



The University of  
**Nottingham**

UNITED KINGDOM • CHINA • MALAYSIA

Allegaert, Karel and Choonara, Imti (2016) All medicines have side effects. Archives of Disease in Childhood, 101 . pp. 951-952. ISSN 1468-2044

**Access from the University of Nottingham repository:**

<http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/37177/1/All%20medicines%20have%20side%20effects.pdf>

**Copyright and reuse:**

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the University of Nottingham End User licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see:  
[http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end\\_user\\_agreement.pdf](http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end_user_agreement.pdf)

**A note on versions:**

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact [eprints@nottingham.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@nottingham.ac.uk)

# All medicines have side effects

Karel Allegaert<sup>1,2</sup>, Imti Choonara<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Intensive Care and Department of Surgery, Erasmus MC-Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Department of Development and Regeneration, KU Leuven, Belgium.

<sup>3</sup> Academic Division of Child Health, University of Nottingham, Derbyshire Children's Hospital, Derby, UK

Corresponding author:

Imti Choonara e-mail [imti.choonara@nottingham.ac.uk](mailto:imti.choonara@nottingham.ac.uk)

Emeritus Professor in Child Health, Academic Unit of Child Health, The Medical School, University of Nottingham, Derbyshire Children's Hospital, Derby, UK

Antihistamines are a widely used group of medicines. As well as being prescribed, they are available over the counter in many countries. A recent study from France highlighted that each year almost one in three children will receive an antihistamine and that in children aged between two and five years, almost one in two children will receive an antihistamine [1]. The paper by Vries and Hunsel from the Netherlands highlights reported suspected adverse drug reactions (ADRs) to first and second generation systemic antihistamines[2].

Skin eruptions and ADRs involving the central nervous system (headache, somnolence, aggression, agitation, hyperactivity and seizures) were the most commonly reported ADRs to second generation antihistamines. These ADRs are also the ADRs most frequently associated with first generation antihistamines. Second generation antihistamines are thought to be associated with fewer side effects. Their findings do **not** suggest that we should stop using antihistamines in children. They do, however, highlight that medicines we consider to be safe do have side effects.

We know that many side effects are not recognised as such by health professionals. As antihistamines are used for the treatment of allergic reactions, one needs to be aware that skin eruptions are the most commonly reported ADRs to antihistamines. The mechanism of the skin eruptions due to antihistamines is unknown. The fact that it occurs with a variety of antihistamines suggests that it is a risk with all antihistamines. If therefore any child receiving an antihistamine develops a fixed skin eruption, one needs to consider the possibility that the drug prescribed is responsible.

## How common are ADRs?

The majority of ADRs are not reported. Additionally, many ADRs are not recognised. It is therefore difficult to accurately state how common ADRs in children are. We know that one in ten children in hospital will experience ADRs [3]. The prevalence of ADRs in the community, however, is uncertain. We know that from a regional study in Cuba that at least one in 500 children will experience an ADR each year [3]. This figure however is likely to be an underestimate. Certain medicines are more likely to be associated with ADRs. Cytotoxic agents and antiepileptic drugs are commonly associated with ADRs. It is important to recognise however that widely used medicines that we consider safe may also be associated with ADRs. The risk benefit for each medicine needs to be carefully considered.

## **Pharmaceutical company sponsored studies**

Second generation systemic antihistamines are marketed as being safer than first generation antihistamines. It was of interest that the pharmaceutical company studies of second generation antihistamines did not describe the ADRs documented by Vries and Hunsel. One of the studies actually reported convulsions in four children receiving levocetirizine.[4] However, the authors considered that the drug was not responsible. The bias associated with pharmaceutical company studies is well recognised in adults . This bias has been documented mainly in relation to effectiveness. [5]. It is also a problem in relation to toxicity. We are uncertain whether paediatric health professionals are aware of this bias to the same extent.

## **Looking for ADRs**

Randomised controlled trials are rightly considered to be the best way of determining whether a drug is effective or not. They are not however the best way to evaluate drug toxicity. [3] Most clinical trials are not sufficiently powered to detect ADRs. Additionally, ADRs are usually poorly reported. Prospective cohort studies and national spontaneous reporting schemes for ADRs are more effective methods for detecting uncommon ADRs [3]. Health professionals have a responsibility to report suspected ADRs to the regulatory agencies.

- All drugs may result in ADRs
- Reporting new and serious suspected ADRs is essential to improve pharmacotherapy
- Stopping a medication may be as important as starting one

## **Using medicines rationally**

One needs to recognise that all medicines may have ADRs. Additionally, one also needs to be aware that many medicines that are prescribed are not actually needed. There is growing recognition in elderly patients that many long term medications are not required. There are numerous tools that have been developed to assess whether medicines prescribed to the elderly are appropriate or not. Within paediatrics, only one such tool has been developed - the POPI [6]. This is an important area of research that has been neglected in paediatrics. The rational use of medicines is recognised as being

a major problem in low income countries but there is increasing awareness that it is also a problem in high income countries. One needs to ask the following questions before prescribing either a new medicine or repeating a prescription for an existing treatment.

- Is a medicine required?
- Is the medicine to be prescribed likely to be effective, in this age-group for this condition?
- What are the likely side-effects?
- What is the risk/benefit to this individual patient?
- How long is treatment required for?

It is only by asking such questions that one will ensure that patients will receive the optimal treatment.

### **Statement**

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive license (or non-exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Group and co-owners or contracting owning societies (where published by the BMJ Group on their behalf), and its Licensees to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in Archives of Disease in Childhood and any other BMJ Group products and to exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our license.

### **Acknowledgements**

Karel Allegaert was supported by the Fund for Scientific Research, Flanders (fundamental clinical investigatorship 1800214N) and facilitated by the agency for innovation by Science and Technology in Flanders (IWT, SAFEPEDRUG project IWT/SBO 130033).

### **References**

1. Drug use in French children: a population-based study. Bénard-Larivière A, Jové J, Lassalle R, et al. Arch Dis Child 2015; 100: 960-965.
2. Adverse drug reactions of systematic antihistamines in children in the Netherlands. de Vries TW, van Hunsel F. Arch Dis Child. Published online first: 18<sup>th</sup> April 2016. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2015-310315.
3. Choonara I. Aspects of clinical pharmacology in children – pharmacovigilance and safety. Eur J Pediatr 2013; 172: 577-580.
4. Simons FER on behalf of the Early Prevention of Asthma in Atopic Children (EPAAC) study group. Safety of levocetirizine treatment in young atopic children: an 18-month study. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 2007; 18: 535-542.
5. Lexchin J, Bero LA, Djulbegovic B, Clark O. Pharmaceutical industry sponsorship and research outcome and quality: systematic review. BMJ 2003; 326:1167

6. Prot-Labarthe S, Weil T, Angoulvant F, et al. POPI (Pediatrics: Omission of Prescriptions and Inappropriate prescriptions): development of a tool to identify inappropriate prescribing. PLoS One 2014; 9: e101171. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101171.