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Abstract.

A series of six fullerene-linker-fullerene triads have been prepared by the stepwise addition of the fullerene cages to bridging moieties thus allowing the systematic variation of fullerene cage (C60 or C70) and linker (oxalate or terephthalate) and enabling precise control over the inter-fullerene separation. The fullerene triads exhibit good solubility in common organic solvents, have linear geometries and are diastereomerically pure. Cyclic voltammetric measurements demonstrate the excellent electron accepting capacity of all triads, with up to 6 electrons taken up per molecule in the potential range between -2.3 and 0.2 V (vs. Fe3+/Fe). No significant electronic interactions between fullerene cages are observed in the ground state indicating that the individual properties of each C60 or C70 cage are retained within the triads. The electron-electron interactions in the electrochemically generated dianions of these triads, with one electron per fullerene cage were studied by EPR spectroscopy. The nature of electron-electron coupling observed at 77 K can be described as an equilibrium between a doublet and triplet state biradical which depends on the interfullerene spacing. The shorter oxalate-bridged triads exhibit stronger spin-spin coupling with triplet character, while in the longer terephthalate-bridged triads the intramolecular spin-spin coupling is significantly reduced.
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Introduction

Fabricating molecular systems that are capable of storing one or more unpaired electrons is essential for the development of molecular spintronics and electron-spin-based quantum
computing. Endohedral fullerenes are compounds that contain a heteroatom trapped inside the fullerene cage and are able to support the formation of stable radical materials. They can also show interesting properties such as magnetism, and photoactivity and are thermally and chemically stable. Their ability to form well-ordered 1D arrays makes them leading candidate materials for the study of polyfunctional materials. For example, significant effort has been directed into incorporating N@C\textsubscript{60} molecules into quantum computing devices. Incorporating a second radical centre into these molecules, in addition to the endohedral atom, introduces a mechanism to control the magnetic properties of the resulting materials. This is essential for the recording, storing and read-out processes performed in spin-based quantum information processing using nanoscale molecular architectures. This has been achieved recently within a copper porphyrin-N@C\textsubscript{60} dyad and several types of N@C\textsubscript{60}-N@C\textsubscript{60} molecules. However the application of these systems is limited due to a number of synthetic challenges associated with the preparation and purification of endohedral fullerenes. This notwithstanding, fullerene cages are excellent electron acceptors and can support up to six electrons per fullerene cage to form species containing one or more unpaired electrons, in which overall charge and the spin state can be controlled precisely by applied potential. In addition, combining two fullerene cages within the same molecule increases the total spin-carrying capacity and introduces a mechanism of spin-tuning while retaining the intrinsic properties of each of the fullerene cages. The synthesis of such fullerene-bridge-fullerene triads, though not straightforward, has been reported, the simplest involving species where the fullerene cages are connected directly by a C-C bond, a bridging O-atom, or by a transition metal atom. A variety of more complex triads have since evolved in which the fullerene molecules are connected using optically or electrochemically active spacers. The choice of linker in triad systems is crucial as it has a significant impact on the properties of the resulting arrays. As the strength of dipolar coupling between unpaired electrons decreases as a function of \( r^{-3} \), where \( r \) is the average distance between unpaired electrons, the strength of any electron-electron interactions in fullerene triads rapidly decreases with increasing distance between fullerene cages. Thus, the ability to control the inter-fullerene separation is crucial in fabricating systems in which specific interactions between multiple unpaired electrons are targeted.

The shape of the fullerene containing molecule is also very important. 1D and 2D ordering is a critical factor in the design of molecular electronics. For example, linear molecules can be ordered readily into 1D arrays using carbon nanotubes as templates and are therefore
advantageous compared to non-linear or branched molecules for which 1D packing arrangements are inhibited. In addition, solubility can also be a significant issue as fullerene triads tend to show poor solubility. The majority of fullerene triads reported to date are either synthesised via complicated non-scalable synthetic procedures, which makes controlling the fullerene-fullerene distance difficult, or incorporate bulky spacers and solubilising groups resulting in cumbersome non-linear structures and hence are not ideal for potential applications in molecular electronics and spintronics devices. We recently reported a new general synthetic methodology for the formation of fullerene triads which allows the introduction of fullerene cages in a stepwise fashion and thus allows the length of the spacer to be adjusted. We report herein the preparation of six different fullerene-linker-fullerene triads in which both the length of the linker and the nature of the fullerene cage are systematically varied, and we explore their spin-carrying and spin-tuning capacity in reduced states using electrochemical techniques and electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of the fullerene triads

This study aimed to vary the fullerene-fullerene separation and the nature of the fullerene cages resulting in the preparation of six fullerene-linker-fullerene (triad) compounds (Figure 1). The fullerenes were functionalised via Prato reaction chemistry forming a pyrrolidine ring across the [6.6] bond of the cage. The resulting pyrrolidine functionalised fullerenes are known to be electrochemically stable and can be readily linked together via the N atom to form linear and diastereomerically pure triads. The choice of linker was determined by the target fullerene-fullerene separation in the product, and terephthalate and oxalate bridges were chosen as they possess similar chemical properties but differ significantly in size. The distance between the centres of the corresponding fullerene cages in the terephthalate bridged triads (compounds 1-3) was estimated to be 16-20 Å depending on the conformation of the molecule (see SI file), whereas the oxalate bridged triads (compounds 4-6) have significantly shorter separations (12-15 Å). Functionalised fullerenes 7 and 8, which are precursors in the synthesis of triads 1-3, were utilised as control compounds in the electrochemical studies and to aid the assignment of redox processes in the triad species.
Figure 1. Structures of triads 1-6 and precursor molecules 7-8 used for synthesis of the asymmetric systems. For the C$_{70}$ containing compounds only the major (8,25) regio isomer is shown for clarity.

The triads 1-3 were synthesised in five steps by functionalization of each fullerene cage using the Prato reaction, addition of the terephthalate spacer to the fulleropyrrolidine unit and subsequent coupling of two fullerene moieties.$^{20}$ The oxalate bridged triads 4-6 were prepared using a similar strategy. To link the two C$_{60}$ or two C$_{70}$ fullerene cages with the oxalate spacer (compounds 4 and 6) a one-step procedure was used in which the corresponding fulleropyrrolidine was treated with an excess of the oxalyl chloride in the presence of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) and pyridine (Scheme 1):

Scheme 1. The one-step synthetic procedure towards the oxalate-bridged fullerene triads 4 and 6.
Oxalate bridged triads 4 and 6 were obtained in moderate yields and displayed physical and spectroscopic properties very similar to those of terephthalate bridged triads 1-3, including good solubility in organic solvents such as CS$_2$ and o-dichlorobenzene (ODCB).

To link the C$_{60}$ and C$_{70}$ fulleropyrrolidines within asymmetric triads with an oxalate spacer we attempted a similar stepwise approach as reported for compounds 1-3 (Scheme 2):

Scheme 2. Attempted synthetic pathway towards the formation of the C$_{60}$-C$_{70}$ oxalate bridged fullerene triad allowing the introduction the fullerene cages in a stepwise fashion.

Oxalic acid monobenzyl ester monochloride was prepared by equimolar reaction of the oxalyl chloride and benzyl alcohol$^{22}$ and was reacted with the [60]fulleropyrrolidine 9 to give the benzyl ester protected compound 11 in 68% yield. Subsequent deprotection of 11 by CF$_3$SO$_2$H yielded the insoluble product 12 that precluded characterisation by solution based methods. However, MALDI MS of 12 showed a molecular ion peak with m/z 791 and the solid state IR spectrum as a pressed disc in KBr indicated only one signal in the carbonyl region characteristic of the amide group (1653 cm$^{-1}$) and no signal related to the carboxylic group stretch. The desired carboxylic acid compound [12] seems to be unstable under acidic conditions and undergoes decarboxylation to form an insoluble amide compound 12. This reaction under acidic conditions is characteristic of carboxylic acids that contain an electron withdrawing substituent in the α-position.$^{23}$

To prevent decarboxylation processes we modified the linker to exclude the electron withdrawing amide group from the α-position of the carboxylate functionality, while
maintaining an identical inter-fullerene separation in the asymmetric triad when compared with the symmetric analogue (Scheme 3):

Scheme 3. Synthetic pathway to the asymmetric fullerene triad 5 allowing introduction of the fullerene cages in a stepwise fashion.

The key step in this procedure is formation of intermediate compound 14 via the nucleophilic substitution of the iodide centre in benzyl 2-iodoacetate\(^{24}\) (13) with [60]fulleropyrrolidine 9 (Scheme 3). Compound 14 is a benzyl ester of an \(\alpha\)-aminoacid which is stable under acidic conditions. Indeed, deprotection of 14 yielded the desired carboxylic acid 15. Compound 15 also shows limited solubility, but MALDI MS (m/z 821) and IR spectroscopy (carbonyl stretch at 1733 cm\(^{-1}\)) confirm the assigned structure. The dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) assisted acid-amine coupling reaction between 15 and [70]fulleropyrrolidine 10 resulted in the formation of the desired asymmetric triad, 5, which displayed physical properties similar to those of 1-4 and 6.

**Electrochemical characterisation of fullerene triads 1-6.**

Compounds 1-6 were studied by cyclic voltammetry (CV) as solutions in o-dichlorobenzene in order to investigate the sequence of electron additions and possible electronic interactions in these triads.

The cyclic voltammograms recorded for the fullerene triads 1-6 are very similar to those of their monomeric precursors 7 and 8 and do not appear to show interactions between the individual fullerene cages. This observation is consistent with the lack of an effective electronic communication pathway through the linker groups within the triads (Table 1 and Figure 2).

Table 1. Electrochemistry data\(^a\) for fullerene based compounds 1-8.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$E_{1/2} \text{ red}_1$, V</th>
<th>$E_{1/2} \text{ red}_2$, V</th>
<th>$E_{1/2} \text{ red}_3$, V</th>
<th>$\Delta E$, $\text{Fc}^+/\text{Fc}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.09 (0.16)</td>
<td>-1.47 (0.16)</td>
<td>-2.01 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.12 (0.10)</td>
<td>-1.48 (0.08)</td>
<td>-1.89 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1.12 (0.06)</td>
<td>-1.50 (0.08)</td>
<td>-1.89 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-1.09 (0.16)</td>
<td>-1.47 (0.16)</td>
<td>-2.01 (0.18)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1.13 (0.10)</td>
<td>-1.49 (0.10)</td>
<td>-1.89 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-1.10 (0.11)</td>
<td>-1.47 (0.10)</td>
<td>-1.89 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-1.11 (0.09)</td>
<td>-1.49 (0.09)</td>
<td>-2.02 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-1.15 (0.06)</td>
<td>-1.52 (0.06)</td>
<td>-1.94 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Potentials ($E_{1/2} = (E^{p_a} + E^{p_c})/2$) in V are quoted to the nearest 0.01 V. All potentials are reported against the $\text{Fc}^+/\text{Fc}$ couple for 0.5 mM solutions in o-dichlorobenzene containing 0.2 M [nBu₄N][BF₄] as the supporting electrolyte. The anodic/cathodic peak separation ($\Delta E = E^{p_a} - E^{p_c}$) is given in brackets where applicable. $\Delta E$ for the $\text{Fc}^+/\text{Fc}$ couple was used as the internal standard.
Figure 2. Cyclic voltammograms of the terephthalate bridged triads 1-3 (left) and oxalate bridged triads 4-6 (right). Data were recorded as 0.5 mM solutions in o-dichlorobenzene containing 0.2 M [6Bu4N][BF4] as the supporting electrolyte, at a scan rate of 100 mV.

Each triad molecule 1-6 exhibits a series of reduction processes in a potential range between -0.3 and -2.3 V (vs. Fe+/Fe), some of which are overlapping or appear as shoulders to the main peaks. By comparison with the cyclic voltammetry of the precursor compounds, 7 and 8, we suggest that this series of reductions corresponds to the addition of up to 3 electrons per fullerene cage (in total 6 electrons per molecule). No oxidation processes were found in the range up to 1.5 V (vs. Fe+/Fe). For triads 1 and 4, containing C60 only, these potentials are similar to those of their precursor 7. A similar correlation was noted for the C70 containing triads 3 and 6 and their precursor 8. Comparison of 1 with 3, 4 with 6 and 7 with 8 shows that the first and second reductions of C60, in general, occurs at slightly more anodic potentials than those of C70 cages. However beyond the second reduction, C70 is more readily reduced. For 2 and 5, each containing a mixture of C60 and C70 fullerenes, two well defined reduction couples are observed at E1/2 ca. -1.12 and -1.49 V, which we assign to an overlap of C60/C70 based reductions. These processes are separated by an additional process that appears as a shoulder on the first reduction in 2 and 5 (Fig. 2 and S6). A similar feature is noted to cathodic potential of the second reduction process. For 2 and 5 we associate these features with the generation of a reduced C70 cage in a triad molecule, noting a similar, although less pronounced effect in 3 and 6, each containing two equivalent C70 cages (see SI file) and an absence of these features in C60 triads, 1 and 4, and in the C70 containing dyad, 8. The origin of these effects is unclear and may result from the nature of interaction of the reduced triad with the electrode surface. We note that the first and second reductions on C70 are expected to be slightly more cathodic than those for C60 but comparing potentials for 7 and 8, we suggest that this difference alone is too small to explain the position of these features. We note also that 2 and 5 are mixtures of two regio isomers of the pyrrolidine functionalised C70, in a ratio of 6:4 as determined by 1H NMR spectroscopy (see Experimental Section). It is possible that these isomers may interact with the electrode differently.

Based on these results we can confirm that for C60-C60 triad molecules changing the nature and the size of the bridging group has little effect on the nature and potentials of the redox processes. Thus, we conclude that the two C60-fullerene cages in the triads behave independently in the ground state. These results are consistent with other fullerene triad systems in which intramolecular fullerene-fullerene interactions are only observed where
fullerene cages are bonded directly\(^{25}\) or bridged by a transition metal atom.\(^{26,27}\) For triads containing C\(_{70}\) the results are less clear where additional electrode processes are observed. However we do not attribute these features to intramolecular fullerene-fullerene interactions.

**EPR spectroscopic characterisation of the fullerene triads in the reduced state**

The electron spin-spin interactions that are crucial for the application of fullerene triads were investigated by EPR spectroscopy as fluid and frozen solutions at room temperature and 77 K, respectively, following electrochemical reduction. Whilst these triads are capable of accepting multiple electrons into each of the fullerene groups, we restrict our discussion to dianionic species in compounds where the electrochemistry is well defined; under these conditions each fullerene cage is reduced by a single electron. We have evaluated the effects of varying the inter-fullerene separation (oxalate bridge vs. terephthalate bridge) and the nature of the fullerene (C\(_{60}\) vs. C\(_{70}\)) on the nature of the spin-spin coupling obtained.

The two electron reduced species of 1 and 4 (1\(^{2}\) and 4\(^{2}\)) and the corresponding mono reduced species of their monomeric precursor 7 (7\(^{1}\)) (i.e. one electron per fullerene cage for all species) were obtained by electrochemical reduction at -1.4 V of 0.5 mM solution of compound in o-dichlorobenzene containing [\(\text{Bu}_4\text{N}\)][BF\(_4\)] as the supporting electrolyte.
Figure 3. Fluid solution EPR spectra recorded at 297 K for the two electron reduced species of compounds 1 and 4 and the one electron reduced species of 7.

Fluid solution EPR spectra of $1^{2-}$, $4^{2-}$ and $7^+$ (Figure 3) are similar in g-value (2.0002, 2.0001 and 2.0000, respectively) but differ in linewidth ($\Delta H_{pp}$ 1.1, 1.3 and 0.8 G, respectively) and are typical of C$_{60}$ based radical anions, confirming that the electrochemically introduced electrons are localised on the fullerene cages.

The EPR spectrum of $4^{2-}$ in frozen solution recorded at 77 K shows an intense central feature at $g = 2.0001$ (Figure 4a) indicating that the majority of the molecules exist as two independent doublet ($S=1/2$) radicals and suggesting a small singlet-triplet energy gap. The intramolecular triplet biradical ($S=1$) of $4^{2-}$ is also present with a zero-field splitting parameter ($D$) of 27.8 G (Figure 4a,b). This value is in the same range (26-29 G) as that observed for other triplet biradicals of pyrrolidine-functionalised C$_{60}$ derivatives in C$_{60}$-bridge-C$_{60}$ triads and gives an average distance of 10 Å between the unpaired electrons, a distance well within the range predicted by models of 4 (Figure S4b). The half-field signal corresponding to the triplet state is not observed which is also consistent with previous reports for fulleride based triplets. The presence of an intramolecular triplet would indicate that the distance between the two fulleropyrrolidine units is short enough to allow through-space interaction despite the lack of electronic conjugation between the interacting units. In addition to the intramolecular triplet, a set of inner features with $D = 7.9$ G is tentatively assigned to an intermolecular (or “powder”) triplet and results from the aggregation of $4^{2-}$ molecules in the frozen solution. The features assigned to this intermolecular triplet of $4^{2-}$ appear well resolved and show perpendicular (inner) and parallel (outer) components which usually occur as broadened “wings” on either side of the doublet-type narrow-line spectra.
Figure 4. Frozen solution EPR spectra of triads 4^2- (a) and 1^2- (c), prepared by two electron reduction of 4 and 1, respectively, at -1.4 V recorded at 77 K in o-dichlorobenzene solution containing [nBu_4N][BF_4] as supporting electrolyte. Enlarged regions around the central feature of 4^2- (b) and 1^2- (d) show characteristic zero field splitting parameters for the intermolecular (purple) and intramolecular (orange) triplet states.

The frozen solution EPR spectrum of the 1^2- displays a central feature at g = 2.0003, consistent with that of a doublet biradical (Figure 4c) that is flanked on each side by broad “wings” that correspond to the presence of an intermolecular triplet and give a maximum D value of 9 G which is similar to that observed for the 4^2-. The same “wings” around the central feature (g 2.0000) have been observed in the EPR spectra of the one electron reduced species of the monomer 7 (Fig. S7, SI file) and hence may be explained by intermolecular interactions. Also present are small baseline features (Figure 4d) that may represent the outer features of an intramolecular triplet. For this we estimate a maximum D value of ca. 15 G, a value smaller than that observed for 4^2- which corresponds to weaker interaction and is consistent with an increase in linker length (Figure S4a). These features may however also be assigned to the parallel part of the intermolecular triplet state. Given the relatively small abundance of these features it appears that by increasing the length of the linker we not only reduce the interaction of the spin centres but also significantly perturb the formation of any intramolecular triplet biradicals.
The EPR spectra of the C\textsubscript{70} containing compounds 6\textsuperscript{2-} and 8\textsuperscript{1-} (Figure S8, SI file) in fluid solution recorded at room temperature (Figure S8a) and particularly in frozen solution recorded at 77 K (Figure S8b) are significantly different from those observed for the reduced C\textsubscript{60} containing compounds. The difference is due to the lower symmetry of the C\textsubscript{70} (D\textsubscript{5h} compared to I\textsubscript{h} of the C\textsubscript{60}) which results in an anisotropic spectrum.\textsuperscript{30} In addition, due to this asymmetry the spectra are significantly broader which means that any features corresponding to the triplet biradicals will overlap with the main central features and hence are not resolved. This complicates assignment of the spin states in the C\textsubscript{70} containing compounds and hence they were not investigated further in this study.

**Conclusions.**

We have developed a synthetic methodology for a range of linear, soluble fullerene triads where the nature of the fullerene cage and the length of the bridge between the cages can be controlled. Cyclic voltammetry demonstrates the high electron accepting capacity of these molecules, which can accept up to six electrons reversibly, but indicates no interactions between the fullerene cages in the ground state of the triads, regardless of the nature of the fullerene (C\textsubscript{60} or C\textsubscript{70}) or the length of the bridge (oxalate or terephthalate). The first and second reduction potentials of C\textsubscript{60} and C\textsubscript{70} in the asymmetric triads appear to be indistinguishable, whilst the third reduction of the two fullerene cages is observed as two separate one-electron processes with the reduction potential being slightly less cathodic for the C\textsubscript{70} cage. EPR spectroscopy of the two electron reduced triads reveals that the nature of the intramolecular electron spin-spin interactions is dependent on the length of the bridge. Specifically, the two electron reduced oxalate bridged triad, where the fullerene cages are separated by a minimum distance of 12 Å, exhibits strong intramolecular spin coupling with the D value of 27.8 G. Under the same conditions the triad with the terephthalate bridge, where fullerene cages are separated by a minimum distance of over 16 Å, exists as an independent doublet biradical with a small number of molecules exhibiting a triplet state with a significantly smaller D value of 15 G. Our methodology enables precise control of the inter-fullerene separation thus providing a mechanism for controlling the spin properties of fullerene triads which is important for the future development of molecular electronic and spintronic devices.

**Experimental.**
C_{60} (99.5 \%) and C_{70} (95 \%) were purchased from SES Research and MER corporation respectively. CH_2Cl_2 was freshly distilled over CaH_2 before use. All other reagents and solvents were purchased from Aldrich and used without further purification. Compounds 1-3 and 7-10 were synthesised according to previously reported procedure.\textsuperscript{20} Infra-red spectra were measured as KBr discs using a Nicolet Avatar 380 FT-IR spectrometer over the range 400-4000 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C NMR spectra were obtained using Bruker DPX 300, Bruker DPX 400, Bruker AV(III) 400 or Bruker AV(III) 500 spectrometers. Mass spectrometry was carried out using a Bruker microTOF spectrometer and a Bruker ultraFlexIII MALDI TOF spectrometer using trans-2-[3-(4-tert-butylphenyl)-2-methyl-2-propenylidene]malononitrile (DCTB) as supporting matrix. UV-vis spectra were measured using a Lambda 25 Perkin Elmer Spectrometer. EPR spectra were obtained on a Bruker EMX EPR spectrometer.

**Cyclic voltammetry.**

Cyclic voltammetric studies were carried out using an Autolab PGSTAT20 potentiostat, using a three-electrode arrangement in a single compartment cell. A glassy carbon working electrode, a Pt wire secondary electrode and a saturated calomel reference electrode (chemically isolated from the test solution via a bridge tube containing electrolyte solution and fitted with a porous Vycor frit) were used in the cell. Experiments were performed under an atmosphere of argon and in anhydrous solvents. Sample solutions were prepared under an atmosphere of argon using Schlenk line techniques and consisted of a 0.2 M [NBu_4][BF_4] solution as the supporting electrolyte and a 0.5 mM solution of the test compound. Redox potentials were referenced vs. the Fe\(^+\)/Fe couple, which was used as an internal standard. Compensation for internal resistance was not applied.

**Bulk electrolysis.**

Bulk electrolysis experiments at a controlled potential were carried out using a two-compartment cell. A Pt/Rh gauze basket working electrode was separated from a wound Pt/Rh gauze secondary electrode by a glass frit. A saturated calomel electrode was bridged to the test solution through a Vycor frit that was orientated at the centre of the working electrode. The working electrode compartment was fitted with a magnetic stirrer bar and the test solution was stirred rapidly during electrolysis. Each solution contained [NBu_4][BF_4] (0.2 M) as the supporting electrolyte and the compound under investigation (5 mL, 0.5 mM) and were prepared using Schlenk line techniques.

**Synthesis of the fullerene triads 4-6**
Synthesis of 4
[60] Fulleropyrrolidine, 9, (35 mg, 0.045 mmol) was suspended in freshly distilled CH₂Cl₂ (35 mL) with 4-dimethylaminopyridine (17 mg, 0.139 mmol) and pyridine (0.35 mL), and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min. Oxalyl chloride (0.1 mL, 1.16 mmol) was then added, and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature for 5 h. On removal of solvent, the resulting residue was dissolved in CS₂ (40 mL) by sonication, and any undissolved material removed by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by column chromatography (silica gel, o-dichlorobenzene/iso-propyl alcohol 99.5:0.5) to give the product which was washed with MeOH (30 mL), petroleum ether 40-60 (30 mL) and diethyl ether (30 mL). The resultant solid was dried under vacuum to give the product (16 mg, 44%) as a brown solid.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, 297 K, CS₂/CDCl₃, δ, ppm): 5.79 (s, 4H, CH₂), 5.69 (s, 4H, CH₂).

¹³C NMR (125 MHz, 297 K, CS₂/CDCl₃, δ, ppm): 160.97 (CO), 153.09, 152.74, 147.47, 146.46, 146.44, 146.26, 146.24, 145.80, 145.72, 145.46, 145.43, 145.40, 145.38, 144.59, 144.46, 143.21, 142.83, 142.80, 142.30, 142.23, 142.21, 142.16, 142.07, 142.05, 140.50, 140.40, 135.97, 135.76, 133.02, 130.61, 128.44, 127.69 (sp² carbons, 31 environments), 70.85, 69.31, 60.22, 57.07 (sp³ carbons, 4 environments).

MALDI-TOF MS (DCTB/MeCN, m/z): 1580.2 (M⁺).

IR (KBr, ν, cm⁻¹): 2960 (w), 2922 (w), 1645 (s), 1453 (w), 1328 (m), 1186 (m), 746 (m), 526 (s).

UV-Vis (CS₂): λ max (ε x 10⁻³/dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹): 703 (0.750), 433 (8.526).

Synthesis of 6.
[70] Fulleropyrrolidine, 10, (20 mg, 0.023 mmol) was suspended in CH₂Cl₂ (18 mL), and DMAP (8.7 mg, 0.071 mmol) and pyridine (0.2 mL) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min and oxalyl chloride (0.055 mL, 0.64 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h, and the solvent was then removed. The resulting residue dissolved in CS₂ (40 mL) by sonication, and the undissolved material removed by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by column chromatography (silica gel, o-dichlorobenzene/iso-propyl alcohol 99.5:0.5) to give the product which was washed with MeOH (30 mL) and petroleum ether 40-60 (30 mL). The resultant material was dried under vacuum to give the product (9 mg, 22%) as a brown solid.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, 297 K, CS₂/CDCl₃, δ, ppm): 4.36-5.25 (m, 8H, CH₂).
$^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, 297 K, CS$_2$/CDCl$_3$, δ, ppm): 168.90 (C=O), 167.43 (C=O), 156.55, 156.13, 155.02, 154.96, 154.11, 152.17, 151.56, 151.42, 151.35, 151.07, 151.01, 151.00, 150.96, 150.94, 150.78, 150.71, 150.41, 150.37, 149.93, 149.89, 149.85, 149.79, 149.47, 149.40, 149.36, 149.31, 149.26, 149.11, 149.07, 149.03, 148.79, 148.45, 148.10, 148.06, 147.88, 147.46, 147.21, 147.10, 147.08, 147.05, 147.00, 146.96, 146.93, 146.64, 146.62, 146.57, 145.84, 145.71, 145.53, 145.41, 145.00, 144.90, 144.84, 144.50, 144.48, 144.23, 144.20, 144.16, 144.12, 144.08, 143.48, 143.43, 143.32, 143.26, 143.18, 143.10, 141.43, 140.32, 140.30, 140.27, 137.28, 133.76, 133.72, 133.68, 132.41, 132.13, 131.38, 131.28, 131.25, 128.40 (sp$^2$ carbons, 80 environments), 71.84, 69.68, 68.74, 64.10, 62.67, 62.63 (sp$^3$ carbons, 6 environments).

MALDI-TOF MS (DCTB/MeCN, m/z): 1820.5.

IR (KBr, ν, cm$^{-1}$): 2932 (m), 2363 (s), 1663 (s, C=O), 1435 (m), 669 (s).

UV-Vis (CS$_2$): $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ ($\varepsilon \times 10^{-3}$/dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$): 693 (3.74), 556 (20.32), 476 (39.28), 462 (39.60), 411 (48.49).

**Synthesis of 5.**

Compound 15 (16 mg, 0.018 mmol), [70]fulleropyrrolidine, 10, (16 mg, 0.019 mmol) and dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (3.9 mg, 0.019 mmol) were suspended in anhydrous o-dichlorobenzene (2.7 mL) and stirred at room temperature under an Ar atmosphere for 20 h. The reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, o-dichlorobenzene) to afford the product which was washed with MeOH (30 mL) and petroleum ether (30 mL) to give the product (9 mg, 27 %) as a dark brown solid.

Isomer a (see SI file): $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, 297 K, CS$_2$/CDCl$_3$, δ, ppm): 5.47 (s, 4H, CH$_2$), 5.28 (m, 2H, CH$_2$), 4.77 (s, 2H, CH$_2$), 4.66 (s, 2H, CH$_2$).

Isomer b (see SI file): $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, 297 K, CS$_2$/CDCl$_3$, δ, ppm): 5.63 (s, 1H), 4.97 (m, 1H), 4.88 (s, 1H), 4.61 (m, 2H), 4.27 (s, 2H), 4.16 (s, 1H), 4.11 (m, 2H).

$^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, 297 K, CS$_2$/CDCl$_3$, δ, ppm): 165.69 (C=O), 155.45, 155.16, 155.07, 154.23, 153.30, 153.19, 151.74, 151.38, 151.21, 151.19, 150.92, 150.79, 150.74, 150.66, 149.91, 149.89, 149.81, 149.36, 149.35, 149.32, 149.24, 149.09, 148.78, 148.44, 148.13, 147.61, 147.41, 147.21, 146.94, 146.36, 146.18, 146.15, 145.94, 145.89, 145.57, 145.40, 145.31, 144.64, 144.60, 143.45, 143.22, 143.07, 142.78, 142.18, 142.03, 140.60, 140.38, 139.15, 137.17, 134.25, 133.75, 132.92 (sp$^2$ carbons, 52 environments), 74.22, 73.26, 70.50, 68.18, 68.14, 64.51, 63.11 (sp$^3$ carbons, 7 environments).

MALDI-TOF MS (DCTB/MeCN, m/z): 1686.3.
IR (KBr, v, cm\(^{-1}\)): 2926 (s), 2365 (s), 1674 (s, C=O), 1433 (m), 1250 (m), 1182 (m), 1119 (m), 671 (w), 527 (m).

UV-Vis (CS\(_2\)): \(\lambda_{\text{max}} (\epsilon \times 10^3$/dm\(^3$ mol\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\))): 693 (2.51), 556 (11.83), 479 (22.00), 456 (22.91), 430 (22.48), 410 (30.38).

**Oxalic acid monobenzyl ester monochloride.\(^{22}\)**

Oxalyl chloride (1 mL) was cooled to 0 °C, and anhydrous benzyl alcohol (1.4 mL) added dropwise over 15 mins. After the addition of the alcohol was completed, the reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for 1.5 h. The resulting mixture was analysed by \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C NMR spectroscopy and found to be a mixture of the oxalic acid monobenzyl ester monochloride and the dibenzyl oxalate in a 5:1 molar ratio. The mixture was used in the next step immediately without further purification.

\(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, 297 K, CDCl\(_3\), \(\delta\), ppm): 7.42-7.47 (m, 5H), 7.38-7.42 (m, 1.75 H), 5.38 (s, 2H), 5.32 (s, 0.72 H).

\(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, 297 K, CDCl\(_3\), \(\delta\), ppm): 160.95, 157.57, 155.53, 134.18, 133.33, 129.35, 128.91, 128.78, 128.74, 70.38, 68.63.

**[60] Fulleropyrrolidine oxalate benzyl ester, 11.**

[60] Fulleropyrrolidine, 9, (70 mg, 0.092 mmol) was suspended in freshly distilled CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) and DMAP (50 mg, 0.41 mmol) and pyridine (0.3 mL) added. The mixture was stirred for 10 min at room temperature, and oxalyc acid monobenzyl ester monochloride (100 mg, 0.50 mmol) was added, and the mixture left to stir at room temperature for 2 h. The solvent was removed, the resulting residue dissolved in CS\(_2\) (10 mL) and filtered to remove insoluble materials. The filtrate was then concentrated and purified by column chromatography (silica gel, toluene) to afford the product which was washed with MeOH (40 mL) and petroleum ether (40 mL) and dried in vacuum to give the compound 11 as black powder (58 mg, 68 %).

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, 297 K, CDCl\(_3\)/CS\(_2\), \(\delta\), ppm): 7.47 (d, J=6.3 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (m, 3H), 5.51 (s, 2H), 5.45 (s, 4H).

\(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, 297 K, CDCl\(_3\)/CS\(_2\), \(\delta\), ppm): 160.85 (C=O), 157.49 (C=O), 153.01, 152.57, 147.50, 147.44, 146.49, 146.44, 146.26, 146.24, 145.80, 145.76, 145.61, 145.46, 145.45, 145.43, 145.31, 144.62, 144.51, 143.22, 142.83, 142.82, 142.25, 142.18, 142.10, 142.03, 140.46, 140.32, 137.51, 136.25, 135.94, 134.60, 129.15, 128.99, 128.96, 128.66,
128.44, 128.42, 125.53 (sp² carbons, 37 environments), 70.67, 69.09, 67.99, 59.35, 57.16 (sp³ carbons, 5 environments).

MALDI-TOF MS (DCTB/MeCN, m/z): 924.1 (M⁺).

IR (KBr, \(\nu, \text{cm}^{-1}\)): 2924 (m), 2362 (m), 1718 (s, C=O), 1671 (s, C=O), 1438 (m), 1125 (s), 527 (s).

**Synthesis of 12.**

Compound 11 (5 mg) was dissolved in freshly distilled CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL), and CF₃SO₂H (0.05 mL) added. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature after which the solvent was removed under vacuum and the resultant brown solid was suspended in diethyl ether (10 mL). The precipitate was separated by centrifugation, the ether removed by decantation, and this procedure was repeated three times. The resultant brown solid was dried under vacuum to give the product, 12 (4 mg, 95 %) as a brown solid.

MALDI-TOF MS (DCTB/MeCN, m/z): 791.2 (M⁺).

IR (KBr, \(\nu, \text{cm}^{-1}\)): 3446 (s, NH), 2964 (m), 2360 (m), 1636 (s, C=O), 1507 (m), 1384 (s), 1216 (m), 527 (s).

**Synthesis of 13.**

NaI (5.3 g, 34 mmol) was suspended in acetone (15 mL) and heated under reflux for 5 min. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, and benzyl bromoacetate (1 mL, 6.3 mmol) added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and the solvent removed under vacuum. The resulting mixture was partitioned between water (20 mL) and ethyl acetate (10 mL). The organic fraction was separated, washed with a saturated solution of Na₂S₂O₃ (2 x 10 mL) followed by brine (10 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed to give the product (1.57 g, 90%) as a yellow oil.

\(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, 297 K, CDCl₃, \(\delta, \text{ppm}\)): 7.40 (s, 5H), 5.20 (s, 2H), 3.76 (s, 2H).

\(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz, 297 K, CDCl₃, \(\delta, \text{ppm}\)): 168.60 (C=O), 135.14, 128.65, 128.54, 128.33, 67.81 (-CH₂-O-), -5.51 (-CH₂I).

ESI MS (MeOH, m/z): 298.95 (M+Na)⁺.

**Synthesis of 14.**

To a solution of [60]fulleropyrrolidine, 9, (110 mg, 0.144 mmol) in dry DMF (30 mL) benzyliodoacetate (150 mg) was added, and the resulting mixture heated to 100 °C for 1 h.
The solvent was then removed under vacuum, and the resulting solid purified by column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with CS$_2$, followed by CS$_2$/toluene 1:1 v/v). The product was washed with MeOH (40 mL) and the resultant solid was dried in vacuum to give compound 14 as a black powder (80 mg, 61 %).

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, 297 K, CDCl$_3$/CS$_2$, δ, ppm): 7.49 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (m, 3H), 5.37 (s, 2H), 4.68 (s, 2H), 4.09 (s, 2H).

$^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, 297 K, CDCl$_3$/CS$_2$, δ, ppm): 169.31 (C=O), 154.61, 147.37, 146.33, 146.14, 146.03, 145.74, 145.56, 145.36, 144.62, 143.18, 142.72, 142.28, 142.16, 141.98, 140.26, 136.39, 135.64, 135.22, 128.81, 128.62, 128.53, 128.37 (sp$^2$ carbons, 22 environments), 70.58, 67.05, 66.78, 54.84 (sp$^3$ carbons, 4 environments).

MALDI-TOF MS (DCTB/MeCN m/z): 911.5 (M$^+$).

IR (KBr, $\nu$, cm$^{-1}$): 2962 (w), 2359 (w), 1736 (s, C=O), 1393 (m), 1344 (m), 1095 (s), 737 (m), 527 (s).

**Synthesis of 15.**

To a solution of 14 (5 mg, 0.0055 mmol) in dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (5 mL) CF$_3$SO$_2$H (0.05 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the resultant brown solid suspended in diethyl ether (10 mL). The precipitate was separated by centrifugation, the ether removed, and this procedure was repeated three times. The resultant brown solid was dried in vacuum to give the product, 15 (4.2 mg, 95 %).

MALDI-TOF MS (DCTB/MeCN, m/z): 821.2 (M$^+$).

IR (KBr, $\nu$, cm$^{-1}$): 3446 (s, OH), 2957 (w), 2361 (w), 1732 (m, C=O), 1483 (m), 1170 (m), 746 (m), 527 (s).
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