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Root branching is critical for plants to secure anchorage and ensure the supply of water, minerals, and nutrients. To date, research on root branching has focused on lateral root development in young seedlings. However, many other programs of postembryonic root organogenesis exist in angiosperms. In cereal crops, the majority of the mature root system is composed of several classes of adventitious roots that include crown roots and brace roots. In this Update, we initially describe the diversity of postembryonic root forms. Next, we review recent advances in our understanding of the genes, signals, and mechanisms regulating lateral root and adventitious root branching in the plant models Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana), maize (Zea mays), and rice (Oryza sativa). While many common signals, regulatory components, and mechanisms have been identified that control the initiation, morphogenesis, and emergence of new lateral and adventitious root organs, much more remains to be done. We conclude by discussing the challenges and opportunities facing root branching research.

Branching through lateral and adventitious root formation represents an important element of the adaptability of the root system to its environment. Both are regulated by nutrient and hormonal signals (Bellini et al., 2014; Giehl and von Wirén, 2014), which act locally to induce or inhibit root branching. The net effect of these adaptive responses is to increase the surface area of the plant root system in the most important region of the soil matrix for resource capture (e.g., surface layers for phosphorus uptake and deeper layers for nitrate uptake) or to secure anchorage. Different species use different combinations of lateral or adventitious roots to achieve this, with lateral roots dominating the root system of eudicots while adventitious (crown and brace) roots dominate the root system of monocots, including in cereal crops.

Our understanding of the mechanisms controlling lateral and adventitious root development has accelerated in recent years, primarily through research on model plants. The simple anatomy and the wealth of genetic resources in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) have greatly aided embryonic and postembryonic root developmental studies (De Smet et al., 2007; Péret et al., 2009a; Fig. 1, A and E). Nevertheless, impressive recent progress has been made studying root branching in other crop species, notably cereals such as maize (Zea mays) and rice (Oryza sativa).

In this Update, we initially describe the diversity of postembryonic root forms in eudicots and monocots (Fig. 1). Next, we highlight recent advances in our understanding of the genes, signals, and mechanisms regulating lateral root and adventitious root branching in Arabidopsis, rice, and maize. Due to space limits, we cannot provide an exhaustive review of this subject area, focusing instead on recent research advances. However, we direct readers to several recent in-depth reviews on lateral root (Lavenus et al., 2013; Orman-Ligeza et al., 2013) and adventitious root development (Bellini et al., 2014).

ROOT BRANCHING CLASSES AND NOMENCLATURE

Higher plant roots can be classified into roots derived from the embryo (embryonic roots) and those formed after germination from existing roots or nonroot tissues (postembryonic roots). Postembryonic roots arising from tissues other than roots are termed adventitious. Root systems based on development of the primary embryonic root, such as those of dicots (Figs. 1, A and C, and 2A) are also known as taproot or allorhizic systems; those in monocots, composed mostly of adventitious roots, are termed fibrous or homorhizic root systems (Figs. 1, B and D, and 2B).

Embryonic Roots

In many eudicots, the embryonic root (radicle) develops into a single primary or tap root that remains
Figure 1. A to D, Schematics showing diversity in root system architecture at both seedling (left) and mature (right) stages in eudicots (A and C) and monocots (B and D). A, Arabidopsis root system. B, Maize root system. C, Tomato root system (for clarity, stem-derived adventitious roots are only shown in the labeled region). D, Wheat root system. E and F, Cross sections of emerging lateral root primordia in Arabidopsis (E) and rice (F). E and F are adapted from Péret et al. (2009b).
active throughout the life cycle and develops several orders of lateral root. Examples are the primary root of Arabidopsis (Fig. 1A) and the taproot in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum; Figs. 1C and 2A). In contrast, the embryonic root system of monocots, which consists of both primary and seminal roots (Figs. 1, B and D, and 2B), is of importance only during the seedling stage, with postembryonic adventitious roots making up the bulk of the root system (Hochholdinger and Zimmermann, 2008).

Postembryonic Roots

Postembryonic roots are classified according to time of emergence as early or late (Hochholdinger et al., 2004b). Early postembryonic roots in eudicots (Fig. 1, A and C; Table I) include lateral roots derived from the primary root and junction roots: adventitious roots that form at the root-hypocotyl junction (Falasca and Altamura, 2003). Late postembryonic roots include other forms of adventitious root (e.g., those arising from the hypocotyl) and adventitious-derived lateral roots.

In monocots, the majority of the root system is usually composed of adventitious postembryonic roots derived from the shoot. In cereals, these include two classes of nodal root, the crown and brace roots, which develop from belowground and aboveground nodes, respectively (Fig. 1, B and D). Crown roots in wheat (Fig. 1D) form at the lower three to seven nodes (Kirby, 2002), and in maize they are organized on average in six underground whorls (Fig. 1B). Maize also has two to three whorls of aboveground brace roots (also termed stilt or prop roots), which are functional for water and nutrient uptake and also important for support (Hoppe et al., 1986).

ROOT BRANCHING IN ARABIDOPSIS

Eudicot lateral root organogenesis has primarily been studied in the model Arabidopsis (Malamy and Benfey, 1997; Péret et al., 2009a), originally in two dimensions (Malamy and Benfey, 1997) and very recently via three-dimensional imaging studies (Lucas et al., 2013). Arabidopsis roots comprise single layers of epidermal, cortex, and endodermal tissues that surround the pericycle and vasculature (Fig. 1E). Lateral roots initiate from pairs of pericycle cells that originate from three cell files adjacent to the xylem pole (Fig. 3; De Smet et al., 2007; Lucas et al., 2008). These cells undergo several rounds of anticlinal, periclinal, and tangential cell divisions to form a new lateral root primordium (Fig. 3; Malamy and Benfey, 1997), ultimately resulting in a fully emerged new organ mimicking the primary root in terms of cellular organization. The major developmental stages (Fig. 3) and important new regulatory insights are described below.

Prebranch Site Formation

The very earliest expressed marker during lateral root development is luciferase (LUC) under the control of the synthetic auxin response element DR5 (Ulmasov et al., 1997) that is expressed in an oscillatory manner in xylem pole cells (underlying xylem pole pericycle cells) in a region termed the oscillation zone, located just behind the primary root tip. Prebranch site formation occurs when the auxin response oscillation has reached a maximum in this region, demarking the position of the future lateral root primordium. DR5::LUC expression in the oscillation zone is dependent on AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR7 (ARF7; Moreno-Risueno et al., 2010), ARF6, ARF8, and ARF19 (De Rybel et al., 2010) and on the auxin repressor protein INDOLE-3-ACETIC ACID INDUCIBLE28 (IAA28; as well as IAA8 and IAA19). IAA28, ARF7, and ARF19 control the expression of downstream target genes such as the transcription factor GATA23 (Fig. 3) that, until recently, was one of the only regulators identified to have a role in prebranch site formation (De Rybel et al., 2010). AtMYB93, a member of the R2R3 MYB class of transcription factor, is expressed
exclusively and transiently in root endodermal cells overlying new primordia, from where it negatively regulates lateral root development (Gibbs et al., 2014). AtMYB93 expression is auxin inducible, and Atmyb93 mutants exhibit reduced sensitivity to this key signal during lateral root development. PIN-FORMED3 (PIN3) is also transiently expressed in endodermal cells overlying prebranch sites, where it transports auxin into underlying pericycle cells to create a local auxin maxima that triggers pericycle cell division (Marhavý et al., 2013).

The regulation of the mechanical and/or hydraulic properties of endodermal cells overlying prebranch sites appears critical for lateral root initiation. Endodermal cells overlying prebranch sites must initially undergo a loss of volume so that pericycle cells can enlarge prior to their first anticlinal division (Vermeer et al., 2014). This spatial accommodation appears to be an auxin-regulated process. Disrupting the auxin response specifically in overlying endodermal cells completely blocks asymmetric pericycle cell divisions required for lateral root initiation (Vermeer et al., 2014). Likely targets for regulation by auxin include aquaporins (water channels) that are globally repressed by this signal during lateral root development (Péret et al., 2012).

Prebranch sites are also regulated by a newly discovered adaptive mechanism termed lateral root hydropatterning (Bao et al., 2014; Fig. 4). This adaptive mechanism involves suppressing lateral root initiation on the dry side of a root.

---

### Table 1. Mutant phenotypes for embryonic and postembryonic roots in dicots

Dashes indicate unstudied phenotypes, italic text denotes complete absence of root type/growth rate, boldface text indicates reduced root number/growth, and boldface italic text denotes increased root number/growth. This table is based on supplemental table 1 from Bellini et al. (2014) and formatting based on Hochholdinger et al. (2004b). The original description of the mutant phenotypes detailed in the table can be found in: Boerjan et al. (1995), Delarue et al. (1998), Fukaki et al. (2002), Sorin et al. (2005), Sibout et al. (2006), Dello Ioio et al. (2007), and Negi et al. (2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>Embryonic Roots</th>
<th>Early Postembryonic Roots</th>
<th>Late Postembryonic Roots</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Roots</td>
<td>Lateral Roots on the</td>
<td>Adventitious Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Roots</td>
<td>(Hypocotyl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Junction Roots</td>
<td>On the Junction Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>On the Adventitious Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana argonaute1</td>
<td>Shorter</td>
<td>(With auxin) normal</td>
<td>(With auxin) none,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>etiolated less</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana superroot1 (Atsur1)</td>
<td>Shorter</td>
<td>More</td>
<td>More</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Many more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atsur2</td>
<td>Shorter</td>
<td>More</td>
<td>Many more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Many more (but shorter in pea)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strigolactone mutants (pea [Pisum sativum]; Arabidopsis)</td>
<td>Shorter</td>
<td>More</td>
<td>Many more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana</td>
<td>Longer</td>
<td>No lateral roots</td>
<td>Many more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana histidine kinase</td>
<td>Longer</td>
<td>elongation</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana isopentenyl transferase</td>
<td>Longer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epinastic (tomato)</td>
<td>Shorter</td>
<td>Less</td>
<td>More</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never ripe (tomato)</td>
<td>Longer</td>
<td>More</td>
<td>More</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylene response factor3 (Populus spp.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>More</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana coronatine insensitive1</td>
<td>Less</td>
<td></td>
<td>More</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana elongated hypocotyl5 (Athys)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>More</td>
<td>More</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athys hy5-homolog (double mutant)</td>
<td>Shorter</td>
<td>Delayed and less</td>
<td>Delayed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana solatary root</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana auxin response2 (Ataxr2)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>More</td>
<td>Less</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ataxr3</td>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>growth rate</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis thaliana short hypocotyl2</td>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>growth rate</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and maintaining lateral root development in tissues directly in contact with soil moisture, thereby ensuring that roots are better able to allocate their resources and maximize water and nutrient foraging. Hydropatterning appears to be auxin regulated, as mutations in auxin synthesis, transport, or the disruption of auxin responses in endodermal and cortical cells overlying prebranch sites disrupt this adaptive response. In the light of recent work by Vermeer et al. (2014), hydropatterning may regulate lateral root initiation through blocking spatial accommodation in overlying tissues.

Cytokinin has been proposed to act antagonistically to auxin during lateral root development (Aloni et al., 2006; Fukaki and Tasaka, 2009); exogenous application inhibits initiation of lateral root primordia (Li et al., 2006; Laplaze et al., 2007). Cytokinin regulates the transcription of a subset of PINs (Fukaki et al., 2002; Laskowski et al., 2008; Lewis et al., 2011; Marhávý et al., 2013) and acts as a polarizing cue to determine the directionality of auxin flow during lateral root morphogenesis (Marhávý et al., 2013). Abscisic acid (ABA) inhibits lateral root formation by increasing the expression of microRNAs (miR393; Chen et al., 2012) and associated transcription factors (ABA INSENSITIVE4), which reduce TRANSPORT INHIBITOR RESPONSE1/AUXIN SIGNALING F-BOX expression and consequently downstream auxin responses.

Figure 3. Gene regulatory networks regulating adventitious root (top) and lateral root (bottom) development in Arabidopsis. While knowledge of early steps in adventitious root prebranch site formation is very limited, initiation and emergence rely on gene networks regulated by auxin (IAA), jasmonic acid (JA), and strigolactones (top). GH3, GRETCHEN HAGEN3; HAE/HSL2, HAESA RECEPTOR-LIKE PROTEIN KINASE/HAESA-LIKE 2; IDA, INFLORESCENCE DEFICIENT IN ABSCISSION. In contrast, lateral root formation and development have been studied in more detail. Developing lateral root primordia have been formally divided into eight stages (I–VIII; Malamy and Benley, 1997). Different auxin response gene networks regulate early steps of prebranch site formation, initiation, and emergence (bottom). Different colors denote different tissues.
Lateral Root Initiation and Morphogenesis

The first visible cellular change leading to lateral root primordia involves several pairs of xylem pole pericycle cells, in which the nuclei of adjacent cells migrate together followed by division to form a pair of small daughter cells flanked by two larger cells (Fig. 3). Auxin transport and response components are necessary for this process (Casimiro et al., 2001; Dubrovsky et al., 2008). AUX1 and PIN auxin influx and efflux carriers optimize auxin supply and promote organ initiation (Laskowski et al., 2008; Lewis et al., 2011; Marhavý et al., 2013), while the SOLITARY ROOT (SLR)/IAA14-ARF7-ARF19 and BODENLOS/IAA12-ARF5 auxin response pathways are also required (Fukaki et al., 2002, 2005; Okushima et al., 2005; Vanneste et al., 2005; De Smet et al., 2010; Vernoux et al., 2011; Fig. 3).

Only a few downstream targets of the SLR/IAA14-ARF7-ARF19 auxin response pathway have been identified. These include members of the LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES DOMAIN (LBD)/ASYMMETRIC LEAVES2-LIKE family of transcription factors, such as LBD16, LBD18, and LBD29, that positively regulate lateral root formation (De Smet et al., 2010). LBD16 is expressed in pericycle cell pairs prior to lateral root initiation (Goh et al., 2012). The authors demonstrated that by engineering the LBD16 transcriptional activator to become a repressor, the initial nuclear migration event in pericycle cell pairs could be blocked. This reveals that induction of LBD16 and possibly several related family members triggers the initial nuclear migration event.

Following lateral root initiation, primed pericycle cells undergo anticlinal cell division to generate a stage I primordium (Fig. 3). Further rounds of tangential and periclinal divisions produce a dome-shaped primordium (Lucas et al., 2013). Intriguingly, the pattern of formative cell divisions in new lateral root primordia appears not to be highly stereotypical, since disrupting new cell wall positioning using aurora mutants (aur1/aur2) has no effect on the shape of the primordium. However, blocking the auxin response in tissues overlying newly initiated lateral root primordia results in major changes in dome shape. This suggests that lateral root morphogenesis is largely controlled by the mechanical properties of the overlying tissues rather than the precise pattern of formative divisions (Lucas et al., 2013).

Lateral Root Emergence

New Arabidopsis lateral root primordia must emerge through the three outer cell layers of the parental root (Figs. 1E and 3). This process is promoted by shoot-derived auxin (Bhalerao et al., 2002; Swarup et al., 2008) channeled by newly initiated primordia via the sequential induction of PIN3 and LIKE AUX1 3 (LAX3) auxin efflux and influx carrier genes in overlying cortical cells (Swarup et al., 2008; Péret et al., 2013). This dynamic pattern of auxin carrier expression functions to first mobilize, and then accumulate, auxin in a subset of cells directly overlying new lateral root primordia. This serves to delimit the expression of auxin-inducible genes that triggers cell separation and facilitates lateral root emergence.

The process of auxin-regulated cell separation in overlying cells requires cell wall-remodeling enzymes such as polygalacturonase (Neuteboom et al., 1999; Laskowski et al., 2006; Swarup et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2013) that promote cell separation in front of emerging lateral root primordia. This process also uses key components of the floral abscission response machinery (Kumpf et al., 2013). Auxin also facilitates organ emergence by controlling the hydraulic properties of the primordia and overlying tissues by repressing aquaporin expression (Péret et al., 2012). ABA also functions as a promoter of postemergence lateral root growth by enhancing auxin-dependent transcription through the action of the ABA receptor PYR1-LIKE8 (PYL8; Zhao et al., 2014). PYL8 has been shown to interact directly with the transcription factor MYB77 (also MYB44 and MYB73), which, by means of interactions
with ARF7, can increase the expression of genes, including LBD16 and LBD29, that are known to promote lateral root formation and elongation (Okushima et al., 2005, 2007; Wilmoth et al., 2005).

ADVENTITIOUS ROOTING IN ARABIDOPSIS

Adventitious roots can form on the Arabidopsis hypocotyl (typically after etiolation) or at the root-hypocotyl junction (junction roots). Junction roots can be induced to emerge after the primary root is damaged or its growth terminates (Lucas et al., 2011). Despite their distinct regulation, in both cases the visible stages of adventitious root formation follow an equivalent pattern to lateral roots, including pericycle asymmetric cell divisions (Fig. 3; Falasca and Altamura, 2003; Della Rovere et al., 2013).

Despite the anatomical similarities between adventitious and lateral root morphogenesis, their regulation exhibits some clear differences (summarized in Bellini et al., 2014). The most obvious overlap is the central role of auxin signaling controlling initiation as well as later primordia development and emergence. The major players mediating auxin signaling during adventitious rooting are the activating ARF6 and ARF8 and the repressing ARF17 (Fig. 3; Gutierrez et al., 2009). The expression of ARF6 and ARF8 is controlled by MIR167, while ARF17 expression is regulated by MIR160. ARF6, ARF8, and ARF17 oppositely regulate jasmonic acid homeostasis via regulating jasmonic acid-modifying GRETCHEN HAGEN3 (GH3) enzymes (Gutierrez et al., 2009, 2012; Bellini et al., 2014). Auxin transporters are also important for adventitious root formation, with both influx (LAX3) and efflux (PIN1) carriers required for auxin accumulation in the early stages of adventitious root organogenesis (Della Rovere et al., 2013). An ATP-binding cassette transporter mediating IAA efflux (ABCB19) has also been linked to adventitious root formation (Sukumar et al., 2013). Other members of the same family have been shown to transport the auxin precursor indole-3-butyric acid (Růžička et al., 2010; Strader and Bartel, 2011), which, among all tested auxin isoforms, has the highest competence to induce adventitious root formation (Nordström et al., 1991). Additional hormone signals regulate adventitious roots (Bellini et al., 2014; Fig. 3). For example, ethylene regulates the positioning of adventitious root primordia (A. Rasmussen, Y. Hu, D. Van Der Straeten, and D. Geelen, unpublished data), while strigolactones block adventitious root formation in Arabidopsis (Kohlen et al., 2012; Rasmussen et al., 2012; Bellini et al., 2014), most likely by interfering with auxin transport and independent of the inhibitory effect of cytokinin (Kohlen et al., 2012; Rasmussen et al., 2012).

ROOT BRANCHING IN CEREALS

Lateral Root Development in Cereals

Lateral root formation in cereals has been classified into three developmental phases: organ initiation, growth through the cortex, and emergence through the epidermis (Orman-Ligeza et al., 2013). In contrast to Arabidopsis, which has a central vascular cylinder and a single layer of cortical cells, maize, wheat (Triticum spp.), and rice have 10 to 15 cortical cell layers surrounding the xylem and phloem poles, which alternate within the stele (Fig. 1, E and F; Smith and De Smet, 2012). In maize, wheat, rice, and barley (Hordeum vulgare), lateral roots originate from pericycle and endodermal cells located opposite the phloem poles (Casero et al., 1995; Demchenko and Demchenko, 2001; Hochholdinger et al., 2004a), contrasting with eudicots, in which primordia originate from pericycle cells opposite protoxylem poles (Casimiro et al., 2003). Due to the higher number of phloem poles in cereals (usually 10 or more; Smith and De Smet, 2012), lateral roots initiate in several longitudinal files, with the number of files roughly proportional to the stele diameter (Bell and McCully, 1970; Draye et al., 1999; Draye, 2002).

As in Arabidopsis, the establishment of auxin response maxima is crucial in lateral root initiation in maize. Marker lines expressing red fluorescent protein under control of the DRS promoter have indicated the presence of auxin response maxima in differentiating xylem cells and in cells surrounding the protophloem vessels (Jansen et al., 2012). Furthermore, targeted genome-wide transcriptome analysis of lateral root initiation has highlighted common transcriptional regulation between Arabidopsis and maize, suggesting the conservation of genes involved in lateral root initiation across angiosperms (Jansen et al., 2013).

A key difference in lateral root emergence compared with Arabidopsis is that in cereals cortical cells overlying lateral root primordia reenter mitosis and divide (Bell and McCully, 1970). In barley, these divisions can be observed as early as 10 to 12 h after the first asymmetric division in the pericycle. Many of the resulting small cells enter hydrogen peroxide-mediated cell death following penetration by the primordium (Orman-Ligeza et al., 2013). Loosening of the cell walls via hydrolytic enzymes also occurs in cereals (Bell and McCully, 1970). Unlike Arabidopsis, cell division and programmed cell death are required in cereals to allow the emerging lateral organ to penetrate the larger number of cortical cell layers (Fig. 1F). A number of lateral root mutants have been described in cereals (Table II), the majority of which are auxin related (Hochholdinger and Tuberosa, 2009). For example, in maize, mutation of rootless with undetectable meristem1 (RUM1), which encodes a member of the Auxin/IAA (Aux/IAA) family of transcription factors, leads to the failure of lateral root initiation and disruptions in vascular patterning (Woll et al., 2005; von Behrens et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2014). In rice, mutation of the Aux/IAA gene Osaia13 causes lateral root defects by blocking the auxin-mediated degradation of this auxin response repressor protein (Kitomi et al., 2008). Transcriptome analysis using laser microdissection and microarray profiling has identified
71 genes associated with lateral root initiation in rice (Takehisa et al., 2012), nine of which are differentially regulated in the Osiaa13 mutant compared with the wild type (Kitomi et al., 2008), with a further seven genes containing an auxin response element in their promoter sequence. Aux/IAA protein degradation leads to the release of ARF transcription factors that regulate a set of downstream target genes. These sequences include LBDs such as CRL1. Mutations in CRL1 have been shown to reduce lateral root number by 70% (Inukai et al., 2005). OsARF16 is orthologous to Arabidopsis ARF7 and ARF19 sequences and binds auxin response elements in the CRL1 promoter. Hence, there appears to be strong conservation of the regulatory network components controlling lateral root initiation between Arabidopsis and rice.

### Adventitious Root Development in Cereals

Cereal crops have several classes of adventitious roots, including crown roots and brace roots (Fig. 1, B and D; Table II). Both crown and brace roots develop at nodes; belowground in the case of crown roots and from aboveground nodes for brace roots. Maize forms approximately 70 stem-formed roots with an average of 10 adventitious roots per root system in the early postembryonic roots stage (Osiaa11, 2012). In contrast, rice forms 10 lateral roots per root system, of which 2 are crown roots and 8 are brace roots, with a maximum of 400 adventitious roots per root system in the late postembryonic roots stage (Osiaa13, 2012).

### Table II. Mutant phenotypes for embryonic and postembryonic roots in monocots

Dashes indicate unstudied phenotypes, italic text denotes complete absence of root type/growth rate, boldface text indicates reduced root number/growth, and boldface italic text increased root number/growth. This table is based on supplemental table 1 from Bellini et al. (2014) and formatting from Hochholdinger et al. (2004b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>Embryonic Roots</th>
<th>Early Postembryonic Roots</th>
<th>Late Postembryonic Roots</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Roots</td>
<td>Seminal Roots</td>
<td>Lateral Roots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osiaa11</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zea mays rootless</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with undetectable meristems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oryza sativa crown</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Less</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rootless1 (Oscrl1/adventitious rootless1 (arfl), Oscrl2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zea mays rootless</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concerning crown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and seminal roots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zmrtcs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscrl4/Oryza sativa guanine</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Less</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nucleotide exchange factor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for ADP-ribosylation factor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oryza sativa YUCCA1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oscrl5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oryza sativa waschel-related</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>homeobox11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zea mays lateral</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rootless1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oryza sativa</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lateral rootless1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Osrl1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osr12</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zea mays rootless1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zea mays short</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lateral roots1 (Zmsrl1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zmsrl2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zmsrl1, Zmsrl2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oryza sativa cytokinin</td>
<td>Longer</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>More and faster growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oxidase4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice strigolactone mutants</td>
<td>Shorter</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>More and faster growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d10, d17, d3, d14, d27)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table provides a comprehensive overview of the phenotypic alterations observed in various cereal root mutants, highlighting the diversity in root morphology and development across different species and genetic backgrounds.
six whorls of crown roots and three whorls of brace roots (Hochholdinger et al., 2004b).

**Crown Roots in Cereals**

Crown roots are postembryonic stem-formed roots that develop in the lower nodes of monocots (Table II; Hochholdinger et al., 2004a, 2004b). These roots exist below the soil surface and are similar to aboveground brace roots (Hochholdinger et al., 2004b). It has been reported that the seminal and primary roots do not persist in some monocot species, but even when they do, the crown and brace root systems dominate the functional root system (Hochholdinger et al., 2004a, 2004b). The \textit{Zmrtcs} mutant, which has no crown roots and no seminal roots but produces a normal primary root with laterals, exhibits up-regulation of stress-related genes, probably due to the inadequacy of the primary and lateral roots to support the developing plant (Muthreich et al., 2013).

Crown roots of rice and maize originate from cells next to the peripheral cylinder of vascular bundles in the stem that undergo one to two periclinal divisions (Fig. 5; Ito et al., 2006; Kitomi et al., 2011b; Muthreich et al., 2013). The \textit{Zmrtcs} mutant, which has no crown roots and no seminal roots but produces a normal primary root with laterals, exhibits up-regulation of stress-related genes, probably due to the inadequacy of the primary and lateral roots to support the developing plant (Muthreich et al., 2013).

AUX1 signal from AUX/IAA and ARF1 proteins is required for the induction of the ethylene-responsive factor (ERF) class of transcription factor \textit{CRL5} up-regulates \textit{ARABIDOPSIS RESPONSE REGULATOR1} (ARR1), a type A cytokinin response regulator inhibiting a cytokinin response (Zhao et al., 2009; Kitomi et al., 2011a). The cytokinin oxidase \textit{OsCX4} is up-regulated by both auxin and cytokinin signals via binding of ARF25, \textit{RICE TYPE-A RESPONSE REGULATOR2} (OsRR2), and OsRR3 to its promoter and is expressed in the base of the stem where crown roots are initiated, where it regulates cytokinin abundance (Gao et al., 2014). Later stages of crown root development are dominated by other hormone interactions, in particular ethylene, GA, and ABA (Steffens et al., 2006). Programmed cell death of the epidermal layers adjacent to the developing crown roots is also required for the completion of crown root development (Cho et al., 2014).

**Figure 5.** Gene regulatory networks regulating crown root (adventitious root) development in rice. Different colors denote different tissues, ARR, \textit{ARABIDOPSIS RESPONSE REGULATOR}; NBD, 2,5-norbornadiene (ethylene inhibitor); PAC, paclobutrazol (GA inhibitor); WOX, WUSCHEL-related homeobox.
to crown root primordia and crown root elongation are induced by the cooperative effect of ethylene and GAs, while ABA antagonizes these two signals (Mergemann and Sauter, 2000; Steffens et al., 2006). A number of signals, mutants, and genes that control root branching have also been described in maize (summarized in Table II).

Brace Roots in Cereals

Brace roots, also known as buttress, stilt, or prop roots, are adventitious roots that form from aboveground nodes in a variety of monocots. Brace roots in maize (Fig. 1B) are important for lodging resistance and for water and nutrient uptake during the later stages of plant growth (Varney and Canny, 1993; Wang et al., 1994). They also substantially affect grain yield under soil flooding, presumably by improving gas exchange and/or topsoil foraging (Hochholdinger and Tuberosa, 2009). Brace roots form endogenously (like crown roots), but not all penetrate the soil. Lateral roots only form on the brace roots that penetrate the soil, and they provide additional lodging resistance plus facilitate water and nutrient uptake (Hochholdinger et al., 2004a, 2004b). Little is known about the underlying molecular mechanisms specific to brace root initiation; however, the existence of maize mutants such as rts-1 and rts-2, which lack adventitious and seminal lateral roots, indicates common genetic and/or hormonal control pathways of adventitious root initiation (Hetz et al., 1996). Other adaptive traits are also likely to be under common hormonal and genetic control. For example, the angle of emergence of brace and other adventitious root growth has been shown to become steeper under water- and nitrogen-limiting conditions in certain maize lines (Trachsel et al., 2013).

Maps of gene expression specific to maize brace roots indicate that many common pathways are shared between brace, lateral, and adventitious root morphogenesis and emergence, including genes participating in auxin transport and signaling plus cell wall synthesis and degradation (Li et al., 2011). Several genes were identified as specifically expressed in brace root tissues, including sugar transporters, potassium transport channels, and genes involved in wound and pathogen responses. A study using microRNA transcript profiling uncovered 14 conserved and 16 novel microRNAs differentially expressed in brace roots (Li et al., 2014). Other genetic studies have found multiple quantitative trait loci specific for brace root tier number (Ku et al., 2012), many of which have multiple epistatic interactions with each other, highlighting the complexity of the gene regulatory network controlling brace root initiation and growth.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE CHALLENGES

In summary, impressive steps have been made dissecting the genetic, genomic, and cellular basis of root branching in model plants and crops such as Arabidopsis, rice, and maize in the last decade (for review, see Lavenus et al., 2013; Orman-Ligeza et al., 2013). Common and specific signals, regulatory components, and mechanisms have been identified that control the initiation, morphogenesis, and emergence of new lateral and adventitious root organs. Despite this progress, much more remains to be done.

Major challenges facing researchers include the following.

1. Integrating the avalanche of root genetic and genomic information into a coherent framework of regulatory interactions in order to generate new insights into the underlying mechanisms controlling root branching. Two distinct approaches have been employed to construct root regulatory networks. The first approach is direct and involves a systematic experimental screen of pairwise interactions among a carefully selected subset of potentially interacting root genes and/or proteins. This approach is exemplified by the elegant work of Siobhan Brady (University of California, Davis) and her collaborators using root tissue-specifically expressed transcription factors in conjunction with the yeast one-hybrid technique to build several tiers of a root gene regulatory network (Brady et al., 2011). The second approach employs a more indirect approach that exploits the availability of root omics (predominantly transcriptomic) data sets to infer gene regulatory networks through statistical analysis tools and identifying regulatory relationships between genes. Although this latter approach is able to rapidly generate models of gene regulatory networks, they ultimately require experimental validation. Nevertheless, mathematical and computational modeling are becoming much more important as our knowledge of root regulatory pathways becomes increasingly complex.

2. Bridging the genotype-to-phenotype gap by relating key regulatory genes to organ-scale lateral and adventitious root branching traits. Researchers are bridging this gap by integrating quantitative genetic or genome-wide association studies with root phenotyping approaches. For example, genetic diversity panels of crops such as maize are being screened for variation in root branching traits using root phenotyping techniques such as “shovelomics” (Trachsel et al., 2011). By comparing the root phenotypic data sets with genotyping data available for diversity panel lines, researchers are then able to pinpoint DNA polymorphisms that control the root branching trait of interest. This provides a powerful approach to identify new root branching regulatory genes. Nevertheless, the interplay between these scales is complex, and an integrative approach is essential to understand the underlying biological mechanisms. Band et al. (2012) argued that, in order to relate root genotype to phenotype, we must move beyond the gene and organ scales and employ multiscale modeling approaches to predict emergent properties at the tissue, organ, organism, and rhizosphere levels. In reality, both approaches are likely to prove of great value in the coming years.
(3) Understanding how root branching interacts with other root traits and environmental conditions to optimize crop performance and resource capture. Functional structural plant models provide a powerful approach to probe these relationships. For example, the functional structural plant model program SimRoot links root growth and root system architecture with the nutrient acquisition process (Postma and Lynch, 2011). Postma et al. (2014) recently used SimRoot to assess the optimal lateral root branching density for maize for capturing nitrate (a mobile soil resource) and phosphorus (an immobile soil resource). Model simulations revealed that the optimal lateral root branching density in the maize root system depends on the relative availability of these different macronutrients, with the optimum shifting to more branches when the nitrate-to-phosphorus ratio is high. By employing a modeling approach, Postma et al. (2014) were able to simulate many millions of permutations of the different root and nutrient parameters, something that is obviously beyond the logistics of direct measurements, highlighting the benefit of this approach in parallel with traditional experimental studies.

(4) Studying the regulation of root branching under more realistic growth conditions. There are many advantages to analyzing the regulation of root branching under highly controlled aseptic growth conditions such as agar plates. However, by not studying roots in their soil environment, we are likely missing novel adaptive responses to their microenvironment. Techniques to noninvasively visualize roots growing in soil such as macroscale computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging (for review, see Mooney et al., 2012) show great promise. Nevertheless, additional approaches need to be developed to simultaneously noninvasively visualize dynamic changes in root gene expression in a soil environment. Such technical advances would help uncover the hidden half of plants and reveal further new insights into the control of root branching.
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