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Abstract

Postoperative insulin resistance (POIR) is a hallmark feature in patients having major abdominal surgery. Surgical stress may induce changes in metabolic pathways that perturb glucose homeostasis, resulting in stress hyperglycaemia. The studies described in the present thesis set out to examine the evidence behind (1) the ‘Enhanced Recovery After Surgery’ pathway (2) preoperative carbohydrate drinks, in attenuating the surgical stress response and (3) to investigate the mechanistic basis of metabolic response to surgery and the development of postoperative insulin resistance in patients having major abdominal surgery.

Meta-analysis of randomised studies in patients having major abdominal surgery showed that ERAS pathway was associated with a significant reduction in length of hospital stay and postoperative complications. Meta-analysis of randomised studies using preoperative CHO was also associated with reduced length of stay and decreased POIR in support of reduced fasting times and preoperative carbohydrate drinks (CHO), before surgery.

The study in patients having major abdominal surgery showed that surgical trauma was associated with increased skeletal muscle interleukin-6 and pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase isoform-4 (PDK4) mRNA and protein expression. Increased PDK4 was associated with a concomitant reduction in pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDC) activity that controls the rate of muscle carbohydrate oxidation in mitochondria, and contributed to impaired glucose tolerance and decreased mitochondrial ATP production postoperatively.

One hypothesis is that by maximising the contribution of carbohydrate derived oxidative ATP regeneration by activating the PDC with the synthetic PDK4 inhibitor dichloroacetate (DCA) and/or by increasing muscle glucose uptake using preoperative oral carbohydrates (CHO) may reverse the changes in carbohydrate oxidation seen postoperatively. The results from the study showed that administration of either CHO or CHO with DCA attenuates the impairment of CHO oxidation and the development of POIR, induced by surgical stress. Furthermore, DCA increased mitochondrial CHO oxidation above that achieved by CHO alone, which waned by 48 hours after surgery.
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Chapter 1

General Introduction
“It’s not stress that kills us; it is our reaction to it”. Hans Selye

For most patients, undergoing major surgery can be a stressful and an overwhelming event. The stress response to surgery is characterised by autonomic, hormonal, and metabolic changes that follow injury or trauma. The ‘surgical stress response’ manifests itself in the postoperative period, as a syndrome of hypermetabolism, a hyperdynamic cardiovascular state and inflammation (Bone et al, 1992). Besides the surgical stress and the consequent changes in carbohydrate and protein metabolism, the patients have to deal with the fear, fatigue and pain of undergoing surgery, which have a major impact on recovery and delays return to their pre-surgical functional state. Moreover, perioperative care is not standardised with existing variations in clinical practice between different hospitals, professionals and countries, which complicate the patient’s journey through surgery.

Post-operative insulin resistance (POIR), a state in which the peripheral tissues are rendered less responsive to the glucose-lowering, antilipolytic and anabolic properties of the insulin, is central to the metabolic response following surgery. The resultant hyperglycaemia secondary to the surgical stress labelled as ‘stress hyperglycaemia’ (Thorell et al., 1999b), contributes to increased postoperative morbidity, length of stay and prolonged recovery (Thorell et al., 1999b, van den Berghe et al., 2001, Jackson et al., 2012). Evidence from studies in burns injury (Gore et al., 2001), trauma (Laird et al., 2004, Sung et al., 2005, Jeschke et al., 2004), and critically ill patients (Bochicchio et al., 2005, Pittas et al., 2004), show a causal relationship between hyperglycaemia and increased morbidity and mortality. By maintaining euglycaemia, significant clinical benefits of reduction in mortality by 43%, intensive care stay, ventilator support and reduced risk of
infections by 36%, have been reported in this group of patients (Van den Berghe et al., 2006, Finfer et al., 2009). However, the underlying mechanisms that contribute to POIR, are less well defined in patients having major surgery.

POIR could be attributed partly, to the metabolic response to surgery, described by Cuthbertson (Cuthbertson, 1932). The metabolic response to surgery is a complex, integrated series of events that occur, both, locally at the site of injury and within the body. The mechanism has probably evolved to mobilise substrates needed for organ function and tissue repair and to aid recovery. However, additional factors such as magnitude of surgery and infection can modify the metabolic response and could potentially lead to a catabolic state and further organ damage. Therefore, a major goal of modern surgery is to minimise this surgical stress induced alterations to physiological functions and to restore the body to its pre-injury state in order to shorten recovery times.

In an attempt to attenuate the surgical stress response in patients having surgery, the ‘Enhanced Recovery After Surgery’ (ERAS) Society published an evidence based consensus protocol in 2009 (Lassen et al., 2009a). The protocol combined several perioperative treatment strategies such as preoperative carbohydrate drinks, avoidance of prolonged fasting, etc. that were individually evaluated in randomised controlled studies and reported clinical benefits of reduced complications and length of hospital stay.

Therefore, measures to reduce surgical stress and the mechanisms underlying the development of POIR are of major clinical interest to improve clinical outcomes and to enhance recovery after surgery.
In this introductory chapter, the principles of the ERAS protocol that is reported to enhance recovery in surgical patients will be reviewed. Subsequently, the effect of surgical stress and the metabolic response to injury along with the changes in insulin signalling mechanisms that are involved in the development of POIR will be discussed which will lead to the development of the hypothesis and aims of this present research work.
1.1 Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS)
Over the last few decades, surgical care has improved immensely with advancement of knowledge, and in systems of care delivery to ensure that optimal treatment is provided to the critically ill surgical patient. The ERAS protocol was designed to address the aforementioned perioperative issues and combines the principles of ‘multimodal surgical care’, originally pioneered by Henrik Kehlet (Kehlet, 1997). ERAS comprises a series of perioperative interventions such as reduced fasting and preoperative carbohydrate drinks, epidural anaesthesia, early enteral nutrition, etc., (Fig 1.1 & Tables 1,2,3) that when implemented together could lead to a major reduction in the risk of complications, length of hospital stay and development of POIR (Thorell et al., 1999b). Studies, including randomised controlled trials that reported clinical benefits of these interventions such as reduced risk of postoperative complications and length of hospital stay, were examined and the validity of these perioperative interventions were appraised with the efforts of the ‘ERAS group’, (later known as the ERAS society), which published the common consensus guidelines in 2005 (Fearon et al., 2005). From accumulating evidence from several randomised controlled trials of the benefits of individual elements of perioperative care, the ERAS society published an updated evidence-based consensus protocol in 2009, which marked a paradigm shift in perioperative care, when ERAS protocols were originally used in colorectal surgery, extended to gynaecological, orthopaedic and urological surgery, subsequently (Lassen et al., 2009a).

Thus, the ERAS protocol comprises a multidisciplinary, evidence-based approach to perioperative care, that is designed to attenuate the stress response to major surgery, to facilitate the maintenance of preoperative body compositions and organ functions and in
doing so, achieve early recovery. The principles of ERAS rest on the concepts of patient education, ‘pre-habilitation’ of patients including information and counselling, metabolic preparation with pre-operative carbohydrate treatment and nutritional supplements; intra-operative strategies to minimise surgical stress such as thoracic epidural analgesia, minimally invasive or careful choice of operative technique, goal-directed fluid therapy, post-operative rehabilitation such as early enteral feeding, early mobilisation, avoidance of opioids, attainment of pre-agreed milestones and discharge criteria (Fig 1.1). In this regard, it was suggested that the ERAS elements such as avoidance of pre-operative fasting and allowing patients carbohydrate drinks up to 2 hours before surgery reduces the surgical stress response and enabled the patient to undergo surgery in a ‘metabolically fed’ state.

Figure 1.1: Philosophy of ERAS [Varadhan et al. Crit Care Clin 26 (2010) 527–547]. The principle objectives of ERAS protocol are, to modulate inflammation and the immune response, attenuating the hypermetabolic response to surgery, optimizing glucose control, and providing nutritional support to enhance recovery.
1.2. Components of ERAS

Most of the ERAS interventions in Figure 1.2 are derived from existing high quality evidence, whilst the other less studied elements are put together from common consensus opinion or from a traditional-care setting. The rationale for incorporating these elements in the ERAS pathway and the summary of recommendations for individual elements, with the grades of evidence according to the ‘Centre for Evidence Based Medicine’, Oxford, England, are illustrated in Tables 1–3.


Table 1.1 shows ERAS elements that are instituted in the preoperative period, whereas Tables 1.2 and 1.3 illustrate the elements that form the ERAS pathway in the intra- and postoperative periods, respectively.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preoperative elements</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
<th>Recommendations (Note: * indicates that recommendation is an ERAS-consensus statement based on available evidence)</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preadmission information and counselling</td>
<td>Preadmission counselling ensures a clear understanding of the intended perioperative care to be received with emphasis on attaining specific pre-set targets, would help in alleviating the stress responses to surgery (Blazey et al., 2009, Egbert et al., 1964, Disbrow et al., 1993, Klafta and Roizen, 1996, Mahomed et al., 2002)</td>
<td>Oral &amp; written patient Information regarding hospitalization, pain relief, achieving postoperative targets such as early nutrition, mobilization and discharge</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No bowel preparation</td>
<td>Bowel preparation leads to dehydration and changes in fluid &amp; electrolyte balance (Holte et al., 2004). No change (Guenaga, 2005) or rather an increased risk of complications such as prolonged postoperative ileus as well as increased risk of anastomotic leakage from mechanical bowel preparation (Platell and Hall, 1998, Ram et al., 2005, Jung et al., 2007, Slim et al., 2004)</td>
<td>Patients undergoing elective colonic resection above peritoneal reflection should not receive routine oral bowel preparation. May be considered in low rectal resection where a diverting stoma is planned (Platell et al., 2006)</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative fasting &amp; Preoperative carbohydrate loading</td>
<td>27.45% of hospitalized patients are malnourished (Naber et al., 1997, Schutz and Pirlich, 2006). This increased risk may be due to the combined effects of tissue wasting, impaired immune function, impaired healing and organ dysfunction (Arora and Rochester, 1982, Giner et al., 1996) Preoperative carbohydrate loading reduces the incidence of complications (Svanfeldt et al., 2007, Kaska et al., 2006) and facilitate accelerated recovery through early return of gut function and shorter hospital stay leading to an improved perioperative well-being (Noblett et al., 2006b, Nygren et al., 2001, Yuill et al., 2005)</td>
<td>The consensus guidelines from a Cochrane review (Brady et al., 2003) and guidelines from anaesthetic societies recommend clear fluids until 2 hours before induction of anaesthesia and a 6 hour fast for solid food (Lassen et al., 2009a)</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No long acting sedatives/ premedication</td>
<td>Long acting sedatives, hypnotics and opioids (pre-emptive analgesia) were thought to reduce anxiety and stress related to surgery, but these effects are far outweighed by the risk of prolonged recovery due to inability to drink or mobilize postoperatively. No effect on postoperative pain relief by starting analgesic treatment before the operation(Moiniche et al., 2002). Short acting anxiolytics have not shown prolonged recovery or length of stay (Smith and Pittaway, 2000, Smith and Pittaway, 2003)</td>
<td>Medications causing long term sedation should be avoided; Short acting medications given to facilitate insertion of epidural catheter are acceptable</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimicrobial Prophylaxis</td>
<td>Prophylactic antibiotics minimize infectious complications in colorectal surgery (Song and Glenny, 1998)</td>
<td>A single dose, one hour prior to skin incision and further doses for procedures lasting more than 3 hours (Song and Glenny, 1998)</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thromboembolic prophylaxis</td>
<td>Increased risk of thromboembolic complications in certain high risk patients undergoing major abdominal surgery is associated with prolonged hospitalization and recovery</td>
<td>Subcutaneous low-dose unfractionated heparin or subcutaneous low-molecular-weight heparin(Wille-Jorgensen et al., 2001, McLeod et al., 2001)</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-operative elements</td>
<td>Rationale</td>
<td>Recommendations</td>
<td>Level of evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard anaesthetic protocol / Mid-thoracic epidural with local anaesthetic/opioid</strong></td>
<td>Rational use of short acting agents to facilitate proactive recovery postoperatively. Preoperative commencement of mid-thoracic epidural blocks stress hormone release and attenuates postoperative insulin resistance (Lassen et al., 2009a). Helps in achieving analgesia and sympathetic blockade and in preventing gut paralysis (Miedema and Johnson, 2003)</td>
<td>Avoid long acting opioids; Mid-thoracic epidural commenced preoperatively, containing local anaesthetic in combination with a low-dose opioid. Consider short acting inhalational anaesthesia as an alternative to total intravenous anaesthesia</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Laparoscopic / minimally invasive surgery</strong></td>
<td>Decreased inflammatory response, insulin resistance, improved pulmonary function, early return of bowel function, mobilization, less pain, reduced incidence of complications, readmissions and length of stay (Lin et al., 2009, Vlug et al., 2009, Zerey et al., 2006)</td>
<td>Laparoscopic assisted colorectal surgery is recommended in dedicated specialist centres, with outcomes comparable to open surgery</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maintenance of normothermia</strong></td>
<td>Reduced wound infections, cardiac complications, bleeding and transfusion requirements (Frank et al., 1997, Kurz et al., 1996, Nesher et al., 2003, Schmied et al., 1996, Scott and Buckland, 2006)</td>
<td>Routine use of upper body-forced-air heating cover; prevention of hypothermia by warm intravenous fluids</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perioperative fluid management</strong></td>
<td>Sodium and fluid overload delays return of GI function, prolongs hospital stay, increased side-effects and complications (Lobo et al., 2002a, Lobo et al., 2002b, Nisanevich et al., 2005).</td>
<td>Fluid restriction, avoiding hypovolemia, sodium and fluid overload. Goal directed fluid therapy in high-risk cases.</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Selective use of drains</strong></td>
<td>Routine use of drains does not reduce the incidence or severity of anastomotic leak (Jesus et al., 2004, Urbach et al., 1999).</td>
<td>No drains after routine colonic resections above peritoneal reflections; short term (&lt; 24 hours) drainage after low anterior resections</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urinary drainage</strong></td>
<td>Increased risk of urinary tract infections following prolonged use (Wald et al., 2008). Reduced incidence of complications (Basse et al., 2000b)</td>
<td>Suprapubic catheter for rectal surgery; Early removal of catheters following colonic surgery</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative elements</td>
<td>Rationale</td>
<td>Recommendations</td>
<td>Level of evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No routine use of nasogastric tube</td>
<td>Facilitates earlier return of bowel function. Not associated with increased risk of complications or length of stay (Nelson et al., 2007, Yang et al., 2008)</td>
<td>Nasogastric tubes should not be used routinely in the postoperative period. Used in selected cases of postoperative ileus, or unless severe PONV</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressive treatment of postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV)</td>
<td>Facilitates early oral feeding. Symptoms related to postoperative ileus and opioids can be more stressful than postoperative pain. Female gender, non-smoking status, history of motion sickness or PONV and postoperative opioids confer high risk</td>
<td>Individuals at moderate risk (&gt;2 factors) should receive prophylactically with dexamethosone sodium phosphate at induction or serotonin receptor antagonist at the end of surgery (Carlisle and Stevenson, 2006, Wallenborn et al., 2006)</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention of postoperative ileus</td>
<td>Surgical stress, opioids and bowel handling, fluid overload predispose to ileus and impairs GI function leading to delayed discharge. Oral magnesium oxide promotes postoperative bowel function (Basse et al., 2000a, Basse et al., 2001)</td>
<td>Mid-thoracic epidural analgesia, avoidance of fluid overload and laparoscopic approach, where possible is recommended. A low dose postoperative laxative such as magnesium oxide may also be considered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative analgesia / Mid-thoracic epidural analgesia</td>
<td>TEDA results in better pain relief and earlier return of bowel function compared with patient controlled analgesia (Block et al., 2003, Marret et al., 2007, Taqi et al., 2007, Turunen et al., 2009). Ineffective pain control, analgesia with oral or intravenous opiates, lack of mobility, loss of appetite contributes to the delayed gastrointestinal recovery (Bisgaard and Kehlet, 2002). TEDA also results in attenuated stress response, insulin resistance, reduced incidence of respiratory and cardiovascular complications (Kehlet and Wilmore, 2002)</td>
<td>Continuous epidural mid-thoracic low-dose local anaesthetic and opioid combinations for approximately 48 hours, following elective colonic surgery and approximately 72-96 hours following pelvic surgery. Acetaminophen (paracetamol) for baseline analgesia (4g/d) postoperatively. Boluses for breakthrough pain. NSAIDS started following removal of epidural (multimodal analgesia). Remove catheter if present</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early oral nutrition</td>
<td>Less gut permeability, early return of bowel function, reduced length of stay and complications (Bisgaard and Kehlet, 2002, Lewis et al., 2009, Lassen et al., 2008, Maessen et al., 2009)</td>
<td>Oral diet, day of surgery with nutritional supplements (200ml, energy dense, 2-3 times daily) until normal food intake is achieved. Continued for several weeks in nutritionally depleted patients</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early mobilization</td>
<td>Decreases insulin resistance, risk of thromboembolism and pulmonary dysfunction. Increases muscle strength and facilitates early discharge</td>
<td>Encourage independence and mobilization for at least 2 hours on the day of surgery (e.g. turning, sitting in bed) and 6 hours thereafter (e.g. walking)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.3: Summary of recommendations: Postoperative elements of ERAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discharge criteria</th>
<th>Addressing patients’ special needs and anticipating problems delaying discharge facilitates early recovery and does not increase readmission rates (Andersen et al., 2007)</th>
<th>Criteria for discharge: Mobilized to preoperative level, pain control on oral analgesic, return of gut function and no complications in need of hospital care</th>
<th>*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systematic audit</td>
<td>Documenting defined outcomes following implementation of ERAS programs ensures standard of care and identifies areas for improvement</td>
<td>A systematic audit should be performed to allow direct comparison across institutions</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the purpose of this thesis, the ERAS interventions such as avoidance prolonged fasting and preoperative carbohydrate drinks in patients having surgery will be reviewed. The principles of ERAS emphasise avoidance of prolonged fasting, as prolonged fasting of both solids and liquids and the metabolic stress from surgery, leads to fluid deficits (Noblett et al., 2006b) and POIR. ERAS guidelines permit fluids and solids up to two and six hours prior to surgery, respectively, to ensure that patients are in a ‘metabolically fed’ state (Thorell et al., 1999b). The traditional practice and belief that fasting from midnight ensures an empty stomach and thereby reduces the risk of pulmonary aspiration in elective surgical patients, has no scientific evidence behind this dogma. A Cochrane review of surgical patients showed that fasting from midnight does not reduce gastric content nor raises the pH of gastric fluid compared with patients allowed clear fluids until 2 hours before anaesthesia (Brady et al., 2003). Recommendations from anaesthetic societies report that shortened fluid fast until 2 hours before surgery as well as a 6 hour fast for solid food, does not result in increased risk of pulmonary aspiration, differences in gastric pH, volume or increased morbidity even in high risk patients (Smith et al., 2011a, Ljungqvist and Soreide, 2003, Soreide et al., 1997, Warner et al., 1993, ASA practice guidelinesHolness and Sugden, 1999).
Similarly, there are no conclusive data to support the fact that patients with diabetes have delayed emptying for fluids and when given along with normal diabetic medication, gastric emptying of a carbohydrate drink was shown to be normal. Many studies show that carbohydrate treatment results in a better-maintained lean body mass (Yuill et al., 2005) and muscle strength (Henriksen et al., 2003, Noblett et al., 2006b), less postoperative losses of nitrogen and protein (Crowe et al., 1984, Svanfeldt et al., 2007), accelerated recovery (Nygren et al., 2001, Noblett et al., 2006a)

Evidence shows that there is an up-regulation of skeletal muscle PDK4 mRNA expression after 48 hours of starvation, associated with a 42% reduction in insulin sensitivity and provision of carbohydrate derived pyruvate was associated with reduced muscle pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase (PDK4) mRNA and protein expressions and increased liver glycogen content (Awad et al., 2010). Raised PDK4 mRNA expression in muscle has been shown to inhibit pyruvate dehydrogenase complex activity, which is the rate-limiting step in carbohydrate oxidation (described in detail in section 1.5.2). This suggests that muscle PDK4 would be a prime target in carbohydrate oxidation and that CHO favours oxidative glucose disposal in skeletal muscle by its effect on PDK4.

Dysregulation of protein kinase B (Akt1) signalling was implicated in insulin resistance which causes inhibition of FOXO transcription factors which in turn regulates PDK4 activity by phosphorylation. A study in patients by Wang et al investigated whether altered insulin dependent activation of the phosphatidyl-inositol 3 kinase (PI3K)/Akt signalling pathway would contribute to the development of POIR (Wang et al., 2010). POIR and subjective wellbeing were significantly better in the CHO group than in the fasting/placebo group. At the end of surgery, muscle protein tyrosine kinase activities, as
well as PI3K and PKB levels were significantly increased in the CHO group. The PI3K/Akt/FOXO signalling pathway is discussed in detail in section 1.5.

Despite the initial reluctance and difficulties in implementation of ERAS principles, many randomised controlled trials (RCT) were undertaken, implementing some or most of the ERAS interventions in surgical patients and comparing their clinical outcomes against those treated in the traditional way. Some studies showed clinical benefits of reduced length of hospital stay, complications and early recovery, whilst others reported mixed results. This was thought to be a problem with either implementation or adherence to the ERAS protocol and differences in practice amongst various surgical units (Kehlet, 2009).

In an attempt to analyse and validate the effect of the perioperative interventions on clinical outcomes of patients treated using ERAS principles, a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials of ERAS in patients having abdominal surgery was performed. RCTs that studied the effects of preoperative carbohydrate drinks in surgical patients with particular reference to the development of POIR, were also systematically reviewed. The results of both the systematic reviews are presented in subsequent chapters, in this thesis (Chapter 3 and Chapter 4).
1.2 Postoperative insulin resistance

The term ‘Insulin resistance’ refers to a blunting of the stimulatory effect of insulin on tissue glucose uptake. The development of surgical induced insulin resistance syndrome (elevated blood glucose and fatty-acid concentrations, reduced muscle glucose uptake, and increased liver glucose production), which occurs concurrently with increased oxygen consumption, hyper-lactatemia and protein catabolism, has been a consistent feature in the postoperative period, following major surgical interventions, thereby delaying recovery (Ljungqvist et al., 2005, Van den Berghe et al., 2003). However, the pathogenesis of ‘stress hyperglycaemia’, very much like the patient’s condition, is likely to be dynamic, change over time and normalises when the counter-regulatory hormonal surge and pro-inflammatory response abates during recovery (Clement et al., 2004, Meduri et al., 2009).

POIR, as indicated by a decrease in insulin sensitivity, refers to the ability of insulin to support glucose homeostasis, by promoting glucose uptake in insulin-sensitive tissues or organs (or whole body glucose uptake) is measured by the average rate of exogenous glucose infusion after reaching a steady state level during a hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp study, to maintain euglycaemia for 30 min, \( (M-value) \). It is more pronounced in the 1-2 days following surgery, which then takes several days to recover to basal levels (Fig 1.3). (Ljungqvist et al., 2005, Van den Berghe et al., 2003, Cerra, 1987). There is also a strong correlation between the magnitude of surgery and the degree of insulin sensitivity. By measuring insulin sensitivity both preoperatively and 24 hours after elective surgery in otherwise healthy individuals, it was found that insulin sensitivity was reduced by approximately 50% after uncomplicated elective open cholecystectomy.
A 50-60% decrease in insulin sensitivity has been shown to be associated with major abdominal surgery (Fig 1.4) and surgery using minimally invasive techniques such as laparoscopic cholecystectomy showed with a significantly less pronounced reduction in insulin sensitivity compared with open surgery (Thorell et al., 1999b, Ljungqvist, Thorell et al., 1993, Thorell et al., 1996c). Moreover, the relative reduction in insulin sensitivity was found to be constant, after a given operation, with a coefficient of variation of 12.3% or less (Thorell et al., 1993).

It has also been reported that the degree of POIR, along with other surgical factors such as type of surgery and perioperative blood loss significantly best predicted the length of hospital stay, with a predictive value of 71% (Thorell et al., 1999b). Another study in diabetic and non-diabetic patients undergoing cardiac surgery, reported that insulin resistance is associated with 5-fold increase in the risk of complications, risk of severe infection by more than 10-fold and a decrease in insulin sensitivity by 50% (Sato et al.). Therefore, it is clear that interventions that attenuate the development of insulin resistance in the perioperative setting and during critical illness may have a major effect on recovery.
Figure 1.3: Degree of insulin insulin sensitivity in the postoperative period, as measured by Hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp.

Figure 1.4: Percentage reduction in insulin sensitivity and magnitude of operation.
1.3. Metabolic response to Surgery

1.3.1 Metabolic response: Evolution of concept

Sir David Paton Cuthbertson, in his series of experiments in the late 1920s, established the associations of increased nitrogen loss, breakdown of lean tissue (particularly skeletal muscle), fever and increased oxygen consumption to the post-traumatic catabolic state. In his study of calcium metabolism, he investigated the effects of bed rest on healthy volunteers and in individuals with non-inflammatory dysfunction of the knee joint and found that bed rest caused a slight increase in the excretion of nitrogen, potassium, sulphur and creatine, which returned to baseline levels with time. Interestingly, his subsequent experiments on patients with long bone fractures, showed much greater losses in the above parameters than those associated with bed rest alone. This negative nitrogen balance was maximal from day 2 to day 8 after injury and lasted for up to 1 month (Cuthbertson, 1929, Cuthbertson, 1930, Cuthbertson, 1932). Studies that followed linked the activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA) and the release of stress hormones to the systemic metabolic response (Hume, 1953, Egdahl, 1959, Goodall et al., 1957). Hume and Egdahl, described the adrenal cortical response to limb injury in dogs caused by trauma or burns, which produced an immediate and sustained increase of adrenal hormones. However, the response was abated if the sciatic nerve or spinal cord were transected, demonstrating the importance of afferent nerve signals to mediate the HPA response. The effect of stress hormones such as cortisol, glucagon and epinephrine infusion in healthy volunteers over a 74-hour period to achieve concentrations similar to patients undergoing surgery compared with saline infusion, showed a significant hypermetabolism, negative nitrogen balance, glucose intolerance,
insulin resistance and leucocytosis, pointing to the role of stress hormones in the metabolic response to surgery (Bessey et al., 1984). Similarly, evidence from patients with burns showed a strong association between the hypermetabolic response of burn injury and the secretion of catecholamines (Wilmore et al., 1974). A positive correlation between the injury severity and the plasma concentration of catecholamines and dopamine, secondary to an increased sympathetic activity has also been reported in some studies (Ljungqvist, 2009, Frayn et al., 1985). The importance of neuronal pathways in eliciting the stress response was reported in a study by Brandt et al, in which they studied the effects of epidural anaesthesia on patients undergoing elective abdominal surgery. They showed that the neuraxial blockade attenuated the activation of the HPA axis, dampened reflex neurogenic responses to the liver and intestinal tract and postoperative pain. This was associated with a reduction in the secretion of catabolic hormones, reduced hyperglycaemia and attenuated negative nitrogen balance (Brandt et al., 1978). Furthermore, a study in patients undergoing upper abdominal surgery, showed that epidural blockade of catecholamine release also reduced the degree of POIR (Uchida et al., 1988). Therefore, inhibition of the endocrine-metabolic response to surgery by neurogenic blockade may reduce the morbidity precipitated in high-risk patients by the catabolic response to surgery.

Thus, it is evident that the metabolic response to surgery is initiated by the neurogenic stimuli from the site of injury, which plays a crucial part in the metabolic response to surgery and that stress hormones play a vital role in the propagation of the response. However, the cellular and molecular mechanisms that lead to changes in substrate utilisation and POIR in patients undergoing surgery, have not been well defined.
1.3.2 The ebb and flow phase of metabolic response
The metabolic response to critical illness is associated with global hyper-metabolism, insulin resistance, alterations in substrate utilisation and a negative nitrogen balance. In the following paragraphs, the physiological events that take place during the metabolic response secondary to surgical stress and how it may contribute to the development of POIR will be reviewed.

The metabolic response to surgical stress is classified into a relatively short-lived hypo-metabolic ‘ebb’ phase, followed by the hyper-metabolic or ‘flow’ phase, as described below.

The ‘ebb’ phase: The ‘ebb’ phase corresponds to the period of reduced energy expenditure, hypovolaemia and an increased sympathethico-adrenal activity associated with an outpouring of counter-regulatory hormones immediately after injury and lasts for 12 – 24 h (Frayn et al., 1985). The patient is in the state of traumatic shock and with adequate resuscitation, the metabolic changes are considered to be reversible. However, if the metabolic response is severe enough to initiate an inflammatory process, it can trigger a cascade of events that lead to further injury in other organs. The post-traumatic ‘stress hyperglycaemia’ which is present in the ‘ebb’ phase is said to be initially the result of enhanced hepatic glycogenolysis (Stoner et al., 1979) and later a consequence of increased glucose production coupled with reduced peripheral utilisation(Wolfe et al., 1977). Stress hyperglycaemia is stimulated by increased sympathetic activity, combined with increase in glucagon and suppression of insulin levels (Allison et al., 1968, Ryan, 1976, Barton, 1985). The insulin level is considered to be low in this phase, relative to the degree of hyperglycaemia (Barton, 1985). Catecholamines also interfer with the normal
feedback control of insulin and glucagon secretion by circulating glucose levels and contribute to the development of stress hyperglycaemia (Halter et al., 1984). It has also been reported that raised cortisol in the postoperative period is a predictor of postoperative insulin resistance in non-diabetic patients after cardiac surgery (Lehrke et al., 2008).

*The ‘flow’ phase:* The ‘ebb’ phase is followed usually by a period of haemodynamic instability which can last about 3 days, and may require fluid resuscitation with colloids and inotropes. Subsequently, the patient progresses on to the more prolonged ‘flow’ phase which peaks around 3-5 days and abates by 7-10 days and merges into the anabolic or the recovery phase (Mizock, 2001). The flow phase is reported to be characterised by a high metabolic rate with an increased energy expenditure, breakdown of protein and fat, negative nitrogen balance, weight loss, and rising insulin levels (Frayn, 1986), and as a consequence there is increased glucose production (the important gluconeogenic precursors being alanine and glutamine) possibly due to the action of counter-regulatory hormones such as catecholamines, glucocorticoids and glucagon (Hill, 1988). It has been reported that nearly one-fifth of the body’s store of protein is lost over the first 3 weeks, most during the first 10 days, predominantly from the skeletal muscle (about 65%) which leads to problems with respiratory function and subsequent weaning from ventilators (Windsor and Hill, 1988a).

Another consequence of the hyper-metabolic phase is an increased turnover of free fatty acid and glycerol from adipose tissue probably due to increased catecholamine activity, and an increased lactate production from muscle glycogenolysis and metabolism in the hypoxic injured tissues (Wilmore et al., 1980, Frayn, 1985), that is subsequently utilized
by the liver for gluconeogenesis in the ‘Cori cycle’, for maintaining the energy supply in the traumatised patient (Shaw and Wolfe, 1989). The ultimate goal of this acute phase is to meet the metabolic needs of the elevated energy expenditure, increase availability of amino acids for gluconeogenesis, to promote wound healing, increase immune cell replication and acute phase proteins synthesis in the liver and to conserve fluid for the repair process.

Following acute stress conditions such as surgery, trauma, burns and sepsis, protein catabolism predominantly from the skeletal muscle, is accelerated and the protein synthetic response to feeding is impaired, resulting in reduced muscle mass and strength, impaired immune response, reduced gut function, increased clinical complications and mortality or prolonged convalescence (Christensen et al., 1982, Windsor and Hill, 1988b, Iapichino et al., 1981, Wolfe et al., 1983, Wolfe et al., 1989).

Many studies have shown that the post-traumatic state is accompanied by loss of body protein and negative nitrogen balance (Rennie et al., 1984, O’Keefe et al., 1974, Wernerman et al., 1986). A study in patients having open cholecystectomy in which protein synthesis was determined from the total concentration size and distribution of ribosomes, has demonstrated depressed muscle protein synthesis even after 30 days following surgery (Petersson et al., 1990). In patients having major surgical procedures and critically injured patients, loss of one-fifth of the body’s store of protein could occur in the first three weeks, with most loss in the first 10 days, mainly from skeletal muscle (Vary, 1998). This leads to prolonged convalescence, problems with respiratory function and weaning from ventilators, resulting in morbidity and mortality (Monk et al., 1996, Windsor and Hill, 1988a).
Thus, it is evident that the metabolic response is characterised by well-coordinated autonomic, hormonal, and metabolic responses that follow injury or trauma. This manifests as a syndrome consisting of hypermetabolism, a hyperdynamic cardiovascular state and clinical features of fever or hypothermia, tachycardia and leucocytosis. Importantly, depending on the intensity of stress and patient factors such as fluid status, infection etc., it is associated with increased oxygen consumption, hyperglycemia, hyperlactatemia and protein catabolism which can affect patient recovery (Cerra, 1987).

The main features of the ebb and flow phases are represented in the table 1.4.

Table 1.4: The ebb and flow phases of Cuthbertson (adapted from Hill et al, British Journal of Surgery, 1998; 85, 884-890)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ebb phase</th>
<th>Flow phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metabolism</strong></td>
<td>Hypometabolic</td>
<td>Hypermetabolic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oxygen consumption</strong></td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Core temperature</strong></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Raised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy expenditure</strong></td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiac output</strong></td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tissue perfusion</strong></td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extremities</strong></td>
<td>Cold and clammy</td>
<td>Warm and pink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nitrogen loss</strong></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Glucose production</strong></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood glucose</strong></td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lactate</strong></td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Free fatty acids</strong></td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Catecholamines and cortisol</strong></td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insulin</strong></td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Glucagon</strong></td>
<td>Raised</td>
<td>Raised or normal glucagon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cytokine production</strong></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insulin resistance</strong></td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Metabolic response to trauma

Figure 1.5: Metabolic response to trauma: reflex stimuli from site of surgical trauma, alerts the central nervous system, mainly the neurons of the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus, that stimulates the production of corticotropin-releasing hormone and activate the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA) and the brain stem to stimulate peripheral autonomic nervous system responses. This integrated ‘stress’ response, controls primary bodily functions such as arousal, cardiovascular tone, respiration, altered gastrointestinal function and intermediate metabolism.
1.4. Postoperative insulin resistance (POIR)

Postoperative insulin resistance is central to the metabolic response to surgery. The key feature of the ‘hypermetabolic’ stress response involves increased endogenous hepatic glucose production while insulin-stimulated peripheral glucose uptake is reduced (Baron et al., 1988). However, it is not fully understood how the metabolic signalling pathways that regulate whole body glucose and fat metabolism are perturbed as a result of surgical stress and contribute to the development of POIR.

Skeletal muscle plays an important role for the regulation of glucose metabolism. It contributes to more than 30% of resting metabolic rate and 80% of whole body glucose uptake (de Lange et al., 2007). Insulin is the most important hormone of metabolic regulation through its integrated action on carbohydrate, lipid and protein metabolism. It stimulates glucose uptake in insulin-sensitive tissues such as liver, muscle and adipose tissue and suppresses the endogenous glucose production in the liver. In the liver and muscle, insulin promotes glycogen synthesis by activating the enzyme glycogen synthase, increase synthesis and uptake of fatty acids (FA), by activation of acetyl-CoA carboxylase and inhibition of FA release by inactivation of hormone-sensitive lipase. Conversely, catecholamines, cortisol, glucagon and growth hormone, raise blood glucose by enhanced glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis.

Glucose is transported through cell membranes by facilitated carrier-mediated diffusion, by the glucose transporters (GLUT1, GLUT2 and GLUT4) that differentially regulate glucose uptake in various tissues (Shepherd and Kahn, 1999, Pessin and Bell, 1992). GLUT4 is the major isoform in insulin-sensitive tissues such as skeletal muscle, cardiac muscle and adipose tissue. Upon stimulation by insulin binding to its receptor, GLUT4 is
translocated to the cell-membrane to permit the movement of glucose down a concentration gradient across cell membranes, a rate-limiting step in glucose uptake in these insulin sensitive tissues (Pessin and Saltiel, 2000).

Plasma insulin levels vary rapidly in response to fluctuations in glucose levels. Under normal physiological conditions, the increased concentration of glucose in the portal blood stimulates insulin release from the β-cells of pancreatic islets and suppresses secretion of glucagon from the α-cells. Conversely, in the fasting or post-absorptive state, the stored metabolic reserves of glycogen, triacylglycerol and protein are released at times of increased metabolic demand, in response to the hormone, glucagon, resulting in increased liver glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis, in liver and kidney.

However, the effect of surgery on insulin levels, glucose uptake and oxidation shows mixed results. Many studies in patients undergoing surgery have highlighted important cellular events in carbohydrate metabolism that may lead to postoperative muscle insulin resistance and hyperglycaemia.

Thorell et al, measured insulin sensitivity in patients undergoing open cholecystectomy using a euglycaemic, hyperinsulinaemic clamp and reported that the postoperative insulin resistance was associated with a significantly higher plasma concentrations of glucose, noradrenaline and glucagon whilst the levels for insulin, growth hormone, cortisol and adrenaline were unaltered (Thorell et al., 1994). Other studies in patients undergoing major elective surgery reported that postoperative hyperglycaemia (Brandi et al., 1990, Crowe et al., 1984), was associated with increased insulin requirements to maintain euglycaemia (Brandi et al., 1990) and a raise in counter-regulatory hormones, whole body protein oxidation and energy expenditure (Brandi et al., 1990). Wright et al
also noted that glucose utilisation was significantly depressed during and after operation along with suppression of insulin secretion during operation together with increased plasma cortisol levels and an increased urinary catecholamine excretion (Wright et al., 1974).

Brandi et al., in their study of insulin resistance in patients undergoing major elective surgery, reported that patients were hyperglycaemic (7.3 ± 0.6 versus 4.2 ± 0.3 mmol/l glucose pre-surgery, mean ± SEM, P <0.01) with normal insulin concentrations (73 ± 15 versus 64 ± 18 pmol/l). Furthermore, eight times more insulin was needed than before surgery, (14.14 ± 1.15 versus 1.78 ± 0.29 pmol min-l kg-l, P less than 0.001) to maintain euglycaemia (Brandi et al., 1990). Another study by the same author, using a three-step iso-glycaemic insulin clamp combined with indirect calorimetry, [6-3H]glucose infusion and the forearm technique, also reported impaired whole-body glucose disposal by 33-60%, in patients 6-8 hours after undergoing major abdominal surgery whilst the hepatic glucose production at baseline was less suppressed by insulin (Brandi et al., 1993). Forearm glucose extraction was markedly depressed at all insulin levels and forearm lactate release was in excess of concurrent glucose uptake, suggesting ongoing glycogenolysis despite insulin. This was associated with increased cortisol, lactate and total lipolysis (plasma free fatty acid and glycerol levels).

Other studies investigating serum insulin changes in surgical patients, have reported lower insulin levels at the start of surgery compared with intraoperative and postoperative periods (Crowe et al., 1984, Nygren et al., 1998b, Aarimaa et al., 1974), and impaired insulin response both intra-operatively (Wright et al., 1974, Barton, 1985) and soon after surgery (Stenberg et al., 1984, Aarimaa et al., 1974).
Crowe et al studied the effect of glucose infusion commenced either the night before operation with that of glucose infusion commenced postoperatively in patients having abdominal surgery. The values of intra-operative plasma glucose concentrations were increased compared with the levels at the time of induction of anaesthesia while plasma insulin concentrations only showed a mild increase (insulin levels in mU/l: at induction of anaesthesia: 5±2; mean intraoperative: 7±3; on day-1 postop: 17±5; day-3 postop: 11±3).

Wright et al, also investigated the changes in glucose utilisation and insulin secretion during surgery in patients having inguinal herniorrphagy, vagotomy and pyloroplasty and aorto-femoral bypass (Wright et al., 1974) and reported that glucose utilisation was significantly depressed during and after operation, to a degree which increased with the severity of operation. Suppression of insulin secretion was observed during operation together with increased plasma cortisol levels and an increased urinary catecholamine excretion. Similarly, Stenberg et al, also found the increase of insulin in response to glucose was significantly (p<0.001) reduced 2 hours after laparotomy as compared to control subjects (Stenberg et al., 1984).

Using isotope labelling techniques, Shaw et al, demonstrated a decreased glucose oxidation in septic and trauma patients (Shaw and Wolfe, 1985, Shaw et al., 1985, Jahoor et al., 1986). They studied the metabolic response in severely-ill patients suffering from blunt trauma, and compared the data with normal controls. Whilst the glucose uptake was increased in patients (21 ± 2 mmol/kg/minute vs. 14 ± 1 mmol/kg/minute), the trauma patients had an impaired capacity to directly oxidize plasma glucose (23 ± 4% vs 36 ± 2%). However, a study in septic, non-diabetic patients which investigated the effect of two levels of insulinaemia (250 mIU/l in step 1 and 1250 mIU/l in step 2) in the
presence of a euglycaemic clamp on glucose metabolism, showed both glucose uptake and oxidation were not significantly different when compared with controls.

A study in septic rats also reported hyperlactatemia and reduced activity of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex which regulates an important rate-limiting step in carbohydrate oxidation (Vary, 1996).

A study investigating the effects of cardiac surgery on the development of POIR, in non-diabetic patients highlighted that postoperative serum cortisol level was a better predictor for inflammatory insulin resistance, followed by IL-6, leptin and adiponectin. The study reported a slow rise in serum cortisol, resistin and leptin which peaked at 22 hours after surgery (Lehrke et al., 2008). They also reported that the comparable pattern of regulatory proteins in chronic environment of obesity and in acute inflammation suggests similar causative mechanisms of insulin resistance.

Thus it is clear that the antagonistic actions of counter-regulatory hormones such as glucagon, cortisol and catecholamines impair the metabolic actions of insulin and may contribute to the acute insulin resistance in the immediate postoperative period (Krentz, 1996). Therefore, it is suggested from the aforementioned studies, that a failure of cellular glucose utilisation along with the changes in insulin response, is an important event in the metabolic response to injury that may lead to the stress induced hyperglycaemia, noticed in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery.

1.4.1 Role of cytokines

Surgery is associated with a pronounced inflammatory response with the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Cytokine-mediated inflammatory response could be one of the molecular triggers for the metabolic response to surgery. Studies show that the cytokines
produced locally at the site of surgical trauma act locally on the wound and also on distant organs such as the liver, gut and skeletal muscle. The cytokines stimulate important mediators such as platelet activating factor, oxygen free radicals, nitric oxide and arachidonic acid metabolites, which act both directly on the cells and indirectly by altering regional blood flow (Hebert et al., 1995, Bulkley, 1993). IL-6 mediated inflammatory response is thought to be responsible for the fever and metabolic changes in the acute phase of illness that has been consistently found in sepsis, trauma and postoperative states and a marked IL-6 response is found to correlate with the severity of illness. Furthermore, the effects of TNF on the hemodynamic changes and tissue injury in septic shock, and causing endothelial damage, inducing disseminated intravascular coagulation, multiple organ failure and death has also been reported (Martin et al., 1997, Tang, 1996, Bach et al., Svoboda et al., 1994, Tompkins, 1997, Bach et al., 1997). A study on the systemic cytokine response to trauma in patients having aortic surgery, reported an increase in IL-6 levels that peaked at 4-48 hours after surgery, was significantly higher and also preceded the clinical onset of major complications (Baigrie et al., 1992). It has also been shown that the metabolic pathways involved in insulin-mediated glycogen synthesis are more sensitive to the inhibitory effects of cytokines such as interleukin (IL-6) and tumour necrosis factor (TNF) and counter-regulatory hormones (Baron et al., 1988). A recent review identified the role of IL-6 as a ‘myokine’, produced in the skeletal muscle and responsible for the metabolic regulation and changes in insulin signalling (Pal et al., 2014). Cytokines along with counter-regulatory hormones such as catecholamines and glucocorticoids can induce insulin resistance by inhibition of insulin binding to insulin-receptor and subsequent GLUT4 translocation (Chiasson et al., 1981, Mizock,
2001). Furthermore, a study reported that non-insulin mediated glucose uptake in tissues such as lung, liver, spleen and wounds are also modulated by TNF and IL-1 by stimulation of GLUT1 synthesis, plasma membrane concentration and activity (Dimitriadis et al., 1997).

Cytokines are also reported to influence skeletal muscle catabolism by modulating protein synthesis and degradation and inhibit regulatory actions of anabolic hormones (Vary, 1998). TNF, when infused into animals induced a net catabolic state by mediating increased catabolism at the level of specific tissues, by activating the HPA axis and causing anorexia (Flores et al., 1989, Mealy et al., 1990). Flores et al estimated the rates of protein synthesis and degradation in muscle and liver tissues in intact rats treated with several doses of recombinant IL 1 and/or TNF. They reported that rats exposed systemically to sub-lethal doses of TNF responded with increasing muscle and decreasing liver proteolysis, similar to that observed in inflammation and in cancer.

Cytokines other than IL-6 and TNF-α, such as plasminogen activator inhibitor 1, angiotension and leptin are also secreted by adipose tissue, which may also contribute to insulin resistance. Whilst TNF-α, and IL-6 is reported to impair insulin signalling and increase lipolysis, angiotensinogen and leptin are associated with increased insulin resistance (Devaraj et al., 2004).

Thus, it is possible that the development of POIR in surgical patients could be partly attributed to the metabolic response to surgery. The surgical stress induced inflammatory response mediated by the interaction of pro-inflammatory cytokines and counter-regulatory hormones regulated by central nervous system, may interfere with
the normal carbohydrate metabolism especially in the liver and skeletal muscle that may lead to POIR and stress hyperglycaemia seen in patients having major abdominal surgery.

1.4.2 Role of preoperative fasting in surgical patients

Traditionally, patients having surgery fast between 12-18 hours whilst awaiting surgery which may contribute to insulin resistance (Svanfeldt et al., 2005). A period of brief fasting or hypo-caloric nutrition has been associated with reduction in insulin sensitivity (Nygren et al., 1997b, Nygren et al., 1997a, Svanfeldt et al., 2003).

In the post-absorptive or fasted state, it is reported that insulin-independent tissues such as brain and splanchnic organs account for the majority of whole-body glucose disposal (50% and 25% respectively), whilst the insulin dependent tissues such as skeletal muscle account for the reminder of glucose utilisation (25%). During fasting, plasma glucose concentration is about 5 mmol/l and insulin concentration is about 60 pmol/l. Liver releases glucose from its stored energy reserves by glycogenolysis (65-75%) and subsequently by gluconeogenesis (25-35%) to maintain whole body glucose homeostasis. Liver glycogen reserves are sufficient for only a period of 24 hours, gluconeogenesis proceeds at the expense of muscle protein. It has been reported that the initial period of starvation (2-3 days) is accompanied by mobilisation of amino acids from skeletal muscle to support the augmented rate of hepatic gluconeogenesis and that increased protein breakdown rather than depressed protein synthesis may contribute to gluconeogenesis (Magnusson et al., 1990). Therefore, if the patients do not return to enteral feeding after surgery, they enter the period of starvation where the process of gluconeogenesis is augmented, the important precursors being lactate, alanine and glycerol, which initiates
the catabolic process (Nygren, 2006). This metabolic adaptation also leads to increased circulating fatty acids (FFA) from lipolysis to be used as a metabolic fuel in muscle, secondary to the effects of increased adrenergic stimulation and decreased insulin levels. Increased oxidation of FFA and the production of ketone bodies, which is used as fuel in tissues such as brain, can minimise further protein breakdown (Nygren, 2006). Therefore, it is evident that fasting which contributes to the metabolic stress in patients having surgery, is strongly associated with the development of POIR. Avoidance of fasting or reduced fasting times before surgery, can therefore attenuate the development of POIR and improve glucose and protein metabolism. The role of surgical stress on the metabolic response and POIR is summarised below.

![Figure 1.6: Surgical stress and the metabolic response](image-url)
1.5 Molecular mechanisms

1.5.1 PI3K/Akt1/FOXO signalling pathway

Under normal conditions, stimulation with growth factors, such as insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), and hormones, such as insulin, leads to the activation of the phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase (PI3K)/Akt signalling cascade. Phosphorylation of Forkhead box O (FOXO) by Akt1 leads to their exclusion from the nucleus, and a reduction in the expression of key genes involved in the regulation of carbohydrate oxidation [pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 4 (PDK4)]. This results in increased pyruvate dehydrogenase complex activity. Activated PDC is important for the conversion of carbohydrate-derived pyruvate into acetyl-CoA which is the rate limiting step for carbohydrate oxidation.

Skeletal muscle plays an important role in the insulin-mediated glucose disposal in humans (DeFronzo et al., 1981). Insulin binding to its receptor stimulates activation of receptor tyrosine kinase, which phosphorylates multiple intracellular substrates including insulin receptor substrate (IRS1). IRS1 activation of the PI3 kinase is responsible for insulin signalling mediated glucose homeostasis. Insulin mediated glucose entry into skeletal muscle, is facilitated by PI3 kinase stimulated translocation of insulin-responsive glucose transporter (GLUT4) to the plasma membrane by phosphorylating the protein kinase B (Akt) (Chow et al., 2010). Therefore, any alteration in this important signalling mechanism may contribute to the development of POIR, seen in patients having major abdominal surgery.

The insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1)/phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase (PI3K)/Akt1 pathway, through inhibition of FOXO transcription factors play an important role in the
regulation of muscle carbohydrate metabolism and protein synthesis. In the following section, the components of this pathway and their potential role in the development of POIR will be discussed.

Forkhead Box O (FoxO) proteins belong to the mammalian forkhead transcription factors in the ‘O’ class, FoxO1, FoxO3, FoxO4 and FoxO6. They play a significant role during normal cellular function as well as during progressive disease and govern diverse functions of differentiation, proliferation, survival and longevity during multiple cellular environments that can involve oxidative stress. They are expressed in tissues of the reproductive system of males and females, skeletal muscle, the cardiovascular system, lung, liver, pancreas, spleen, thymus and the nervous system (Castrillon et al., 2003, Furuyama et al., 2000, Furuyama et al., 2002, Hoekman et al., 2006, Maiese et al., 2008, Modur et al., 2002). FoxOs are also expressed in skeletal muscle and their expression levels are changed in response to energy metabolism. Expression levels of FoxO1 and FoxO3a were increased in skeletal muscle by starvation and glucocorticoid treatment (Furuyama et al., 2003). Dexamethasone treatment in chronically instrumented, lipopolysaccharide LPS infused male Sprague-Dawley rats, inhibited the cytokine-mediated inhibition of Akt/FOXO signalling in the induction of muscle atrophy and impairment of muscle carbohydrate oxidation and also reduced the LPS-mediated increase in cathepsin-L mRNA expression and enzyme activity by 43%. Furthermore, dexamethasone-suppressed LPS-induced pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 4 (PDK4) mRNA upregulation by ~50% (P <0.01), and prevented LPS-mediated muscle glycogen breakdown and lactate accumulation (Crossland et al., 2010).
**Akt and regulation of FoxO transcription factors**

In regards to the inhibition of FoxO protein activity, the serine-threonine kinase protein kinase-b (Akt) is a primary mediator of phosphorylation of FoxO. FoxOs are mainly regulated via reversible changes in subcellular localization by Akt dependent phosphorylation. Several serine/threonine kinases, including the Akt family of protein kinases and the related SGK (serum and glucocorticoid inducible kinase) are activated by phosphoinositide kinase (PI3K) upon stimulation and activation by insulin or other growth factors via their binding to their tyrosine kinase receptors. Akt dependent phosphorylation of FOXO transcription factors at the N-terminal site, creates a binding motif for 14-3-3 proteins and also interferes with the DNA binding domain of FOXO that facilitates the translocation of FOXO from the nucleus into the cytoplasm (Van Der Heide et al., 2004). Akt activity and cytoplasmic localization are necessary for FoxO1 ubiquitination and subsequent proteosome-dependent degradation (Huang et al., 2005). The nuclear exclusion and cytoplasmic translocation of FoxO also inhibits transcription of the muscle specific ubiquitin ligases, MAFbx and MuRF1 that causes skeletal muscle atrophy.

The protein kinase Akt, is an upstream activator of protein synthesis and has been shown to have growth-promoting effects in muscle. Other factors that are downstream of Akt, such as mTOR and p70s6 kinase are regulated by Akt and are involved in muscle protein synthesis (Rommel et al., 2001). Decreased phosphorylation of both mTOR and p70S6 kinase is shown to be consistent with decreased translation rate and muscle unloading (Bodine et al., 2001b) and overexpression of Akt is associated with attenuation of denervation-induced atrophy in rodents by 70% (Hornberger et al., 2001).
Furthermore, it has been reported that Akt signalling also mediates other cellular functions such as modulation of glycolysis through the regulation of phosphofructokinase and regulation of protein synthesis such as activation of mTOR and p70skk and inhibition of glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK3). An interesting study in myotubes transfected with Akt, showed that Akt inhibited the Dexamethasone induced dephosphorylation of FOXOs and consequently blocked the induction of atrogin-1 (MAFbx), demonstrating that the activity of AKT 1 is sufficient to inactivate FOXOs and reduce atrogin-1 (MAFbx) expression (Nader, 2005).

Myostatin, a member of the Transforming Growth Factor-β family, has been associated with muscle wasting in conditions such as immobilization (Carlson et al., 1999), HIV infection (Gonzalez-Cadavid et al., 1998) and chronic muscle disuse (Reardon et al., 2001). Myostatin has also been shown to inhibit muscle carbohydrate oxidation (McPherron and Lee, 2002) and inhibits protein synthesis via the Akt/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR)/p70S6k signalling pathway (Trendelenburg et al., 2009). Myostatin overexpression in myoblasts induces muscle wasting by directly inhibiting phosphorylation of Akt, thereby increasing activity of FOXO transcription factors and its expression of downstream targets. FoxO1, in addition to activation of the ubiquitin ligases, could also enhance muscle atrophy through inhibition of myogenesis resulting from enhanced myostatin expression (Allen and Unterman, 2007).

Surgical patients are prone for oxidative stress resulting from free radical injury from ischemic tissues. Oxidative stress may result in the release of reactive oxygen species (ROS) is reported to be associated with mitochondrial DNA mutations in tissue injury and aging. Both, cellular survival and longevity are intimately dependent on exposure to...
oxidative stress and induction of apoptotic pathways (Chong et al., 2005, Yui and Matsuura, 2006). It has been reported that Akt can prevent cellular apoptosis and is usually cyto-protective, such as during free radical exposure (Chong et al., 2003, Matsuzaki et al., 1999), hyperglycaemia (Anitha et al., 2006), hypoxia and oxidative stress (Kang et al., 2003a, Kang et al., 2003b).

A critical component of muscle metabolism during fasting or other catabolic states is a switch from oxidation of carbohydrates as the major energy source to fatty acids. FoxO1 is involved in this adaptive response (Bastie et al., 2005). In calorie restriction (CR) or starvation, FoxOs are in the nucleus, and therefore active transcriptionally, and are associated with increased hepatic glucose production, decreased insulin secretion, and degradation of skeletal muscle for supplying substrates for glucose production.

FOXO transcription factors are also involved in the inhibition of muscle carbohydrate oxidation, via increased pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase-4 (PDK4) transcription (Furuyama et al., 2003) as a result of impaired stimulation of PI3K and Akt1 (Kim et al., 2006). PDK4 phosphorylates and inactivates the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDC), which promotes pyruvate entry into mitochondria and thereby regulates carbohydrate oxidation. Insulin and IGF-1 can suppress FoxO protein activity through activation of Akt (Guo et al., 1999, Nakae et al., 1999). In terms of protein synthesis, IGF-1 is also involved in myoblast differentiation through PI3K/Akt (Tureckova et al., 2001). Inactivation of IGF signaling by targeted mutagenesis of IGF1 receptor leads to muscle hypoplasia (Liu et al., 1993), whereas overexpression of IGF1 results in enlarged myofibers (Coleman et al., 1995). FOXO proteins can stimulate the insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-1 promoter by binding to the insulin-responsive sequence.
Studies on animal models and healthy volunteers have also shown a strong association of insulin signalling and the role of FOXO transcription factors, in causing insulin resistance and skeletal muscle wasting. Specifically, using an animal-endotoxaemia model, the study by Crossland et al, has shown that an inhibition of PI3K/Akt1 signaling, and activation of the Forkhead Box O (FOXO) family of transcription factors that lie downstream of PI3K/Akt1, occurs concomitantly with muscle atrophy and the impairment of muscle carbohydrate oxidation (Crossland et al., 2008). Importantly, these events were accompanied by marked upregulation of FOXO gene targets, i.e. muscle atrophy F-box (MAFbx), muscle RING finger 1 (MuRF1) and pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase-4 (PDK4), which strongly suggests a role for Akt/FOXO signaling in the simultaneous induction of muscle atrophy and impairment of muscle carbohydrate oxidation during endotoxaemia (fig 1.7A and 1.7 B).

In keeping with this premise, activation of FOXO, as a result of impaired Akt signaling, has been implicated in the induction of muscle atrophy, via upregulation of E3-ubiquitin ligases MAFbx and MuRF1 (Stitt et al., 2004), which are considered to be key regulators of muscle protein breakdown (Bodine et al., 2001a).

Impaired Akt/FOXO signaling has also been implicated in muscle insulin resistance, through FOXO-mediated up-regulation of PDK4 and reduction in the mitochondrial pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDC) activity which controls the rate of carbohydrate/pyruvate oxidation (Kim et al., 2006). An increase in muscle PDK4 expression down-regulates the activity of PDC, leading to an impairment of muscle carbohydrate oxidation (Crossland et al., 2008). Based on the above evidence, we contend that the systemic inflammatory response secondary to surgical trauma could be
responsible for the concomitant activation of muscle protein breakdown and induction of muscle insulin resistance.

**LPS study in rodents**

**Fig 1.7 A**: Stimulation with growth factors, such as insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), and hormones, such as insulin, leads to the activation of the phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase (PI3K)/Akt signalling cascade. Phosphorylation of Forkhead box O (FOXO) by Akt1 leads to their exclusion from the nucleus, and a reduction in the expression of key genes involved in the regulation of carbohydrate oxidation pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase-4 (PDK4). *(Figure adapted from Crossland et al, J Physiol 586.22 (2008) pp 5589–5600)*

**Fig 1.7 B**: LPS-induced endotoxaemia: Akt1 is in a predominantly dephosphorylated / inactive state, possibly due to elevated cytokine levels, such as tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α). This enables FOXO factors to up-regulate PDK4 causing inhibition of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDC). Inhibiting the activity of PDC by phosphorylation of the complex, prevents the conversion of carbohydrate-derived pyruvate into acetyl-CoA which is the rate limiting step for carbohydrate oxidation. *(Figure adapted from Crossland et al, J Physiol 586.22 (2008) pp 5589-5600).*
1.5.2 Role of Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Complex and Pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase in POIR

PDC is a multi-enzyme complex located on the inner mitochondrial membrane and controls the rate limiting step in carbohydrate oxidation, the conversion of pyruvate into mitochondrial acetyl-CoA by oxidative decarboxylation of pyruvate. PDC activation and acetyl-CoA availability limit oxygen dependent mitochondrial ATP formation. Therefore the impairment of PDC activation has an important role in muscle metabolism, as it represents the only entry point for carbohydrates, (derived from circulating glucose or intramuscular glycogen) into the mitochondria for complete oxidation (Pilegaard and Neufer, 2004). The activation status of the PDC is controlled by a covalent modification (via phosphorylation-dephosphorylation), involving competing pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase (PDK) and phosphatase (PDP) reactions. The resulting inter-conversion cycle determines the amount of PDC existing in non-phosphorylated (active) form, i.e. PDCa. Phosphorylation of specific serine residues within the enzyme pyruvate dehydrogenase, catalysed by PDK, renders it inactive, whereas dephosphorylation and activation of PDC is catalysed by pyruvate dehydrogenase phosphatase (Wieland, 1983). The PDK family comprises of four isoforms (PDK1-4), of which PDK2 and PDK4 mRNA are most abundant in human skeletal muscle (Bowker-Kinley et al., 1998, Spriet et al., 2004).

It is reported that an increase in free fatty acids (FFA) during energy deficit may be an important regulator of PDK4 expression in resting skeletal muscle (Holness et al., 2003), which explains up-regulation of PDK4 mRNA in response to prolonged fasting (Wolfe et al., 1987, Dobbins et al., 1998), obesity (Wolfe and Peters, 1987), and in pathologies such as insulin resistance (Baldeweg et al., 2000) and type-2 diabetes (Reaven et al., 1988).
study investigating the dose-response relationship between elevated FFA levels and impaired insulin-mediated glucose disposal in normal glucose-tolerant subjects showed inhibition of insulin stimulated glucose uptake inversely correlates with the increased FFA levels produced by the lipid infusion (Belfort et al., 2005). This study also showed that an increase of plasma FFA levels disrupts insulin signalling by inhibiting insulin receptor tyrosine phosphorylation, insulin receptor substrate-1 (IRS-1) tyrosine phosphorylation, PI3-kinase activity and AKT serine phosphorylation (Belfort et al., 2005).

Fasting, in addition to exercise, increases the expression of lipoprotein lipase, the enzyme that hydrolyses plasma triglycerides into fatty acids and glycerol for uptake by the muscle cell (Goldberg, 1996, Greiwe et al., 2000, Rauramaa et al., 1980). FoxO1 levels under numerous conditions parallel lipoprotein lipase expression, and overexpression of FoxO1 in C1C2 myocytes increases lipoprotein lipase (Kamei et al., 2003). Chronic exposure to elevated levels of free fatty acids can increase reactive oxygen species production in cells and can lead to mitochondrial DNA damage and impaired pancreatic β-cell function (Rachek et al., 2006). Smaller skeletal muscle mitochondria and a decrease in the levels of mitochondrial proteins and mitochondrial DNA in adipocytes have been associated with the development of insulin resistance. Furthermore, Insulin resistance has also been associated with elevation in fat accumulation and altered mitochondrial oxidative and phosphorylation activity in the elderly (Choo et al., 2006, Kelley et al., 2002, Petersen et al., 2003, Pospisilik et al., 2007). However, the mechanisms by which FFAs up-regulate PDK4 expression and inhibit PDC-controlled CHO oxidation in surgical patients, has not been investigated previously. Thus it would be interesting to study the link between an
overnight fast on circulating FFA in surgical patients and whether it is associated with the development of muscle insulin resistance.

1.5.3 Role of Mitochondria

Mitochondria play a key role in cell-survival, generates the majority of cell’s supply of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) synthesis through aerobic respiration and oxidation of substrates such as glucose, pyruvate and NADH. Studies report changes in markers of mitochondrial metabolism associated with depressed oxidative function and impaired switching to carbohydrate substrate from fasted to fed state in insulin resistant states. Mitochondria convert the products of carbohydrate, protein and fat metabolism to CO$_2$ and water using the enzymes of the electron transport chain namely, NADH dehydrogenase (Complex I), succinate dehydrogenase (Complex II), cytochrome C (Complex III) and cytochrome c oxidase (Complex IV). Electrons from NADH + H$^+$ and FADH$_2$, are transported from matrix to the Complexes I and II of the electron transport chain (ETC), creating an electro-chemical gradient and in the process, synthesize ATP within the mitochondrial matrix. The important contributor to the ETC, is the oxidation of acetyl-CoA in the tricyclic acid cycle (Johannsen and Ravussin, 2009).

Therefore, it would be interesting to study if surgical stress induces changes in mitochondrial function and contribute to decreased energy production in the form of ATP.
1.6 Hypothesis

Stress induced hyperglycaemia is common in patients having major surgery and the development of POIR and hyperglycaemia has been associated with poor postoperative outcomes. Minimising the effects of insulin resistance and managing perioperative changes in metabolism has been shown to be improve outcomes in surgical care. In this context, ERAS principles may help to attenuate the undesirable consequences of the metabolic response to critical illness and enhance anabolic activity during recovery. Evidence from studies using the ERAS pathway report that perioperative interventions such as reduced fasting times, preoperative carbohydrate treatment and early enteral nutrition are associated with improved postoperative outcomes. However, the mechanisms that link insulin resistance to surgical stress remain to be determined and how these perioperative interventions attenuate the effect of surgical stress remains to be largely explored.

Previous studies in surgical patients looking at the gene expression changes in skeletal muscle during surgery suggested a possible role for inflammation in the development of insulin resistance (Witasp et al., 2009b). Studies have reported increases in plasma concentrations of stress hormones after surgery (Thorell et al., 1993, Thorell et al., 1994), and plasma concentrations of pro-inflammatory cytokines have been shown to positively correlate with the degree of insulin resistance after surgery (Baigrie et al., 1992, Shenkin et al., 1989). These studies suggest the possible role of inflammation and surgical stress in the development of POIR.
A recent randomised study on the molecular mechanisms underlying the protective effects of preoperative feeding using an oral nutrition supplement (ONS) containing carbohydrates, glutamine and antioxidants has shown a significant decrease in muscle PDK4 mRNA, and PDK4 protein expression in the ONS group (Awad et al., 2010). Whilst these perioperative interventions to improve muscle insulin sensitivity in patients having major abdominal surgery seem promising, they require further evaluation of the molecular mechanisms involved, to confirm their efficacy for perioperative risk reduction and to optimise treatment of patients having surgery.

Figure.1.7 showing the potential role of surgical stress induced changes in Akt/FOXO/PDK4 signalling in causing postoperative muscle insulin resistance.
One possible hypothesis for the development of POIR and hence the theme of this present research is as follows:

Inflammatory states such as those induced by surgical stress following major abdominal surgery result in systemic release of cytokines and bacterial products, possibly secondary to bacterial translocation. Therefore it is possible that the changes in the systemic inflammatory response over time, occurring as a result of inflammation and/or infection caused by surgical stress, may trigger changes in insulin signalling and important downstream targets such as PDK4 and PDC activity resulting in the development of skeletal muscle insulin resistance (fig. 1.7)

The reduction in Akt1 activity may lead to the activation of FOXO family of transcription factors leading to an increased transcription of MAFbx, MuRF and PDK-4 causing muscle protein breakdown and decreased carbohydrate oxidation (through PDC inhibition), respectively. The effect of surgical stress on Akt/ Foxo /PDK4 mediated protein degradation and insulin resistance has not been studied in human skeletal muscle previously. Therefore, the aims of this thesis were to test the aforementioned hypothesis by examining the components of these signalling pathways, in the blood and muscle biopsy specimens in patients undergoing major elective open abdominal surgery.
1.7 Aims

The aims of this thesis were three-fold,

(1) To examine the evidence behind the principles of ERAS pathway and the effect of preoperative carbohydrate drinks on postoperative outcomes, in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery using a systematic review and meta-analytical approach.

(2) To study the molecular basis of the metabolic response to surgery underlying the development of postoperative muscle insulin resistance with particular emphasis on changes in insulin signalling and mitochondrial function. Specifically, to investigate whether alterations in the insulin mediated, Akt/FOXO and PDK4 signaling pathway underlies the development of insulin resistance following surgical stress and whether these changes in the signaling mechanisms are associated with increased inflammatory gene expression in skeletal muscle and

(3) To study the effect of interventional strategies such as preoperative carbohydrate drinks and dichloroacetate in attenuating the development of POIR and the resultant changes in the underlying molecular mechanisms, in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery.
Chapter 2

Analytical Methods
2.1 Human Volunteers
Patients who were having major abdominal surgery were contacted and given detailed information about the studies. Those who expressed interest in the study were invited for a screening visit after an overnight fast (from 22.00 on the night prior to experimental day). On arrival, patients completed a general health questionnaire and when considered to satisfy the eligibility criteria, a written informed consent was obtained for the patients to be included in the studies. Data were collected for demographics, height, weight, blood pressure, nutrition status, clinical diagnosis and surgical procedure planned, following which serial blood samples were taken and an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was performed during the screening visit. The OGTT was repeated again on the second day after surgery, to assess postoperative insulin resistance. The studies were approved by the Cambridgeshire Medical Research Committee and NHS Ethics Committee and performed at Nottingham University Hospitals Queen’s Medical Centre.

2.2 Blood sampling and analysis
Patients were asked to rest in a semi-reclined position on a bed while the hand was placed into a heated box for 15 minutes at approximately 55°C, for collection of arterialised blood samples (Gallen and Macdonald, 1990), from either the antecubital or cephalic veins using a retrograde cannula (21 g Venflon) and subsequently transferred into EDTA tubes, and immediately placed on ice. The line was kept patent using a slow 0.9% saline infusion, for the duration of the study. On the day of surgery, the samples were collected about 30 minutes preoperatively and also immediately postoperatively after skin closure. Blood samples were also obtained from the patients on the morning of the second day after surgery, following an overnight fast. The serum was separated out.
in a cold centrifuge at 3,000 rpm for 10 minutes and immediately frozen at −80°C until further analysis.

2.2.1 Blood glucose

Blood glucose was collected from patients who participated in the studies (chapters 5 and 6), and immediately introduced into an automated analyser, to measure the concentration of whole blood glucose.

2.2.2 Plasma Insulin

Plasma insulin levels were measured using an ELISA kit from Mercodia (Uppsala, Sweden). The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) assay principle is detailed below (fig 2.1).

Figure 2.1

A microplate pre-coated with capture antibody is provided. Samples or standards are added and any analyte present is bound by the immobilised antibody. Unbound materials are washed away. A second HRP-labeled antibody (detection antibody) is added and binds to the captured analyte. Unbound detection antibody is washed away.
Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate solution is added to the wells and a blue colour develops in proportion to the amount of analyte present in the sample. Colour development is stopped turning the colour in the wells to yellow. The absorbance of the colour at 450 nm is measured.

**Principle of the procedure**

Mercodia Insulin ELISA is a solid phase two-site enzyme immunoassay. It is based on the direct sandwich technique in which two monoclonal antibodies are directed against separate antigenic determinants on the insulin molecule. During incubation insulin in the sample reacts with peroxidase-conjugated anti-insulin antibodies and anti-insulin antibodies bound to microplate wells. A simple washing step removes unbound enzyme labelled antibody. The bound conjugate is detected by reaction with 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB). The reaction is stopped by adding acid to give a colorimetric endpoint that is read spectrophotometrically at 450 nm.
2.2.3 Plasma Free Fatty Acid

Extraction methods are widely used for the colorimetric determination of non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) in serum. NEFA are converted to their copper salts that are extracted into an organic solvent. The salts are then complexed with a dye for purposes of colorimetric measurement. Alternatively, extracted NEFA are titrated with standard alkali to an acid base indicator endpoint. These approaches are time consuming, hazardous and not easily automated. Wako has made extensive studies of NEFA quantitation and has succeeded in developing an original enzymatic method which is available in a series of individual reagents. This enzymatic method is accurate, precise, simple and fast. The need for an extraction step has been eliminated and the method can be automated.

The Wako enzymatic method (Wako HR series NEFA-HR2; in vitro enzymatic calorimetric assay, Wako Diagnostics, USA), relies upon the acylation of coenzyme A (CoA) by the fatty acids in the presence of added acyl-CoA synthetase (ACS). The acyl-CoA thus produced is oxidized by added acyl-CoA oxidase (ACOD) with generation of hydrogen peroxide, in the presence of peroxidase (POD) permits the oxidative condensation of 3-methy-N-ethyl-N(β-hydroxyethyl)-aniline (MEFA) with 4-aminoantipyrine to form a purple coloured adduct which can be measured colorimetrically at 550 nm.
2.2.4 Plasma Cortisol
Cortisol, also known as hydrocortisone, is the primary glucocorticoid produced and secreted by the adrenal cortex. It is found either free or bound to corticosteroid-binding globulin (CBG) in the blood. Cortisol is involved in glucose homeostasis, inflammation, hypersensitivity, immunosuppression, and disease resistance.

The steps for plasma cortisol assay are as follows:

- Prepare all reagents, standard dilutions, and samples as directed in the product insert.
- Remove excess microplate strips from the plate frame, return them to the foil pouch containing the desiccant pack, and reseal.

**Calibrator Diluent**

- Add 150 µL of Calibrator Diluent to the non-specific binding (NSB) wells.
- Add 100 µL of Calibrator Diluent to the zero standard ($B_0$) wells.

**100 µL Standard, Control, or Sample**

- Add 100 µL of Standard, control, or sample to the appropriate wells.

**50 µL Conjugate**

- Add 50 µL of Conjugate to all wells.
50 µL Primary Antibody Solution

Add 50 µL of Primary Antibody Solution to each well (except the NSB wells). Cover with a plate sealer, and incubate at room temperature for 2 hours on a horizontal orbital microplate shaker.

- Aspirate each well and wash, repeating the process 3 times for a total of 4 washes.

200 µL Substrate Solution

- Add 200 µL Substrate Solution to each well. Incubate at room temperature for 30 minutes on the benchtop. PROTECT FROM LIGHT.

50 µL Stop Solution

- Add 50 µL of Stop Solution to each well.

Read at 450 nm within 30 minutes. Set wavelength correction to 540 nm or 570 nm.
2.2.5 Plasma cytokines

Plasma TNF-α, and IL-6 were measured using ELISA Quantikine Human kits from R & D Systems (Minneapolis, USA). The Quantikine Human TNF-alpha Immunoassay is a 3.5 or 4.5 hour solid phase ELISA designed to measure human TNF-α in cell culture supernates, serum, and plasma. It contains E. coli-derived recombinant human TNF-alpha and antibodies raised against this protein. It has been shown to accurately quantitate the recombinant factor. Results obtained with naturally occurring TNF-α samples showed linear curves that were parallel to the standard curves obtained using the Quantikine kit standards. These results indicate that this kit can be used to determine relative mass values for natural human TNF-α.

The Quantikine Human IL-6 Immunoassay is a 4.5 hour solid phase ELISA designed to measure IL-6 in cell culture supernates, serum, and plasma. It contains recombinant human IL-6 and antibodies raised against recombinant human IL-6 and has been shown to accurately quantitate the recombinant factor. Results obtained using natural IL-6 showed linear curves that were parallel to the standard curves obtained using the E. coli-expressed Quantikine kit standards. These results indicate that this kit can be used to determine relative mass values for natural human IL-6.

2.3 $^{51}$Cr-EDTA gut permeability test

For the study described in chapter 5, a $^{51}$Cr-EDTA gut permeability test using an oral preparation containing 1.8 MBq of 51-chromium labelled ethylene-diamine-tetra-acetate ($^{51}$Cr-EDTA), (Department of Medical Physics, University of Nottingham, U.K.) in 100 mL of water was performed during the screening visit and on the 2$^{nd}$ postoperative day. 24 hour urine sample was collected and a small sample of urine was counted for
radioactivity by a γ-scintillation counter in triplicate (IGE Millennium VG camera with Hawkeye CT and Wallac Wizard 3 automatic gamma counter). Results were expressed as the percentage urinary excretion of the orally administered dose of $\text{^{51}}\text{Cr-EDTA}$.

2.4 Muscle Sampling

Muscle biopsies of approximately 100 – 200 mg, were taken from rectus abdominis and vastus lateralis muscles at the beginning and at the end of surgery, using a conchotome, whilst the patients were under the effect of general anaesthesia. Another biopsy from vastus lateralis was obtained on the second day after surgery in chapter 5, using 1% lignocaine local anaesthesia. The samples obtained were dissected free of connective tissue and fat and were immediately snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen for future analysis. The specimens obtained at the end of surgery were taken from the contralateral side to avoid false measurements due to local tissue injury.

2.5 Muscle mRNA and protein analysis

2.5.1 Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

Overview of quantitative real-time PCR

The technique of real-time PCR is a widely-used method for rapidly and accurately quantifying selected mRNA transcripts in a sample, and was first developed in the early 1990s by Higuchi et al. (Higuchi et al., 1993). It is used to detect the products of PCR as they accumulate after each thermal cycle, without interfering with the reaction itself. Reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) enables the reverse transcription of a desired RNA template into single-stranded cDNA, followed by its exponential amplification. Two synthetic DNA oligonucleotides, designed to be complementary in sequence to opposite
ends of the amplicon of interest, are annealed to the DNA template, after first heating the reaction mixture to allow strand separation. In the presence of the four deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates, DNA polymerase synthesises new DNA from the two primers in a 5’ to 3’ direction (Figure 2.2). This process is repeated, resulting in the exponential amplification of the amplicon product.

**Figure 2.2:** A diagrammatic representation of the steps of the PCR reaction and the role of TaqMan probes in real time PCR.

In real-time PCR, using a fluorogenic-labelled DNA oligonucleotide probe, the reaction can be monitored over time. TaqMan probes are short oligonucleotides that are constructed with a fluorescent reporter dye and a corresponding quencher dye at each end and designed to hybridise to the target strand within the boundaries of the amplicon. When the probe is intact, the proximity of the quencher greatly reduces the fluorescence emitted by the reporter dye, by a process known as Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET). During the PCR, the DNA polymerase extends the DNA strand from the annealed primers until it encounters the TaqMan probe downstream of
the primer. The 5’ exonuclease activity of DNA polymerase is used to cleave the TaqMan probe to remove the nucleotides and proceed with polymerisation. This process physically separates the reporter dye from the quencher dye, thus increasing reporter dye signal. Additional reporter dye molecules are cleaved from their probes with each PCR cycle, resulting in an increase in fluorescence intensity, which can be detected spectrophotometrically.

By measuring the increase in fluorescence emission after each thermal cycle, the kinetics of the PCR reaction can be monitored in real time. Generally, there are three main phases during amplification: the exponential, linear and plateau phase (Figure 2.3).

**Figure 2.3:** Example of a real-time PCR plot showing the three main phases of the reaction; the exponential, linear and plateau phase. A threshold of fluorescence is set in the exponential phase, and the cycle number at which reporter emission of the sample reaches this threshold is reported as the cycle threshold (Ct) value.

Initially, fluorescence levels are lower than can be detected, but increase with each cycle number, proportional to the amount of amplicon produced. It is during the exponential phase (when amplicon number is doubling every cycle (assuming 100% efficiency) that samples can be analysed, where the fluorescence detected is directly proportional to the quantity of cDNA in the sample. A threshold value of fluorescence is set in the
exponential phase of the reaction, and the cycle number at which this is reached is reported as the cycle threshold (Ct) value. Thus, the higher the quantity of cDNA in the sample at the beginning of the reaction, the sooner the threshold value of fluorescence will be reached.

Relative quantification of mRNA from the Ct data is performed using the standard curve or the comparative Ct method (see User Bulletin No. 2 for ABI Prism 7700 Sequence Detection System (P/N 4303859); Applied Biosystems). The standard curve method involves analysis of a serially diluted standard on each plate examined. Samples are expressed as a fold change relative to the undiluted standard (unless the standard concentration is known, in which case absolute quantification of mRNA is possible). This method requires less optimisation than the Ct method, but relies on accurate pipetting of the standard and for absolute quantification, accurate determination of the standard concentration. The comparative Ct method relies upon an endogenous reference to quantify RNA rather than a standard curve. Relative quantification is based on changes in steady-state mRNA levels of the target gene, relative to levels of an endogenous control (a housekeeping gene). Relative expression of target mRNA between two groups can be determined by the formula:

Relative amount of target mRNA = $2^{\Delta\Delta Ct}$

Where: $\Delta\Delta Ct = \Delta Ct_{\text{treatment group}} - \Delta Ct_{\text{control group}}$

and: $\Delta Ct = Ct_{\text{target gene}} - Ct_{\text{endogenous reference}}$

i.e. the normalisation of $\Delta Ct$ of the treatment group to the mean $\Delta Ct$ of the control group, with $\Delta Ct$ being the difference between the threshold cycle of the gene of interest and the endogenous control. The advantages of the comparative Ct method are that a
standard curve is not necessary for each plate, and sample-to-sample variations in RT-PCR efficiency and pipetting errors are corrected by use of the endogenous reference. However, for the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ formula to be valid, the amplification efficiency of the target and endogenous reference has to be approximately equal. The chosen housekeeping gene chosen (HMBS) is crucial to the reliability of the results. Appropriate housekeeping genes chosen for use as an endogenous reference are generally those that are required for the maintenance of the cell, are ubiquitously expressed, and are transcribed at a relatively constant level. Importantly, their expression levels must not be affected by experimental conditions. Common housekeeping genes include 18S RNA, β-actin, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and albumin. In these studies, the housekeeping gene hydroxymethylbilane synthase (HMBS), also known as porphobilinogen deaminase (PBGD) was used as an endogenous reference for its Ct consistency through all the experiments. HMBS exists in two isoforms (Chretien et al., 1988); one is expressed in erythrocytes where it is involved in haem biosynthesis, and the other is expressed ubiquitously, and has been suggested to be a reliable endogenous reference for use in real-time PCR (Fink et al., 1999).

2.5.2 Muscle total RNA isolation

Total RNA was extracted from frozen wet muscle samples (20-30 mg) by homogenisation using a polytron homogeniser for 30 s, in 800 μl ice-cold Tri Reagent® (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK) containing 10 μg/μl glycogen solution (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK). The homogenate was incubated at room temperature for 5 min then treated with a 160 μl mixture of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol in a ratio of 49:1. Samples were shaken vigorously
for 30 s. Following incubation at room temperature for 2-3 min, the homogenate was centrifuged at 12,000 g for 15 min at 4°C. The aqueous phase, containing RNA separated from contaminating protein, was collected, and RNA was precipitated using ice-cold isopropanol (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK) at 1:1 water phase:alcohol. Samples were kept at -20°C overnight to increase precipitation yield and subsequently pelleted by centrifugation (12,000 g, 15 min). The RNA-containing pellets were left to dry at room temperature for 5 min and washed in 800 μl of 75% ethanol, before being respun at 10,000 g for 10 min. The pellets were air-dried to remove ethanol and resuspended in 35 μl of RNase-free water. Total RNA was quantified through spectrophotometric measurement at 260 nm and 280 nm, and RNA quality determined by the 260:280 nm ratio.

2.5.3 cDNA synthesis (reverse transcription)

Extracted total RNA samples were diluted with RNase-free water to 0.1 μg/μl and reverse transcription was carried out using 1 μg RNA. Total RNA was first incubated at 70°C for 5 min on a thermocycler (Eppendorf) in the presence of 1 μl random primers (500 μg/ml; Promega, Madison, USA), to denature secondary structures and allow primers to anneal. Reverse transcription was performed using 1 μl Maloney murine leukaemia virus (MMLV) reverse transcriptase (200 U/μl), 5 μl of MMLV reverse transcriptase buffer, 0.5 μl of RNase inhibitor (40 U/μl) and 1.25 μl of a pooled nucleotide mix, containing dATP, dGTP, dCTP and dUTP at a concentration of 10 mM each (all from Promega). Samples were made up to 30 μl using RNase-free water, and incubated at room temperature for 10 min, followed by 1 hr at 42°C for the reverse transcription reaction to occur, and finally
15 min at 70°C to inactivate the reverse transcriptase enzyme. Newly synthesised cDNA samples were subsequently diluted 4-fold with RNase-free water and stored at -80°C.

2.5.4 Real-time Quantitative PCR

The housekeeping gene PBGD (Porphobilinogen deaminase) is amplified for normalization of the data.

A PCR reaction mixture was set up in a 96-well PCR plate, with 2 µl cDNA template, in a total reaction volume of 25 µl, consisting 1.25 µl primer/probe mix, 12.5 µl PCR Master Mix (Eurogentec, Qiagen) and 8.75 µl RNase-free water. Reactions for all samples as well as a non-template control and ‘RT-minus’ was performed in duplicate on the ABI Prism 7000 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). Parameters for PCR includes 1 cycle of 50°C for 2 min, 1 cycle of 95°C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. For FOXO1, a slightly different template was used for Taqman: 1 cycle of 50°C for 2 min, 1 cycle of 95°C for 15 min then 45 cycles of 76°C for 30 s, 94°C for 15 s and 56°C for 30 s.

RT-PCR involves reverse transcription of an RNA template into single-stranded cDNA, followed by its exponential amplification in a PCR reaction. Reverse transcription followed by PCR is an effective method of detecting and quantifying mRNA in a sample. Sensitivity, reproducibility and specificity are key factors for successful RT-PCR in the analysis of gene expression. Relative quantification is based on changes in steady-state mRNA levels of the target gene, relative to levels of an endogenous control (a housekeeping gene). An ‘RT-minus’ control is included in the PCR reaction, to confirm that no contaminating DNA is present.
During PCR, fluorescence is detected for each temperature cycle, which at the start is lower than can be detected, and increases with each cycle number to eventually cross a threshold fluorescence value. The cycle number where this occurs is defined as Ct, and this always takes place during the exponential phase of amplification. The number of copies of amplicon should double with every cycle, and the fluorescence detected is directly proportional to the quantity of cDNA in the sample. The higher amount of cDNA in the sample at the beginning of the reaction, the fewer number of cycles it takes to reach the threshold fluorescence value, therefore the lower the Ct value. The amount of amplified target is directly proportional to the amount of starting material only during the exponential phase of the reaction. Relative expression between two groups can be determined by: \( 2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}; \) where \( \Delta\Delta Ct = (Ct_{target} - Ct_{PBGD})_{LPS} - (Ct_{target} - Ct_{PBGD})_{Control} \) and is the normalization of \( \Delta Ct \) of the sample to the average \( \Delta Ct \) of the control group, with \( \Delta Ct \) being the difference between the average threshold cycle of the endogenous control and gene of interest.

The \( \Delta\Delta Ct \) method was used to determine the relative quantification of gene expression in the studies. Ct values for sample duplicates were averaged and the \( \Delta Ct \) determined by subtraction of the average Ct value for the housekeeping gene, PBGD. This was done for treated and control samples. \( \Delta\Delta Ct \) was calculated using 2 to the power of the difference in \( \Delta Ct \) between samples, or the \( \Delta Ct \) of treated samples minus the mean \( \Delta Ct \) of controls, and fold changes in mRNA expression for each gene from samples obtained before and after surgery was calculated using the expression \( 2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct} \).
2.5.5 Taqman analysis

Taqman primer and probe (FAM) sets are obtained from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, California, USA). Primer/probe sequences are not available due to protection of intellectual property rights, but are designed to span across exon/exon boundaries, thus ensuring the exclusion of genomic DNA as a template in the PCR reaction. Previous work (Constantin et al., 2010) validated the primer and probe sets, which is achieved by performing RT-PCR with a series of 2-fold cDNA template dilutions to generate standard curves of Ct against log relative concentration. Given that all primer/probe sets amplified cDNA with equal efficiency (data not shown), the comparative Ct method is used for the relative quantification of gene expression. Specificity was assured by using TaqMan probes that spanned only over exon-exon boundary within each unique transcript. A minus RT reaction was amplified regularly along with the transcripts of interest to identify the presence of genomic DNA, if any. The size of amplicon generated was determined by electrophoresing the PCR products on 3% agarose and comparing it to the theoretical size. Sensitivity of the gene expression assays were determined by serial dilation curves of a known amount of cDNA. As a thumb rule 5 ng of cDNA could elicit reliable amplification curves (Ct around 35) of low expressed transcripts.

Reactions for all samples as well as a non-template control and ‘RT-minus’ are performed in duplicate on the ABI Prism 7700 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). A PCR reaction mixture is set up in a 96-well reaction plate, with 2 μl of cDNA template in each well, in a total reaction volume of 25 μl, consisting 1.25 μl of primer/probe mix, 12.5 μl of PCR Universal Master Mix (Eurogentec) and 8.75 μl of RNase-free water. Parameters for the PCR reaction include 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 95°
C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. The Ct values for each duplicate are averaged and the ΔCt calculated by the subtraction of the corresponding mean Ct value for the normalization gene HMBS. Data from all treatment groups are normalised to the average of the saline control group.

2.6 Western blotting

2.6.1 Overview of Western blotting protocol
Western blotting is a routine technique used for the analysis of protein expression and phosphorylation status that was first introduced by Towbin et al. in 1979 (Towbin et al., 1979). The technique involves the use of specific antibodies to identify proteins that have been separated according to their size by gel electrophoresis. After separation, proteins are transferred to a membrane, which replicates the pattern of proteins on the gel, allowing their detection on the membrane surface. It is the specificity of the interactions between antibody and antigen that enables the target protein to be detected within the sample.

Sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) is a standard method used to separate proteins according to their molecular weight. Following extraction from tissues, protein samples are diluted in a buffer containing SDS, an anionic detergent used to denature and solubilise proteins. The function of SDS is to bind to the polypeptide backbones so that they become negatively charged. SDS binds specifically in a mass ratio of 1.4:1; therefore the negative charge on the polypeptide will be roughly proportional to its length, ensuring that migration speed through the gel is determined by the molecular weight of the protein. Samples may also be treated with DTT to reduce disulphide bridges. Polyacrylamide gels are a neutrally charged, hydrophilic network of
long hydrocarbons cross-linked by methylene groups. The size of pore created in the gel can be controlled by the total amount of acrylamide and cross-linker present, which ultimately determines the separation of molecules within the gel. Proteins are individually separated by passing a current through the separating gel in a chamber containing an SDS-containing migration buffer.

Following electrophoresis, the negatively-charged proteins in the gel are transferred, by application of an electrical current, onto a membrane, which provides a sturdy support for protein detection. The most commonly used membranes are polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) and nitrocellulose. Membranes are placed next to the gel, sandwiched between absorbent materials and clamped between solid supports to maintain tight contact between the gel and membrane. Following transfer, membranes are blocked with either non-fat milk or BSA to prevent any non-specific background binding of antibodies to the membrane surface. The steps following blocking include incubation with a primary antibody specific for the protein of interest, washing of the membrane and incubation with a secondary antibody. Secondary antibodies are typically conjugated to enzymes that allow for signal amplification and visualisation of the protein bands. Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) is often used, which can be reacted with a chemiluminescent substrate, producing light as a byproduct. The signal intensity should correlate with the abundance of the protein on the membrane.

2.6.2 Extraction of muscle proteins

Cytosolic and nuclear proteins were extracted using a modification of the method by Blough et al. (1999). Approximately 30 mg of frozen wet tissue was homogenised for 30 s in 20 volumes of homogenisation buffer containing: 50 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM
EGTA, 1% (v/v) IGEPAL and 0.1% (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol (pH 7.5). To every 1 ml of homogenisation buffer, 10 μl of a protease inhibitor and a phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK) was added. Muscle lysates were left on ice for 15 min before being centrifuged (10,000 g, 5 min at 4°C). The supernatant, containing cytosolic proteins, is stored at -80°C. The remaining pellet was resuspended in 500 μl of an ice-cold buffer containing 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 25% (v/v) glycerol, 500 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂ and 0.2 mM EDTA, pH 8.0. To this, further protease and phosphatase inhibitors are added (10 μl/ml), and samples were incubated on ice for 30 min, mixing intermittently. Samples were spun down (3,000 g, 5 min) and the supernatant, containing nuclear proteins, was stored at -80°C. Extracted proteins were quantified using the Bradford assay (Bio-Rad, UK) and diluted to a concentration of 50 μg/30 μl with 7.5 μl of 4x NuPAGE® LDS sample buffer (Invitrogen, UK) and 3 μl of fresh DTT (77 mg/ml). Prepared samples were heated at 70°C for 10 min before loading.

2.6.3 SDS-PAGE, transfer and Western blotting

Twenty-five μg of protein was loaded onto a NuPAGE® 4-12% Bis-Tris gel (Invitrogen, UK) and separated by molecular weight, at a constant voltage of 200 V for 1 h, using 1x Tris-Glycine SDS running buffer (Invitrogen, UK). A pre-stained protein marker (Bio-Rad, UK) was loaded onto each gel to indicate approximate band size. Following electrophoresis, proteins were transferred overnight at 4°C to a PVDF membrane, sandwiched within a cassette consisting of two sheets of blotting paper and one sponge on either side of the PVDF and gel (with care taken not to introduce air bubbles), and within a chamber containing 1x Tris-Glycine plus 20% (v/v) methanol. Following transfer, equal loading of
samples was confirmed by Ponceau S staining of the membrane. Membranes were subsequently blocked with 5% (w/v) BSA in 1% Tris-buffered saline (TBS) for 1 hr at room temperature, followed by an overnight incubation at 4°C with a polyclonal rabbit primary antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, USA).

The following day, membranes were washed in TBS-Tween for 15 min (changing the solution every 5 min) and incubated for 1 hr with the secondary antibody, which is HRP-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit antibody (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK). Bands were visualised by development with enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) reagents (Pierce, UK). Reagent A and B were mixed in a 1:1 ratio and pipetted onto the membrane, ensuring complete coverage. After 2 min, membranes were blotted to remove the detection reagents, and in the dark room, blots are exposed to X-ray film and subsequently developed. Films were scanned and bands are quantified by densitometry using GeneTools software (Syngene, Frederick, USA). Values were adjusted by subtracting the background and normalized to an actin protein control for cytosolic proteins and lamin for nuclear proteins (New England BioLabs, Hitchin, UK).

2.7 Analysis of Muscle Glycogen

2.7.1 Extraction procedure for measurement of muscle glycogen

Freeze dried powdered aliquots of muscle tissue were extracted according to the method of Harris et al. (Harris et al., 1974). Freeze-dried muscle samples of 6-10 mg of dissected muscle fibres, devoid of all visible connective tissue and blood contamination were powdered in a percussion pestle and mortar and aliquot weighed (2-3 mg). The muscle metabolites were extracted from the powdered muscle using 0.5 M ice-cold perchloric
acid (PCA) containing 1 mM EDTA for 10 minutes maintained on ice. Proteins and unwanted cell debris were removed by centrifugation at 22,000 g for 3 minutes at 4° C and the resulting supernatant was neutralised with 2.2 M KHCO3 for at least 15 minutes, producing perchlorate and carbon dioxide. The solution was centrifuged at 22,000 g for 3 minutes at 4° C and the supernatant (extract) removed and frozen at −80° C prior to analysis.

2.7.2 Measurement of muscle glycogen content using spectrophotometry

Muscle glycogen concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically using the methods described by Harris et al (Harris et al., 1974). The contents of these metabolites were measured indirectly from changes in the absorbance of NADH and NADPH. Both the oxidised and reduced forms of these reducing agents absorb light at 260 nm, while only the reduced form absorbs light at 340 nm. The complete utilisation of the metabolite is coupled to a reaction utilising the NAD+/NADH or NADP+/NADPH systems by means of common intermediates (see individual assays). Metabolite concentration can then be calculated from the recorded changes in absorbance according to the Beer-Lambert law:

\[ C = \frac{DA}{(e \times d)} \]

where: \( A = \) absorbance; \( C = \) concentration (of metabolite, mmol L\(^{-1}\)); \( e = \) molar extinction coefficient; \( d = \) light path (cm); (1mM NADH \( e_{340nm} = 6.22 \) cm\(^2\) mmol\(^{-1}\))*;

*1mM solution of NADH gives an absorbance equal to 6.22 absorbance units at 340 nm

**Glycogen assay**

**Principle:**

1: \( \text{Glu} + \text{ATP} \xrightarrow{\text{HK}} \text{Glu 6-P} + \text{ADP} \)

2: \( \text{Glu 6-P} + \text{NAD}^+ \xrightarrow{\text{G6PDH}} \text{P-gluconolactone} + \text{NADH} \)
Muscle glycogen extract was removed from \(-80^\circ C\) storage, fast thawed under hot water, vortexed and stored on ice. Glucose assay buffer containing 32 % G1 (Triethanolamine (100 mM), KOH (40 mM), MgAC\(_2\).4 H\(_2\)O (30 mM), EDTA.Na\(_2\).2 H\(_2\)O (1 mM), pH 8.2), 2 % ATP (0.75 mM), 2 % DTT (1 mM), 4 % NAD\(^+\) (1 mM) and 60 % distilled water, with 40 \(\mu\)l of undiluted G6PDH (Sigma-Aldrich) was prepared. Muscle extract (50 \(\mu\)l) or water (50 \(\mu\)l) or Standard (1.5 mmol glucose; 50 \(\mu\)l) were transferred into a cuvette along with 250 \(\mu\)l of glucose assay buffer and agitated to mix. Baseline absorbance was taken using a standard spectrophotometer at 366 nm before 5 \(\mu\)l of undiluted hexokinase (HK; Sigma-Aldrich) was added to each cuvette and agitated to mix. Absorbance changes were monitored until the reaction reached end point, then the concentration (mmol glucosyl units kg\(^{-1}\) of dry muscle) was determined using the following formula:

\[
\frac{(V_2 \times (A_2 - A_{bl2}) - V_1 \times (A_1 - A_{bl1}))}{(SV \times 3.4) \times EF}
\]

Where,

\(V_2\) = final volume in cuvette (i.e. SV + Buffer + HK enzyme (\(\mu\)l))

\(A_2\) = absorbance value at end point

\(A_{bl2}\) = absorbance value of water blank at end point

\(V_1\) = initial volume (i.e. SV + Buffer (\(\mu\)l))

\(A_1\) = absorbance value at baseline

\(A_{bl1}\) = absorbance value of water blank at baseline

\(SV\) = sample volume (\(\mu\)l)

3.4 = 1 mM of NADH has absorbance value of 3.4 at 366 nm

EF = extraction factor (volume NaOH + HCl and Citric acid buffer + AGG / muscle powder weight (mg))
2.8 Isolation and Suspension of Mitochondria from Muscle Tissue

2.8.1 Overview
In order to assay mitochondrial function in skeletal muscle, mitochondria are isolated and re-suspended from muscle tissue immediately after extraction from the subject. Muscle samples were obtained using a conchotome biopsy from the superficial portion of the vastus lateralis. Mitochondria were isolated and resuspended immediately after extraction from muscle tissue. The immediacy of the procedure, and its performance on unfrozen tissue, reflects the necessity to maintain mitochondrial double membrane structure. It is crucial for mitochondria to be actively respiring for maximal ATP production rates to be assayed.

2.8.2 Laboratory Protocol
Approximately 40 mg of muscle tissue (retained on ice) was finely diced on a cooled glass plate, and weighed in milligrams, to two decimal places (Mettler Toledo scales, model XS105). The muscle was homogenised for 3 minutes on ice, using a pestle homogeniser and a glass homogenisation vessel (Camlab homogeniser, model K43), in homogenisation buffer (pH 7.2, KCl 100mM (Sigma Aldrich, UK), KH$_2$PO$_4$ 50 mM (Sigma Aldrich, UK), Tris 50mM (Sigma Aldrich, UK), MgCl$_2$ 5mM (Sigma Aldrich, UK), EDTA 1mM (Sigma Aldrich, UK), ATP 1.8mM (Sigma Aldrich, UK)). The crude homogenate was centrifuged at 650 g for 3 minutes, at ~-4°C (Hettich refrigerated centrifuge, model EBA12R). The resultant supernatant was transferred to a clean test tube and centrifuged at 15,000 g for 3 minutes, at ~-4°C. Following this, the supernatant was removed and discarded before resuspending the mitochondria-rich pellet in the original homogenisation buffer. This was then centrifuged at 15000 g for 3 minutes, at ~-4°C. After removal of the supernatant,
the pellet was resuspended in a resuspension solution (pH 7.2, HSA 0.5 mg/ml, sucrose 240 mM, monopotassium phosphate 15mM, magnesium acetate tetrahydrate 2 mM, EDTA 0.5 mM). The mitochondrial suspension was then retained on ice prior to mitochondrial ATP production rate analysis (MAPR), or was frozen at -80° C for other analysis.

2.9 Mitochondrial ATP production Rate Analysis (MAPR Analysis)

2.9.1 Overview
Having produced an isolated mitochondrial suspension from muscle extract, the rates of ATP production were determined using a luminescence technique as described by Wibom et al. (Wibom et al., 2002). The method used in this thesis is a more accurate method for the fact that it measures ATP directly as it is produced ex vivo, unlike the classical method of determination either by measuring the isolated muscle’s oxygen consumption or by measuring the activity of enzymes involved in the oxidative phosphorylation pathway. The sensitivity obtained by this method is far greater than measurements of oxygen consumption, as it is not affected by mitochondrial uncoupling. Oxygen consumption is a function of complex IV of the electron transport chain. Under normal conditions, the rate of oxygen consumption can be used to extrapolate the rate of ATP production, as both processes are directly proportional. However, mitochondria electron transport can become uncoupled if mitochondrial damage or membrane perturbation has occurred. In this scenario, oxygen consumption will still occur unhampered in the mitochondria, but no ATP will be produced in relation to this consumption and instead heat is produced. Hence, measurements of mitochondrial function extrapolated from oxygen consumption are prone to overestimation. Therefore,
direct measurement of ATP as it is produced provides an important novel insight, and evolves previous conclusions made with indirect measurements.

The method measures the ATP production rate of isolated mitochondria in optimum conditions. It relies on the properties of a reagent purchased from BioTherma (BioTherma, Sweden) – a luciferase based ATP monitoring reagent. The luciferase binds to ATP as it is hydrolysed and produces light (see reaction scheme in Fig 2.4)

**Figure 2.4: Reaction Scheme for Luciferase Based ATP Monitoring Reagent.**

1. Luciferin + ATP → Luciferyl Adenylate + PP$_i$

2. Luciferyl Adenylate + O$_2$ → Oxluciferin + AMP + Light

Isolated mitochondria are introduced to the reagent, along with ADP and a substrate for ATP production (e.g., Pyruvate). The substrate can be chosen in order to test mitochondrial ability to utilise specific substrates. The substrates tested in this thesis are thoroughly described in chapter, but for convenience they are described in the table below. The increase in luminescence (due to the production of ATP) is monitored on a BioOrbit 1253 luminometer (*Bio-Orbit*, Turku, Finland).
2.9.2 Laboratory Protocol

Isolated mitochondria from muscle is retained on ice, 12 cuvettes (final volume 1ml) were made comprising of 800µl luciferase based ATP production monitoring reagent (Lyophilized ATP monitoring reagent (BioTherma, Sweden), containing firefly luciferase, D-luciferine 0.1g/L, L-luciferine 4mg/L, bovine serum albumin 1g/L, and Na₂P₂O₇ 1 μM) dissolved in (sucrose 0.19M, monopotassium phosphate 19 mM, magnesium acetate tetrahydrate 2.5 mM, EDTA 0.7 mM pH 7), 140µl of a substrate solution (described below), 50 µl 0.6 mM ADP (Sigma Aldrich, UK), and (added last) 10 µl of diluted mitochondrial suspension. The cuvettes were then transferred to the luminometer to record luminescence over time. As luminescence is directly a result of the ATP content, luminescence steadily increased as ATP was being produced by the active and intact, isolated respiring mitochondria. After 3-5 minutes of activity, the cuvettes were injected with 10 µl of 25 μM ATP. This is equivalent to a precise injection of 250 pmoles of ATP. This injection triggers a burst of luminescence, which is recorded by the luminometer. The cuvettes are then allowed to continue for a few more minutes before terminating the experiment. Calculations are demonstrated below.

2.9.3 Substrates for ATP Production

Mitochondria are extremely versatile organelles and are capable of utilising a number of different substrates to produce ATP. Carbohydrates and fatty acids are the main fuels, but in this thesis we have tested mitochondria’s ability to use a number of different substrates, to evaluate specific mechanisms of inflammation-induced pathology. The substrates used are listed below, along with a detailed description of how they are utilised by skeletal muscle mitochondria.
**Pyruvate/Malate**

Pyruvate, the end production of the cytosolic glycolytic pathway can succumb to one of two main fates. If possible, the mitochondrial membrane bound pyruvate dehydrogenase complex will convert the pyruvate to acetyl CoA and transport it into the mitochondrial matrix in the process. This is aerobic respiration. If the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex is unable to utilise pyruvate for any reason, it will be converted to lactate during an anaerobic reaction associated with the buildup of lactic acidosis. In this thesis, malate is also provided along with pyruvate as a substrate. Malate acts as a co-transporter for pyruvate. It is oxidised by activity of endogenous malate dehydrogenase producing oxaloacetate, and subsequently citrate through activity of the TCA cycle. This shifts the dynamic equilibrium of the TCA cycle, essentially blunting succinate being converted to fumarate. This temporarily halts the production of FADH$_2$, stopping donation of electrons to the electron transport chain at complex II. All electron transport now begins at complex I, maximizing the use of pyruvate as a substrate in these experiments, thus promoting its active uptake.

**Glutamate/succinate**

Glutamate is a common amino acid vital to all aspects of protein synthesis. Mitochondria, carrying their own separate genome have intrinsic mechanisms of protein synthesis which require amino acid fuels, in the same way cytosolic protein synthesis does. In terms of metabolism, glutamate is bound by intrinsic glutamate dehydrogenase, producing $\alpha$-ketoglutarate, a component of the TCA cycle. This transamination reaction provides a necessary intermediate for NADH production, fuelling complex I of the electron transport chain. In this thesis, glutamate is provided to mitochondria
accompanied by both malate and succinate. The glutamate and malate substrate combination acts similarly to the pyruvate and malate combination, blunting FADH$_2$ production and limiting complex II activation. Providing glutamate and succinate together allows activation of both complexes I and II of the electron transport chain, as succinate is the precursor to the succinate dehydrogenase reaction, show in figure 2.5.

![Diagram 2.5](image.png)

**Figure 2.5 Mitochondrial ATP Production Rate Analysis.**

The figure shows the multi stage graph generated by analysis of a single isolated mitochondria sample, utilising a single substrate combination to produce ATP within a cuvette. Stage 1 of the graph shows an increase in relative luminescence over time. This increase is representational of ATP being produced by isolated mitochondria, respiring in the luciferase reagent. After stage 1, a 150 pmol of ATP is injected into the cuvette. As a result there is an increase in luminescence. This increase can be calculated (in relative...
luminescence units) by extrapolating the curve in stage 3, back to the point of ATP injection. Subsequently, this information can be used to calibrate stage 1 of the graph, and convert ATP production in relative luminescence units/minute, to a quantity of ATP/minute.

2.9.3 Pyruvate dehydrogenase Complex assay

PDC was measured according to Constantin-Teodosiu et al (Constantin-Teodosiu et al., 1991). This is a radioactive assay for the determination of pyruvate dehydrogenase complex activity in muscle tissue has been used. The assay measures the rate of acetyl-CoA formation from pyruvate in a reaction mixture containing NAD+ and CoASH. The acetyl-CoA is determined as [14C]citrate after condensation with [14C]-oxaloacetate by citrate synthase. The method is specific and sensitive to the picomole range of acetyl-CoA formed. Total pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDCt) activity is determined after activation by pretreating the muscle homogenate with Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+}, dichloroacetate, glucose, and hexokinase. The precision of the method was determined by analyzing 4-5 samples of the same muscle piece. The coefficient of variation for PDCa was 8% and for PDCt 5%.
Chapter 3

The enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) pathway for patients undergoing major elective open colorectal surgery: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials
3.1 Introduction

Patients undergoing major open colorectal surgery traditionally undergo prolonged rehabilitation during the postoperative period with profound changes in endocrine, metabolic, neural and pulmonary function. Complication rates of 15-20\% (Bokey et al., 1995, Basse et al., 2000a), and even as high as 45-48\% (Muller et al., 2009, Serclova, 2009) have been reported after major elective open colorectal surgery undertaken in the setting of traditional perioperative care. This may not be surprising since many traditional interventions that have been shown to be outdated, and even harmful, for patients (Guenaga et al., 2009, Lobo et al., 2002a, Nelson et al., 2007, Rahbari et al., 2009, Awad et al., 2009a) are still in use. For patients without complications, a key factor for postoperative recovery is the return of bowel function and this is influenced by several perioperative factors such as preoperative fasting and bowel preparation, analgesic and anaesthetic techniques, magnitude and complications of surgery, fluid overload, and also by the patients’ co-morbidities.

Enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) or ‘fast-track’ surgery pathways have been developed to address these issues and to accelerate recovery by attenuating the stress response so that the length of hospital stay and possibly the incidence of postoperative complications and mortality can be reduced, with the added benefits of reducing healthcare costs (Kehlet and Wilmore, 2002, Lassen et al., 2009b). The important elements of ERAS and similar fast track programs in open colorectal surgery included in these studies were factors shown to improve outcomes and many of them also addressed traditional treatments that were proven to be outdated. These measures were
then amalgamated into treatment programs that included preoperative counselling, no bowel preparation, no premedication, symbiotics administered before surgery, no preoperative fasting but provision of clear carbohydrate enriched liquids until 2 h before surgery, standard anaesthetic techniques, thoracic epidural anaesthesia, high inspired oxygen concentrations, avoidance of perioperative fluid overload, maintenance of body temperature, short/transverse incisions, non-opioid analgesia, no routine use of drains and nasogastric decompression tubes, early removal of bladder catheters, standard laxatives and prokinetics, and early postoperative feeding and mobilization.

It has been shown that these ‘multimodal rehabilitation’ or ‘fast-track’ surgery programs improve surgical outcome with decreased hospitalization, increased patient satisfaction and safety after discharge. A systematic review of three randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and three case control trials, showed some benefits of the enhanced recovery pathway in elective open colorectal surgery such as reduction in primary hospital stay and morbidity (Wind et al., 2006). Three recent meta-analyses also showed a positive influence of implementing the ERAS protocol in this group of patients (Gouvas et al., 2009a, Eskicioglu et al., 2009a, Walter et al., 2009). However, the authors recommended the need for further RCTs as, with a maximum of 198 randomized patients included in the meta-analysis, the data available were too limited to draw firm conclusions. This multimodal approach has been the subject of interest in several other non-randomized, case controlled and prospective studies including a consensus review of optimal care recommended by the ERAS group in patients undergoing major colorectal surgery (Lassen et al., 2009b).
The purpose of the present meta-analysis of RCTs was to study the effect of ERAS pathway in patients undergoing major elective open colorectal surgery in reducing the length of primary hospital stay, and to examine the incidence of postoperative complications, readmission rates and mortality.

3.2 Methods

3.2.1 Criteria for considering studies for this review

Studies comparing enhanced recovery programs with traditional perioperative care in patients undergoing major elective open colorectal surgery were selected from the initial search. RCTs documenting the individual elements of the ERAS pathway that were implemented, with a minimum of four elements covering the pre-, intra- and postoperative periods of the ERAS pathway, were subsequently included in this meta-analysis.

Non-randomized studies, case-controlled trials, cohorts, retrospective studies and other studies which did not fulfil the inclusion criteria were excluded.

3.2.2 Outcome measures

The primary outcome measure of this meta-analysis was length of primary hospital stay.

Secondary outcome measures were postoperative complications (total number of patients with complications in each group), readmission rates and mortality.
3.2.3 Search methods for identification of studies

Electronic searches

Studies published between January 1966 and November 2009 were searched in Medline, Embase, the Science Citation Index, the Cochrane Library and CINAHL databases. The relevant studies were identified using the search terms colorectal surgery, colon, colonic, colorectal, rectum. These results were combined with multimodal, fast track, enhanced recovery, ERAS, accelerated, rehabilitation, convalescence, perioperative care and ambulation in combination with the Boolean operators AND, OR and NOT. The ‘related article’ function was used to identify any further articles that were eligible for inclusion in the meta-analysis. The search included publications in all languages.

Searching other resources

The references from relevant articles were scanned and primary authors were consulted for additional information as necessary. The authors of relevant papers were identified from authorship of trials and review articles found in the search. Bibliographies of RCTs, meta-analyses, and systematic reviews were hand-searched for studies that were not captured by the initial electronic search. Experts in the subject were consulted to ensure that no published or unpublished work had been missed.

3.2.4 Data collection and analysis

Two review authors inspected the citations identified from the search independently. The quality of the retrieved articles was assessed separately by the two review authors
for inclusion according to the previously defined criteria. Any disagreement was resolved by consensus discussions with the third member of the review team.

3.2.5 Data extraction

Data from included RCTs were extracted independently by two review authors. The studies were assessed for the methodological quality, study design, inclusion and exclusion criteria, reporting of outcome measures. Any missing data were obtained by contacting the author and included in the analysis.

3.2.6 Statistical analysis

The focus of this meta-analysis was on the effect of the ERAS pathway on outcome measures such as length of hospital stay, readmission rates, postoperative complications and mortality, using the standard methods recommended by the Cochrane Collaboration. Calculations of effect sizes for dichotomous variables are presented as risk ratio (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) and for continuous outcomes as weighted mean differences (WMD). Pooled analyses were performed using the random effects model with the Mantel–Haenszel method. The random-effects method incorporates an assumption that the different studies are estimating different, yet related, intervention effects and hence explicitly accounts for heterogeneity. Statistical heterogeneity was assessed by considering the I² statistic alongside the χ² 'p' value. The I-squared statistic provides an estimate of the percentage of inconsistency thought to be due to chance. (Higgins and Green, 2008) The threshold values of I² equal 25%, 50%, and 75%, representing low, moderate, and high heterogeneity, respectively. These analyses were performed using
3.3 Results

3.3.1 Eligible Studies

Six RCTs (Anderson et al., 2003, Delaney et al., 2003, Gatt et al., 2005, Khoo et al., 2007, Muller et al., 2009, Serclova, 2009) fulfilled the inclusion criteria for the meta-analysis (Figure 3.1), leading to a total of 452 patients, 226 in each group, being included (median number of patients in each study 67, range 25-151). The characteristics of included studies are presented in Table 3.1. All six studies reported appropriate randomization methods sealed envelope in four studies (Serclova et al., 2009, Anderson et al., 2003, Delaney et al., 2003, Gatt et al., 2005); random number generator in two (Muller et al., 2009, Khoo et al., 2007). None of the RCTs were blinded. Due to the nature of these trials and allocation of patients to treatment groups that become self-evident following randomization, blinding of patient groups and observer was not always possible. Therefore, all except one RCT (Delaney et al., 2003) had a Jadad score (Jadad et al., 1996) of 3 (Table 3.2). Standard criteria were defined for inclusion, exclusion, length of hospital stay, complications, readmissions and discharge in these studies. There were clear definitions of the fast-track or enhanced recovery protocol with a minimum of 4 ERAS elements implemented in the intervention group. The number of ERAS elements used in all 6 RCTs, ranged from 4-12 with a mean of 9, as listed in Table 3.3. Five studies (Muller et al., 2009, Serclova et al., 2009, Anderson et al., 2003, Delaney et al., 2003, Gatt et al.,
2005) reported a 30-day follow-up. In the study (Khoo et al., 2007) in which 14 day follow-up was reported, 30 day follow-up data were obtained from the authors. There was no reporting of protocol violations in three studies (Anderson et al., 2003, Gatt et al., 2005, Khoo et al., 2007) but these were reported in the other three studies (Muller et al., 2009, Serclova et al., 2009, Delaney et al., 2003). The use of epidurals in traditional care and ERAS groups is illustrated in Table 3. 4.
Figure 3.1: PRISMA

Number of articles retrieved from the search
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\[ n = 72 \]
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- Cohorts studies, retrospective studies, case reports, fewer elements of ERAS studied.

Studies retrieved for more detailed evaluation
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\[ n = 7 \]
- Controlled clinical trials, historical controls, non-randomized studies

Studies included in the meta-analysis
\[ n = 6 \]

Figure 3.1: PRISMA diagram showing selection of studies for the meta-analysis. From the 85 studies searched, six studies which fulfilled the inclusion criteria were included for the meta-analysis.
### Table 3.1.1: Characteristics of included studies

1. Anderson et al., 2003

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Methods</strong></th>
<th>Consecutive series of patients, randomized into ERAS or Traditional care (TC) groups. 30 day postoperative follow up.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Participants</strong></td>
<td>Patients living independently at home and having left or right hemicolecotomy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Interventions** | Preoperative factors: Written preoperative information, preassessment by surgical registrar or anaesthetist, prebiotics (oligofructose) and probiotics (Trevis) for 7-14 days before surgery, no bowel preparation, oral carbohydrate loading.  
Admitted the day before surgery, normal diet up to and including the evening meal. A drink containing 100 g carbohydrate (Maxijul) in 400 ml water at 2200 hours and 50 g in 400 ml 3-4 h before the operation. Perioperative anaesthetic factors were same in both groups.  
Analgesia: Epidural sited between T7 and L1, initial bolus of 15-20 ml 0.25% bupivacaine, then continuous infusion until 24-36 h after surgery. PCA for control group.  
Intraoperative factors: iv cefuroxime and metronidazole in both groups; transverse incision in optimization group; midline or paramedian incision in control group. Nasogastric tube only for decompression during surgery and removed at the end.  
Postoperative factors: No nasogastric tubes or drains, free fluids on day of operation, light diet day 1, full diet day 2.  
Epidural infusion (0.15% bupivacaine + fentanyl 2 µg/ml), epidural catheter removed 24 -36 h after surgery. Paracetomol 1 g qds, ibuprofen 400 mg tds, if required; parenteral morphine 5-10 mg (rescue analgesia); no oral opiates.  
Chest physiotherapy, walk length of ward with physiotherapist. Encouraged to mobilise early on the evening after surgery.  
Discharge criteria: Tolerating standard hospital diet, mobilizing safely around the ward, on oral analgesics. |
| **Outcomes** | Twice daily patient review by the surgical research fellow or nutrition nurse specialist. Data recorded before operation and post operative days 1, 7 and 30.  
Primary outcome: Length of hospital stay.  
Secondary outcomes: Hand grip strength (non dominant hand), Measures of physical function: FEV$_1$ measured at time of surgery and when patient walked to the toilet unaided (mean distance 12.5 m), Return of normal gastrointestinal function as indicated by the ability to tolerate a diet of three light meals a day.  
Pain and fatigue scores recorded between 1100 and 2400 hours using visual analogue scale at rest, during mobilisation and coughing. |
Table 3.1.2: Characteristics of included studies

2. Delaney et al., 2003

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Prospective RCT comparing a pathway of controlled rehabilitation with early ambulation and diet and traditional care after laparotomy and intestinal resection. A baseline visual analogue score, McGill pain score questionnaire (MGPQ), SF-36 and a previously validated quality of life questionnaire [Cleveland Clinic Global Quality of Life (CGQL)] were completed at randomization, discharge and postoperative days 10 and 30. Complications were determined prospectively and were reassessed by telephone follow-up or outpatient visit 30 days after surgery.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Table 3.1.3: Characteristics of included studies

3. Gatt et al., 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>RCT comparing a ten point multimodal optimization programme with conventional perioperative management.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Participants | Consecutive patients undergoing major elective open colorectal surgery, living independently at home.  
Exclusions: Failure to obtain consent, age <18 years, pregnancy, intolerance to probiotics and/or prebiotics, contraindication to one or more optimization strategy, contraindications to early postoperative discharge prescribed medications that may independently prolong hospital stay (e.g. anticoagulants), advanced malignancy on preoperative assessment, palliative surgery, emergency surgery and failure to perform colonic or rectal resection. |
| Interventions | Ten point multimodal pathway:  
Preoperative: Verbal and written preoperative information; preassessment by surgical registrar or anaesthetist, synbiotics (probiotics and prebiotics), avoidance of mechanical bowel preparation, oral carbohydrate loading and 3 h preoperative fast.  
Perioperative: High inspired O₂ concentrations (80%), transverse incision, no drains or nasogastric tubes  
Postoperative: Early fluid and diet reintroduction, aggressive structured mobilization plan. Epidural analgesia for both groups until 24-36 h after surgery.  
Discharge criteria: Tolerating three light meals a day, mobilizing safely and taking oral medications only.  
Patients in the control group received none of the optimization measures. Admitted the day prior to surgery, fasted from midnight and received bowel preparation. |
| Outcomes | Assessed twice a day and follow-up by the same researcher.  
Physiological function: Spirometry (FEV₁, FVC), grip strength, Physiological and Operative Severity Score for the enumeration of Mortality and morbidity (POSSUM), ASA scores, duration of catheterization, time to mobilization and fluid balance.  
Psychological function: Cognitive function scoring, fatigue scoring, pain scoring and analgesic requirements.  
Gut function: Time to tolerance of fluids and diet and duration of intravenous fluids.  
Clinical outcome: Primary endpoint: length of hospital stay, complications and death, need for readmission, general practitioner visits. |
Table 3.1.4: Characteristics of included studies

4. Khoo et al., 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>RCT of multimodal perioperative management protocol in patients undergoing elective open colorectal resection for cancer.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>All elective patients presenting with colorectal cancer; both colonic and rectal surgery; no age limit. Exclusions: if unable to mobilize independently over 100 m at preoperative assessment, had contraindications to thoracic epidurals, or had pre-existing clinical depression, palliation or other joint operations involving other surgical specialty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interventions</td>
<td>All patients admitted morning prior to surgery, had standard bowel preparation (Fleet) and oral fluids up to 3 h prior to surgery. Control arm received 125 ml/h of intravenous fluids from 2200 hours. Multimodal arm: Intravenous fluids restricted to 1500 ml unless bleeding in excess of 500 ml intraoperatively. Allowed free oral fluids immediately after the operation. Intravenous fluids discontinued when able to tolerate 200 ml of water over 30 minutes. Urine output not used to guide fluid therapy. NG tube inserted for all patients in the multimodal group and removed in recovery. Oral feeding immediately following surgery in the multimodal, with regular domperidone, magnesium hydroxide 8% and liquid protein/calorie supplements from admission. Thoracic epidural analgesia (TEDA) in 32 out of 35 patients. Patient controlled analgesia with morphine and cyclizine, if TEDA not possible. Epidural rate was not adjusted unless there were features of narcotization and discontinued 48 hours postoperatively. Regular oral analgesics postoperatively. Mobilization from night of the operation and encouraged to meet predefined mobility targets. Catheters removed 24 h postoperatively following colonic resection and 72 h after total mesorectal excision. Traditional Care: NG tube removed following morning unless there was &gt; 200 ml of free drainage overnight. TEDA (34 out of 35 patients) was titrated against pain and narcotization and removed when the rate was &lt;1 ml/h. Oral analgesia given as required. Mobilization: sat out and assisted to mobilize on 1st postoperative day, but not normally aggressively mobilized until discontinuation of the thoracic epidural. Discharge criteria: when self-caring, stoma or bowel function, mobilizing independently (able to ambulate to toilet/bath and 100 m unassisted) and were comfortable on oral analgesia.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.1.5: Characteristics of included studies

5. Muller et al., 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Methods</strong></th>
<th>Multicentre study involving four teaching hospitals in northern Switzerland, RCT of fast track programme. Factors associated with significant reduction of complications (effective epidural analgesia and a stringent fluid regimen) were assessed using multivariate analysis.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Participants</strong></td>
<td>Patients who were older than 18 years, open elective colonic resection with a primary anastomosis. Exclusion criteria: Emergency operations, contraindications to epidural anaesthesia, scheduled total colectomy or rectum resection, preoperatively immobile patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interventions</strong></td>
<td>Both groups had thromboprophylaxis and antibiotics, no bowel preparation, patients were allowed to drink up to 4 hours prior to surgery. Standard anaesthetic procedures, median laparotomy, no nasogastric tubes or drains were used postoperatively in both the groups. Fast track programme: Restricted fluid regime consisting of preoperative loading with Ringer’s lactate at 1 ml/kg/h and nil by mouth, with an intra-operative substitution of 5 ml/kg/h. All fluids discontinued on 1st postoperative day, unless any medical reason to continue. Additional fluid or vasopressors were given when mean arterial pressure &lt;60 mm Hg or urine output 0.5 ml/kg/h. Start drinking immediate postoperative period in fast track group. Two additional protein drinks were permitted for first 3 days and resume oral nutrition by day 1. Patients in the standard group were allowed to drink on day 2, increasing oral nutrition on day 2, with possible full oral nutrition by day 4. Early mobilization in both groups. Criteria for insertion of NG tube: 2 consecutive episodes of vomiting greater than 400 ml. Epidural with ropivacaine 0.33% or bupivacaine 0.25% was placed at T6-9 preoperatively and removed on 2nd postoperative day. (61 out of 76 in fast-track and 59 out of 75 patients in control group had epidurals). Thereafter intravenous paracetamol only. Discharge when fully mobile, pain controlled by oral analgesics only, tolerance of oral food and patients felt comfortable on discharge. Sufficient oral intake, when patients take more than 2/3 of daily meal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcomes</strong></td>
<td>Primary end point: Total complications (general and surgical) occurring until 30 days after surgery. (Telephone follow-up if necessary). Secondary end point: Median hospital stay, readmission rate, anastomotic leak.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.1: Characteristics of included studies

6. Serclova et al., 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Prospective monocentric, unblinded, randomised study of patients scheduled for open intestinal resection.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Participants | All patients undergoing open intestinal resection between 18-70 years and with ASA score I-II.  
Exclusions: Patients scoring ASA III-IV, those who had pelvic radiation, having multi-organ resections or generalisation of cancer and pregnant women. |
| Interventions | Patients in the FT group were informed prior to surgery about perioperative anaesthesia and analgesic care. PCA (patient-controlled analgesia) pump training was conducted and the system of pain assessment by means of the visual analogue scale (VAS 0-10, 0 = no pain, 10 = maximum pain) was explained.  
Thoracic epidural T10-T12, (32 out of 52 in non-FT group and all patients in FT group). Bowel preparation only if rectal surgery planned.  
Normal oral intake on the day before surgery until 1400 hours and light dinner on the evening before surgery. Advised to increase fluid and carbohydrate cocktail intake (400-800 ml of 12.5% carbohydrate solution, Nutricia preOp, Nutricia Ltd). Fluid intake was stopped 2-4 h prior to surgery.  
Standard anaesthesia for FT group. Epidural anaesthesia was combined with intravenous paracetamol and diclofenac or metamizole. An epidural catheter was inserted in all patients from the FT group and in 32 patients from the non-FT group (62%).  
Perioperative fluid restriction was not part of the study protocol.  
Drains used selectively, removed 1st post op day. Catheters only if pelvic surgery, fistulation or procedure > 3hours. VAS monitoring hourly for first 24 h and every 4 h thereafter.  
Exercise in bed, encouraged to mobilise after postoperative stabilisation. Enteral feed on the same day.  
Discharge criteria: Oral intake higher than 2000 ml/day, normal gastrointestinal function; pain controlled by oral analgesics; and no signs of infection or other complications and content to be discharged.  
Traditional care group: Orthograde mechanical bowel preparation & fasted from midnight. Anaesthesia and analgesia not standardised. |
| Outcomes | Data regarding patient demographics, weight, BMI prior to surgery, nutritional markers, length and severity of surgery, postoperative weight and BMI, visual analogue score for pain, oral intake, diet, rehabilitation, nausea, vomiting, bowel movements and first stool were recorded.  
Outcomes such as complications (infectious & non-infectious), wound healing, length of hospital stay, readmissions, postoperative analgesia and death were studied. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RCT</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>Age (years), Median (Range)</th>
<th>Follow-up (days)</th>
<th>Consecutive series of patients</th>
<th>Allocation concealment</th>
<th>Method of randomization described &amp; appropriate</th>
<th>Blinding</th>
<th>Description of dropouts &amp; withdrawals</th>
<th>Jadad Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anderson et al., 2003</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>64 (55-68)</td>
<td>68 (65-75)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not blinded</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaney et al., 2003</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>50.6±16.9 (mean±sd)</td>
<td>41.9±13.3 (mean±sd)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not blinded</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gatt et al., 2005</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>67 (59-76)</td>
<td>67 (60-73)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not blinded</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khoo et al., 2007</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>69.3 (46-88)</td>
<td>73 (46-85)</td>
<td>10-14</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not blinded</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muller et al., 2009</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>62 (27-91)</td>
<td>59 (39-89)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not blinded</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serclova et al., 2009</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>33 (20-66)</td>
<td>36 (18-68)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not blinded</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.3: Summary of ERAS elements included in the RCTs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Preoperative counselling</th>
<th>Preoperative feeding</th>
<th>Synbiotics</th>
<th>No bowel preparation</th>
<th>No premedication</th>
<th>Fluid restriction</th>
<th>Perioperative high O\textsubscript{2} concentrations</th>
<th>Active prevention of hypothermia</th>
<th>Epidural analgesia</th>
<th>Short/longitudinal incisions</th>
<th>No routine use of NG tubes</th>
<th>No routine use of drains</th>
<th>Enforced postoperative mobilization</th>
<th>Enforced postoperative oral feeding</th>
<th>No systemic morphine use</th>
<th>Standard laxatives</th>
<th>Early removal of bladder catheter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anderson et al., 2003</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaney et al., 2003</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gatt et al., 2005</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khoo et al., 2007</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muller et al., 2009</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serclova et al., 2009</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.4: Use of epidurals in RCTs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Traditional Care</th>
<th>ERAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anderson et al., 2003</td>
<td>PCA until pain control with oral analgesics</td>
<td>Epidural for first 24-36h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaney et al., 2003</td>
<td>No epidurals, PCA for all patients</td>
<td>No epidurals, PCA for all patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gatt et al., 2005</td>
<td>Epidurals for first 24-36h</td>
<td>Epidurals for first 24-36h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khoo et al., 2007</td>
<td>Epidurals (Median 3 days)</td>
<td>Epidurals (Median 2 days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muller et al., 2009</td>
<td>Epidurals for 2 days after surgery</td>
<td>Epidurals for 2 days after surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serclova et al., 2009</td>
<td>Epidurals according to discretion of anaesthetists</td>
<td>Epidurals used for all patients</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.2 Meta-analysis of RCTs

Patients undergoing major open colonic/colorectal surgery and managed with a perioperative ERAS pathway had a primary hospital stay of 2.5 days less than those managed with a traditional care pathway [WMD (Random, 95% CI) -2.51(-3.54, -1.47); I²=55%, \( p<0.00001 \), Figure 3.2]. In addition, management within an ERAS pathway resulted in significantly fewer postoperative complications [RR (95% CI): 0.53 (0.41, 0.69); I²=0%; \( p<0.00001 \), Figure 3.3]. Of the 452 patients, 4 died during the 30 day follow-up period, with one death (myocardial infarction) in the ERAS group and three (2 myocardial infarctions and 1 pulmonary embolism) in the traditional care group. The Forest plots in Figures 3.4 and 3.5, demonstrate that there were no statistically significant differences in readmission [RR (95% CI): 0.80 (0.32, 1.98); I²=9%; \( p=0.62 \)] and mortality rates [RR (95% CI): 0.53 (0.09, 3.15); I²=0%; \( p=0.49 \)],. The \( I^2 \)-statistic showed significant heterogeneity for the effect of ERAS on the length of hospital stay [\( \chi^2=11.04, df=5, p=0.05, I^2=55\% \), Figure 3.2]. However, the results for complications, readmissions and mortality had no or very low heterogeneity (I²=0-9%).
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**Figure 3.2: Forest plot of comparison: Length of Hospital Stay**

ERAS = enhanced recovery after surgery; TC = traditional care.

ERAS was associated with 2.5 days lesser hospital stay compared with traditional care.

**Figure 3.3: Forest plot of comparison: Complications**

ERAS = enhanced recovery after surgery; TC = traditional care.

ERAS was associated with 47% reduction in risk of complications compared with traditional care.
Figure 3.4: Forest plot of comparison: Readmission

Figure 3.4: Forest plot of comparison: Complications [ERAS= enhanced recovery after surgery; TC = traditional care]. No difference in readmission rates were observed with ERAS compared with traditional care.

Figure 3.5: Forest plot of comparison: Mortality

Figure 3.5: Forest plot of comparison: Mortality [ERAS= enhanced recovery after surgery; TC = traditional care]. No difference in mortality rates were observed with ERAS compared with traditional care.
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3.4 Discussion
The results from the present meta-analysis suggest that the implementation of four or more elements of the ERAS pathway leads to a reduction in length of hospital stay by more than 2 days and an almost 50% reduction in complication rates in patients undergoing major open colonic/colorectal surgery. At the same time, no significant difference was noted in readmission rates or mortality between the groups. Although no firm conclusions could be made with regards to the latter two outcome measures, the present meta-analysis indicates that early discharge and ERAS protocols did not seem to increase the risk of readmission or mortality. However, these results are open to further scrutiny due to the following reasons. There was significant heterogeneity for the effect of ERAS on the length of hospital stay and hence, could potentially weaken the inferences drawn. The effect of using epidurals on the postoperative pain outcome was investigated in two studies using visual analogue scores (VAS) (Anderson et al., 2003, Gatt et al., 2005). Anderson et al (Anderson et al., 2003) used epidurals only in the study group and patient controlled analgesia in the control group. Their results showed that postoperative pain did not differ significantly from preoperative levels in the ERAS group, but it was increased in the control group. However the pain scores were similar in both groups by day 7. This is in contrast to the study by Gatt and colleagues (Gatt et al., 2005) in which epidurals were used in both groups with no recordable differences in pain scores. Interpretation of the influence of epidurals on postoperative pain and other outcomes was further complicated in the study by Serclova and co-workers (Serclova et al., 2009) where the adoption of an epidural in the control arm was optional. When the rate of epidural failure (28%) was added to the fact that not all patients received an epidural, the
The presence of an effective epidural in the control group became a random event. Postoperative pain was not studied as an outcome measure in the other studies which used epidurals in both groups (Gatt et al., 2005, Khoo et al., 2007, Muller et al., 2009, Serclova, 2009). Equally, a uniform method of reporting complications and their degree of severity was not followed in these studies. The rate of complications in the control arms varied widely from 45-75%, as was the reporting of specific complications, with wound morbidity varying between 0 and 33%. One study (Anderson et al., 2003) considered failures of treatment as a complication (e.g. ineffective epidural), whereas another study (Khoo et al., 2007) reported a relatively high incidence of what may otherwise be considered rare complications (e.g. 8% incidence of pressure sores). In such circumstances caution needs to be exercised in combining results from one trial to another. We have, therefore, included the number of patients with complications per group rather than the total number of complications per group, for the meta-analysis.

The number of deaths (4 of 452 patients) was too small to detect significant differences in mortality and all of them occurred within the primary hospital stay. All these studies had a follow-up period of 30 days and therefore it is unlikely that any impact of complications or mortality, on the primary length of hospital stay would have been missed in the included RCTs.

A potential confounding factor in the RCTs is that some studies (Serclova et al., 2009, Delaney et al., 2003) had included patients who underwent small bowel resections in the study population. In addition, in the study by Serclova et al (Serclova et al., 2009) the participants were between 18-70 years of age with ASA grades I and II, underwent open intestinal resections with or without stoma and had a significantly longer hospital stay in
both groups compared to other included studies [mean (range) 7.4 (5-11) days in ERAS group and 10.4 (7-22) days in the traditional care group]. This may have potentially reduced the readmission rate and led to significant bias in reporting the outcomes. The variation reported in outcomes from the different studies probably reflects the inherent differences in practice that exists between different surgical units and countries. However, despite all these differences between these studies, the ERAS groups consistently showed faster recovery.

Another weakness of the RCTs included, and hence also of this meta-analysis, is the lack of information regarding compliance with protocols and the elements of ERAS pathway in the included studies and, hence, the significance of the contribution of the individual elements to outcome could not be ascertained. A recent study has shown that when instituting changes of treatment protocols of a more complex nature, such as the ERAS pathway, it is difficult to reach full compliance with all the elements of the pathway (Maessen et al., 2007). This weakness is an often under estimated factor as it is almost never reported or even looked for. This is true not only for studies of implementation of new protocols such as the present, but also in the general surgical literature. Another drawback is that because of the inherent difficulties, none of the included studies were blinded and the average Jadad score (Jadad et al., 1996) was 2.83. There was also a wide variation in the number of elements of the ERAS pathway incorporated in each study, though all of them may not be equally important. All studies included elements such as preoperative counselling, no routine use of NG tubes, enforced postoperative mobilization, early enteral feeding in the ERAS group. Other elements that were commonly used in these studies were preoperative feeding, no bowel preparation,
epidural analgesia, no routine use of drains and no systemic morphine use. The use of elements such as synbiotics, avoidance of fluid overload (fluid restriction), maintenance of perioperative high oxygen concentration, short length incisions and early removal of bladder catheter were less consistently applied.

However, there are reasons to believe that including as many ERAS elements as possible in a clinical pathway may have resulted in a cumulative effect and contributed to enhanced recovery in this group of patients. Aggressive rehabilitation with early ambulation and feeding should stimulate the gastrointestinal tract and accelerate recovery of bowel function, whilst routine use of nasogastric tubes or systemic morphine would impair bowel function. The use of standard laxatives is still debatable, as the standard measure of return of bowel function would be the ability to tolerate oral feeding rather than just bowel movement.

There is also growing evidence in the literature, about the contribution of individual elements comprising the ERAS pathway to a decrease in morbidity and facilitation of early discharge (Guenaga et al., 2009, Lobo et al., 2002a, Nelson et al., 2007, Rahbari et al., 2009, Awad et al., 2009a). A large consecutive series of 541 patients undergoing colorectal resections with a structured care program of planned early discharge within a fast track protocol also showed reduced readmission rates with no increase in the incidence of morbidity and mortality (Andersen et al., 2007). These results concur with other systematic reviews (Wind et al., 2006) and meta-analyses (Gouvas et al., 2009b, Eskicioglu et al., 2009b, Walter et al., 2009) on the ERAS pathway and the inclusion of a much larger number of patients (452) in the present meta-analysis, further strengthens the conclusions. The other less studied elements which form part of ERAS may have also
contributed to the outcome, but evidence is lacking as there are no robust studies supporting this hypothesis. These elements form part of the ERAS pathway based on common consensus opinions and derived from traditional care settings. Further prospective studies of ERAS pathway are needed with emphasis on strict protocol compliance to examine these individual elements, so that critical elements that contribute to the outcome, might be identified using a regression model.

Evidence from the literature, supports the view that the ERAS pathway seems to reduce the overall healthcare cost (Kariv et al., 2007, Kehlet, 2005). From a health economics point of view, the data suggest that, with the decrease in complications and hospital stay and similar readmission rates, the cost of treatment per patient would be significantly lower for those treated within an ERAS pathway than those receiving traditional care, despite the need for dedicated staff to implement the pathway.

This meta-analysis has shown that ERAS pathways help to reduce both hospital stay and complication rates. There is supportive evidence from the included studies that enhanced recovery programs should be considered as standard perioperative care. To further strengthen the evidence base and to develop a well-established clinical pathway, future RCTs of ERAS pathways applying stringent criteria, with presentation of data regarding protocol compliance, are recommended.
Chapter 4

A meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials on preoperative oral carbohydrate treatment in elective surgery
4.1 Introduction

Preoperative fasting and surgery cause metabolic-stress and insulin resistance, which is characterised by hyperglycaemia and decreased responsiveness of tissues (mainly skeletal muscle and liver) to the biological actions of insulin (Awad et al., 2009b). Development of insulin resistance is associated with increased morbidity (Sato et al., 2010), mortality (Sato et al., 2010) and length of hospital stay (Thorell et al., 1999b). Measures to attenuate development of insulin resistance, such as preoperative oral treatment with complex carbohydrates may, therefore, be clinically beneficial. A number of studies have examined the effects of preoperative carbohydrate treatment on postoperative insulin resistance and glucose kinetics (Soop et al., 2001, Svanfeldt et al., 2007), protein balance and body composition (Svanfeldt et al., 2007, Yuill et al., 2005), postprandial hormonal and metabolic responses (Awad et al., 2011a, Awad et al., 2010, Awad et al., 2011b), immune function (Melis et al., 2006), gene and protein expression (Awad et al., 2010, Wang et al., 2010), residual gastric volume (Awad et al., 2011a, Lobo et al., 2009), drink-related complications (Yuill et al., 2005, Kaska et al., 2010, Mathur et al., 2010), patient well-being (Hausel et al., 2001, Hausel et al., 2005), and length of hospital stay (Yuill et al., 2005),(Mathur et al., 2010, Noblett et al., 2006b). Whilst the safety (Yuill et al., 2005, Mathur et al., 2010), and physiological benefits of preoperative carbohydrate treatment have been demonstrated, data regarding the effects on important clinical endpoints such as length of hospital stay are conflicting; with some studies demonstrating a reduction (Noblett et al., 2006b) and others no reduction (Yuill et al., 2005, Mathur et al., 2010), in length of stay. Reasons for these inconsistencies
include small numbers of participants and study of heterogeneous groups of patients undergoing surgical procedures of differing magnitudes (Li et al., 2012). Meta-analysis of studies on the effects of preoperative carbohydrate treatment on clinical endpoints has hitherto not been performed.

The aims of the present meta-analysis were to determine the effects of preoperative carbohydrate treatment in patients undergoing elective surgery on: 1) length of hospital stay; 2) development of postoperative insulin resistance; 3) occurrence of drink-related (vomiting, aspiration and pneumonia) and postoperative complications; and 4) occurrence of postoperative nausea and vomiting.

4.2 Materials and Methods

4.2.1 Eligibility

Inclusion criteria: Prospective studies that randomised adult patients undergoing elective surgery to either preoperative oral treatment with complex carbohydrates using ≥50 g oral carbohydrate in the preoperative morning serving of the drink or a control arm were included. The latter may have been either ingestion of an equivalent volume of placebo drink (containing no nutrients) or preoperative fasting.

Exclusion criteria: Randomised controlled trials that administered intravenous carbohydrate, utilized <50 g oral carbohydrate in the preoperative morning serving of the drink, that did not compare preoperative carbohydrate treatment against a
placebo/preoperative fasting control arm, in which study outcomes were not measured, and those that included patients with diabetes mellitus were excluded. Additionally, non-randomised, case-control, retrospective, healthy volunteer studies, and other studies that did not fulfill the inclusion criteria were also excluded.

Type of Intervention: Preoperative carbohydrate treatment using ≥50 g oral carbohydrate (with or without additional additives) compared with control.

Outcomes: The primary outcome measure was the effect of preoperative carbohydrate treatment on length of primary hospital stay, (defined as number of postoperative days in hospital, until discharge). Secondary outcomes included the effects of preoperative carbohydrate treatment on development of postoperative insulin resistance, occurrence of drink-related (vomiting, aspiration or pneumonia) and postoperative complications, and occurrence of postoperative nausea and vomiting.

Types of groups and subgroups of patients analysed:

A priori subgroup analyses were performed to examine the effects of preoperative carbohydrate treatment on length of stay in: 1) all patients who received preoperative carbohydrate treatment; 2) patients undergoing major abdominal surgery; 3) operative procedures with an expected length of stay ≤2 days (e.g. laparoscopic cholecystectomy, hernia repair and thyroidectomy); and 4) orthopaedic surgery.

4.2.2 Search strategy

Studies published in all languages between January 1980 and April 2012 in Medline, Embase, Science Citation Index and Cochrane Library databases were searched using the
MeSH search terms preoperative, postoperative, protein, insulin resistance, insulin sensitivity, oral, loading, glucose, hospital stay, nausea, vomiting, pulmonary, complication, well-being, thirst, hunger, pain and anxiety. These results were combined with carbohydrate and surgery in combination with the Boolean operators AND, OR and NOT. Additionally, bibliographies of published randomised controlled trials were scanned for studies that were missed in the initial electronic search. Commercial companies that produced preoperative carbohydrate drinks were contacted for any unpublished data. Corresponding authors of the relevant publications were approached for additional or missing data when necessary.

4.2.3 Data collection

The randomised controlled trials identified were examined independently by two authors (K. Varadhan & S. Awad) and were considered as eligible for inclusion for meta-analysis if they met predefined inclusion criteria. Data collected included age of participants, type of surgery, presence of diabetes, American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) grade, total quantity and timing of carbohydrate ingestion preoperatively, study reported outcomes, length of hospital stay, changes in insulin resistance, occurrence of pulmonary and surgical complications and postoperative nausea and vomiting. Outcomes measures were recorded either as intention to treat analysis or per protocol, as mentioned in the included RCTs.
4.2.4 Assessment of quality and risk of bias of included studies

The quality of studies was assessed for patient selection, comparability of the two study groups, and outcome measures used. Each randomised controlled trial was assessed for method of randomisation, allocation concealment, blinding, protocol violation, description of withdrawals/dropouts. Any disagreement was resolved by consensus discussions with the other members of the review team. Graphic exploration with funnel plots was also used to evaluate publication bias.

The overall quality of the evidence obtained from the included studies, for the outcome measures used in this meta-analysis was assessed comprehensively using GRADEpro® software, Cochrane Collaboration. Judgements of the quality of specific outcomes were based on presence or absence of the following variables in individual randomised controlled trials: limitations of study design and execution, inconsistency, indirectness and imprecision of results and risk of bias. Overall quality of the evidence for each outcome was a pooled result of the assessments in the above domains and was graded as very low, low, moderate or high. Strength of recommendations for either preoperative carbohydrate treatment or control was based on the combined results of the aforementioned systematic assessments.
4.2.5 Statistical analysis

Two authors (KKV and SA) performed the statistical analysis using RevMan 5.1 software (The Nordic Cochrane Center, The Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen, Denmark) using standard methods recommended by the Cochrane Collaboration. Effect sizes for dichotomous outcomes were reported as risk ratio with 95% confidence intervals and continuous outcomes as mean differences. A random-effects model with the inverse variance method was used for pooled analyses. Differences were considered significant at p<0.05. Quantitative assessment of heterogeneity was calculated first using both ‘fixed’ and ‘random’ effect models for all outcomes. Test for homogeneity was then assessed using $\chi^2$ and the I2 statistic with values of of I2 less than or equal to 25%, 50%, and 75%, representing low, moderate, and high heterogeneity, respectively. If the I2 test rejected the assumption of homogeneity of studies, then the random-effect analysis was reported.

4.3 Results

4.3.1 Eligible studies

Twenty-one randomised controlled trials published between 1998 and 2012 fulfilled the inclusion criteria, leading to a total of 1685 patients (range 14 to 252 patients per study), 733 in preoperative carbohydrate treatment group, 952 in control group (Table 4.1 and Figure 4.1). Characteristics of included studies are shown in Tables 4.1.
Figure 4.1: Selection of studies for the meta-analysis. Twenty one RCTs were considered eligible and were included for the meta-analysis.
Table 4.1: Characteristics of studies of preoperative carbohydrate treatment in patients undergoing elective surgery included in this meta-analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Type of surgery</th>
<th>Method of randomisation</th>
<th>Allocation concealment</th>
<th>Blinding</th>
<th>Inclusion and exclusion criteria defined</th>
<th>Dropouts and withdrawals described</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nygren et al., 1998</td>
<td>Open major colorectal surgery</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hausel et al., 2001</td>
<td>Laparoscopic cholecystectomy and open major colorectal surgery</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Double-blind</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soop et al., 2001</td>
<td>Total hip replacement</td>
<td>Drinks in random coded lots and given to consecutively enrolled patients</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Double-blind</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henriksen et al., 2003</td>
<td>Open major colorectal surgery</td>
<td>Block randomisation</td>
<td>Sealed envelope method</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisgaard et al., 2004</td>
<td>Laparoscopic cholecystectomy</td>
<td>Block randomisation</td>
<td>Random coded bottles</td>
<td>Double-blind</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soop et al., 2004</td>
<td>Total hip replacement</td>
<td>Drinks in random coded lots and given to consecutively enrolled patients</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Double-blind</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Type of Surgery</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Randomisation Method</td>
<td>Blinding</td>
<td>Publication Status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hausel et al., 2005</td>
<td>Laparoscopic cholecystectomy</td>
<td>Computer generated randomisation</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Double-blind</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuill et al., 2005</td>
<td>Major open abdominal surgery</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Double-blind</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melis et al., 2006</td>
<td>Orthopedic surgery</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Sealed envelope method</td>
<td>Single-blind</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noblett et al., 2006</td>
<td>Open major colorectal surgery</td>
<td>Random number allocation</td>
<td>Sealed envelope method</td>
<td>Single-blind</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapp-Kesek et al., 2007</td>
<td>Cardiac surgery</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarvela et al., 2008</td>
<td>Cardiac surgery</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Sealed envelope method</td>
<td>Single-blind</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yagci et al., 2008</td>
<td>Laparoscopic cholecystectomy and thyroid surgery</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Single-blind</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauwick et al., 2009</td>
<td>Thyroid surgery</td>
<td>Computer generated randomisation</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Double-blind</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awad et al., 2010</td>
<td>Laparoscopic cholecystectomy</td>
<td>Computer generated randomisation</td>
<td>Sequentially numbered bottles</td>
<td>Double-blind</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaska et al., 2010</td>
<td>Open major colorectal surgery</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Sealed envelope method</td>
<td>Single-blind</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liddar et al., 2010</td>
<td>Open and laparoscopic major colorectal</td>
<td>Computer generated</td>
<td>Sealed envelope method</td>
<td>Double-blind</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery Description</td>
<td>Randomisation Method</td>
<td>Blinding Method</td>
<td>Double-blind</td>
<td>Yes/No Reasons for Exclusions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathur et al., 2010 Open major colorectal and liver surgery</td>
<td>Block randomisation</td>
<td>Sealed envelope method</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al., 2010 Open major colorectal surgery</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Double-blind</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perrone et al., 2012 Open/laparoscopic cholecystectomy and unilateral inguinal hernia repair</td>
<td>Computer generated randomisation</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Double-blind</td>
<td>Yes Reasons for exclusions not stated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dock-Nascimento et al., 2012 Elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy</td>
<td>Computer generated randomisation</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.2 Characteristics of included studies

Randomisation methods used were computer random number generator (Hausel et al., 2005, Lauwick et al., 2009, Dock-Nascimento et al., 2012, Perrone et al., 2011), coded lots of study drinks (Soop et al., 2001, Soop et al., 2004, Lidder et al., 2010b), sealed envelope (Melis et al., 2006, Kaska et al., 2010, Mathur et al., 2010, Noblett et al., 2006b, Henriksen et al., 2003, Jarvela et al., 2008), and block randomisation (Mathur et al., 2010, Henriksen et al., 2003), were reported in fifteen studies and not reported in six (Yuill et al., 2005, Wang et al., 2010, Hausel et al., 2001, Nygren et al., 1998, Yagci et al, 2008, Rapp-Kesek et al, 2007).

Allocation concealment was reported in nine studies (Melis et al., 2006, Kaska et al., 2010, Mathur et al., 2010, Noblett et al., 2006b, Lidder et al., 2010a, Henriksen et al., 2003, Jarvela et al., 2008, Bisgaard et al., 2004), using the sealed envelope method and not stated in the remaining studies. Twelve randomised controlled trials were described as double-blind (Soop et al., 2001, Yuill et al., 2005, Awad et al., 2010, Wang et al., 2010, Mathur et al., 2010, Hausel et al., 2001, Hausel et al., 2005, Lauwick et al., 2009, Perrone et al., 2011, Soop et al., 2004b, Lidder et al., 2010a, Bisgaard et al., 2004), five were single-blind (Melis et al., 2006, Kaska et al., 2010, Noblett et al., 2006b, Jarvela et al., 2008, Yagci et al., 2008), and blinding was not stated in four (Dock-Nascimento et al., 2012, Henriksen et al., 2003, Nygren et al., 1998a, Rapp-Kesek et al., 2007). Only two studies (Mathur et al., 2010, Dock-Nascimento et al., 2012), followed-up patients at one month post-hospital discharge, the remainder followed-up patients to hospital discharge.
Patient withdrawal and dropouts after randomisation were reported in fourteen studies (Soop et al., 2001, Yuill et al., 2005, Wang et al., 2010, Mathur et al., 2010, Hausel et al., 2005, Noblett et al., 2006b, Lauwick et al., 2009, Dock-Nascimento et al., 2012, Perrone et al., 2011, Soop et al., 2004b, Henriksen et al., 2003, Nygren et al., 1998a, Bisgaard et al., 2004).

Standard inclusion and exclusion criteria were defined in all but one study (Nygren et al., 1998). Of the authors who were contacted (Svanfeldt et al., 2007, Yuill et al., 2005, Melis et al., 2006, Wang et al., 2010, Kaska et al., 2010, Mathur et al., 2010, Hausel et al., 2001, Noblett et al., 2006b, Lauwick et al., 2009, Perrone et al., 2011, Henriksen et al., 2003, Jarvela et al., 2008, Yagci et al., 2008, Bisgaard et al., 2004, Aronsson et al., 2009, Faria et al., 2009, Protic et al., 2010, Breuer et al., 2006, Nygren et al., 1999, Nygren et al., 1995), to provide additional data, all but two (Wang et al., 2010, Yagci et al., 2008), responded. The study published by Yuill et al included data on a subgroup of patients who were part of a larger multicentre commercial study (to date unpublished) sponsored by Numico Research (manufacturer of one of the commercially available preoperative carbohydrate beverages). For the purpose of this meta-analysis, data from this unpublished company-sponsored study were combined with that from Yuill et al.

The following studies were excluded from the meta-analysis for these reasons: observational design (Can et al., 2009, Gustafsson et al., 2008), studied healthy volunteers (Awad et al., 2011a, Awad et al., 2011b, Svanfeldt et al., 2005, Vermeulen et al., 2011), included patients with diabetes mellitus (Breuer et al., 2006), utilised intravenous (Gustafsson et al., 2008) carbohydrate treatment (Nygren et al., 1998a, Thorell et al., 1996a) or hypocaloric nutrition (Schricker et al., 2008); reported duplicate
data (Nygren et al., 1999); administered <50 g of oral carbohydrate in the pre-anaesthetic induction serving of the study drink (Aronsson et al., 2009, Faria et al., 2009, Protic et al., 2010); administered preoperative carbohydrates for two weeks preoperatively (Okabayashi et al., 2011, Okabayashi et al., 2010) and did not include a placebo/fasted control group (Svanfeldt et al., 2007, Can et al., 2009).

4.3.4 Patient characteristics

The present meta-analysis included patients who underwent major open abdominal surgery, colorectal (Yuill et al., 2005, Kaska et al., 2010, Mathur et al., 2010, Hausel et al., 2001, Noblett et al., 2006b, Henriksen et al., 2003, Nygren et al., 1998b), liver (Yuill et al., 2005, Mathur et al., 2010), oesophago-gastric and pancreatic surgery (Yuill et al., 2005), laparoscopic/open cholecystectomy (Hausel et al., 2001, Hausel et al., 2005, Perrone et al., 2011, Lauwick et al., 2009), thyroid, inguinal hernia (Perrone et al., 2011), cardiac (Jarvela et al., 2008, Rapp-Kesek et al., 2007), and orthopaedic surgery (Soop et al., 2001, Soop et al., 2004b, Nygren et al., 1999). ASA grade (range I-III) were reported in thirteen studies (Awad et al., 2010, Wang et al., 2010, Kaska et al., 2010, Mathur et al., 2010, Hausel et al., 2001, Hausel et al., 2005, Noblett et al., 2006b, Lauwick et al., 2009, Dock-Nascimento et al., 2012, Perrone et al., 2011, Soop et al., 2004b, Henriksen et al., 2003, Yagci et al., 2008). The age of participants within each group, were reported as mean ± standard deviation/standard error in fifteen studies (Soop et al., 2001, Awad et al., 2010, Melis et al., 2006, Kaska et al., 2010, Hausel et al., 2005, Noblett et al., 2006b, Soop et al., 2004b, Henriksen et al., 2003, Jarvela et al., 2008, Nygren et al., 1998a, Yagci et al., 2008, Rapp-Kesek et al., 2007) and median (range) in the remaining six studies (Yuill et al.,
The calculated overall mean ± standard deviation age from the former group of studies was 55.1 ± 11.2 and 54.0 ± 10.0 years for preoperative carbohydrate treatment and placebo/fasted groups, respectively. Amongst studies included in this meta-analysis, study groups were well-matched with no differences in baseline body mass index between study groups at randomisation. The quality assessment for each outcome (Tables 4.2 and 4.3) showed some risk of bias and imprecision for both outcomes when all the studies were included for analysis. Confounding variables such as selection of patients based on body mass index, ASA grade, inclusion of patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy and major open colorectal resections within the same study, variations in doses and timing of administration of preoperative carbohydrate treatment, administration of other nutrients preoperatively in addition to carbohydrates and type of surgery performed may have contributed to the heterogeneity of results. The subgroup analyses eliminated some of these confounders and improved the quality of evidence within individual subgroups. Therefore, the quality of evidence across all outcomes ranged from low to moderate (Tables 4.2 and 4.3).
Table 4.2: Quality of evidence of included RCTs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Risk of bias</th>
<th>Inconsistency</th>
<th>Indirectness</th>
<th>Imprecision</th>
<th>Other considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nygren et al., 1998</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Wide confidence interval for length of stay; excluded patients who developed post-operative complications. Hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp performed on postoperative day 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hausel et al., 2001</td>
<td>Selection bias*</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>*Combination of laparoscopic cholecystectomy and open major colorectal surgery in same study. Low event rates. Hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp performed on postoperative day 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soop et al., 2001</td>
<td>Selection bias*</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>*Included patients with body mass index 18-28 kg/m², not intention to treat analysis. Low event rates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henriksen et al., 2003</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Not intention to treat analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bisgaard et al., 2004</td>
<td>Selection bias*</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>*Excluded patients ASA grade ≥III and those who developed surgical complications. Not intention to treat analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Selection bias*</td>
<td>Bias 1</td>
<td>Bias 2</td>
<td>Bias 3</td>
<td>Bias 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soop et al., 2004</td>
<td>Selection bias*</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Included patients with body mass index 18-28 kg/m², not intention to treat analysis. Hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp performed on postoperative day 3. Low event rates.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hausel et al., 2005</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not intention to treat analysis, planned discharge at 24 hours postoperatively. Low event rates.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuill et al., 2005</td>
<td>Reporting bias*</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Study did not report outcomes of patients enrolled at other centers that were participating in a multicenter commercial trial.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melis et al., 2006</td>
<td>Selection bias*</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Excluded patients with body mass index &gt;30 kg/m². Assessed surgery induced immunosuppression as the primary outcome.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noblett et al., 2006</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups who were randomised to preoperative fasting or water ingestion were combined in the analyses.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapp-Kesek et al., 2007</td>
<td>Selection bias*</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Only studied patients aged &gt;65 years. Both study groups received intraoperative glucose infusions. Used Homeostasis Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance to determine perioperative changes in insulin sensitivity.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarvela et al., 2008</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both study groups received postoperative insulin infusions to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Selection bias*</td>
<td>Randomisation</td>
<td>Masking</td>
<td>Blinding</td>
<td>Results/Comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yagci et al., 2008</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>* Excluded patients ASA grade ≥III.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauwick et al., 2009</td>
<td>Yes**</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>*Women aged 19-70 years with a body mass index ≤35 kg/m² were enrolled. Excluded patients with history of motion sickness or postoperative nausea and vomiting. **Study drink administered to control group drink was not iso-volumetric with active comparator. Not intention to treat analysis. Did not utilise visual analogue scale questionnaires to measure postoperative nausea and vomiting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awad et al., 2010</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>*Only studied patients undergoing inpatient laparoscopic cholecystectomy for uncomplicated gallstone disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaska et al., 2010</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>*Age between 35-75 years and body mass index 20-30 kg/m² were included. Used the Qualitative Insulin Sensitivity Check Index to determine perioperative changes in insulin sensitivity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidder et al., 2010</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Studied groups included patients undergoing both open and laparoscopic surgery. Used Homeostasis Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance and insulin tolerance tests, to determine perioperative changes in insulin sensitivity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Selection bias</td>
<td>Randomization</td>
<td>Blinding</td>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Type of Insulin Sensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathur et al., 2010</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Homeostasis Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al., 2010</td>
<td>Selection bias*</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Homeostasis Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perrone et al., 2012</td>
<td>Selection bias*</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Homeostasis Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dock-Nascimento et al., 2012</td>
<td>Selection bias*</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Homeostasis Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants (studies) Follow up</td>
<td>Risk of bias</td>
<td>Inconsistency</td>
<td>Indirectness</td>
<td>Imprecision</td>
<td>Publication bias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications - All studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>878 (9 studies)</td>
<td>serious1</td>
<td>no serious inconsistency2</td>
<td>no serious indirectness</td>
<td>serious2</td>
<td>undetected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of stay - All studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1198 (12 studies)</td>
<td>serious2</td>
<td>no serious inconsistency</td>
<td>no serious indirectness</td>
<td>serious2,3</td>
<td>undetected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of stay - Major Abdominal Surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>762 (7 studies)</td>
<td>serious1</td>
<td>no serious inconsistency</td>
<td>no serious indirectness</td>
<td>no serious imprecision</td>
<td>undetected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of stay - Operative procedures with expected LOS &lt;3 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>406 (3 studies)</td>
<td>serious1</td>
<td>no serious inconsistency</td>
<td>no serious indirectness</td>
<td>no serious imprecision</td>
<td>undetected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of stay - Orthopaedic Surgery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 (2 studies)</td>
<td>serious2</td>
<td>no serious inconsistency</td>
<td>no serious indirectness</td>
<td>no serious imprecision</td>
<td>undetected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.5: Length of hospital stay

Data on length of hospital stay were available in twelve studies with a total of 1198 patients (preoperative carbohydrate treatment: 509 and control: 689 patients). Length of stay data were reported as actual length of hospital stay in eight studies (Soop et al., 2001), (Yuill et al., 2005), (Kaska et al., 2010, Mathur et al., 2010), (Hausel et al., 2005), (Dock-Nascimento et al., 2012, Perrone et al., 2011, Soop et al., 2004b), as time to ‘fitness to discharge’ in two studies (Mathur et al., 2010, Noblett et al., 2006b) and were not reported in the original publications of three studies (Hausel et al., 2001), (Henriksen et al., 2003, Nygren et al., 1998a). Five studies (Yuill et al., 2005, Kaska et al., 2010, Mathur et al., 2010, Noblett et al., 2006b, Perrone et al., 2011) reported median (interquartile range or range) length of stay, and the original authors were contacted to provide data as mean ± standard deviation.

To enable comparison between study groups, data from the placebo and fasted groups were combined and a new mean ± standard deviation calculated. Amongst all studies (Fig 4.2) that utilised preoperative carbohydrate treatment, there were no differences in length of stay between preoperative carbohydrate treatment and control patients [mean difference, inverse variance, random effects model, 95% confidence interval [-0.19 days, (-0.46 to -0.08), I² = 83%, p=0.16] (Fig 4.2).
However, subgroup analysis of studies (N=7, Figure 2) that included patients who received preoperative carbohydrate treatment prior to major open abdominal surgery, with 319 preoperative carbohydrate treatment and 443 control, demonstrated significant reduction in length of stay for the preoperative carbohydrate treatment group [mean difference, inverse variance, random effects model, 95% confidence interval [-1.08 days, (-1.87 to -0.29), I\(^2\) = 60%, p=0.007]. No differences were demonstrated in studies (N=4, Figure 4.2) that included patients undergoing surgical procedures with expected length of stay ≤2 days [mean difference, inverse variance, random effects model, 95% confidence interval [0.00, (-0.03 to 0.03), I\(^2\) = 0%, p=0.970]. Conversely, in two small studies of 30 patients undergoing orthopaedic surgery, there was a significant reduction in length of stay for the control group [mean difference, inverse variance, random effects model, 95% confidence interval [-0.48, (-0.23 to -0.73), I\(^2\) = 0%, p<0.0002].
### Figure 4.2: Length of Hospital Stay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or Subgroup</th>
<th>Carbohydrate group</th>
<th>Fasted / Placebo group</th>
<th>Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.2.1 All studies reporting length of stay</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nygren</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haukel (a)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soop (a)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henriksen</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soop (b)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haubel (b)</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>10.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yull</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noblett</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laswick</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaska</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>10.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathur</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>9.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perrone</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td>509</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>-0.19 [-0.46, 0.08] 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.11; \chi^2 = 63.33, df = 11 (P < 0.00001); P = 83%$

Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.39 (P = 0.16)$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>1.2.2 Major abdominal surgery</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nygren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henriksen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yull</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haubel (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noblett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perrone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal (95% CI)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.47; \chi^2 = 15.13, df = 6 (P = 0.02); P = 60%$

Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.08 (P = 0.077)$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>1.2.3 Operative procedures with expected length of stay less than or equal to 2 days</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haukel (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laswick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perrone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal (95% CI)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00; \chi^2 = 0.13, df = 2 (P = 0.94); P = 0$

Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.04 (P = 0.97)$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>1.2.4 Orthopaedic surgery</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soop (a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soop (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal (95% CI)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00; \chi^2 = 0.08, df = 1 (P = 0.77); P = 0$

Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.79 (P = 0.0002)$

Figure 4.2: Forest plot of the effect of preoperative oral carbohydrate treatment on length of hospital stay in patients undergoing elective surgery. Abbreviations: CI – confidence interval; IV – inverse variance.
4.3.6 Insulin resistance

The effects of preoperative carbohydrate treatment on the development of postoperative insulin resistance are shown in Table 4. Three studies utilised the hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp technique to measure relative changes in insulin sensitivity (Soop et al., 2001), (Soop et al., 2004b, Nygren et al., 1998a) and demonstrated significant reduction in development of postoperative insulin resistance in preoperative carbohydrate treatment patients when compared with control.

Three further studies (Mathur et al., 2010, Dock-Nascimento et al., 2012, Perrone et al., 2011) utilised Homeostasis Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance [HOMA-IR] calculations to determine changes in perioperative insulin resistance; with two demonstrating a reduction in postoperative HOMA-IR values between preoperative carbohydrate treated and control patients (Table 4.4). Finally, a study (Lidder et al., 2010) which utilised the Qualitative Insulin Sensitivity Check Index [QUICKI], to derive a crude estimate of changes in perioperative insulin sensitivity, demonstrated significant reduction in the postoperative insulin resistance index in the preoperative carbohydrate treatment group.
Table 4.4: Effect of preoperative carbohydrate treatment on development of postoperative insulin resistance.

For studies that utilized the ‘gold-standard’ technique for measuring insulin sensitivity [hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp], data presented are mean ± standard deviation. A greater reduction in insulin sensitivity implies greater development of insulin resistance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Method to determine insulin sensitivity</th>
<th>Mean ± standard deviation % relative change in insulin sensitivity measured by glucose infusion rate</th>
<th>Mean ± standard deviation % relative change in insulin sensitivity measured by glucose disposal rate</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nygren et al., 1999</td>
<td>Hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>Preoperative carbohydrate treatment: -26 ± 8%</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control: -49 ± 6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soop et al., 2001</td>
<td>Hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp</td>
<td>Preoperative carbohydrate treatment: -18 ± 6%</td>
<td>Preoperative carbohydrate treatment: -19 ± 6%</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control: -43 ± 9%</td>
<td>Control: -37 ± 9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soop et al., 2004</td>
<td>Hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp</td>
<td>Preoperative carbohydrate treatment: -36 ± 10%</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control: -49 ± 7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapp-Kesek et al., 2007</td>
<td>Homeostasis Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance</td>
<td>There were no significant differences in the ratios of homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance at baseline</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidder et al., 2010</td>
<td>Homeostasis Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance</td>
<td>Homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance was lower in group receiving pre- and post-operative supplement than in group receiving pre and post-operative placebo, <em>p</em>=0.011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathur et al., 2010</td>
<td>Homeostasis Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance</td>
<td>No significant differences in homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance between groups at baseline. Homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance significantly higher than baseline in placebo group on days 1, 5 and 7 but not significantly different in preoperative carbohydrate treatment patients postoperatively, <em>p</em>&gt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al., 2010</td>
<td>Homeostasis Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance</td>
<td>Median levels of homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance increased significantly by the end of surgery in all three study groups (oral carbohydrate treatment, placebo, fasting) but they were significantly lower in the oral carbohydrate treated group, <em>p</em>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perrone et al., 2012</td>
<td>Homeostasis Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance</td>
<td>The insulin resistance alterations before and after surgery were found to be significantly higher in the control group than the group that received the carbohydrate/whey protein study drink, <em>p</em>&lt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dock-Nascimento et al., 2012</td>
<td>Homeostasis Model Assessment-Insulin Resistance</td>
<td>Postoperative homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance was greater in control patients compared with the other three study groups (placebo drink, carbohydrate drink, carbohydrate-glutamine drink)</td>
<td>p=0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaska et al., 2010</td>
<td>Qualitative Insulin Sensitivity Check Index</td>
<td>No significance difference between preoperative carbohydrate treatment and control. QUICKI significantly lower postoperatively in preoperative carbohydrate treatment group compared to fasted group.</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.7 Pulmonary and surgical complications

No studies reported occurrence of drink-related pulmonary complications in preoperative carbohydrate treatment patients or those who received placebo. Nine studies that fulfilled the inclusion criteria provided data on the occurrence of postoperative complications (Figure 4.3). With 355 preoperative carbohydrate and 523 control patients, no differences were noted between the groups (Figure 3) in the occurrence of postoperative complications [risk ratio, Mantel-Haenszel, random effects model, 95% confidence interval (0.88, [0.50 to 1.53], I² = 41%, p=0.64). However, few of the included studies reported occurrence of complications after hospital discharge (i.e. prolonged follow-up) and therefore the presented data reflects the effects of PCT on the occurrence of in-hospital complications.

Figure 4.3: Forest plot of the effect of preoperative oral carbohydrate treatment on development of surgical complications in patients undergoing elective surgery.

Abbreviations: CI- confidence interval; IV- inverse variance. No differences in complications were noted between CHO and control.
4.3.7 Postoperative nausea and vomiting

Data on postoperative nausea and vomiting were reported in five studies (Yuill et al., 2005), (Hausel et al., 2005, Lauwick et al., 2009), (Henriksen et al., 2003, Jarvela et al., 2008). Nausea was determined by means of visual analogue scale questionnaires. Three studies demonstrated no differences in the occurrence of postoperative nausea and vomiting between preoperative carbohydrate treatment and control groups (Yuill et al., 2005), (Lauwick et al., 2009, Henriksen et al., 2003), one study reported fewer (Hausel et al., 2005) and one study reported more (Jarvela et al., 2008) 25 instances of postoperative nausea and vomiting in preoperative carbohydrate treated patients. However, only two studies (Hausel et al., 2005), (Lauwick et al., 2009) reported data on the use of anti-emetics.
4.4 Discussion

Principal findings
This meta-analysis of 21 randomised controlled trials evaluating the effects of preoperative carbohydrate treatment on patients undergoing elective surgery demonstrated a significant reduction in length of stay amongst patients undergoing major open abdominal surgery. Preoperative carbohydrate treatment was safe (no occurrence of drink-related complications), associated with reduced development of postoperative insulin resistance but the latter was not associated with any effect on surgical complications.

Strengths of the meta-analysis
This is the first meta-analysis to examine the effects of preoperative carbohydrate treatment on clinical outcomes in 1685 patients undergoing elective surgery. The only subgroup of studies that included sufficient numbers of patients (N=762) to demonstrate a potential difference in length of hospital stay were those of patients undergoing major abdominal surgery. Therefore, for the primary outcome of the effect of preoperative carbohydrate treatment on length of stay, data from this subgroup of patients were of clinical importance and comprised the largest grouping of patients presently available in the literature. To maintain homogeneity of interventions, the studies that prescribed <50 g of carbohydrates were excluded from the analysis. A separate subgroup analysis based on the surgical procedures, identified the true effect of preoperative carbohydrate drinks on length of stay, especially those undergoing major abdominal surgery that would otherwise be
confounded by other surgical procedures of lesser magnitude. Assessment of the quality of individual studies and the reported outcomes using Cochrane methodology and grading the evidence presented using GRADEpro®, further strengthens the methodology.

**Limitations of the meta-analysis**

The main limitation of this meta-analysis was the relatively weak design of many of the included studies whose quality, as assessed by GRADEpro®, was rated as low to moderate. There were small numbers and significant heterogeneity in the design and magnitude of surgery in many studies of preoperative carbohydrate treatment that precluded their inclusion in this meta-analysis. The definitions of outcomes such as complications and reporting of events varied between studies. Similarly, insulin resistance were measured using different methods in the included studies, making it difficult to arrive at a common consensus, though most studies reported attenuation of postoperative insulin resistance in carbohydrate treated patients. Moreover, not all outcomes were reported by the included studies. Therefore this meta-analysis included studies that used preoperative carbohydrates and reported at least one outcome of interest. The aforementioned weaknesses limited the numbers of studies eligible for inclusion in the assessment of the primary outcome of length of hospital stay.
Meaning of the study/Health policy implications

For the primary outcome, difference in length of hospital stay, the studies of major open abdominal surgery demonstrated a reduction in length of hospital stay by one day. This is likely due, in part, to improved recovery after surgery since no differences in complication rates were demonstrated between the carbohydrate treated and control groups. This improved recovery could be explained by the metabolic effects of preoperative carbohydrate treatment, namely, an attenuated postoperative insulin resistance response leading to marked effects on several key aspects of metabolism. For glucose metabolism, development of insulin resistance reduces glucose uptake in peripheral insulin-sensitive cells such as skeletal muscle, this occurring secondary to decreased activation of specific glucose transporting proteins such as glucose transporter-4 (GLUT-4) (Thorell et al., 1999a). The latter was recently shown due to a defect in a key intracellular signalling pathway involving phosphatidyl-inositol-3-kinase in muscle (Wang et al., 2010). Simultaneously with the effects on glucose metabolism, preoperative carbohydrate treatment results in decreased protein catabolism in the body (Svanfeldt et al., 2007) and improved activation of anabolic signals (tyrosine kinase) in muscle (Wang et al., 2010). The aforementioned changes may be responsible, in part, for observed reduced loss of lean body mass postoperatively (Yuill et al., 2005). The combination of reduced substrate supply and loss of muscle mass may explain the finding of substantial reductions in muscle force that persist for weeks following elective surgery in preoperative fasted patients compared to patient given preoperative carbohydrate treatment (Henriksen et al., 2003). The two small orthopaedic studies included a
total of 30 patients and were underpowered to inform an effect of preoperative carbohydrate treatment on length of hospital stay. Similarly, three further studies (Dock-Nascimento et al., 2012, Perrone et al., 2011, Hausel et al., 2005) that included patients undergoing day surgery and procedures with an expected length of hospital stay of one day, would not have been expected to demonstrate measurable differences in length of stay between preoperative carbohydrate treated and control patients. Furthermore, the main mechanisms underlying any differences in length of stay between preoperative carbohydrate treatment and control groups are thought related to either improved recovery and/or reduction in complications, both associated with changes in insulin resistance. However, laparoscopic and minor surgery are associated with minimal development of insulin resistance and low complication rates, therefore, an intervention such as preoperative carbohydrate treatment would not be expected to improve clinical outcomes in this group of patients. Any beneficial effects of preoperative carbohydrate treatment in such patient groups would primarily be related to improving preoperative well-being (Hausel et al., 2001, Bisgaard et al., 2004).

Preoperative carbohydrate treatment reduced development of postoperative insulin resistance when the gold-standard hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp was utilised to measure insulin sensitivity. These findings were akin to previous data from studies that utilised intravenous glucose treatment to effect a similar degree of insulin release (Nygren et al., 1998) and strongly support the notion that preoperative carbohydrate treatment does reduce the development of early postoperative insulin resistance (Awad et al., 2009). Studies that measure changes in
perioperative insulin resistance utilising methods that use basal insulin and glucose concentrations (i.e. HOMA-IR and QUICKI) yielded conflicting data which should be interpreted with caution. HOMA-IR and QUICKI values are derived from formulae of basal concentrations of insulin and glucose, a situation that arises when insulin is not active. Indeed, measurement of insulin sensitivity relies on quantifying insulin stimulated glucose uptake in peripheral tissues; the latter only seen with higher concentrations of insulin (seen post-prandially and in the hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp). Utilising HOMA as a surrogate marker for the hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp would not be appropriate, therefore, since the two modalities measure different parameters that are not comparable. Furthermore, the clinical effects of insulin resistance have traditionally been demonstrated utilising the hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp (Sato et al., 2010, Soop et al., 2001, Svanfeldt et al., 2005, Nygren et al., 1998a). Whilst there exists evidence that a reduction in insulin resistance may lead to reduced postoperative complications (Sato et al., 2010), this was not confirmed by the present meta-analysis, which demonstrated no differences in the occurrence of postoperative complications following preoperative carbohydrate treatment, despite the reduction in postoperative insulin resistance.

The data on well-being presented in this meta-analysis were conflicting. Two studies of patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy (Hausel et al., 2001, Bisgaard et al., 2004) demonstrated improved preoperative well-being compared to placebo, whilst this was not confirmed a third in patients undergoing thyroid surgery (Lauwick et al., 2009). The reasons underlying these conflicting data remain unknown but may
include the use of differing methods to measure changes in well-being and differing magnitudes of surgical procedures.

The traditional practice of overnight fasting has definitively been shown to be unnecessary and numerous anaesthesia societies have now changed their guidelines to permit more liberal fluid intakes (Maltby, 2006) up to 2 h prior to induction of anaesthesia. Findings from the present meta-analysis indicated preoperative carbohydrate treatment was not associated with an increase in drink-related complications; confirming the safety of utilising oral complex carbohydrate drinks of appropriate osmolality preoperatively. Numerous countries have already updated their preoperative guidelines to recommended preoperative carbohydrate treatment due to its perceived beneficial effects on insulin resistance and well-being (Smith et al., 2011b). This meta-analysis also suggests that, in addition to the aforementioned benefits, faster recovery (decreased length of hospital stay) in patients undergoing open major abdominal surgery may also be expected. Preoperative carbohydrate treatment, as part of a multimodal enhanced recovery protocol, is recommended by the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS®) group. When employing several components of the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery recommendations, a recent meta-analysis demonstrated a reduction in length of stay of 2.5 days (Varadhan et al., 2010), in keeping with recent data that suggest the beneficial effects on reduced recovery time and complication rates accrued in an almost stepwise fashion with increased compliance and utilisation of Enhanced Recovery After Surgery components (Gustafsson et al., 2011). Interestingly, in the latter study (Gustafsson et
the components that affected outcomes were preoperative carbohydrate treatment and fluid overload.

**Future research**

As the quality of evidence presented in this meta-analysis was weak, further well-designed randomised studies are required to specifically examine the effects of preoperative carbohydrate treatment on postoperative recovery and determine which patient groups are likely to benefit most. Such studies should be conducted in patient groups where expected postoperative length of stay is of sufficient length to enable differences in the effects of preoperative carbohydrate treatment on metabolism and subsequent function to be detected. In addition, such studies should be performed whilst controlling for other aspects of perioperative care known to influence length of stay (Gustafsson et al., 2011). On the other hand, future studies of patients undergoing ‘minor’ surgery should focus on any potential effects of preoperative carbohydrate treatment on perioperative well-being. This would be of interest given recent data on the central regulatory effects of glucose and insulin on the serotonin system (Orosco and Gerozissis, 2001). There presently exists limited data on the effects of preoperative carbohydrate treatment in patients who are obese (body mass index >30 kg/m²), ASA grade ≥III, have diabetes, or are undergoing emergency surgery. However, further randomised trials of preoperative carbohydrate treatment as the only intervention may be difficult to undertake, as this intervention is now incorporated into enhanced recovery after surgery protocols. Any beneficial effects of preoperative carbohydrate treatment demonstrated in
these trials may be difficult to isolate from those caused by other interventions within the enhanced recovery protocols (such as use of neuroaxial blockade).

In summary, this meta-analysis suggests that use of preoperative carbohydrate treatment in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery may be associated with shortened length of hospital stay and attenuation of insulin resistance. However, the quality of evidence was low to moderate necessitating further well-designed randomised trials.
Chapter 5

The mechanistic basis of metabolic response to surgery and the development of postoperative insulin resistance in patients having major abdominal surgery
5.1 Background & Aims
Postoperative insulin resistance (POIR) is a hallmark feature in patients having major abdominal surgery (Thorell et al., 1999b). Surgical stress may induce changes in metabolic pathways that perturb glucose homeostasis in the perioperative period, resulting in stress hyperglycaemia which contributes to increased postoperative morbidity, length of stay and prolonged recovery (Thorell et al., 1999b, van den Berghe et al., 2001, Jackson et al., 2012). Similarly, studies in burns injury (Gore et al., 2001), trauma (Laird et al., 2004, Sung et al., 2005, Jeschke et al., 2004), and critically ill patients (Bochicchio et al., 2005, Pittas et al., 2004) also show a causal relationship between hyperglycaemia and increased morbidity and mortality. Conversely, maintenance of glycaemia with insulin therapy is associated with reduction of length of stay and decreased risk of complications in this group of patients (Van den Berghe et al., 2006, Finfer et al., 2009). However, the molecular mechanisms underlying these postoperative changes in carbohydrate oxidation and insulin resistance is less clear.

POIR develops within hours of surgery and into the first postoperative day (Thorell et al., 1999a). The effects of metabolic response to surgery has been shown to peak in the first 24-48 hours following surgery and last for several days postoperatively before returning to basal conditions (Thorell et al., 1999b).

Skeletal muscle becomes refractory to insulin action during stress and contributes to peripheral insulin resistance. Skeletal muscle constitutes a primary site for insulin dependent glucose uptake (Zurlo et al., 1990) and along with liver, plays an important role in the development of POIR during stress states.
In previous studies using a rodent-endotoxaemia model of clinical endotoxaemia, it was noted that inhibition of PI3K/Akt1 signaling, and activation of the Forkhead Box O (FOXO) family of transcription factors that lie downstream of PI3K/Akt1, occurred concomitantly with induction of muscle atrophy programme and the impairment of muscle carbohydrate oxidation (Crossland et al., 2008). Importantly, these events were accompanied by marked upregulation of FOXO gene targets muscle atrophy F-box (MAFbx), RING finger 1 (MuRF1) and pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase-4 (PDK4), which strongly suggests a role for Akt/FOXO signaling in the simultaneous induction of muscle atrophy and impairment of muscle carbohydrate oxidation during endotoxaemia. Impaired Akt/FOXO signaling has also been implicated in muscle insulin resistance, through FOXO-mediated upregulation of isoform PDK4. PDK4 phosphorylates and inactivates pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDC), the rate-limiting step in carbohydrate oxidation. Thus, increased PDK4 expression may lead to the development of POIR in patients having major abdominal surgery.

Furthermore, a study investigating the changes in anabolic and catabolic pathways in skeletal muscle of critically ill patients has demonstrated a key role of muscle pro-inflammatory cytokines, changes in PI3K/Akt signalling pathway, cathepsin, PDK4 and muscle ubiquitin ligases, MAFBx and MURF1 in the molecular regulation of muscle mass and carbohydrate metabolism (Constantin et al., 2011). Both, IL-6 and TNF-α mRNA expression were higher in patients than controls (6.5 fold & 2 fold, respectively). Also, the magnitude of phosphorylation of signalling proteins thought to control translation initiation of protein synthesis such as Akt, GSK3 and mTOR/p70s6k, was reduced with the simultaneous up-regulation of muscle protein
breakdown pathways (Ubiquitin proteasome complex and Cathepsin-L) compared to controls. Both, PDK4 mRNA and protein expression were raised (2-fold and 2.7 fold respectively), indicating inhibition of muscle carbohydrate oxidation occurs concomitantly with protein breakdown in these patients.

As detailed in Chapter 1 of this thesis, evidence from studies involving surgical patients suggests a possible role of inflammation in the development of postoperative insulin resistance. For example, Witasp et al demonstrated a significantly increased expression of IL-6, IL-6 receptor and TNF, in skeletal muscle of patients having surgery postoperatively (Witasp et al., 2009b). The inflammation induced by surgical stress may be associated with impairment of the gut epithelial barrier due to disruption of tight junctions and increased para-cellular penetration of luminal macromolecules, including of bacterial antigens, thereby resulting in an exaggerated inflammatory response (Hollander., 1999; Clayburgh et al., 2004).

Therefore, we contend whether inflammation associated with surgical trauma is responsible for the concomitant activation of muscle insulin resistance and protein breakdown, and whether this can be linked to impaired Akt signalling and FOXO mediated up-regulation of its downstream targets, namely PDK4 and MafBx. Gastrointestinal surgery mediated increases in gut permeability and expression of IL-6 and PDK4 mRNAs in quadiceps muscle may underpin the post-operative increase in whole-body insulin resistance in humans. An improved understanding of the mechanisms involved in the development of POIR and surgical stress induced changes in carbohydrate metabolism are of substantial interest, to determine future therapeutic interventional targets to attenuate the surgical stress response and POIR.
Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate the mechanistic basis of metabolic response to surgery and the development of postoperative insulin resistance in patients having major abdominal surgery.

5.2 Methods

5.2.1 Experimental design and sample collection

Fifteen patients undergoing major elective open gastrointestinal surgery were included prospectively, after obtaining a written informed consent and ethics approval. Patients who were undergoing emergency surgery, suffering from chronic illness, (e.g. diabetes), disseminated malignant disease, on medications known to affect glucose metabolism, on statins, on long term antibiotics or anti-inflammatory drugs (e.g. NSAIDS, Steroids), immunosuppressive agents, on full therapeutic dose of anticoagulants, or anti-platelet therapy, suffering from bleeding diathesis were excluded from the study.

**Fig 5.1: Time course of sample collection from patients undergoing major surgery**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D2-14 Days</th>
<th>Start of surgery</th>
<th>End of surgery</th>
<th>2nd postoperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GTT &amp; ⁵¹Cr-EDTA tests (Preop)</strong></td>
<td><strong>GTT &amp; ⁵¹Cr-EDTA tests (2nd POD)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Blood samples;</td>
<td>- Muscle Biopsy;</td>
<td>GTT – Glucose Tolerance Test;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⁵¹Cr-EDTA – Chromium EDTA test for gut permeability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following investigations were performed in patients having major abdominal surgery (Fig. 5.1):

1) Arterialised-venous blood samples were obtained at three time-points; pre-assessment visit, during surgery and on the second postoperative day and were analysed for plasma concentrations of insulin, cortisol, lactate and free fatty acids

2) An Oral Glucose Tolerance Test (GTT) was performed at the pre-assessment visit and on the 2nd postoperative day (Chapter 1). Glucose was measured using (HemoCue glucose analyser; HemoCue Ltd, Ängelholm, Sweden).

3) A $^{51}$Cr-EDTA gut permeability test using an oral preparation containing 1.8 MBq of 51 chromium labelled ethylene-diamine-tetra-acetate ($^{51}$Cr-EDTA), (Department of Medical Physics, University of Nottingham, U.K.) in 100 mL of water was performed during the screening visit and on the 2nd postoperative day. A 24 hour urine sample was collected and a small sample of urine was counted for radioactivity by a γ-scintillation counter in triplicate (IGE Millennium VG camera with Hawkeye CT and Wallac Wizard 3 automatic gamma counter). Results were expressed as the percentage urinary excretion of the orally administered dose of $^{51}$Cr-EDTA.

4) Muscle biopsies of approximately 100 mg, were taken from rectus abdominis and vastus lateralis muscles at the beginning and at the end of surgery. The samples were immediately snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. The specimens obtained at the end of surgery were taken from the contralateral side to avoid false measurements due to local tissue injury. The samples were analysed for the following:

- Muscle mRNA expression: Interleukin-6 (IL-6), Tumour Necrosis Factor-α (TNF-α), Protein Kinase-B (Akt1), Insulin Receptor Substrate-1 (IRS-1), Forkheadbox-0 (FOXO),
Ubiquitin ligases (MAFbx and MURF1), and Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Kinase-4 (PDK4).

- Muscle protein expression: IL-6, MAFbx, FOXO, PDK4 and P70s6k.
- Muscle Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Complex (PDC) activity and metabolites: glycogen, lactate
- Mitochondrial ATP production rates (MAPR).

5.2.2 Statistics
Within subject comparisons of responses to surgical stress over time will eliminate inter-individual variability. Existing gene expression data from healthy volunteer studies in our department indicates that with \( P<0.01 \) and an analysis power of 90%, a true difference in the mean response of matched pairs that equates with a doubling of mRNA expressions was detected, using 13 subjects. In the present study fifteen patients were included to allow for 2 dropouts. Data are presented as means ± S.E.M. and comparisons were performed using Student’s unpaired \( t \) test. Real-time PCR results were analysed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences were considered statistically significant when \( P < 0.05 \).

5.3 Results
Fifteen patients [49 (range 22-70) years, BMI 26 (range 18-38) kg/m2] undergoing major elective open abdominal surgery were included in the study. Seven of these patients were operated for pancreatic cancer and eight were for benign disease, such as chronic pancreatitis and incisional hernia. Mean time elapsed between biopsies was 223 min (range 110-390). The average white cell count was 8.98 (5.4 – 14.0).
mRNA expression changes in both rectus and vastus muscle is shown as fold-change from muscle biopsies taken at the start of surgery (pre-surgery) to those taken at the end of surgery, where the pre-surgery results were set as one. The values in the legend represent the statistical difference of gene expression between the two muscle groups. (*represents significance from pre-surgery (p<0.05).

Biopsies from rectus muscle at the start and end of surgery, showed a significant fold-change in gene expression changes for TNF-α (16.5-fold), FOXO1 (10.5-fold), MafBx (11.5-fold), Cathepsin (7.5-fold) and PDK4 (7.8-fold) (Figure 2). Whereas, in the vastus muscle, the fold change for mRNAs were significant for TNF-α (6-fold), FOXO1 (2.3 fold), MafBx (6.4-fold), and PDK4 (3.5-fold) (Fig. 2).
5.3 Ratio of gene expression (Rectus Abdominis / Vastus Lateralis Muscle) in patients having major abdominal surgery

The data from the present study showed marked alterations in gene expression in skeletal muscle during major abdominal surgery. The ratio of mRNA expression changes in both rectus and vastus muscle is shown as fold-change from muscle biopsies taken at the start of surgery (pre-surgery) to those taken at the end of surgery. The magnitude of response in the rectus muscle (being the site of the maximal injury due to abdominal surgery) was greater for most genes studied, when compared with gene expression from the vastus muscle. However, the pattern of gene expression was similar across all genes in both groups of muscles. Cathepsin-L, FOXO1, and IL-6 mRNA expressions were significantly higher in the rectus when compared with vastus muscle (Fig. 5.2). (*represents significance from pre-surgery; P<0.05). Muscle mRNA expressions of the two pro-inflammatory cytokines, IL-6 and TNF-α, was greater at the end of surgery compared with pre-surgery. Specifically, in the rectus, IL-6 mRNA expression was about 3000-fold higher.
The IL-6 mRNA expression in vastus, showed a highly significant fold change in IL-6 mRNA post-surgery (**P<0.01).

Thus, the gene expression data in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery, shows that there was increased expression of mRNA involved in inflammation (IL-6, TNF-α); muscle carbohydrate oxidation (PDK4) and protein breakdown (FOXO1, MafBx, Cathepsin-L) postoperatively.
Figure 5.5 shows, fold-change in the relative optical density of IL-6, MafBx and PDK4, ratio of phosphorylated p70s6k to total p70s6k, ratio of phosphorylated to total FOXO1 proteins in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery, quantified by Western blotting. Blank staple represents protein expression at start of surgery and filled staple represents protein expression at end of surgery. (*, **, *** refers to significance level of P<0.05, P<0.01, P< 0.001).

Major abdominal surgery resulted in a significant fold-change in protein expression for IL-6 (5.9±2.23), PDK4 (4.49±1.85), P70s6k (14.9±3.8), phosphorylated P70s6k (15.7±6.7), FOXO1 (11.21±4.08), and phosphorylated FOXO1 (7.82±3.28).

The corresponding western blots for MAFbx, phosphorylated FOXO1, FOXO1, phosphorylated p70s6k, p70S6k, PDK4 and IL-6 in rectus muscle biopsies obtained at the beginning (Pre) and end of surgery (Post) from patients undergoing major abdominal surgery.
Figure 5.6: Protein expression in Vastus Lateralis muscle in patients having major abdominal surgery

No significant fold-change were noted in the relative optical density in vastus muscle for IL-6, MAFbx and PDK4, phosphorylated 70s6k, total p70s6k, phosphorylated and total FOXO1 proteins in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery quantified by Western blotting. Pre-surgery represents protein expression at start of surgery and post-surgery represents protein expression at end of surgery.

Figure 5.7, also shows typical Western blots for MAFbx, pFOXO1, FOXO1, Pp70s6k, p70S6k, PDK4 and IL-6 in vastus muscle biopsies. The values at the beginning (Pre) and end of surgery (Post) from patients undergoing major abdominal surgery was not significant (n = 15).
Gut permeability test was performed using the $^{51}$Cr-EDTA method at the time of screening and 24 hours postoperatively in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery. The figure shows a significant increase in gut permeability following surgery.

Gut permeability (% urinary excretion of $^{51}$Cr-EDTA): Pre surgery: $4.79 \pm 0.82$; Post-surgery: $7.30 \pm 1.03$; (* refers to significance level of P<0.05).
Figure 5.8: Plasma Cytokines in patients having major abdominal surgery

Figure 5.8 shows the concentration of plasma IL-6 and TNF-α during the volunteer screening visit, pre-operatively (pre-op), at end of surgery (post-op) and 24 hours after surgery (24 hr). There was a significant increase in both plasma IL-6 and TNF-α postoperatively, which remained elevated after 24 hours post-surgery, secondary to the surgical stress response. (*, **, *** refers to significance level of P<0.05, P<0.01, P< 0.001).
Plasma insulin concentration was significantly lower at the end of surgery compared with preoperative levels (screening: 14.2±2.2 versus post-surgery: 10.6±2.1, P<0.05). No significant differences for serum concentration of insulin were noted between measurements taken at the start of surgery, end of surgery and 24 hours postoperatively. (* refers significance value of P<0.05).
Plasma cortisol concentrations were significantly increased at the end of surgery compared with preoperative levels (mean difference ± standard error: 5.617±1.2; *p<0.05). This suggests that following surgery, the counter-regulatory hormonal response is induced secondary to the trauma of surgery, leading to an increase in cortisol levels. However, cortisol concentrations seem to decrease to near-baseline levels (pre-surgery levels) at 24 hours, suggesting that the degree of inflammation is seem to subside with time, postoperatively and is considerably less when compared with levels seen at the end of surgery.
Plasma lactate concentration increased from 1.26±0.13 mmol/l at the start of surgery to 1.82±0.22 mmol/l at the end of surgery, *P<0.05.

Following surgery, plasma FFA levels increased from pre-surgery (0.56 ± 0.11) versus post-surgery (0.72±0.09) P<0.01. FFA levels declined from post-surgery to 24 hours post-surgery (0.39±0.45) P<0.05.
Patients having major abdominal surgery showed a significant decrease in rectus muscle glycogen concentration post-surgery [mean ± S.E.M]: (306.93 ± 20.5 versus 213.64 ± 20.8); p<0.003].

The activation status of pyruvate dehydrogenase is shown in figure 5.14, as measured by the assay of the rate of acetyl CoA production from pyruvate in muscle homogenates. The results show a significant decrease in pyruvate dehydrogenase complex activity, post-surgery, (PDC activity measured in mmol/acytCoA min/kg wet muscle @ 37°C; p<0.036).
In patients having major abdominal surgery, a significant decline of mitochondrial ATP production rates of approximately 50% was observed when Glutamate, Glutamate+Malate, Palmitoyl-carnitine +Malate, Pyruvate+ Malate were used as substrates.

Mitochondrial ATP production rates were suppressed post-surgery for the substrate combinations of glutamate/succinate (19.21 ± 3.99nM/min/ml pre-operatively vs. 10.55 ± 2.33nM/min/ml post-operatively, p<0.05), palmitoyl carnitine/malate (9.76 ± 2.51nM/min/ml vs. 4.81 ± 1.03nM/min/ml, p<0.05), succinate (3.08 ± 0.76nM/min/ml vs. 2.28 ± 0.91nM/min/ml, p<0.05) and glutamate/malate (12.74 ± 2.88nM/min/ml vs. 6.75 ± 2.22nM/min/ml, p<0.05).
A glucose tolerance test was performed in all patients at the time of screening (preoperative) and at 24 hours after surgery (postoperative). The test showed an increase in both fasting and postprandial glucose levels postoperatively. When compared with the preoperative levels, these results were highly significant, confirming the development of postoperative insulin resistance after major abdominal surgery.
5.4 Discussion

Postoperative muscle insulin resistance is a hallmark feature of patients having major abdominal surgery. The results from the study in patients having major abdominal surgery, suggest that surgical stress induced inflammatory response, was associated with inhibition of Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Complex (PDC) controlled carbohydrate oxidation secondary to raised PDK4 and may be an important causative factor in the development of POIR. Furthermore, surgical stress induced up-regulation of protein breakdown pathways by FOXO mediated up-regulation of ubiquitin ligase, MAFbx mRNA and MAFbx protein, as well as Cathepsin-L mRNA. The present data suggest a common signalling pathway involving Akt/FOXO and PDK4, which may be involved in the impairment of carbohydrate oxidation and muscle protein breakdown, in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery (Fig 5.17).

Fig 5.17: Mechanistic basis of postoperative muscle insulin resistance
Surgery induces a systemic inflammatory response which attracts macrophages, neutrophils at the site of injury and generates important mediators including cytokines such as IL-6 and TNF-α, which can act locally on the wound and also target distant organs such as gut, liver and skeletal muscle (Hill and Hill, 1998). In keeping with activation of inflammatory response following surgery, both the cytokines IL-6 and TNF-α mRNA were found to be up-regulated in our study (p<0.05). There was also a significant increase in plasma IL-6 and TNF-α, postoperatively compared with pre-operative concentrations. It has been reported that cytokines and counter-regulatory hormones work synergistically to mediate the metabolic response to trauma or sepsis (Watters et al., 1986). The inflammatory response induced by cytokines is reported to affect IRS-1 binding (Rui et al., 2001) and decrease Akt1 signalling (Medina et al., 2005, Latres et al., 2005). These events could lead to decreased phosphorylation of FOXO family of transcription factors and up-regulation of FOXO gene targets such as MAFbx and PDK4 (Sandri et al., 2004, Crossland et al., 2008).

The present study in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery showed a significant increase in gut permeability following surgery (% urinary excretion of $^{51}$Cr-EDTA): Pre surgery: $4.79 \pm 0.82$; Post-surgery: $7.30 \pm 1.03$; p<0.02. The amino acid, glutamine is a main fuel for the enterocytes and is important to maintain the gut-barrier function. In acute stress states, glutamine deficiency causes gut mucosal atrophy and breakdown of the gut mucosal barrier. It has also been reported that disruption of intestinal tight junctions or increased para-cellular permeability can occur due to an intestinal disease, an initial injury or an inflammatory mediated
insult, allowing entry of luminal antigens, thereby triggering an exaggerated immune response (Clayburgh et al., 2004, Hollander, 1999). The translocated bacteria or their products in the portal or systemic circulation may induce a cytokine response via activation of macrophages in either the liver or mesenteric lymph nodes and may influence the ongoing metabolic processes (Deitch et al., 1994, Meakins, 1990). In keeping with this, an increased risk of septic complications possibly due to changes in the intestinal mucosal barrier, intestinal permeability and bacterial translocation have been demonstrated in patients secondary to major abdominal surgery, severe acute pancreatitis, burns, critical illness and major trauma (Chin et al., 2007, Costantini et al., 2009, Qiao et al., 2009, Qiao et al., 2006, Takesue et al., 2002, Zhang and Jiang, 2009).

Impaired PI3K/Akt1/FOXO signalling and the resultant up-regulation of PDK4 are considered to be the key regulators of induction of insulin resistance. The protein kinase-B, Akt1 is central to the insulin signalling mechanism in which its activation regulates protein synthesis and its inactivation allows the expression of atrogin-1 (MAFbx), via up-regulation of FOXO. Stimulation of skeletal muscle with insulin-like growth factor (IGF-1) leads to up-regulation of Akt1 and activation of protein synthesis via mTOR/p70S6k, while inactivating the repressors of protein synthesis 4E-BP1 and GSK3 (Nader, 2005).

The mRNA expression data shows Akt1 was not significantly up-regulated at the end of surgery (Figure 5.2). Therefore, it is possible that following surgery, Akt1 was in a predominantly dephosphorylated/inactive state, and the likely factor to cause Akt1 dysregulation and thereby FOXO signalling is TNF-α (Medina et al., 2005), which
enables FOXO1 to up-regulate PDK4. In the present study, major abdominal surgery resulted in both, FOXO1 and PDK4 mRNA up-regulation postoperatively (10.5-fold and 7.8-fold respectively; p<0.05). This was also associated with a significant fold-change (p<0.05), in protein expression for FOXO1 (11.21±4.08), PFOXO1 (7.82±3.28) and PDK4 (4.49±1.85).

An increased expression of FOXO mRNA was likely to be responsible for the up-regulation of the ubiquitin ligase, MAFbx (11.5-fold in rectus, 6.5-fold in vastus), which is involved in atrophy signalling. It has also been reported that TNF-α stimulates MAFbx expression via a p38 MAPK dependent mechanism in skeletal muscle (Li et al., 2005). FoxO1, in addition to activation of the ubiquitin ligases, could also enhance muscle atrophy through inhibition of myogenesis resulting from enhanced myostatin expression (Allen and Unterman, 2007). In our study, there was no significant upregulation of Myostatin in both rectus and vastus muscle, postoperatively (Figure 5.2). However, there was a significant increase in protein expression for phosphorylated p70S6k and total p70S6k (P70s6k: 14.9±3.8), phosphorylated P70s6k: 15.7±6.7; p<0.05). However, the ratio of phosphorylated to total p70s6k was not found to be significant, suggesting that there was no effect on protein synthesis in the immediate postoperative period (Figure 5.6).

A likely factor causing inhibition of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDC) is the FOXO1 mediated up-regulation of PDK4. PDC activity determines the glucose flux in the mitochondria and thus controls the rate-limiting step in carbohydrate oxidation, the oxidative decarboxylation of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA to be used in the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle in the mitochondria subsequently, where reducing
equivalents are produced for use in the electron transport chain to generate ATP. Inhibiting the activity of PDC by phosphorylation of the complex prevents the conversion of carbohydrate-derived pyruvate into acetyl-CoA (Harris et al., 2001). The study showed a reduced muscle PDC activity at the end of surgery compared with pre-surgery levels (p<0.05), confirming that surgical stress induced increase in PDK4 decreased PDC activity (5.15) and resulted in decreased mitochondrial ATP production (Figure 5.16).

Evidence from other studies also shows that inflammation plays an important role in muscle carbohydrate metabolism in surgical patients. A study in patients undergoing major gastrointestinal surgery highlighted the involvement of local inflammatory mediators in surgical stress (Witasp et al., 2009a). The study showed an increased expression of genes encoding inflammation such as IL-6 and TNF-α, whilst the changes in expression of genes encoding molecules involved in insulin signalling and glucose transport such as insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS1), phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K) and GLUT4 were less marked. Similar gene expression changes for IL-6 were noted in epicardial and subcutaneous adipose tissue in patients undergoing cardiac surgery (Kremen et al., 2006) and in skeletal muscle of patients undergoing open cholecystectomy and hernia repair (Thorell et al., 1996b), which also reported a significant linear relationship between the reduction in relative insulin sensitivity and the concomitant plasma levels of IL-6, with only minor increments in the levels of stress hormones postoperatively. Other studies have also reported that cytokines also influence skeletal muscle catabolism both directly by modulating protein synthesis and degradation and indirectly, through inhibition of the regulatory action
of anabolic hormones (Vary, 1998) and activation of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (Navarra et al., 1991). Thus it was established from the present study and the evidence from the aforementioned studies that the transcriptional regulation of MAFbx and PDK4 via FOXO1 is intrinsically linked to the inflammatory state and cellular metabolic status via a co-ordinated pathway of signalling events.

Evidence from this present study highlighted important cellular events in carbohydrate metabolism that may lead to postoperative muscle insulin resistance and hyperglycaemia. Patients having major abdominal surgery had increased plasma concentrations of free fatty acids, lactate and cortisol, postoperatively, possibly as a consequence of the metabolic response to surgery and increased catecholamine activity, which results in an increased turnover of free fatty acid and glycerol from adipose tissue. Cortisol, along with other counter-regulatory hormones such as catecholamines, glucagon and growth hormone, can raise blood glucose by enhanced glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis (Rizza et al., 1982, Nosadini et al., 1983). In keeping with this finding, there was also a significant decrease in rectus muscle glycogen concentration post-surgery [mean ± SD]: (306.9 ± 79.6 versus 213.6 ± 80.5; p<0.003] and an increased lactate production which was significantly raised postoperatively (1.26±0.13 mmol at the start of surgery to 1.82±0.22 mmol at the end of surgery, P<0.05), possibly from muscle glycogenolysis and increased metabolism in the hypoxic injured tissues. Evidence show that increased lactate production in the acute inflammatory state is subsequently utilized by the liver for gluconeogenesis in the ‘Cori cycle’, for maintaining the energy supply in the trauma (Shaw and Wolfe, 1989), in patients with burns (Wilmore et al., 1980, Frayn, 1985).
Evidence from studies in patients undergoing surgery, shows a significantly higher plasma concentrations of glucose (Brandi et al., 1990, Crowe et al., 1984), noradrenaline and glucagon whilst the levels for insulin, growth hormone, cortisol and adrenaline were unaltered (Thorell et al., 1994). Postoperative hyperglycaemia was associated with increased insulin requirements to maintain euglycaemia (Brandi et al., 1990) and a raise in counter-regulatory hormones, whole body protein oxidation and energy expenditure (Brandi et al., 1990). It was noted that glucose utilisation was significantly depressed during and after surgery along with suppression of insulin secretion during operation, increased plasma cortisol levels and an increased urinary catecholamine excretion (Wright et al., 1974). Other studies investigating serum insulin changes in surgical patients, have reported a lower insulin levels at the start of surgery compared with intraoperative and postoperative periods (Crowe et al., 1984, Nygren et al., 1998b, Aarimaa et al., 1974), and impaired insulin response both intra-operatively (Wright et al., 1974, Barton, 1985) and soon after surgery (Stenberg et al., 1984, Aarimaa et al., 1974). Brandi et al, also reported an impaired whole-body glucose disposal by 33-60%, in patients 6 -8 hours after undergoing major abdominal surgery whilst the hepatic glucose production at baseline was less suppressed by insulin, which was associated with increased cortisol, lactate and total lipolysis (plasma free fatty acid and glycerol levels) (Brandi et al., 1993).

Thus it is clear that the antagonistic actions of counter-regulatory hormones such as glucagon, cortisol and catecholamines, impair the metabolic actions of insulin and may contribute to the development of insulin resistance in the immediate
postoperative period (Krentz, 1996). The evidence from the aforementioned studies shows that a failure of cellular glucose utilisation along with the changes in insulin response, is an important event in the metabolic response to injury that may lead to the stress induced hyperglycaemia, noticed in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery.

In conclusion, the results of the present study in surgical patients showed an increased plasma IL-6, as well as a marked up-regulation of muscle IL-6, PDK4 mRNA expression and a decrease in PDC activity. This was associated with impaired glucose tolerance and increased gut permeability postoperatively. Furthermore, plasma concentrations of free fatty acids, cortisol and lactate were increased along with a decrease in muscle glycogen concentration postoperatively. However, no significant changes in insulin concentration were observed during surgery. Interestingly, the aforementioned changes in the carbohydrate oxidation were also associated with a decrease in pyruvate mediated mitochondrial ATP production in the immediate postoperative period. This is the first study that has shown the changes in molecular mechanisms underlying the development of postoperative insulin resistance in patients having major abdominal surgery. The findings also support the intrinsic link between the metabolic response to surgery and inflammation that leads to inhibition of carbohydrate oxidation in patients having major abdominal surgery.
Chapter 6

Randomised control trial of Preoperative carbohydrate and Dichloroacetate on skeletal muscle insulin resistance following major abdominal surgery
6.1 Introduction
The study in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery described in the previous chapter, demonstrated that surgical stress and inflammation contribute to the development of POIR. Surgical stress resulted in a marked up-regulation of muscle interleukin-6 and pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase isoform-4 (PDK4) mRNA and protein expression which occurred concomitantly with a decreased muscle pyruvate dehydrogenase complex activity. Furthermore, we have also shown that increased muscle PDK4 expression inhibits the activity of pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDC), which represents the rate-limiting step for muscle carbohydrate (CHO) oxidation. Importantly, these alterations in molecular signalling secondary to surgical stress were associated with impaired glucose tolerance, decreased mitochondrial ATP production, increased gut permeability, increased free circulating fatty acids, and decreased muscle glycogen levels postoperatively. Impaired PI3K/Akt1/FOXO signaling and the resultant up-regulation of PDK4 are considered to be the key regulators of insulin resistance (Murton et al., 2008, Kim et al., 2006, Stitt et al., 2004).

6.1.1 Preoperative carbohydrate treatment (CHO)
Elective surgical procedures have been traditionally performed after an overnight fast. This period of fasting extends beyond 12 hours, whilst awaiting surgery. Provision of CHO has been shown to improve patient comfort before surgery, reduce anxiety and thirst before surgery (Hausel et al., 2001). Perioperative interventions such reduced preoperative fasting times, preoperative oral carbohydrate (CHO), minimally invasive incisions and early enteral feeding postoperatively, may help
minimise the surgical stress response and attenuate the development of POIR, in surgical patients (Lassen et al., 2009a). A study in patients having open cholecystectomy reported that pre-operative carbohydrate (CHO) feeding with an infusion of 300 g glucose instead of an overnight fast, reduces POIR by 50%, similar to a level seen in patients having a relatively minor surgery such as a hernia repair (Ljungqvist et al., 1994). This was supported by the findings that there is an up-regulation of skeletal muscle PDK4 mRNA expression after 48 hours of starvation, associated with a 42% reduction in insulin sensitivity and provision of carbohydrate derived pyruvate down-regulates PDK4 (Nygren et al., 1998a). This suggests that muscle PDK4 would be a prime target in carbohydrate oxidation and that CHO favours oxidative glucose disposal in skeletal muscle by its effect on PDK4.

Wang et al investigated whether altered insulin dependent activation of the PI3K/PKB signalling pathway would contribute to the development of POIR, in surgical patients (Wang et al., 2010). POIR and subjective wellbeing was significantly better in the CHO group than in the fasting/placebo group. At the end of surgery, muscle protein-tyrosine kinase activities, as well as PI3K and PKB levels were significantly increased in the CHO group. Even in diabetic patients, CHO was shown to reduce preoperative thirst, anxiety, postoperative nausea and vomiting and to also improve insulin sensitivity (Hendry et al., 2008, Ramos et al., 2008). It has also been reported that CHO is safe, alters immune and catabolic response to surgery and it did not alter gastric pH, content or gastric emptying, and there was no increased risk of aspiration during anaesthesia in surgical patients (Ljungqvist and Soreide, 2003).

These findings are supported by the results from the systematic review and meta-
analysis of RCTs of the effect of CHO in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery presented in chapter 4, which showed a reduced length of stay [mean difference, 95% confidence interval: 1.08 (1.87 to 0.29); $i^2 < 60\%$, $p < 0.007$]. CHO reduced postoperative insulin resistance with no effect on in-hospital complications over control (risk ratio, 95% confidence interval, 0.88 (0.50 - 1.53), $i^2 = 41\%$; $p < 0.640$). Therefore, attenuating POIR with CHO and increasing coupling of glycolysis to glucose oxidation seems a promising strategy in elective surgical patients.

6.1.2 Dichloroacetate

Dichloroacetate, a halogenated carboxylic acid has been shown to increase PDC activity by inhibiting PDK4 in animals (Whitehouse and Randle, 1973) as well as humans (Timmons et al., 1998a, Constantin-Teodosiu et al., 2012, Constantin-Teodosiu et al., 1999). DCA is reported to promote muscle (Constantin-Teodosiu et al., 1999) and liver oxidative glucose disposal (Shangraw et al., 1998) and leads to decreased blood glucose (Stacpoole et al., 1978). Inhibition of PDK4 and activation of PDC, shifts the metabolism of pyruvate from glycolysis towards the oxidative pathway in the mitochondria, resulting in increased carbohydrate oxidation and mitochondrial ATP production. DCA has been shown to be a more potent pharmacological inhibitor of PDK4 than pyruvate (Bowker-Kinley et al., 1998, Stacpoole and Greene, 1992). Previous human studies utilizing DCA in patients with lactic acidosis (Stacpoole et al., 1983) and healthy volunteers during exercise (Carraro et al., 1989) have shown reduced blood lactate accumulations, reduced reliance on non-oxidative production of ATP in muscle by decreased glycogen breakdown,
following exercise and a better match between pyruvate production and oxidation (Timmons et al., 1998a).

6.2 Hypothesis and aims

The study in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery showed that surgical stress and inflammation contributes to the development of POIR secondary to changes in insulin signalling, with a marked up-regulation of PDK4. The decrease in PDC activity resulted in impaired carbohydrate oxidation and decreased mitochondrial ATP production, making PDK4 an important metabolic target to attenuate the development of POIR. Moreover, the evidence from the aforementioned studies shows that both CHO and DCA given to patients preoperatively could lead to improvements in POIR and reduction in surgical stress induced hyperglycaemia in the postoperative period, possibly by inhibiting PDK4.

Therefore, maximising the contribution from oxidative ATP regeneration by activation of PDC using DCA or by enhancing glucose uptake using preoperative strategies such as oral carbohydrate drinks, may potentially attenuate the development of postoperative muscle insulin resistance.

Specifically, by addressing the alterations in FOXO gene targets namely PDK4 and PDC, that is primarily responsible for development of POIR, the aim was to investigate whether, increasing preoperative PDC activity and flux using DCA infusion improved POIR over and above that seen with CHO ingestion alone.
Whilst the impact of CHO on attenuating the development of POIR has been studied in surgical patients, the effect of DCA on POIR in patients having surgery has not been investigated previously. Moreover, it would be interesting to study whether DCA could act synergistically with CHO in improving oxidative glucose disposal and mitochondrial ATP production in patients having major abdominal surgery previously.

6.3. Methods

6.3.1 Study design and ethics

Twenty adult patients undergoing major open elective gastrointestinal surgery were randomised using a computer-generated central randomisation in this open-labelled study into 2 groups of 10 each, to receive one of the following preoperative interventions, Group (1): CHO and Group (2): CHO and DCA. The approval for this randomised controlled, open-labelled trial was obtained from the Cambridgeshire Research Ethics Committee and NHS Ethics Committee and was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Patients who expressed an interest to take part in the study were invited to a subsequent screening visit and an informed consent was obtained. Data regarding the participants’ demographics, general health, clinical diagnosis and the type of surgical procedure were collected. About 15mls of blood were taken for plasma glucose, insulin and cortisol. Patients were then provided with a glucose drink and further blood tests were done at regular intervals for 3 hours following the drink to check for glucose and insulin levels during the Glucose Tolerance Test (GTT).
6.3.2 Inclusion criteria & Exclusion criteria

All patients over 18 years of age who were undergoing major elective open abdominal surgery and provide a written informed consent to participate in the study were included. Patients who were undergoing emergency surgery, suffering from chronic illness, (e.g. diabetes) or other debilitating diseases, on long term anti-inflammatory drugs, (e.g. NSAIDS, Steroids, immunosuppressant), on long term antibiotics, on statins, on full therapeutic dose of anticoagulants, or aspirin >325 mg/day, clopidrogel >75 mg/day, suffering from bleeding diathesis, pregnant or breastfeeding and who were unable to give consent were excluded.

6.3.3 Preoperative Interventions

Preoperative carbohydrate drinks (CHO) group: Patients who were randomised to CHO group (n=10) ingested 800ml PreOp (Nutricia Clinical Care, 12.5 g CHO/100 ml) the night before and 400ml in the morning of surgery, about 3 hours before the induction of anaesthesia.’ Nutricia preOp’ is a food for special medical purposes for the preoperative dietary management of surgical patients, produced by N.V.Nutricia, in The Netherlands. It is a 0.5kcal/ml, clear, non-carbonated, lemon flavoured iso-osmolar carbohydrate drink, containing sweeteners. There are no special risks associated with the drink being ingested 4 hours before surgery, as studies indicate a mean gastric emptying time of CHO within this range and is now part of standard care in patients having major surgery in some centres.
**Dichloroacetate group (DCA):** The patients in the DCA with CHO group received the CHO drinks as well as an intravenous infusion of DCA (50mg/kg body weight) over 45 min, one- to two hours before the induction of anaesthesia. Dichloroacetate was manufactured by ‘Alfa Aesar’, UK, with the active compound as ‘Sodium dichloroacetate’ (CAS Number 2156-56-1), which has been identified to be used for research and development purposes (ref: SU24). This product is commercially available in the EU, (EINECS number: 218-461-3). This is an open-labelled study and therefore, no attempts were made to blind the product from the users. Dichloroacetate was dispensed on the day of surgery from the sterile production unit, Nottingham University Hospitals, Queen’s Medical Centre Campus, after passing the quality control measures, and infused intravenously based on individual patient’s body weight.

The description of interventions used in this study is presented in fig. 6.1.

**Figure 6.1: Time course of sample collection from patients undergoing major surgery**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Screening day</th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>During the operation</th>
<th>2nd post operative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D7-14 Days</td>
<td>Night before</td>
<td>2 hr before surgery</td>
<td>Start of surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>End of surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Day 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Blood samples - Preoperative carbohydrates - Muscle Biopsy - Surgery

GTT – Glucose Tolerance Test, at screening visit and on 2nd post operative day.
6.3.4 Blood tests

Following an overnight fast, patients had an oral glucose tolerance test (GTT) at the screening visit (about 1-2 weeks before surgery) and on the second postoperative day as described in chapter 2. Insulin resistance was quantified using homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) using plasma glucose and insulin levels. Analysis of plasma insulin, glucose and cortisol were performed at screening, during surgery and on the 2nd postoperative day.

6.3.5 Muscle biopsy

A total of four small samples of muscle tissue was taken according to a standard protocol, at the start and the end of the operation as follows: one sample was taken from rectus abdominus muscle in the abdomen and one sample from a single incision (about 1cm) placed on outer part of thigh (vastus lateralis muscle) at the beginning and also at the end of operation under general anaesthesia. Another muscle biopsy from the thigh was taken under local anaesthesia on the second postoperative day for analysis of mRNA and proteins involved in the insulin signaling pathway, as described in chapter 2. It was ensured that the collection of samples did not alter the course of the operation or compromise patients’ safety whilst undergoing surgery. The patients had the standard postoperative care, including diet and physiotherapy, as any other patient having elective major abdominal surgery. The study was conducted at the Division of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Queen’s Medical Centre and the School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Nottingham.
6.3.6 Primary endpoint

The relative change in insulin sensitivity calculated using the HOMA-IR method, between preoperative and postoperative levels, in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery.

6.3.7 Secondary endpoints

1. The relative change in mRNA expressions for genes involved in muscle insulin signaling namely, Akt1, FOXO1, PDK4, and muscle cytokines, IL-6, and TNF-α in vastus and rectus muscles of patients, before and after undergoing major abdominal surgery following the preoperative interventions.


6.3.8 Sample size justification

Sample size was estimated from a two-sample means test with a 95% degree of confidence for two groups with comparable standard deviations and a 2-fold mean difference and with the α and β values fixed at 0.05 and 0.2 (power 0.8), respectively. Within each group the preoperative level of expression was given a value of 1, and fold changes in mRNA and protein expression after surgery and postoperative were calculated, relative to pre-surgery. Comparison of gene and protein data between and within groups was carried out by Mann-Whitney U and Wilcoxon t-tests, respectively. Insulin sensitivity data were analysed by Student's independent t-test. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Differences were considered significant at P < 0.05.
6.4 Results

Twenty patients participated in the trial. However, two patients, one patient from each group discontinued participation and accounted for two drop-outs following recruitment. The mean (SE) age and body mass index of the patients in the CHO group were 59 (4.6) years and 27.2 (1.5) kg/m² respectively. The corresponding values for the DCA group were 58 (4.5) and 29 (3.5) kg/m² respectively. These differences were not statistically significant. All patients complied with the protocol for ingestion of CHO drinks, and no patient had any drink related complications. Similarly the DCA group had DCA infusion about 2.8 ± 0.6 hours before commencement of surgery. The mean time between the muscle biopsies taken at the beginning and end of surgery were 269 (range: 130 – 380) minutes in the CHO group and in the DCA 284 (range: 143 – 352) minutes.

6.4.1 Gene expression in Rectus Abdominis and Vastus Lateralis Muscle

In this section, the changes in gene expression results for both groups are presented across all time points. The gene expression level from vastus muscle taken before start of surgery for the CHO group was taken as the baseline-value, which was set as one. For both groups (CHO & DCA), the columns in the graphs represent fold-change in gene expression for individual time-points, from the base-line value.

The muscle biopsies were taken from rectus and vastus, at the start of surgery (pre-op), at the end of surgery (post op) and also on the second postoperative day (48 hours postop).
Figures 6.2 and 6.3 show the gene expression changes before and after surgery in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery for the CHO group, in the rectus and vastus muscle groups respectively.

The gene expression changes in the vastus muscle before the start of surgery in the CHO group was considered as the baseline value. The figures shows that mean fold-change for all the genes measured before and after surgery. CHO treatment did not significantly alter the gene expressions of PDK4, FOXO1, MYOSTATIN, TRIM63 (Ubiquitin ligase – up-regulation causes protein breakdown) and TNF, in both rectus and vastus muscles when compared to pre-surgery levels, in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery.
Figures 6.4 and 6.5 show the fold-changes in gene expression in rectus and vastus muscle for the DCA group respectively, at the start of surgery, end of surgery and 48 hours after surgery. The gene expression changes in the vastus muscle before the start of surgery in the CHO group was considered as the baseline value. The gene expressions in the DCA group are represented as fold changes from this baseline value. Mean fold-change for all the genes measured were not significant across all time-points for the DCA group, in both rectus and vastus. The results suggest that DCA treatment did not significantly alter the gene expression of PDK4, FOXO1, MYOSTATIN, TRIM63 (Ubiquitin ligase – up-regulation causes protein breakdown) and TNF, when compared with pre-surgery levels in patients having major abdominal surgery.
Figures 6.6 and 6.7: Gene expression changes for the both the CHO and DCA groups in the rectus and vastus muscle groups respectively, in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery. The gene expression changes in the vastus muscle before the start of surgery in the CHO group was considered as the baseline value.

The results show that mean fold-change for all the genes measured were not significant across all time-points measured, for both CHO and DCA groups. The results suggest that both CHO and DCA treatments resulted in no significant changes in gene expressions of those involved in the development of muscle insulin resistance and protein breakdown such as PDK4, FOXO1, MYOSTATIN, TRIM63 (Ubiquitin ligase - upregulation causes protein breakdown) and TNF, postoperatively, when compared with the levels at the start of surgery in the CHO group.
6.4.2 Metabolic assays

Figure 6.8: Plasma Insulin levels in CHO and DCA group in patients having major abdominal surgery

The above figure shows plasma insulin concentrations (mU/L) for CHO and DCA groups at screening, during surgery and 48 hours post-surgery. Insulin concentrations between both groups were not significant at screening visit and during surgery. However, The CHO group had a significantly higher insulin concentrations in the postoperative period (48 hours after surgery) compared with DCA (p<0.05), suggesting higher insulin concentrations were needed in the CHO group compared with the DCA group to maintain euglycaemia.
Figure 6.9: Plasma Glucose levels in CHO and DCA group in patients having major abdominal surgery

Figure 6.9 shows plasma glucose concentrations (mmol/L) for CHO and DCA groups at screening, during surgery and 48 hours post-surgery. Plasma glucose concentrations were not significant between both groups at any given time-point. However, the glucose concentrations were significantly higher during surgery than preoperative or postoperative levels in both CHO and DCA groups (p<0.05).
Figure 6.10: Plasma Cortisol levels in CHO and DCA group in patients having major abdominal surgery

Figure 6.10 shows plasma cortisol concentrations (ng/ml) for CHO and DCA groups. Plasma cortisol concentrations were not significant between both groups at any given time-point. There were no significant changes in either group following surgery compared with preoperative levels, suggesting that provision of both CHO and DCA did not have a significant effect on cortisol levels, despite changes in insulin and glucose concentrations at the same time points.
Figure 6.11: The Homeostatic Model Assessment (Homa-IR) was used to quantify insulin resistance in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery. It is reported to correlate with the hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp method (r=0.88) (Matthews et al., 1985).

The formula for HOMA-IR: \( \frac{\text{Glucose} \times \text{Insulin}}{22.5} \). Normal: <3; Moderate insulin resistance: 3-5; Severe insulin resistance: > 5.

HOMA-IR quantified using fasting values of plasma glucose and insulin at the following time points: screening, at the start of surgery, post-surgery and 48 hours after surgery. The results show that no significant differences were noted between the CHO and DCA groups. The HOMA-IR values (ranging from 1.5 – 4), for both groups reflect that both groups maintained preoperative insulin sensitivity in the postoperative period, after CHO and DCA.
Figure 6.12 shows the glucose tolerance test (GTT), performed preoperatively at screening visit and 48 hours postoperatively in both CHO and DCA groups. The values in x-axis represented as pre-fast indicates values before the glucose drink and pre-120 indicates 2 hours after glucose drink, during the GTT. No significant differences for fasting or post-prandial glucose concentrations were noted between CHO and DCA groups. This suggests that surgical stress did not contribute to a significant increase in postoperative plasma glucose concentrations, following either CHO or DCA.
Mitochondrial ATP production rates, in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery, measured at the start of surgery and at the end of surgery from the vastus muscle for both CHO and DCA groups. MAPR were quantified using pyruvate as a substrate and data normalised for mitochondrial content using citrate synthase activity. Differences between groups * p=0.05, ***p<0.001.

DCA increased mitochondrial CHO oxidation above that achieved by CHO alone, which waned by 48hr post-surgery. MAPR (µmol ATP/min/mmol acetyl-CoA); CHO vs DCA: Using pyruvate as substrate [pre-surgery 6663 ± 1015 vs 10516 ± 1488]; [post-surgery 7415 ± 2804 vs 5031 ± 556]. Nevertheless, this was associated with a trend for improved POIR 48 hours post-surgery relative to CHO. The values for DCA were significantly raised compared with CHO for Glutamate+Succinate and Glutamate+Malate.
6.5 Discussion

The present randomised study in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery, has demonstrated that both preoperative CHO and DCA attenuated the development of POIR. The results show that preoperative administration of CHO and DCA was not associated with an increased expression of genes involved in the development of POIR, in contrast to our earlier study in surgical patients, which showed that surgical patients was associated a marked degree of POIR, with increased PDK4 mRNA expression and decreased PDC activity (chapter 5).

In this present study, the important molecular targets responsible for POIR, such as FOXO and PDK4, did not show marked increase in fold-change in mRNA expression between preoperative and postoperative levels for both CHO and DCA (fig 6.7). This suggests that factors responsible for increase in POIR such as the surgical stress and inflammation were modulated as a result of treatment with CHO and DCA, resulting in a better maintenance of insulin sensitivity postoperatively. However, no significant differences were noted between the two treatment groups, in causing attenuation of POIR in surgical patients.

Serum insulin and blood glucose concentrations were not significantly different between groups at any point, but a strong trend for blood glucose to be lower in DCA 48 hours post-surgery was noted (fig 6.8 and fig 6.9). Interestingly the insulin requirements in the CHO group were significantly higher to suppress the plasma glucose concentrations to that of DCA group, 48 hours after surgery. However, plasma cortisol concentrations were not different between the two groups at the
same time points (6.9), suggesting attenuation of the surgical stress response to a certain degree, in contrast to our earlier study showing increased plasma cortisol concentrations postoperatively (chapter 5). This was reflected in the finding that there was better maintenance of insulin sensitivity in the HOMA-IR values, ranging from $1.5 - 4$ (fig 6.10). Similarly, expression of pro-inflammatory cytokine, TNF-α mRNA and genes involved in protein breakdown, Cathepsin and Trim63, were not up-regulated following surgery (fig 6.7), suggesting a trend towards increased anabolism with the use of CHO and DCA.

The results are in line with a study which investigated the effects of CHO on metabolic stress response in patients having elective abdominal surgery, showed CHO resulted in lower fasting glucose, HOMA-IR index, cortisol and IL-6 in the immediate postoperative period. These reductions were independent of age, sex, body mass index and major abdominal surgery (Vigano et al., 2012).

The effect of starvation and the protective effects of preoperative feeding have been investigated in many studies (Awad et al., 2009b, Svanfeldt et al., 2005, Faria et al., 2009). A study in patients having cholecystectomy showed that preoperative CHO compared with placebo drink, showed muscle PDK4 mRNA and protein expression was 4-fold lower in the carbohydrate group. Moreover, the study also showed improved activities of mitochondrial membrane complexes (I, II and IV), 4 h after ingestion of CHO (Awad et al., 2010). Faria et al., reported that plasma glucose, lactate, insulin, lactate/pyruvate ratio to be significantly higher in patients who had placebo drink than those who had CHO, preoperatively (Faria et al., 2009). A study in healthy volunteers on the effects of starvation and refeeding
showed increased mRNA expression of PDK4 by 4-fold and 42% decrease in insulin sensitivity, independent of the phosphorylation status of FOXO1 during fasting (Tsintzas et al., 2006). Studies have also shown that CHO treatment results in less postoperative losses of nitrogen and protein (Svanfeldt et al., 2007, Crowe et al., 1984), a better-maintained lean mass (Yuill et al., 2005), muscle strength (Henriksen et al., 2003, Noblett et al., 2006b) and accelerated recovery (Nygren et al., 2001).

There have been no studies to date that investigated DCA infusion in surgical patients and had shown improvements in carbohydrate oxidation and attenuation in POIR. The present study, whilst demonstrating better maintenance of insulin sensitivity in the postoperative period using CHO, has also shown that CHO ingestion preoperatively results in improved pyruvate flux into the mitochondria during the intraoperative period. This is probably because the effect of DCA is more pronounced during the first few hours after infusion, where CHO entry is facilitated into tissues, including mitochondria, reflected by lower insulin levels at the end of operation and during the immediate postoperative period. Studies in animals and human volunteers using contracting ischemic human muscle and in subjects performing leg extensor exercises have shown that DCA infusion improved substrate availability for aerobic ATP production and resulted in increased resting PDH activation, less phosphocreatine utilisation and decreased glycogen breakdown (Timmons et al., 1998b, Timmons et al., 1996, Timmons et al., 1998a, Timmons et al., 1998c).
Muscle mitochondrial function was quantified by assaying ATP production during the utilization of a number of different substrates. Both CHO and DCA groups demonstrated better maintenance of mitochondrial ATP production. Importantly, comparison between the groups showed that DCA increased preoperative pyruvate mediated mitochondrial ATP production rates (MAPR) above CHO alone, which was not evident 48 hours post-surgery. MAPR was higher in the DCA group, when Glutamate+Malate and Glutamate+Succinate were used as substrates for mitochondrial oxidation (Fig 6.13). Though, based on a relatively heterogenous group of patients, using gene expression data and changes in plasma insulin, glucose and cortisol levels we have comprehensively demonstrated increased glucose oxidation towards a glycolytic pathway and increased mitochondrial ATP production, which has not been studied in surgical patients previously.

In summary, the present study in patients having major abdominal surgery supports our hypothesis that administration of either CHO or CHO with DCA, attenuates the impairment of CHO oxidation and the development of POIR, induced by surgical stress. Furthermore, DCA increased mitochondrial CHO oxidation above that achieved by CHO alone, which waned by 48 hours post-surgery.
Chapter 7

General discussion
7.1 Research objectives & Aims

Patients undergoing major abdominal surgery respond to surgical trauma postoperatively with a series of cellular and molecular events which contributes to the development of POIR. The nature of the underlying disease, type of surgery, the magnitude of the surgical procedure and the metabolic response to surgery could have a negative impact on patient recovery. The resultant stress-induced hyperglycaemia is reported to be associated with increased postoperative morbidity and delayed recovery (Thorell et al., 1999b, van den Berghe et al., 2001, Jackson et al., 2012). ERAS protocols attempt to attenuate the surgical stress through a series of perioperative interventions, including reduced preoperative fasting and ingestion of oral carbohydrate drinks preoperatively. However, the underlying molecular mechanisms contributing to the development of postoperative insulin resistance in patients having major abdominal surgery and the mechanisms by which the preoperative interventions attenuate surgical stress are not well defined.

The present thesis examined the molecular mechanisms of the metabolic response to surgical trauma which contributes to the development of POIR. Thus the overall aims were as follows:

(1) To examine the evidence behind the principles of the ERAS pathway and the effect of preoperative carbohydrate loading on postoperative outcomes, in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery using a systematic and meta-analytical approach
(2) To study the molecular basis of the metabolic response to surgery underlying the development of postoperative muscle insulin resistance with particular emphasis on changes in insulin signalling and mitochondrial function. Specifically, to investigate whether alterations in the insulin mediated, Akt/FOXO and PDK4 signalling pathway underlies the development of insulin resistance following surgical stress and whether these changes in the signalling mechanisms were associated with increased inflammatory gene expression in skeletal muscle and

(3) To study the effect of interventional strategies such as preoperative carbohydrate loading, in attenuating the development of POIR in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery.

7.2 Study outcomes

ERAS pathway was reported to attenuate the stress response to surgery and enable patient recovery. The objective of the meta-analysis presented in chapter 3, was to study the differences in outcomes in patients undergoing major elective open colorectal surgery within an ERAS pathway and those treated with conventional perioperative care. The randomized controlled trials comparing ERAS with conventional perioperative care were selected and assessed for methodology quality using standard methods recommended by the Cochrane Collaboration. The outcome measures studied were length of hospital stay, complication rates, readmission rates and mortality. Six randomized controlled trials with 452 patients that satisfied the eligibility criteria were included for the meta-analysis. The number of individual ERAS
interventions used in the studies ranged from 4-12, with a mean of 9. The length of hospital stay [weighted mean difference (95% confidence interval): -2.55 (-3.24, -1.85)] was significantly reduced by 2.5 days and complication rates were reduced by 47% [relative risk (95% confidence interval): 0.53 (0.44, 0.64)] were significantly reduced in the enhanced recovery group. There was no statistically significant difference in readmission and mortality rates. Implementing ERAS protocols in patients having major elective colorectal surgery resulted in reduced length of hospital stay and complication rates after major elective open colorectal surgery without compromising patient safety. The common perioperative interventions used in the studies were preoperative carbohydrates, avoidance of bowel preparation, epidural analgesia, early postoperative mobilisation, early postoperative feeding and avoidance of opiate analgesia. The beneficial effects of these interventions were well reported previously and might have contributed to the reduced risk of complications postoperatively. Differences in implementation of interventions and reduced compliance contributed to the heterogeneity of the results in individual studies. For example, not all patients used epidural analgesia in some studies (Anderson et al., 2003, Serclova, 2009). However, the beneficial effects of reduced complications and length of stay in this group of patients was probably, a result of the cumulative effect of interventions such as preoperative feeding and early enteral nutrition which might have resulted in patients having surgery in a metabolically fed state and consequent attenuation of the stress response.

This view was confirmed in the meta-analysis of RCTs on preoperative oral carbohydrate treatment in elective surgery (chapter 4). This study included twenty-
one RCTs, consisting of 1685 patients (733 in CHO group and 952 in control group). The studies were categorised according to the type of surgery as those having major abdominal surgery, studies in which operative procedures with expected length of stay less than or equal to 2 days, and those having orthopaedic surgery and a subgroup analysis was performed. The outcome measures were length of stay, development of POIR, complications, nausea and vomiting. No overall difference in length of stay was noted for analysis of all studies or subgroups of patients undergoing surgery with an expected hospital stay ≤2 days or orthopaedic procedures. However, patients undergoing major abdominal surgery following CHO had reduced length of stay [mean difference, 95% confidence interval: -1.08 (-1.87 to -0.29); I²=60%, p=0.007]. CHO reduced postoperative insulin resistance with no effects on in-hospital complications over control (risk ratio, 95% confidence interval, 0.88 (0.50-1.53), I²=41%; p=0.640). There was significant heterogeneity amongst studies and therefore quality of evidence was low to moderate. Three studies included utilised the hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp technique to measure relative changes in insulin sensitivity and demonstrated significant reduction in development of POIR in CHO group compared with control (Soop et al., 2001, Soop et al., 2004a, Nygren et al., 1998a). Others used the HOMA-IR method and showed a reduction in postoperative HOMA-IR values between CHO and control.(Mathur et al., 2010, Dock-Nascimento et al., 2012, Perrone et al., 2011). However, the quality of evidence for these outcomes ranged from low to moderate.

In general, the results from both the studies on ERAS protocols and preoperative CHO in surgical patients showed beneficial postoperative outcomes. The quality of studies
reflected the variations in clinical practices in different centres and differences in implementation of strict quality control measures when conducting randomised studies involving surgical patients. However, they show in common that surgical stress can be attenuated by simple preoperative interventions and that reduction of POIR in surgical patients leads to better postoperative clinical outcomes. Hence, it seemed important to understand the molecular mechanisms underlying the beneficial effects of these interventions to validate and optimise perioperative care in patients having surgery. Therefore, prospective studies were undertaken in patients having major abdominal surgery to investigate the mechanistic basis of metabolic response to surgery and the mechanisms underlying the development of POIR in surgical patients (Chapters 5 and 6).

The study in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery, described in chapter 5, suggested that surgical stress induced inflammatory response, was associated with inhibition of Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Complex (PDC) controlled carbohydrate oxidation through the Akt/FOXO mediated upregulation of PDK4 and may be an important mechanism in the development of POIR. PDC activity determines the pyruvate flux in to the mitochondria for ATP production and thus, controls the rate-limiting step in carbohydrate oxidation, the oxidative decarboxylation of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA to be used in the tri-carboxylic acid (TCA) cycle. The mRNA expression data showed significant up-regulation of genes, FOXO1 and PDK4 mRNA up-regulation postoperatively. This was also associated with a significant fold-change (p<0.05), in protein expression for FOXO1 (11.21±4.08), PFOXO1 (7.82±3.28) and PDK4 (4.49±1.85). An increased expression of FOXO mRNA was likely to be responsible for
the up-regulation of the ubiquitin ligase, MAFbx (11.5-fold in rectus, 6.5-fold in vastus), which is involved in atrophy signalling.

Akt is an important mediator of the protein synthesis pathway via GSKβ inhibition and regulation of 43S pre-initiation complex and by mTOR activation. However, in the present study (chapter 5), Akt was not significantly upregulated. The FOXO family of transcription factors are phosphorylated by Akt, which renders them inactive. However, the study showed that surgical stress induced significant up-regulation of mRNA expressions of FOXO, which is a transcriptional activator of its downstream target, MAFbx, the ubiquitin ligase, involved in the ubiquitin-proteosome mediated protein degradation pathways. Since FOXO transcription factors are known to regulate PDK4 by transcriptional activation, it is probable that a common signalling pathway involving Akt/FOXO and PDK4, may be involved in the impairment of carbohydrate oxidation and muscle protein breakdown, in patients undergoing major abdominal surgery.

The principal trigger which induces the aforementioned changes in Akt/FOXO signalling, could be the surgical stress induced inflammatory response, mediated by cytokines which is reported to affect IRS-1 binding (Rui et al., 2001) and inhibit Akt1 signalling (Medina et al., 2005, Latres et al., 2005), and in turn leads to decreased phosphorylation of FOXO family of transcription factors and up-regulation of FOXO gene targets such as MAFbx and PDK4 (Sandri et al., 2004, Crossland et al., 2008). In keeping with this, after the initiation of inflammatory response following surgery, both the cytokines IL-6 and TNF-α mRNA were found to be up-regulated (p<0.05). Plasma concentrations of IL-6 and TNF-α were also found to be significantly increased
Moreover, another important finding of this study, which might have contributed to the inflammatory response, is the significant increase in gut permeability following surgery (% urinary excretion of \(^{51}\text{Cr-EDTA}\)): Pre surgery: 4.79 \(\pm\) 0.82; Post-surgery: 7.30 \(\pm\) 1.03; \(p<0.02\). Increased gut permeability may enable bacterial translocation, which may exaggerate the inflammatory process, especially in patients having major abdominal surgery. Evidence shows that disruption of intestinal tight junctions or increased para-cellular permeability due to an intestinal disease, injury or an inflammatory mediated insult, may allow entry of luminal antigens, thereby triggering an exaggerated immune response (Clayburgh et al., 2004, Hollander, 1999). The translocated bacteria or their products in the portal or systemic circulation may induce a cytokine response via activation of macrophages in either the liver or mesenteric lymph nodes and may influence the ongoing metabolic processes (Deitch et al., 1994, Meakins, 1990). However, the presence of bacteremia was not tested in the study and therefore, whether surgical stress resulted in bacterial translocation could not be ascertained, despite the study confirming increased gut permeability following surgery. In summary, the studies have demonstrated that PDK4 plays a significant role in causing POIR (Chapter 5). Thus it can be inferred that impaired PI3K/Akt1/FOXO signalling and the resultant up-regulation of PDK4 leads to the inhibition of PDC activity and impaired carbohydrate oxidation that may contribute to POIR in patients having major abdominal surgery.

From the meta-analysis of RCTs comparing CHO with placebo drinks or control (Chapter 4), we showed that preoperative carbohydrate drinks in patients undergoing major surgery was associated with improved postoperative outcomes of length of stay.
and reduction in POIR. Therefore, a logical approach would be to study the effect of CHO on the aforementioned changes in metabolic signalling pathways and POIR. It was hypothesised that CHO and the drug, DCA which is known to increase PDC activity by inhibiting PDK4, will attenuate the impairment of carbohydrate oxidation secondary to surgical stress. The aim of the study described in Chapter 6, was to establish whether preoperative oral carbohydrate treatment (CHO) combined with an intravenous infusion of dichloroacetate (DCA), will maintain the substrate-specific, postoperative MAPR and attenuate the surgical stress response and whether by increasing preoperative PDC activity and flux using DCA infusion will improve POIR over and above that seen with CHO ingestion alone. The results showed that administration of either CHO or CHO with DCA, attenuates the impairment of CHO oxidation and the increased expression of mRNA of important molecular targets responsible for POIR, such as FOXO and PDK4, was not evident following either CHO or DCA treatment preoperatively. The results showed no significant differences in mRNA expression between preoperative and postoperative levels for both CHO and DCA. However, a major limitation of this study is that comparisons with a control group with no CHO or DCA could not be performed due to issues with recruitment and analysis. Therefore, the results were presented for the CHO and DCA group only, limiting the validity of any conclusions about effects of CHO alone on the metabolic responses.

The study also showed plasma concentrations of glucose were found to be lower in DCA group 48 hours post-surgery. Interestingly the insulin requirements in the CHO group were significantly higher to suppress the plasma glucose concentrations to that of DCA group, 48 hours after surgery. However, this finding did not correlate with
increased cortisol as would be expected following surgical stress. The concentrations of the counter-regulatory hormone were not different between the two groups, at these time points. There was also a better maintenance of insulin sensitivity as noted with the measurements of HOMA-IR in both CHO and DCA.

Both CHO and DCA group showed maintenance of muscle mitochondrial function, when quantified by assaying ATP production during the utilization of a number of different substrates for mitochondrial oxidation. Importantly, comparison between the groups showed that DCA increased preoperative pyruvate mediated mitochondrial ATP production rates above CHO alone, which was not evident 48 hours post-surgery.
7.3 Future work and limitations

The current thesis examined the mechanistic basis of metabolic response to surgery and the development of postoperative insulin resistance in patients having major abdominal surgery. The studies highlight that surgical stress contributes to POIR, secondary to decreased carbohydrate oxidation and also resulted in impaired mitochondrial function. The results from the observational study in patients having major abdominal surgery (Chapter 5) have shown both, flux through PDC, and mitochondrial ATP production were significantly reduced postoperatively. Although, the results proved the hypothesis that surgical stress induced increase in PDK4 and inhibition of PDC activity contributes to the development of POIR, certain important mechanisms that link surgical stress with POIR remains to be ascertained.

Mitochondrial ATP production (MAPR) is a marker of mitochondrial function, whereby mitochondria generates energy in the form of ATP, through oxidation of three different energy sources namely carbohydrates, fats and proteins. In the setting of surgical stress a decrease in PDC activity secondary to increased PDK4 may contribute to reduced pyruvate flux into the tri-carboxylic acid cycle. However, the results showed that MAPR was significantly depressed for all the substrates used for ATP synthesis. This suggests an overall impairment in mitochondrial function, and not only when pyruvate was used as a substrate. Therefore it is difficult to establish whether increased PDK4 and decreased PDC contributed to the overall impairment in mitochondrial function or whether other mechanisms may be involved, for example, oxidative stress which contributes to mitochondrial dysfunction.
It was shown that pro-inflammatory cytokines in plasma as well as muscle (mRNA and proteins) was increased following surgery. The origin of the cytokines that mediates the inflammatory response in surgical patients is not clear. Biopsies were taken from both the abdominal rectus and the vastus muscles in the thigh, which showed similar response in mRNA expression of IL-6 and TNF-α, albeit to a lesser degree in the vastus. The surgical trauma to the abdomen wall in itself could be a significant trigger in initiating the inflammatory response and release of cytokines. In addition, bowel handling during the surgical procedure could also result in translocation of gut bacteria into the circulation. Nevertheless, it can be reasonably assumed that the surgical trauma would result in a greater inflammatory response than increased gut permeability and triggered the stress response.

Finally, a glucose tolerance test and HOMA-IR, were used as a surrogate marker of POIR. Performing a hyperinsulinaemic-euglycaemic clamp study, to assess POIR in surgical patients was considered to be difficult due to clinical constraints involved in the postoperative period, for example, intensive care, pain, patient compliance etc. However, since the commencement of this research work, other studies that followed have shown that it is feasible in most patients. Therefore future work should use the clamp technique as a gold-standard for confirming insulin resistance in clinical settings.

Future work could also focus on including patients who may be insulin-resistant, preoperatively in whom there is an increased risk of postoperative morbidity (for example, in diabetics) and study the effects of CHO and DCA on POIR in this group. Providing there are significant benefits associated with DCA, future studies using CHO and DCA can include specific subsets of patients in different clinical settings, including
sepsis, obesity and cancer. It would also be interesting to study the effects of DCA in the emergency surgical setting, where provision of CHO would not be feasible and DCA infusion can be administered preoperatively.
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Chapter 8
Appendix
8.1 MAPR analysis (Mitochondrial ATP Production Rate)

This test allows the analysis mitochondrial ability to utilise different substrates in its production of ATP.

**Principle**

The monitoring reagent contains firefly luciferase which emits light at a magnitude proportional to the amount of ATP present. Measuring values over time allows data to be generated for the respiration rates of mitochondria utilising different substrates.

After a period of time ATP is dispensed into the cuvettes, as a result the luminescence should show a marked jump in magnitude. This jump is due solely to the known amount of ATP (in picomoles) dispensed. This result can be used as a calibrator in order to work out the change in ATP/min from the change in mV/min. (mV are the units of the machine).

Having worked out the rates of ATP production in each cuvette, this data can be interpreted further by using citrate synthase activity (for example) as a marker for mitochondrial quantity. (x picomoles ATP produced / x units of citrate synthase activity, for example).

**Preparation of mitochondria from muscle tissue – Differential Centrifugation**

Using a minimum of 30mgs of tissue (100mgs max, 60-80 optimum).

All of the following should be performed on ice/ in a pre-cooled centrifuge (~4°C)

- Cut away any visible connective tissue with a clean, sharp blade and proceed to finely dice the sample to make homogenisation easier. Weigh the sample and make a note.
- Transfer the sample to a cooled glass homogenisation vessel and add (20 x weight (mgs))µl of homogenisation buffer. (KCl 100mM, KH2PO4~ 50mM, Tris 50mM, MgCl2 5mM, EDTA 1mM, ATP 1.8mM)
- Homogenise with pestle homogeniser for 3 minutes on ice, and transfer crude homogenate to a 2ml ‘muscle extraction tube’ (or equivalent).
- In a correctly balanced centrifuge, spin the homogenate for 3mins, 650G (RCF)
- Transfer supernatant to a clean tube and discard the cell debris.
- Spin the supernatant for 3mins @ 15000G
• A small brownish pellet should form which contains the desired mitochondria. Remove and discard the supernatant and pipette half of the original buffer volume (homogenisation buffer), and re-suspend the pellet via gentle agitation with the pipette.

• Spin for 3mins @ 15000G. This is to wash the pellet.

• The pellet will reform. After discarding the supernatant, pipette 1/10th of the original buffer volume (though no less than 100µl) of resuspension buffer (pH 7.2, Human Serum Albumin (essentially FFA free) 0.5mg/ml, sucrose 240mM, monopotassium phosphate 15mM, magnesium acetate tetrahydrate 2mM, EDTA 0.5mM)

The resulting mitochondrial suspension must NOT be frozen if MAPR analysis is required – this must be performed immediately after the preparation. It can be frozen at -20°C for later enzyme complex analysis.

**Method**

Having isolated mitochondria from tissue extracts the following cuvette compositions should be made. Each cuvette tests a different substrate (or blank) and should be made in duplicate for accuracy.

On the BioOrbit Luminometer, each cuvette:

**800µl ATP monitoring reagent.** (Lyophilized ATP monitoring reagent, (containing firefly luciferase, D-luciferine 0.1g/L, L-luciferine 4mg/L, bovine serum albumin 1g/L, and Na2P2O7 1µM) dissolved in pH 7, sucrose 0.19M, monopotassium phosphate 19mM, magnesium acetate tetrahydrate 2.5mM, EDTA 0.7mM)

**140µl substrate** (test each of the combinations below)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glutamate 16.4mM/ Succinate 15mM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Palmitoyl-L-Carnitine 5µM/ Malate 1.5mM (with Human Serum Albumin 0.14mg/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Pyruvate 50mM/ Malate 22mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Succinate 2.5mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Glutamate 32.75mM/ Malate 22mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**50µl of 12mM ADP; 10µl diluted (in resuspension solution) mitochondrial suspension.** (diluted 300X – overall dilution in cuvette: 30000X), Read the cuvettes in the luminometer.
8.2 Muscle Acetyl CoA Analysis

Following PDC extraction protocol

\[ \text{GOT} \]
\[ [^{14}C] \text{aspartate} + \text{ketoglutarate} \leftrightarrow [^{14}C] \text{oxaloacetate} + \text{glutamate} \]

\[ \text{Citrate Synthase} \]
\[ [^{14}C] \text{oxaloacetate} + \text{acetyl coA} \leftrightarrow [^{14}C] \text{citrate} + \text{coA} \]

\text{CoA is cleared by NEM, leaving detectable} \ [^{14}C] \text{citrate}

1. Prepare \[^{14}C\text{oxaloacetate} – quantities here give 600µl (30 samples)}

Prepare Master Mix

- 20µl HEPES/KOH 0.5M pH 7.4
- 30µl EDTA 11mM pH 7.4
- 20µl α-ketoglutarate (FRESH – 4.5mg/ml) – on D52 shelf
- 100µl [\(^{14}C\) aspartate 40µM
- 10µl GOT 1:15 - 10µl stock/ 190µl H\(_2\)O

Gently vortex master mix, incubate at room temperature for 10 minutes

Add 20µl PCA 1M, (stop transamination), vortex gently

Incubate for 5 minutes at room temperature, and then leave on ice

Add 40µl ice cold KOH 0.6M, vortex, leave on ice for 5 minutes

Add 360µl EDTA 11mM pH 7.4, vortex gently
2. Formation of $[^{14}\text{C}]$citrate

Add 20µl of sample to each tube (for rat studies)

Add 30µl NEM

Add 20µl of $[^{14}\text{C}]$ oxaloacetate solution to each tube

Add 10µl CS 1:10 (2mgs/ml) to each tube to start the reaction. Do this every ten seconds with a vortex in between

Incubate for 20 minutes at room temperature

Make Transamination Mix – this removes un-reacted $[^{14}\text{C}]$ oxaloacetate by converting it back to $[^{14}\text{C}]$ aspartate

100µl GOT (6.5mg/ml)

900µl potassium glutamate 178mM

200µl $\text{H}_2\text{O}$

Add 30µl transamination mix, to the tubes every ten seconds

Incubate for 20 minutes at room temperature

Make ion exchange resin (1 part resin $\text{H}_2^+/2.5$ parts $\text{H}_2\text{O}$) – this will be used to separate $[^{14}\text{C}]$ aspartate from $[^{14}\text{C}]$ citrate

Add 1ml of resin to each tube, whilst the resin is continuously vortexing

Vortex twice and leave to sediment

Remove 500µl of liquid into scintillation vial

Add 5ml of scintillation fluid and vortex

Measure $\beta$-radioactivity in scintillation counter
8.3 Glycogen Assay

A. Chemicals:

- Triethanolamine
- KOH
- Mg(CH$_3$COO)$_2$ x 4H$_2$O
- EDTA Na$_2$ x 2H$_2$O
- HK (Bakers yeast) Sigma H-4502 200 U (lyophilized)
- Amyloglucosidase Boehringer 208 469 2 g
- Glu-6-P dehydrogenase Sigma G-5885 200 U (lyophilized)

B. Muscle solubilisation and glycogen hydrolysis:

- G5 0.1 M NaOH
- G6 0.1 M HCl

Check that 1 vol of G5 neutralises 1 vol of G6. If not, write down the neutralisation ratio.

- G7 - 0.2 M citric acid form 8.40 g
- 0.2 M Na$_2$HPO$_4$ 5.68 g

Up to 200 ml solution, pH 5.0 with NaOH 5M
- Amyloglucosidase 200 mg/ml G7

Mix G7 and G6 in a ratio of 1:3 if G6 neutralises G5 in a 1:1 ratio. If not, adjust the ratio between G7 and G6 such as 4 volume of mix G7+G6 neutralises 1 volume of G5.

-Solubilise 0.5-2.0 mg powder in 100 µl G5
  2.01-3.0 mg powder in 120 µl G5
  3.01-4.0 mg powder in 160 µl G5

and so on, by heating at 80 °C for 10 min.
NB Make sure that the muscle is well solubilised in NaOH before heating at 80 °C. You do that by hard mixing. Muscle will become a greenish clear solution after incubation.

- Spin briefly (2-3 s) the samples to condense down.

- Cool the samples to the room temperature.

- For every 80 µl G5 add 320 µl of neutralisation mix (80 µl G6 and 240 µl G7) and 10 µl amyloglucosidase.

- Incubate at room temperature for 60 min.

- After 60 min incubation you can either, spin the samples and transfer the supernatant to new test tubes. Equally, you can freeze the samples and spin them at a later date.

C. Glucosyl units assay:

(2) Glu 6-P + NAD → P-gluconolactone + NADH    Glu-6-PDH

(1) Glu + ATP → Glu 6-P + ADP    HK

The increase in NADH is measures at 366 nm where ε=3.4 µmol/cm²

G1 Reagent buffer:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Triethanolamine</td>
<td>100 mM</td>
<td>7 g/100 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOH</td>
<td>40 mM</td>
<td>0.8 g/100 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg(CH₃COO)₂ x 4H₂O</td>
<td>30 mM</td>
<td>2.4 g/100 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA Na₂ x 2H₂O</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
<td>0.14 g/100 ml</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjust to pH 8.2 with further KOH.

- Add 200 µl water to each of HK and Glu 6 PDH vials. Mix 1:1. Keep the mix on ice.

- To 80 µl G1 add 5 µl ATP 45 mM (27.72 mg/ml), 5 µl DTT 60 mM (9.36mg/ml), 10 µl NAD 30 mM (19.92 mg/ml) and 150 µl H₂O. Add 25-50 µl sample. Mix.
Measure absorbance at 344 nm for 3-4 min (blank). Start the reaction by adding 2 µl of a mixture of Glu 6PDH and HK. Record the absorbance for 10 min.

**Calculations:**

\[
\text{mmol glucosyl units/kg dry muscle} = (\Delta \text{abs} \times \text{extraction factor (L/Kg)} \times \text{variation in volume during the glucosyl units assay} \times \text{dilution factor}) / (\text{sample volume} \times \text{extinction coefficient}).
\]
8.4 Lactate assay

I. Preparing the solution:

PCA – 0.5 MOL

EDTA 1 mMol

300 µl of this mixture in each eppendorf’s (one for each sample)

Add 100µL of whole blood and shake vigorously. Put it on ice-bath as soon as possible for 5 minutes.

Spin for 2 minutes (22000G)

Take 300µl of supernatant and transfer to new test tubes (on ice).

Add 50µl of 2.2 mol Bicarbonate

Gently Vortex and leave on ice for 5 minutes

Centrifuge again after 5 minutes (1 minute on high speed – 22000G)

Take supernatant and transfer to new eppendorf test tubes and store @ -80°C.

II. ANALYSIS

Have a minimum of 600µl final volume in the cuvette.

The assay is carried out at pH 10; at this pH beef heart LDH is more stable than the skeletal enzyme (See Boehringer notes). 2-Amino-2methyl-1-propanol buffer with a pKa of 9.9 is suitable buffer.

1. 2-Amino-2-methyl-1-propanol buffer 1M pH 10.0 = 8.9 g (if liquid 9.5ml)/100 ml solution. Set pH 10.0 with HCL 6M. Make aliquots (10mls). Store at : -20°C

2. Tris 20 mM pH 8.0 = 0.24 g/100 ml. Set pH with HCL 1M. Store at @ -20°C

3. Hydrazine 1M. Mix 5 ml of the 20 M stock solution to 100 ml solution. Store @ room temperature.

4. NAD+ 100mM = 70mg/ml water. Make aliquots (50 µl). Store at @ -20°C
5. Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH) stock (beef heart) Boehringer 106984 (50 mg/10ml or 5 mg/ml or 250 U/mg or 1250 U/ml). Dilute stock to 550 U/ml with Tris 20 mM containing 0.02% albumin = @2.3 times dilution. Store @ 4°C.

6. L-Lactate standard 500µM:

Dissolve 6 mg Na lactate in deionised water then makeup to 100ml solution.

Make 100µl aliquots. Store at @ -20°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lactate 500 µM stock</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lactate concentration (cuvette) µM</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reagent buffer</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DI Water</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Reagent buffer:

2-Amino-2, methyl-1-propanol buffer 1M pH 10.0 10.00 ml

Hydrazine 1M 5.00 ml

NAD+ 100 mM 0.05 ml

Add deionised water up to 100 ml solution.

To 600 µl reagent buffer add 10µl DI water and 10µl samples.

Mix well and after 5 minutes read the initial fluorescence (F1) @ Ex-340 nm/Em-460 nm of each cuvette @ 30 second intervals.

Add 5µl of LDH beef heart 550 U/ml to each cuvette @ 30 second intervals.

Wait for @ 30 minutes. Keep the cuvettes at dark. Read F2 @ 30 second intervals.