticosteroid treatment was associated with a lower symptom score at six hours, re-admission rate and length of stay (Russell 2011). In infants and young children with acute viral bronchiolitis, no benefits were seen in hospital admission rates, or length of stay in hospital following systemic or inhaled corticosteroid use (Fernandes 2013).

The role of corticosteroids for the treatment of influenza is highly controversial. While some case series have reported improved outcomes with corticosteroid treatment of severe influenza (Quispe-Laime 2010), other cohort studies have suggested the opposite (Diaz 2012; Liem 2009). Despite the ongoing controversy, 9% of hospitalised individuals and up to 69% of critically ill individuals during the 2009 influenza A (H1N1) pandemic were prescribed corticosteroid therapy (Brun-Buisson 2011; Diaz 2012; Kumar 2009; Muthuri 2013). The WHO consultation on human influenza A (H5N1) infection reported that 47% to 70% of patients received corticosteroids during the 2004 to 2005 outbreak in South East Asia (WHO 2005).

How the intervention might work

Viral replication and production of cytokines through activation of the host innate immune system are central to the pathogenesis of influenza infection (de Jong 2006). Elevated or excessive production of cytokines (hypercytokinaemia) correlates with symptoms and fever in acute influenza (Kaiser 2001). Comparisons between patients with mild and severe pandemic influenza have revealed significantly higher levels of cytokines (especially interleukin-6) in the plasma of patients with severe disease (Yu 2011) and similar findings have been replicated in studies of severe seasonal influenza (Heltzer 2009). A combination of excessive pro-inflammatory cytokine induced inhibition of the HPA axis, substrate (cholesterol) deficiency, structural damage to the adrenal gland due to infarction of haemorrhage and peripheral corticosteroid resistance could lead to absolute or relative corticosteroid insufficiency during critical illness (Jaattela 1991; Liu 2002; Marik 2009). The overall incidence of adrenal insufficiency in patients with critical illness is estimated to be around 20% and up to 60% in those with sepsis and septic shock (Marik 2009). Administration of corticosteroids during critical illness, including severe influenza, may attenuate this state of adrenal insufficiency and help maintain homeostasis.

Why it is important to do this review

Treatment options for influenza are limited. Corticosteroids may offer an additional therapeutic option and although they are frequently prescribed for severely ill individuals with influenza, there is controversy regarding the benefits and harms. A systematic review of the current evidence would a) highlight the quality of the available evidence and b) valuably inform current clinical practice and future research needs.

OBJECTIVES

To systematically assess the effectiveness and potential adverse effects of corticosteroids as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of influenza, taking into account differences in timing and doses of corticosteroids.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies
Randomised controlled trials (RCTs), quasi-experimental designs and observational cohort studies of individuals with influenza investigating corticosteroid treatment versus no corticosteroid therapy were considered for inclusion. We excluded studies with case-control designs due to the inability to determine temporal effects of corticosteroids on the development of non-mortality outcomes. We excluded studies with fewer than 10 participants.

Types of participants
Individuals with:
1. clinically diagnosed influenza or influenza-like illness (defined as fever, cough, symptoms of upper respiratory tract infection (coryza, sore throat) and constitutional symptoms (headache, myalgia) of acute onset); and/or
2. microbiologically confirmed influenza through sampling of the respiratory tract (nasal swabs, throat swabs or bronchoalveolar lavage).

There were no restrictions on age, influenza subtypes or study setting.

Types of interventions
We considered studies investigating corticosteroid treatment versus no corticosteroid treatment for inclusion. There were no restrictions on the doses of corticosteroid nor the types of corticosteroid used. We considered corticosteroid administration by oral and intravenous routes.
Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes
1. For studies of hospitalised patients:
   i) number of deaths at 30 days following admission (30-day mortality);
   ii) rate of admission to intensive care units.
2. For studies in the community setting:
   i) rate of hospitalisation;
   ii) time to resolution of symptoms;
   iii) 30-day mortality.

When studies reported mortality as an outcome following adjustment for potential confounders such as disease severity and patient demographics among other variables, this is referred to as 'adjusted mortality'.

Secondary outcomes
1. For studies of hospitalised patients:
   i) hospital re-admission rate at 30 days post-discharge;
   ii) number and nature of adverse events secondary to corticosteroid use, such as incidence of gastrointestinal bleeding, hospital-acquired infections and metabolic complications (e.g. hyperglycaemia, hypernatraemia);
   iii) proportion of patients requiring mechanical ventilation;
   iv) length of stay in hospital.
2. For studies in the community setting:
   i) number and nature of adverse events secondary to corticosteroid use, such as incidence of gastrointestinal bleeding, hospital-acquired infections and metabolic complications (e.g. hyperglycaemia, hypernatraemia).

Search methods for identification of studies

Electronic searches
We searched the following electronic databases: Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL 2015, Issue 5), which contains the Cochrane Acute Respiratory Infections Group's Specialised Register, MEDLINE (1946 to June week 1, 2015), EMBASE (1980 to June 2015), CINAHL (1981 to June 2015), LILACS (1982 to June 2015) and Web of Science (1985 to June 2015).

The search strategy implemented in CENTRAL and MEDLINE is listed below. We used the Cochrane Highly Sensitive Search Strategy for identifying randomised trials for the initial search in the MEDLINE database (Lefebvre 2011). We then repeated the MEDLINE search, replacing the randomised trial filter with the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) filter to identify observational studies (SIGN 2011). We combined these two searches to give the search results for MEDLINE. We repeated this process to search EMBASE (Appendix 1), CINAHL (Appendix 2), LILACS (Appendix 3) and Web of Science (Appendix 4), adapting the filter as needed.

MEDLINE (Ovid)
1 Influenza, Human/
2 exp Influenzavirus A/
3 exp Influenzavirus B/
4 (influenza* or flu).tw.
5 (h1n1 or h5n1 or h3n2).tw.
6 or/1-5
7 exp Adrenal Cortex Hormones/
8 corticosteroid*.tw, nm.
9 adrenal cortex hormone*.tw.
10 (adren* cortic* adj1 (hormone* or steroid*)).tw.
11 adrenocorticosteroid*.tw, nm.
12 adrenocorticoid*.tw, nm.
13 corticoid*.tw, nm.
14 glucocorticoid*.tw, nm.
15 hydroxycorticosteroid*.tw, nm.
16 exp Steroids/
17 steroid*.tw, nm.
18 (hydrocortisone* or prednisolone* or prednisone* or dexamethasone* or methylprednisolone*).tw, nm.
19 or/7-18
20 6 and 19
There will be no date, publication or language restrictions.

Searching other resources
We searched the Controlled Trials Registry for ongoing clinical trials (www.controlled-trials.com). We scrutinised the bibliographies of included studies and the last three years of three major infectious diseases conferences (Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy (ICAAC), European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) and Asia Pacific Society of Infection Control (APUSIC)) to identify potentially eligible studies. Following execution of the search strategy, we individually contacted four domain experts to ensure relevant studies had been identified (see Acknowledgements).

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies
Two review authors (CR, WSL) independently reviewed all the citations retrieved using the search strategy described above. We selected studies in two stages: analysis of study titles and abstracts in the first stage, followed by analysis of the full text of the articles.
A third review author (JVT) resolved disagreements at any of these stages through discussion.

**Data extraction and management**

Two review authors independently extracted data (CR extracted data from all eligible studies independently; JLB, JVT and WSL shared the data extraction of all included studies) using a standardised proforma that was previously piloted and specifically adapted for this review. We obtained the following data from studies:

1. characteristics of study (design, setting, country, enrolment period, methodological details including 'risk of bias' criteria for RCTs and the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for non-randomised trials and comparative observational studies);
2. characteristics of participants (inclusion and exclusion criteria, demographics, co-morbid illnesses, disease severity, numbers in each group);
3. characteristics of intervention (type of steroid, route of administration, dose, timing of corticosteroid use (early versus late) and duration of treatment, co-interventions administered);
4. outcome measures.

**Assessment of risk of bias in included studies**

Two authors (CR, JLB) independently assessed the methodological quality of experimental studies using the Cochrane 'Risk of bias' tool in the following domains (Higgins 2011):

1. adequacy of the method for generating the randomisation sequence;
2. adequacy of the method for allocation concealment;
3. blinding of participants, clinicians and outcome assessors with regards to the intervention given;
4. incomplete outcome data (participants lost to follow-up in each treatment group and reasons for losses reported);
5. analysis of participants in the groups to which they were originally randomised (intention to treat (ITT) principle);
6. selective outcome reporting (all primary outcomes listed in the study protocol that are relevant to this review reported);
7. other potential sources of bias.

We used the validated 'star system' of the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale to assess the risk of bias at the outcome level in observational studies in the following three domains (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale 2014):

1. selection of study groups;
2. comparability of groups;
3. ascertainment of outcome.

**Measures of treatment effect**

We extracted dichotomous outcome data from individual studies as tabulated data from which risk ratios (RR) or odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated. We extracted adjusted outcome measures as ORs or hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and presented these separately in pooled analyses. For normally distributed continuous data, we calculated mean difference or standardised mean difference with corresponding 95% CIs. We used medians and inter-quartile ranges for continuous data that were not normally distributed.

**Unit of analysis issues**

We considered the individual participant to be the unit of analysis for RCTs. We analysed cluster-RCTs allowing for that level of randomisation.

**Dealing with missing data**

We analysed data on an ITT basis. For dichotomous outcomes, we assessed the effect assuming participants with missing data had a poor outcome. We did not use any form of imputation for participants with missing continuous outcome data. We consulted the CONSORT-type flow chart of participants through the study if available (Schulz 2010). If a flow chart was not available, we looked for information in the text of the results to determine whether all participants included in the study had been analysed. In case of ambiguity, we contacted the trial authors to seek further information.

In the case of missing data relating to results, for example, measures of dispersion, we contacted the trial authors of the study to request further information.

**Assessment of heterogeneity**

We used the $I^2$ statistic to assess heterogeneity across experimental and observational studies. We considered a value greater than 50% to reflect substantial heterogeneity between the findings of RCTs (as described in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (Higgins 2011)). However, for observational studies, due to the inherent biases within their design, we considered a value greater than 75% to reflect substantial heterogeneity.

**Assessment of reporting biases**

We assessed funnel plots for publication bias (small study bias).

**Data synthesis**

One review author (CR) entered data into Review Manager (RevMan 2014), and two review authors (CR, JLB) independently summarised the data. In the case of experimental studies, where the interventions and populations were similar, we used a random-effects meta-analysis to pool data due to the potential for inherent biases in the studies. We elected only to use the random-effects model to pool data due to the likely differences in the effectiveness of corticosteroids by patient characteristics. We did not use a fixed-effect model to analyse the data because a) there was a clear
rationale for choosing the random-effects model, and b) there was no concern about the influence of small study effects.

For observational studies, we extracted tabulated data, crude estimates and adjusted estimates of effect from the studies. We extracted adjusted outcome measures as ORs or HRs with 95% CIs and presented separately in pooled analyses. We used a similar meta-analysis method to pool data from observational studies as described for the RCTs. Where data were available, we presented subgroup analyses of adjusted or unadjusted estimates separately (if both types of data were available, we used adjusted estimates of effect in preference to minimise potential confounding between the treatment groups).

GRADE and 'Summary of findings' table

We created a 'Summary of findings' table for the outcomes of mortality, adverse events, rates of mechanical ventilation and critical disease (composite outcome including death and intensive care unit admission). We used the five GRADE considerations (study limitations, consistency of effect, imprecision, indirectness and publication bias) to assess the quality of a body of evidence as it relates to the studies that contribute data to the meta-analyses for the prespecified outcomes (Atkins 2004). We used the methods and recommendations described in Section 8.5 and Chapter 12 of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (Higgins 2011), and we used GRADEpro GDT software (GRADEpro GDT 2015). We justified all decisions to downgrade or upgrade the quality of studies using footnotes, and we made comments to aid the reader’s understanding of the review where necessary.

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

We performed subgroup analyses in the following areas if possible:

1. daily corticosteroid dose (low versus high; in adults low-dose is defined as hydrocortisone ≤ 300 mg, dexamethasone ≤ 12 mg, prednisolone ≤ 75 mg, methylprednisolone ≤ 60 mg) (Annane 2004);
2. timing of corticosteroid use (early versus late; early defined as < four days of onset of symptoms and late ≥ four days) (Annane 2002; Jain 2009; Nguyen-Van-Tam 2010);
3. duration of corticosteroid course (short versus long course, short course defined as < five days and long course ≥ five days) (Annane 2004);
4. adult versus child population (adult defined as ≥ 16 years);
5. route of administration (intravenous, oral);
6. seasonal influenza versus pandemic/outbreak influenza.

Sensitivity analysis

We performed sensitivity analyses to assess the effect of study design on the primary and secondary outcomes using stratification if a sufficient number of studies were present.

RESULTS

Description of studies

Results of the search

The search strategy identified 3416 articles, of which we assessed 2812 articles in first stage of the selection process after removal of duplicate articles (Figure 1). We scrutinised the full text of 95 potentially eligible articles, yielding 19 articles for inclusion in the systematic review. The main reason for exclusion of 76 articles was the lack of data comparing corticosteroid use versus no corticosteroid use; 10 of these studies that came closest to being included in the review and their respective reasons for exclusion have been summarised in the Characteristics of excluded studies table. Of articles included in the systematic review, 13 were included in the meta-analysis of mortality (Balaganesakumar 2013; Brun-Buisson 2011; Chawla 2013; Diaz 2012; Kim 2011; Li 2012; Liem 2012; Linko 2011; Mady 2012; Patel 2013; Sertogullarindan 2011; Viasus 2011; Xi 2010). We included the remaining six articles in the narrative synthesis only, as three studies investigated corticosteroid therapy prior to the diagnosis of influenza (Bouadreau 2011; Delgado-Rodriguez 2012; Wu 2012). Three reported outcomes other than mortality according to corticosteroid use (Han 2011; Jain 2009; Kudo 2012).
Figure 1. Study flow diagram.

Records identified through database searching (n = 3416) Additional records identified through other sources (n = 1)

Records after duplicates removed (n = 2812)

Titles/abstracts screened (n = 2812)

Records excluded (n = 2717)

76 full-text articles excluded:
No relevant outcome/exposure data (n = 60)
Case series (n = 3)
Overlapping population/dataset (n = 9)
 Compared influenza versus other viruses (n = 1)
< 10 participants in the study (n = 2)
Unable to access (n = 1)

Full-text articles assessed for eligibility (n = 95)

Studies included in qualitative synthesis (n = 19)

Studies included in quantitative synthesis (meta-analysis) (n = 13)

6 studies excluded for the following reasons:
Reported pre-admission corticosteroid use (n = 3)
Reported length of stay only (n = 1)
Reported composite outcome of critical illness/death (n = 2)
Included studies

The study design, participant, intervention and outcome characteristics of the included studies are summarised in Table 1. All were observational designs. Outcome data according to corticosteroid use were reported for a total of 3459 individuals. All studies were conducted, at least in part, within a hospital setting: seven studies consisted only of individuals admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) (n = 1140); 10 studies investigated admissions to both ICUs and hospital wards (n = 1970); one study included individuals from non-ICU wards only (n = 143); and one study investigated both out-patients and in-patients (n = 206). The viral aetiology of individuals included in the studies was as follows: 13 studies of 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09) (n = 3072); two studies of seasonal influenza (n = 349); and one study of influenza A (H5N1) (n = 38).

The median age of the cohort or corticosteroid treatment groups was reported in 13 studies (varying from 8 to 51 years). Of seven studies reporting disease severity according to corticosteroid treatment, adults receiving corticosteroid therapy had higher disease severity scores in comparison to their respective comparator groups in three studies (n = 543) (Kim 2011; Linko 2011; Viasus 2011), while the remaining four studies reported no difference in disease severity scores between the two groups (n = 749) (Table 1) (Brun-Buisson 2011; Diaz 2012; Han 2011; Mady 2012).

In all studies, comparisons were made between patients treated with or without corticosteroids in addition to supportive treatment, including antiviral agents. Eight studies reported the doses or regimens of corticosteroid administered; in four studies, the mean/median dose of corticosteroid therapy varied between 67.5 mg to 117.5 mg of prednisolone equivalent per day (Brun-Buisson 2011; Kim 2011; Linko 2011; Xi 2010), and four studies reported daily regimens of methylprednisolone 1 mg to 6 mg per kg (equivalent to 1.25 mg to 7.5 mg prednisolone per kg) (Table 1) (Kudo 2012; Liem 2009; Mady 2012; Patel 2013). The median duration of corticosteroid therapy was reported in four studies and varied from 5.1 to 11.0 days.

Risk of bias in included studies

As all identified studies were observational, we used the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale to assess risk of bias throughout this review. The risk of bias for 27 reported outcomes from 19 studies included in this review is summarised in Table 2. We awarded a maximum score of four stars for the ‘selection’ domain to the following studies and their respective outcomes: Jain 2009 (ICU admission/death versus survival/no ICU admission); Kim 2011 (mortality, mechanical ventilation, length of stay and hospital-acquired infection); Kudo 2012 (length of stay); Liem 2009 (in-hospital mortality); Linko 2011 (in-hospital mortality, length of stay, mechanical ventilation); Viasus 2011 (in-hospital mortality, hospital-acquired infection) and Wu 2012 (influenza requiring hospitalisation). We gave the lowest score of two stars for the ‘selection’ domain to the following studies: Balaganesakumar 2013 (mortality); Boudreault 2011 (time to death); Li 2012 (mortality) and Patel 2013 (mortality).

The ‘comparability’ domain performed the poorest across all the studies in the risk of bias assessment. We awarded a maximum of two stars to the following studies and their respective outcomes: Brun-Buisson 2011 (in-hospital mortality); Delgado-Rodriguez 2012 (composite outcome of ICU admission and mortality); Diaz 2012 (ICU mortality); Han 2011 (critical illness); Kim 2011 (mortality) and Linko 2011 (in-hospital mortality). The majority of the remainder of the studies failed to score any stars for this domain.

The ‘outcome’ domain performed the best across all studies, with 15 of the 19 studies achieving a maximum score of three stars across all assessed outcomes; the remainder of the four studies achieved two stars: Balaganesakumar 2013 (mortality); Boudreault 2011 (time to death); Diaz 2012 (ICU mortality); and Kudo 2012 (length of stay).

Effects of interventions

See: Summary of findings for the main comparison Effect of corticosteroid therapy on influenza-related outcomes

The 15 studies of 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09) reported no difference in or greater adverse outcomes associated with corticosteroid use. The single study of influenza A/H5N1 found that corticosteroid therapy was associated with increased mortality following adjustment for neutropenia as a marker of disease severity (Liem 2009). Two studies of individuals with seasonal influenza failed to find any benefits associated with corticosteroid therapy (Boudreault 2011; Wu 2012). The inclusion criteria in these studies included any influenza-related hospital admission or intensive care unit (ICU) admission, severe respiratory failure (adult respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) or requiring mechanical ventilation), septic shock, multi-organ failure or ‘critical illness’. However, it was not clear why some patients within these cohorts received systemic corticosteroid therapy while others did not. In particular, whether corticosteroid therapy was initiated primarily for treatment of unstable co-morbid illnesses (including asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)) was not apparent.

Primary outcomes
Studies of hospitalised patients

1. Number of deaths at 30 days following admission (30-day mortality)

Due to heterogeneity in studies reporting timing of mortality from hospital admission, stratification by 30-day mortality was not possible as stated in the protocol (Table 3). We graded the quality of the evidence specific to mortality as very low (Summary of findings for the main comparison) (GRADE 2011). Meta-analysis of 13 studies (n = 1917 patients) revealed a significant increase in the odds of mortality with corticosteroid use, with substantial statistical heterogeneity (odds ratio (OR) 3.06, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.58 to 5.92; I² statistic = 80%) (Analysis 1.1; Figure 2). Subgroup analysis of unadjusted and adjusted estimates of mortality showed a similar association with corticosteroid therapy (OR 2.99, 95% CI 1.18 to 7.57; I² statistic = 86% (Analysis 1.1.1.) and OR 2.82, 95% CI 1.61 to 4.92 (Analysis 1.1.2); I² statistic = 0%, respectively). The test for subgroup differences between adjusted and unadjusted mortality was not statistically significant (P value = 0.92). There was no clear indication of publication bias on funnel plot analysis (Figure 3).

Figure 2. Meta-analysis of studies reporting mortality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study year</th>
<th>Corticosteroid group</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>No corticosteroid group</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>I² statistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Log(Odds Ratio)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balaguer et al. 2013</td>
<td>3.1676</td>
<td>0.3539</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>23.75</td>
<td>[11, 77, 47, 52]</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronlund et al. 2011</td>
<td>0.9247</td>
<td>0.2334</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>[1, 17, 4, 83]</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chou et al. 2013</td>
<td>2.4075</td>
<td>0.6793</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>[1.1, 1.4, 61]</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graf et al. 2012</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>[0.99, 1.16]</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al. 2012</td>
<td>1.6376</td>
<td>0.7269</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>[2.95, 4.60]</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modu et al. 2012</td>
<td>1.0542</td>
<td>0.4731</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>[1.14, 7.23]</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pablos et al. 2013</td>
<td>0.0116</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>[0.68, 1.11]</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarathguptha et al. 2011</td>
<td>1.674</td>
<td>0.7879</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>[0.01, 1.23]</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venkatar et al. 2011</td>
<td>1.0414</td>
<td>0.7879</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>[0.56, 1.26]</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 1.50; CH² = 56.14; df = 10 (P = 0.0001); P = 86%
Test for overall effect Z = 2.01 (P = 0.04)

1.1.2 Adjusted mortality

Kim 2011 | 0.7895 | 0.2972 | 107 | 139 | 9.6% | 2.20| [1.03, 4.76] | 80% |
Liem 2009 | 1.4134 | 0.6543 | 29 | 38 | 7.0% | 4.11| [2.6, 14.3] | 80% |
Luo 2011 | 1.1930 | 0.6530 | 72 | 60 | 5.8% | 3.36| [2.03, 6.02] | 80% |
Li 2010 | 1.3000 | 0.6865 | 62 | 103 | 7.7% | 3.67| [2.39, 5.58] | 80% |
Sarathguptha et al. 2011 | 1.674 | 0.7879 | 11 | 9 | 5.7% | 0.19| [0.01, 1.23] | 80% |

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; CH² = 0.92; df = 3 (P = 0.82); P = 0%
Test for overall effect Z = 1.73 (P = 0.08)

Total (95% CI) | 744 | 797 | 100.0% | 3.28| [1.58, 0.58] | 80% |

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 1.02; CH² = 58.01; df = 12 (P = 0.0001); P = 80%
Test for overall effect Z = 3.2 (P = 0.0001)

Test for sub-studies: CH² = 0.01; df = 1 (P = 0.92); P = 80%
Two studies reported adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) for mortality associated with corticosteroid therapy; the first reported harm (HR 2.59, 95% CI 1.42 to 4.73) (Brun-Buisson 2011), while the second study found no association (HR 1.06, 95% CI 0.63 to 1.80) (Diaz 2012).

2. Rate of admission to intensive care units

Studies reporting outcomes other than mortality are summarised in Table 4. Of the studies that were not conducted entirely in an ICU setting (n = 12), two studies reported composite outcomes including ICU admission (‘critical disease’), which were stratified according to corticosteroid therapy (Han 2011; Jain 2009). We graded the quality of the evidence specific to ‘critical disease’ as very low (Summary of findings for the main comparison). A retrospective cohort study in the USA of individuals hospitalised with 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09) infection reported a greater risk of critical care admission/death (unadjusted OR 2.37, 95% CI 1.29 to 4.37) associated with corticosteroid therapy (Jain 2009). In the other retrospective cohort study from China, the risk of critical disease (defined as death, respiratory failure, septic shock, failure or insufficiency of ≥ two non-pulmonary organs, mechanical ventilation or ICU admission) adjusted for co-morbid illness, obesity and pregnancy, was greater in the group treated with corticosteroid therapy (adjusted risk ratio (RR) 2.4, 95% CI 1.3 to 4.4) (Han 2011).

Studies in the community setting

We did not identify any studies conducted entirely in a community setting.

1. Rate of hospitalisation

None of the included studies reported this outcome stratified according to corticosteroid use.

2. Time to resolution of symptoms

None of the included studies reported this outcome stratified according to corticosteroid use.
3. 30-day mortality
None of the included studies reported this outcome stratified according to corticosteroid use.

Secondary outcomes

For studies of hospitalised patients

1. Hospital re-admission rate at 30 days post-discharge
None of the included studies reported this outcome stratified according to corticosteroid use.

2. Number and nature of adverse events secondary to corticosteroid use, such as incidence of gastrointestinal bleeding, hospital-acquired infections and metabolic complications (e.g. hyperglycaemia, hypernatraemia)
A summary of studies reporting nosocomial infections according to corticosteroid use is provided in Table 5. The unadjusted odds of nosocomial infection were generally greater in the groups treated with corticosteroid therapy compared to no corticosteroid. We graded the quality of the evidence related to hospital-acquired infection as very low (Summary of findings for the main comparison)

3. Proportion of patients requiring mechanical ventilation
Two studies reported greater unadjusted odds for mechanical ventilation in the group treated with corticosteroid therapy (Kim 2011; Linko 2011) (Table 4).

4. Length of stay in hospital
Four studies reported length of stay according to corticosteroid use; all were unadjusted for disease severity (Table 4). Two studies found a longer length of stay associated with corticosteroid use (Kim 2011; Linko 2011), while the others reported no statistically significant difference (Brun-Buisson 2011; Kudo 2012).

For studies in the community setting

1. Number and nature of adverse events secondary to corticosteroid use
None of the included studies reported this outcome stratified according to corticosteroid use.

Sensitivity analysis
Pooled analysis of 12 studies investigating individuals with 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09) infection only, excluding one study of influenza A/H5N1 (Liem 2009), found corticosteroid use to be associated with greater odds of mortality (OR 2.98, 95% CI 1.47 to 6.04) with high statistical heterogeneity (I² statistic = 81%).

Subgroup analysis
A summary of outcomes according to the different corticosteroid regimens is in Table 6; the number of studies was insufficient to perform subgroup analyses according to the various reported regimens. Only one study compared low versus high doses of corticosteroid treatment (Xi 2010). Two studies compared early versus later/no corticosteroid treatment; one defined early treatment as within three days of mechanical ventilation (Brun-Buisson 2011), and the other as within three days from onset of symptoms (Han 2011). Outcomes stratified according to age groups (children versus adults) and route of corticosteroid administration (intravenous versus oral) were not reported in the studies included in this review.

Impact of systemic corticosteroid use prior to the diagnosis of influenza
A study of corticosteroid use for the treatment of graft versus host disease in haematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) recipients, in the two weeks prior to the diagnosis of seasonal influenza, found no observed differences in time to death between individuals receiving low-dose corticosteroid therapy (< 1 mg/kg/day of methylprednisolone) (adjusted HR 1.1, 95% CI 0.4 to 3.6) or high-dose corticosteroid therapy (≥ 1 mg/kg/day of methylprednisolone) (adjusted HR 1.1, 95% CI 0.3 to 3.5), in comparison to no prior corticosteroid therapy (Boudreault 2011). A mixed cohort of outpatients and in-patients with seasonal influenza reported increased odds of ‘complicated influenza’ (defined as the need for hospitalisation due to pneumonia, neurological complications, invasive bacterial infection, myocarditis or pericarditis) associated with corticosteroid therapy (adjusted OR 12.19, 95% CI 3.26 to 45.53) (Wu 2012). Corticosteroid therapy in the 90 days prior to hospital admission was independently associated with poor outcome (defined as a composite outcome of ICU admission and death) (adjusted OR 3.37, 95% CI 1.39 to 8.20) in a study of individuals hospitalised with 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09) infection (Delgado-Rodriguez 2012).

Discussion

Summary of main results
The main findings of this systematic review are that: 1) there are no completed randomised controlled trials (RCTs) reporting the impact of adjunctive corticosteroid therapy on clinical outcomes in patients with influenza infection; the available data from observational studies are of very low quality, and 2) the available data suggest corticosteroid therapy might be associated with up to a three-fold greater odds of mortality. These results should be interpreted with caution.

Overall completeness and applicability of evidence

The findings from this review must be viewed in the light of two important considerations. Firstly, the indications for corticosteroid therapy were not fully specified in many studies. In some instances, the stated rationale was adult respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and septic shock (Brun-Buisson 2011; Diaz 2012; Kim 2011; Xi 2010). However, at one extreme, corticosteroid therapy may have been used as ‘a last attempt’ in individuals with refractory illness. Conversely, they may have been used to treat less severe underlying comorbid illnesses such as exacerbations of asthma. The majority of studies included in this review relate to the 2009 pandemic when revised guidance from the World Health Organization (WHO) in February 2010 would have applied (WHO 2010). However, adherence to that guidance, which recommended that “patients who have severe or progressive clinical illness, including viral pneumonitis, respiratory failure and ARDS due to influenza virus infection, should not be given systemic corticosteroids unless indicated for other reasons or as part of an approved research protocol” is not known. Over the same period, the ‘Surviving Sepsis Campaign’ recommended the use of corticosteroid therapy only in the setting of vasopressor-dependent septic shock (Dellinger 2013). The use of corticosteroids in the context of influenza infection, but for different clinical indications (notably asthma), has been previously shown to be associated with different outcomes (Myles 2013); this may reflect both the different mechanisms of action of corticosteroids depending on the underlying pathophysiology and the impact of bias by indication in reports from observational studies. This is compounded by the lack of consistent adjustment for disease severity across available studies.

The second consideration relates to the doses of corticosteroids used. These were poorly specified in many instances and, where reported, a higher daily dose was used (prednisolone equivalent >50 mg daily) than is typically recommended for the treatment of septic shock or exacerbations of airways disease such as asthma (BTS 2008; Dellinger 2013; NICE 2010). Variability in corticosteroid dose and administration schedule are both factors associated with treatment outcomes in the setting of severe sepsis; in particular, high doses given in short bursts have not been associated with benefit compared to low doses given for longer durations (≥five days) (Annan 2009). The use of higher doses of corticosteroids may explain the greater risk from secondary bacterial pneumonias due to *S. aureus,K. pneumoniae,A. baumannii and P. aeruginosaa* observed with corticosteroid therapy in some studies (Kim 2011).

In a study elsewhere, corticosteroid use was also found to be an independent risk factor for the development of invasive fungal infections in adults admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) with influenza (Wauters 2012). The mechanisms behind potential harm from corticosteroids, aside from the risks from nosocomial infections, are not well defined. In patients with influenza A (H3N2) infection, systemic corticosteroid use for exacerbations of asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) was found to be associated with delayed viral clearance (Lee 2009). A study of individuals hospitalised with 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09) infection found that corticosteroid therapy was associated with persistent viral shedding (defined as the detection of virus on real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) at day seven after diagnosis on nasopharyngeal swabs) (Giannella 2011). A similar observation was made in haematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients with 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09) infection (To 2010). Though causation cannot be inferred from these studies, exposure to systemic corticosteroids without concurrent antiviral treatment, as was likely for some patients in the studies reviewed, may proffer the highest risk of harm (Jain 2009; Wu 2012).

There was no evidence of publication bias in the effect of corticosteroids on the odds of mortality, where we found that the treatment effects in smaller studies were similar to those estimated in the larger studies. Although the test was likely to have sufficient power from including 13 studies in the funnel plot, we acknowledge their limitation of being subjective.

Quality of the evidence

The pooled analysis of mortality showed high statistical heterogeneity, most likely due to the inclusion of unadjusted estimates of mortality. Clinical heterogeneity was apparent across included studies. Specifically, disease severity was measured using a wide variety of clinical risk scores and mortality was reported at different time points; the rationale for corticosteroid use was inconsistent across studies; there was variation in the treatment groups with regard to the timing, dosage, duration and type of corticosteroid used; and the co-interventions for the comparator groups across studies were not uniform as varying proportions of adults were treated with antivirals and/or antibiotics. We graded the overall quality of the evidence for mortality, adverse events, rate of mechanical ventilation and critical disease as ‘very low’ due to the high likelihood of indication bias, and clinical and statistical heterogeneity in the included observational studies (Summary of findings for the main comparison).
Potential biases in the review process

The available evidence identified consists solely of observational data. We noted a high degree of correlation between corticosteroid therapy and potential confounders for measured outcomes (such as disease severity and the presence of co-morbid illness) in some studies (Kim 2011; Linko 2011; Viasus 2011); hence unadjusted effect estimates are likely to be confounded by indication.

Agreements and disagreements with other studies or reviews

A large, multicentre, prospective cohort study of 220 individuals admitted to ICUs across Europe with 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09) infection was not included in this review due to overlapping study populations; it found no association between corticosteroid use and ICU admission and ICU mortality, following adjustment for age, co-morbid illnesses and disease severity (adjusted HR 1.3, 95% CI 0.7 to 2.4, P value = 0.4) (Martin-Loeches 2011).

The association of increased odds of mortality with adjunctive corticosteroid therapy, as found in this review, is also in contrast to the evidence base from clinical trials of corticosteroids in the setting of sepsis and pneumonia. Specifically, in a meta-analysis of 17 RCTs (n = 2138) of corticosteroids in severe sepsis, subgroup analysis found that prolonged low-dose corticosteroid therapy was associated with lower 28-day mortality (Annane 2009). Similarly, a meta-analysis of 12 RCTs (n = 1974) of adults with community-acquired pneumonia concluded that adjunctive corticosteroid therapy may reduce mortality, need for mechanical ventilation and hospital length of stay (Siemieniuk 2015). Larger trials of corticosteroid therapy in severe sepsis and severe pneumonia are in progress and should provide more robust data within the next few years (Bos 2012; Bridges 2011; Venkatesh 2013).

AUTHORS’ CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

The available evidence from observational studies is of low quality with confounding by indication a major potential concern. We do not have sufficient evidence in this review to determine the effectiveness of corticosteroids for patients with influenza. There is a need for more robust evidence on the role of corticosteroids in the management of influenza before a firm recommendation for clinical practice can be made.

Implications for research

The most important need is for high-quality, blinded randomised controlled trials (RCTs), which will minimise the biases inherent in observational designs and thereby provide the necessary evidence base to inform future clinical practice. Future observational studies investigating corticosteroids for the treatment of influenza should state the precise rationale for the administration of corticosteroid therapy in study participants (such as treatment of complications of influenza, co-morbid illness or use solely as adjunctive therapy). The regimens of corticosteroid therapy should be explicitly stated with regards to the dose, timing of initiation and duration of therapy, and differences in regimens need to be considered when interpreting the results of studies. Differences in the administration of co-interventions between the corticosteroid treated and untreated groups, including antiviral drugs and antibiotics, also need to be accounted for. Outcome measures need to be adjusted for potential confounders including imbalances in baseline characteristics and disease severity at the very least. A meta-analysis of individual patient level data from observational studies may be able to overcome some of the inconsistencies across study-level data.
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CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

Characteristics of included studies  [ordered by study ID]

Balaganesakumar 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Multicentre, prospective cohort study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Participants

| Country: India (Tamil Nadu) |
| Setting: in-hospital       |
| Number of individuals: 1302 (280 included in case-control analysis) |
| Inclusion criteria: individuals with laboratory-confirmed influenza |
| Influenza type: 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09) |
| Median age of cohort (years): 26 (IQR 1 to 82) |
| Female sex: 666 (51%) |

Interventions

| Groups: corticosteroids (n = 70) and no corticosteroids (n = 210) |

Outcomes

| Mortality |

Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale)

| Mortality |
| Selection domain score (max 4): 2 |
| Comparability domain score (max 2): 1 (no adjustment for disease severity) |
| Outcome domain score (max 3): 2 |

Notes

- Boudreault 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Single-centre, retrospective cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Participants

| Country: USA (Washington) |
| Setting: in-hospital |
| Number of individuals: 143 |
| Inclusion criteria: individuals undergoing haematopoietic stem cell transplantation presenting with respiratory tract infections |
| Definition of influenza: laboratory-confirmed |
| Influenza type: seasonal |
| Median age (years): cohort 42.0 (IQR 31.0 to 53.0); no CS group 42.0 (IQR 32.0 to 51.0); low-dose CS group 42.0 (IQR 28.0 to 53.0); high-dose CS group 40.0 (IQR 32.0 to 54.0) |
| Male sex: cohort 83 (58.0); no CS group 36 (57.0); low-dose CS group 29 (67.0); high-dose CS group 18 (49.0) |

Interventions

| Groups: highest CS dose in 2/52 preceding influenza diagnosis. No CS (n = 63); low-dose CS (n = 43); high-dose CS (n = 37) |
| Definitions for dose: low-dose (prednisolone/methylprednisolone < 1 mg/kg/day); high-dose (prednisolone/methylprednisolone >= 1 mg/kg/day) |
| Co-interventions: antiviral therapy |
Outcomes

**Time to death/time to influenza-associated death:** hazard ratios presented following multivariate analysis. Variables in the multivariate models included CS treatment, antiviral therapy and lymphocyte count.

- **Hypoxaemia**
- **Lower respiratory tract disease**
- **Mechanical ventilation**

**Adverse events:** prolonged viral shedding

Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale)

- **Time to death**
  - Selection domain score (max 4): 2
  - Comparability domain score (max 2): 1 (no adjustment for age/disease severity)
  - Outcome domain score (max 3): 2

Notes

- 

Brun-Buisson 2011

**Methods**

- Multicentre, retrospective analysis of prospectively collected data

**Participants**

- **Country:** France (French REV A-SRLF registry)
- **Setting:** ICU
- **Number of sites:** 78
- **Number of individuals:** 208
- **Inclusion criteria:** severe respiratory failure (defined as adult respiratory distress syndrome or need for mechanical ventilation)
- **Exclusion criteria:** chronic steroid use or steroid use in ICU other than for respiratory failure; patients receiving CS as rescue therapy (initiated 2 weeks after commencing mechanical ventilation); admitted for other decompensated underlying illness
- **Definition of influenza:** laboratory-confirmed or clinically suspected
- **Influenza type:** 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09)
- **Median age (years):** cohort 47.0 (IQR 35.0 to 55.0); no CS group 45.0 (IQR 35.0 to 55.0); CS group 49.0 (IQR 34.0 to 56.0)
- **Female sex:** cohort 103 (49.5); no CS group 56.0 (44.8); CS group 47 (56.6)
- **C-morbid illnesses:** immunodepression; no CS 23 (18.4); CS 23 (18.4)
- **Disease severity:** Median Simplified Acute Physiology Score (version 3) (SAPS 3): cohort 52.0 (IQR 44.0 to 64.0); no CS group 53.0 (IQR 46.0 to 66.0); CS group 51.0 (IQR 44.0 to 61.0) (P value = 0.25 for 2 groups)

**Interventions**

- **Groups:** no CS (n = 125) versus CS (n = 83)
- **Median daily dose:** 270 (IQR 200 to 400) mg of hydrocortisone equivalent
- **Timing of therapy:** initiated within median 1 day (IQR 0 to 6) of mechanical ventilation
- **Duration of treatment:** median 11 days (IQR 6 to 20)

**Outcomes**

- **Hospital mortality:** hazard ratios presented following a) adjustment for immunosuppression, SAPS3 and vasopressor use in a Cox regression model; and b) propensity score matching
- **Length of ICU stay**
- **Adverse events** (ICU-acquired infections)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale)</th>
<th>In-hospital mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Selection domain score (max 4): 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comparability domain score (max 2): 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Outcome domain score (max 3): 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of ICU stay</td>
<td>Selection domain score (max 4): 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comparability domain score (max 2): 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Outcome domain score (max 3): 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU-acquired infection</td>
<td>Selection domain score (max 4): 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comparability domain score (max 2): 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Outcome domain score (max 3): 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes

Chawla 2013

Methods

Single-centre, retrospective cohort study

Participants

Country: India (New Delhi)
Setting: ICU
Number of individuals: 77
Inclusion criteria: individuals with laboratory-confirmed influenza
Influenza type: 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09)
Median age (years): cohort 41 (10 to 72)
Male sex: cohort 44 (57.1)

Interventions

Groups: CS (n = 38) versus no CS (n = 39)

Outcomes

Mortality

Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale)

Mortality

Selection domain score (max 4): 3
Comparability domain score (max 2): 0
Outcome domain score (max 3): 3

Notes

Delgado-Rodriguez 2012

Methods

Multicentre, prospective cohort

Participants

Country: Spain (Andalusia, Catalonia, Castile and Leon, Madrid, Navarre, the Basque Country and Valencia)
Setting: in-hospital
Number of sites: 36
Number of individuals: 813
### Delgado-Rodriguez 2012 (Continued)

- **Inclusion criteria:** influenza-like illness, acute respiratory tract infection, septic shock, multi-organ failure
- **Exclusion criteria:** nosocomial influenza infection
- **Definition of influenza:** laboratory-confirmed
- **Influenza type:** 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09)
- **Median age (years):** cohort 41.0 (IQR 19.0 to 55.0); not reported for individual treatment groups
- **Female sex:** cohort 410 (50.4); not reported for individual treatment groups
- **Co-morbid illness:** no data for individual CS groups
- **Disease severity:** not reported

### Interventions

| Groups: CS use 90 days prior to admission (n = 31) versus no prior CS use (n = 782) |

### Outcomes

- Reported independent association between CS use with poor outcome (composite outcome of ICU admission and in-hospital death) and length of stay

### Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale)

- **ICU admission and mortality**
  - Selection domain score (max 4): 3
  - Comparability domain score (max 2): 2
  - Outcome domain score (max 3): 3

### Notes

- Study reporting outcomes according to pre-admission antibiotic use

---

### Diaz 2012

- **Methods:** Multicentre, retrospective analysis of prospectively collected data

### Participants

- **Country:** Spain (voluntary ICU registry)
- **Setting:** ICU
- **Number of sites:** 148
- **Number of individuals:** 372
- **Inclusion criteria:** influenza-like illness; respiratory failure requiring ICU admission; microbiological confirmation of influenza
- **Exclusion criteria:**
- **Definition of influenza:** laboratory-confirmed
- **Influenza type:** 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09)
- **Mean age (years):** cohort 43.4 (± 13.3); no CS group 43.6 (± 13.6); CS group 43.1 (12.9)
- **Male sex:** cohort 205 (55.1); no CS group 69 (57.6); CS group 69 (50.7)
- **Co-morbid illnesses:** asthma - no CS 7.6 (18), CS 15.4 (21); COPD - no CS 11.4 (27), CS 13.2 (18)
- **Disease severity:** (Mean Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation Score II score (APACHE II)): cohort 12.8 (± 6.5); no CS group 12.5 (± 6.7); CS group 13.2 (± 6.3) (P value = 0.318 for 2 groups)

### Interventions

- **Groups:** CS (n = 136) versus no CS (n = 236) treatment
  - Data regarding dose, duration and timing not available
- **Co-interventions:** antiviral therapy administered to all
### Diaz 2012 (Continued)

| Outcomes | **ICU mortality:** presented as adjusted hazard ratios adjusted for severity and co-morbid illness (asthma, chronic kidney disease, morbid obesity, haematological disease) using Cox regression analysis  
**Length of stay** (hospital and ICU presented separately)  
**Mechanical ventilation** |
| --- | --- |

### Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale)
- **ICU mortality**  
  - Selection domain score (max 4): 3  
  - Comparability domain score (max 2): 2  
  - Outcome domain score (max 3): 3

### Notes
- -

### Han 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Multicentre, retrospective cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Participants | **Country:** China (Shenyang City)  
**Setting:** in-hospital  
**Number of sites:** 4  
**Number of individuals:** 83  
**Inclusion criteria:** age > 3 years  
**Exclusion criteria:**  
**Definition of influenza:** laboratory-confirmed  
**Influenza type:** 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09)  
**Median age (years):** no CS group 38 (IQR 5 to 75); CS group 43 (IQR 3 to 70)  
**Male sex:** no CS group 18 (49.0); CS group 29 (63.0)  
**Co-morbid illnesses:** not reported individually  
**Disease severity:** Median Pandemic Medical Early Warning Score (PMEWS): no CS group 2 (IQR 0 to 5); CS group 2 (0 to 5) |
| --- | --- |

| Interventions | **Groups:** no CS group (n = 37) versus CS group (n = 46)  
CS group further subdivided into early treatment (<= 72 hours n = 17) and late treatment (> 72 hours n = 29)  
**Type:** methylprednisolone and dexamethasone  
**Co-interventions:** antivirals |
| --- | --- |

| Outcomes | **Critical illness:** defined as >= 1 of the following: death, respiratory failure, septic shock, failure or insufficiency of >= 2 non-pulmonary organs, mechanical ventilation or ICU admission  
A proportional hazards model was used to estimate the probability of developing critical disease after controlling for the presence of underlying co-morbid illnesses and presence of risk factors (age >= 65 years, pregnancy, obesity) |
| --- | --- |

| Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale) | **Critical illness**  
Selection domain score (max 4): 3  
Comparability domain score (max 2): 2  
Outcome domain score (max 3): 3 |
| --- | --- |
### Jain 2009

**Methods**
Multicentre, retrospective cohort

**Participants**

| Country: USA  |
| Setting: in-hospital  |
| Number of sites: national surveillance data  |
| Number of individuals: 272 (CS data available for 239)  |
| Inclusion criteria: individuals hospitalised with influenza-like illness  |
| Definition of influenza: laboratory-confirmed  |
| Influenza type: 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09)  |
| Median age (years): cohort 21 years (IQR, 21 days to 86 years)  |
| Male sex: not reported for CS groups  |
| Co-morbid illnesses: not reported for CS groups  |
| Disease severity: not reported for CS groups  |

**Interventions**

| Groups: no CS (n = 153); CS (n = 86)  |
| Type: not reported  |
| Co-interventions: antivirals 200/268 (74.6); antibiotics 206/260 (79.2)  |

**Outcomes**

Death/ICU admission versus survival/no ICU admission

**Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale)**

| Selection domain score (max 4):  |
| Comparability domain score (max 2): 0  |
| Outcome domain score (max 3): 3  |

**Notes**
-

### Kim 2011

**Methods**
Multicentre, retrospective cohort/case-control

**Participants**

| Country: Korea  |
| Setting: ICU  |
| Number of sites: 28  |
| Number of individuals: 245  |
| Inclusion criteria: age >= 15 years; presence of critical illness defined as i) admitted to ICU or required mechanical ventilation (invasive or non-invasive), or ii) had ratio of partial pressure of oxygen in arterial blood (PaO2) to inspired fraction of oxygen (FiO2) less than 300 mmHg, or iii) required intravenous infusion of an inotropic or vasoconstrictive medication  |
| Definition of influenza: laboratory-confirmed  |
| Influenza type: 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09)  |
| Mean age (years): no CS group 54.1 (± 19.3); CS group 56.9 (± 17.2)  |

Notes
-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Kim 2011 (Continued)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Male sex:** no CS group 73 (53.0); CS group 61 (57.0)  
**Co-morbid illnesses:**  
Asthma: CS 10 (9); no CS 9 (7)  
COPD: CS 14 (13); no CS 6 (4)  
Solid tumour: CS 30 (28); no CS 19 (14)  
**Disease severity** (Mean Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation Score II (APACHE II)): no CS group 17.5 (± 8.5); CS group 21.2 (± 7.7); P value = 0.001 |
| **Interventions**  
**Groups:** CS treatment (n = 107) versus no CS treatment (n = 138)  
**Dose:** median prednisolone equivalent 75 (IQR 50 to 81) mg/day  
**Duration of treatment:** median 6 (IQR 6 to 13) days  
**Antibiotics:** CS group 107 (100.0); no CS group 136 (99.0)  
**Antivirals:** CS group 44 (41.0); no CS group 68 (49.0) |
| **Outcomes**  
**Mortality:** 14-day, 30-day and 90-day mortality reported. Adjusted estimates presented for 90-day mortality (following adjustment for age, SOFA score, mechanical ventilation, lymphocyte count and propensity score)  
Unadjusted estimates given for mechanical ventilation and length of stay  
**Adverse events:** secondary bacterial infections |
| **Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale)**  
**Mortality**  
Selection domain score (max 4): 4  
Comparability domain score (max 2): 2  
Outcome domain score (max 3): 3  
**Mechanical ventilation**  
Selection domain score (max 4): 4  
Comparability domain score (max 2): 0  
Outcome domain score (max 3): 3  
**Length of stay**  
Selection domain score (max 4): 4  
Comparability domain score (max 2): 0  
Outcome domain score (max 3): 3  
**Hospital-acquired infection**  
Selection domain score (max 4): 4  
Comparability domain score (max 2): 0  
Outcome domain score (max 3): 3 |
| **Notes**  
Adjusted estimates for 90-day mortality presented following logistical regression in a cohort study. Separate estimates given in a propensity matched case-control study |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Kudo 2012</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Methods**  
Single-centre, retrospective cohort |
| **Participants**  
**Country:** Japan  
**Setting:** in-hospital  
**Number of individuals:** 89  
**Inclusion criteria:** hospitalised patients with respiratory disorders (upper respiratory tract... |
### Kudo 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition of influenza:</th>
<th>laboratory-confirmed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Influenza type:</td>
<td>2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age (years):</td>
<td>8 (IQR 0 to 71) for cohort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex:</td>
<td>45 (50.6) in cohort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-morbid illnesses:</td>
<td>asthma: cohort 26 (29.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease severity:</td>
<td>not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Interventions

- **Groups:** CS treatment (n = 46) versus no CS treatment (n = 12) *based on 58 individuals in cohort
- **Dose:** methylprednisolone 1 to 1.5 mg/kg, 2 to 4 times/day
- **Duration of treatment:** median 5.1 days
- **Timing of treatment:** median 2.1 days following symptom onset
- **Antibiotics:** n = 63 (70.8) given antibiotics in cohort
- **Antivirals:** all individuals received antiviral therapy

### Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale)</th>
<th>Length of stay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Selection domain score (max 4):</strong> 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comparability domain score (max 2):</strong> 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcome domain score (max 3):</strong> 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes

- -

### Li 2012

#### Methods

- Multicentre, retrospective cohort

#### Participants

- **Country:** China (Anhui province)
- **Setting:** in-hospital
- **Number of sites:** not known
- **Number of individuals:** 46
- **Inclusion criteria:** pregnant, severe disease (defined as high fever for > 3 days, haemoptysis with purulent sputum, chest pain, dyspnoea, cyanosis, altered mental state, severe vomiting, diarrhoea and dehydration, radiologically confirmed pneumonia, elevated cardiac enzymes, respiratory failure, sepsis, multi-organ dysfunction or admission to intensive care units
- **Exclusion criteria:** discharge within 24 hours, individuals managed in the out-patient setting
- **Definition of influenza:** laboratory-confirmed
- **Influenza type:** 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09)
- **Median age (years):** adults who died 21 (IQR 18 to 31) and survivors 21 (IQR 18 to 27)
- **Female sex:** 46 (100.0)
- **Disease severity:** not reported

#### Interventions

- **Groups:** CS treatment (n = 27) versus no CS treatment (n = 19)
- **Dose:** not reported
- **Duration of treatment:** not reported
- **Antibiotics:** not reported
- **Antivirals:** all given antivirals
### Li 2012 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale)</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection domain score (max 4): 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparability domain score (max 2): 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome domain score (max 3): 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Notes | - |

### Liem 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Multicentre, retrospective cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Participants | **Country:** Vietnam  
**Setting:** in-hospital  
**Number of individuals:** 67  
**Inclusion criteria:** all hospitalised patients with influenza A (H5N1) infection  
**Definition of influenza:** laboratory-confirmed  
**Influenza type:** A/H5N1  
**Age, median years:** cohort 25 (IQR 16 to 42)  
**Male sex:** cohort 37 (55.0)  
**Co-morbid illnesses:** not reported |
| Interventions | **Groups:** CS treatment (n = 29) versus no CS treatment (n = 38)  
**Dose:** methylprednisolone 1 to 3 mg/kg/day  
**Duration of treatment:** up to 7 days  
**Antibiotics:** given to 63 (94.0) of cohort  
**Antivirals:** given to 55 (82.0) of cohort |
| Outcomes | **In-hospital mortality**  
Adjusted for the presence or absence of neutropenia as a marker of disease severity to investigate the effect of steroid treatment on outcome |
| Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale) | **In-hospital mortality**  
Selection domain score (max 4): 4  
Comparability domain score (max 2): 1  
Outcome domain score (max 3): 3 |
| Notes | - |
### Methods

Multicentre, prospective cohort

### Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country: Finland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting: ICU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of individuals: 132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion criteria: ICU admissions with influenza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition of influenza: high clinical suspicion or laboratory-confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza type: 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age (years): no CS group 44 (IQR 25 to 57); CS group 51 (40 to 56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex: no CS group 39 (65.0); CS group 46 (64.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-morbid illnesses:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD: no CS 3 (5); CS 6 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other obstructive pulmonary disease: no CS 14 (23); CS 15 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease severity (median IQR): Simplified Acute Physiology score II (SAPS II) no CS group 22 (15 to 30), CS group 31 (24 to 36). Sequential Organ Failure Assessment score (SOFA) no CS group 3 (2 to 6); CS group 6 (2 to 8); P value &lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Interventions

| Groups: CS treatment (n = 72) versus no CS treatment (n = 60) |
| Type of steroid: methylprednisolone and/or hydrocortisone |
| Dose: mean (SD) of the highest methylprednisolone dose was 94 (± 43) mg and hydrocortisone 214 (± 66) mg |
| Timing of steroid therapy: median (IQR) days after symptom onset 5.0 (2.8 to 8.3) |
| Co-interventions: antibiotics (84% of cohort); antivirals (96% of cohort) |

### Outcomes

| Hospital mortality: odds ratios given following adjustment for disease severity (SAPS II) |
| Unadjusted estimates given for mechanical ventilation and length of stay |

### Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospital mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Selection domain score (max 4): 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparability domain score (max 2): 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome domain score (max 3): 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanical ventilation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Selection domain score (max 4): 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparability domain score (max 2): 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome domain score (max 3): 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of stay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Selection domain score (max 4): 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparability domain score (max 2): 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome domain score (max 3): 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes

-
### Mady 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Single-centre, retrospective cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Participants</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country: Saudi Arabia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting: ICU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of individuals: 86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion criteria: influenza with respiratory failure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition of influenza: laboratory-confirmed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza type: 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years): cohort 40.8. Not reported for treatment groups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex: cohort 64 (74.4). Not reported for treatment groups</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-morbid illnesses: cohort 33 (38.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease severity (mean APACHE IV score): cohort 105.6 (41 to 190); CS group versus no CS group 110.5 versus 100.6 (P value &gt; 0.05) *NB not specified for which treatment group in article</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interventions</strong></td>
<td>Groups: CS treatment (n = 43) versus no CS treatment (n = 43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of steroid: methylprednisolone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose: 1 mg/kg per day for 7 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing of steroid therapy: not reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcomes</strong></td>
<td>In-hospital mortality: unadjusted estimates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale)</td>
<td>Selection domain score (max 4): 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comparability domain score (max 2): 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Outcome domain score (max 3): 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Notes</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patel 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Single-centre, retrospective cohort study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Participants</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country: India (Gujarat)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting: in-hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of individuals: 63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion criteria: laboratory-confirmed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza type: 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age (years): 34 (IQR 3 to 69)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex: 41 (65%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interventions</strong></td>
<td>Groups: CS treatment (n = 39); no CS treatment (n = 24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of steroid: methylprednisolone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose: 40 mg 3 times daily for 1 week, twice daily for 1 week and once daily for 1 week</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route of administration: intravenous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing of steroid therapy: not reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcomes</strong></td>
<td>Mortality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Patel 2013 (Continued)

| Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale) | Selection domain score (max 4): 2  
Comparability domain score (max 2): 0  
Outcome domain score (max 3): 3 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Sertogullarindan 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Single-centre, prospective cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Participants                 | Country: Turkey  
Setting: ICU  
Number of individuals: 20  
Inclusion criteria: ICU admissions with severe community-acquired pneumonia and influenza  
Definition of influenza: laboratory-confirmed  
Influenza type: 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09)  
Median age (years): cohort 36 (IQR 15 to 72); not reported by CS treatment groups  
Male sex: cohort 10 (50.0); not reported by CS treatment groups  
Co-morbid illnesses:  
COPD: cohort 2 (10)  
Malignancy: cohort 2 (10)  
Disease severity: not reported |
| Interventions                | Groups: CS treatment versus (n = 11) no CS treatment (n = 9)  
Co-interventions: antibiotics (90% of cohort); antivirals (100% of cohort) |
| Outcomes                     | Mortality (unadjusted estimates) |
| Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale) | Selection domain score (max 4): 3  
Comparability domain score (max 2): 0  
Outcome domain score (max 3): 3 |
| Notes                        | -                               |

#### Viasus 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Multicentre, prospective cohort study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Participants                 | Country: Spain  
Setting: in-hospital  
Number of sites: 13  
Number of individuals: 197  
Inclusion criteria: non-immunosuppressed individuals admitted for at least 24 hours with influenza A  
Exclusion criteria: chemotherapy/solid organ transplant/HIV/neutropenia/ICU admission on admission to hospital |
**Viasus 2011**  (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition of influenza:</th>
<th>laboratory-confirmed (PCR or culture)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Influenza type:</td>
<td>2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age (years):</td>
<td>no CS group 35 (IQR 28 to 47); CS group 44 (IQR 36 to 53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex:</td>
<td>no CS group 73 (53.0); CS group 61 (57.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-morbid illnesses:</td>
<td>chronic pulmonary disease: no CS 22 (17.1); CS 17 (45.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease severity:</td>
<td>number of individuals in high risk Pneumonia Severity Index (PSI) risk classes: CS group 8 (21.6) and no CS group 8 (6.4); P value &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Interventions**

Groups: compared adults receiving immunomodulatory therapy (n = 68) (CS (n = 37), statins (n = 12) or macrolides (n = 31)) versus adults not receiving immunomodulatory therapy (n = 129)

Duration: median days 9 (5 to 13.5)

**Outcomes**

In-hospital mortality

Hospital-acquired infection

**Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale)**

**In-hospital mortality**

Selection domain score (max 4): 4
Comparability domain score (max 2): 0
Outcome domain score (max 3): 3

**Hospital-acquired infection**

Selection domain score (max 4): 4
Comparability domain score (max 2): 0
Outcome domain score (max 3): 3

**Notes**

- 

**Wu 2012**

**Methods**

Single-centre, prospective cohort

**Participants**

Country: Taiwan
Setting: mixed cohort of out-patients and in-patients
Number of individuals: 206

Inclusion criteria: > 16 years with influenza-like illness. Compared complicated (requiring hospital admission) versus uncomplicated influenza (n = 176)

Exclusion criteria: not reported

Definition of influenza: laboratory-confirmed

Influenza type: seasonal influenza

Age >= 65 years: cohort 26 (12.6)

Male sex: cohort 110 (53.4)

Co-morbid illness:

Chronic lung disease: cohort 20 (9.7)
Malignancy: cohort 18 (8.7)

Disease severity: complicated influenza (n = 30) and uncomplicated influenza n = 176

**Interventions**

Groups: CS therapy use n = 17; no CS use n = 189
Unclear if CS was used prior to or following diagnosis

Dose/timing/duration: not reported
Antiviral therapy: cohort 68 (33.0)

Outcomes
Complicated influenza (adjusted for age, co-morbid illnesses, clinical features, laboratory findings and CS use)

Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale)
- Complicated influenza
  Selection domain score (max 4): 4
  Comparability domain score (max 2): 1
  Outcome domain score (max 3): 3

Notes
- Xi 2010

Methods
- Multicentre, retrospective cohort study

Participants
- Country: China (Beijing)
- Setting: in-hospital
- Number of sites: 23
- Number of individuals: 155
- Inclusion criteria: adults aged >= 18 years admitted to hospital
- Definition of influenza: laboratory-confirmed
- Influenza type: 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09)
- Mean (SD) age (years): cohort 43 (± 18.6)
- Male sex: cohort 90 (58.1)
- Co-morbid illness: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: cohort 10 (6.5%)
- Disease severity: acute respiratory failure (24/103 (23.3%) in the no CS group versus 38/52 (73.1%) in the CS group); septic shock (5/103 (4.9%) in the no CS group versus 13/103 (25.0%) in the CS group); invasive ventilation (16/103 (15.5%) in the no CS group versus 27/52 (51.9%) in the CS group)

Interventions
- Groups: primary comparison was survivors versus non-survivors. Secondary comparison made of CS treatment (n = 52) versus no CS treatment (n = 103)
- Dose: daily median dose equivalent to 80 mg (IQR 80 mg to 160 mg) of methylprednisolone
- Co-interventions: antivirals given to n = 132 (85.2) of cohort; antibiotics 139 (89.7) of cohort

Outcomes
- Hospital mortality: raw numbers for mortality were derived from data given in article. Adjusted odds ratio for mortality were given for CS use in multivariate analysis
- Adjustment was made for ethnicity, co-morbid illness (hypertension, diabetes), symptoms at disease onset (dyspnoea, sore throat), clinical presentation (dyspnoea), laboratory testing (lactate dehydrogenase) and CS treatment, in the multivariate analysis
- No difference in mortality for low-dose (< 80 mg of methylprednisolone) versus high-dose CS in a subgroup analysis (9/30 versus 8/22, P value = 0.854)

Risk of bias (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale)
- Selection domain score (max 4): 3
- Comparability domain score (max 2): 1
- Outcome domain score (max 3): 3
Notes

APACHE: Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation
COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
CS: corticosteroid
ICU: intensive care unit
IQR: inter-quartile range
PCR: polymerase chain reaction
SAPS: Simplified Acute Physiology Score
SD: standard deviation
SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment

Characteristics of excluded studies  [ordered by study ID]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Reason for exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chan 2014</td>
<td>No outcome data comparing corticosteroid treatment versus no corticosteroid treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fujikura 2014</td>
<td>No outcome data comparing corticosteroid treatment versus no corticosteroid treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gao 2013</td>
<td>No outcome data comparing corticosteroid treatment versus no corticosteroid treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnacho-Montero 2013</td>
<td>Overlapping populations*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hu 2013</td>
<td>No outcome data comparing corticosteroid treatment versus no corticosteroid treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin-Loeches 2013</td>
<td>Overlapping populations*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mckenna 2013</td>
<td>Overlapping populations*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ning 2014</td>
<td>Fewer than 10 participants in study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okur 2013</td>
<td>Overlapping populations*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smud 2010</td>
<td>No outcome data comparing corticosteroid treatment versus no corticosteroid treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Overlapping populations refers to studies where data from cohorts included in the review were duplicated, either due to multiple reporting of the same cohort, or inclusion of the cohort within the study population of a larger study.
Comparison 1. Corticosteroid therapy versus no corticosteroid therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome or subgroup title</th>
<th>No. of studies</th>
<th>No. of participants</th>
<th>Statistical method</th>
<th>Effect size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Mortality</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>Odds Ratio (Random, 95% CI)</td>
<td>3.06 [1.58, 5.92]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Unadjusted mortality</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1318</td>
<td>Odds Ratio (Random, 95% CI)</td>
<td>2.99 [1.18, 7.57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Adjusted mortality</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>Odds Ratio (Random, 95% CI)</td>
<td>2.82 [1.61, 4.92]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 Corticosteroid therapy versus no corticosteroid therapy, Outcome 1 Mortality.

Review: Corticosteroids as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of influenza

Comparison: 1 Corticosteroid therapy versus no corticosteroid therapy

Outcome: 1 Mortality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or subgroup</th>
<th>Corticosteroid group N</th>
<th>No corticosteroid group N</th>
<th>log [Odds Ratio] (SE)</th>
<th>Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Odds Ratio (IV, Random, 95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Unadjusted mortality</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>3.1676 (0.3539)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.8 %</td>
<td>23.75 [11.87, 47.52 ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balaganesakumar 2013</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>0.9247 (0.3334)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.9 %</td>
<td>2.52 [1.31, 4.85 ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brun-Buisson 2011</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2.4675 (1.0825)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.2 %</td>
<td>1.179 [1.41, 98.41 ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chawla 2013</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>0.0688 (0.2802)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.2 %</td>
<td>1.07 [0.62, 1.88 ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaz 2012</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.6376 (1.1269)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.9 %</td>
<td>5.14 [0.56, 46.82 ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li 2012</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.0542 (0.4731)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.1 %</td>
<td>2.87 [1.14, 7.25 ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mady 2012</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0116 (0.7124)</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.4 %</td>
<td>2.75 [0.68, 11.11 ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patel 2013</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-1.674 (0.9789)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.7 %</td>
<td>0.19 [0.03, 1.28 ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sertogullarindan 2011</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>1.0143 (0.7879)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.9 %</td>
<td>2.76 [0.59, 12.92 ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viasus 2011</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>0.7885 (0.3872)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.6 %</td>
<td>2.20 [1.03, 4.70 ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sertogullarindan 2011</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>1.4134 (0.6543)</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
<td>4.11 [1.14, 14.82 ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subtotal (95% CI) 484 834 69.1 % 2.99 [1.18, 7.57 ]

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 1.55; Chi² = 57.84, df = 8 (P<0.00001); I² =86%
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.31 (P = 0.021)

[Continued...]
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Study or subgroup | Corticosteroid group | No corticosteroid group | log [Odds Ratio] | Odds Ratio (SE) | Weight | Odds Ratio (IV Random, 95% CI) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linköping 2011</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.1939 (0.9628)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>3.30 [0.50, 21.78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xi 2010</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>1.3002 (0.6685)</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>3.67 [0.99, 13.60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td>260</td>
<td>339</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>30.9%</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.82 [1.61, 4.92]</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.0$; $\chi^2 = 3.92$, df = 3 ($p = 0.47$); $I^2 = 0.0$
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.63$ ($p = 0.00028$)

**Total (95% CI)** | 744                  | 1173                    |                |                | **100.0%** | **3.06 [1.58, 5.92]** |

Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 1.03$; $\chi^2 = 58.81$, df = 12 ($p < 0.00001$); $I^2 = 80$
Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.31$ ($p = 0.00092$)
Test for subgroup differences: $\chi^2 = 0.01$, df = 1 ($p = 0.92$); $I^2 = 0.0$

### ADDITIONAL TABLES

**Table 1. Summary of included studies - studies included in meta-analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/year (country)</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Setting/inclusion criteria</th>
<th>CS given (n)</th>
<th>CS not given (n)</th>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Disease severity scores</th>
<th>Corticosteroid therapy dose/timing/duration</th>
<th>Outcomes reported</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Influenza 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balaganesakumar 2013 (India - Tamil Nadu)</td>
<td>Multicentre, prospective cohort study</td>
<td>In-hospital admissions with influenza</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>Median age (years): 26 (1 to 82)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brun-Buisson 2011 (France)</td>
<td>Multicentre, retrospective analysis of prospectively collected data</td>
<td>ICU/severe respiratory failure (ARDS or MV)</td>
<td>83 (early CS 50 and late CS 33)</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>Median age (years): no CS 45 (35 to 55); CS 49 (34 to 56) Immunosuppression: no CS 18. 4%; CS 21</td>
<td>Median SAPS III cohort 52.0 (44.0 to 64.0); no CS 53.0 (46.0 to 66.0); CS group 51.0 (44.0 to 61.0)</td>
<td>Median daily dose: 270 (200 to 400) mg of hydrocortisone equivalent Timing: within ICU stay, adverse events</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Median/Sample Mean Age (years)</td>
<td>APACHE II Score</td>
<td>Dose</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chawla 2013 (India - New Delhi)</td>
<td>Single-centre, retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>ICU/admissions with influenza</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40.9 (±13.4)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Median 11 days (6 to 20)</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaz 2012 (Spain)</td>
<td>Multicentre, retrospective analysis of prospectively collected data</td>
<td>ICU/ILI; respiratory failure requiring ICU admission</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>43.6 (±13.6); 43.1 (12.9); Asthma: no CS 18%; CS 21%; COPD: no CS 27%; CS 18%</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Mean (SD) APACHE II: no CS group 12.5 (±6.7); CS group 13.2 (±6.3) (P value = 0.318)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim 2011 (South Korea)</td>
<td>Multicentre, retrospective cohort/case-control</td>
<td>ICU/age ≥ 15 years; presence of critical illness</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>54.1 (±19.3); 56.9 (±17.2); Asthma: CS 9%; no CS 7%; COPD: CS 13%; no CS 4%</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Mean (SD) APACHE II: no CS group 17.5 (±8.5); CS group 21.2 (±7.7); P value = 0.001</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li 2012 (China - Anhui province)</td>
<td>Multicentre, retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>In-hospital/pregnant, severe disease</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21 (18 to 31) and survivors 21 (18 to 27)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>In-hospital mortality, severe disease</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linko 2011 (Finland)</td>
<td>Multicentre, prospective cohort study</td>
<td>ICU/admissions with influenza</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>44 (25 to 57); CS 51</td>
<td>Median SAPSII: no CS 22 (15 to 30); CS 31</td>
<td>Median pred and/or hydrocortisone Dose: mean</td>
<td>Methylpred</td>
<td>In-hospital mortality, MV, LOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study (Year, Location)</td>
<td>Study Design</td>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>Case Definition</td>
<td>Median Age (IQR)</td>
<td>APACHE II (Mean, SD)</td>
<td>Treatment Group</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mady 2012 (Saudi Arabia)</td>
<td>Single-centre, retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>ICU/influenza with respiratory failure</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Mean APACHEII: 110.5 versus 100.6 (P value &gt; 0.05), not specified for which treatment group</td>
<td>Methylpred Dose: 1 mg/kg per day for 7 days</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patel 2013 (India - Gujarat)</td>
<td>Single-centre, retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>In-hospital/admissions with influenza</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Cohort median age (years): 34 (3 to 69)</td>
<td>Dose: methylprednisolone 40 mg 3 times a day, twice a day and once a day, for week 1, 2 and 3 respectively</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ser-togullaridan 2011 (Turkey)</td>
<td>Single-centre, prospective cohort study</td>
<td>ICU/severe community-acquired pneumonia and influenza</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Cohort median age (years): 36 (15 to 72)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viasus 2011 (Spain)</td>
<td>Multicentre, prospective cohort</td>
<td>In-hospital/ non-immunosup-</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Median age (years): no CS 35 (28 to 40)</td>
<td>Number in high-risk PSI classes: Duration: median days 9 (5 to 13.5)</td>
<td>Severe disease (composite)</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Summary of included studies - studies included in meta-analysis  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study, Year (Location)</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Mean age (years)</th>
<th>Cohort median age (years)</th>
<th>All mortality</th>
<th>CS mortality (IQR)</th>
<th>CS dose</th>
<th>Outcome of hospitalized patients</th>
<th>Studies not included in meta-analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xi 2010 (China - Beijing)</td>
<td>Multicentre, retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>In-hospital/age ≥ 18 years</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Cohort mean age (years): 43 (± 18.6) COPD: 6.5%</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Dose: daily median dose equivalent to methylpred 80 mg (IQR 80 to 160 mg)</td>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td>Avian influenza A (H5N1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liem 2009 (Vietnam)</td>
<td>Multicentre, retrospective cohort</td>
<td>In-hospital/hospitalised patients with influenza</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Cohort median age (years): 25 (16 to 42)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Dose: methylpred 1 to 3 mg/kg/day for 7 days</td>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han 2011 (China - Shenyang City)</td>
<td>Multicentre, retrospective cohort</td>
<td>In-hospital/age &gt; 3 years</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>Cohort median age (years): 41 (19 to 55)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Corticosteroid use 90 days prior to admission</td>
<td>Poor outcome (ICU admission and in-hospital death), LOS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jain 2009 (USA)</td>
<td>Multicentre, retrospective cohort</td>
<td>In-hospital/ILI with hospital admission ≥ 24 hours</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>Cohort median age: 21 years (21 days to 86 years)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Death/ICU admission versus survival/no ICU admission</td>
<td>Influenza 2009 influenza A H1N1 virus (H1N1pdm09)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Corticosteroids as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of influenza (Review)  
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Table 1. Summary of included studies - studies included in meta-analysis  
(Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Median Age (years)</th>
<th>Age &gt;= 65 years in cohort</th>
<th>Chronic Lung Disease</th>
<th>Malignancy</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Timing</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kudo 2012 (Japan)</td>
<td>Single-centre, retrospective cohort</td>
<td>In-hospital/hospitalised patients with respiratory disorders</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cohort median age (years): 8 (0 to 71)</td>
<td>Asthma: 29.2%</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Dose: methylpred 1 to 1.5 mg/kg, 2 to 4 times/day</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpandemic (seasonal) influenza</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boudreault 2011 (USA)</td>
<td>Single-centre, retrospective cohort</td>
<td>Non-ICU/HSCT recipients with RTI</td>
<td>80 (low-dose 43 and high-dose 37)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Median age (years): no CS 42 (32 to 51); low-dose CS 42 (28 to 53); high-dose CS 40 (32 to 54)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Highest dose in 2/52 preceding influenza</td>
<td>Low-dose (pred/methylpred &lt; 1 mg/kg/day); high-dose (pred/methylpred &gt;= 1 mg/kg/day)</td>
<td>MV, time to death, PVS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu 2012 (Taiwan)</td>
<td>Single-centre, prospective cohort</td>
<td>Mixed cohort of out-patients and in-patients</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>Age &gt;= 65 years in cohort: 12.6%; Chronic lung disease: 9.7%; Malignancy: 8.7%</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Dose/duration: not reported</td>
<td>Unclear if CS commenced prior to or following diagnosis</td>
<td>Complicated influenza (requiring hospitalisation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Corticosteroids as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of influenza (Review)

Table 2. Risk of bias in observational studies using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Selection domain (maximum 4 stars)</th>
<th>Comparability domain (maximum 2 stars)</th>
<th>Outcome domain (maximum 3 stars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balaganesakumar 2013</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boudreault 2011 †</td>
<td>Time to death</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brun-Buisson 2011</td>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brun-Buisson 2011</td>
<td>Length of ICU stay</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brun-Buisson 2011</td>
<td>ICU-acquired infection</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chawla 2013</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delgado-Rodriguez 2012 †</td>
<td>Composite outcome of ICU admission and mortality</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaz 2012</td>
<td>ICU mortality</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han 2011 †</td>
<td>Critical illness</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jain 2009 †</td>
<td>ICU admission death versus survival/no ICU admission</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Risk of bias in observational studies using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale  (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Outcome Description</th>
<th>rw-HT</th>
<th>rw-PO</th>
<th>rw-NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kim 2011</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim 2011</td>
<td>MV</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim 2011</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim 2011</td>
<td>Hospital-acquired infection</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudo 2012 †</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li 2012</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liem 2009</td>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linko 2011</td>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linko 2011</td>
<td>MV</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linko 2011</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mady 2012</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patel 2013</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sertogullarindan 2011</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viasus 2011</td>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viasus 2011</td>
<td>Hospital-acquired infection</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu 2012 †</td>
<td>Influenza requiring hospitalisation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xi 2010</td>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICU: intensive care unit
LOS: length of stay
MV: mechanical ventilation
† studies not included in meta-analysis (three studies investigating CS therapy before influenza diagnosis (Boudreault 2011; Delgado-Rodriguez 2012; Wu 2012); three studies with no mortality data according to CS use (Han 2011; Jain 2009; Kudo 2012).
Table 3. Summary of studies reporting mortality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Outcome reported</th>
<th>Mortality in CS treatment group</th>
<th>Mortality in group not treated with CS</th>
<th>Reported unadjusted risk of mortality</th>
<th>Reported adjusted risk of mortality</th>
<th>Variables included in model for adjusted estimates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balaganesakumar 2013</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>50/70 (71.4)</td>
<td>20/210 (9.5)</td>
<td>OR 23.8 (95% CI 11.3 to 50.8)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brun-Buisson 2011</td>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td>28/83 (33.8)</td>
<td>21/125 (16.8)</td>
<td>HR 2.39 (95% CI 1.32 to 4.31)</td>
<td>aHR 2.59 (95% CI 1.42 to 4.73)</td>
<td>Immunosuppression, disease severity (SAPS3), vasopressor use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chawla 2013</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>9/38 (23.7)</td>
<td>1/39 (2.6)</td>
<td>OR 11.8 (95% CI 1.4 to 98.4)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaz 2012</td>
<td>ICU mortality</td>
<td>25/136 (18.4)</td>
<td>41/236 (17.4)</td>
<td>HR 0.91 (95% CI 0.55 to 1.48)</td>
<td>aHR 1.06 (95% CI 0.63 to 1.80)</td>
<td>Disease severity (APACHEII), co-morbid illnesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim 2011</td>
<td>90-day mortality (also unadjusted estimates provided for 14-day and 30-day)</td>
<td>62/107 (57.9)</td>
<td>37/138 (26.8)</td>
<td>OR 3.76 (95% CI 2.19 to 6.44)</td>
<td>aOR 2.20 (95% CI 1.03 to 4.71)</td>
<td>Age, disease severity (SOFA), MV, lymphocyte count, propensity score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li 2012</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>6/27 (22.2)</td>
<td>1/19 (5.2)</td>
<td>OR 5.14 (95% CI 0.56 to 46.82)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liem 2009</td>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td>17/29 (58.6)</td>
<td>9/36 (25.0)</td>
<td>OR 4.25 (95% CI 1.48 to 12.22)</td>
<td>aOR 4.11 (95% CI 1.14 to 14.83)</td>
<td>Neutropenia as surrogate for severity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linko 2011</td>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td>8/72 (11.1)</td>
<td>2/60 (3.3)</td>
<td>OR 3.63 (95% CI 0.74 to 17.77)</td>
<td>aOR 3.3 (95% CI 0.5 to 23.4)</td>
<td>Disease severity (SAPS2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mady 2012</td>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td>20/43(46.5)</td>
<td>10/43 (23.2)</td>
<td>OR of 2.87 (95% CI 1.14 to 7.25)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patel 2013</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>11/39 (28.2)</td>
<td>3/24 (12.5)</td>
<td>OR 2.75 (95% CI 0.68 to 11.1)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sertogullarindan 2011</td>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>3/11 (27.3)</td>
<td>6/9 (66.7)</td>
<td>OR 0.19 (95% CI 0.03 to 1.28)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Summary of studies reporting mortality  (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Mortality (primary outcome was 'severe disease' = ICU admission/death)</th>
<th>3/37 (8.1)</th>
<th>4/129 (3.1)</th>
<th>OR 2.76 (95% CI 0.59 to 12.92)</th>
<th>Not reported</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Viasus 2011</td>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td>17/52 (32.7)</td>
<td>10/103 (9.7)</td>
<td>OR 4.52 (95% CI 1.89 to 10.81)</td>
<td>aOR 3.67 (95% CI 0.99 to 13.64)</td>
<td>Ethnicity, co-morbid illness, symptoms at onset, laboratory tests</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aHR: adjusted HR
aOR: adjusted OR
APACHE: Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation ventilation
CI: confidence interval
CS: corticosteroid
HR: hazard ratio
ICU: intensive care unit
MV: mechanical
OR: odds ratio
RR: risk ratio
SAPS: Simplified Acute Physiology Score

Table 4. Summary of studies reporting relevant outcomes other than mortality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Group treated with corticosteroids</th>
<th>Group not treated with corticosteroids</th>
<th>Unadjusted estimate of effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Critical disease</td>
<td>Han 2011</td>
<td>Early CS 12/17 (70.6)</td>
<td>Late or no CS 26/66 (39.4)</td>
<td>RR 1.8, 95% CI 1.2 to 2.8†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite outcome of ICU admission/death</td>
<td>Jain 2009</td>
<td>29/86 (33.7)</td>
<td>27/153 (17.6)</td>
<td>OR 2.37, 95% CI 1.29 to 4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of MV</td>
<td>Kim 2011</td>
<td>91/107 (85.0)</td>
<td>71/138 (51.4)</td>
<td>OR 5.37, 95% CI 2.87 to 10.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of MV</td>
<td>Linko 2011</td>
<td>53/72 (73.6)</td>
<td>14/60 (23.3)</td>
<td>OR 9.17, 95% CI 4.14 to 20.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of ICU stay: median days (IQR)</td>
<td>Brun-Buisson 2011</td>
<td>22 (13 to 39)</td>
<td>17 (11 to 30)</td>
<td>P value = 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS: mean days (SD)</td>
<td>Kim 2011</td>
<td>30.8 (36.9)</td>
<td>18.9 (20.0)</td>
<td>P value &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Summary of studies reporting relevant outcomes other than mortality (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOS median days (IQR)</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Group treated with corticosteroids</th>
<th>Group not treated with corticosteroids</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kudo 2012</td>
<td>8.2 (5 to 14)</td>
<td>7.7 (3 to 14)</td>
<td>0.607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linko 2011</td>
<td>20 (12 to 34)</td>
<td>8 (5 to 13)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aRR: adjusted risk ratio  
CI: confidence interval  
CS: corticosteroid  
ICU: intensive care unit  
LOS: length of stay  
MV: mechanical ventilation  
OR: odds ratio  
RR: risk ratio  
† adjusted risk ratio 1.8, 95% CI 1.2 to 2.8 (following adjustment for co-morbid illnesses, age, pregnancy and obesity).

Table 5. Summary of studies reporting corticosteroid-related adverse events or nosocomial infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse effect</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Group treated with corticosteroids</th>
<th>Group not treated with corticosteroids</th>
<th>Unadjusted estimate of effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICU-acquired infection</td>
<td>Brun-Buisson 2011</td>
<td>38/83 (45.8)</td>
<td>44/125 (35.2)</td>
<td>OR 1.55, 95% CI 0.88 to 2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital-acquired infection</td>
<td>Kim 2011</td>
<td>54/107 (50.5)</td>
<td>24/138 (17.4)</td>
<td>OR 4.84, 95% CI 2.71 to 8.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital-acquired infection</td>
<td>Viasus 2011</td>
<td>6/37 (16.2)</td>
<td>4/129 (3.1)</td>
<td>OR 6.05, 95% CI 1.61 to 22.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICU: intensive care unit  
OR: odds ratio

Table 6. Summary of studies reporting outcomes stratified according to different corticosteroid regimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup analysis</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early and late CS therapy</td>
<td>Brun-Buisson 2011</td>
<td>Hospital mortality</td>
<td>Early treatment defined as ‘within 3 days of mechanical ventilation’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compared with no CS therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Early CS: HR 3.42, 95% CI 1.73 to 6.75; P value = 0.001</td>
<td>Propensity score adjusted analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Late CS: HR 1.93, 95% CI 0.84 to 4.43; P value = 0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early CS therapy versus late/no CS therapy groups combined</td>
<td>Han 2011</td>
<td>Critical illness</td>
<td>Early treatment defined as &lt; 72 hours from influenza-like illness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RR 1.8, 95% CI 1.2 to 2.8</td>
<td>Multivariate analysis following ad-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Summary of studies reporting outcomes stratified according to different corticosteroid regimens (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low-dose versus high-dose CS therapy</th>
<th>Xi 2010</th>
<th>In-hospital mortality 9/30 versus 8/22, P value = 0.854</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Low-dose CS therapy defined as ≤ 80 mg methylprednisolone or equivalent daily dose
Unadjusted outcome

CI: confidence interval
CS: corticosteroid
HR: hazard ratio
RR: risk ratio

**APPENDICES**

Appendix 1. EMBASE (Elsevier) search strategy

#42 #18 AND #41
#41 #26 OR #40
#40 #27 OR #28 OR #29 OR #30 OR #31 OR #32 OR #33 OR #34 OR #35 OR #36 OR #37 OR #38 OR #39
#39 ('cross sectional' NEXT/1 (study OR studies)):ab,ti
#38 (epidemiologic* NEXT/1 (study OR studies)):ab,ti
#37 (observational NEXT/1 (study OR studies)):ab,ti
#36 ('follow up' NEXT/1 (study OR studies)):ab,ti
#35 ('case control' NEXT/1 (study OR studies)):ab,ti
#34 (cohort NEXT/1 (study OR studies)):ab,ti
#33 'cohort analysis'/de
#32 'prospective study'/de
#31 'retrospective study'/de
#30 'longitudinal study'/de
#29 'family study'/de
#28 'case control study'/de
#27 'clinical study'/de
#26 #21 NOT #25
#25 #22 NOT #24
#24 #22 AND #23
#23 'human'/de
#22 'animal'/de OR 'nonhuman'/de OR 'animal experiment'/de
#21 #19 OR #20
#20 random*:ab,ti OR placebo*:ab,ti OR crossover*:ab,ti OR 'cross over':ab,ti OR allocat*:ab,ti OR trial:ti OR (doubl* NEXT/1 blind*):ab,ti
#19 'randomized controlled trial'/exp OR 'single blind procedure'/exp OR 'double blind procedure'/exp OR 'crossover procedure'/exp
#18 #5 AND #17
#17 #6 OR #7 OR #8 OR #9 OR #10 OR #11 OR #12 OR #13 OR #14 OR #15 OR #16
#16 hydrocortisone*:ab,ti OR prednisolone*:ab,ti OR prednisone*:ab,ti OR dexamethasone*:ab,ti OR methylprednisolone*:ab,ti
Appendix 2. CINAHL (Ebsco) search strategy

S17 S7 OR S8 OR S9 OR S10 OR S11 OR S12 OR S13 OR S14 OR S15 OR S16
S16 TI (hydrocortisone* or prednisolone* or prednisone* or dexamethasone* or methylprednisolone*) OR AB (hydrocortisone* or prednisolone* or prednisone* or dexamethasone* or methylprednisolone*)
S15 TI steroid* OR AB steroid*
S14 (MH "Steroids+")
S13 TI hydroxycorticosteroid* OR AB hydroxycorticosteroid*
S12 TI glucocorticoid* OR AB glucocorticoid*
S11 TI corticoid* OR AB corticoid*
S10 TI adrenocortic* OR AB adrenocortic*
S9 TI (adren* NEAR/2 (hormone* OR steroid*)) OR AB (adren* NEAR/2 (hormone* or steroid*))
S8 TI corticosteroid* OR AB corticosteroid*
S7 (MH "Adrenal Cortex Hormones+")
S6 S1 OR S2 OR S3 OR S4 OR S5
S5 TI (h1n1 or h5n1 or h3n2) OR AB (h1n1 or h5n1 or h3n2)
S4 TI (influenza* or flu) OR AB (influenza* or flu)
S3 (MH "Influenza B Virus")
S2 (MH "Influenzavirus A+")
S1 (MH "Influenza+")

Appendix 3. LILACS (BIREME) search strategy

(mh:"Influenza, Human" OR influenza$ OR flu OR gripe OR or gripe OR mh:"Influenzavirus A" OR mh:b04.820.545.405$ OR mh:b04.909.777.545.405$ OR mh:"Influenzavirus B" OR mh:b04.820.545.407$ OR mh:b04.909.777.545.407$ OR h1n1 OR h5n1 OR h3n2) AND (mh:"Adrenal Cortex Hormones" OR mh:d06.472.040$ OR corticosteroides OR corticosteroides OR corticoid$ OR corticosteroid$ OR "adrenal cortex hormone" OR "adrenal cortex hormones" OR adrenocorticosteroid$ OR glucocorticoid$ OR hydroxy corticosteroid$ OR mh:glucocorticoids OR glucocorticóides OR mh:steroids OR esteróides OR mh:d04.808$ OR hydrocortison$ OR hidrocortisona OR mh:prednisolone OR prednisolone OR prednisolona OR mh:prednisone OR prednisone OR prednisona OR mh:dexamethasone OR dexamethasone OR dexametasa OR mh:methylprednisolone OR methylprednisolone OR metilprednisolona) AND db("LILACS") AND type of study: ("clinical trials" OR "case control" OR "cohort" OR "overview" OR "systematic reviews")
Appendix 4. Web of Science (Thomson Reuters) search strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Search Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| #5  | 361      | #4 AND #1  
Databases=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, CCR-EXPANDED, IC Timespan = All Years |
| #4  | 2,897,717| #3 OR #2  
Databases=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, CCR-EXPANDED, IC Timespan = All Years |
| #3  | 1,700,524| Topic=((case NEAR/1 control) or cohort or “follow up” or observational or longitudinal or retrospective or prospective or cross-section* or “cross sectional”)  
Databases=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, CCR-EXPANDED, IC Timespan = All Years |
| #2  | 1,425,723| Topic=(random* or placebo* or crossover* or “cross over” or allocat* or ((doubl* or singl*) NEAR/1 (blind* or mask*))) OR Title=(trial)  
Databases=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, CCR-EXPANDED, IC Timespan = All Years |
| #1  | 1,082    | Topic=(influenza* or flu or h1n1 or h5n1 or h3n2) AND Topic=(“adrenal cortex hormone*” or corticosteroid* or adrenocorticosteroid* or adrenocorticoid* or corticoid* or glucocorticoid* or hydroxycorticosteroid* or steroid* or hydrocortisone* or prednisolone* or prednisone* or dexamethasone* or methylprednisolone*)  
Databases=SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, CCR-EXPANDED, IC Timespan = All Years |
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DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW

We updated the Objectives of this review to add “taking into account differences in timing and doses of corticosteroids”.

We amended the Types of studies to state “We excluded studies with case-control designs due to the inability to determine temporal effects of corticosteroids on the development of non-mortality outcomes. We excluded studies with fewer than 10 participants.”

We used the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale to assess the risk of bias in studies instead of the Cochrane ‘Risk of bias’ tool as all identified studies were observational. Stratification by 30-day mortality was not possible as stated in the protocol due to the heterogeneity across studies when reporting mortality. All the studies were conducted at least in part in a hospital setting, and stratification of outcomes according to in-/out-patient setting was not possible. Subgroup analyses according to corticosteroid regimens and age of study participants could not be performed due to an insufficient number of studies reporting outcomes stratified according to these variables.

INDEX TERMS

Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

Adrenal Cortex Hormones [adverse effects; "therapeutic use"]; Chemotherapy, Adjuvant [adverse effects]; Cross Infection [etiology]; Influenza A Virus, H1N1 Subtype; Influenza, Human [*drug therapy; mortality]; Intensive Care Units [statistics & numerical data]; Observational Studies as Topic

MeSH check words

Humans