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Abstract
The incidence of oesophageal adenocarcinoma (OA) has increased exponentially in the western world over the past few decades. Barrett’s oesophagus (BO) is a well known precursor of OA with a risk approximately 20 times more than that of background population. Regular endoscopic surveillance in patients with BO is recommended by most of the national gastroenterological societies. The advantage of Barrett’s surveillance is to identify early subtle lesions which could then be managed early to avoid symptomatic and advanced cancers. The detection of such early lesions are challenging as they could be flat and inconspicuous on routine endoscopic examination. In the absence of any lesions, four quadrant biopsies every 1-2 cm of the whole length of Barrett’s oesophagus is advised. This technique would map only 5-10% of the surface area of Barrett’s segment and hence it is associated with significant sampling error. The improvement in electronics over the past decade has led to the production of endoscopes with better charged coupled devices and image enhancement techniques by altering the spectrum of light.

This thesis examines the role of multimodal imaging in Barrett’s oesophagus with a focus on detecting dysplasia and early cancer (EC). Firstly, the role of high definition (HD) imaging in routine clinical setting was studied using data from patients who have undergone Barrett’s surveillance. The yield of dysplasia by HD endoscopy was compared to standard definition (SD) endoscopy in this study. The role of narrow band imaging (NBI) with magnification in characterising abnormal lesions detected during BO surveillance was evaluated by performing a meta-
analysis of clinical studies. The role of autofluorescence imaging (AFI) in Barrett's oesophagus was examined in detail with a view to understand the biological basis of autofluorescence and to improve the specificity of this technique as it is associated with significant false positive results in clinical studies. A meta-analysis was performed to identify whether AFI has a clinical advantage over white light endoscopy in detecting Barrett's dysplasia and the inter-observer reliability of this technology was studied using AFI expert and AFI non-expert endoscopists. An objective method of measuring the autofluorescence intensity was proposed as a ratio of the red to the green colour tone (AF ratio) of the area of interest. When the AF ratio of the lesion was divided by the AF ratio of the background mucosa, an AF index is obtained. A pilot study was performed to identify a cut-off value of AF index to differentiate high grade dysplasia (HGD) and EC from non-dysplastic BO. Finally, the biological basis of AF intensity was examined using APC\textsuperscript{min} mouse colonic models. This study looked into the AF ratio of the colonic mucosal lesions and correlated it with the amount of collagen and elastin in the submucosal tissue. Collagen and elastin are known to be the strongest fluorophores of the gastrointestinal tract and the question addressed is whether the low AF intensity associated with dysplastic lesions is due to the thickening of mucosa or to a reduction of collagen and elastin.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION
1.1 Introduction to endoscopic techniques

1.1.1 History of endoscopy

The evolution of endoscopic technology over the past century has been closely related to the developments in the field of electronics and fibre optics. The credit of first gastroscopy goes to Kussmaul in 1868, which was lacking in illumination. Even after the invention of the first commercially available incandescent light by Thomas Edison in 1878, it took more than a quarter of a century to incorporate them into the endoscope. It was Hoffman, who first made an endoscope in 1911, which could tackle the tortuosity of the gut using multiple lenses and prisms. A semi flexible gastroscope using the same concept was materialised after another two decades by the efforts of Wolf and Schindler.

A flexible fibre optical imaging device was first built in 1954 but the first use of flexible fibre optic endoscope materialised in 1958 by the efforts of Larry Curtis, a graduate in physics and Basil Hirschowitz, a trainee in gastroenterology. This marked a new era in the history of endoscopy to tackle the tortuous and dark lumen of gastrointestinal tract, which was not examined using a flexible instrument before. The fibre scope was based on optical viewing bundles transmitting light focused onto the face of each fibre by repeated internal reflections. The image reconstructed at the top of the bundle is transmitted to the eye via a focusing lens. The fibres are closely packed, however there is always some space between the fibres resulting in a ‘packing fraction’ that is responsible for the fine mesh like effect frequently apparent in the transmitted images. Disadvantage of these scopes were the frequent breakage of the fibre optics due to
continued flexing of the endoscope, leading to loss of pixels and image quality. The technology evolved over the next 3 decades until the invention of charge coupled devices (CCD) in 1969. In the late 70's, CCDs were incorporated into the endoscopes and this started a new era for endoscopy. The CCDs produced electronic images that could be viewed on a television and this improved the audience experience and facilitated teaching. Essentially a CCD 'chip' is an array of several thousand individual photo cells known as picture elements (pixels) that receive photons reflected back from the mucosal surface and producing electrons in proportion to light received. The variable levels of charge are sent electronically to a video processor, which transposes this analog information into digital data, which in turn is processed to produce an image on a television monitor. The pixel density of CCDs have evolved since then to the current standards of high resolution endoscopy containing more that 850,000 pixels in the CCD. This has improved the clarity of images thus facilitating early diagnosis of subtle lesions that could be tackled before progressing into an advanced disease.

Over the past decade, tremendous improvements occurred in the field of electronics and endoscopy. This is true in cases of imaging techniques and therapeutic capabilities. The introduction of capsule endoscopy and echo endoscope was some of the recent innovations that has revolutionised the practice of endoscopy.
1.1.2 Endoscopy system

The current standard video endoscopy system comprises of flexible endoscope, electronic processor, light source, and a television monitor. The endoscope has a control head and a flexible shaft with a manoeuvrable tip. The head contains a small and a larger wheel, which assists in the right and left as well as up and down movements of the tip respectively. It also has up to four buttons that help in freezing the image, printing and so on. The head is connected to a light source via an umbilical cord, through which pass other tubes transmitting air, water and suction. The vinyl covered shaft contains to and fro wiring and supporting electronics to the CCD ‘chip’ mounted at the distal end. This shaft also houses the light guide for providing illumination at the distal tip, control wires for manoeuvring the distal tip, a channel for suction that also accepts a variety of accessory devices and a channel for insufflations of air and water. The distal end unit has the CCD, lens system, opening for the accessory channel, and the light guide for providing illumination.

The umbilicus of the endoscope is connected directly to the light source. This box contains a xenon lamp that emits white light, which is passed through a red-green-blue (RGB) filter in the commonly used Olympus systems. The umbilicus is also connected to a video processor that receives the RGB images from the CCD, integrates them into a single image that is transmitted to the television monitor. Current television monitors offer high definition clarity.
1.1.3 New modalities of image enhanced endoscopy

Advanced techniques are developed to enhance the endoscopic image by increasing the resolution of the CCD and using high definition television to view the processed images. Currently, endoscopes with integrated zoom lenses and microscopes are available and with these technologies, intestinal tissues can be imaged at cellular and nuclear levels which provide in-vivo optical histology. Image enhancement using dye (chromoendoscopy) or optical methods (Narrow Band Imaging (NBI), Fuji Intelligent Chromo Endoscopy (FICE) and I-Scan) could allow improved visualisation and characterisation of lesions.

1.1.4 High resolution and magnification endoscopy

Conventional video endoscopes were equipped with CCDs of 100 000 to 300 000 pixels, so that each image is built up from those numbers of individual pixels. This is referred to as pixel density, which is important because it relates to the image resolution and indicates the ability to discriminate two closely approximated points. The higher the pixel density, better the image resolution and this in turn will help in identifying subtle lesions. The pixel density of CCDs has improved over the years and currently CCDs of 850 000 pixel density are available in the market. They are called high resolution scopes. Some of the endoscopes are equipped with optical zoom facility, by using a movable lens in the tip of the scope. The focal distance of these lenses can be controlled by a lever at the head of the endoscope. This allows visualisation of mucosa very closely and the micro-vascular and micro-structural details can be assessed. Endoscopes with
magnification up to 115 times are available commercially. The optical magnification is closely related to the high resolution abilities of endoscopes. Using the same level of optical magnification, high resolution chips will offer much more mucosal details. Image manipulation with electronic zooming is also feasible. However the level of magnification is limited and the quality of image will be lost after a particular level of magnification, which does not happen with optical zoom scopes with high resolution CCDs.

High resolution endoscopy (HRE) with magnification had been widely studied in upper gastrointestinal disorders. Gastric atrophy and *H. Pylori* gastritis could be reliably identified by magnified endoscopic assessment of gastric antrum and corpus.\(^8\,9\) The normal gastric mucosa was seen as honeycomb like sub-epithelial capillary network pattern (SECN) with collecting venules (CV). The SECN and CV were lost and enlarged white pits were seen in *H. Pylori* gastritis. Gastric atrophy manifested with loss of normal pit patterns and irregular collecting venules. The sensitivity and specificity of these findings were more than 90%\(^10\,11\). Other investigators have also reported good sensitivity and specificity for magnification endoscopy to identify *H. Pylori* gastritis.\(^9\) Magnification endoscopy (ME) is also useful in identifying intestinal villi, which will be useful in patients with suspected celiac disease. This could be used to assess the degree of villous atrophy and was found to be well correlated with histology\(^12\,13\).

Patients with reflux symptoms and no macroscopic evidence of oesophagitis are labelled as non-erosive reflux disease (NERD). Role of magnifying endoscopy was studied in this context to identify subtle changes at the gastro-oesophageal
junction. No particular feature was sensitive enough to identify NERD, but changes like triangular indentations, apical mucosal breaks, and pinpoint blood vessels at the squamo-columnar junction were identified more frequently in the patients with reflux disease \textsuperscript{14,15}.

In Barrett's oesophagus (BO), role of magnification endoscopy had been studied mostly in conjunction with chromoendoscopy. Kara \textit{et al} found that HRE alone could detect most of the dysplastic lesions; the yield of which was improved by indigocarmine dye and narrow band imaging \textsuperscript{16}. ME has also been proposed to improve yield of specialised intestinal metaplasia in BO. Indigocarmine and methylene blue were used with ME to identify intestinal metaplasia using ridged or villous pit patterns. This has shown significant correlation with histology in these studies \textsuperscript{17,18}. Improved yield of specialised intestinal metaplasia and dysplasia was found with acetic acid chromoscopy and magnification as well \textsuperscript{19,20}. HRE was compared with acetic acid chromoscopy, indigocarmine chromoscopy and NBI in an inter-observer study of Barrett's oesophagus by Curvers \textit{et al} \textsuperscript{21}. Interestingly, the addition of chromoscopy or NBI did not improve the inter-observer agreement or yield of dysplasia. The yield for identifying early neoplasia with white light images was 86% for all observers, 90% for experts, and 84% for non-experts.
Table 1: Diagnostic accuracy of magnification endoscopy to differentiate adenomatous from non-adenomatous colonic polyps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Lesions</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rogart et al.²²</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zanoni et al.²³</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pohl et al.²⁴</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Magnification endoscopy was used to differentiate adenomatous from non-adenomatous colonic polyps using pit patterns. Kudo classification of various pit patterns in colonic polyps are useful in differentiating non-adenomatous polyps (Hyperplastic polyp, inflammatory polyp etc.) from adenomatous polyps and colon cancer. These include 6 patterns. Patterns I and II were considered non-neoplastic polyps, III, IIII, and IV were considered to depict adenomatous polyps. Pattern V, which describes irregular pit patterns, were noted to represent cancer. Magnification endoscopy alone was found to have a modest accuracy to differentiate these. Diagnostic accuracy of studies which used magnification endoscopy is summarised in table 1. However when magnification endoscopy is combined with chromoendoscopy, the diagnostic accuracy was significantly better. Most reported studies had accuracy more than 90%.

1.1.5 Chromoendoscopy

The use of special stains in combination with magnification endoscopy enhances the mucosal details seen at endoscopy with characteristic mucosal appearances such as pit patterns in various parts of the gastrointestinal tract. This will help in targeting biopsies from suspicious areas and thus improve the yield of dysplasia in precancerous conditions like Barrett’s oesophagus. Vital stains like Lugol’s solution and methylene blue are absorbed into the cells and thus highlight the surface pit patterns. Contrast stains like indigo carmine are not absorbed into the cells but accumulate in pits between cells highlighting mucosal details. Reactive stains like Congo red and phenol red react to changing conditions of acid secretion and carry a potential with regard to the early detection of gastric cancer. It is
important that a special spraying catheter is used permitting optimal dispersion of
the dye onto the mucosal surface. Even though chromoendoscopy is cheap and
effective it is underused in the western world compared to the Far East. Also, there
have been concerns raised as photosensitisation of methylene blue with white light
can induce formation of reactive oxygen species, causing single strand breaks and
generation of oxidative alterations within the DNA $^{30,31}$. However, this is not the
case with contrast stains, which are used preferentially, and yields comparative
results.

1.1.5.1 Methylene blue chromoendoscopy

Methylene blue (MB) is an absorptive dye which is probably the most widely
studied in Barrett’s oesophagus. It is taken up by actively absorbing intestinal
epithelial cells and dysplastic mucosa, but not by normal oesophageal and gastric
mucosa. During MB chromoendoscopy, specialised intestinal metaplasia (SIM)
typically stains blue, where as a lighter intensity and increased heterogeneity in the
staining pattern predict high grade dysplasia (HGD) and/or adenocarcinoma.

One of the earlier studies by Canto et al investigated the MB staining patterns and
the yield of SIM and dysplasia in Barrett’s. The accuracy of SIM detection was
90% and they found that light to absent staining and moderate to marked
heterogeneity were significantly associated with high grade dysplasia or cancer$^{32}$. Further to this various studies compared the yield of MB directed target biopsies
to random biopsies in detection of dysplasia and SIM. Some of the studies
demonstrated significantly higher yield of SIM using MB chromoendoscopy with
sensitivities ranging from 80 to 98% \(^{33,34}\), while others showed much lower sensitivities \(^{35-37}\). Difference in concentration of MB, the volume used and the time of contact could all be the reasons for this variability in results. The results for detecting dysplasia are even more inconsistent. A recent meta-analysis included 9 studies comparing MB directed biopsies to random biopsies. They found that there was no significant incremental yield of SIM and dysplasia with MB chromoendoscopy \(^{38}\). The yield of SIM with MB was 75% versus 70% for random biopsies, and that for dysplasia was 43% and 32% respectively for MB targeted biopsies and random biopsies. Sub analysis showed a modest 7% incremental yield for detecting HGD and cancer \(^{38}\). Even among experts there is a controversy regarding the ideal ways to target the biopsies. The staining patterns for SIM and dysplasia are also controversial, which had made this technique less frequently used in the present era. The advent of electronic chromoendoscopy techniques which could also provide details on the vascular patterns has superseded chromoendoscopy to some extent. Colonic MB chromoendoscopy had shown to improve dysplasia detection in inflammatory bowel disease \(^{39}\). There is a paucity of data on MB chromo colonoscopy, probably due to the concerns raised about the potential DNA damage and the larger volume of MB necessary compared to upper gastrointestinal examination \(^{40}\).
1.1.5.2 Chromoendoscopy with other dyes

Lugol’s iodine is a compound iodine solution which is absorbed by the glycogen containing squamous epithelium and stains it dark brown. This is particularly useful in identifying oesophageal squamous dysplasia which will appear lighter in colour compared to the normal squamous epithelium. It is also possible to differentiate the columnar lining and gastric mucosa effectively. In a screening study for high risk patients, Lugol’s iodine increased the sensitivity of oesophageal squamous dysplasia detection significantly. In BO, the specialised intestinal metaplasia does not stain after Lugol’s iodine application. This can be used to demarcate the columnar lined oesophagus from the normal squamous mucosa.

Crystal violet is another absorptive stain which was used in colonoscopic assessment of polyps, as it is taken up by crypts of Liberkuhn. Its role in Barrett’s oesophagus is not clearly understood as there is a paucity of literature.

Indigocarmine (IC) is much more widely used in clinical practice now. This dye is not absorbed by the epithelial cells, but augments the mucosal details thus enabling to identify mucosal irregularities. The use of IC in Barrett’s oesophagus and colonic lesion detection had been widely studied. Sharma et al found that magnification endoscopy with indigocarmine spray is useful in identifying intestinal metaplasia and dysplasia in BO. All patients with HGD had irregular/distorted pit patterns on inspection. Ridged/villous pattern were suggestive of intestinal metaplasia. However LGD patients also had ridged pattern and could not be differentiated from intestinal metaplasia alone. However
compared to high resolution endoscopy, IC chromoendoscopy (ICC) could detect only a limited number of additional dysplastic lesions and did not alter the sensitivity of detecting dysplasia. Similar results were obtained by Curvers et al, who did not find any improvement in inter-observer variability and yield of dysplasia when magnification ICC is compared with magnified high resolution images. The high resolution endoscopy with the high definition systems and magnification are able to provide much more mucosal details compared to the previous generation of endoscopes, which could explain these results.

ICC is much more widely used in colonic lesions for differentiating polyps and detecting dysplasia. The adenoma detection rates of ICC were superior to standard white light endoscopy in various comparative studies. This was particularly sensitive in detecting small and flat adenomas. Contrary to these findings, one of the studies did not find any difference in detecting adenomas using HRE and chromoscopy compared to standard endoscopy. However the total number of flat lesions detected was better with chromoscopy as with hyperplasic polyps.

ICC seems to be beneficial in characterisation of polyps and differentiating adenomatous from non-adenomatous polyps using Kudo pit patterns. The sensitivities of ICC vary from 82% to 100% and specificities vary between 82% and 95%. The Japanese endoscopists are more experienced than the western endoscopists in differentiating the polyp pit patterns and this could explain the variations in the diagnostic accuracy.
Various studies have evaluated the role of ICC in detecting dysplasia during ulcerative colitis surveillance. Pan colonic dye spray and targeted biopsies are found to have better yield in detecting dysplasia. Rutter et al compared the yield of dysplasia in random biopsies and targeted biopsies after ICC. Out of the 2904 non-targeted biopsies, none of them showed dysplasia in 100 patients. The same patients required only 157 targeted biopsies after ICC, and detected 9 dysplastic lesions. Similarly, magnification ICC was found to improve the yield of dysplasia and also helped in characterising detected lesions.

The chromoendoscopy had been in use for more than two decades but has its own limitations. The spraying of dye can increase the procedure time and is sometimes messy. Also there is a lack of standardisation of the technique, producing variable results in the clinical trials. Lack of evidence form well controlled studies to assess the clinical utility, cost effectiveness and patient acceptability are some of the reasons for its under use, especially so in the western world.

1.1.6 Fuji Intelligent Chromo Endoscopy (FICE) and I-Scan

These techniques are based on a new computed spectral estimation technology. FICE (Fujinon endoscopy®) and I-Scan (Pentax Medical®) transforms an ordinary endoscopic image taken from the video processor and arithmetically processes the reflected photons to reconstitute virtual images by increasing the relative intensity of narrowed blue light to a maximum and by decreasing narrowed red and green light to a minimum. This leads to better delineation of microvasculature and mucosal pit patterns due to the differential absorption of
light by haemoglobin in the mucosa. FICE has been compared with standard endoscopy and indigocarmine chromoendoscopy in colonic polyps to differentiate neoplastic polyps from non-adenomatous polyps. The diagnostic accuracy of FICE with and without magnification was 83% and 90%. This was significantly better than standard endoscopy with and without magnification and was comparable to chromoendoscopy 24. A randomised study looked at the adenoma detection rates of FICE without magnification and chromoendoscopy. The detection rates were similar; however these results are valuable in that, chromoendoscopy could be replaced by FICE which is a dye less technique 59. There is a paucity of data on the use of FICE in upper gastrointestinal tract 60. A recent study has found that Barrett’s oesophagus can be easily diagnosed with FICE compared to standard endoscopy, with a clear demarcation between the Barrett’s segment and gastric mucosa 61. More studies are necessary in Barrett’s oesophagus comparing to other modalities to assess the utility of this new technique.

I-Scan is the latest addition to the field of electronic chromoendoscopy based on a similar mechanism as in FICE. It was found to improve detection of small colonic polyps in the left colon compared to high definition colonoscopy. However there was no difference in neoplasia pick up rates among I-scan, high definition colonoscopy and chromoendoscopy 62. I-scan was found to improve the yield of minimal change reflux oesophagitis compared to white light endoscopy in a randomised trial. This study also showed improvement in the inter-observer reliability of Los Angeles classification of reflux oesophagitis 63.
1.1.7 Confocal laser endomicroscopy

The concept of 'optical biopsy' has been achieved in its true sense by the confocal laser endomicroscopy. Two systems are commercially available, which includes an endoscope with integrated confocal microscope at the tip (Pentax Medical®), and a probe based confocal microscope which can be passed through the working channel of an ordinary endoscope (Mauna Kea). To create confocal images, blue laser light is focused on the desired tissue via the distal end of confocal endoscope. Applied fluorescent materials (usually intravenously) are excited by laser lights, which is detected by the confocal optical unit in an exactly defined horizontal level. Extreme magnification (up to 1000 times) is obtained with this technology acquiring images at the cellular/nuclear level mimicking histopathology sections, thereby allowing targeted biopsy and reducing the number of random biopsies.

One of the earliest reports on confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE) was published in 2005, detecting *H. Pylori* colonisation of stomach in a 70 years old gentleman 64. CLE was used in Barrett’s oesophagus to study the mucosal morphology and predict dysplasia. The sensitivity in predicting intestinal metaplasia and dysplasia compared to targeted histology was 98% and 93% with a specificity of 94% and 98% 65. CLE with optical biopsies or targeted biopsies have shown to improve the yield of endoscopically inapparent BO dysplasia in a randomised trial, compared to non-targeted biopsies 66. In colon, CLE was found to be highly accurate in predicting intra-epithelial neoplasia and differentiating dysplasia associated mass lesions and adenomas in ulcerative colitis 67,68.
The endocytoscopy is a relatively new addition to the endoscopic magnification technology and these are ultra-magnification (450-1125 times) catheter based endoscopes that can be passed through the working channel of a standard endoscope. In combination with chromo agents, they can provide in vivo histological images. Unlike confocal endoscopy, endocytoscopes produce colour images but does not scan deeper cellular levels.

### 1.1.8 Infra red endoscopy

This recently developed technology uses near-infrared light, which has limited scattering characteristics and low absorption by water and haemoglobin that in turn allows deeper penetration into the tissue compared to white light. This endoscopy system uses a high performance CCD which is sensitive to infrared and white light along with two ranges of infrared light emitted by the light source. Intravenously administered indocyanine green dye enables to clearly visualise mucosal and submucosal vessels with the infrared light and is found to be useful in identifying the depth of gastric cancers. Indocyanine is excited by rays at a wavelength of 768 nm to emit fluorescence at a wavelength of 807 nm in the infrared (IR) range. Thirty patients with gastric tumours were examined using infra red endoscopy. Fluorescence was positive in 8 of 10 gastric cancers with submucosal invasion and 1 of 20 adenomas or intra-mucosal gastric cancers. IR endoscopy could be a useful tool to estimate the depth of invasion of gastric lesions \(^{69,70}\). Further studies are necessary to assess its utility in other parts of gastro intestinal tract.
1.1.9 Narrow band imaging

Narrow band imaging (NBI) is a relatively new technology of image enhanced endoscopy. It offers advantages of chromoscopy without the use of dye spray. This was first described in 2004 by a Gono et al. and is patented by Olympus corporation, Tokyo, Japan. Standard white light endoscopy uses full visible wavelength range of 400 to 700 nm, to produce a red-green-blue image. White light from a xenon lamp is passed through a red-green-blue filter (RGB filter) and the mucosa is sequentially illuminated with these three colours. The red, green, and blue reflected light is detected by a monochromatic charged coupled device (CCD) integrated at the tip of the endoscope, and the three images are merged into a single colour image by the video processor. In endoscopic systems with NBI, an additional filter is activated by pressing a button on the hand control of the endoscope. This filter Narrows the band widths of the emitted blue (440-460 nm) and green light (540-560 nm) and the relative contribution of blue light has been increased. The penetration of light into the tissue depends on the wavelength; the longer the wavelength, the deeper the penetration. Blue light penetrates only the superficial layers; whereas the red light penetrates deeply. By narrowing the bandwidths of blue and green light, the superficial mucosal details are better visualised. Also, the blue light is absorbed by haemoglobin, enabling visualisation of superficial vasculature. The RGB based system and NBI are used mainly in Japan and United Kingdom, whereas in other parts of world, a white light illumination system with a colour CCD is used.
NBI is often called as ‘electronic chromoendoscopy’ and offers a few advantages over traditional chromoendoscopy. NBI is user friendly and does not need any additional dyes to be used. It allows uniform visualisation of the endoscopic field, whereas the use of dye might not distribute equally over the mucosa. In addition to these practical advantages, NBI also allows visualisation of micro vasculature with high contrast, which is not possible with chromoscopy. Examining the mucosal pit patterns and vascularity using NBI could help in identifying subtle abnormalities harbouring dysplasia. This had been widely studied in upper and lower gastrointestinal tract. The details of lesion characterisation in colon are outlined below (Table 2).

Table 2: Lesion characterisation in colon using narrow band imaging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>No of lesions</th>
<th>Sensitivity %</th>
<th>Specificity %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Machida et al.</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Su et al.</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiu et al.</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East et al.</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirata et al.</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NBI could be useful in detecting Barrett’s dysplasia compared to standard resolution white light endoscopy (WLE). In a prospective tandem endoscopy study of 65 patients, higher grades of dysplasia were detected by NBI compared to WLE. NBI directed target biopsies yielded more dysplasia than WLE directed biopsies and the number of biopsies taken by WLE were significantly more than that of NBI. An earlier study by Kara et al compared the dysplasia detection rates of HRE, indigocarmine chromoscopy and NBI with magnification. Targeted biopsies with HRE alone had a sensitivity of 79% in detecting HGD. The addition of chromoscopy and NBI did not improve the yield significantly. The first study described above, used standard resolution endoscopy, while the latter study used HRE. This could explain the variability in the results. More studies are necessary to comment on the ability of NBI in detecting dysplastic lesions.

Narrowband imaging with magnification however could help in assessing the micro-structural and vascular patterns of any suspicious areas detected in the Barrett’s segment (Figure 1-2). Irregular vascular patterns and irregular micro-structural patterns indicate possibility of dysplasia, while inflammation and scarring could be excluded. There are various studies which identified different mucosal pit patterns and vascular patterns in dysplastic and non-dysplastic Barrett’s. Singh et al described four different mucosal pit patterns and two vascular patterns (regular or irregular) on NBI with magnification. Type A was round pits with regular micro-vasculature. Type B was villous/ridged patterns with regular micro-vasculature. Type C patterns showed absent pit patterns with regular vascular patterns and type D was irregular pits with irregular micro-vasculature.
Figure 1: Narrow band imaging with magnification showing regular pit patterns and regular micro-vascular patterns in non-dysplastic Barrett’s

Figure 2: Narrow band imaging with magnification showing distorted pits and irregular vascular patterns in dysplastic Barrett’s
Type A pattern was associated with columnar epithelium and the positive and negative predictive values were 100% and 89% respectively. Similarly patterns B and C were thought to represent intestinal metaplasia and the positive and negative predictive values were 90% and 96%. Type D pattern represents HGD or early cancer with positive and negative predictive values of 79% and 100%. Reports from other studies were variable and some of the investigators used different classification systems of the mucosal pit patterns.

NBI is widely available for clinical use and magnification endoscopes are commercially available. In this setting it is important to clarify whether NBI with magnification is truly worthwhile on routine surveillance of Barrett’s patients. The role of NBI in detecting dysplastic lesions remains controversial, but most of the studies used NBI to characterise the detected lesions. If NBI offers good accuracy in characterising lesions, this would help in reducing the number of random of biopsies and help in targeting lesions. This also has implications in endotherapy where NBI could be used to delineate the lesions based on the pit patterns and vascular patterns.

1.1.10 Autofluorescence endoscopy

The endoscopic techniques are evolving constantly and we are moving beyond white light endoscopy to the newer modalities using the light tissue interaction. The phenomenon of autofluorescence occurs when a light of shorter wavelength interacts with a tissue containing endogenous fluorophores, which in turn emits light of longer wavelength. A number of biological substances in the gastrointestinal tract such as collagen, elastin, nicotinamide, and flavins can act as
endogenous fluorophores. Earlier autofluorescence imaging systems used fibre optic endoscopes which failed to produce sufficient image quality for clinical utility. However the emergence of high resolution video endoscopy with a second CCD for autofluorescence imaging has made it possible to obtain pseudo colour images with significant improvement in quality. AFI offers an easy way to distinguish between normal and tumorous tissue by combining an auto fluorescence image on irradiating with a blue light of wavelength of 390-470 nm. The image of green reflected light depicts the absorbed light by haemoglobin, so that normal tissue appears pale green and tumour tissue appears magenta.

There are various studies which examined the role of AFI in Barrett’s oesophagus. One of the earliest studies used a fibre based laser induced fluorescence system, which could be passed through the accessory channel of the endoscopes. Panjehpour et al studied this system in 36 patients with BO. The probe was kept in close contact with the site of interest and using a foot pedal the measurement was taken. Analysis was done using a mathematical model. They found that 96% of non-dysplastic Barrett’s was classified as benign and 90% HGD as pre-malignant. 5-aminolevulinic acid-induced protoporphyrin IX fluorescence was found to identify areas of HGD with a modest sensitivity of 70% in a later study. In vitro studies on surgical specimens showed that the highest fluorescence ratio was obtained in areas of adenocarcinoma, compared to dysplastic Barrett’s and non-dysplastic Barrett’s. The next generation of light induced autofluorescence endoscopes (LIFE) was investigated in the turn of this century. Utility of autofluorescence endoscopy to detect the presence and extent of occult malignant
and premalignant oesophageal and gastric lesions were demonstrated by the Amsterdam group in 2001. In a randomised crossover trial Kara et al investigated the role of AFI in detection of dysplasia in BO compared to WLE. The sensitivity of WLE targeted biopsies was better than that of AFI in this study (85% vs. 69%) Thus, AFI did not improve dysplasia detection rates using this system. This resulted in introduction of a video autofluorescence endoscope which was studied in 2005 again by the Amsterdam group. This was a prototype system from Olympus Corporation, Japan, which is a high resolution video endoscope that has separate CCDs for WLE and AFI. 22 patients with HGD were examined with AFI and WLE. AFI detected additional lesions in 3 patients compared to WLE. The use of AFI was found to be feasible and promising in detecting dysplasia.

These earlier studies prompted to use AFI as a ‘red flag’ technique to highlight suspected areas in Barrett’s which could be closely examined with WLE or NBI with and without magnification. One of the disadvantages observed was the high false positive rates for AFI and it was hypothesised that this could be improved by additional NBI use. Twenty patients with suspected HGD were observed with AFI and suspected areas were examined closely with NBI. All the 28 lesions in this cohort were picked up by AFI; however there was a false positive rate of 40%. This was reduced to 10% by the use of NBI, thus making a combined approach more specific. A randomised trial comparing AFI and WLE in Barrett’s surveillance patients was performed where AFI was found to improve dysplasia detection rates. However this did not suggest replacing the standard four quadrant
biopsy protocols as in 11/19 patients dysplasia was detected only on random sampling\textsuperscript{85}. The combined use of WLE, AFI and NBI is possible with commercially available endoscopes with magnification. These different modalities could be used by the press of a button and the operator can switch back and forth in seconds. The value of this ‘trimodal imaging’ was investigated by the Amsterdam group. AFI was superior to WLE in detecting dysplastic lesions, but the false positivity was high as reported before (81\%). This was reduced to 26\% after inspection with NBI\textsuperscript{86}.

The role of AFI in colonic imaging is less well studied. Back to back colonoscopy with AFI and WLE examined the adenoma detection rates, which showed increased pick of adenomas in the right colon by AFI\textsuperscript{87}. However a study from Japan, which compared chromoendoscopy, NBI and AFI found that chromoscopy is superior to other modalities\textsuperscript{88}. More well designed studies are necessary in colon, to assess the utility of this technique.

It is possible that the AFI intensity changes with progressing dysplasia and also there is likely to be a difference with non-dysplastic and dysplastic Barrett’s. This could not be easily differentiated endoscopically as areas of inflammation, scarring and dysplasia will show low intensity signals (magenta/purple) compared to the normal green mucosa on AF imaging (figure 3-4). However, a ratio of the ‘red tone to the green tone’ or vice versa analysis of images might be helpful to differentiate the true positives from the false positives.
Figure 3: High definition white light endoscopy showing a Barrett’s cancer highlighted in purple on autofluorescence imaging

Figure 4: Barrett’s high grade dysplasia seen as a subtle lesion on white light endoscopy highlighted in purple colour on autofluorescence imaging
1.2 Barrett’s oesophagus

1.2.1 Epidemiology of Barrett’s oesophagus and oesophageal adenocarcinoma

Barrett’s oesophagus (BO) is named after Norman Barrett who first described this condition in 1950. Various investigators have described conditions similar to this since 1906. One of the first descriptions was by a pathologist named Tileston, who found ‘peptic ulcer of the oesophagus’ with surrounding mucosa simulating that of stomach. The anatomical origin of this abnormal lining was debated for a few decades. Norman Barrett himself believed that, this abnormal lining is in fact due to stomach tethered to chest with a congenitally short oesophagus\(^9^9\). A few years later, Allison and Johnstone, published their observations that the abnormal columnar lining is from the oesophagus. This was strengthened by their observations that the hollow organ contained submucosal glands and muscularis layer which are characteristic of oesophagus. Furthermore, they identified interspersed squamous islands in the columnar lining, strengthening the argument\(^9^0\). In 1957, Barrett agreed with these findings and his name was given to the condition where lower oesophagus was lined by columnar epithelium. In 1976, Paull \textit{et al} published details of the various types of the columnar epithelium which was identified at the lower oesophagus. These included a gastric fundic type, a junctional type and a distinctive intestinal metaplasia, which was called specialised intestinal metaplasia\(^9^1\). The complete specialised intestinal metaplasia with goblet cells are since then considered to be the hallmark of Barrett’s oesophagus.
The endoscopic diagnosis remained challenging as the normal gastro-oesophageal junction (GOJ) was difficult to define in the presence of columnar epithelium. Many investigators argued that the distal oesophagus would normally contain some columnar epithelium. After years of contemplation, the Barrett’s subgroup of the international working group for classification of oesophagitis (IWGCO) developed the Prague criteria to facilitate an internationally acceptable nomenclature for endoscopic description of Barrett’s oesophagus in 2006.

BO is characterised by replacement of the distal squamous oesophageal epithelium by columnar mucosa containing specialised intestinal metaplasia (SIM). The specialised intestinal metaplasia is considered to predispose to adenocarcinoma, and it could be argued that presence of SIM anywhere in the oesophagus could be called Barrett’s and not necessarily the metaplasia at the lower oesophagus.

The average age of diagnosis of Barrett’s is 55-65 years with a male preponderance at 2:1 ratio to females. Although Barrett’s oesophagus can affect children, this is seldom seen below the age of 5 years, which strengthens the argument that Barrett’s is an acquired condition. The condition is less often reported in the African Caribbean and Asian population compared to white Caucasians. Thus white males in their middle age are the most predisposed patients, constituting 80% all cases. The role of smoking, obesity and alcohol in the pathogenesis of Barrett’s is controversial. Some studies have reported progression from non-dysplastic BO to adenocarcinoma in patients with abdominal obesity and smoking habits.
The prevalence of Barrett’s in the general population is variable depending on the population studied and the definition used. A Swedish population based study identified the prevalence as 1.6% of the general population. Interestingly, around 45% patients with BO lacked significant reflux symptoms in this study, which suggests that screening programmes for detecting Barrett’s in the population with reflux disease would be inadequate. There are reports of familial aggregation of Barrett’s oesophagus and oesophageal adenocarcinoma. The presence of family history with first or second degree relatives having Barrett’s oesophagus or oesophageal adenocarcinoma was significantly higher in cases than controls (24% Vs 5%). Germline mutations in MSR1, ASCC1 and CTHRC1 have been associated with BO and OA in a study conducted in 21 concordant affected sibling pairs. However, larger cohort studies are necessary to validate these findings.

1.2.2 Aetiology and pathogenesis of Barrett’s oesophagus

Barrett’s oesophagus is a well recognised complication of long standing reflux disease. Patients who develop BO tend to have a combination of clinical features which include presence of hiatus hernia and reduced lower oesophageal sphincter pressure. These predispose to Gastro oesophageal reflux (GORD). Delayed oesophageal acid clearance time and duodeno-gastric reflux facilitating bile in the oesophageal lumen are also thought to be predisposing to development of BO. There are various oesophageal defence mechanisms in place. The anti-reflux barrier includes the high pressure zone at the gastro-oesophageal junction (GOJ) maintained by the tonic contraction of the lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS).
The external compression by the crus of the right diaphragm and the intra-abdominal location of the LOS also helps to maintain the high pressure at the lower oesophagus thus preventing reflux. However the LOS relaxes episodically and transiently in healthy persons. Reduction in the LOS pressure can be provoked by various dietary factors including chocolate, fatty foods, alcohol and smoking. These factors are seen to increase the transient LOS relaxations (TLOSRs). This predisposes to reflux of acid, bile, pepsin and pancreatic enzymes causing mucosal injury to the oesophagus.

The damage to the oesophageal lining is dependent on the duration of acid or other noxious substances contact with the mucosa rather than the number of episodes. Healthy individuals clear the oesophageal contents in various ways including the help of gravity, peristalsis and presence of bicarbonates in the salivary secretions. Dysmotility of the oesophageal musculature resulting in weak or ineffective peristalsis is an important contributing factor in the pathogenesis of GORD.

BO develops through the process of metaplasia which implies that one kind of fully differentiated cell replaces another. Metaplasia occurs as a result of constant noxious substance exposure, where the injured mature cells are repaired by aberrant differentiation of immature proliferating cells. The metaplastic columnar epithelium seems to be a favourable adaptation for long standing reflux as columnar epithelium is resistant to reflux compared to squamous epithelium. The pattern of acid exposure to the metaplastic epithelium might play a role in the progression to dysplasia and cancer. An ex-vivo study showed that pulsed acid exposure to the metaplastic epithelium resulted in cellular proliferation as
compared to constant acid exposure when normal oesophageal, BO and duodenal cells were exposed to acid. The authors proposed a model in where diverse patterns of acid exposure may be contributing to the heterogeneity and unpredictability in progression of neoplasia in Barrett’s 106. A strong and probably causal relationship between gastro-oesophageal reflux and oesophageal adenocarcinoma was reported in a population study and they also suggested that the more frequent, more severe, and longer lasting symptoms of reflux are associated with higher risk of adenocarcinoma 107. However, this was a case-control study based on personal interviews with regard to the severity of symptoms and is subject to bias. An association is definitely forthcoming but causal relationship is difficult to confirm. It was hypothesised that, given the propensity of severe reflux disease in patients with long segment BO, the metaplasia progressed in extent over the years. However, such extension of length of BO is only rarely seen and it is assumed that BO appears to develop to its full length over a short period of time 108.

Traditionally, 3 different types of columnar epithelia have been described in Barrett’s oesophagus 91.

1. Cardia type mucosa- This is also called junctional or cardiac epithelium and has a foveolar surface and glands that are lined by mucus secreting cells.

2. Gastric fundic type mucosa- This has a foveolar surface lined by mucus secreting cells and a deeper glandular layer containing chief and parietal cells.
3. Specialised intestinal metaplasia- This has intestinal type crypts lined by mucus secreting columnar cells and goblet cells. The specialised intestinal metaplasia (SIM) was the only cellular type which was thought to have clear malignant potential. However, in a retrospective study, patients with SIM were compared with columnar lined oesophagus without SIM in terms of developing adenocarcinoma. No significant difference was seen between the groups. The authors concluded that SIM is not essential for cancer risk. It is quite possible that the lack of SIM in some of the cases included in the study could be due to sampling error.

1.2.3 Development of neoplasia in Barrett’s oesophagus

The development of dysplasia or cancer in Barrett’s oesophagus is a step wise process going through a series of changes which are low grade intra-epithelial neoplasia or low grade dysplasia (LGD), high grade intra-epithelial neoplasia or high grade dysplasia (HGD) and finally cancer. The metaplastic epithelium (SIM) goes through a series of DNA alterations which gives the cells certain growth advantages leading to dysplasia. The changes including LGD, HGD and intra-mucosal cancer (IMC) are collectively referred to as early neoplasia (EN).

Dysplasia is recognised by pathologists as a collection of architectural and cytological abnormalities including nuclear enlargement, pleomorphism and hyperchromatism. Architectural changes include crowding of tubules and villiform surfaces. The severity of architectural and cytological changes differentiates low
and high grade dysplasia. However it is often difficult to identify dysplasia due to various reasons which include inadequate samples, sampling error and low inter-observer agreement (IOA) among histopathologists. The IOA for LGD is less than 50%, but this improves significantly in cases of HGD. The natural history of dysplasia in terms of progression to cancer is less well understood.

1.2.4 Preventive strategies for developing Barrett’s oesophagus

The greatest morbidity with Barrett’s oesophagus is development of oesophageal adenocarcinoma. It is unclear at what stage does Barrett’s oesophagus occur in the natural history of reflux disease. There is no convincing evidence to suggest that regular medical treatment of GORD will prevent occurrence of Barrett’s. This is also difficult to ascertain as in most of the cases, Barrett’s is diagnosed on the first endoscopy in patients with symptoms of reflux disease.

Chemoprevention as the way to reduce development of dysplasia and adenocarcinoma has been widely studied. Regular use of Aspirin and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS) were found to influence the progression in epidemiological studies. One of the earliest case-control studies looked at patients diagnosed with oesophageal adenocarcinoma, squamous carcinoma, cardia adenocarcinoma and non-cardia gastric cancers as cases. The study was controlled for major co-morbidities and they found that current use of aspirin is associated with reduced OA (OR 0.37), oesophageal squamous cancers (OR 0.49) and non-cardia gastric cancers (OR 0.46). There was no protection noted for cardia adenocarcinoma. There was a similar reduced risk with current use of NSAIDS as
well in this cohort. An American epidemiological study from databases such as National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES I) and the National Epidemiologic Follow-up Studies (NEFS) found that occasional usage of Aspirin and NSAIDS is associated with a 90% reduction in OA occurrence and none of the regular users developed cancer in the 12-16 year follow up. A more recent study using Barrett’s animal models found beneficial effects of chemoprevention with selective and non-selective cyclo-oxygenase 2 inhibitors (COX 2).

However prospective studies using COX-2 inhibitors did not show any commendable effect of chemoprevention on development of OA. A study by Heath et al called 'chemoprevention for Barrett's oesophagus trial' (CBET), included more than 200 patients with Barrett’s oesophagus with low and high grade dysplasia in a phase II trial. They were randomised to receive Celecoxib or placebo. The primary outcome measure was change in proportion of biopsy specimens with dysplasia after 48 weeks of treatment. No statistically significant difference was seen between the groups. There was a suggestion that the lack of effect could have been due to the low doses of Celecoxib used (200 mg BD).

The data on use of PPI as chemo protective agents to prevent dysplastic progression of Barrett’s is also controversial. PPI is widely used in patients with Barrett’s and the theoretical basis for this is the fact that acid exposure induces proliferation of cells and facilitates apoptosis. Considering the fact that acid reflux is thought to be a factor in developing intestinal metaplasia, PPI use seems sensible in the absence of any prospective controlled trials showing benefit.
Nevertheless, some observational studies suggest some benefit of PPI in the progression of Barrett’s to cancer. A recent prospective cohort study also suggested a reduced risk of progression to HGD/cancer on regular PPI use.

1.2.5 Surveillance strategies in Barrett’s oesophagus

Endoscopic surveillance programme has been recommended in Barrett’s oesophagus in the absence of any definitive preventive strategies and hoping that this would pick up early cancers and thus improve the outcome compared to symptomatic cancers. The reported annual incidence of cancer in BO is variable ranging from 0.1 to 2.0%. A recent Danish population-based study in a cohort of 11,208 patients with Barrett’s oesophagus from 1992 to 2009 found that the absolute annual risk for Barrett’s cancer was 0.12% which is much lower than the widely described 0.5% . However, there are concerns that there is a significant regional variation in developing Barrett’s cancers in the western world. A meta-analysis including studies from United Kingdom suggested that the conversion rate form non-dysplastic Barrett’s to adenocarcinoma is around 1% . The prognosis of patients with advanced oesophageal adenocarcinoma who are treated with surgery remains poor at 10% five year survival rate. Also, surveillance detected cancers are at a much earlier stage than symptomatic cancers which also have an impact on the survival rates of patients. In a meta-analysis, there was significantly improved survival in patients under surveillance at 1 year (88% Vs 67%) and at 5 years (80% Vs 31%) compared to symptomatic cancers. This finding led to the suggestion of regular surveillance in Barrett’s oesophagus by most national gastroenterological societies ranging from every 2-3 years. The
patients who are in a surveillance programme tend to be younger and generally in
good health compared to those presenting with oesophageal adenocarcinoma.
Thus controversy exists as to whether regular surveillance would reduce mortality
from Barrett’s cancer\textsuperscript{125}. There are currently no studies that have addressed the
issue whether the mortality from Barrett’s cancer has reduced due to regular
surveillance.

1.2.6 Endoscopic imaging techniques used in Barrett’s surveillance

Endoscopic surveillance is usually done using white light endoscopy for
assessment of any abnormal nodular lesions in BO followed by targeted and
random four quadrant biopsies every 1-2 cm of the Barrett’s length\textsuperscript{126}. This is
associated with significant sampling error as only 5-10\% of the mucosal surface
may be mapped\textsuperscript{127}. In turn this has resulted in low yield of dysplasia in routine
sampling questioning the role of regular Barrett’s surveillance. Age and co-
morbidities are important factors to consider before enrolling patients for regular
surveillance.

The primary aim of regular surveillance is to identify early neoplastic changes
such as dysplasia or early cancer which could then be treated expecting a better
outcome compared to those with symptomatic cancers. While there is no evidence
to suggest that regular surveillance reduces cancer related mortality, there is
suggestion to limit surveillance to population at high risk. This includes patients
who are > 45 years old, male sex, Barrett’s segment > 8 cm, long duration of
reflux symptoms and presence of ulceration or stricture\textsuperscript{128}. The early neoplastic
lesions are often subtle and difficult to identify with white light endoscopy. Hence various image enhanced techniques are described to improve dysplasia detection. This includes chromoendoscopy, narrow band imaging, autofluorescence imaging, electronic chromoendoscopy and confocal endomicroscopy. These techniques are described in detail in section 1.1.

The photons interact with the tissue by elastic scattering or absorption which is wavelength dependent. Raman spectroscopy is based on the fact that some of the photons set up molecular vibrations and will provide molecular fingerprint for the identification of dysplasia and cancer. An endoscopic probe is developed for in-vivo diagnosis; however this remains mostly a research tool.

1.2.7 Molecular markers in Barrett’s oesophagus

Various genes and molecular biomarkers are identified in BO and the knowledge about these genetic changes in non-dysplastic Barrett’s and adenocarcinoma could throw light into the molecular pathways of progression of BO.

Genetic instability induced by allelic loss, changes in DNA methylation and ploidy abnormalities are described in BO. One of the common genetic alterations in oesophageal adenocarcinoma is loss of heterozygosity (LOH). LOH at chromosomes 17p and 9p are the earliest alterations noted in BO. LOH at 3p21, 5q21, 9p21 and 17p13 chromosomal regions in non-dysplastic BO were found to be useful biomarkers for individuals with high risk of developing adenocarcinoma. Various chromosomal changes include trisomy of chromosome 7 and 18, or loss of the Y chromosome.
Widespread DNA changes occurring during malignant transformation of Barrett’s to adenocarcinoma included ploidy abnormalities such as tetraploidy and aneuploidy. In patients with HGD and OA, 90% were found to have ploidy abnormalities. It is thought that increased ploidy alterations progress the development from HGD to OA. On the other hand tetra and aneuploidy were also detected in non-dysplastic BO. The earliest and most common genetic alterations in BO are LOH at 17p and 9p followed by tetraploidy and aneuploidy.

The life span of normal cells is closely related to the telomere length and telomerase activity. Normally cells undergo telomerase shortening during cell cycle which in turn induces cell senescence. However, in neoplastic cells this activity is altered and the telomere length is maintained which in turn leads to cell immortalisation. Telomerase activity is associated with upregulation of human telomerase reverse transcriptase expression (hTERT) and high levels of telomerase and hTERT expression are seen in OA than patients without cancer.

In patients with GORD and Barrett’s, one of the most important hyper proliferative cell stimuli is gastric acid and bile acid. The most common and well described proliferation marker is Ki67 and proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA). Suppression of acid exposure is found to induce cell differentiation and reduce cell proliferation.

The p53 gene which is located on chromosome 17p is very commonly altered in human cancers. Alterations in p53 are associated with mutations and LOH and are well documented in Barrett’s oesophagus. It was noted that p53 mutations are seen
1-5% non-dysplastic Barrett’s, 65% in LGD, 75% in HGD and 50-90% in OA.  

1.2.8 Management of Barrett’s oesophagus 

1.2.8.1 Medical management of Barrett’s oesophagus 

The medical management in Barrett’s is primarily treatment of associated GORD with proton pump inhibitors. There is no controlled trial to prove that use of PPI will reduce cancer progression in Barrett’s oesophagus even though it is well known that gastric and bile acid reflux induces hyper proliferation, suppress apoptosis and promote carcinogenesis. In vitro studies using biopsy specimens from patients with BO at baseline and after 6 months of PPI therapy were found to have reduced expression of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) and increased expression of a differentiation factor Villin, in those treated with acid suppression. The overall benefit of using PPI in patients with BO and no evidence of reflux, with a view to prevent progression to cancer, needs to be discussed individually weighing the benefit and risk. 

Use of PPIs is beneficial to reduce symptoms of GORD in patients with Barrett’s Oesophagus. Ph studies have shown persisting reflux in patients who were rendered asymptomatic on PPIs. Patients with BO were considered to have resistance to anti-reflux therapy. This could be due to severe reflux rather than a true resistance to the anti-reflux properties of PPI therapy. A randomised cross over trial used 3 different doses of esomeprazole in patients with Barrett’s. All three doses significantly reduced the intragastric acidity but in 16-23% of patients,
the oesophageal acid exposure continued. The reflux diathesis is very strong that whatever acid in the stomach produces reflux.

A randomised controlled study showed partial regression of Barrett’s with aggressive anti-reflux therapy. 68 patients with BO and ongoing reflux were enrolled to receive Omeprazole 40 mg BD or Ranitidine 150 mg BD. Reflux symptoms improved in both groups, but Omeprazole arm showed a statistically significant but small regression in length of Barrett’s. Whether these findings are a simple representation of changes in size of hiatus hernia or due to an inter-operator observation bias needs to be debated.

The role of anti-reflux surgery in regression of Barrett’s and preventing development of oesophageal adenocarcinoma has been suggested mainly in uncontrolled trials. A systematic review of 25 studies showed regression of Barrett’s and dysplasia with anti-reflux studies, but the evidence for reduction in incidence of adenocarcinoma was mainly from uncontrolled studies.

1.2.8.2 Endoscopic resection of Barrett’s neoplasia

Endoscopic resection (ER) could be offered as a therapeutic option in patients presenting with focal HGD and mucosal cancer. Those with submucosal invasion, vascular or lymphatic invasion should be referred for oesophagectomy. However, low risk submucosal invasion (T1sm1) is considered to be appropriate for endotherapy in many centres. ER is considered to be therapeutic if lateral and deeper margins are clear of the tumour. Clearance of lateral margins is histologically difficult to assess, especially in cases of piecemeal resection. This is
usually achieved by making sure that all the marked areas are removed at the time of resection and follow up endoscopies are clear.

A large series published by the Wiesbaden group showed a complete remission of Barrett’s cancer in 95% of patients treated with ER alone at 5 years follow up. A significant proportion of patients (20%) developed metachronous lesions during follow up, which were all managed endoscopically. The calculated 5 year survival rate was 84%148. Stepwise radical endoscopic resection (SRER) to remove the entire segment of dysplastic Barrett’s was attempted in another study. In 34 patients treated, all had complete eradication of HGD/early cancer and majority of them had complete eradication of Barrett’s epithelium. However, a significant proportion of patients developed dysphagia secondary to stricture, which were all managed endoscopically149. Two patients in this cohort developed perforations. Considering the higher rate of complications, SRER could not be recommended in all patients, except in those with short segment dysplastic BO. ER could be performed using an EMR-cap technique or a multi-band Mucosectomy device (MBM).

Multiband Mucosectomy kit (Duette®-Cook Medical, Bloomington, IN) includes a modified multiband ligator and a hexagonal polypectomy snare measuring 1.5 to 2.5 cm made of braided wire. The device is attached to the endoscope as in case of variceal ligation technique. The advantage is that multiple resections could be achieved. This is particularly useful in flat dysplasia, where a pseudo-polyp is formed by banding and this could be easily resected.
The use of a transparent distal attachment and suction, following local injection of saline to produce an elevation of the mucosal lesion, offers several significant advantages over alternative techniques, including secure, slip-free capturing of the target mucosa, and resection of a wider area at one time. This is the EMR cap technique. The distal attachment has an internal rim that allows easier positioning of the diathermy snare prior to resection. A straight cap and an oblique cap are available. A combination of Gelofusine, adrenaline and indigocarmine is useful for lifting the lesion; however saline in itself is used by many endoscopists. The EMR cap is especially useful for an en-bloc resection of a raised lesion. A comparison between these two techniques was done in 40 patients (80 resections) by the Amsterdam group. The time taken for procedure was significantly lower using a MBM kit, while the specimens were smaller in size with MBM compared to the EMR cap technique. The incidence of bleeding was also lower in the MBM group, and one perforation occurred with EMR cap. Multiband mucosectomy is, therefore, most suited for en-bloc resection of lesions smaller than 10 mm or for widespread resection of flat mucosa\textsuperscript{150, 151}.

1.2.8.3 Endoscopic ablative therapies

Various ablation therapies are used to treat non-dysplastic and dysplastic Barrett’s oesophagus. Thermal ablation therapies are the most commonly used which include multipolar electrocoagulation (MPEC), argon plasma coagulation (APC) and more recently radiofrequency ablation (RFA). MPEC is a contact ablation technique using an electrode passed through the accessory channel of the endoscope. Initial studies showed promise in ablating non-dysplastic BO with
reversal of Barrett's epithelium. The studies used concomitant acid suppression after ablation\textsuperscript{152,153}.

APC is a non-contact thermal technique using a probe passed through the accessory channel of the scope. The probe is usually placed 1-1.5 mm away from the mucosal lining before applying the thermal energy. 70 patients underwent high power APC ablation (90 W) of non-dysplastic BO followed by strong acid suppression with proton pump inhibitors. 69 treated patients had a complete squamous degeneration after a mean of 2 APC sessions and 12 months follow up\textsuperscript{154}. After a 5 years follow up only 12\% patients had evidence of intestinal metaplasia during surveillance endoscopies giving a histological relapse rates of 3\% per year\textsuperscript{155}. APC was also used to treat patients with Barrett's HGD\textsuperscript{156}. Twenty nine patients with HGD underwent APC ablation and 86\% responded to treatment. Over a follow up period of 7 years, 22 patients had a compete regression with neo-squamous epithelium\textsuperscript{157}.

Radiofrequency ablation is a relatively new technique for eradication of non-dysplastic and dysplastic Barrett's. There are 2 different catheters available. One is a balloon based system (HALO\textsuperscript{360}) and the other one is a paddle which could be attached to the tip of the scope (HALO\textsuperscript{90}). HALO\textsuperscript{360} is used for circumferential ablation of Barrett's using closely packed electrodes distributed circumferentially over a 3 cm long balloon and delivering a short burst of energy. HALO\textsuperscript{90} is useful for focal ablation of residual Barrett's or islands\textsuperscript{158}.
A randomised, sham-controlled trial investigated the efficacy of RFA in eradicating Barrett’s dysplasia and preventing progression of disease. This study included 127 patients, 64 with low-grade dysplasia (LGD) and 63 with high-grade dysplasia (HGD), from 19 different centres in the USA. Complete eradication of dysplasia was achieved in 86% (92% for per protocol analysis) with no statistical differences between the LGD and HGD groups. Total eradication of SIM was achieved in 77% (83% for per protocol analysis), again, irrespective of the grades of dysplasia. Various other uncontrolled trials have also shown that RFA is safe and efficacious in eradicating dysplasia and intestinal metaplasia. A systematic review including 9 studies and 429 patients, found that complete eradication of Barrett's dysplasia and metaplasia was achieved respectively for 71-100% and for 46-100% of the patients. There were no serious adverse events reported and buried glands were noted only in one case.

Photodynamic therapy was one of the first ablative therapies used for Barrett’s dysplasia. This technique involves intravenous administration of a photosensitising agent such as porfimer sodium many hours before the endoscopy. A laser light is used through a fibre passing through the accessory channel of the endoscope to activate the photosensitising agent to achieve ablation in Barrett’s oesophagus. A randomised trial compared PDT with Omeprazole in patients with Barrett’s HGD. The PDT arm was successful in eradicating dysplasia in 77% as opposed to Omeprazole which eradicated dysplasia in 39%. The significant drawbacks of this modality are stricture formation and photosensitisation.
1.2.8.4 Surgical management of Barrett’s neoplasia

Oesophagectomy is the only definitive treatment which clearly removes the all neoplastic epithelium along with adjacent lymph nodes. However, oesophagectomy is associated with significant morbidity and mortality compared to other less invasive endoscopic therapies such as endoscopic resection and ablative therapies. A Dutch study suggested that the mortality improves with increasing number of surgeries performed by the hospital and thus suggesting centralisation of services. The patient needs a 10-15 days hospital stay after surgery and up to 30% patients develop at least one serious complication such as pneumonia, myocardial infarction, heart failure or anastomotic leak. The improvement in healthcare has resulted in significant reduction of morbidity which still remains substantial. The long term problems include dysphagia, weight loss and chronic GORD. Minimally invasive oesophagectomy tends to have lesser hospital stay but morbidity and mortality depends on the expertise.

1.2.9 How to improve outcome in patients with Barrett’s oesophagus

The previous discussions have made it clear that dysplasia in Barrett’s oesophagus progresses in a stepwise manner progressing through low grade dysplasia, high grade dysplasia and finally oesophageal adenocarcinoma. The only management strategy in the absence of any definitive preventive measures, either by medical or surgical measures, is to detect neoplasia early and treat accordingly. The surveillance strategy by doing four quadrant random biopsies every 2 cm is
associated with sampling error and the yield of dysplasia is sub-optimal. In this setting, image enhanced endoscopy techniques might provide additional benefit in terms of identifying and targeting dysplasia during surveillance. This thesis will address the value of multimodal imaging during Barrett’s surveillance endoscopies.
CHAPTER 2

AIMS
1. To determine the role of high definition endoscopy, narrow band imaging and autofluorescence imaging in detection of dysplasia in Barrett's oesophagus

2. To determine whether autofluorescence imaging technique has sufficient inter-observer reliability in Barrett's surveillance

3. To determine the biological basis of autofluorescence and the role of collagen and elastin in autofluorescence

4. To develop an objective measurement of autofluorescence to reliably identify dysplasia in Barrett's oesophagus
CHAPTER 3

HIGH DEFINITION VERSUS STANDARD DEFINITION WHITE LIGHT ENDOSCOPY FOR DETECTING EARLY NEOPLASIA IN BARRETT'S OESOPHAGUS SURVEILLANCE
3.1 Abstract

Introduction

High definition (HD) endoscopy systems provide superior image resolution compared to the older standard definition (SD) endoscopy systems. However, the utility of this new technology in dysplasia detection during Barrett’s surveillance has not been evaluated.

Aims and Methods

The aim was to assess whether using HD endoscopy system translates to better outcomes compared to the SD system in terms of detecting dysplastic lesions in patients with Barrett’s oesophagus (BO) undergoing surveillance.

A retrospective cohort study of patients with non-dysplastic BO undergoing surveillance endoscopy with biopsies was performed. Data was retrieved from the central hospital electronic database. Procedures performed for non-surveillance indications; BO Prague C0M1 classification with no specialised intestinal metaplasia (SIM) on histology; patients diagnosed with dysplasia or cancer on index endoscopy and procedures where any other advanced imaging techniques was used were excluded from the study. Logistic regression was performed to estimate adjusted odds ratios (aOR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) comparing outcomes with SD and HD systems.
Results

The HD system was superior to the SD system in targeted detection of dysplastic lesions (aOR 3.27, 95% CI 1.27-8.40) as well as all dysplasia detected on random and target biopsies (aOR 2.36, 95% CI 1.50-3.72). More false positive lesions were detected with the HD system (aOR 1.16, 95% CI 1.01-1.33) and it had a marginally higher yield of dysplasia on random biopsies alone (aOR 1.07, 95% CI 1.00-1.15). There was no difference between HD and SD in diagnosing all (random and target) high grade dysplasia (HGD) or cancers (aOR 0.93, 95% CI 0.83-1.04).

Trainee endoscopists, number of biopsies and male sex were all significantly associated with a higher yield of dysplastic lesions. Trainees were also more likely to detect false positive lesions (aOR 5.26, 95% CI 2.11-13.15) compared to non-trainees.

Conclusions

The use of the HD endoscopy system is associated with better targeted and any dysplasia detection during BO surveillance. HD endoscopy cannot replace random biopsies during BO surveillance.
3.2 Introduction

The advances in electronics over the past few decades have improved the endoscopic resolution and definition considerably. The standard definition signals offer images in a 4:3 aspect ratio with image resolutions up to 700 X 525 pixels. SD endoscopes are equipped with CCDs that produce an image signal of 100,000 to 400,000 pixels. Advances in the technology have resulted in smaller chips with higher number of pixels in the CCD which can offer images with resolutions that range from 850,000 to over a million pixels. These are called high resolution endoscopes. The images generated from such endoscopes are viewed on high definition television transmitted via HD cables to obtain the HD endoscopy systems. This would improve the mucosal details seen on the monitor and could potentially improve detection of early neoplastic lesions in BO.

A prospective randomised cross-over trial comparing high resolution endoscopy (HRE) with indigocarmine or narrow band imaging (NBI) showed that most patients with HGD or early cancer could be identified by high resolution endoscopy alone with no additional advantage from chromoscopy or NBI. Similarly an inter-observer study using high resolution endoscopy, chromoscopy and NBI suggested that addition of enhancement techniques did not improve the yield of dysplasia compared to HRE alone. A more recent randomised study compared endoscopic trimodal imaging (ETMI) with SD endoscopy and found that ETMI is superior to SD in targeted detection of Barrett's dysplasia. All these studies are performed in an enriched population with high incidence of dysplasia and not on routine surveillance population. Moreover these studies are
from a few centres of excellence. It is difficult to extrapolate this data to the
general surveillance population. There is no data comparing standard definition to
high definition endoscopy in BO surveillance and thus the aim of this study was to
compare the HD and SD systems in detecting dysplasia during Barrett’s
surveillance

3.3 Material and methods

3.3.1 Data collection

The data for this study was retrospectively collected from the endoscopic and
clinical database at Nottingham University Hospitals. Clinical and demographic
data of all patients undergoing Barrett’s surveillance between September 2008 and
August 2012 were reviewed. All patients over the age of 18 years undergoing
surveillance endoscopy and biopsy for Barrett’s oesophagus were included. The
exclusion criteria were, BO with Prague C0M1 classification with no SIM on
histology; patients diagnosed with dysplasia or cancer on index endoscopy;
procedures where any other advanced imaging techniques was used; non-
surveillance endoscopies and patients with no histological confirmation.

Patient data including age, sex, diagnosis, length of Barrett’s C&M classification,
any visible lesions, number of lesions, biopsies taken, whether targeted or random
biopsies, endoscopic system used and grade of endoscopist (ie. consultant/trainee)
were recorded. Endoscopies performed using a high resolution endoscope H260
(Olympus-Keymed UK) using an Olympus Lucera Spectrum processor and an HD
1080i capable monitor (Olympus OEV 191H) were classified as high definition
endoscopies. All other procedures using endoscopes GIF Q230, XQ240, Q240, and Q260 were classified as standard definition endoscopies. During BO surveillance, targeted biopsies are taken in the presence of any identifiable mucosal abnormalities. This was followed by random biopsies from four quadrants every 2 cm as per the Seattle protocol\(^{172}\). Biopsies were sent for histopathological analysis in 10% formalin, and they were reported according to Vienna classification as no dysplasia, indefinite for dysplasia, low grade dysplasia, high grade dysplasia and invasive cancer\(^{173}\).

### 3.3.2 Statistical analysis

Logistic regression was performed to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) comparing outcomes with standard and high definition endoscopy (PASW version 19, IBM Corp). Separate regression models for (i) dysplasia detected on target biopsy; (ii) all high grade dysplasia/cancer; (iii) dysplasia detected on random biopsy alone; (iv) number of false positive lesions; and (v) all dysplastic lesions detected were performed.

Statistical models included the following potential confounders, chosen a priori based on the literature: age at the index exam, sex, trainee endoscopist or consultant, length of Barrett’s oesophagus and the number of biopsies taken. The goodness of fit for the logistic regression model was determined using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test\(^{174}\). The collinearity between the confounder variables using correlation matrices was tested. If collinearity was detected (rho >0.6) we
planned to minimise this by inputting the variable separately in the multivariate analysis

3.4 Results

A total of 521 endoscopies were performed of which 266 were done using SD endoscopy systems in 227 patients undergoing Barrett’s surveillance. 255 endoscopies were performed in 208 patients using HD endoscopy systems during the study period. 111 endoscopies during the study period were performed using autofluorescence and narrow band imaging (trimodal) and they were excluded (Figure 1). Patients with non-surveillance indications such as those for EMR, post EMR follow up and other therapeutic procedures were excluded. Patient demographics are shown in Table 1.

3.4.1 Dysplasia detection on target biopsies

The total number of targeted biopsies taken in the HD arm was 51, and that in the SD arm was 20. There were a total of 6 dysplastic lesions identified on target biopsy in the HD group and 2 dysplastic lesions in the SD group. This included 4 cancers and 2 LGD in the HD arm and 2 cases of indefinite for dysplasia (ID) in the SD arm. The high definition endoscopy was superior to standard definition endoscopy in targeted detection of dysplastic lesions (aOR 3.27, 95% CI 1.27-8.97) (Table 2)
Endoscopy reports and patient electronic records recorded as Barrett’s surveillance were analysed: \( n=946 \) (SD=502, HD=333, ETM\( \text{I}=111 \)) performed on \( n=580 \) patients during the study period (Sep 2008-Aug 2012)

\[ \text{N}=314 \text{ procedures were excluded} \]
\[ \text{No biopsies taken} \ n=34 \]
\[ \text{Not for surveillance indication} \ n=37 \]
\[ \text{Known dysplasia} \ n=41 \]
\[ \text{Cancer on index endoscopy} \ n=22 \]
\[ \text{Wrongly coded and duplicates} \ n=180 \]

Data collected on remaining \( n=632 \) procedures (SD=266, HD=255, ETM\( \text{I}=111 \)) performed on \( n=460 \) patients

ETM\( \text{I} \) procedures \( n=111 \) procedures were excluded

Data analysis performed on remaining \( n=521 \) procedures (SD=266, HD=255) performed on \( n=435 \) patients (SD=227, HD=208)

Figure 1: Flow chart of study procedures
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Total n=521</th>
<th>HD group n=255</th>
<th>SD group n=266</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean ±SD) years</td>
<td>68.0 (11.9)</td>
<td>68.8 (12.1)</td>
<td>67.3 (11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex (%)</td>
<td>356 (68.3)</td>
<td>166 (65.1)</td>
<td>190 (71.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPI users (%)</td>
<td>415 (84.5)</td>
<td>220 (88.7)</td>
<td>195 (80.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscopist grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultant</td>
<td>371 (71.2)</td>
<td>161 (63.1)</td>
<td>210 (78.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trainee (GI fellow)</td>
<td>149 (28.6)</td>
<td>93 (36.5)</td>
<td>56 (21.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrett’s Prague class</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C length (median, IQR)</td>
<td>3 (1-5)</td>
<td>2 (0-4)</td>
<td>3 (1-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M length (median, IQR)</td>
<td>4 (2-6)</td>
<td>4 (2-6)</td>
<td>4 (2-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hiatus hernia</td>
<td>327 (62.8)</td>
<td>172 (67.5)</td>
<td>155 (58.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oesophagitis</td>
<td>89 (17.1)</td>
<td>48 (18.8)</td>
<td>41 (15.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIM</td>
<td>379 (76.6)</td>
<td>178 (70.9)</td>
<td>201 (82.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target biopsies</td>
<td>71 (13.6)</td>
<td>51 (20)</td>
<td>20 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random biopsies</td>
<td>481 (92.3)</td>
<td>238 (93.3)</td>
<td>243 (91.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of random biopsies (median, IQR)</td>
<td>8 (4-8)</td>
<td>4 (3-6)</td>
<td>4 (4-8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysplasia on random biopsies (%)</td>
<td>46 (8.8)</td>
<td>25 (9.8)</td>
<td>21 (7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Dysplasia (random and target) (%)</td>
<td>53 (10.1)</td>
<td>31 (12.2)</td>
<td>23 (8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no. of lesions detected (%)</td>
<td>23 (4.4)</td>
<td>18 (7.1)</td>
<td>5 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of dysplastic lesions (dysplasia on target biopsy) (%)</td>
<td>8 (1.5)</td>
<td>6 (2.4)</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of false positive lesions (false positive on target dysplasia) (%)</td>
<td>15 (2.9)</td>
<td>12 (4.7)</td>
<td>3 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any HGD/cancer (random and target) (%)</td>
<td>19 (3.7)</td>
<td>15 (5.9)</td>
<td>4 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HD: High definition, SD; Standard definition, IQR: Inter-quartile range, SIM: specialised intestinal metaplasia, HGD: High grade dysplasia
Table 2: Logistic regression for all dysplasia detected on targeted biopsies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total biopsies</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.22-1.68</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Gender</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>2.18-12.99</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trainee</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>1.05-20.82</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD Scope</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>1.27-8.39</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrett’s length</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.85-1.15</td>
<td>0.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.05-1.11</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI-Confidence interval, HD-High definition
3.4.2 Dysplasia detection on random and targeted biopsies

There were 25 endoscopies in the HD arm which detected dysplasia on random biopsies alone which included 2 cancers, 8 HGD, 11 LGD and 4 ID as compared to 21 endoscopies in the SD arm with dysplasia on random biopsies alone including 1 cancer, 1 HGD, 11 LGD and 8 ID. The HD arm detected significantly more number of dysplasia by target and random biopsies combined together as compared to the SD arm (aOR 2.36, 95% CI 1.50-3.72) (Table 3).

High definition endoscopy identified significantly more number of dysplastic lesions when analysis was done excluding indefinite for dysplasia on random and target biopsies as compared to SD endoscopy (aOR 1.12, 95% CI 1.04-1.2). However, there was no significant difference between HD and SD in detection of HGD or cancer on both targeted and random biopsies (aOR 0.93, 95% CI 0.83-1.04) (Table 4). There was a marginally higher pick up of all dysplasia on random biopsies alone using HD endoscopy compared to the SD arm (aOR 1.07 95% CI 1.0-1.15) (Table 5).

More number of false positive lesions was identified by HD endoscopy on target biopsies compared to SD (aOR 1.16, 95% CI 1.01-1.33). On multivariate analysis, endoscopies performed by trainee endoscopists, number of biopsies taken and male sex were significantly associated with increased yield of targeted dysplasia (Table 2). Trainees also detected more number of false positive lesions compared to non-trainees (aOR 5.26 95% CI 2.11-13.16).
Table 3: Logistic regression for all dysplasia detected on random and targeted biopsies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total biopsies</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.01-1.15</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Gender</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>2.32-5.52</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trainee</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.99-3.16</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD Scope</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>1.5-3.71</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrett’s length</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.88-1.05</td>
<td>0.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.02-1.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI-Confidence interval, HD-High definition
Table 4: Logistic regression for HGD/Cancer for random and targeted biopsies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total biopsies</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.55-9.44</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Gender</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>1.53-13.19</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trainee</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.04-3.77</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD Scope</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.83-1.04</td>
<td>0.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrett’s length</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.17-1.46</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.04-1.07</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HGD-High grade dysplasia, CI-Confidence interval, HD-High definition
Table 5: Logistic regression for all dysplasia on random biopsies only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total biopsies</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>2.49-6.29</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Gender</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.93-3.18</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trainee</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>1.71-4.56</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD Scope</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1-1.15</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrett's length</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>2.49-6.29</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.03-1.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI-Confidence interval, HD-High definition
3.5 Discussion

This study compared the yield of dysplasia during Barrett's surveillance using high definition and standard definition endoscopy systems. The principal findings include a higher yield of any dysplasia in the HD arm. This was significant both in the targeted yield of dysplasia as well as combined targeted and random detected dysplasia. The number of random biopsies taken was similar in both arms, but the HD endoscopy facilitated more number of target biopsies. This could be due to the fact that HD images provide more detailed views of the Barrett's epithelium and subtle lesions were picked up. On the other hand, some of the inflammatory changes would have been more prominent on HD endoscopy thus leading to higher number of targeted biopsies. This is highlighted by the fact that there were higher false positive targeted biopsies in the HD arm. Nonetheless, this has led to increased dysplasia detection in the HD arm at the expense of more false positive lesions compared to SD endoscopy. A consensus statement on HGD in Barrett's oesophagus suggested the use of high resolution endoscopy in Barrett's surveillance with four quadrantic biopsies every 1-2 cm. The evidence itself for this recommendation was poor as there are no direct studies comparing HD Vs SD. However, one of the studies compared SD endoscopy with NBI in 65 patients with Barrett's oesophagus where NBI identified more number of patients with dysplasia as well as higher grades of dysplasia compared to SD endoscopy. The NBI used in this study is a high resolution endoscopy system, but it is difficult to predict whether high resolution white light would deliver such results.
There was no difference in targeted or random detection of HGD and cancer between the HD and SD arm. This could be related to the small absolute number of HGD or cancer detected in the cohort as this was a surveillance population. Or else, this could be related to the fact that most HGD or early cancer lesions could be visualised with SD endoscopy itself and there is no additional role for HD endoscopy in this setting.

Endoscopies performed by trainee endoscopists/fellows were found to detect more number of dysplasia compared to non-trainee endoscopists. This is a very interesting finding which could be explained in various ways. First of all, there is significantly more number of trainees/fellows who performed endoscopies in the HD arm (P<0.001). Hence the increased dysplasia detection would be a result of better performance of HD endoscopy compared to the SD arm. However, we adjusted these findings for the type of endoscopy (HD Vs SD) and the results remain significant. Secondly, there was increased number of false positive lesions detected by trainees. This is due to overcalling dysplasia in this cohort thus leading to more number of targeted and overall biopsies. The other reason would be a higher representation of research fellows with interest in Barrett’ oesophagus performing endoscopies compared to generalist non-trainee gastroenterologists. This would also relate to the more time spent on examination of Barrett’s by the trainees/fellows compared to non-trainees.

The other factor which improved dysplasia detection was the number of biopsies taken. This is an anticipated outcome as we are aware that there is significant sampling error in Barrett’s surveillance biopsies. Hence, when more number of
biopsies is taken, it is likely to yield higher number of dysplasia. A retrospective study which analysed patients with Barrett's HGD/early cancer found that a significant number of patients undergoing surveillance did not have adequate number of biopsies taken, thus missing dysplasia during surveillance period and indicating sampling error. However, a more intensive Seattle protocol biopsies taken from every 1 cm of the Barrett's does not seem to reliably predict adenocarcinoma in patients undergoing oesophagectomy for HGD. This finding could not be extrapolated to non-dysplastic surveillance population and there is no evidence to suggest that a less intensive protocol is sufficient to identify any dysplasia. A significant number of dysplastic areas were detected by random biopsies alone and this indicates that HD endoscopy cannot replace random biopsies. Male sex was associated with higher yield of dysplasia. This is also an expected finding in the light of our knowledge that Barrett's dysplasia/cancer is higher in males compared to females.

There are various limitations associated with this study. The study was conducted using data collected retrospectively from the electronic case records and endoscopy reports of patients. In this setting it is difficult to standardise all the data. An ideal way of doing such a study would be a prospective randomised controlled study. However, the retrospective design could also be considered as strength. We have utilised actual clinical data of routine surveillance practice and this could represent a true picture as opposed to a clinical trial in controlled settings. It is also argued that endoscopists are naturally biased during a randomised trial and would perform endoscopies more diligently than in routine
clinical practice. As with all non-randomised trials, it is difficult to exclude all confounding variables; however appropriate statistical methods are used to adjust the variables within the limits of such a study. The other limitation for this retrospective study is that the endoscopists are not blinded to the clinical data and the pathologists are not blinded to endoscopy and clinical data.

3.5.1 Conclusions

In conclusion, the overall yield of dysplasia during Barrett's surveillance was better with HD endoscopy compared to SD endoscopy. HD endoscopy has 3 times higher chance of picking up targeted dysplasia compared to SD, which is at the expense of higher false positive lesions. There was no difference in detection of HGD or early cancer between the groups. Randomised controlled trials are necessary to examine these findings. It is difficult to recommend routine use of HD endoscopy for regular Barrett's surveillance based on these observations, but certainly should be considered as a valuable option.
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4.1 Abstract

Background

Narrow band imaging (NBI), a novel endoscopic technique that highlights mucosal surface structures and microvasculature is being increasingly advocated as a tool to detect and characterise neoplasia and intestinal metaplasia in patients with Barrett's oesophagus (BO)

Aim

To assess the diagnostic accuracy of NBI with magnification for the diagnosis of high grade dysplasia (HGD) and specialised intestinal metaplasia (SIM) in patients with BO.

Methods

A meta-analysis of studies which compared NBI based diagnosis of HGD and SIM with histopathology as the gold standard was performed.

Results

Eight studies including 446 patients with 2194 lesions met the inclusion criteria. The pooled sensitivity, specificity, diagnostic odds ratio (DOR) and the area under the curve (AUC) for diagnosing HGD was 0.96 (95% CI 0.93-0.99), 0.94 (95% CI 0.84-1.0), 342.49 (95% CI 40.49-2896.89) and 0.99 (SE 0.01) on a per-lesion analysis and 0.95 (95% CI 0.87-1.0), 0.97 (95% CI 0.97-0.98), 343.45 (95% CI 77.88-1514.70) and 0.99 (SE 0.01) on a per-patient analysis. For the characterisation of SIM, the pooled sensitivity, specificity, DOR and AUC was 0.95 (95% CI 0.87-1.0), 0.65 (95% CI 0.52-0.78), 37.53 (95% CI 6.50-217.62) and 0.88 (SE 0.08) on a per-lesion analysis and 0.97 (95% CI 0.94-1.0), 0.78 (95%
CI 0.56-1.0), 100.97 (95% CI 33.62-303.22) and 0.97 (SE 0.02) on a per-patient analysis.

Conclusion

NBI with magnification is accurate with high diagnostic precision for diagnosis of HGD in BO using irregular mucosal pit patterns and/or irregular microvasculature. NBI has high sensitivity but poor specificity for characterising SIM.
4.2 Background

Barrett’s Oesophagus is a complication of long standing gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD) and is known to affect 10-15% of those with GORD. Patients with BO have a 30-125 times higher risk of developing adenocarcinoma compared to the general population. BO is characterised by endoscopically identifiable ‘salmon’ coloured columnar epithelium containing specialised intestinal metaplasia (SIM). The metaplastic epithelium accumulates genetic changes over a period of time and can progress through low grade dysplasia (LGD), high grade dysplasia (HGD) and then to oesophageal adenocarcinoma (OA). Early dysplastic lesions can be endoscopically resected or ablated. This will reduce the risk of progression without the need for radical surgery with its associated morbidity and mortality.

Regular surveillance of BO with random 4 quadrant biopsies every 1-2 cm is the standard practice, however the yield of dysplasia with such an intensive endoscopic biopsy protocol is suboptimal. Chromoendoscopy with methylene blue, crystal violet, acetic acid and indigocarmine has been advocated to improve the yield of intestinal metaplasia and dysplasia. However chromoendoscopy with methylene blue was found to be no better than random biopsies in a recent meta-analysis. Narrow band Imaging (NBI), a novel endoscopic technology, uses narrowed bandwidths of blue (440-460nm) and green (540-560nm) light waves with the help of a special filter that deletes the red light spectrum from the emitted light. This facilitates delineation of surface pit patterns and micro-capillaries, and by assessing their changes, it is possible to
identify abnormal areas and target biopsies accordingly. The filter is activated electronically by pressing a switch on the hand control and the endoscopists can easily switch between white light and NBI. It offers similar benefits in terms of characterisation to magnification chromoendoscopy, but without the need for dye spray. NBI is widely used in characterisation of lesions in colon, oesophagus and lungs with high in-vivo diagnostic precision.

Observational studies using NBI and magnification have identified various micro-structural and capillary patterns in Barrett’s oesophagus. Regular micro-structural patterns include round, linear, tubular or villous/ridged type. Irregular patterns and absent pit patterns are also reported. Micro-vascular patterns are classified either regular or irregular. Sensitivity and specificity of the irregular micro-vascular and micro-structural patterns for prediction of HGD was as high as 90 and 100% in a recent study. Similarly the villous/ridged/absent pit patterns were thought be highly suggestive of specialised intestinal metaplasia (SIM) and the round patterns associated with columnar lined epithelium.

The aim of this meta-analysis is to assess the diagnostic accuracy, sensitivity and specificity of NBI with magnification in characterising HGD and SIM associated with BO.
4.3 Methods

4.3.1 Selection of studies

We included all studies assessing the accuracy of narrow band imaging with magnification (NBI-Z) for characterising dysplasia or SIM in BO. Histology from the area of interest is used as the gold standard. The inclusion criteria were 1) prospective clinical studies where NBI-Z is used to assess BO 2) typical mucosal pit patterns and vascular patterns of the assessed areas are described 3) diagnostic accuracy in terms of sensitivity and specificity of mucosal patterns are compared against gold standard and 4) real time assessment of lesions or post hoc digital images characterisation is used. Studies using NBI for detection of lesions in BO without lesion characterisation and those with no extractable data were excluded. Also, studies without histological confirmation of lesions were excluded as were case reports, editorials, commentaries and data reported as abstracts only.

4.3.2 Search strategy

Bibliographical searches were performed up to June 2009 in MEDLINE, EMBASE, Web of Science, Google Scholar and Cochrane library using search terms ‘Narrow Band Imaging’ and ‘Barrett’s oesophagus’. Articles in all languages were included. We also searched for any abstracts from international conferences and hand searched references from published articles. Two investigators independently searched the databases and studies which were duplicated, reviews and case reports were excluded.
Relevant study abstracts from MEDLINE screened (n=42)

No other relevant studies identified from EMBASE, Google Scholar, Web of Science and Cochrane library

Reviews, case reports and other non-clinical studies excluded (n=29)

Studies retrieved for detailed evaluation (n=13)

5 studies with no extractable data were excluded

8 studies with extractable data for high grade dysplasia (HGD) or specialized intestinal metaplasia (SIM) on a per-lesion/ per-patient basis were used for meta-analysis

Figure 1: Flow chart of study selection for meta-analysis
4.3.3 Data extraction and assessment of study quality

All included studies were assessed by two reviewers independently and data extracted using a predefined data extraction form. The following variables were assessed: author, year of publication, publication format, type of study, number of centres, endoscopes used, number of endoscopists and whether pit patterns/microvasculature was used to characterise lesions. True positives, false positives, false negatives and true negatives were extracted using histology as gold standard. The data were extracted both on ‘per-patient’ and ‘per-lesion’ basis wherever available. Where studies reported mucosal pattern and micro-vascular characteristics, the measures with highest accuracy was used for the overall analysis.

The quality of the studies was assessed using the “Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies” (QUADAS) tool \(^{189}\). The tool is based on 14 item questionnaire summarised in Table 1, which should each be answered “yes,” “no,” or “unknown.” The tool does not incorporate a global quality score \(^{189}\). Quality assessment of studies was done independently by two reviewers and discrepancies in the interpretation were resolved by consensus (table 1).
Table 1: ‘Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies’ (QUADAS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kara et.al</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kara et.al</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharma et. al</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvers et.al</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singh et.al</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goda et.al</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anagnostopoulos</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>et.al</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Y = yes; N = no; U = unknown.

1. Was the spectrum of patients representative of the patients who will receive the test in practice?
2. Were selection criteria clearly described?
3. Is the reference standard likely to classify the target condition correctly?
4. Is the period between reference standard and index test short enough to be reasonably sure that the target condition did not change between the two tests?
5. Did the whole sample or a random selection of the sample receive verification using a reference standard?
6. Did patients receive the same reference standard regardless of the index test result?
7. Was the reference standard independent of the index test (i.e., the index test did not form part of the reference standard)?
8. Was the execution of the index test described in sufficient detail to permit replication of the test?
9. Was the execution of the reference standard described in sufficient detail to permit its replication?
10. Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard?
11. Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test?
12. Were the same clinical data available when test results were interpreted as would be available when the test is used in practice?
13. Were uninterruptable/intermediate test results reported?
14. Were withdrawals from the study explained?
4.3.4 Data synthesis

Data analysis was performed using the Meta-Disc (version 1.4) software\(^{190}\). Sensitivity and specificity were used as measures of diagnostic accuracy of NBI in differentiating HGD and SIM. These were computed using a two-by-two table with NBI based diagnosis of high grade dysplasia by irregular pit patterns ± irregular vascular patterns and diagnosis of SIM with ridge/villous/absent pit patterns with regular microvasculature plotted against targeted histology as gold standard. Sensitivity (true positive rate [TPR]) is defined as the proportion of sites/patients determined to be having HGD or SIM based on NBI correctly to the reference standard histology. Specificity (True negative rate or 1-false positive rate [FPR]) is defined as the number of sites/patients who are identified as not having HGD/SIM on NBI correctly compared to the reference standard.

Forest plots were formulated to display estimates of accuracy and examine the heterogeneity of the summary measures of sensitivity and specificity by random-effect model with correction for over dispersion. The joint distribution of TPR and FPR is summarised with a summary receiver operating characteristic (SROC) curve. SROC curves used in analyses of diagnostic accuracy are intended to represent the relationship between TPR and FPR across studies when test performance is evaluated at varying diagnostic thresholds\(^{191}\). Each study is a separate unit of analysis and contributes an estimate of TPR and FPR. Overall diagnostic performance of a test can be judged by the position and appearance of the SROC curve. The area under the curve (AUC) represents an overall summary measure of the curve and the test's overall ability to accurately distinguish cases
from non-cases. The Q* index, the highest point on the SROC curve that intersects the anti-diagonal, represents a summarisation of test performance where sensitivity and specificity are equal (so the probability of an incorrect test result is the same for cases and non-cases). An AUC of one represents perfect discriminatory ability, while a Q* index of one represents perfect accuracy. A value of 0.5 infers a test that is equally likely to diagnose a positive result as either positive or negative and most clinical tests have a value between 0.5 and 1.0.

Likelihood ratios (LRs) state how many times more likely particular test results are in patients with disease than in those without disease. Positive LRs >10 and negative LRs <0.1 provide convincing diagnostic evidence, whereas those >5 and <0.2 give strong diagnostic evidence. To calculate LRs, if the event of one of the cells of the cross table contained a zero value; 0.5 points were added to all the cells.

Heterogeneity in meta-analysis refers to a high degree of variability in study results, a fairly common finding in diagnostic meta-analyses. Such heterogeneity could be due to variability in thresholds, disease spectrum, test methods, and study quality across studies. In the presence of significant heterogeneity, pooled, summary estimates from meta-analyses are not meaningful. A test for heterogeneity examines the null hypothesis that all studies are evaluating the same effect. The usual test statistic (Cochran’s Q) is computed by summing the squared deviations of each study’s estimate from the overall meta-analytic estimate, weighting each study’s contribution in the same manner as in the meta-analysis. The test is known to be poor at detecting true heterogeneity among studies as
significant. Meta-analyses often include small numbers of studies and the power of the test in such circumstances is low. An alternative method is measuring inconsistency ($I^2$), which describes the percentage of total variation across studies that are due to heterogeneity rather than chance. We investigated the sources of heterogeneity using meta-regression and subgroup analyses.

4.4 Results

4.4.1 Description of studies

The study selection process is summarised in Figure 1. After the initial screening (excluding case reports, reviews and other irrelevant articles by reading titles and abstracts) 13 studies were selected. A total of 8 prospective studies met the inclusion criteria either for a ‘per-patient’ or ‘per-lesion’ analysis or both. 5 studies were excluded as there were no extractable data for calculations of sensitivity and specificity. Three of the excluded studies were inter-observer studies with no data available on true negatives or false positives to calculate sensitivity and specificity. Two other excluded studies were dysplasia detection studies and did not follow the mucosal morphological patterns for differentiation. Out of the 8 studies included, 6 studies gave details of HGD characterisation on per-lesion basis and 4 studies yielded data for per-patient analysis. 6 studies reported characterisation of SIM on per-lesion basis and 3 studies compared NBI based diagnosis of SIM on per-patient basis. The details of included studies are summarised in table 2.
4.4.2 Diagnostic accuracy of NBI in HGD

6 studies including a total of 1904 lesions in 377 patients were analysed for differentiation of HGD lesions on per-lesion analysis. The pooled sensitivity and specificity were 0.96 (95% CI 0.93-0.99) and 0.94 (95% CI 0.84-1.0) respectively (figure 2). The pooled diagnostic odds ratio (DOR) was 342.49 (95% CI 40.49-2896.89) using a random effects model. As shown in figure 3, the area under the curve (AUC) was 0.99 (SE 0.01) with Q* of 0.95 (SE 0.02), indicating a high level of diagnostic accuracy of NBI. The pooled positive LR was 15.248 (95% CI 3.917-59.350) and negative LR was 0.75 (95% CI 0.040-0.141).

On a per-patient analysis, 4 studies with 216 patients and 1616 lesions were pooled. The pooled sensitivity, specificity and DOR were 0.95 (95% CI 0.88-1.0), 0.97 (95% CI 0.97-0.98) and 343.45 (95% CI 77.88-1514.70) respectively (figure 4). The AUC and Q* were 0.99 (SE 0.01) and 0.95 (SE 0.02), again indicating the high accuracy of NBI characterisation of HGD on a per-patient basis as well (figure 5).
Sensitivity (95% CI)

- Kara-1: 0.94 (0.80 - 0.99)
- Kara-2: 1.00 (0.88 - 1.00)
- Sharma: 1.00 (0.91 - 1.00)
- Anagnostopoulos: 0.90 (0.68 - 0.99)
- Curvers: 0.93 (0.80 - 0.98)
- Singh: 0.96 (0.87 - 1.00)

Pooled Sensitivity = 0.96 (0.93 to 0.99)
Chi-square = 8.26; df = 5 (p = 0.1426)
Inconsistency (I-square) = 39.5%

Specificity (95% CI)

- Kara-1: 0.72 (0.61 - 0.81)
- Kara-2: 0.74 (0.49 - 0.91)
- Sharma: 0.99 (0.96 - 1.00)
- Anagnostopoulos: 1.00 (0.99 - 1.00)
- Curvers: 0.58 (0.49 - 0.67)
- Singh: 0.99 (0.98 - 0.99)

Pooled Specificity = 0.94 (0.84 to 1.00)
Chi-square = 285.78; df = 5 (p = 0.0000)
Inconsistency (I-square) = 98.3%

Figure 2: Pooled sensitivity and specificity of NBI in diagnosing HGD on per-lesion analysis
Figure 3: SROC curve of NBI in diagnosing HGD on per-lesion analysis
Figure 4: Pooled sensitivity and specificity of NBI in diagnosing HGD on per-patient analysis
Figure 5: SROC curve of diagnostic accuracy of NBI in characterising HGD on per-patient analysis
4.4.3 Diagnostic accuracy of NBI in SIM

A total of 1938 lesions in 342 patients from 6 studies were used to analyse the data on characterisation of SIM using NBI on a per-biopsy basis (figure 6). Pooled sensitivity and specificity were 0.95 (95% CI 0.87-1.0) and 0.65 (95% CI 0.52-0.78) with a modest DOR of 37.53 (95% CI 6.50-217.62). The AUC was 0.88 (SE 0.08) and Q* was 0.80 (SE 0.08) which were lower compared to the diagnostic accuracy of characterising HGD (figure 7). Pooled Positive LR was 3.111 (95% CI 1.870-5.17) and negative LR was 0.107 (95% CI 0.26-0.44).

3 studies with 210 patients and 1569 lesions were pooled to assess the accuracy on per-patient analysis (figure 8). Pooled sensitivity 0.97 (95% CI 0.94-1.0), specificity 0.78 (95% CI 0.56-1.0) and DOR 100.97 (95% CI 33.62-303.22) were similar to per-lesion analysis. The AUC and Q* were 0.97 (SE 0.02) and 0.92 (SE 0.04) respectively (figure 9). The sensitivity of NBI in differentiating SIM appears to be high; however the specificity is poor.
Figure 6: Pooled sensitivity and specificity of NBI diagnosis of SIM on per-lesion analysis
Figure 7: SROC curve of NBI diagnosis of SIM on per-lesion analysis
Figure 8: Pooled sensitivity and specificity of NBI diagnosis of SIM on per-patient analysis
Figure 9: SROC curve of NBI accuracy in diagnosing SIM on per-patient analysis
4.4.4 Heterogeneity of studies

**Studies used in HGD analysis:** Heterogeneity was observed among studies which were pooled for a per-lesion analysis of HGD characterization with an $I^2$ of 86.2%. However, there was no heterogeneity among studies which were pooled together for a per-patient analysis ($I^2=0\%$). We used meta-regression and subgroup analysis to identify the source of heterogeneity. The following subgroups were analysed separately: single centre or multicentre study, number of endoscopists $<2$ or $\geq 2$, number of patients $<50$ or $\geq 50$, number of lesions examined $<200$ or $\geq 200$, Quality of studies ($<12$ or $\geq 12$ scores on QUADAS) and whether autofluorescence imaging (AFI) is used along with NBI. The number of lesions was the only significant variable identified as to the cause of heterogeneity ($P=0.005$, relative DOR 88.52 [95% CI 10.04-781.36]) (table 3). When those studies with $\geq 200$ lesions $^76, ^{188}, ^{195}$ were pooled together, the sensitivity and specificity was 0.97 (95% CI 0.91-0.99) and 0.99 (95% CI 0.98-0.99). The DOR was 2566.8 (95% CI 741.38-8886.5) with an $I^2$ of 0% (decreased from 86% when all 6 studies included).

**Studies used in SIM analysis:** The studies used for analysis of SIM characterisation on per-patient basis showed good homogeneity ($I^2=0\%$), however, when studies were pooled on per-lesion basis there was significant heterogeneity ($I^2=94.7\%$). The subgroup analysis showed that the number of SIM lesions examined ($<100$ or $\geq 100$) was the only significant variable responsible for the
Table 3: Meta-regression analysis to identify sources of heterogeneity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>HGD-per-lesion analysis</th>
<th>SIM-per-lesion analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Relative DOR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of endoscopists &lt;2 or ≥2</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.04 (0.0-136.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients &lt;50 or ≥50</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>2.70 (0.0-2160.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of lesions (for HGD analysis &lt;200 or ≥200 lesions, for SIM &lt;100 or ≥100 SIM lesions evaluated)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>88.57 (10.04-781.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of studies &lt;12 or ≥12 on QUADAS</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>4.68 (0.03-821.54)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DOR- Diagnostic odds ratio, CI- Confidence interval

heterogeneity (P=0.001, RDOR 34.23 [95% CI 11.92-98.30]) (table 3). When we pooled the studies with >100 SIM lesions, the sensitivity and specificity was 0.99 (95% CI 0.97-0.99) and 0.7 (95% CI 0.65-0.74). The DOR was 158.63 (95% CI 62.54-402.33) with an $I^2$ of 47% (decreased from 97% when all 6 studies included).
4.5 Discussion

4.5.1 Principal findings

Narrow Band Imaging offers the advantages of chromoscopy without the need for any additional dye spray and is easy to use. However to obtain clear mucosal views in order to assess the pit patterns and microvasculature, magnification is necessary. In this meta-analysis we reported pooled analysis on a per-lesion and per-patient basis, as it is important to see whether NBI-Z analysis is beneficial from a patient perspective as well, rather than just in diagnosing lesions. Results suggest that NBI with magnification has a high diagnostic accuracy in characterising HGD both on per-lesion and per-patient analysis with sensitivity and specificity over 90%. The sensitivity of NBI-Z in differentiating SIM is high but the specificity is poor.

4.5.2. Clinical implications

The high diagnostic accuracy of NBI-Z for characterising HGD suggests that it is useful in accurately targeting biopsies and therapy of early neoplasia. This will reduce the need of multiple random biopsies and increase the yield of dysplasia. Also, in patients referred for endoscopic resection of early neoplastic lesions, NBI-Z will help delineating the lesion and assist in determining the margins of endoscopic resection. On the other hand, patients with BO who are on surveillance, endoscopic resection for abnormal dysplastic areas detected by NBI-Z will be useful for accurate local staging and as a curative therapy, without waiting for biopsy results and avoiding multiple endoscopies. In suspected or
small BO, NBI-Z could be useful in identifying SIM and targeting biopsies, even though the diagnostic accuracy is comparatively low. The pooled sensitivity is 95%, but the specificity (65%) needs to be improved. One of the reasons for this could be the various novel classification systems used by observers including linear, ridged, villous and absent patterns as a marker of SIM. Once diagnostic patterns are standardised, the diagnostic accuracy could improve. For HGD, irregular micro-structural and vascular patterns have been accepted as the standard diagnostic patterns and consequently the diagnostic accuracy is high.

4.5.3 Study limitations

Like most meta-analysis of diagnostic accuracy, this study has a few limitations. We have not compared the diagnostic accuracy of NBI-Z against white light (WLE), white light with zoom (WLE-Z) or chromoendoscopy as there is a paucity of data in the literature on this. Further comparative studies are necessary in order to advocate NBI-Z over other modalities.

In order to include all relevant articles and reduce the effect of potential publication bias, we reviewed a range of electronic databases. We also included studies in all languages and hand searched references from the published studies. Assessment of publication bias is challenging in meta-analysis of diagnostic accuracy as the accuracy is assessed against reference standard and as such the outcome is not compared between two tests. In this context funnel plots are not useful. Smaller number of primary studies available for this meta-analysis also makes it difficult to interpret funnel plots. Incorporation bias is unlikely as
most studies indicate that histopathological interpretation is done without knowledge of the NBI findings. Verification bias is excluded as all lesions/patients included have index (NBI) and reference (histology) test. Heterogeneity was observed among studies, which is usual with meta-analysis of diagnostic accuracies. We performed meta-regression and sub-group analysis to identify the sources of heterogeneity. The number of lesions examined was the only variable which showed significance in the meta-regression and the consistency improved when studies with larger numbers of lesions were pooled together. Several slightly varied classification systems were used for the characterisation of SIM in each of the studies, which also would add to the heterogeneity.

Narrow band imaging itself has disadvantages. It needs a video processor and additional light source which will increase the cost of the procedure. Moreover, a detailed examination of mucosa to differentiate various micro-structural and vascular patterns needs a magnification scope, adding to the capital cost. Presence of blood on the mucosa will make characterisation by NBI very difficult and hence operators have to be careful to avoid suction trauma to the lesions. Also, once biopsies are done, it becomes difficult to examine the rest of the dysplastic area. Inflammation with increased vascularity can be misinterpreted for dysplasia, based on the vascular characteristics. The studies in this meta-analysis used the older generation GIF Q240Z endoscope. Currently high definition and higher resolution endoscopes are available and further studies looking at the additive value of these endoscopes are needed.
4.5.4 Conclusions

NBI-Z has a high diagnostic precision in lesion characterisation of high grade dysplasia in BO. NBI-Z will help target endoscopic biopsies and in delineating resection margins during endotherapy of dysplastic areas. Use of NBI in lesion characterisation for specialised intestinal metaplasia seems to be less accurate, probably due to the different classification of micro-structural patterns used, but could be improved with adoption of standardised classification systems.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (year)</th>
<th>Mean Age (Y) Males (%)</th>
<th>No. Of subjects</th>
<th>Total No. Of lesions examined</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Endoscope type</th>
<th>Index test (NBI classification)</th>
<th>Reference standard (histology)</th>
<th>Number of endoscopists</th>
<th>QUADAS score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kara et.al 2006 (194)</td>
<td>65/50(79%)</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>Cross sectional, Post hoc Image evaluation</td>
<td>GIF Q240Z</td>
<td>Mucosal pattern, Vascular pattern</td>
<td>High grade dysplasia, specialised intestinal metaplasia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kara et.al 2006 (84)</td>
<td>66/17(85%)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Cross sectional, real time evaluation</td>
<td>GIF Q240Z</td>
<td>Mucosal pattern, Vascular pattern</td>
<td>High grade dysplasia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharma et.al 2007 (195)</td>
<td>64/50(98%)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>Cross sectional, image evaluation</td>
<td>GIF Q240Z</td>
<td>Mucosal pattern, Vascular pattern</td>
<td>High grade dysplasia, Specialised intestinal metaplasia</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>12/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvers et.al 2008 (86)</td>
<td>67/70(83%)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>Cross sectional, real time evaluation</td>
<td>GIF Q240Z</td>
<td>Mucosal pattern, Vascular pattern</td>
<td>High grade dysplasia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singh et.al 2008(76)</td>
<td>61.9/77(71%)</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>Cross sectional, post hoc image evaluation</td>
<td>GIF Q240Z</td>
<td>Mucosal pattern, Vascular pattern</td>
<td>High grade dysplasia, specialised intestinal metaplasia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goda et.al 2007 (196)</td>
<td>60/51(88%)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>Cross sectional, real time evaluation</td>
<td>GIF Q240Z</td>
<td>Mucosal pattern, Vascular pattern</td>
<td>Cancer, Specialised intestinal metaplasia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anagnostopoulos et.al 2007 (188)</td>
<td>62.1/34(68%)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>Cross sectional, realtime evaluation</td>
<td>GIF Q240Z</td>
<td>Mucosal pattern, Vascular pattern</td>
<td>High grade dysplasia, Specialised intestinal metaplasia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamamoto et.al 2004(197)</td>
<td>61.2/6(55%)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Case series, Post hoc image evaluation</td>
<td>GIF Q240Z</td>
<td>Mucosal pattern, Vascular pattern</td>
<td>Specialised intestinal metaplasia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4/14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis
CHAPTER 5

ROLE OF VIDEO AUTOFLUORESCENCE ENDOSCOPY IN DETECTION OF DYSPLASIA IN BARRETT’S OESOPHAGUS; A META-ANALYSIS
5.1 Abstract

Background and aims

Autofluorescence imaging (AFI) is a novel technique which highlights abnormal or dysplastic areas in Barrett’s oesophagus and is used as a ‘red flag’ technique. Various published studies have shown conflicting results regarding the accuracy of this technique. The aim of this study was to compare the diagnostic yield of Barrett’s dysplasia between AFI and white light endoscopy (WLE).

Method

A meta-analysis of all prospective studies which compared lesions detected by AFI with histopathology as the gold standard was performed. Electronic databases were searched with key words “Autofluorescence and Barrett’s”. Forest plots were formulated to display estimates of accuracy. The pooled incremental yield for dysplasia detection of AFI over WLE was calculated.

Results

An initial search provided 54 studies and 5 studies met our inclusion criteria. 350 patients with 521 AFI detected lesions were included in the final analysis. The incremental yield for detecting high grade dysplasia/cancer by AFI over WLE was 49% (95% CI 42%-56%). For detection of all dysplasia, the incremental yield was 46% favouring AFI (95% CI 25%-67%) when 3 studies with available data were
included. The event rates for false positive detection of lesions were 75% (95% CI 58%-86%) for AFI and 70% for WLE (95% CI 54%-82%). White light endoscopy is more likely to miss HGD/cancer (OR 3.58, 95% CI 1.8-7) and all dysplasia (OR 4.26, 95% CI 0.88-20.7) compared to AFI.

**Conclusion:** Autofluorescence imaging is more likely to detect high grade dysplasia/cancer and all dysplasia compared to white light endoscopy in Barrett’s surveillance. This is at the expense of significant false positive lesion detection.
5.2 Introduction

Regular surveillance in Barrett’s oesophagus is performed with a view to identify early dysplastic lesions which could be treated at an early stage\(^{202,203}\). This is likely to improve clinical outcome for patients compared to those presenting with symptomatic cancers. The routine practice in BO surveillance is to observe for any early lesions, which could then be target biopsied. In the absence of any obvious lesions, random four quadrant biopsies are taken every 2 cm as recommended by various national Gastroenterology societies\(^ {126,204}\). The random biopsy technique is associated with significant sampling error. Image enhanced endoscopy techniques as well as chromoendoscopy were used with a view to improve the detection of subtle early lesions with variable results.

Autofluorescence video endoscopic imaging is a relatively new technique which was introduced in 2005\(^ {83}\). This endoscope is equipped with two CCD, one for the white light imaging and the other for AF imaging. The basis of this technique involves the fact that, when certain endogenous fluorophores in the gastrointestinal tract is excited with light of short wavelength, they emit light of long wavelength. This is captured after filtering the short wavelength light to incorporate into a pseudo colour image. The normal mucosa appears green related to the absorption spectrum of haemoglobin and the abnormal or dysplastic tissue will have reduced fluorescence seen in magenta/purple colour.

The AF imaging was studied predominantly in enriched Barrett’s population and the results were suggestive of high sensitivity in picking up early dysplastic lesions. However, this is associated with a high false positive rate and hence low
specificity. This meta-analysis was aimed to assess the incremental yield of detecting dysplastic lesions by AFI compared to white light endoscopy (WLE).

5.3 Methods

5.3.1 Search strategy

Literature search was done in various databases including Medline, Google Scholar, Web of Science and Cochrane databases. The search terms used were ‘Autofluorescence and Barrett’s’. Articles in all languages were searched. We also hand-searched references from published articles. Abstracts were not included.

5.3.2 Selection of studies

All studies reporting the accuracy of AF imaging and WLE in Barrett’s oesophagus with histology as gold standard were included. The inclusion criteria were a) prospective evaluation of autofluorescence imaging in BO, b) histological confirmation of the abnormal lesions c) real time assessment of lesions or post-hoc analysis and d) video autofluorescence endoscopic system is used.

Studies without histological confirmation and those without extractable data were excluded. Also, case reports, case series, editorials, inter-observer studies, commentaries and data reported as abstracts only were excluded. Moreover, studies which used light induced fluorescence endoscopy (LIFE) and laser induced spectroscopy systems were excluded. Data from studies were independently extracted by two investigators and any discrepancies were resolved by discussion.
5.3.3 Meta-analysis

A meta-analysis was performed with the following outcomes; the yield of AFI and WLE in detecting HGD/cancer and all dysplasia. Furthermore, the event rates for false positive detection of lesions were calculated for AFI and WLE. Finally, the miss rates of HGD/cancer and all dysplasia by AFI and WLE were analysed. The incremental yield of AFI was calculated by subtracting the yield of WLE from that of AFI and a 95% confidence interval was calculated. A fixed effects model was used unless there was significant heterogeneity, in which case the DerSimonian–Laird random effects model was used. Comprehensive Meta Analysis version 2.2 (Biostat, Englewood, New Jersey, USA) statistical package was used for the data analysis.

5.3.4 Heterogeneity analysis

The Cochran’s Q was used to test heterogeneity among the pooled estimates. Statistical heterogeneity was also assessed using $I^2$ (inconsistency) that quantifies the proportion of inconsistency in individual studies that cannot be explained by chance. Values of $I^2$ such as 25%, 50% and 75% represent inconsistency which is low, moderate or high respectively. To test publication bias, a test for asymmetry of the funnel plots as suggested by Egger et al was used.

5.4 Results

A Pubmed search revealed 54 potentially relevant articles. 49 articles were excluded by reading the abstracts and/or the full text articles. These included
reviews, commentaries, case reports, correspondence and inter-observer studies. Articles pertaining to the light induced fluorescence (LIFE), laser fluoroscopy and spectroscopy were also excluded and finally 5 papers which used the video autofluorescence endoscopy and have extractable data were included in the analysis \(^{83, 84, 86, 171, 207}\). No additional articles were retrieved from other sources. All 5 studies provided data on the detection of lesions containing HGD/early cancer. Only 3 studies provided data on all dysplastic lesions detected by these modalities \(^{83, 171, 207}\). All 5 studies had sufficient data to analyse the false positive rates (FPR) of AFI and 4 studies provided the information on FPR of WLE \(^{84, 86, 171, 207}\). The miss rates for HGD/cancer were calculated from 4 studies \(^{83, 86, 171, 207}\) and that of all dysplasia were calculated from 3 studies which provided sufficient data \(^{83, 171, 207}\).

### 5.4.1 Detection of high grade dysplasia/cancer

Five studies with 350 patients and 521 lesions were included in the analysis. The pooled incremental yield of AFI over WLE for detecting HGD/cancer was 49% (95% CI 42%-56%). Figure 1 shows the Forest plot for the incremental yield of AFI over WLE in the selected studies.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study name</th>
<th>Statistics for each study</th>
<th>Risk difference and 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Risk difference</td>
<td>Lower limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kara 2005</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kara 2006</td>
<td>0.393</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvers 2008</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>0.318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvers 2010</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>0.394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvers 2011</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>0.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>0.421</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-1.00 -0.50 0.00 0.50 1.00
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Figure 1: Pooled incremental yield of AFI compared to WLE in detecting HGD/cancer

Figure 2: Funnel plot for publication bias for detection of HGD/cancer comparing AFI and WLE
A fixed effect model was used for analysis as the heterogeneity was low (Cochran’s Q 1.5, p=0.83, $I^2=0\%$). Publication bias was excluded by a funnel plot (figure 2) and Egger’s regression asymmetry test (p=0.4, intercept= -1.72, 95% CI -7.36 to 3.91).

### 5.4.2 Detection of all dysplasia

3 studies with a total of 372 lesions were included in the analysis for all dysplastic lesions. The pooled incremental yield for AFI for detecting all dysplastic lesions compared to WLE was 46% (95% CI 25%-67%). Figure 3 shows the Forest plot for the incremental yield of AFI over WLE in the selected studies. A random effect model was used as the heterogeneity between the studies was high (Cochran’s Q 15.69, P=<0.001, $I^2$ 87%). Publication bias was excluded by Egger’s regression symmetry (p=0.40, intercept= -6.50, 95% CI -67.1 to 54.1).

### 5.4.3 False positive rates

The pooled false positive rates (FPR) for each modality were calculated as event rate or the proportion of lesions which were false positive. All 5 studies were included in the analysis for AFI and 4 studies with available data were used for FPR of WLE. The pooled false positive rates for AFI in detecting dysplastic lesions was 75% (95% CI 58-86%) on a random effects model (figure 4). For WLE, the pooled FPR was 70% (95% CI 54-83%) (figure 5). Random effects model was used as heterogeneity among studies were high (AFI-Cochran’s Q=48.9, $I^2$ 92%, for WLE-Cochran’s Q=15.7, $I^2$ 81%).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study name</th>
<th>Statistics for each study</th>
<th>Risk difference and 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Risk difference</td>
<td>Lower limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kara 2005</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvers 2010</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>0.522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvers 2011</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Favours WLE  Favours AFI

Figure 3: Pooled incremental yield of AFI compared to WLE in detecting all dysplasia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study name</th>
<th>Statistics for each study</th>
<th>Event rate and 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Event rate</td>
<td>Lower limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kara 2005</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>0.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kara 2006</td>
<td>0.596</td>
<td>0.451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvers 2008</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvers 2010</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>0.716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvers 2011</td>
<td>0.897</td>
<td>0.839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.746</td>
<td>0.580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1.00</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 4: Pooled false positive rates for autofluorescence imaging detected lesions
### Study name  Statistics for each study  Event rate and 95% CI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Name</th>
<th>Event Rate</th>
<th>Lower Limit</th>
<th>Upper Limit</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kera 2006</td>
<td>0.773</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.902</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvers 2008</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvers 2010</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.644</td>
<td>0.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvers 2011</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>0.896</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: Pooled false positive rates for white light endoscopy detected lesions

### Study name  Statistics for each study  Odds ratio and 95% CI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Name</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>Lower Limit</th>
<th>Upper Limit</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kera 2005</td>
<td>9.800</td>
<td>1.089</td>
<td>88.229</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvers 2008</td>
<td>7.875</td>
<td>1.958</td>
<td>31.675</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvers 2010</td>
<td>3.063</td>
<td>1.088</td>
<td>8.619</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvers 2011</td>
<td>1.316</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>5.649</td>
<td>0.712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.577</td>
<td>1.802</td>
<td>7.099</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6: Pooled Odds ratio of miss rates of high grade dysplasia or cancer compared between AFI and WLE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study name</th>
<th>Statistics for each study</th>
<th>Odds ratio and 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Odds ratio</td>
<td>Lower limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kara 2005</td>
<td>7.792</td>
<td>0.928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvers 2010</td>
<td>10.375</td>
<td>3.461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvers 2011</td>
<td>1.349</td>
<td>0.725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.259</td>
<td>0.875</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7: Pooled Odds ratio of miss rates of all dysplasia compared between AFI and WLE
5.4.4 Miss rates of high grade dysplasia and all dysplasia

The odds of missing a lesion was calculated with number of patients with HGD for the calculation of HGD miss rates and the total number of patients for the miss rates of all dysplasia. The pooled Odds ratio of missing HGD or early cancer was 3.57 (95% CI 1.8-7.1) with WLE missing more number of lesions compared to AFI (Figure 6). A fixed effects model was used as the heterogeneity of studies was low (Cochran’s = 3.93 p=0.27, $I^2$ 24%). Similarly the OR for all dysplastic lesions were 4.26 (95% CI 0.87-20.73) favouring AFI on a random effects model (figure 7). The heterogeneity among the 3 studies included in this analysis was high (Cochran’s Q= 11.3, p=0.004, $I^2$ 82%). There was no evidence of publication bias on the Egger’s regression asymmetry analysis (p=0.44, intercept=1.50, 95% CI -9.7 to 12.7).

5.5 Discussion

This study was aimed to evaluate the added benefits of autofluorescence endoscopy compared to white light endoscopy in terms of detection of lesions containing primarily high grade dysplasia and cancer. AFI is found to identify more number of dysplastic lesions during BO surveillance compared to WLE, but high false positive rates were reported. This indicates that the more number of lesions identified are at the expense of over calling dysplasia during surveillance. This study showed that the incremental yield of AFI in detecting HGD/cancer was 49% compared to WLE. The studies included in the analysis did not show significant heterogeneity or publication bias. It could be argued that, more time is
spent on the endoscopic procedure by switching between AFI and WLE and the careful examination itself would have contributed to the higher pick up of HGD/cancer. The other argument is whether the higher HGD pick up is related to more number of biopsies taken as there are significantly higher false positive lesions detected during AFI. However, in this study, the pooled FPR rates for AFI and WLE was not significantly different (75% Vs 70%). The pooled incremental yield for AFI in detecting all dysplasia was 46% (95% CI 25%-67%) compared to WLE. This analysis included only 3 papers with significant heterogeneity and hence the results should be viewed with caution.

The pooled FPR for AFI was 75%. This has been a consistent finding in all the clinical studies. A study by Curvers et al attempted to identify predictive factors for true positive lesions detected during BO surveillance. The study suggested that opaque intensity, abnormality in WLE and remoteness to gastric folds are potential factors predicating the true positive nature of the lesions. These factors should be considered during endoscopic examination which might help to reduce the false positive rates.

White light endoscopy is more likely to miss HGD/cancer compared to AFI (OR 3.6, 95% CI 1.8-7.1). Similarly, the miss rates for WLE in detecting all dysplasia were 4.3 times higher than the AFI. This is particularly significant finding in an enriched Barrett’s population, but whether this would be applicable in routine Barrett’s surveillance is not clear. The use of white light endoscopy in studies was heterogeneous; some of the studies used high resolution endoscopy and
other studies used standard definition endoscopy\textsuperscript{171,207}. However, all studies showed a higher detection of dysplasia by AFI.

\textbf{5.5.1 Limitations of the study}

As with any meta-analysis there are several potential limitations to this study especially by pooling data from different trials. The variations in the study designs, inclusion and exclusion criteria, operator experience and interpretation of findings could have all contributed to the heterogeneity. Some of the studies have included small number of patients and are potentially subject to selection bias. By pooling data from such studies, selection bias would be amplified.

\textbf{5.5.2 Conclusions}

Autofluorescence imaging is more likely to detect high grade dysplasia, cancer and all dysplasia in an enriched Barrett’s population compared to white light endoscopy. The miss rates for dysplasia by white light endoscopy were significantly higher compared to autofluorescence imaging. However, the increased dysplasia detection rate of AFI was at the expense of high false positive lesion detection. The use of AFI could improve the overall yield of dysplasia, but could not replace random biopsy sampling.
CHAPTER 6

AN INTER-OBSERVER AGREEMENT STUDY OF AUTOFLUORESCENCE ENDOSCOPY IN BARRETT’S OESOPHAGUS AMONG EXPERT AND NON-EXPERT ENDOSCOPISTS

6.1 Abstract

Background

Autofluorescence imaging (AFI) is a ‘red flag’ technique during Barrett’s surveillance to identify subtle abnormal lesions. AFI is associated with significant false positive results, but the reported sensitivity is high. The aim of this study was to assess the inter-observer agreement (IOA) in detecting AFI positive lesions and to assess the overall accuracy of AFI.

Materials and methods

Anonymised AFI and high resolution white light (HRE) images were prospectively collected. The AFI images were presented in random order, followed by corresponding AFI+HRE images in a second folder. Three AFI experts and 3 AFI non-experts scored images after a training presentation. The IOA was calculated using kappa and accuracy was calculated with histology as gold standard.

Results

74 sets of images were prospectively collected from 63 patients (48 males, mean age 69). The IOA for number of AF positive lesions was fair when AFI images were presented. This improved to moderate when corresponding AFI and HRE images were presented (experts 0.57 [0.44-0.70], non-experts 0.47 [0.35-0.62]). The IOA for the site of AF lesion was moderate for experts and fair for non-
experts using AF images, which improved to substantial for experts (k 0.62 [0.50-0.72]) but remained at fair for non-experts (k-0.28 [0.18-0.37]) with AFI+HRE.

Among experts, the accuracy of detecting dysplasia was 0.76 (0.7-0.81) using AFI images and 0.85 (0.79-0.89) using AFI+HRE images. The accuracy was 0.69 (0.62-0.74) with AFI images alone and 0.75 (0.70-0.80) using AFI+HRE among non-experts.

**Conclusion**

The IOA for AF positive lesions is fair to moderate using AFI images which improved with addition of HRE. The overall accuracy of identifying dysplasia was modest which was better when AFI and HRE images were combined.
6.2 Introduction:

Regular endoscopic surveillance in Barrett’s oesophagus (BO) is recommended to detect dysplastic changes or early cancer at a curable stage which in turn could improve the prognosis. These dysplastic lesions are often difficult to identify with conventional endoscopy and various image enhanced techniques are now available. Autofluorescence imaging (AFI) endoscopy is a relatively new technique which utilises the endogenous fluorophores of the gastrointestinal tract. When a light of short wavelength interacts with these fluorophores, they emit light of longer wavelength. A composite pseudo colour image is formed by the processor of the endoscope using the emitted light. Earlier studies in Barrett’s oesophagus have shown that, AFI has a high sensitivity in diagnosing dysplastic areas in Barrett’s oesophagus. The abnormal areas are seen in ‘magenta’ or ‘purple’ colour compared to the normal tissue in ‘green’ colour depicting the absorption spectrum of haemoglobin. However, the specificity of AFI was low with significant false positive rates in all the studies. The AFI is thus predominantly used as ‘red flag’ technique to highlight suspicious areas which could then be closely observed with HRE or NBI with magnification to look at the vascular and pit patterns. There is limited data on what constitutes an abnormal area in the AFI as there are various factors which could cause false positive signals. Previous studies have shown that a ‘purple’ colour area away from gastro-oesophageal junction, which is opaque and ill defined, might suggest dysplasia. AFI is an easy to use technique in regular surveillance of Barrett's and potentially can pick up flat and inconspicuous dysplasia. We aimed to assess
the inter-observer agreement in detecting AF positive lesions among AFI experts and AFI non-experts. We also aimed to assess the diagnostic accuracy of dysplasia using AFI images between these groups.

6.3 Methods

6.3.1 Patients

Patients included in this study were those undergoing routine Barrett’s surveillance and those referred to our centre for dysplasia work up and management. All patients were included in various single centre and multicentre ethically approved Barrett’s trials involving imaging and endotherapy. This study conforms to the ethical guidelines of the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki and signed informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to the procedure. The endoscopic images were obtained by experienced endoscopists and were stored as high quality anonymised JPEG images in our central database. Corresponding biopsy specimens were taken from areas of interest which was imaged.

6.3.2 Endoscopic equipment

High resolution endoscopes GIF Q240 FZ and GIF Q260 FZ (Olympus Keymed, UK) with a Olympus Lucera spectrum processor (Olympus Keymed, UK) equipped with a red, green and blue (RGB) sequential illumination xenon light source (XCLV-2601IP), RGB filters and a high definition television monitor (Olympus OEVI8111) were used for the procedures. These endoscopes have an
additional autofluorescence chip at the tip. The operator could easily alternate between white light endoscopy and AFI by pressing a button on the hand control. When AFI is switched on, the mucosa is sequentially excited with blue light (390-470 nm) and green light (540-560 nm). A barrier filter placed in front of the AFI CCD allows only passage of fluorescence light with a wavelength between 500 and 630 nm after blue light excitation and of the green reflected light after green light excitation. The video processor subsequently constructs a pseudo colour image on the basis of the total AF and green reflectance.

6.3.3 Endoscopic procedure

All endoscopies were done under topical anaesthesia with 2% lignocaine spray or sedation using midazolam or diazepam with or without pethidine. During endoscopy, any suspicious areas are noted and separately sampled after capturing high quality HRE and AFI images (using a Sony BZMD-1 DICOM Capture station or Sony HD Video GV-HD700E). Non-suspicious areas in BO are also imaged prior to random sampling. These images are stored as JPEG files (300 kb, 1280 X 1024 pixel array and 32-bitcolour). All images were then anonymised and added to a database with corresponding HRE and AFI images of each area.

6.3.4 Selection of images for the study

The images for this study were selected from the database (total of 447 images, HRE=211, AFI= 236,) by a single endoscopist based on image quality, number of lesions, availability of corresponding set of AFI and HRE images for the same area and histological diagnosis. Images showing large lesions and obvious
cancers were excluded from the study. These images were imported into a training power point presentation (Microsoft Power Point 2007, Redmond, WA) and two separate folders containing AFI images alone and corresponding AFI and HRE images were inserted into Microsoft power point in a random fashion. All images were anonymised, presented without any changes to the original images and were of the same size and resolution.

6.3.5 Image evaluation

Three endoscopists experienced in advanced imaging (KR, VS, and ET) each of whom had done more than 200 advanced imaging procedures using AFI (AFI experts) and 3 experienced endoscopists (MW, KL, and VR) with no prior experience of AFI (AFI non-experts) but with significant experience in Barrett’s surveillance using HRE (> 150 procedures) took part in the assessment of the anonymised images. Before starting the image evaluation a short automated power point presentation was provided to each endoscopist showing images of non-dysplastic Barrett’s oesophagus, false positive AFI areas (non-dysplastic) and true positive AFI areas (dysplastic), in both HRE and AFI modes.

After the training, all endoscopists independently assessed all images (74 AFI and 74 corresponding AFI and HRE) presented in a random order. The endoscopists were instructed to record the findings a priori including a) presence of any abnormal AFI signal (positive), b) site of abnormal AFI signal, c) whether dysplastic or not, and d) Quality of images on a visual analogue scale of 1-10. Finally the HRE and AFI images from corresponding regions were displayed as
pairs and similar questions were repeated. Endoscopists were asked to answer these questions based on AFI images alone and were not asked to rate HRE images. All data were inserted into a separate Microsoft excel file by each endoscopist, which were analysed. The endoscopists were blinded to the histological diagnosis of these patients.

6.3.6 Histological assessment

Targeted biopsies were taken from abnormal areas as seen in AFI or HRE and in cases where there were no obvious mucosal abnormalities; random four quadrant biopsies were taken every 2 cm from the entire Barrett’s mucosa. Random biopsies were also taken even if there were abnormal areas targeted. The specimens are fixed in 10% formalin and sent for histopathological assessment. The specimens were assessed by a specialist gastrointestinal pathologist (PVK) and in cases of dysplasia, by two different gastro-intestinal pathologists. The histopathological reporting was done according to Vienna classification 173.

6.3.7 Statistical analysis

The inter-observer agreement was expressed by the percentage of overall agreement among all observers, AFI experts and AFI non-experts as well as by an overall kappa ($\kappa$) statistic with 95% confidence interval 212. The interpretation of $\kappa$-values was done according to the values provided by Landis and Koch who characterised values $< 0$ as indicating no agreement and $0–0.20$ as slight, $0.21–0.40$ as fair, $0.41–0.60$ as moderate, $0.61–0.80$ as substantial, and $0.81–1$ as almost perfect agreement 213. Bootstrapping techniques ($k=2000$ bootstrap samples) was
used to obtain a bias corrected confidence intervals \(^{214}\). On account of the correlated nature of the data we used bootstrapping techniques (\(k=2000\) bootstrap samples) to obtain \(P\) values for differences in \(\kappa\)-values between experts and non-experts. Stata version 9 (Stata Corporation, College Station, Texas) and PASW® version 17 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois) were used for the analysis. Continuous outcomes variables are given as mean ± standard deviation and were analysed using the \(t\) test. \(P < 0.05\) was considered statistically significant. Sensitivity, specificity and accuracy were calculated with histopathology as gold standard. For analytical purposes LGD was grouped with non-dysplastic Barrett’s and HGD/IMC was analysed as dysplastic Barrett’s.

6.4 Results

74 sets of anonymised images of AFI and HRE were collected from 63 patients (males 48, mean age 69). The histopathological diagnosis in these 74 sets were; Intramucosal cancer (IMC) = 16, High grade dysplasia (HGD) = 16, Low grade dysplasia (LGD) = 11, and Non-dysplastic Barrett’s (NDBO) = 31.

6.4.1 Number of AF positive lesions

The inter-observer agreement (IOA) (kappa) for number of true AFI positive lesions when only AFI images were shown was fair for all observers, experts and non-experts which improved to moderate when both AFI and HRE images were shown. Percentage of full agreement is shown in Table 1.
Table 1: Inter-observer agreement $\kappa$ (95% CI) for the number of AF positive lesions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AFI $\kappa$ (95% CI)</th>
<th>Full agreement AFI (%)</th>
<th>AFI + HRE $\kappa$ (95% CI)</th>
<th>Full agreement AFI+HRE (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All observers</td>
<td>0.301 (0.235-0.384)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.484 (0.401-0.584)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experts</td>
<td>0.392 (0.272-0.518)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.567 (0.443-0.700)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-experts</td>
<td>0.259 (0.142-0.381)</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>0.473 (0.347-0.615)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AFI-Autofluorescence imaging, HRE-High resolution imaging, $\kappa$-Kappa
6.4.2 Site of AF positive lesions

The kappa for all observers for the site of AF positive lesion was 0.392 (95% CI 0.316-0.474) with AFI images alone which improved to 0.435 (95% CI 0.358-0.518) with corresponding AFI + HRE images. Among experts, the kappa for site of AF positive lesion was moderate which was significantly higher than non-experts (P=0.01) when AFI images were presented. When corresponding AFI + HRE images were shown, kappa for experts improved to substantial (k = 0.615 [95% CI 0.498-0.721]), but remained at fair for non-experts (k= 0.275[95% CI 0.182-0.377]). Percentage of full agreement is shown in Table 2.

6.4.3 Inter-observer agreement for dysplasia

The IOA for presence of high grade dysplasia or early cancer was moderate (k = 0.410 [95% CI 0.300-0.527]) for all observers with AFI images which improved to substantial (k= 0.614 [95% CI 0.509-0.717]) with corresponding AF and white light images. Among experts, the kappa with AFI images alone was 0.492 (moderate, 95% CI 0.339-0.654) and 0.781 (substantial, 95% CI 0.646-0.874) with combined AFI + HRE images. Among non-experts, the kappa with AFI images alone was 0.381 (95% CI 0.225-0.551) and 0.478 (95% CI 0.333-0.627) with AFI + HRE images. Percentage of full agreement is shown in Table 3.
Table 2: Inter-observer agreement $\kappa$ (95% CI) for the site of AF positive lesions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AFI $\kappa$ (95% CI)</th>
<th>Full agreement AFI (%)</th>
<th>AFI + HRE $\kappa$ (95% CI)</th>
<th>Full agreement AFI+HRE (%)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All observers</td>
<td>0.392 (0.316-0.474)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.435 (0.358-0.518)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experts</td>
<td>0.478 (0.372-0.595)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.615 (0.498-0.721)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-experts</td>
<td>0.276 (0.167-0.384)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.275 (0.182-0.377)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P value

0.01 <0.001

AFI-Autofluorescence imaging, HRE-High resolution endoscopy, K-Kappa
Table 3: Inter-observer agreement $\kappa$ (95% CI) for dysplasia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Full agreement</th>
<th>AFI + HRE</th>
<th>Full agreement</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AFI $\kappa$(95% CI)</td>
<td>AFI (%)</td>
<td>$\kappa$(95% CI)</td>
<td>AFI+HRE (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All observers</td>
<td>0.410 (0.300-0.527)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.614 (0.509-0.717)</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experts</td>
<td>0.492 (0.339-0.654)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0.781 (0.646-0.874)</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-experts</td>
<td>0.381 (0.225-0.551)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.478 (0.333-0.627)</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AFI-Autofluorescence imaging, HRE-High resolution endoscopy, $\kappa$-kappa
Table 4: Sensitivity, specificity and accuracy (95% CI) of dysplasia with histology as gold standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Experts</th>
<th>Non-experts</th>
<th>All observers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AFI</td>
<td>AFI + HRE</td>
<td>AFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>0.75 (0.68-0.81)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.78-0.89)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.49-0.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>0.76 (0.71-0.81)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.80-0.89)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.72-0.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>0.76 (0.70-0.81)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.79-0.89)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.62-0.74)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AFI-Autofluorescence imaging, HRE-High resolution endoscopy
6.4.4 Accuracy of dysplasia detection

Using histopathology as gold standard the sensitivity and specificity for dysplasia detection was calculated. Among experts, the sensitivity and specificity was 0.75 (95% CI 0.68-0.81) and 0.76 (95% CI 0.71-0.81) when AFI images were used alone. When both AFI and HRE images were shown together, the sensitivity and specificity improved to 0.84 (95% CI 0.78-0.89) and 0.85 (95% CI 0.80-0.89). Among non-experts the sensitivity and specificity were 0.56 (95% CI 0.49-0.62) and 0.78 (95% CI 0.72-0.83) with AFI images alone. The sensitivity and specificity were 0.64 (95% CI 0.57-0.69) and 0.83 (0.78-0.88) when AFI + HE images were presented among non-experts. The overall accuracy is shown in Table 4.

6.4.5 Quality of AFI images

The overall quality of AFI images on a visual analogue score of 1-10 (1=Poor, 10=Best) were 6.27 ± 1.64 (mean ± SD) for all observers when AFI images were presented on its own. For experts, the mean VAS score was 6.44 ± 1.53 and for non-experts it was 6.1 ± 1.73. When AFI + HRE images were shown the mean (± SD) VAS scores for AFI images for all observers, experts and non-experts were 6.83 ± 1.62, 6.65 ± 1.3, 7 ± 1.87 respectively.

6.5 Discussion

This study has directly assessed the inter-observer agreement of AFI positive lesions in patients with BO and has ascertained the accuracy of detection of high
grade dysplasia and early cancer with histology as gold standard. This image enhanced technique is user friendly and is used as a 'red flag' technique during BO surveillance. It is important to assess the IOA among experts and non-experts in identifying such abnormal areas to understand the value of this technique in routine clinical practice. The predictive factors for dysplasia in AFI were studied earlier and the investigators found that abnormal AF signals (magenta or purple) with opaque AF intensity and mucosal abnormalities in HRE images are highly suggestive of dysplasia. They also found that abnormal AF signals in proximity to gastric folds are likely to be false positive. We included these three criteria in the training session along with presenting other common false positive signals in the context of scarring, inflammation and squamous mucosal islands to prevent reporting false positive areas.

The consistent finding is the improvement in IOA and dysplasia detection by experts and non-experts when combined AFI and HRE images were shown. This finding is in agreement with the previously discussed study. The clinical importance is that, switching between AFI and HRE is essential in real time endoscopy. However, the likelihood of dysplasia in the presence of an abnormal AFI signal and normal HRE findings needs to be ascertained in prospective studies. Also, the value of AFI imaging in itself as a tool for BO surveillance is questionable and should be combined with HRE. It is very easy for the operator to switch back and forth between AFI and HRE and the suspicious areas should be carefully examined by both modalities.
The non-experts demonstrated a low agreement on the number of AF positive signals and the site of AF positive areas compared to experts. This indirectly indicates a learning curve. A previous study indicated that NBI in differentiation of colonic polyps could be learned in 20 minutes by didactic lecturing $^{21}$5. In our study, a power point presentation for 15 minutes does not seem to be sufficient to improve the IOA and accuracy of AFI in dysplasia detection. This needs to be clarified in prospective study with definitive aim directed towards it.

The AFI non-experts have significant experience in Barrett's surveillance using HRE only. This might explain the statistically significant improvement in the IOA of number of AF positive lesions when both AFI and HRE images were shown compared to AF images alone. Nonetheless, there was no significant improvement in the IOA of site of AF positive lesions or dysplasia with combined images. This reflects the learning curve in identifying the areas of true positive AFI signals. This argument is strengthened by the fact that, AFI experts significantly improved IOA when HRE were added.

There was no significant difference between experts and non-experts in the reported quality of AFI images and the VAS score was above average. This could be due to selection bias as only good images were selected for the study. The AFI experts however, scored the images similar to that of non-experts.

The strength of this study is that 3 expert and 3 non-expert endoscopists participated in the study with a comparable number of dysplastic and non-dysplastic Barrett's images. The overall accuracy of dysplasia among experts was
good at 0.76 with AFI images and 0.85 with AFI+HRE images. This indicates that the technique is valuable in correctly identifying dysplasia by experts. However, no comparison was done between HRE and AFI and thus results does not reflect that AFI is better than HRE in indentifying dysplasia. Nevertheless, the combined use of AFI and HRE seems to be of value in the context.

The quality of images assessed by both experts and non-experts were between 6.27-7.0 (mean) on a VAS scale of 1-10. Arguably, an improvement in quality of images could improve the IOA and possibly the identification of dysplasia. Further studies with improved quality of images are necessary to ascertain this.

6.5.1 Limitations of the study

As with many previous inter-observer studies, endoscopic images were used in this study. This does not reflect routine clinical practice where real time images are displayed on high definition monitors. However, in real time, many endoscopists assess the abnormal areas by freezing the images and this was mimicked in our study. Video clips of the relevant areas would have been a better way of assessment.

The sensitivity and specificity is assessed using targeted histology as gold standard. Random biopsies were taken if there were no abnormal areas to target. This does not reflect the true sensitivity and specificity of the modality as there is significant sampling error in Barrett’s and occult dysplasia would have been overlooked. The other limitation of the study is that for analytical purposes we have included low grade dysplasia along with non-dysplastic Barrett’s as there are...
no definitive AFI abnormalities described in cases of LGD. This study was done in enriched population and thereby is not a true reflection of Barrett’s surveillance. The images were selected from a database of images and only good quality of images was selected. Also, there is no time pressure on endoscopists to comment on images unlike in real time settings.

Considering the facts that this study was done using selected images and not a real time study, focused on dysplasia identification rather than detection and the significant but modest accuracy of dysplasia, it would not be possible to recommend AFI for routine use in Barrett’s surveillance.

6.5.2 Conclusions

The inter-observer agreement for AF positive lesions is fair to moderate using AFI images which improved with addition of HRE. The overall accuracy of dysplasia identification using AFI images was modest, but was better among experts and with combined AFI and HRE images. Better understanding of what constitutes an AF positive signal and improvement in technology to increase the quality of images could enhance the value of this endoscopic technique in BO surveillance.
CHAPTER 7

UTILITY OF AN AUTOFLUORESCENCE INDEX TO DETECT EARLY NEOPLASIA IN BARRETT'S OESOPHAGUS; A PILOT STUDY
7.1 Abstract

Background

Auto-fluorescence imaging (AFI) endoscopy is a novel imaging technique that can identify neoplastic tissue by highlighting differences in tissue fluorescence properties and detect early neoplasia not detectable by conventional white light endoscopy. Although it has a high sensitivity, it is associated with a high false positive rate up to 80%.

Aims

To determine if autofluorescence index defined as the ratio of the intensity of red and green tone between normal and abnormal AFI areas in patients with Barrett’s oesophagus (BO) can improve the specificity and accuracy of AFI endoscopy.

Methods

Images of patients with both AFI true and false positive areas of BO were selected from a prospectively collected dataset using the Olympus Lucera-Hyperpro prototype video endoscopy system and GIF Q240FZ gastroscope. Biopsies from these areas had been confirmed by an experienced GI pathologist. The intensity of the red and green tone at the abnormal and 2 separate normal areas were read blinded using the NIS Elements AR 2.30 imaging software (Nikon instruments, Japan). Statistical analysis was done using SPSS version 16 (SPSS Inc, USA).
Results

There were 82 images of AFI abnormalities. Of these 19 had high grade dysplasia (HGD), 18 had carcinoma (Ca), 38 had specialised intestinal metaplasia without dysplasia (SIM) and 7 were that of columnar epithelium without SIM. The area under the receiver operating curve (AUROC) for the auto-fluorescence index (AF index) was 0.881 (95% CI 0.81-0.95) and 0.91 (95% CI 0.84-0.97) when utilising the two different normal AFI areas respectively for calculating the index. The two separate readings made were highly correlated (Spearman’s rho 0.82). Using an AF index cut off of 1.32 the sensitivities for readings 1 and 2 were 92% (95% CI 82-99) and 95% (95% CI 85-99) respectively, specificities were 76% (95% CI 67-80) and 62% (95% CI 54-65).

Conclusions

The AF index shows promise as a tool to improve the diagnostic capabilities of AFI endoscopy. Further prospective studies with real time determination of the ratio during video AFI endoscopy will serve to confirm its utility in clinical practice.
7.2 Background

Oesophageal adenocarcinoma (OA) has the fastest rising incidence in the western world and Barrett's oesophagus is the only known precursor lesion. The incidence of OA has increased 4-6 folds over the past 4 decades \textsuperscript{216,217}. When diagnosed at an advanced stage, OA has a poor prognosis \textsuperscript{218}. Early detection of lesions will facilitate endotherapy or surgery and improve the prognosis. Due to this reason regular surveillance of BO is recommended \textsuperscript{219}. In recent years, various advanced endoscopic imaging techniques have facilitated the assessment and early detection of lesions. Autofluorescence imaging (AFI) is a technique which can potentially differentiate dysplastic from non-dysplastic areas in BO. Normal and neoplastic tissues have different characteristics in AFI \textsuperscript{78}. The initial use of AFI was limited to autofluorescence spectroscopy and use of fibre optic endoscopes. However these modalities produced poor picture quality of white light imaging. The new generation of AFI endoscopes has two high resolution chips, one for the white light and one for the autofluorescence imaging. The non-dysplastic area of the BO appears 'green' and the dysplastic areas appear 'pink or magenta'. However most of the studies with the new system have reported a high false positive rates ranging from 40\% to 80\% \textsuperscript{84,86}. The additional use of NBI has found to reduce this false positivity.

We investigated to identify an autofluorescence index which could enable to differentiate the truly dysplastic areas from false positive areas in AFI images.
7.3 Methods

7.3.1 Images

AFI images from dysplastic and non dysplastic Barrett’s, which were prospectively collected, were retrieved from existing database. All lesions were confirmed by target biopsies as to whether they harbor dysplasia. The criteria for selecting the images were a) adequate AFI image quality for analysis, b) the lesions seen were correlated with white light images, c) target biopsies were reviewed by a gastrointestinal pathologist, and d) only images with non dysplastic BO or that with early neoplasmia.

7.3.2 Endoscopy system

The autofluorescence endoscope (XGIF-240FZ, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) has 2 separate CCDs, one for WLE and one for AFI. The system uses sequential red-green-blue illumination for WLE. While using the AFI system, the mucosa is sequentially excited with blue light (390-470 nm) and green light (540 nm-560 nm). A blue light filter is placed in front of the AFI CCD to allow only passage of reflected fluorescence light with a wavelength between 500 and 630 nm after blue light excitation and of the green reflected light after green light excitation. The video processor (XCV-260 HP3P, Olympus) receives the reflected light and constructs a pseudo colour image on the basis of the total autofluorescence and green reflectance. The pseudo colour image is produced by allocating the AF signal to the green (G) channel and the reflected signal of green light to the red (R)
and blue (B) channels in a 1 to 0.5 ratio. Non dysplastic Barrett’s epithelium appears green during AFI, whereas suspicious areas appear red or magenta.

7.3.3 Image analysis

Digital images of AFI positive areas were captured using a digital video cassette recorder (Sony Mini DV GV-D100e PAL; Sony Corp., Tokyo Japan) and were stored as JPEG files (200-300kb, 1280 x 1024 pixel array and 32 bit colour). Gamma corrected images were analysed using NIS Elements AR 2.30 imaging software (Nikon instruments, Japan). All analysis was done blinded to the histopathological diagnosis. The region of interest, which shows low intensity signal (red or magenta), was traced using the software. The intensity of the red tone and green tone were calculated at this area. Two normally appearing areas (green) away from the suspected area were also traced. The mean red tone intensity and green tone intensity of both these areas were also calculated. The ratio of red tone to green tone (AF ratio) in the abnormal area to that of normal areas was pragmatically considered as the autofluorescence index (AF index). Two different sets of values obtained using the abnormal area and two normal areas in this manner.

7.3.4 Statistical analysis

Diagnostic test statistics of sensitivity, specificity, and predictive values were calculated by using histopathology determination as the gold standard. Statistical analysis was done using SPSS version 16 (SPSS Inc, USA). The two separate readings of AF indices were correlated using Spearman’s rank correlation.
coefficient. Receiver operating curves (ROC) analysis was used to find optimal cut off values for assessing dysplastic areas. Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) was obtained plotting the sensitivity on the X axis and 1-specificity on the Y axis for both sets of recordings.

7.4 Results

There were 82 images of AFI abnormalities which were selected as per the criteria taken from 49 patients with BO (40 males, median age 68 years (IQR 57-76)). 19 images were from areas of high grade dysplasia (HGD), 18 from areas of cancer, 38 from non dysplastic Barrett's with specialised intestinal metaplasia and 7 were that of columnar epithelium. The AF index was calculated using the AF positive area and 2 normal background areas. The results were plotted to obtain a ROC (figure 1). The area under the receiver operating curve (AUROC) for the auto-fluorescence index was 0.881 (95% CI 0.81-0.95) and 0.91 (95% CI 0.84-0.97) when utilising the two different normal AFI areas respectively for calculating the index (table 1). The two separate readings made were highly correlated (Spearman's ratio 0.82). Using the ROC curve, optimal AFI index cut off of 1.32 was derived, which represents a sensitivity of 86% and specificity of 88%.

The AF index value of 1.32 was used to define the sensitivity and specificity of the 82 images with histology as gold standard. The sensitivities for readings 1 and 2 were 92% (95% CI 82-99) and 95% (95% CI 85-99) respectively, specificities were 76% (95% CI 67-80) and 62% (95% CI 54-65) and likelihood ratio of a positive test was 3.8 (95% CI 2.5-4.6) and 2.5 (95% CI 1.9-2.8). None of the
cancers and only 3 and 2 HGD's respectively were misclassified by the AFI index of 1.32.

Figure 1: ROC of two different sets of autofluorescence index ratios
Coordinates of the ROC Curve

Test Result Variable: Autofluorescence index ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Autofluorescence index ratio</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>1 - Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-.8438</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.3815</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.6120</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.7668</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.9664</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0218</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0364</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0461</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0474</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0514</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0567</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0613</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0676</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0799</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0892</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0937</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1025</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1138</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1284</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1451</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1554</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1619</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1791</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1931</td>
<td>.946</td>
<td>.533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1946</td>
<td>.919</td>
<td>.533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1957</td>
<td>.919</td>
<td>.511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2155</td>
<td>.892</td>
<td>.511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2366</td>
<td>.892</td>
<td>.489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2397</td>
<td>.892</td>
<td>.467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2411</td>
<td>.892</td>
<td>.444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2477</td>
<td>.892</td>
<td>.422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2542</td>
<td>.892</td>
<td>.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2622</td>
<td>.865</td>
<td>.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2801</td>
<td>.865</td>
<td>.378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2921</td>
<td>.865</td>
<td>.356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2957</td>
<td>.865</td>
<td>.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2989</td>
<td>.865</td>
<td>.311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3007</td>
<td>.865</td>
<td>.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3038</td>
<td>.865</td>
<td>.267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3119</td>
<td>.865</td>
<td>.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3280</td>
<td>.865</td>
<td>.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3466</td>
<td>.838</td>
<td>.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3566</td>
<td>.838</td>
<td>.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3687</td>
<td>.811</td>
<td>.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3798</td>
<td>.784</td>
<td>.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3810</td>
<td>.757</td>
<td>.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3853</td>
<td>.730</td>
<td>.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3933</td>
<td>.703</td>
<td>.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4068</td>
<td>.703</td>
<td>.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autofluorescence index ratio</td>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>1 - Specificity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4191</td>
<td>.703</td>
<td>.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4376</td>
<td>.676</td>
<td>.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4550</td>
<td>.649</td>
<td>.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4624</td>
<td>.649</td>
<td>.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4696</td>
<td>.622</td>
<td>.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4739</td>
<td>.595</td>
<td>.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4773</td>
<td>.595</td>
<td>.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4990</td>
<td>.568</td>
<td>.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5422</td>
<td>.541</td>
<td>.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5653</td>
<td>.514</td>
<td>.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5809</td>
<td>.486</td>
<td>.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5978</td>
<td>.486</td>
<td>.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6077</td>
<td>.459</td>
<td>.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6206</td>
<td>.459</td>
<td>.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6347</td>
<td>.432</td>
<td>.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6565</td>
<td>.405</td>
<td>.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6827</td>
<td>.378</td>
<td>.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7133</td>
<td>.378</td>
<td>.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7334</td>
<td>.378</td>
<td>.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7368</td>
<td>.351</td>
<td>.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7795</td>
<td>.324</td>
<td>.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8377</td>
<td>.297</td>
<td>.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8655</td>
<td>.270</td>
<td>.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8775</td>
<td>.243</td>
<td>.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8790</td>
<td>.216</td>
<td>.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8976</td>
<td>.216</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9286</td>
<td>.189</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9692</td>
<td>.162</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0069</td>
<td>.135</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0439</td>
<td>.108</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1091</td>
<td>.081</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1803</td>
<td>.054</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6582</td>
<td>.027</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1038</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Coordinates of the receiver operating characteristic curve showing the sensitivity and 1-specificity for various autofluorescence index ratios of one set of recordings.
7.5 Discussion

AFI exploits differences in fluorescence properties of normal and abnormal gastrointestinal mucosa and facilitates the detection of early pre-invasive cancer. Although AFI is highly sensitive, it is associated with a very high false positive rate which can lead to excessive biopsies, longer procedure time and greater costs. Clinical studies have shown that as high as 75% of lesions with abnormal fluorescence were false positive when correlated with histology \(^{86,171}\). In this study we have shown that an autofluorescence index might be of value in differentiating dysplastic areas from non-dysplastic BO. The sensitivity, specificity, and predictive values were calculated for several autofluorescence index ratios and, by using the ROC, a value of 1.32 was chosen as the best value to separate dysplastic area from a non-dysplastic area. This will help in reducing the high false positive rates associated with a subjective examination of the autofluorescence. The current system of autofluorescence video endoscopy does not provide an in vivo measurement of AF index and we hypothesised that there is a biological plausibility of increase in the index with increasing dysplasia. Thus, a cut off index ratio would be helpful to differentiate between normal and dysplastic areas.

Light induced fluoroscopy system (LIFE) was used in the past to differentiate adenomatous polyps from non-adenomatous polyps using an index. They found cut off value of 2.3 for their index, which has a sensitivity and specificity 85% and 81% \(^{221}\). However this system produced poor quality images and is outdated now by the video autofluorescence endoscopy system. In the fibre optic system it was
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possible to do the measurements of the index in vivo, producing immediate results to take clinical decisions.

This study has a few limitations. The images were retrieved from a pre collected database and only those with good quality were obtained. There is selection bias involved in this. Also, the images are from patients referred to a tertiary referral centre and do not represent the true Barrett's surveillance population, causing referral bias. Moreover the images are gamma corrected and pseudo colour images. The value of in vitro assessment using image analysis software might not represent a clinical scenario. Also, as a pilot study, we have used a dataset to derive a cut off value of autofluorescence index and used this value on the same dataset for validation. The assessment of such an index is incomplete without validating in a different dataset. Nonetheless, we have identified a method to improve the diagnostic specificity of this emerging technology which is used currently as a 'red flag' system than a diagnostic method by itself due to the high false positive results. More studies using images from a prospective cohort would provide a better understanding of the index, which in the future could be incorporated into the endoscopy system to enable better in vivo diagnostic accuracy.
CHAPTER 8

CORRELATION OF QUANTITATIVE MEASURES OF AUTOFLUORESCENCE FROM VIDEO AUTOFLUORESCENCE ENDOSCOPY WITH COLLAGEN AND ELASTIN AND CHANGES OVER WITH TIME IN A MOUSE MODEL OF COLON CANCER (APC\textsuperscript{MIN} MICE)
8.1 Introduction

The theory of autofluorescence is based on the presence of natural fluorophores in the gastrointestinal tract. When light of a specific wavelength is focussed on tissue, several interactions occur at the cell interface. The light (consisting of photons) could be either reflected at the cell surface or penetrate the tissue and get absorbed by chromophores. The main chromophore in tissue which absorbs the visible wavelength of light is haemoglobin. Absorption of light occurs both with oxygenated or deoxygenated forms of haemoglobin \(^77,222\). The third outcome from the interaction of light and tissue is absorption of the light followed by light of a longer wavelength being emitted by the tissue: a phenomenon termed as autofluorescence. During white light endoscopy, reflection and absorption are the main interactions between light and tissue. During white light video endoscopy in patients with Barrett’s oesophagus, the mucosa appears ‘salmon’ pink in colour as most of the red light is reflected, but on the other hand green and blue light are absorbed by chromophores in the tissue.

Fluorophores are biological substances which emit longer wavelengths (fluorescent light) when excited by short wavelength light such as blue light. Some of the fluorophores which are thought to be responsible for tissue autofluorescence include collagen, aromatic amino acids, nicotinamide adenine nucleotide (NADH) and porphyrins \(^77\). However, this phenomenon is poorly understood. The changes in fluorescent properties in normal, metaplastic and dysplastic tissue would vary due to the different types, concentrations and distribution of various fluorophores and chromophores.
The phenomenon of reduced autofluorescence in dysplastic Barrett’s compared to non-dysplastic Barrett’s was thought to be related to the changes in mucosal thickening. This would attenuate the strong fluorescence from collagen rich submucosa. An ex-vivo study on snap-frozen mucosal biopsies from patients with Barrett’s oesophagus scanned with confocal fluorescence microscopy showed that both dysplastic and non-dysplastic epithelium showed predominantly green fluorescence. The main source of the fluorescence was from both cytoplasm and lamina propria. Contrary to this, clinical studies have shown reduced autofluorescence in dysplastic Barrett’s compared to non-dysplastic Barrett’s.

There are various mechanisms proposed as to the cause for this:

1. The nuclear-cytoplasmic ratio increases in dysplastic cells and nuclei have little or no autofluorescence properties compared to cytoplasm

2. The dysplasia is associated with increased mucosal thickness which would prevent the light from penetrating into the submucosal layer where the abundant collagen is thought to have the highest fluorescence in gastrointestinal tract

3. An increased concentration of tissue haemoglobin in the mucosal layer associated with dysplastic process attenuates the autofluorescence

This study was aimed to understand the biological basis and role of collagen and elastin in the process of autofluorescence
8.2 Materials and methods

8.2.1 Endoscopic equipment

The autofluorescence endoscope (GIF-240FZ, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) has 2 separate CCDs, one for WLE and one for AFI and the process of producing autofluorescence image is described in chapter 7 under materials and methods. The video processor (XCV-260 HP3P, Olympus) receives the reflected light and constructs a pseudo colour image on the basis of the total autofluorescence and green reflectance.

8.2.2 Mouse colon cancer model (APC\textsuperscript{min} mouse)

Formalinised colonic specimens from Apc\textsuperscript{min} mice were used for this study as there are currently no acceptable animal models for Barrett’s neoplasia. Familial adenomatous polyposis is a rare condition associated with multiple gastrointestinal polyposis and nearly 100% risk of colorectal cancer in humans. This is caused by a germline mutation in the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) gene\textsuperscript{224}. Min (multiple intestinal neoplasia) is a mutant allele of the murine Apc locus, which encodes a nonsense mutation at codon 850\textsuperscript{225}. These APC\textsuperscript{min} strain are highly susceptible to intestinal adenoma and cancer formation. It is reported that nearly 100% of the APC\textsuperscript{min} heterozygotes who are fed with high fat diet would develop more than 30 adenomas and they die by 120 days\textsuperscript{226}.

The APC\textsuperscript{min} mice used in the study were taken from a study approved by the Nottingham Research Ethics Committee on the effect of chemo preventive agents
on colonic cancer formation and were in the placebo arm of the study. As part of
the ethically approved protocol these mice were sacrificed at 12, 18 and 24 weeks
and their colons preserved in formalin for histopathological analysis. These
specimens were used for imaging and histopathological studies. No additional
mice were sacrificed or used for the purpose of this study. A total of 30 mice
colons of which 10 sacrificed at 12 weeks, 10 sacrificed at 18 weeks and another
10 mice colons sacrificed at 24 weeks were used in the study.

8.2.3 Mice colonic imaging

The mice colons were stored in labelled formalin containers with details of the
time of sacrifice of the animals. The mice colons were imaged according to the
age at sacrifice. 10 each of the colons from 12 weeks, 18 weeks and 24 weeks were
imaged. These colons were dissected along the length to open the mucosal layer
and pinned down to the board exposing the mucosal layer prior to imaging.

The images were obtained using a GIF 240 FZ gastroscope (Olympus medical,
Keymed UK) connected to a Olympus Lucera Spectrum processor (Olympus
Keymed, UK) with a red-green-blue sequential illumination xenon light (XCLV
260HP) and a high definition monitor (Olympus OEV181H). All images were
captured with a Sony HD video GV HD700E and stored as JPEG files (300 Kb,
1280 X 1024 pixel arrays). The original files were used for further analysis
without any modification to the resolution of the images. Corresponding white
light and autofluorescence images were obtained. Only AFI images were used for
further evaluation.
8.2.4 Image analysis using software

A freely downloadable software called ImageJ (Image processing and analysing in Java) version 4.3 (National Institute of Health (NIH), USA) was used to do analysis of the mice colonic autofluorescence images. This software is a public domain java image processing programme which was inspired from NIH image which was developed by research services branch (RSB). The colonic images were opened with ImageJ. They were cropped to include only the colonic part and excluded the mounting board and needle points. The image was split into red, green and blue channels. Free hand technique was used to identify the area of lesion in the red and green channels, followed by marking a similar sized area of the background from the macroscopically normal area on white light imaging in the red and green channels. The autofluorescence ratio and index were then calculated. First of all the red to green ratio of the lesion was measured by dividing the mean colour tone of the lesion in the red channel to that of the mean green channel (AF ratio). Similarly, the red to green ratio of the normal background was measured in the red and green images. The AF ratio of the lesion to the background value was taken as the Autofluorescence index or colour contrast index (CCI).

8.2.5 Staining mice colons for elastin and collagen

The mice colons were stored in formalin after AF imaging and were subsequently embedded into paraffin and cut into sections including the lesions and the background of the imaged colon. These sections were stained with Verhoeff’s Van
Gieson (EVG) stain. The principle of this staining technique is not well known. It is hypothesised that hydrogen bond is formed between certain parts of elastic fibre and phenolic group of resorcin in the EVG stain. Elastin is stained blue-black and the collagen fibres appear as red (Figures 1-4). The rest of the tissue including muscle fibres would appear yellow.

8.2.6 Quantifying the amount of collagen and elastin with ImageJ

The amount of collagen and elastin was quantified using ImageJ software. A plug-in known as ‘threshold colour’ plug-in was installed into the software for analysis. The EVG stained colonic images were magnified up to 20 times and were opened by ImageJ. All images were standardised with regards to the resolution and size. The original images were 24 bit in RGB format. For the purpose of analysis, they were changed to 8 bit on the ImageJ software. The images were then analysed using the ‘threshold’ where the area of interest would appear in red. Prior to measurement it was confirmed that the ‘red’ area includes both the elastin and collagen as depicted in the original images (stained in red and blue-black) (Figure 5, 6). Analysis was done by ‘analyse particles’ prompt on the software which provides the measure of the percentage of the total image area covered by the measured object and is termed as area fraction. The area fraction in our analysis is a measure of the area of tissue covering collagen and elastin and represents what fraction of the tissue it comprises.
Figure 1: Image of mice colonic lesions stained with EVG, showing collagen stained in red (black arrow) and elastin in blue-black (green arrow)
Figure 2: Image of mice colonic lesions stained with EVG, showing collagen stained in red (black arrow) and elastin in blue-black (green arrow)
Figure 3: Background colonic mucosa stained with EVG stain with collagen in red and elastin in blue-black
Figure 4: Background colonic mucosa stained with EVG stain with collagen stained in red and elastin in blue-black
Figure 5: ImageJ software with RGB image converted to a 8-bit image before measuring the area fraction of collagen and elastin (screen shot)
Figure 6: The 8 bit image is analysed with 'threshold colour' marking the areas of interest in red and analysing the area fraction (screen shot)
8.2.7 Statistical analysis

The descriptive statistics are expressed as mean and standard deviation. The comparison of means was done using non-parametric tests of significance (Man-Whitney U test) taking into account the relatively small number of cases. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

8.3 Results

A total of 30 formalinised mice colons from APC\textsuperscript{min} mice were included in the study. These colonic specimens were that of mice sacrificed at various ages, 10 each sacrificed at 12 weeks, 18 weeks and 24 weeks.

8.3.1 Quantifying autofluorescence in normal colon of APC\textsuperscript{min} mice sacrificed at different time points

The autofluorescence ratio as defined by the ratio of red to green colour tone of the normal colonic mucosa among the 12 weeks, 18 weeks and 24 weeks mice colons were compared (table 1). The mean (± standard deviation) AF ratio of macroscopically normal colonic mucosa from APC\textsuperscript{min} mice sacrificed at 12 weeks was 0.36 ± 0.06, 18 weeks was 0.39 ± 0.05 and 24 weeks was 0.47 ± 0.07. There was a statistically significant increase in the AF ratio between 12 and 24 weeks (p=0.003) and between 18 and 24 weeks (p=0.005) but no significant difference between 12 and 18 weeks (p=0.18).
Table 1: Autofluorescence ratio (red/green tone) of background mice colonic mucosa and mice colonic lesions of different ages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of mice colons</th>
<th>AF ratio of background colonic mucosa (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>AF ratio of Colonic lesions (mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 weeks</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>12 Vs 18= 0.18</td>
<td>12 Vs 18= 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18 Vs 24= 0.005*</td>
<td>18 Vs 24= 0.015*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 Vs 24= 0.003*</td>
<td>12 Vs 24= 0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD-Standard deviation
Table 2: Area fraction of collagen and elastin in background mice colonic mucosa and colonic lesions of different age groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of mice colons</th>
<th>Area fraction of Collagen and Elastin in background colonic mucosa (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Area fraction of Collagen and Elastin in colonic lesions (mean ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.57</td>
<td>2.19 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 weeks</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.84</td>
<td>3.88 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 weeks</td>
<td>1.54 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.69 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>12 Vs 18= 0.33</td>
<td>12 Vs 18= 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18 Vs 24= 0.97</td>
<td>18 Vs 24= 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 Vs 24= 0.41</td>
<td>12 Vs 24= 0.01*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD-Standard deviation
8.3.2 Autofluorescence in mice colonic lesions from different ages

The autofluorescence ratio of the colonic lesions among the 12 weeks, 18 weeks and 24 weeks mice colons were compared (table 1). The mean (± Standard deviation) autofluorescence ratio for the APC^{min} mice sacrificed at 12 weeks was 0.35 ± 0.05. The mean AF ratio was 0.38 ± 0.05 for colonic lesion in APC^{min} mice sacrificed at 18 weeks. There was no statistical significance between these results (P=0.27). The mean AF ratio for colonic lesions in APC^{min} mice sacrificed at 24 weeks was 0.45 ± 0.05, which was significantly higher than that at 12 weeks (p=0.001) and 18 weeks (p=0.015).

8.3.3 Elastin and collagen staining

The area fraction of collagen and elastin in mice colonic lesions and normal background areas were compared in the 12, 18 and 24 week old mice colons (table 2). The mean area fraction of elastin and collagen in macroscopically normal background mucosa from APC^{min} mice sacrificed at 12 weeks was (mean ± SD) 1.08 ± 0.57; 18 weeks was 1.41 ± 0.84, and 24 weeks was 1.54 ± 1.0. There was no statistical difference between these groups (p=0.33 for 12 Vs 18 weeks, p=0.41 for 12 Vs 24 weeks and p=0.97 for 18 Vs 24 weeks), even though there was a trend towards increase in the amount of elastin and collagen as the mice colonic age increases.

The area fraction of elastin and collagen of colonic lesions in APC^{min} mice sacrificed at different time points was also compared. The mean area fraction for elastin and collagen from APC^{min} mice sacrificed at 12 weeks was 2.19 ± 1.1, 18
weeks was 3.88 ± 2.5, and for 24 weeks was 4.69 ± 2.6. There was a significant increase in the area fraction of collagen and elastin between 12 weeks and 24 weeks (p=0.01). There was no statistically significant difference between 12 and 18 weeks (p=0.23) as well as 18 and 24 weeks (p=0.33). There was a trend towards an absolute increase in the amount of collagen and elastin as age of the colonic lesions increased.

8.4 Discussion

This study used a well known mouse model for colon cancer (APC\textsuperscript{min}) for quantification of autofluorescence and to compare the ratio of AF to reflected light intensity with the amount of collagen and elastin in the submucosal tissue. The APC\textsuperscript{min} mice colons were chosen as they are well known and well characterised mouse model for intestinal neoplasia. The genetic and morphological changes in the normal as well as neoplastic mucosa have been reported before \textsuperscript{225,227,228}. There are no similar animal models for Barrett’s early neoplasia to perform such a study; hence the APC\textsuperscript{min} mouse colon model was used.

The difference in autofluorescence detected between normal and dysplastic lesions in the GI tract is not well established. Previous studies on colonic tissue have identified strong fluorescence in the submucosal layer, which could correspond to the amount of collagen and elastin. No difference in fluorescence was found at the mucosal layer between normal and abnormal tissue. Thus it was hypothesised that the decreased fluorescence found in dysplastic and neoplastic tissue could be due to the screening effect of mucosal thickening or due to replacement of submucosal...
layer by cancer cells thus reducing the amount of collagen\(^{220}\). This study was performed on human colonic tissue obtained from resection of lesions. Another study performed on whole colonic crypts and short term primary cultures of epithelial cells attributed the difference in autofluorescence to the intrinsic numbers of mitochondria and lysosomes. This study did not examine the role of submucosal collagen as only epithelial tissue was isolated for the study\(^{229}\).

In our study, we used formalinised mice colons for imaging followed by assessment of the collagen and elastin in the submucosal layer. This study has clearly identified an increase in autofluorescence ratio (red/green tone) and a reduction in autofluorescence as the age of the mice colon increases. The background mucosa of these genetically modified mice showed a reduction of fluorescence between 12 and 24 weeks and 18 and 24 weeks which clearly implies that as the colon becomes genetically abnormal and with increasing age the autofluorescence decreases. This was true in case of colonic lesions in the mouse model as well and as age advanced the lesions became less fluorescent which was statistically significant between 12 weeks and 24 weeks as well as 18 weeks and 24 weeks. These findings show that the fluorescence decreases as the dysplasia progresses over the period of time and provides a biological basis for the quantification of autofluorescence from video autofluorescence endoscopy.

Following the AF imaging, the APC\(^{\text{min}}\) mice colons and the lesions were cut into sections and stained with EVG. This demonstrated the amount of collagen in red colour and the elastin in blue black. These stained sections from the lesion and the background was examined to quantify the amount of connective tissue. The
principal finding was that there is an absolute increase in the percentage of tissue area with collagen and elastin in colonic lesions of 12 and 24 week old APC\textsuperscript{min} mice. Interestingly, there was no significant difference in the amount of collagen and elastin in the background mucosa. These findings demonstrate the fact that the reduction in fluorescence and an increase in the autofluorescence ratio in colonic lesions is not due to a reduction in the collagen and elastin. On the contrary, the connective tissue area fraction is more in the colonic lesions as age advances. The difference in autofluorescence between macroscopically normal tissue and tumour is thought to be due to various reasons. This is believed to be either due to changes in the physical structure such as thickening of the epithelium or due to tumour tissue, secondary to changes in the blood volume or due to the changes in the content of various fluorescent substances in the tissue like flavins and NADH in the mucosa or collagen in the sub mucosa\textsuperscript{230-232}. However the study by Izuishi et al found that, in colonic specimens there was no difference between the amount of flavins in the normal and diseased tissue. There was strong fluorescence detected in the colonic sub mucosal layer using fluorescence microscopy and this was from the collagen content of the tissue. The reduction in fluorescence in neoplastic tissue was thought to be due to either a reduction in collagen due to tumour infiltration or due to changes in the physical structure such as thickening of the mucosal layer due to the neoplastic process\textsuperscript{220}. In our study we have demonstrated that the actual amount of collagen and elastin increases with increasing age of the colonic lesions with a reduction in fluorescence. The most likely explanation for the reduction in autofluorescence in the colonic lesions would be due to the
mucosal thickening associated with the lesion which attenuates the
autofluorescence as the light could not penetrate through the thickened mucosa to
reach the collagen and elastin.

8.4.1 Limitations of the study

This study was performed on formalinised mice colons from 12, 18 and 24 weeks
old APC$^{\text{min}}$ mice. The autofluorescence of tissue depends on various factors
including presence of blood flow and mucosal flavins and NADH. This study was
intended to assess autofluorescence and its correlation with submucosal collagen
and elastin. Because we used formalinised tissue we could not assess the effect of
blood flow, haemoglobin content or assess the amount of other autofluorescent
substances in the tissue.

8.4.2 Conclusions

The ratio of fluorescent to reflected light reduces with increasing age of the
APC$^{\text{min}}$ mice in both the macroscopically normal colonic mucosa and colonic
lesions which supports the hypothesis that AF reduces with worsening dysplasia.
The amount of sub mucosal collagen, which is known to be the strongest tissue
fluorophores, increases in the colonic lesions of APC$^{\text{min}}$ mouse as age advances.
The reduction in AF is unlikely to be due to reduction in collagen but could very
well be due to physical changes to the mucosal structure such as increased
thickening due to the neoplastic process. Further studies are needed of AF imaging
of pre-operative adenocarcinoma/dysplasia patients with Barrett’s oesophagus and
correlate this with the submucosal collagen in the resected specimens.
CHAPTER 9

CONCLUSIONS AND CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS
9.1 The role of multimodal imaging in Barrett’s oesophagus

The challenge during Barrett’s surveillance is to identify subtle and inconspicuous lesions at an early stage. This is often difficult as some of the dysplastic lesions are flat. An early intervention either endoscopically or surgically would prevent development of symptomatic cancers. There are various simple techniques which an endoscopist could adopt to improve visualisation such as cleaning thoroughly and using mucolytics to visualise the Barrett’s segment well. However, it is argued that image enhanced endoscopy and high definition endoscopy could improve the yield of dysplasia during Barrett’s surveillance.

This thesis examined the value of different modalities of imaging in Barrett’s oesophagus. The endoscopy systems in the modern world have significantly improved in resolution and picture quality. By altering the light wavelengths and introducing filters, we are able to offer narrow band imaging and autofluorescence imaging to utilise the tissue characteristics and thus identify subtle changes in mucosal architecture.

The resolution of endoscopes has improved significantly over the past decade. The first study utilised a regular Barrett’s surveillance population to investigate whether there is a difference in identifying dysplasia between high definition and standard definition endoscopy. This is a retrospective analysis of clinical data obtained from Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust. There was no significant difference between the HD and SD group in terms the age and gender of the patients. The HD endoscopy was found to be superior to SD endoscopy in targeted detection of all dysplasia and detection of dysplasia with random and
targeted biopsies combined. We have included indefinite for dysplasia in this group which could be the reason for the significant differences seen. However, there was no difference between HD and SD in detecting HGD/cancer. This could be due to the fact that the absolute number of cases with HGD/cancer was small in both groups. It is difficult to conclude whether HD endoscopy is superior to SD in Barrett's surveillance based on this small retrospective study; however HD system could be used wherever available as a preferred option for BO surveillance.

Narrow band imaging is a technique used to highlight the mucosal vascular patterns by increasing the contribution of blue light. The pit patterns are also distinctly seen and there are various studies which investigated the accuracy of various pit patterns and vascular patterns in predicting dysplasia in Barrett's oesophagus. NBI with magnification (NBI-Z) of the suspicious areas could provide significant details of the mucosal surface patterns. A meta-analysis showed that the accuracy of this technique is more than 95% when analysed both on a per-patient and per-lesion analysis for characterising HGD/cancer. The studies included are all from centres of excellence and performed on an enriched Barrett's population. However, NBI-Z could be a valuable tool to characterise a lesion prior to target biopsying and also to examine suspicious and subtle lesions. This study does not address whether detection of dysplasia is superior with NBI as there is a paucity of literature on this aspect.

Autofluorescence endoscopy is a relatively new technique which is proposed to be useful as a red flag technique to detect areas of dysplasia and these lesions could be closely observed with magnification endoscopy or NBI for further
characterisation. This would be an ideal technique for wide field imaging especially in long segment Barrett’s to focus areas of abnormality. A meta-analysis was performed to identify the incremental yield of AFI over white light endoscopy in detecting dysplastic lesions. AFI showed a 49% increased yield of HGD/cancer and 46% incremental yield for detecting all dysplasia over WLE. This is at the expense of detecting significant number of false positive areas. Nonetheless, AFI is a valuable tool to improve the yield of dysplasia, particularly if it is possible to define what constitutes a true positive AFI signal.

A true positive AF signal was proposed to be magenta in colour with opaque intensity, away from gastric folds and that shows mucosal irregularities in white light endoscopy. These criteria were included in an inter-observer study including 74 sets of white light and AFI images of corresponding areas of Barrett’s oesophagus. A training presentation was offered to both AFI experts an non-experts followed by scoring the images. Among experts, the inter-observer agreement was only fair to moderate when AF images were shown which improved when white light images were also displayed. The overall accuracy in identifying dysplasia was modest. This study clearly shows the need for improvement in this technique which could be achieved by improving technical aspects or by creating an objective measure of the autofluorescence intensity.

An objective way to assess the AF intensity of an area of interest is by measuring the ratio of the red to green colour tone (AF ratio) and when the AF ratio of the lesion to that of background is calculated, this is called AF index. A pilot study was performed using 82 AF images from patients with Barrett’s oesophagus
which was correlated with histology. A cut off value of 1.32 for the AF index was selected arbitrarily, based on the receiver operating curve of several AF indices. This was validated using the same dataset which is the limitation of this study, but this has raised the potential of an objective index which could very well be incorporated to real time endoscopy. Real time prospective studies are necessary to assess the value of such an index.

The biological basis of the phenomenon of autofluorescence is debatable. Various fluorophores in the gastro-intestinal tract were implied. The strongest fluorophores are thought to be submucosal collagen and elastin. It is well known that the autofluorescence reduces when tissue becomes dysplastic. It is not clear whether this reduction in fluorescence is due to a reduction of collagen and elastin in the submucosal tissue or due to the mucosal thickness reducing the fluorescence. A genetically modified mouse colonic model was used as Barrett’s animal models are not available. The colonic lesions were imaged with autofluorescence endoscopy and the AF ratio was calculated as described earlier. This was followed by staining for collagen and elastin. This study showed that the ratio of fluorescent to reflected light reduces with increasing age of the APC\textsuperscript{min} mice, both in the macroscopically normal colonic mucosa and in colonic lesions. This supports the hypothesis that AF reduces with worsening dysplasia. The amount of sub mucosal collagen, which is known to be one of the strongest tissue fluorophores, increases in the colonic lesions of APC\textsuperscript{min} mouse as age advances. The reduction in AF is unlikely to be due to reduction in collagen but could very well be due to physical
changes to the mucosal structure such as increased thickening due to the neoplastic process.

In conclusion, high definition and autofluorescence imaging improve targeted detection of dysplasia in Barrett’s oesophagus. NBI with magnification has a high accuracy in characterising HGD/cancer in Barrett’s oesophagus. However, none of these techniques could replace random biopsies. There is limited agreement regarding what constitutes a true positive autofluorescence signal. Methods to quantify autofluorescence intensity are likely to reduce the false positive rates of AFI. The intensity of AFI reduces with worsening dysplasia and is likely to be due to thickening of the mucosal layer.
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