Biomass-derived activated carbon with simultaneously enhanced CO₂ uptake for both pre and post combustion capture applications
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ABSTRACT

We report on the synthesis and CO₂ uptake capabilities of a series of activated carbons derived from biomass raw materials, Jujun grass and *Camellia japonica*. The carbons were prepared via hydrothermal carbonization of the raw materials, which yielded hydrochars that were activated with KOH at temperature between 600 and 800 °C. Carbons activated at KOH/hydrochar ratio of 2 have moderate to high surface area (1050 – 2750 m² g⁻¹), are highly microporous (95% of surface area arises from micropores, and 84% of pore volume from micropores of size between 5 and 7 Å), and exhibit excellent CO₂ uptake capacity at 25 °C of up to 1.5 mmol g⁻¹ at 0.15 bar and 5.0 mmol g⁻¹ at 1 bar, which is amongst the highest reported so far for biomass-derived carbons. On the other hand, activation at KOH/hydrochar ratio of 4 generates carbons with surface area and pore volume of up to 3,537 m² g⁻¹ and 1.85 cm³ g⁻¹, and which, depending on level of activation, simultaneously exhibit high CO₂ uptake at both 1 bar (4.1 mmol g⁻¹) and 20 bar (21.1 mmol g⁻¹), i.e. under conditions that mimic, respectively, post combustion and pre combustion CO₂ capture from flue gas streams. The present carbons are the first examples of biomass derived porous materials with such all-round CO₂ uptake performance, which arises due to the pore size distribution of the carbons being shifted towards small micropores even for samples with very high surface area. Thus the carbons satisfy the requirements for both low pressure (presence of small micropores) and high pressure (high surface area) CO₂ uptake.
1. INTRODUCTION

CO₂ is a greenhouse gas present in the atmosphere with a direct link to global climate change, and which is produced during the combustion of fossil fuels.¹ ² In recent decades, a challenge that researchers have faced is how to capture and store CO₂. Several technologies have been mooted to reduce atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, and include membrane separation, chemical fixation, storage and capture, and cryogenic processes.¹ ⁴ An approach that is increasingly gaining attention is CO₂ capture and storage by solid-based adsorbents.⁴ ⁸

Currently, candidate materials for CO₂ adsorption include zeolites, amine-doped porous solids such as mesoporous silica, porous carbons and metal-organic frameworks (MOFs).³ ⁵ ¹² Amine modified materials (e.g. zeolites and porous silicas) tend to absorb large amounts of CO₂ but are unattractive as they require high temperatures for CO₂ desorption and regeneration of the absorbent and, therefore, exhibit poor cycling stability. Some MOFs, particularly those that contain bare-metal sites have attractive CO₂ uptake but require several steps in their synthesis and are rather expensive. Thus in recent years, porous carbons such as activated carbons are increasingly being accepted as viable solid state CO₂ stores due to their ready availability and low cost.⁹ ¹² In general activated carbons can exhibit high surface areas, well-developed micropores and mesopores, chemical and thermal stability, and they are amenable to tuning of their chemical and textural structures.⁹ ¹² Additionally, activated carbons can be produced from a wide range of sources including resins, fossil carbon deposits or biomass, via carbonization and activation processes.⁹ ¹³ More recently, biomass-derived activated carbons have received a great deal of attention due to their high surface areas, complex pore structures and promising CO₂ uptake, as well as the fact that the raw materials are readily available, cheap and renewable.¹⁴ ¹⁶

To maximise CO₂ uptake capacity, activated carbons need to have a high surface area arising from micropores; recent studies have found that porous carbon materials with
micropores smaller than 7 - 9 Å show better CO$_2$ uptakes than those with pores larger than 9 Å.\textsuperscript{5,12,15} The pore size in activated carbons can be tailored towards micropores by varying the carbonizing and activating processes.\textsuperscript{17} The hydrothermal carbonization process is now firmly established as a starting point in the transformation of biomass to activated carbons.\textsuperscript{18} The process is relatively simple, only requiring the heating of an aqueous solution/dispersion of biomass at relatively low temperature (typically up to 250 °C) in autogenous pressure.\textsuperscript{18} The process converts biomass into carbon enriched carbonaceous matter - the so-called hydrochars that are amenable to activation.\textsuperscript{18,19} In the chemical activation step, KOH is the favoured activating agent as it can generate activated carbons with porosity that may be tailored towards improved CO$_2$ adsorption.\textsuperscript{9,20} Several studies have shown promising CO$_2$ uptake (up to 4.8 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 1 bar and 25 °C) for KOH activated biomass-derived carbons at low pressure conditions that mimic post combustion capture of CO$_2$ from flue gas streams.\textsuperscript{15,20-23} However, to date, no porous material has been identified that exhibits attractive and industrially viable room temperature CO$_2$ uptake at both low pressure ($\leq$ 1 bar) and high pressure ($\geq$ 20 bar) conditions - the later mimic conditions suitable for pre combustion CO$_2$ capture. Such materials are difficult to achieve because small micropores that are suitable for low pressure (post combustion) CO$_2$ uptake are typically found in low surface area solids, yet the low surface area restricts the amount of storage at high pressure. On the other hand, most high surface area porous materials that have excellent high pressure CO$_2$ uptake tend to possess pores that are too large for low pressure storage. To have all round CO$_2$ uptake, a porous materials needs to have high surface area arising from micropores – a combination that is difficult to achieve.

In this study, a series of activated carbons were prepared from two readily-available biomass precursors, namely, Jujun grass and \textit{Camellia japonica}, and evaluated as CO$_2$ capture and storage materials. The starting raw materials were hydrothermally carbonised to
hydrochars that were then chemically activated using KOH. The samples were activated at KOH/hydrochar ratios of 2 or 4 and at activating temperature between 600 and 800 °C. The resulting activated carbons have moderate to high surface area and are predominantly microporous, with some samples exhibiting excellent all-round CO₂ adsorption that is higher than that of equivalent benchmark materials. 5-16,20-23

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Synthesis of materials

Hydrochars were prepared by hydrothermal carbonization of Jujun grass and Camellia Japonica as follows; an aqueous dispersion of the starting raw material with a concentration of 320 g L⁻¹ was placed in a stainless steel autoclave and heated up to 250 °C and held at this temperature for 2 h. The product, (the so-called hydrochar) was recovered by filtration and washed several times with deionised water and then dried in an oven at 120 °C for 4 h. For activation, the hydrochar (typically 0.5 – 1 g) was mixed with KOH at the desired weight ratio in an agate mortar. The mixture was then placed in a tube furnace and heated at a ramp rate of 5 °C min⁻¹, and held at the target temperature for 1 h under a flow of nitrogen gas. The resulting activated carbon was washed several times with a 10 wt% solution of hydrochloric acid (Sigma-Aldrich) in order to remove any inorganic residues. The carbon was then washed with deionised water until neutral pH and dried in an oven at 120 °C for 4 h. The activated carbons derived from Jujun grass (GR) and Camellia japonica (CA) were denoted as ACGRxT or ACCAxT, respectively, where x is the KOH/hydrochar ratio (2 or 4) and T is the activating temperature (600, 700, or 800 °C).
2.2. Characterization of materials

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained by a PANanalytical X’Pert PRO diffractometer with Cu-K$_\alpha$ radiation operating at 40 kV and 40 mA, with 0.02° step size and 30 s step time. The elemental analysis of the samples (C, H, N and O) was determined by a model CE-440 Elemental Analyzer (Exeter Analytical). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed in alumina pans using a TA Instruments SDT Q600 analyzer. Samples were heated up to 800 °C at 5 °C min$^{-1}$ under a flow of air. The textural properties and porosity of the samples were analysed from nitrogen sorption isotherms obtained at −196 °C on a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 sorptometer. Surface area was determined using the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method applied to adsorption data in the relative pressure (P/P$_o$) range between 0.02 and 0.22. The total pore volume was based on the quantity of nitrogen adsorbed at relative pressure of ~ 0.99. The micropore surface area and micropore volume were determined via t-plot analysis. The pore size distribution (PSD) was obtained via the non-local density function theory (NLDFT) method using nitrogen adsorption data. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were obtained using an FEI XL30 instrument. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were obtained using a JEOL 2100F instrument operating at 200 kV equipped with a Gatan Orius CCD for imaging. The samples were suspended in distilled water and dispersed onto lacey carbon support film. Raman spectra were recorded using a Horiba-Jobin-Yvon LabRAM Raman microscope with a 532 nm laser operating at ca. 4 mW (10%) and a 600 lines/mm grating. The detector was a Synapse CCD detector. Spectra were collected by averaging 8 acquisitions of 60 s duration. The Raman shift was calibrated using the Rayleigh peak and the 520.7 cm$^{-1}$ Si line from a Si(100) reference sample.
2.3. **CO$_2$ uptake measurements**

CO$_2$ adsorption and storage was investigated in the pressure range 0 - 20 bar at room temperature using a Hiden intelligent gravimetric analyser (IGA-003). Before uptake measurements, the samples were degassed at 200 °C under vacuum for several hours.

3. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

3.1. **Morphology and structure of hydrochar and activated carbons**

SEM and TEM analysis were used to monitor changes in morphology of the raw materials following hydrothermal carbonisation and activation. The SEM and TEM images of the precursors, hydrochars and representative activated carbons are shown in Figure 1 (Jujun grass) and Figure 2 (*Camellia japonica*). Both raw materials and their respective hydrochars have stringy fibre-like particles that are characteristic of biomass material. On the other hand, the activated carbon samples have irregularly shaped particles and sharp corners, a morphology that is characteristic of activated carbons. The KOH/hydrochar ratio used during the activation appears to have some influence on the morphology of resulting activated carbons. Thus carbons activated at ratio 2 (Figure 1 and 2, Figure S1 and S2) present a higher degree of surface roughness, which is an indication of a microporous structure. In contrast, carbons activated at ratio 4 show smoother surfaces. The SEM images indicate that the morphology of the precursor (raw material or hydrochar) is not retained in the activated carbons. Representative TEM images (sample ACGR4700 and ACCA2700) are shown in Figure 1 and 2 (and Figure S3). The pore channel geometry and connectivity is similar for the two samples with both indicative of a highly porous material. The TEM images show that sample ACGR4700 has larger pores than ACCA2700, which is consistent with the fact that the former is prepared at higher KOH/hydrochar ratio and therefore achieves a higher level of activation and larger pores (Figure 1, 2 and Figure S3).
Figure 1. SEM images of Jujun grass (A), Jujun grass hydrochar (B), activated carbon derived from Jujun grass; sample ACGR2600 (C) and ACGR4600 (D), and TEM images of sample ACGR4700 (E, F).
Figure 2. SEM images of *Camellia japonica* (A), *Camellia japonica* hydrochar (B), activated carbon derived from *Camellia japonica*; sample ACCA2600 (C) and ACCA4600 (D), and TEM images of samples ACCA2700 (E, F).

In order to assess the purity of the samples with respect to carbon content, we performed thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the hydrochars and activated carbons. Any
residual mass following TGA may be assigned to the presence of mineral matter in the case of hydrochars, and inorganic residues arising from the activating agent (KOH) for activated carbons. As shown in Figure S4, all the TGA curves show an initial mass loss below 100 °C, due to removal of water, followed by a larger mass loss centred at ca. 600 °C, which is the carbon burn off. The hydrochar samples obtained from Jujun grass and *Camellia Japonica* at 800 °C (under air) display a residual mass of ca. 10% and 5%, respectively, suggesting the presence of small amounts of mineral matter. By contrast, the activated carbons exhibit much lower residual mass (generally less than 5% and typically lower than 2%), which confirms that they are virtually free of inorganic residues. According to the TGA curves, the activated carbons show better thermal stability (as indicated by carbon burn off maxima) than the hydrochar samples, with the burn off maxima for activated carbons being at ca. 600 °C, compared to between 300 °C and 500 °C for the hydrochars. Additionally, it appears that activated carbons prepared at higher activating temperature are more thermally stable, an observation that may be related to the increase in level of graphitisation due to exposure to higher temperature.

Powder XRD patterns of hydrochars (Figure S5) show a sharp peak at $2\theta \approx 22^\circ$, which may arise from minor graphitic/turbostratic carbon domains. The XRD patterns for the activated carbons (Figure S5) show weak peaks at $2\theta \approx 22^\circ$ and $44^\circ$; the broad and of low intensity nature of the peaks indicates that the samples are predominately amorphous carbons with very low, if any, graphitic domains. This observations are supported by the Raman spectra (Figure S6), which show broad bands at 1370 cm$^{-1}$ and 1595 cm$^{-1}$ that are, respectively, the D-peak (for disordered carbon) and the G-peak (for graphitic domains). The ratio of peak intensity (i.e., area) of the D-peak to G-peak ($I_D/I_G$) ranges from ~1.4 to 1.7, and generally reduces for carbons prepared at higher activation temperature. This suggests a
slightly higher level of graphitisation for the samples activated at high temperature, which is in agreement with the thermal stability data (Figure S4).

The elemental compositions of the raw biomass materials, hydrochars and activated carbons are shown in Table 1. The H/C ratio shows a significant decrease from 0.13 for the raw biomass materials, to 0.1 for the hydrochars, and down to ca. 0.02 for the activated carbons. This decrease is related to carbon enrichment that occurs during the hydrothermal carbonisation step and also the chemical activation process. In general, the carbon content increases at higher activation temperature.

**Table 1.** Elemental composition (wt% of C and H with remainder as O) of Jujun grass and *Camellia japonica*, their hydrochar and activated carbons: ACCGR from Jujun grass and ACCA from *Camellia japonica*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>H/C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jujun grass</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jujun grass hydrochar</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACGR2600</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACGR2700</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACGR2800</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACGR4600</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACGR4700</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACGR4800</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Camellia japonica</em></td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camellia hydrochar</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCA2600</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCA2700</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCA2800</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCA4600</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCA4700</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCA4800</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2. Textural properties

The nitrogen sorption isotherms and pore size distribution (PSD) curves for activated carbons derived from Jujun grass are shown in Figure 3 and the corresponding textural properties are summarised in Table 2. The nitrogen sorption isotherms are predominately type I.\textsuperscript{27} The isotherms of Jujun grass-derived carbons activated at KOH/hydrochar ratio of 2 and temperature of 600 or 700 °C (Figure 3a) are characteristic of highly microporous materials in which virtually all of the nitrogen sorption takes place at relative pressure (P/P\textsubscript{o}) below 0.1, followed by a sharp knee and plateau.\textsuperscript{27} For the sample activated at 800 °C, there is a wider adsorption knee suggesting the presence of supermicropores in addition to micropores.\textsuperscript{21-23,28} This is confirmed by the PSD curves in Figure 3b; sample ACGR2600 and ACGR2700 show few pores larger than 10 Å and no pores larger than 20 Å. The porosity of these samples is dominated by pores of size between 5 and 9 Å as summarised in Table 2. On the other hand, sample ACGR2800 has some pores above 10 Å (supermicropores) and a small proportion of pores larger than 20 Å. This is also reflected in the proportion of microporosity, wherein samples ACGR2600 and ACGR2700 are predominantly microporous (ca. 94% of the surface area and 84% of the pore volume arise from micropores). On the other hand, the extent of microporosity for sample ACGR2800 is lower at 76% of the surface area and 67% of pore volume. The development of larger pore sizes at 800 °C is due to gasification effects related to the decomposition of potassium salts according to the reactions below:

\begin{align*}
6\text{KOH} + 2\text{C} & \rightarrow 2\text{K} + 3\text{H}_2 + 2\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 \\
\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 & \rightarrow \text{K}_2\text{O} + \text{CO}_2
\end{align*}

(1)
As shown in Table 2, the surface area of Jujun grass-derived samples prepared at KOH/hydrochar ratio of 2, increases from a modest 1048 m²g⁻¹ for sample ACGR2600 to 1512 m²g⁻¹ for sample ACGR2700 and then greatly rises to 2735 m²g⁻¹ for sample ACGR2800. The pore volume shows a similar trend, increasing with activating temperature from 0.51 cm³g⁻¹ to 1.47 cm³g⁻¹.

**Figure 3.** Nitrogen sorption isotherms (A, C) and pore size distribution curves (B, D) of activated carbons derived from Jujun grass.
### Table 2. Textural properties and CO\(_2\) uptake of activated carbons derived from Jujun grass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Surface area(^{a}) (m(^2) g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Pore volume(^{b}) (cm(^3) g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Pore size(^{c}) (Å)</th>
<th>CO(_2) uptake(^{d}) (mmol g(^{-1})) at 0.15 bar</th>
<th>1 bar</th>
<th>20 bar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACGR2600</td>
<td>1048 (975)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.43)</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACGR2700</td>
<td>1512 (1426)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.62)</td>
<td>5/6/9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACGR2800</td>
<td>2735 (2083)</td>
<td>1.47 (0.94)</td>
<td>6.5/9/12</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACGR4600</td>
<td>2396 (2182)</td>
<td>1.15 (0.96)</td>
<td>6/9/12</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACGR4700</td>
<td>3144 (2753)</td>
<td>1.56 (1.23)</td>
<td>6/8/12/20</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACGR4800</td>
<td>2957 (1578)</td>
<td>1.72 (0.75)</td>
<td>8/12/27</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values in the parenthesis refer to: \(^{a}\)micropore surface area and \(^{b}\)micropore volume. \(^{c}\)pore size distribution maxima obtained from NLDFT analysis. \(^{d}\)CO\(_2\) uptake at 25 °C and various pressures (i.e., 0.15 bar, 1 bar and 20 bar).

The nitrogen sorption isotherms for Jujun grass-derived samples activated at KOH/hydrochar ratio of 4 and at 600 or 700 °C (Figure 3c) are predominantly type 1, but with a gentler knee signifying the presence of larger micropores. This indicates that samples ACGR4600 and ACGR4700 are mainly microporous but with a relatively wide micropore size distribution that extends to supermicropores.\(^{29,30}\) A change in the shape of the isotherm from type I to nearly type IV for the sample activated at 800 °C (ACGR4800) indicates a change of the pore size to larger pores in the small mesopore range.\(^{31}\) As shown in Figure 3c, the isotherm of sample ACGR4800 has a very wide knee with a linear increase in adsorption up to a P/P\(_o\) of 0.4, indicating the presence of a significant proportion of small mesopores,\(^{32,33}\) as is also confirmed with the pore size distribution in Figure 3d. This widening of the pores is related to the CO\(_2\) and CO gases released from decomposition of K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) during the activation at 800 °C according to equation 1 and 2 above.\(^{34}\) In addition, the higher amount of KOH used in samples activated at KOH/hydrochar ratio of 4, increased the generation of K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) releasing more CO\(_2\) and CO, which generate wider pores.\(^{28,35}\) The textural parameters in Table 2 show that, for Jujun grass-derived samples prepared at KOH/hydrochar ratio of 4, the
surface area increases from 2396 m²g⁻¹ for ACGR4600 to 3144 m²g⁻¹ for ACGR4700 and then slightly decreases to 2956 m²g⁻¹ for ACGR4800, indicating that the optimum activating temperature (with respect to surface area) for this series of carbons is 700 °C. On the other hand, the pore volume increases with activating temperature from 1.15 cm³g⁻¹ to 1.72 cm³g⁻¹.

Figure 4 shows the nitrogen sorption isotherms and pore size distribution of the activated carbons derived from *Camellia japonica*, and the corresponding textural properties are given in Table 3. As shown in Figure 4, the porosity of ACCA carbons follows the same trends as for those from Jujun grass. ACCA carbons prepared at KOH/hydrochar ratio of 2 and temperature of 600 and 700 °C are predominantly microporous, while the sample prepared at 800 °C contains both micropores and supermicropores. For activation at KOH/hydrochar ratio of 4 and temperature of 600 and 700 °C, ACCA samples are microporous/supermicroporous with a small proportion of small mesopores, while for activation at 800 °C, the resulting ACCA4800 sample has both micropores and a significant proportion of mesopores. The trends in level of micro and mesoporosity is such that sample ACCA2600 and ACCA2700 exhibit a very high proportion of microporosity (95% for surface area and 84% for pore volume), while for sample ACCA2800, 88% of surface area and 76% of pore volume arises from micropores. Thus sample ACCA2600 and ACCA2700 exhibit small micropores centred at 5, 7 and 9 Å, while for sample ACCA2800 the pore size systems are slightly larger and centred at 6, 9 and 12 Å (Figure 4b), which is consistent with the expected effects of activation temperature.  

34,36,37
Figure 4. Nitrogen sorption isotherms (A, C) and pore size distribution curves (B, D) of activated carbons derived from *Camellia japonica*. 
Table 3. Textural properties and CO$_2$ uptake of activated carbons derived from Camellia japonica.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Surface area$^a$ (m$^2$/g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Pore volume$^b$ (cm$^3$/g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Pore size$^c$ (Å)</th>
<th>CO$_2$ uptake$^d$ (mmol g$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15 bar 1 bar 20 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCA2600</td>
<td>1150 (1088)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.47)</td>
<td>5/7/9</td>
<td>1.5 4.7 10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCA2700</td>
<td>1353 (1283)</td>
<td>0.67 (0.56)</td>
<td>5/7/9</td>
<td>1.5 5.0 14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCA2800</td>
<td>1917 (1691)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.75)</td>
<td>6/9/12</td>
<td>0.9 3.7 17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCA4600</td>
<td>2345 (1997)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.89)</td>
<td>6/8/12</td>
<td>0.8 3.1 16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCA4700</td>
<td>2983 (2500)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.14)</td>
<td>6/12/22</td>
<td>0.7 3.0 18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCA4800</td>
<td>3537 (2557)</td>
<td>1.85 (1.21)</td>
<td>6/11/22</td>
<td>0.6 2.8 21.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values in the parenthesis refer to: $^a$micropore surface area and $^b$micropore volume. $^c$pore size distribution maxima obtained from NLDFT analysis. $^d$CO$_2$ uptake at 25 °C and various pressures (i.e., 0.15 bar, 1 bar and 20 bar).

The ACCA4800 sample shows the widest adsorption knee of the samples activated at KOH/hydrochar ratio of 4, indicating broadening of pore size distribution and an increase in pore size (Figure 4d). On the other hand, as shown in Figure 4d, samples ACCA4600 and ACCA4700 have small micropores of size 6 Å and a significant proportion of supermicropores (11 Å) and small mesopores (22 Å). The porosity of sample ACCA4800 is largely dominated pores of size 10 – 35 Å. For samples activated at KOH/hydrochar ratio of 2, the surface area increases from 1150 m$^2$/g$^{-1}$ for ACCA2600 to 1353 m$^2$/g$^{-1}$ for the ACCA2700, and 1917 m$^2$/g$^{-1}$ for sample ACCA2800. A similar trend is observed for samples prepared at KOH/hydrochar ratio of 4, wherein the surface area increases, with expansion in pore size as activating temperature rises, and ranges from 2345 m$^2$/g$^{-1}$ for ACCA4600, 2983 m$^2$/g$^{-1}$ for the ACCA4700 and a high of 3537 m$^2$/g$^{-1}$ for ACCA4800. It is noteworthy that a surface area of 3537 m$^2$/g$^{-1}$ is at the high end of previous results that have reported that the typical surface area for biomass-derived activated carbon is ~ 2000 m$^2$/g$^{-1}$.21-23
3.3. **CO₂ storage**

CO₂ adsorption and storage by the activated carbons was investigated in the pressure range 0 - 20 bar at 25 °C. The CO₂ sorption isotherms are shown in Figure 5 (and Figure S7), and Table 2 and 3 summarise the CO₂ uptake at various pressures (0.15 bar, 1 bar and 20 bar). The CO₂ uptake at 0.15 bar is between 0.6 and 1.5 mmol g⁻¹, at 1 bar it is in the range of 2.8 to 5.0 mmol g⁻¹, while at 20 bar the carbons store between 8.7 and 21.1 mmol g⁻¹. As discussed above, carbons prepared at KOH/hydrochar ratio of 2 mainly possess narrow micropores, while those prepared at KOH/hydrochar ratio of 4 contain larger micropores and mesopores. A comparison of the porosity data and CO₂ uptake shows that at pressure of up to 1 bar, the CO₂ uptake is not determined by the total surface area, but by the pore size, wherein carbons with narrow micropores have the higher uptake (Figure 3, 4 and 5).²¹⁻²³,³⁸ It has been shown that narrow micropores are more efficient than larger micropores and mesopores at creating stronger interactions between CO₂ molecules and adsorbents.¹⁵,³⁹ The adsorption energy is optimised when the width of the pores is two times (in the case of slit-shaped pores), or three times (in the case of cylindrical-shaped pores) that of the CO₂ molecule.⁴⁰ Given that the kinetic diameter of CO₂ is ~ 3.3 Å, the optimum pore size is between 7 and 9 Å, which explains the excellent uptake of samples ACCA2600, ACCA2700 and ACGR2700 at pressure of up to 1 bar; the porosity of these carbons is dominated by pores of size 6 to 9 Å (Figure 3 and 4). Therefore, in order for carbons to possess high CO₂ uptake at pressure of up to 1 bar at room temperature it is necessary that they contain optimised pores rather than just a high surface area.¹⁴,²⁵ However, the total surface area has an important role for CO₂ uptake at pressure of 20 bar (Figure S7).³⁸
Figure 5. CO\textsubscript{2} uptake isotherms at 25 °C and in the pressure range 0 – 1 bar for carbons derived from Jujun grass (A, C) and *Camellia japonica* (B, D) activated at KOH/hydrochar ratio of 2 (A, B) and 4 (C, D).

Thus, given the porosity of the present activated carbons, it is expected that samples activated at KOH/hydrochar ratio of 2 would exhibit high CO\textsubscript{2} uptake at pressures of up to 1
bar, while those activated at KOH/hydrochar ratio of 4, and which have a high total surface area, would perform better at 20 bar. For the ACGR2T set of samples, there is an increase in CO$_2$ uptake (at 1 bar) from 4.3 mmol g$^{-1}$ for ACGR2600 to 4.9 mmol g$^{-1}$ for sample ACGR2700. However, the uptake decreases to 3.8 mmol g$^{-1}$ for sample ACGR2800, which is in line with broadening of the pore size (Figure 3b). A similar trend is observed for ACCA2T samples derived from *Camellia japonica*; the CO$_2$ uptake at 1 bar is 4.7 mmol g$^{-1}$ (ACCA2600), 5.0 mmol g$^{-1}$ (ACCA2700) and 3.7 mmol g$^{-1}$ for sample ACCA2800 activated at 800 °C.

It is thus clear that for samples activated at KOH/hydrochar ratio 2, the optimum activating temperature for CO$_2$ uptake at 1 bar is 700 °C. It is also important to note that the CO$_2$ uptake for sample ACCA2700, at 25 °C, of 1.5 and 5.0 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 0.15 and 1 bar, respectively, is amongst the highest ever reported for biomass-derived activated carbon materials (Supporting Table S1), thus illustrating the potential of these carbons as post-combustion CO$_2$ storage materials. It is also apparent that samples with the highest surface area store the largest amounts of CO$_2$ at 20 bar. Thus samples ACCA4800 and ACGR4700, with surface area of 3537 and 3143 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, respectively, have CO$_2$ uptake of 21.1 and 20.0 mmol g$^{-1}$, respectively (Figure S7). Indeed, the CO$_2$ uptake at 20 bar increases in a linear fashion with total surface area and pore volume as shown in Figure 6. This confirms that storage of CO$_2$ in porous carbons at moderate to high pressures (scenarios similar to pre-combustion CO$_2$ capture and storage) has more to do with filling up available surface and space rather than close interactions with the carbon surface, which is a requirement for low pressure (≤ 1 bar) adsorption.
In many previous reports on the adsorption of CO$_2$ in porous materials, a clear trend has emerged wherein materials with high surface area exhibit high uptake at elevated pressure (ca. 20 bar and above) but have much poorer adsorption at low pressure (≤ 1 bar). On the other hand materials with excellent low pressure CO$_2$ uptake, and which are characterised by low to moderate surface area, generally have low uptake at high pressure. This trend has been attributed to the fact that at low pressure, the key determinant of CO$_2$ uptake is pore size (and consequently the interaction between the gas molecules and pore walls), while at high pressure the uptake mechanism is more reliant on surface area or space filling. Thus, at the present time, there are hardly any porous materials that simultaneously exhibits attractive CO$_2$ adsorption suitable for both pre combustion (high pressure uptake) and post combustion (low pressure uptake) capture and storage applications. Regarding the relationship between textural properties and CO$_2$ uptake, it is often the case that the presence of narrow pore channels (suitable for low pressure uptake) is not achievable for materials with the high surface area and pore volume.

**Figure 6.** The dependence of CO$_2$ uptake (at 20 bar) on total surface area (A) and pore volume (B) for Jujun grass (full symbols) and *Camellia japonica* (open symbols) derived carbons activated at KOH/hydrochar ratio of 2 (blue symbols) or 4 (red symbols).
required for large storage at elevated pressure.\textsuperscript{15-16,21-23,43-53} This is simply because porous materials, be they carbons or MOFs, with the highest surface area tend to have larger micropores and mesopores. For carbons, and activated carbons in particular, high surface area is often accompanied by enlargement of pore size.\textsuperscript{56} A closer inspection of Figure 3 and 4, however, reveals that the pore size distribution of the present carbons is such that they retain a significant proportion of micropores even for the highest surface area samples. This is particularly the case for sample ACGR4700 (Figure 3). We therefore compared the CO\textsubscript{2} uptake of sample ACGR4700, at low and high pressure, with that of a commercially available activated carbon (AX21) and samples derived from lignin (sample LCA4800) and carbon nanotubes (sample CN4700), that have similar surface area.\textsuperscript{21-23}

The nitrogen sorption isotherms and pore size distribution of sample ACGR4700 and the selected carbons (AX21, LAC4800 and CN4700), which have similar surface area (as given in Table 4) are shown in Figure 7. All four samples have virtually identical surface area ($3200 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1} \pm 60 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$). Figure 7 (inset), nevertheless, shows that the pore size distribution of sample ACGR4700 is comparatively shifted towards small micropores. In particular, sample ACGR4700 possesses a significant proportion of small (6 Å) pores that are absent for the other samples. Furthermore, the porosity of samples AX21, LAC4800 and CN4700 is dominated by mesopores of size 20 – 40 Å, which are almost non-existent in the ACGR4700 sample; indeed sample ACGR4700 has virtually no pores larger than 30 Å, and in any case only a small proportion of pores larger than 20 Å. These observations suggest that the activation of Jujun grass occurs in such a manner as to simultaneously generate high surface area ($>3000 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$) and micropores. This is evidenced by the high proportion of microporosity for ACGR4700, wherein 88% of surface area and 79% of pore volume arises from micropores, compared to between 35 and 55% (micropore surface area) and 23 to 49% (micropore volume) for the other three samples (Table 4).
Table 4. Textural properties and CO\textsubscript{2} uptake of activated carbons derived from Jujun grass compared to commercially available carbon (AX21) and carbons from various sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Surface area\textsuperscript{a} (m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>Pore volume\textsuperscript{b} (cm\textsuperscript{3} g\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>Pore size\textsuperscript{c} (Å)</th>
<th>CO\textsubscript{2} uptake\textsuperscript{d} (mmol g\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACGR4700</td>
<td>3144 (2753)</td>
<td>1.56 (1.23)</td>
<td>6/8/12/20</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AX21</td>
<td>3191 (1768)</td>
<td>1.80 (0.89)</td>
<td>12/21/28</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAC4800</td>
<td>3235 (1978)</td>
<td>1.77 (0.93)</td>
<td>8/11/27</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN4700</td>
<td>3202 (1106)</td>
<td>2.14 (0.50)</td>
<td>8/12/28</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values in the parenthesis refer to: \textsuperscript{a}micropore surface area and \textsuperscript{b}micropore volume. \textsuperscript{c}pore size distribution maxima obtained from NLDFT analysis. \textsuperscript{d}CO\textsubscript{2} uptake at 25 °C and various pressures (i.e., 0.15 bar, 1 bar and 20 bar).

Figure 7. Nitrogen sorption isotherm and pore size distribution of sample ACGR4700 compared to commercially available or benchmark carbon materials of similar surface area.
The porosity generated in sample ACGR4700 means that, unusually, the carbon simultaneously exhibits attractive CO$_2$ uptake at low pressure ($\leq$ 1 bar) and high pressure (20 bar) as shown in Figure 8 and summarised in Table 4. Thus at pressure of 0.15 bar, sample ACGR4700 has uptake of 0.9 mmol g$^{-1}$, which is twice as much as the 0.4 – 0.5 mmol g$^{-1}$ for the other samples. At 1 bar, the CO$_2$ uptake of ACGR4700 is an attractive 4.1 mmol g$^{-1}$, which is at the top end for porous carbons in general,$^{15,16,21,23,43-53}$ compared to a more modest 2.6 – 2.8 mmol g$^{-1}$ for the other samples; this is equivalent to a 50% enhancement for sample ACGR4700. Remarkably, sample ACGR4700 still exhibits a high CO$_2$ uptake of 20 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 20 bar, which is comparable to that of the other three samples (Table 4). As far as we are aware, this is the first example of a porous material, and in particular a biomass-derived carbon, that exhibits excellent CO$_2$ uptake under conditions that are relevant to both pre combustion and post combustion CO$_2$ capture. More generally, our findings show that attempts to fabricate better all-round CO$_2$ capture and storage materials should consider both the pore size and total porosity (surface area and pore volume), with the aim being attainment of the highest possible surface area and appropriately sized pore channels.
Figure 8. CO$_2$ uptake of sample ACGR4700 compared to commercially available or benchmark carbon materials of similar surface area.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that from a simple and green method of hydrothermal carbonization followed by chemical activation (using KOH as the activating agent), highly microporous activated carbon materials can be generated from low cost biomass precursors Jujun grass and Camellia japonica. The activated carbons have moderate to very high surface areas ranging from 1048 to 3537 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, and pore volume ranging from 0.51 to 1.85 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$. Depending on the activation conditions, the activated carbons present high levels of microporosity, with up to 95% of surface area arising from micropores. The CO$_2$ uptake at 0.15 and 1 bar and 25 °C is, respectively, up to 1.5 and 5.0 mmol g$^{-1}$ for carbons activated at 700 °C and KOH/carbon ratio of 2. Uptake of 5.0 mmol g$^{-1}$ under ambient conditions is amongst the highest reported so far for biomass-derived activated carbons. At 20 bar, the CO$_2$ uptake was dependent on total surface area, and reached 21.1 mmol g$^{-1}$. Unusually, the activation of Jujun grass
proceeded in such a manner as to generate carbons with high surface areas (> 3000 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$), as well as high microporosity, with 88% of the surface area and 79% of the pore volume arising from micropores. Such a unique combination of porosity means that we have generated, for the first time, a porous material that simultaneously exhibits attractive CO$_2$ uptake at low pressure (0.9 and 4.1 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 0.15 and 1 bar, respectively) and also at high pressure (21.1 mmol g$^{-1}$ at 20 bar), which represents excellent uptake under conditions that are relevant to both pre combustion and post combustion CO$_2$ capture from flue gas streams. Overall, our findings are interesting not only due to the attractive CO$_2$ uptake properties but also because the starting raw materials are renewable, readily available and low cost.
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Graphical Abstract

Activated carbons from cheap and renewable biomass sources (Jujun grass and *Camellia Japonica*) are highly porous (1048 – 3537 m² g⁻¹; 0.51 – 1.85 cm³ g⁻¹) and exhibit enhanced CO₂ uptake; at 25 °C they store up to 1.5 mmol g⁻¹ at 0.15 bar, 5.0 mmol g⁻¹ at 1 bar and 21.1 mmol g⁻¹ at 20 bar, and depending on level of activation simultaneously show excellent uptake both at 1 bar and 20 bar.