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Living with Star Trek investigates the connections between Star Trek fandom and the fictional Star Trek text. This study identifies and examines the American themes of utopia, community and self-improvement inherent within the fictional text which also form the thematic framework for letters written by fans to express their affection for the series. These letters represent a ‘network of support’, whereby a community of fans is able to communicate with each other through written correspondence sent to producers, edited collections, and fan magazines. In talking about the series, fans confess and share intimate stories, often based around trauma or bereavement, and at the same time describe how Star Trek has played an important and inspirational part in their daily lives; Star Trek’s utopian vision and communal spirit has given them the impetus to enact positive change.

Drawing together the themes identified in the text and fan letters, the first half of the thesis examines Star Trek’s use of history, narrative and myth to tell its futuristic stories. In particular, I examine how Star Trek has used the distinctive literary tradition of the Puritan American Jeremiad to create a didactic narrative that emphasises the attainment of utopia through communal effort and personal change. The second half of the thesis continues this inquiry by examining a range of letters that describe how fans are able to tap into the open nature of the Star Trek text and use it to fulfil needs and desires in their own daily lives. In particular, I stress how the letters are not just examples of fan affection but also represent a reciprocal relationship where fans can criticise and engage with the programme as well as use it as a form of motivation.
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INTRODUCTION

Living with Star Trek

“Without freedom of choice there is no creativity. Without creativity there is no life.”


Recognising its various applications and interpretations, Matt Hills has said of Star Trek that “as one of the longest running and most multifaceted programmes in television history, it would indeed be surprising if the Star Trek franchise could ever be convincingly reduced to one coherent and comprehensive ‘reading’.” As a scholar of Star Trek and its fans, I would have to agree that such an achievement would be astonishing – because textual and fan analyses, as I shall point out, are continually influenced and guided by the critic’s own personal investment in the series. Nevertheless, whether or not Hills is right to predict Star Trek’s infinite potential for rereading, I believe that Star Trek can be at least better understood by reducing its reading to a few core themes; not one reason perhaps, but a few important reasons for its continued popularity. The themes I want to advocate in my thesis are utopia, community, and self-improvement; specifically, how the combination of the three can produce an appropriate rationale for Star Trek’s enduring text and popularity with its fans.

What is Star Trek?: A Short History of a Television Phenomenon

What is Star Trek? Many people may wonder why I ask such an obvious question; perhaps they have their own opinion on what it is. However, I believe
that in order to understand the grounds for this thesis one must at least have a
basic understanding of the franchise, its history, and the place it has held as one
of America’s, if not the world’s, important television programmes. Before
going back to the beginning I want to discuss more recent developments in the
franchise, namely the latest series Star Trek: Enterprise.\(^2\) After the screening of
the pilot episode “Broken Bow” in 2001 many fans and journalists hailed the
series as a bold attempt at returning to Star Trek’s roots; a concentration on
space exploration and human achievement. A return to the fictional past of the
Star Trek universe would give fans a glimpse of how the future started – how
Captain Kirk got his wings. After mixed reviews for the first and second
seasons the producers, Rick Berman and Brannon Braga, decided to take
Enterprise in another direction. Instead of having stories that showed the crew
exploring and discovering new worlds, they would have a new mission – to
find and destroy an alien super weapon that was threatening to obliterate Earth.
Like Kirk with the Klingons and Picard the Borg, Captain Archer would face
off against the duplicitous and violent Xindi. With such a plot, concentrated on
the pursuit of galactic terrorists intent on destroying humanity, critics believed
the producers were mirroring recent developments in America’s war on terror
and in Iraq. Whether Berman and Braga wanted to encourage those theories to
help advertise the third season was largely insignificant, what was more
important to them was the fact Enterprise had been failing in the ratings for
quite some time.\(^3\)

At present Enterprise is under threat of cancellation, something which
has not affected a Star Trek series for over thirty years. On the one hand this
can be seen as a devastating blow to the millions of fans who continue to watch
Star Trek, both old and new, and if the series is to be cancelled then it may trigger the start of a decline in the franchise which currently boasts around $4 billion in earnings over the last four decades. However, on the other hand, the current predicament is not new to Star Trek. In 1967, when the first series was entering its second season, it too was facing cancellation. Only after a successful fan-led campaign did it go on to complete a third and final season. What the contemporary problems really intimate are that Star Trek has had a history of threatened cancellations and problems with image: Enterprise is not the first series to face criticism and commercial failure. What I want to show in the next few paragraphs is that current trends may not be unique to Star Trek but as a franchise it continues to have a unique place in the history of US television.

Gene Roddenberry, an ex-pilot and Los Angeles police officer from El Paso, created Star Trek in response to his increasing lack of success in securing long-term television show writing contracts. After reading Arthur C. Clarke, he decided to write and pitch a science fiction series that would allow him “to talk about love, war, nature, God, sex” and the censors would pass it “because it all seemed so make-believe.” In 1964 NBC let him begin production on the first of three pilot scripts; “The Cage” was to be the first in a series of programmes charting the missions of the Starship Enterprise and her crew. After negative feedback from the network Roddenberry was persuaded to make another pilot, a more action orientated adventure where the audience could see a brave captain heroically command his ship. As a result “Where No Man Has Gone Before” was aired in 1966 with William Shatner as Captain Kirk; “The Cage” was never screened as an entire episode and was instead used as flashback
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scenes for a two part episode called “The Menagerie” (1966). The controversy over the direction Roddenberry had to take with the pilot alludes to the conflicting themes that remain at the heart of Star Trek’s ethos. The network wanted to emphasise the rugged individualism of the Captain, he was supposed to be a role model for America’s New Frontier. Roddenberry wanted to emphasise diversity within a community, he had a female first officer and an alien science officer working together and alongside more typical WASP characters. Instead of cancelling each other out these dichotomous interpretations of humanity’s future became the main focus of the original series: It was to be a series that promoted individual success and achievement through space travel as well as promote diversity and equality within a utopian future. Even after eventual cancellation and many years absent from the television screen Star Trek continued to stand for those apparently incompatible attitudes, and as a result its fans understood the series to be about the individual and communal pursuit of utopia.

When Kirk and Uhura shared the first televised inter-racial kiss in the episode “Plato’s Stepchildren” (1968) Star Trek’s reputation as a liberal science fiction series was sealed. Nichelle Nichols, the African-American actress who played the beautiful communication officer, remembers in her autobiography how much her character helped to address and critique racism just by her presence on the bridge. A true story, one which has become as much a part of the Star Trek mythos as Uhura herself, describes how Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. told her not to leave the show because she had become a role model for black people everywhere. Nichols quotes King as saying,
“You must not leave. You have opened a door that must not be allowed to close. I’m sure you have taken a lot of grief, or probably will for what you are doing. But you changed the face of television forever. For the first time, the world sees us as we should be seen, as equals, as intelligent people – as we should be. There will always be role models for Black children; you are a role model for everyone.”

Both the kiss and this story mean a lot to both fans and critics. In her autobiography Nichols goes on to describe how a white Southern gentlemen wrote to her and said that he did not agree with the mixing of the races but because she was so beautiful no one could resist kissing her, especially the red-blooded Kirk. Whenever Nichols attends a convention or gives an interview, fans eagerly anticipate her story; it has become part of the mythical retelling of franchise history. As much as anything, the continued retelling or rehashing of Star Trek history speaks to the fans’ passion for the text and its continual influence on their daily lives. The same can be said of the famous letter campaign that was organised by fans to help save the show from being axed in 1967. This event has added significance for those who study audiences as it is the first time that such fan involvement overtly changed the minds of network executives. For some critics, however, these examples of Star Trek’s liberalism are all too often relied upon as guides to what Roddenberry was trying to mediate in the sixties. They point out that the kiss in the episode was actually forced upon Kirk and Uhura as torture by a malevolent alien being, and that their lips never really touched. For them, this probably says more about Star Trek’s attitude towards race than anything else does: It never went far enough; it did not live up to its promise. The same can be said of the letter
campaign; the promise of a better third season, with more action and character orientated stories, meant network executives were always going to commission more episodes.

The original series lasted three seasons, from 1966 to 1969, and after a second letter campaign failed to save the show fans were left to rewatch and relive the seventy nine episodes on syndicated television. The ten year period after Star Trek’s cancellation was a defining moment both in franchise history and the history of audience studies. More and more fans came to watch the series for the first time in the early seventies, expecting a supply of new episodes when the seventy nine ran out. Unfortunately, this was not the case and only a short-lived animated series in 1974 kept them entertained. What did emerge from this period in Star Trek history are the fanzine and the organised convention, the first being held in New York in 1972, where fans could write stories and for the first time meet up with other fans from around the country to discuss their favourite episodes and buy merchandise. It was also at this time that Susan Sackett, Roddenberry’s production assistant from the original series, began to compile letters that had been sent to Roddenberry regarding the fans’ love of Star Trek. These letters formed the basis for Sackett’s Letters to Star Trek (1977) and are some of the sources I examine in Parts Three and Four of this thesis. Alongside Sackett’s project of collecting together fan correspondence, there was a real drive to create a fan culture centred on the series’ and Roddenberry’s liberal humanitarianism. Out of this fan culture grew a renewed calling for more Star Trek; what was originally going to be a second series starring most of the original cast, Star Trek: The Motion Picture (1979) was released as a big budget movie to counteract and
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feed off the hype created by Star Wars (1977). During the early eighties the almost yearly big screen versions of Star Trek kept fans absorbed and helped the franchise remain ever-present in the American media. The only thing that was missing was a weekly series on television.

In 1987 Star Trek: The Next Generation (TNG) was introduced to the world with Rick Berman as Producer and Gene Roddenberry now acting as Executive Producer. This series was initially received with some trepidation because fans were unhappy that a new crew was aboard the famous Enterprise. In narrative terms it was also set two hundred years after the original series which meant there was no possibility that the original cast could appear further down the line (apart from dabbling with the timeline). It is interesting to note that after the first two seasons TNG was also under pressure to be cancelled, like Enterprise it did not seem that there was an audience for the adventures of a new ship and crew. However, after the screening of “The Best of Both Worlds” (1990) as a season cliff-hanger, fans became more enthusiastic and began to realise that the possibilities TNG offered in the way of fictional narrative. New stories meant that not only could they see how the future would be after Kirk’s time but also they would have more back-story in which to immerse themselves. The series went from strength to strength as the characters were allowed to develop and interact with others in ways which were denied to the original crew. As the seasons progressed the franchise built upon its fanbase by continuing to release movies starring Shatner, Nimoy, and the rest, as well as having a weekly series. In 1993 this was compounded further by the creation of Star Trek: Deep Space Nine (DS9). Contrary to the continued adventures of the original and new Enterprise, DS9 was set on a
space station. Producers broke the mould by having an African-American actor play the lead role and it offered a third dynamic setting in which a core group of characters could learn and grow as friends. Even without Roddenberry’s involvement – he died in 1991 – DS9 continued to espouse the original themes of individualism and self-improvement within a supportive community. TNG came to end in 1994, after seven successful seasons, with the promise of a new series of movies that would follow Star Trek VI: The Undiscovered Country. DS9 did not remain alone for long because the fourth Star Trek series, Star Trek: Voyager, began in 1995 with the pilot episode “Caretaker”. Again producers made ‘history’, this time by making a woman the captain of the Starship Voyager.

Evident in all of the Star Trek sequels is Roddenberry’s utopian future, a depiction of what humanity will achieve if it fulfils its potential and stops infighting and starting wars. Enterprise’s ethos is no different, in fact being set only a hundred years in the future gives viewers the chance to see how humanity made that leap to the utopian future first seen in 1964. Whether Enterprise continues for another four seasons, matching the seven from TNG, DS9, and Voyager remains to be seen, but what is significant is that the patterns of possible cancellation, concern, and fan activity continue to characterise the franchise. If one can say that Star Trek is losing audience appeal on American television, not a new occurrence, then one could also theorise that it is in fact enlarging its audience and fanbase internationally. Fans around the world number in their millions and recent franchise developments have helped secure that popularity. Star Trek has gone on the road with world tours that combine the latest in digital technology and interactive gaming with the props and
costumes from the various series and movies. These “experiences” bring the Star Trek franchise, and Roddenberry’s original message, to millions of people around the globe, giving them the opportunity to see pieces of “future history” not ordinarily available to them. Star Trek has also moved into the theme park genre by opening up walk-through experiences in the Las Vegas Hilton Hotel that cater for the fans’ desire to get closer to their favourite show. As the series continues to change and adapt for a new audience in the 21st Century, so too does the franchise. One would be justified in thinking that this may be a trend that goes against Roddenberry’s ethos, but it also corresponds to certain themes and images that he wanted to promote. He believed the individual communities of the world should forget their differences and live together in peace. As Star Trek begins to transform into a global franchise, albeit primarily concerned with profit, new fans are being introduced to his vision of a utopian future. Consequently, the fictional text is becoming more and more of a template for how fans might achieve that utopia, and, as I will argue in the next section, its binary nature as an open and closed text is becoming increasingly contested in the work of academics who study its devoted audience.

Analysing the Text and Studying the Audience: A Conflict of Interest?

The Star Trek text is a hugely divisive subject; whether one is an academic or a fan, everyone who watches the programme has their own opinions and criticisms, likes and dislikes. Having so many episodes, series, characters, and multiple forms of media presentation (film, television, books) Star Trek is able to offer its audience a myriad of opportunities to immerse itself in the fictional narrative. Purely in terms of longevity, Star Trek offers audiences of all ages
and generations something different; similar series (with the exception of Doctor Who (1963-1989)) such as The Twilight Zone (1959-1964, 1985-1989), Lost in Space (1965-1968), Battlestar Galactica (1978-1979), The X-Files (1993-2002), simply do not have the narrative back-story or cultural presence to support a vast multi-generational fanbase. It is because of this that many academics turn to Star Trek to investigate its significance and general patterns of fan culture.

The interpretation of Star Trek’s mission as a meta-narrative of colonialism is well known and has been thoroughly analysed elsewhere. Daniel Bernardi has described Star Trek as a “mega-text: a relatively coherent and seemingly unending enterprise of televsual, filmic, auditory, and written texts.” These texts form the basis for academic studies of Star Trek but rarely do any of the studies go beyond the text and look at how the fans interact with it. Of course there are studies of how fans watch, consume, transform, and use the fictional text for their creative pursuits; analyses of fans’ “textual poaching” form the cornerstone of audience studies. Yet the text as a narrative discourse encompassing social, political, historical, and cultural themes has become the subject of academics who use it as a sanctuary for their own theoretical work on the dangers of television, science fiction, and cult fandom. Their interpretations of what they believe to be the true reading of the mega-text exclude fan readings purely because they deem fans as having too much investment in the text to be critical of it – their views are not valid. Taylor Harrison’s edited collection Enterprise Zones (1996) is overtly critical of the Star Trek mega-text while at the same time lacking in crucial empathy for the intertextual elements of Star Trek that make for its appeal to fans.
example, analyses of Star Trek’s treatment of race, in this case African Americans, rely heavily on examinations of specific episodes that deal with racial prejudice and colour – one would be right in thinking these are suitable places to start. However, these studies stop short of incorporating African-American audiences and fans and instead concentrate on the social context within which the episodes were received and reasons why the text did not go further in critiquing current racial prejudices. It is my sense that such a reading of the racial elements in the text should be clarified by paying close attention to how the specific audience responded. This would then alleviate the over-emphasis and privileging of individual readings of the mega-text over the fans’ reading.21 After all, the text is not simply a radical response to the social times but rather a complex and contradictory polysemic text that is watched and interpreted by different audiences. Bernardi went some way to doing this in Star Trek and History with a chapter on the Internet and fan interaction with the narrative. Roberta Pearson and Máire Messenger Davies share similar approaches to Bernardi in that they recognise the fans’ own intertextual reading of the text; in this case, when TNG reached its eightieth episode “Legacy” (1990), therefore surpassing the seventy nine original episodes, it was suggested in Picard’s opening log that the Enterprise had passed the planet Camus II last visited by Kirk in the final 1969 episode “Turnabout Intruder”.22 It would appear obvious that such an approach to analysing the text would then provide better evidence to answer the question which all studies, whether textual or audience based, seem to share: What makes Star Trek so popular?

In this study I want to read what fans say about the Star Trek text in written correspondence; this will bring about an understanding of how explicit
narrative themes such as utopia, community and self-improvement are used within the daily and sometimes traumatic routine of life. The material I look at shows how fans are clearly aware of what the text might be saying and they recognise \textit{Star Trek}'s mythical underpinnings and the problems incurred when it sometimes relies too much on outdated and contentious attitudes relating to race, society and identity. Fans continue to regard the text, despite its problems, as one that offers support and counsel in times of emotional and traumatic need. The textual narrative is a guide to everyday life upon which they can rely. As well as entering into debates with other fans about what certain episodes could mean, or which are the best, they recognise central themes that speak to their desire for community and self-improvement and the prospect of a better future. Without being aware of how the fans assimilate the text within their own lives, it is impossible to achieve a clear understanding of what the text could mean. For example, in Part Three of this thesis I will be looking at fan letters that talk about the death of loved ones and how fans use the text as a form of emotional support during periods of bereavement. Some scholars would interpret \textit{Star Trek}'s text to be unreliable when dealing with loss because death is routinely obviated by technology and the fact that characters “cheat death” by regeneration or medical miracle – the plot of \textit{Star Trek III: The Search for Spock} (1984) would suggest that death and getting over death are not problems in the 23\textsuperscript{rd} century. However, it is my finding that specific reference to bereavement is not the central concern for those who write about losing a son or daughter. Instead, \textit{Star Trek} as a positive mediator for the benefits of community gives fans hope that through communal support and self-help they will overcome their loss and achieve greater individual strength.
In this case the contested nature of the academic text is reconciled through the contexts of real fan experience. Reception study is integral to the process of textual analysis and therefore cannot, and should not, be disregarded by those trained and proficient in literary analysis. As Barbara Klinger notes:

In the early 1980s, Tony Bennett called for a revolution in literary study, in which one would no longer just study the text, but “everything which has been written about it, everything which has been collected on it, becomes attached to it – like shells on a rock by the seashore forming the whole incrustation.”

If we are to assume that fans look at Star Trek thematically, inexorably linking it to notions of felt life experiences, then we must also understand the sorts of themes with which they identify and how those themes form part of a shared national and cultural identity.

National Culture and American Identity: Utopia, Community, Self-Improvement

George Lipsitz, in *Time Passages* (1990), describes mass communication within popular culture as an embodiment “of our deepest hopes” and an engagement with “some of our most profound sympathies.” Popular commercialised leisure pursuits such as jazz, film, and television are modes of history – in that they form a “repository of collective memory that places immediate experience in the context of change over time.” Television in particular performs as a “therapeutic voice ministering to the open wounds of the psyche” through its vocabulary of “emotion and empathy... ritual and repetition.” Lipsitz believes television addresses the inner life by “maximising
the private and personal aspects of existence." 25 As such, one can see Star Trek as speaking to the inner life – addressing the individual on a personal and private level allowing them to relate to its overall utopian message. The letters I examine suggest that there is definite attention paid to the individual possibilities that Star Trek’s fictional text provides its audience. However, Lipsitz is cautious in discussing the prominence of TV in American culture because he believes it helps and hinders public life. Television both nurtures and excludes the individual; giving the opportunity to empathise with people and characters on screen but also focusing attention inward undermining “the psychic prerequisites for a public life.” 26 This turn to the inner-self is not a new development in American culture and it is most definitely not an argument reserved for scholars of popular culture and American Studies. In order to understand the central themes and issues that emerge from within the Star Trek text and fan letters we must appreciate their position within American history at large. Utopia, community, and self-improvement are themes that exemplify the disputed nature of America’s national identity, and, therefore, it is my intention here to flesh out these particular themes within the contexts of American culture.

For the Puritans, travelling to America was part of their divine mission to establish a utopia on God’s Earth in preparation for the Second Coming of Christ. Of course, once they arrived in the New World the Puritan experience with the land and the native “Indians” would go on to transform their particular mission from a community-driven enterprise to one centred on the individual pursuit of happiness. 27 Regardless of this transformation, however, utopia remained the focus for early Americans as it spoke to their desire for change
under exceptional circumstances. For some, America was a utopia — created from a savage wilderness and civilised for the benefit of God’s chosen people. For others, America was far from the utopian world for which the Puritan forefathers had risked their lives. The dichotomous nature of America as realised and failed utopia continued to influence those who held power and was influential in the creation of the American nation after the Revolution and the forming of the United States in 1783. Thomas Jefferson, Benjamin Franklin and the like began to envision America as an exceptional nation, one that the world could look to as an example of the successful transition from feudal commonwealth to independent democracy.28

During the 19th century those who believed that America did not embody their religious and social values, and subsequently felt estranged from society, began to form their own communities. These communal organisations saw themselves as agents for their own creation of utopia in America and often totally withdrew from normal life so as to set up their own villages and communes based on their unique belief systems.29 Utopia could be achieved through balancing the needs of the individual and the community. One can imagine that during this period there were hundreds of “utopias” within America and each one was envisioned by a community dedicated to preserving and prolonging their utopia in fulfilment of their divine mission. What these communities did share was “a faith in the perfectibility of mankind and a belief that the millennium was at hand.” Whether these communities disavowed drinking or promoted free love, they all desired “to bring heaven on earth.”30 Consequently, the utopian nature of American culture in the 19th and early 20th century continued to propagate, eventually resulting in the kinds of utopian
fiction offered by Edward Bellamy’s *Looking Backward* (1888), L. Frank Baum’s *Oz* stories (1900-1920), and early science fiction literature. Star Trek’s vision of an American utopia is part of this tradition and therefore shares many of the same themes associated with bringing “heaven on earth” and having faith “in the perfectibility of mankind.” However, one must remember that Roddenberry’s utopia is also determined by humanity’s willingness to change for the better and the desire for self-help.

In this study I will examine to what extent the *Star Trek* fan letters can be seen as evidence for a supportive community, where fans’ hopes, desires, and traumatic experiences can be expressed, shared and utilised. This community, or what I term “network of support”, relies on the fictional television text as a common frame of reference, relevant *Star Trek* episodes and characters are used as markers for specific emotional and physical experiences. If mass communications mediate the boundaries between the public and the private, then they not only provide images of the world around us and places with which we are not familiar but they also can provide us with the practices and beliefs for a sense of “imagined community.” Within this community the text is a therapeutic aid for fans’ daily lives. However, the act of writing and sharing their letters with other fans – getting them published in a public sphere – is perhaps of greater therapeutic benefit. Realising that the other fans within this community share similar thoughts and experiences is the driving force behind the individual’s emotional, physical, and personal self-improvement. Notions of the supportive community are again not new in American culture, therefore, I want to emphasise how *Star Trek*’s communal appeal is part of wider trends in society.
During the 1950s critics exposed the group-orientated mentality of the suburban middle-class personality, motivated by an extreme desire to belong to a community which would act as a foundation for individual security. David Riesman's *The Lonely Crowd* (1950), the most famous example of 1950s social criticism, identified the bi-polar nature of American character where the individual was either "inner-directed" or "outer-directed". Riesman's "inner-directed" individual has an "internal gyroscope" telling them how to think and act. Their direction in life "is implanted early in life by the elders and directed toward generalized but nonetheless inescapably destined goals." Their reliance on internalised goals is symptomatic of a highly individualised character. In the opposite mode, "outer-directed" people are kept in line by their sensitivity to the expectations and feelings of others; they use "radar" rather than a "gyroscope" to look out not in. "Outer-directed" individuals strive for goals which shift according to the larger group or mass media and their "dependence on [them] for guidance in life is implanted early."

The key point to Riesman's argument was that America was changing from an individualised, authority driven society to one which depended on the peer group and the guidance of mass media, specifically television. People's sense of individualism and self-security was purely based on their position within the conformist group. The American symbol of the "rugged individual" on the frontier gave way to the conformist individual in the suburban neighbourhood, yet, this did not stop America from continuing to use and rely upon mythical images of the frontier and cowboy in popular culture and the media during the Cold War and beyond. *Habits of the Heart* (1985) by Robert Bellah et al. examined similar trends in American society and prescribed a
return to a democratic community that would draw on civic and religious traditions. This was in response to the perceived lack of “social capital” in American life where the American family was becoming very isolated, both geographically and socially. Robert Putnam’s *Bowling Alone* (2000) is a newer sociological comment on modern society, strongly indicating that television is a factor in the decrease in civic participation and increase in individual self-sensitivity. However, Putnam crucially suggests that true citizenship in America relies on the concept of individualism as responsibility; like Riesman’s theory, every society should have strong achievers who strive for the benefit of the whole group. The themes that I look at – utopia, community, individualism – are themes that still have resonance today in America. Since notions of national and cultural identity are becoming increasingly blurred as critics lament America’s fall in and out of communal living and civic mindedness, it should be no surprise that similar trends in community versus the individual find their way into *Star Trek*’s text and the fan letters that engage with it.

**Fan Studies: The Audiences of Star Trek**

Studies of the audience, both film and television, have been widely published in the last two decades. Mark Jancovich and Lucy Faire consider there to be three areas of reception study: The first concerns the audience as a market; the second concerns the intertextual contexts of film reception – how the movies are framed for their audiences; and the third concentrates on the ethnography of the audience, examining personal accounts of people’s relationship to film. As an example of the first area of reception, Douglas Gomery’s *Shared*
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_Pleasures_ (1992) is the most interesting and significant. His study looks at the business of American film exhibition in the early part of the 20th century, even examining in great detail the physicality of the picture palaces and the advancement of technology such as air-conditioning in relation to improved exhibition practices. Reception is seen within the confines of film industry analysis and therefore does not really touch upon notions of audience consumption. However, studies such as Ann Gray’s “Behind Closed Doors: Video Recorders in the Home” (1987) or Dorothy Hobson’s work on _Crossroads_ (1964-1988) intimate that the places and spaces for watching one’s favourite television programmes, particularly soap operas, become centres of relaxation and escape from the daily routine of family and domestic life. In television studies, the physical location of reception and how a text is consumed is just as important to the understanding of the audience as studying how they interpret it. The second area of study looks at the cultural, historical, media, and literary contexts of film reception and it is the work of Janet Staiger that optimises this methodology. However, by looking at the meaning of contexts, Staiger’s approach neglects the meanings taken from the film by the audience and therefore suggests that there is only one true meaning that everyone must share and that it does not and cannot change over time. On the other hand, television is by its very nature a polysemic text. Therefore its popularity, the making or breaking of any particular show, depends on it reaching a wide audience – it must be open. As John Fiske identified in his examination of television detective series _Hart to Hart_ (1979-1984), we cannot predict the meanings audiences take but we can identify the polysemic characteristics and theorize the relation between text and social context. The
polysemy of meaning is as much a power struggle as that of economics or politics yet TV fails to control meaning just as social authority attempts and fails to control oppositional voices.\textsuperscript{42}

The third area of reception studies concentrates on the audience as consumer of the text and therefore provides the closest analysis of the audience’s experience. Studies that take this line focus on the relationship between text and audience, looking at ways in which the audience describe the narrative pleasure they get from watching their favourite film or television program. Samantha Barbas’ \textit{Movie Crazy} (2001) looks at the fans of early Hollywood, specifically those who organised fan clubs for their favourite actors or actresses, and suggests that since the inception of movies into the American cultural mainstream fandom and the cult of celebrity has become an American tradition. Questioning the authenticity of films and their depiction of a real life illusion was essential to fans’ early involvement in the movie industry. Not only were fans eager to see the illusion on screen, they also wanted that illusion to continue in the real world; as such, fan magazines and celebrity photos became integral to the audiences’ relationship with the text.\textsuperscript{43}

A large part of these ethnographic audience studies have focused specifically on the cult fan and especially the fan of \textit{Star Trek}.\textsuperscript{44} The field of fan studies can be seen as a result of what Jancovich and Faire describe as cultural studies’ tendency “to divide the public rather too neatly into two distinct groups – the conformist and the resistant.” The “activity, interest and creativity was to be found in the usually subcultural groups who composed the latter position”, therefore they were the ones who were analysed.\textsuperscript{45} However, Ien Ang’s work on the fans of \textit{Dallas} (1978-1991) and David Morley’s famous study of the
*Nationwide* (1969-1983) audience showed us the diverse nature of TV's audiences and, as with Christine Geraghty's *Women and Soap Opera* (1991), the television text's capacity to represent the boundless personal space of utopian possibility. Many audiences attempt to resist the dominant ideology of the text, what Morley would call the 'oppositional audience', yet there are those that “inflect the preferred meaning... by relating the message to some concrete or situated context which reflects” their own personal interests; these are described as the 'negotiated audience'. It is this type of audience that best exemplifies those fans of *Star Trek* I have chosen to study in this thesis.

Somewhat crudely, one can say that those fans of *Star Trek* who were seen as active and creative participants in the “textual poaching” of the text were the type of fan that routinely formed the basis for fan studies. Nicholas Abercrombie and Brian Longhurst observe that these “studies were concerned to examine the way in which sectors of the audience were active in response to dominant forms of mass media.” Fan activity “represented a form of resistance to the dominant messages contained in texts which on the face of it represented forms of dominant ideology.” The inactive or passive (for want of better words) fans, those who did not openly transform the text in a recognised fashion by writing stories, singing songs, dressing up in costume, drawing artwork, became less important to the study of fan culture and were therefore never included in a comprehensive survey of *Star Trek* fandom. This thesis is an attempt to bring those fans so often overlooked into the spotlight, yet this does not mean I want to divide *Star Trek* fans into the active and passive categories. Instead, I want to separate what one can call their “activities” into those types of grouping. This means that the fans I look at may or may not
participate in documented cult practices such as dressing up and attending conventions, what is important is that they felt the need to convey their thoughts and feelings about *Star Trek* in letter form and share them with other fans. The practice of letter writing thus becomes the activity but it is what the fans say about the so-called “dominant ideology” of the text and how it impacts on their lives that will remain important to this study. Similarly, Sara Gwenllian-Jones and Roberta Pearson have noted that the popularity of the cult television text “stems not from resistance to capitalism but rather from an imaginative engagement with cult television programmes encouraged by” their generic and textual characteristics: internal logics, realistic and archetypal characters, seriality, and fictional worlds where ethical issues can be explored free from the banal problems of everyday life.49 My focus on fans’ thoughts and words comes as a response to those studies which record what fans say about their favourite text but where the author feels the need to explain (or explain away) their comments.50 I acknowledge that there will be an inevitable amount of interpretation of the fan letters but this is due to my attempt to set their comments within the contexts of the three cultural themes identified in *Star Trek* and the letters as a whole.

For the purposes of this study I want to make clear that I will be treating the *Star Trek* text as a single unit, where the series and films constitute one complete package. I do not differentiate between series; not least because I consider them fundamentally the same on a thematic level. But more importantly, the fans and their letters indicate that they do not distinguish between series so readily as most academics. Gene Roddenberry may have had little to do with the franchise during the final years of his life but all series have
taken his original utopian ethos as the blueprint for new projects. This ethos permeates all series in such a way that if an episode is sufficiently different to the norm fans will communicate this in their letters. Roddenberry as a creator figure is embedded deep within the text and therefore, on a thematic level, it would be impossible to separate series in order to analyse their contents. What is more, the letters that engage with the different series talk about and use very simple and universal themes prevalent in all of the *Star Trek* texts. These themes cut across generations too; those who write letters today about *Enterprise* share similar concerns and experiences about the text as did those who wrote to Gene Roddenberry in the very first years of *Star Trek*’s original series. Previous studies of either the series or fans have been very careful to stipulate that they are interested in only one area of the franchise or one particular set of episodes (a trend similar to Matt Hills’ theory of *Star Trek*’s infinite potential for critical rereading). The reasons for this are probably twofold, as well as academic space being a factor – most journals prefer to make a distinction between series when they accept articles on *Star Trek* and there are hardly any critical book-long studies of the entire franchise – I see it mainly resulting from the fact that even though most academics declare their impartiality when analysing *Trek* they in fact make subtle hints as to which series they really prefer and why they consider one worthy of analysis over another.51 This study will look at the three central themes of utopia, community and self-improvement that appear in all series and reappear as modes of engagement in the *Star Trek* fan letters.
“Where everyone ‘has gone before’”: Research on *Star Trek*

*Star Trek* has been the subject of academic study for almost as long as it has been on the television screen. Types of study range from: feminist and psychoanalytic to reception and industrial. Ultimately, what all these studies suggest is that there is something inherently important about *Star Trek* as a televisuval and filmic text and as a consumed and contested cultural product. William Blake Tyrell’s “*Star Trek* as Myth and Television as Mythmaker” (1977) and Karin Blair’s *Meaning in Star Trek* (1977), helped add to the snowballing effect that typified *Star Trek*’s cult popularity in the seventies. The first articles and books tended to look at *Star Trek*’s mythical and narrative parallels, particularly its connections to American cultural myths such as Individualism, the Frontier, and American Exceptionalism. *The American Monomyth* (1977) for example looked at Captain Kirk and explained how he is representative of a particular American individualist heroic ideal. In 1982 Donna Reid-Jeffery wrote, “*Star Trek*: The Last Frontier in Modern American Myth” which continued to explicate the deep and long lasting connections the series had with popular myth in American culture and in 1983 Jane Elizabeth Ellington and Joseph Critelli followed with “Analysis of a Modern Myth: The *Star Trek* Series.” But these were not typical of the studies in the eighties, most were concerned with *Star Trek*’s commentary on sex and gender issues such as Karin Blair’s “Sex and *Star Trek*” (1983), and Anne Cranny-Francis’s “Sexuality and Sex-Role Stereotyping in *Star Trek*” (1985). The new directions that scholars were taking signalled a change in *Star Trek* literature, so much so that in 1984 Harvey Greenberg wrote his celebrated article “In Search of Spock: A Psychoanalytic Inquiry” which, for the first time, looked at
Star Trek as if it were an outlet for the tensions that existed between liberals and conservatives affecting adolescents in the sixties. Spock was singled out as a role model for those teenagers because he reflected the biological turmoil they suffered when trying to control their hormones in a radically changing society.

Thanks to the renewed popularity of TNG, the literature that appeared in the early nineties was geared towards a very general readership. People were now aware of how wide ranging Star Trek’s appeal was and they continued to watch the new adventures of the USS Enterprise with keen interest. A new generation had become fans and they wanted to read about the crew as well as being able to see them on television. This meant that Paramount allowed many books to be published that helped expand the series off screen and fuel the fans’ imagination: Books such as episode compendiums, technical manuals, encyclopaedias, and starship blueprints. After TNG ended in 1994 popular science books became increasingly prevalent; they often tackled questions about Star Trek such as: “Are the transporters real?” and “Is Data alive?” They tried to attribute some legitimate order to much of the fictional, scientific, and technological theories seen on the various series. Lawrence M. Krauss’ The Physics of Star Trek (1995), and the follow up Beyond Star Trek (1997), tried to attach real physics to the science of Star Trek’s future. The two books’ popularity could be ascribed to the fact that they tapped into popular imagination and suggested that all things seen on the series could be achieved – we might not even be alone in the universe. With the backing of high calibre scientific names such as Stephen Hawking, who wrote the foreward to the first book, fans could start believing that their imaginary world might someday
come true. The philosophical implications of the series have also been examined in Richard Hanley’s *The Metaphysics of Star Trek* (1997); a book described as being “a treasured addition to any fan’s *Star Trek* library.” In my opinion the popularity of these books is due to them being both predictors of *Star Trek*’s future history and accepted by fans as a legitimisation of their passion for all things *Trek* – a defence against the perennial claims by non fans that ‘Trekkers’ and ‘Trekkies’ are geeks with no lives. Jeff Greenwald’s *Future Perfect: How Star Trek Conquered Planet Earth* (1998) does look into the lives of the aforementioned ‘Trekkers’ and attempts to attach significance to their passion. He concludes, rather vaguely, that they are all looking for “something”, something that they can only get from the series, but as of yet he has not found out what that “something” could be.

There is a plethora of *Star Trek* audience studies, many of which are based on the active fan. This can be ascribed to the fact that the field of audience and fan studies has grown in recent years. The main focus of these studies is how fans interact with their object of devotion and how they change its text to serve their own purposes. However, there has been a lack of work done on the emotionality of fans, particularly with *Star Trek* fans, which is something I hope to correct with this thesis. The drive behind recent fan studies is to look at them as an extraordinary group, held in a position outside the cultural mainstream in comparison to more conventional television audience studies. Writing slash fiction and making costumes became the focal point for fan research since these activities spoke to certain areas of cultural studies at the time. The study of individual fan behaviour which went against predisposed social and gender norms meant that the more “typical” fan who did not “rebel”
against the text was ignored – it was as if they did not have anything to say about the text, and even if they did it would not be worth studying. For example, Heather Joseph-Witham’s study *Star Trek Fans and Costume Art* (1996) looked at the variety of costumes and accessories fans wore to conventions. She realised that they not only did this to stand out and exhibit their passion for the show, but also so that they could feel more like they were members of a special community. This community could offer them friendship, a family and strong moral ideals within a supportive atmosphere. By dressing up they could help those normally prejudiced against in mainstream American society – women, ethnic minorities, the disabled – express some sort of social empowerment. However, by clearly differentiating between fans that dress up and those who do not but may still attend conventions, Joseph-Witham’s study cannot address the universal nature of *Star Trek* fandom. The subjects in my study acknowledge the varying levels of devoted expression that many fans feel they need to achieve in order to be a fan. Yet this is not as important to them as the messages held within the text that drive their passion for *Star Trek*; whether they dress up or not, these fans express in letter form how the series affects them and how much affection they have for the series. By these actions they become part of a supportive community without having to prove themselves and their level of devotion by dressing up.61

My study will redress the imbalance found in *Star Trek* fan studies since it does not exceptionalise those fans who are more visibly active or are members of distinct fan clubs. Instead, my study will level the playing field and concentrate on what all types of fan have to say about the text and how they engage with it on a more personal and emotional level. This appears to be
Living with *Star Trek*

an area in which scholars of film have already started to work: Peter Krämer’s study of the cultural and social work of family-adventure movies proposes that this genre of film has tried to address the family audience; a film’s narrative helps to open up channels of communication inside and between family and units.\(^{62}\) The act of writing letters is in itself an activity that demarcates boundaries between types of fans; however, I feel that by examining these letters one can get a sense of how *Star Trek* is used within fans’ daily lives and what it means to them more personally. This is far beyond what previous studies have attempted to do since they primarily deal with what remains in the public spotlight. Fan costumes and conventions are routinely exposed and discovered in the media and are taken as representative of the totality of *Star Trek* fan culture. I focus on letters that are not so much in the public gaze and therefore do not correspond to established publishing and media constraints; fans can speak to other fans in an atmosphere of shared textual knowledge and self-awareness. Therefore, there are no boundaries set up between different fans, as there might be at a convention, because all the fans are writing their personal feelings and experiences and sharing in each others’ correspondence. As fans they already have a shared knowledge of the text and the themes of utopia, community, and self-improvement that I examine in this study. Their letters are both illustrative of *Star Trek* fandom’s continued cultural and social work and a new area of fan study: The epistolary of *Star Trek*.

**A *Star Trek* Epistolary: Fan Letter Writing as Social Practice**

Letter writing as a genre has received relatively little attention; however, there has been a focused and gender specific group of studies that analyse the form
and content of the epistolary novel. According to Janet Gurkin Altman, "epistolary literature has only recently become the object of close critical scrutiny" and it is in the field of literary history that it has had the most coverage:

Literary historians who investigate the origins and fortunes of the letter genre necessarily contribute to our general understanding of the rise of the novel itself, since epistolary narrative is primarily a product of that formative era in which the novel staked out its claim to status as a major genre.\(^{63}\)

Further, the epistolary novel is suited to the female voice and as such the epistolary literature of the 17\(^{th}\), 18\(^{th}\) and 19\(^{th}\) century reflects the prominence of the female author.\(^{64}\) This is no surprise, since the only socially acceptable form of writing open to women at that time was the letter. Thus the content of these letters, both in literature and non-fiction format, reflected the position of women in domestic life: "They spoke in the private voice appropriate to women whose roles were increasingly circumscribed within the constraints of bourgeois ideology."\(^{65}\) However, the non-fiction letter has remained outside the parameters of detailed critical study and been placed within a genre hierarchy where they are "merely supplementary to the literary texts being analyzed."\(^{66}\) My study will do the opposite to this hierarchical scheme and place the letters at the heart of textual analysis; fan letters will be both the texts analysed and the means through which I analyse Star Trek's thematic roots.

For David Barton and Nigel Hall, letter writing should be viewed "as a social practice, examining the texts, the participants, the activities and the artefacts in the social contexts," and this is how I shall approach my study.\(^{67}\)
Letters have always provoked discussion and resulted in response, whether verbal or written, and can therefore be described as open texts. In this sense they are not products of solitary experience but are instead significant community practices. The content of the letter is often personal and reflects individual lives, but, as in the case of the letters I study here, the content is dependant on a *Star Trek* text that is open to millions of fans worldwide; reading through that text, people can share similar experiences and emotions. Reading these letters “requires acts of imagination and empathy” whereby we recognise the contexts in which the “vulnerability, sorrow, folly, and crudity, as well as the invention, eloquence, and lyricism, that such conditions bring out.”

William Merrill Decker’s study of letter writing in America before the age of mass telecommunications is a unique study of how the act of writing and reading letters created a space for communication desperately required by people living in a large and, above all, still untamed nation. His analysis covers correspondence from some of America’s most famous men and women: Christopher Columbus, John Winthrop, Benjamin Franklin, Thomas Jefferson, Ralph Waldo Emerson, and Emily Dickinson. With such an illustrious archive of letter writers Decker’s work falls into the category of maintaining genre hierarchies; he examines the collected letters of prominent figures rather than normal letter practices of society as a whole. However, the overall themes of his study have some relevance to what I am concentrating on in my work. Epistolary writing, he quotes Bruce Redford as saying, “‘fashions a distinctive world at once internally consistent, vital, and self-supporting.’” Letters are a social genre that enables people to organise and cultivate relations and provides a space to imagine “the ways in which one may exist in reciprocity with
The purpose of my study is to show how much the utopian *Star Trek* text enables organisation and cultivation of relationships within the fan community, and as a result, its epistolality provides fans a space within which they can share experiences and emotions analogous to a narrative of self-help and social betterment.

Unlike previous studies of the *Star Trek* audience, this study will look at what fans have to say about the text and how they use it in their daily lives. Fan letter writing as a social practice is an untouched area within audience studies. It is important to remember that the letters I look at were often written to Gene Roddenberry since he was the man whose vision of the future offered inspiration to fans. Later letters, received after his death, often speak of Roddenberry’s vision as still relevant to their own lives; therefore, in some senses he has not really died, fans now send their mail to magazines and fan publications instead. The readership for these letters changed from a personal correspondence with Roddenberry to one that incorporated other fans with numerous experiences and opinions. Overall, the collected letters I use in my study signal the development of a *Star Trek* community that works in parallel with the franchise and helps to support other fans who feel affected by the themes and messages contained within the text.

**The Thesis**

Part One of my thesis examines the historical, narrative, and mythic roots of the *Star Trek* text, thereby highlighting the themes of utopia, community and self-improvement important to my study. More specifically, Chapter One includes a short history of *Star Trek* fandom and how its text has become a
form of alternate reality for its fans. This reality is founded on a “history of the future” that to all intents and purposes has become a verifiable prediction of what the future will be like. Of course, this vision of a utopian future is the blueprint so often referred to by fans in their letters examined in Chapter Six.

Chapter Two examines the literary roots of Star Trek’s story-telling in that the series make much use of common cultural narratives to communicate their own form of historical discourse. Using Hayden White’s work on the typology of rhetorical figures of speech, I analyse the types of narrative Star Trek uses for its representation of history and the theoretical underpinnings that form the basis of its popularity and diverse appeal.72 Moving on from the literary to the mythical, in Chapter Three I compare Star Trek’s use of American myth in relation to another science fiction phenomenon, the Star Wars trilogy. Using, building upon, and updating the work done on Star Trek and myth in texts such as Jewett and Lawrence’s The American Monomyth, I posit that the franchise applies myth in a different way to Star Wars by setting its narrative in the future rather than the past.73 This makes myth relevant to the audience, turning the series into the enabling fiction that, as I investigate in Chapters Seven and Eight, fans adapt and use in their daily lives.

Part Two forms the basis for a detailed textual study of a specific American foundational narrative: The American Jeremiad. I concentrate on how both the literary form of the jeremiad and the Star Trek text refer back to the past in order to prophesy a better future. Promoting a particular kind of American history, I maintain that the Puritan experience is replicated in three of the Star Trek movies made in the late 1980s. Notions of self-improvement and self-help become increasingly important to both the Puritan errand and
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*Star Trek's* utopian message and I want to contextualise this trend in the *Star Trek* text so as to highlight how and where fans recognise similar themes when they write their letters. Consequently, Chapter Four is a historical study of *Star Trek's* links to the jeremiad and the particular narrative created when using such a literary trope. Using Sacvan Bercovitch's analysis of the jeremiad in his seminal work *The American Jeremiad* (1978), I posit that *Star Trek* acts as a marker for Americans, just as the jeremiad did for the Pilgrim Fathers and their descendants, providing them with guidance and encouragement in their lives. Chapter Five is a textual analysis of three feature films: *Star Trek IV The Voyage Home* (1986), *Star Trek V: The Final Frontier* (1989), and *Star Trek VI: The Undiscovered Country*. I theorise that these films represent a “tri-part jeremiad”, referring specifically to the social, historical, and self-improvement message they transmitted to an audience in the late eighties and their response to a unique political climate influenced by the end of the Cold War and Ronald Reagan’s Presidency.

Part Three of my thesis focuses on fan letters, investigating the ways in which American fans talk about and use the themes of utopia, community and self-improvement. These letters create a “network of support” that offers a nurturing atmosphere through which fans can express their feelings, emotions and experiences interpreted through the universal framework of the *Star Trek* text. Chapter Six on utopia and social change examines letters that describe Gene Roddenberry’s depiction of utopia and how fans feel that his vision was and still is an attainable goal for their own lifetimes. Fans define the series as a blueprint for solving existing social problems in America such as racism, poverty, and war. In their letters, fans see the series as representing a utopian
future that exists in contrast to the dystopian future to which existing social Problems will lead. I use and adapt Richard Dyer’s model of utopia in entertainment to contextualise the contents of these letters. The concept of communal achievement is carried on further in Chapter Seven where I look at the various ways fans use and decipher the Star Trek text to help cope with traumatic experiences in their lives: In particular bereavement, illness, and disability. These stressful, momentous, yet often inevitable, life experiences are dealt with by a close personal affinity with the fictional text; often specific episodes speak to individual needs. I maintain that fans who have written about their traumatic experiences do so to find a voice. This voice articulates the level of grief suffered and is typically hard to share in public. However, with so many similar stories in circulation people write these letters in an attempt to become part of a special community, one that offers support through a common dialogue based on the Star Trek fan experience. Introducing and adapting the work of Robert Putnam and Robert Wuthnow, in relation to the decline of community in America, will be integral to my analysis of the merits of Star Trek’s community of support. If Chapter Seven uncovers an environment where fans are beginning to get over troubled periods in their lives then Chapter Eight looks at fans who recount how Star Trek, and even individual characters such as Data, Seven of Nine or Captain Janeway, provided inspiration for a personal change for the better. I compare and contrast the letters in a framework of self-help narratives and draw particular attention to how these letters perform similar tasks to the talk-show. Finding a public voice is a hard yet rewarding goal for fans who believe that Star Trek has inspired them and that Roddenberry’s utopian vision is achievable. Fans who believe
that the text provided inspiration are careful to point out that they themselves instigated the change in their lives, yet Star Trek was always there to offer support. These self-improvement narratives speak to specific traditions of self-help in American history and to an increasingly common-trend in television programming: The confessional talk show.

Part Four of my thesis provides an in-depth analysis of two exclusive texts: Enterprise and the science fiction comedy Galaxy Quest. I explore in more detail the future history of the Star Trek narrative and the fan culture surrounding the interpretation and reception of a cult movie outside of the franchise. In Chapter Nine I compare DS9 with Enterprise, arguing that the former provides an historical narrative where possibilities of the future remain open and the latter reinforces a history that has already happened and cannot be changed. Enterprise’s reinvention of and reverence for the Star Trek past is representative of the fictional text’s reliance on historical narrative and the fans’ investment in the potentialities of Roddenberry’s future. However, I have also found that both international and American fans are acutely aware of the dangers that such a reliance on American history entails, and they respond to this by emphasising in letters that Star Trek is meant to be about a universal utopia. In an analysis of Enterprise’s opening credits sequence, I shall be revisiting some of the issues discussed in Chapters Four and Five with regard to American Exceptionalism and the theory of America’s frontier destiny. Like the fans in Chapter Six, fans in this chapter who engage with the opening credits sequence do so because they believe Star Trek’s utopia is not confined to a select few but instead open to all people. Chapter Ten is a textual examination of the positive portrayal of cult fandom offered by Galaxy Quest.
The film is a comedic homage to the Star Trek phenomenon, looking at the potential empowerment a cult text can offer its fans and how those fans use the text as an enabling fiction in their daily lives. The realisation of the fans' individual potential is a recurrent theme throughout Part Three of this thesis and by analysing Galaxy Quest we will see how the concept of learning to improve and help oneself is intertwined with the fans' belief in the reality of the fictional text and how they live with that text on a daily basis. In effect Part Four not only looks at how fans live through Star Trek, as we establish in Part Three, but we also see how they live with Star Trek as part of a supportive and culturally integrated group.

Overall, this thesis seeks to place Star Trek and its American fanbase into their cultural contexts. Bringing together approaches from American, Film, and Television Studies to identify discourses of utopia, community, and self-improvement that run back through American history and culture, I examine how these are mediated in the Star Trek text and taken up by fans in their everyday lives. Consequently, this study moves on from the idea of fans as "textual poachers" to account for the more "typical" fan, showing that their supposedly mundane activities are rich and complex and offer particular insight into the relationship between culture, text and audience. Of course, the Star Trek television programmes, films, and fans are not limited to any one nation but it is nonetheless the case that they are the product of discourses central to American society and it is the universalising rhetoric of these discourses that often accounts for Star Trek's international success.
Notes


2 As I will discuss in Part Four of my thesis there has been a lot of debate between fans and the press over the decision to add the prefix Star Trek to the title of the new series after two seasons without such associations. However, for the purposes of this introduction I want to discuss an issue relating to the name change: the possible cancellation of Enterprise.

3 See John Freeman, “Destination Unknown: Star Trek: Enterprise faces an uncertain future.” Star Trek Magazine, April/May (2004): 6. Freeman describes the series' fall in the Neilsen ratings and overall audience share, but Enterprise has risen in recent months – benefiting from a new time slot, added on-air promotion, and more exciting storylines.


8 Ibid. pp. 196-197.

9 There is a current drive to help save *Enterprise,* with fans writing to the heads of CBS and UPN (the networks that finance the series), also this time a website has been launched: www.saveenterprise.com.

10 This series is not seen as part of the textual canon, the adventures the crew had aboard the Enterprise are not referred to in the *Star Trek* chronology. This is despite the series having high production values and the characters’ voices provided by the original actors.


The *Star Trek: Experience* is comprised of two walk-throughs, interactive adventures, gift shops, restaurants, and Quark’s Bar (a replica of the bar in *DS9*). The first of the two adventures is called “Klingon Encounter”; it was the original main feature of *The Experience*. The second adventure, “Borg Invasion 4D”, was opened in early 2004. As well as being housed within the Hilton Hotel, a new monorail system links *The Experience* to many of the other entertainment and gambling establishments on the Las Vegas hotel circuit. For an analysis of *The Experience* see Robin Roberts, “Performing Science Fiction: Television, Theater, and Gender in *Star Trek: The Experience*.” *Extrapolation* 42.4 (2001): 340-356. Paramount has also added a *Star Trek* ride at its Carowinds theme park in Charlotte, North Carolina: the ride is a roller coaster called “BORG Assimilator”.
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PART ONE

“Carved from the rock experiences of our daily lives”: History, Myth, and Star Trek’s Exemplary Narratives

“Just words.”

“But good words. That’s where ideas begin.”


“A dream that became a reality and spread throughout the stars.”


Many studies have attempted to demonstrate the connections Star Trek has with American culture through its representations of society in all five series and ten feature films. What I seek to establish is what lies at the bottom of all this interest. When the façade of 24th century gadgetry is peeled back, what is at the root of Star Trek’s story telling? Following on from that, what makes it so long-lasting and popular?

Star Trek is history; it is more than just good televisual entertainment. Star Trek is a historical, narrative discourse that not only feeds our passion for what the future might bring but also forms a relationship with the past, mediated, not through written discourse as Hayden White has suggested regarding history, but through television and film.1 Star Trek acts as a canonical reference to what makes America American, and what will make Americans more human. Its roots, or tropics of discourse, were perhaps formulated in the 1960s but they originated when the first white settlers arrived in the New World claiming America as the Promised Land. This reliance on such an exceptional and wholly white male historical narrative is probably why
so many critics, Daniel Bernardi and Robin Roberts amongst others, have studied Star Trek's colonial, racial, and gender interpretations. But I want to analyse beyond those issues and examine the tropic connections between the American past and the American present and how they are used in Star Trek to posit an American future. To that end, I want to analyse the assemblage of tropes and metaphors that such a task relies upon to mediate those messages to an American audience.

How does the creation of a fictional narrative which encompasses the visual text on screen and the fans' own productivity off screen affect Star Trek's aim of showing a future that is no longer exclusive or prejudiced? Ultimately, the fictional universe in which so many fans immerse themselves represents something entirely exclusive and totally at the mercy of what the producers and creators decide is appropriate. The Star Trek canon, the episodes and films, is in effect rather more constrictive and bound to set values than fans and audiences would come to expect. As Daniel Bernardi previously theorised, the Star Trek canon as a shared fan mythology is susceptible to the same flaws as America's own mythology. For example, in 1991 Arthur Schlesinger Jr. wrote the book The Disuniting of America: Reflections on a Multicultural Society. In it he criticised multiculturalism and its attempts at revising American history taught in schools, emphasising the supposed harm it did to the country and its children of all races. His solution was to reunite America by making minorities who wanted to become more culturally independent conform to its so-called liberal historical and political traditions. He focused on documents of note such as the Constitution and the Declaration of Independence and work by authors such as Emerson, Jefferson, de Tocqueville
and Lincoln. In the 1998 edition, Schlesinger even went as far as to offer his own version of an American canon called the “Baker’s Dozen Books Indispensable to an Understanding of America.” Within it he lists thirteen works of major importance to Americans, some by the authors I have already mentioned. What he failed to notice was that his list was comprised of all white males bar one, that being Harriet Beecher Stowe. The only non white representation was also Stowe, through her book *Uncle Tom's Cabin* (1852). Because *Star Trek* perpetuates “a final frontier that is explored and domesticated for a dominantly white imagination” Bernardi warns that it is important to be aware of the varied meanings of race that can be found in the *mega-text* since it is informed by public mythology. The canon is rooted in real-time events so the image of the *mega-text* is realistic not fantastic. However, just like all forms of realism, *Star Trek* is prone to naturalising rhetoric, thus perpetuating the “white only” myth.

In analysing literature based on Western conceptions of the Orient, Edward Said posited that “texts can create not only knowledge but also the very reality they appear to describe.” *Star Trek’s* reality, influenced by the exclusive rhetoric described by Bernardi, is seen as real by many fans. Its text has literally created the fictional reality in which fans enjoy living and participating. Their active involvement with the ever-increasing text continues to make its fictional narrative even more real; as more people believe in the message and ethos of *Star Trek*, its future history seen in films and episodes becomes a legitimate prophecy of things to come. *Star Trek* as a franchise has an enormous amount of cultural power because it has become another way of living for many of its fans. I want to draw attention to some of those fans in my
next section and go on to further emphasise how *Star Trek*'s various histories have created new realities for many of them. What is more, these new realities would seem to have a destabilising effect on Bernardi's theory of *Star Trek* continuing to perpetuate an exclusive view of the future, since they provide fans with unlimited freedom for their imaginations, fulfilling their own dreams, desires, and fantasies. The once constrictive and absolute *mega-text* is at the same time a suitable vehicle to release fans' creativity and create a sense of personal identity.

Initially, I will investigate *Star Trek* and the exemplary narrative; examining what makes it so understandable and transmutable to a modern audience. Following on from this I will examine the role myth plays in *Star Trek*'s exploration of human nature and life experiences. Then, using this research as a stepping stone for Part Two, I must identify the people America looks to as its forefathers: the Puritans. Specifically, I examine their form of rhetorical and polemical narrative called the jeremiad.
Notes
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CHAPTER ONE

A Look to the Past: Reality and Star Trek’s Multiple Histories

Star Trek creates a future world where the glories of the past are pristine and the failures and doubts of the present have been overcome. It gives us our past as our future, while making our present the past which, like any historical event for the future-orientated American, is safely over and forgotten.¹

The many interpretations of Star Trek’s meanings have themselves merged into a very diverse and flexible framework within which students and academics can dip for reference. By interpretations, I mean the many academic and non-academic studies of Star Trek published each year in journals and by independent publishers. Not only do the many analyses of the television phenomenon enable us to understand its overall cultural significance, they also provide us with a language that helps to express our attitudes towards Star Trek. Even if some texts are critical of the series they are still paying it a certain amount of reverence – they recognise its mythic and figurative underpinnings.²

This reverence resembles what Audre Lorde would call ‘poetry as illumination’; a way of forming the ideas by which we live in order to pursue our magic and fulfil our dreams: “It is through this that we give name to those ideas which are – until the poem – nameless and formless, about to be birthed, but already felt.”³ Fans and critics alike have used Star Trek as a means by which they can express their hopes and fears for the future; it is their poetical reverence for the series that enables them to write about many other issues that
concern them. The audience, made up of die-hard fans and those less enthusiastic, have used the creative springboard that *Star Trek* provides to write their own short stories, novels, poems, essays, and fanzines that represent their own form of ‘poetry as illumination’. For example, at present there have been published four volumes of collected short stories written by fans and set in the *Star Trek* universe, not all necessarily including any of the main characters. The series entitled *Star Trek Strange New Worlds* (1998-2001) is advertised as being made up of work by some of *Star Trek*’s most talented fans; its editors are proud to report that the universe of writers just keeps on expanding. 4

There has been a poetry anthology published called *Star Trek The Poems* (2000) that not only features fan appreciation for the show but also includes first-time poets, hate poems, and poems that combine unlikely locations and situations with the *Star Trek* phenomenon. For example in ‘Bred to boldly go’ Mandy Coe describes her memories of a Butlins holiday, growing up as a teenager coping with menstrual cramps and high heels, and how *Star Trek* provided the hope of revolution for many women not happy with the unequal society in which they lived. Other poems pose some quite unusual questions such as how would the *Star Trek* crew cope with the miners’ strike or a simple request for the toilet. These are real people writing about real issues of which they have experience, but they are also people who recognise *Star Trek*’s impact upon them and want to use that cultural impact to exhibit their personal poetry. 5 Henry Jenkins uses the term “textual poaching,” taken from Michel de Certeau’s *Practice of Everyday Life* (1984), to describe this process by which fans embrace and transform the original text. In this case *Star Trek* and its characters, which then becomes a catalyst for a network of new
elaborate interpretations and meanings resulting in a “common point of reference [that] facilitates social interaction amongst fans.”6 In other words, those fans who earnestly pursue their interest in Star Trek through fan clubs, fanzines, novels, and conventions become active participants in the production and preservation of the fictional universe of Trek. In Part Three of this thesis I shall be examining at length the world of the Star Trek enthusiast and investigating what sort of identities they construct from the text of the series and how they use that identity in the day to day living of their lives. For now I just want to draw out the sort of fictional realities Star Trek has created for those fans using history, narrative, and myth and how they might find their own niche within its ever expanding sphere of influence.

Another important way fans have expressed their ‘poetry of illumination’ is through the fanzine. This form of collected fan writing grew extensively after the original three year run of Star Trek (1966-1969) had finished and included short stories, novel serialisations, poetry, technical writing, and art work – sometimes these were pornographic in nature and often focused on just one character. For the fans, writing stories about or drawing pictures of their favourite characters was the next best thing to watching them on screen. It had, and still has, such a diverse and wide-ranging audience fan base that no other television program can begin to compare in popularity. “The power of Star Trek,” according to director David Carson, “is its ability to jump off the screen and say things to you which you maybe wouldn’t accept in a naturalistic drama.” It was pure entertainment on one level, keeping the network temporarily happy by winning in the ratings war, but on another there was something special about the ethos behind the stories. Something with
which fans could connect: “It has an ability to raise itself poetically above the
more mundane things. It appeals across the board poetically and
philosophically to so many people in a way that [most naturalistic dramas]
haven’t a hope of ever doing.”7

With the publication of Star Trek Lives! by Jacqueline Lichtenberg,
Sondra Marshak and Joan Winston in 1975, fans of the series were identified
for the first time as creative participants in the Star Trek phenomenon. Their
book recognised the numerous clubs and fanzines that people from all walks of
life had started in order to demonstrate the appeal Star Trek held for budding
writers, artists, and those with only a minimal talent for the creative arts.
Theoretically they distinguished two effects that they said accounted for the
series’ popularity: “The Discovery Effect” and “The Tailored Effect”. The first
effect described how people originally ‘discovered’ Star Trek after it had
started to be shown as syndicated reruns in the early seventies; this preliminary
contact triggered fans’ creative urges because they wanted more of Star Trek
but had to create new stories to fulfil their desires. The second outlined the
individuals who picked their own favourite parts of Star Trek because it was
‘tailored’ to suit everyone’s own tastes and interests. These fans concentrated
on the specific characters and themes with which they most identified; their
stories therefore became popular in their own right thanks to the fanzines that
published them and like-minded fans who wanted to read them.8

Stories and art that have included a high sexual content have been
referred to by Constance Penley in NASA/TREK (1997) as slash fiction; by this
she means that fans and amateur writers have “ingeniously subverted and
rewritten Star Trek to make it answerable to their own sexual and social
In some cases, particularly with the characters of Kirk and Spock, writers (mostly women) have "recognised that there was an erotic homosexual subtext there, or at least one that could easily be made to be there." The fact that such themes and issues have been read into Star Trek and written about in such numbers proves that it provided a framework open to interpretation and poetic license; it had something for everybody and everybody had something to say about it. They just said it in many different ways.

Star Trek is often quoted as a way of expressing one's dreams and how they can be fulfilled; especially a nation's dreams in the 1960s when political freedom and enfranchisement were so desired by those excluded that they would risk all to achieve them. In the post-liberal age, and at a time of increased national anxiety, it is no surprise that Star Trek has remained as a symbol of those struggles and still endures as a form of 'poetry as illumination' because Star Trek as a text allows America to dream. Albeit, according to Jay Goulding in Empire, Aliens, and Conquest (1985), in an attempt to "ground a turbulent American culture which is challenging its own roots in the 1960's because of the horrors created in the Third World by American imperialism."

Star Trek, in some senses, uses its future frontier setting to critique and theorise about America's social problems from a safe distance, dislocating the "viewers' attention from present dilemmas," allowing "them to dream about American heritage."

Nevertheless, no-one would be inspired by it if they could not see it as a means by which they could fulfil their dreams. David Gerrold sees Star Trek as being about "the sense of wonder." A term used to describe science fiction of the Golden Age, sense of wonder concerns the enthusiasm for a combination of
gadgets (technophilia) and the future (progress), for Gerrold “that is the seed of [Star Trek’s] power to move us.”\textsuperscript{12} Lorde’s application of poetry mirrors that of Star Trek’s inasmuch as it provides a rich figurative language – or poetry – to describe or imagine fan’s desires: “The farthest horizons of our hopes and fears are cobbled by our poems, carved from the rock experiences of our daily lives.”\textsuperscript{13}

*Star Trek’s* ability to inspire peoples’ innermost desires stems from its perception of reality; fans would not be inspired by it if they did not believe it to be a real version of things to come. By rewriting and reworking the original text, fans of *Star Trek* make it more real, in a sense they incorporate it into their lives and use it in their own particular lived experiences. However, as Henry Jenkins observes in his work about how texts become real this does not mean the original text changes in any significant way, rather it “becomes something more than what it was before, not something less.”\textsuperscript{14} For example, Barbara Adams, an alternate juror in the 1996 Whitewater trial, attended every day dressed in Starfleet uniform complete with phaser and tricorder [see Appendix 1]. Her reason was so that she could promote the program’s “ideas, messages and good solid values” and not surprisingly she received a great deal of media attention.\textsuperscript{15} So much so that she had to be relieved from duty because the judge believed her talking to the press about her love of *Star Trek* was a breach of confidentiality. Adams took it upon herself to represent *Star Trek’s* ideals in court because she saw them as entirely appropriate in that real-life situation. The ridicule and public interest stirred up by the national press only brought more attention to her actions and so the judge had to intervene. As a result Adams was promoted within her “ship,” her local fan club, because she had
upheld and defended the ideals of Star Trek’s Federation. Heather Joseph-Witham states that “fans who wear Star Trek apparel to public events attempt to actualize the ideals of the shows’ creator, Gene Roddenberry, and the Star Trek universe.”\footnote{16} a point emphasised by Adams’ recollection of why she wanted to wear the uniform to court:

It’s not that it’s an obsession or something that totally consumes my life but certainly the ideals of Trek are ideals that I live by and so I’ll stand up for those every day, twenty-four hours a day.\footnote{17}

Examining Star Trek’s knack for telling good stories might seem rather easy if one were to take Brent Spiner’s explanation of its popularity at face value: “The reason Star Trek is so popular is that I honestly think [creator] Gene Roddenberry came up with what is the single greatest formula for a TV show. . . It never ends, because travelling the galaxy offers thousands of stories to explore.”\footnote{18} This statement is partly true, Star Trek does have a great formula which was shared by many other popular television series such as The Fugitive (1963-1967) and Quantum Leap (1989-1993) to name just two, but that does not fully explain its popularity. Spiner, who plays the character Data in The Next Generation (TNG) (1987-1994), has got it only half right because he thinks the show’s popularity relies on there being thousands of episodes revealing countless things about aliens and the universe. I believe that Star Trek is so popular because it is the exact opposite of Spiner’s theory: it offers only a handful of stories through which the audience can explore the universe, and, at the same time, explore themselves. What is more, I believe that Star Trek relies so much on the fact it uses the same stories, only slightly different
each time, that if it were to change its approach and produce stories so far from
the norm it would lose audience appeal and fade from popularity.

Rick Berman, the man who took over the mantle of maintaining Star
Trek’s image following Roddenberry’s death in 1991, explained that he was
taught how to understand Star Trek; how to write stories that kept within the
boundaries of the original concept. In an interview with TV Guide to celebrate
the final season of TNG in 1994, Berman explained that he had to become
fluent in a language created by Roddenberry in order to continue his vision.
Also, rules were in place to keep Star Trek on course with its mission to
promote liberal humanitarianism in an entertaining fashion. Berman’s choice of
words hints at there being a formula or blueprint to which Star Trek must stick
lest it departs from Roddenberry’s vision and loses its ability to entertain the
audience. It also hints at the strong connection to narrative which will be
extrapolated further in Chapter Two. Star Trek’s credo discussed by Berman is,
for many fans and critics, all that defines it:

Gene taught me a new language, and now I have become relatively
fluent. We’ve bent his rules a little, but we haven’t broken them. Star
Trek: The Next Generation is not a series about my vision of the future
or Patrick Stewart’s or anybody else’s. It’s a series about Gene’s vision
of the 24th century. As a result, I will continue to follow his rules as
long as I’m connected with Star Trek in any way.\textsuperscript{19}

Star Trek has been described as a space opera, implying that it emphasises the
character’s representative rather than realistic qualities and that its plot
structure can be equated to the traditional formats used in Greek and
Shakespearean drama.\textsuperscript{20} Space opera was also a term used about the Golden
Age of sci-fi, where it usually described traditional adventure stories where the audience was expected to know the plot or at least recognise some of its familiar themes. Shakespeare's use of familiar plots and traditional stories enabled him to garner a popular audience because people were already accustomed to the themes and plots of his work. The same is true for Star Trek, although in a different league from Shakespeare, it uses familiar and traditional stories to devise its own form of anecdotal storytelling on a weekly basis. At times it even goes as far as to borrow famous quotes from Shakespeare's plays and sonnets to use as episode titles or parts of scripted dialogue, thus highlighting the connections between literature, foundational narratives, and Star Trek which I want to make in the next chapter. In Larry Kreitzer's article "The Cultural Veneer of Star Trek" (1996), he points out that Star Trek perhaps more than any other show tries to borrow and quote literature, music, and images from a wide range of sources. In doing so, these cultural references have given "Star Trek a veneer of cultural sophistication, helping to create the impression that its world is a well-read one," and they also "provide a level of respectability which might not otherwise be forthcoming to a science fiction series." 21 Star Trek's status as a topic for academic debate relies on there being some form of underlying intellectualism beneath its popular culture front. Its pseudo-philosophical tropes and invocation of literature offers the veneer that Kreitzer talks of but also crucially is detachable from that veneer by virtue of a supreme anti-intellectualism embedded within fan culture. This is seen in instances where fans become annoyed at the level of criticism directed at Star Trek by academics who can read too much into episodes and thereby spoil the fictional elements that allow fans to believe in a positive outlook for the future.
These observations go some way toward explaining how the series follows a rigid screen arrangement but they alone cannot account for why Star Trek is so popular with a diverse and cross-generational audience. That particular and integral role goes to narrative; specifically the way it is continually used to offer the same types of stories, characters, and events by employing the same symbols, figures, and metaphors in every episode. The only differences are visual – one week the audience might be faced with a three hundred and fifty-year-old genetic experiment seeking revenge or the next week by an omnipotent non-corporeal being onboard a starship. Whatever the scenario, the story is always the same because Star Trek relies upon a minimum number of familiar foundational narratives to provide the thousands of stories Brent Spiner believes Star Trek offers when exploring the galaxy.

If there are only a few stories that make up the many thousands that Star Trek offers, what are they, and what makes them so important to an American audience? If it were so obvious that Star Trek relied on the same old tales of exploration and good versus evil, why did it not fade out of favour thirty years ago when the original series was cancelled after three seasons? These are undeniable points of inquiry that permeate Star Trek criticism, and rightly so because they have directed me to investigate why it remains such an enigmatic phenomenon. Vivian Sobchack, in her book Screening Space: The American Science Fiction Film (1998) describes the Star Trek films as backward-looking, nostalgic, and basically old-fashioned:

Despite all their “futurist” gadgetry and special effects, then, the Star Trek films are conservative and nostalgic, imaging the future by looking backward to the imagination of a textual past.22
If we see *Star Trek* as such a historical pastiche of the future than we can also view its stories, its fictional narrative, as some sort of history – albeit a history set in the fictional representations of a contemporary American reality. My ‘*Star Trek* is history’ statement not only makes more sense thanks to Sobchack’s remarks but it also would seem to promote *Star Trek* as an alternative historical narrative that critics such as Hayden White, Northrop Frye, and Kenneth Burke have analysed in the sphere of literary criticism. This historical narrative, as described by White below, provides a connection between the past and the present (in *Star Trek*’s case the future as present) that not only makes history more identifiable for the people studying it, but also makes it intrinsically valuable for contemporary society. This is because it uses metaphors and symbols culturally familiar both in history and to modern day readers:

> Historical narratives are not only models of past events and processes, but also metaphorical statements which suggest a relation of similitude between such events and processes and the story types that we conventionally use to endow the events of our lives with culturally sanctioned meanings. Viewed in a purely formal way, a historical narrative is not only a reproduction of the events reported in it, but also a complex of symbols which gives us directions for finding an icon of the structure of those events in our literary tradition.\(^{23}\)

*Star Trek*’s clever use of familiar symbols and tropes not only reproduces a historical narrative then, but it also gives viewers and fans a framework through which they can learn about their history – seek to understand their American cultural identity. Critics have understood historical narratives as
didactic approaches forming links with the past in a literary arrangement. I am saying that *Star Trek* achieves the same thing through the visual media of television and film. To refer back to Sobchack, *Star Trek* looks “backward to the imagination of a textual past,” and projects that ‘history’ forward through the depiction of a utopian, futuristic version of modern day America.

Another, and extremely significant, scholar of literary criticism was Erich Auerbach, who analysed Western literature and its delineation of what is real and what is fiction in his book *Mimesis: The Representation of Reality in Western Literature* (1953). His work concerned the whole scope of classical Western literature and its connections with the real world with which it was surrounded. He observed that through *figuration* literatures could be imitated, reproduced, and then adapted to embody stories in which people could be bound up and believe to be true – in effect alter reality so that fiction could symbolise what people thought was real in their lives. *Star Trek*’s representation of a reality through its fictitious future has not only been entrenched as a possible outcome for society; it has become reality for some people who want to believe that it is true, or, as David Gerrold states, “it represents a future we would like to make real.”24 Its connections to history only add legitimacy to its figuration of the future; they have both become inseparable from each other, making *Star Trek* a signifier of the future and a signifier of the past. It acts as a certified history of the real past and a proper history of the soon-to-be-real future:

Figural interpretation “establishes a connection between two events or persons in such a way that the first signifies not only itself but also the second, while the second involves or fulfils the first. The two poles of a
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figure are separated in time, but both, being real events or persons, are within temporality. They are both contained in the flowing stream which is historical life, and only the comprehension, the *intellectus spiritualis*, of their interdependence is a spiritual act."\(^{25}\)

*Star Trek* uses ‘history’ in three distinct but not so isolated ways to comment on society. It either recounts famous events to help frame its episodic storylines: For example, in the *Star Trek: Voyager* (1995-2001) two part episode “The Killing Game” (1998) the crew are held hostage in a holodeck recreation of World War II occupied France. Being completely immersed in their respective roles as French Resistance fighters, soldiers, and villagers, the crew have to combat the aggressive Hirogen who have taken on the persona of Nazis. *Star Trek* also changes narrative ‘history’ altogether and sets up an alternative historical reality grounded in its perception of the future: Each episode brings yet another small detail that will potentially help fill in the gaps in *Star Trek’s* future history. For instance, The *Voyager* episode “One Small Step...” (1999) recounted the mission of the first manned space flight to Mars in 2032; the film *Star Trek: First Contact* (1996) revealed how humans achieved warp flight and encountered the Vulcans in 2063; and most significantly, the new series *Enterprise* (2001- ) is set in 2151 between *First Contact* and the voyages of Captain Kirk, allowing viewers to see how the Federation was born and how humans became the technologically-advanced species synonymous with *Star Trek’s* view of humanity. *Star Trek* also places historical events and contemporary issues into a science fiction format so that its stories are understood by the audience as fictitious but the social messages conveyed can be digested without resentment: For example, the ongoing
conflict between the Klingons and the Federation in the original series reflected the America’s Cold War with the Soviets, and the 50th anniversary of the dropping of the atomic bomb on Hiroshima provided the occasion for \textit{Voyager}’s allegorical treatment of its consequences in the episode “Jetrel” (1995). All three plot devices serve to ingrain \textit{Star Trek} as a culturally-aware television series that uses history and narrative to dramatise its stories and bring about a new social awareness. However, at the same time that \textit{Star Trek} is in tune with the American Zeitgeist it also relies upon multiple histories for its critical, but ultimately utopian, look to a possible future.

Steve Anderson has observed that \textit{Star Trek} has often used narrative plot devices such as “encountering worlds that have developed like Earth” in order to try and change the ‘fictional’ history for the better because the chance was missed in the ‘real’ history on Earth. This not only establishes the series as a morally correct and consummate disseminator of history to the public, but it also promotes it as a bench mark for what Americans should strive to achieve in their short lives. \textit{Star Trek} keeps returning to this plot paradigm because it feels a sense of urgency in trying to make things better for the audience. The need to “learn from the mistakes of the past” has been an integral part of coming to grips with issues such as ‘who we were,’ ‘what we have now become,’ and ‘where will we end up in the future’, both for history and for those who might still be suffering from the traumatic effects of past events. As Anderson asserts, “in various corners of the galaxy, Captain Kirk succeeds in reforming a 1920s-style Chicago crime syndicate, ousting a corrupt Roman proconsul, dethroning a despotic Greek emperor, and overthrowing a proto-Nazi regime,” all in the name of doing what is right.\textsuperscript{26} What history lesson
could be better than having the chance to relive entire eras and be able to take part in the actual events knowing you had the opportunity to do the right thing?

However, contrary to the view that *Star Trek* uses history to teach America about right and wrong, Jay Goulding contends that famous episodes such as “Tomorrow is Yesterday” (1967) and “The City on the Edge of Forever” (1967) establish *Star Trek* as a purveyor of “a false sense of power.” To be able to ‘put things right’ and ‘see the future’ “generates a static vision where democracy triumphs over all time dimensions,” making *Star Trek* just as oppressive and imperialistic as the society it was trying to expound upon in the politically turbulent sixties. Goulding recognises that the dichotomy which characterises *Star Trek*’s use of historically motivated stories comes from its attempts to correct American history for the better and sanctify whatever mistakes may have happened in the past in the name of democracy: “It promises an omniscience and omnipotence which is an horrific concept, but necessary for the mythology which prides itself on the craft of changing what has happened.”27 To some extent Goulding does have a point about *Star Trek*’s need to sanitise history, but what he did not recognise was that *Star Trek* only allowed for the possibility of change through the interventions of Kirk and his crew rather than changing history itself. The narrative of the series offered those alien worlds the chance to redeem their own mistakes since the Enterprise would always leave orbit and let the inhabitants choose to take action, giving them a chance to change history for themselves. In “The Omega Glory” (1968) Kirk teaches a tribe of ‘Yang’ warriors, who had misinterpreted the preamble to the Constitution as an order to exclude their ‘Kohm’ enemies, that the words “must apply to everyone or they mean nothing.” Spock declares
his idealistic action as a breach of the Prime Directive but Kirk replies: “We merely showed them the meaning of what they were fighting for... I suggest we leave them to discover their history, and their liberty.” This sequence embodies the series’ optimism in view of the fact that the future was not set in stone, it was up to the aliens – and likewise us – to take control of destiny.

*Star Trek’s* pilgrimages into US and world histories do not just depict our past but also give the audience a definitive guide to the history of the future. Four series are set in and after the 23rd century, with *Voyager* finishing late in the 24th century. The gaps between our time and theirs, and between the original series and present incarnations, have been filled by the writers and producers with many ‘historic’ events: World War III, the discovery of alien life, scientific advancement in space travel (to name but a few). In effect, the series have mapped out a chronology within which fans can further engross themselves, a fictional universe complete with its own documented history ripe for Jenkins’ “textual poaching”. This evolution is highlighted by *The Star Trek Encyclopedia: A Reference Guide to the Future* (1997) and *Star Trek Chronology: A History of the Future* (1993), both routinely revised and updated. Here, everything from the 35 years of *Star Trek* is cross-referenced and recorded in minute detail to give the fans absolute insight into the ever-expanding universe. In effect, much of this desire to record the history of the future flows from what Daniel Boorstin has termed the “effort to catalogue the whole creation,” whereby since the dawn of time humanity has toiled to record and catalogue its discoveries in order to provide a lasting description of life itself.28 Likewise, the psychologists Rom Harré and Paul Secord have argued that, in order to understand any area of phenomena in a scientific way, it is
necessary to construct a classificatory and analytical scheme plus an explanatory scheme. The former consists of the systematic division of a field of phenomena into distinct types, the classification of these types into taxonomy. But of course with regard to Star Trek the record or phenomena is not real, everything included in the taxonomic publications from alien planets to their subsequent indigenous wildlife is fictional, and, what is more, will probably never exist to the extent that they do in many fans’ imaginations.

However, the attempts to document a Star Trek history of the future, essentially fashioning the “taxonomy of Star Trek,” encompassing everything that has ever existed in its world and will ever exist in ours, is similar to Jorge Luis Borges’ short story “The Library of Babel” taken from his collection *Fictions* (1998). In it he describes a library collection comprised of the entire knowledge of the universe (a fictional one) from “the minute history of the future” to “the veridical account of your death.” The library is infinite, “limitless and periodic,” allowing the reader a lifetime of searching for what they desire, just as the Star Trek fan endeavours to pursue their dreams and desires through the fictional but documented and limitless universe compounded by books such as *The Star Trek Encyclopedia* and *Star Trek Chronology*. What is more, as Michael Jindra states, “this universe is much larger and more complex than any other fictional universe,” including that of J.R.R. Tolkien’s Middle Earth novels and even *Star Wars* (1977).

Many episodes deal with fictional events in the past which are still set in our future, literally going ‘back to the future’ to create an original historical narrative. The main plot of *Star Trek: First Contact* concerns events intrinsic to the creation of Starfleet and the Federation along with the discovery of warp
drive (one of the series’ key catch phrases), yet these developments are still potentially in advance of our time period – even set after WWIII! Nevertheless, because they have been eulogised by Star Trek’s chronology of the future fans are well aware of these events and see them as part of their ‘history’. The plethora of official and unofficial short stories and novels (the majority written by fans) also provides a narrative background to many of the popular characters and stories at the same time “filling in the syntagmatic gaps in the original narrative.”

Greg Cox’s Star Trek: The Eugenics Wars (2001) describes itself as Volume One in the history of Khan Noonien Singh – a favourite with fans – and it recounts how he rose to power in the late 20th century. The author intertwines contemporary figures from history and incidents from the Star Trek mythos with real historical events such as the fall of the Berlin Wall, creating a story that endorses Star Trek, both accurately and historically. It constantly enhances and reintegrates its own details into its own history of the future. It has been followed up by Volume Two (2002) charting Singh’s life right up until his eventual demise seen in Star Trek II: The Wrath of Khan. The following quote from the editor of the official Star Trek magazine highlights the balance the series strikes between fact and fiction and how the fans respond to its future history:

For continuity freaks, a show like Star Trek is a godsend. Each new episode brings yet another small detail that will potentially help fill in the gaps in Star Trek’s labyrinthine future history. There are, of course, entire books devoted to mapping the Star Trek timeline, but books can only get you so far in the search for previously-unknown little titbits. Only new episodes can provide that extra thrill derived from learning
something new about *Star Trek*'s chronology, reinforcing the show’s status as a living, breathing, developing entity, fleshing out and colouring in its past as it moves ever forward.33

It is interesting to note that as an officially-licensed publication, the monthly *Star Trek* magazine helps to maintain the illusion of an accurate historical *Star Trek* timeline. There are often special articles highlighting the continuities and connections *Star Trek*'s fictional events have with real life; these articles help to “reinforce,” as the above quote states, “the show’s status as a living, breathing, developing entity” and project an image of a coherent and unerringly comprehensible ‘history’ which real-time world events lack. For example, in every issue there is a section called “Treknology” devoted to reporting new inventions and scientific achievements that can be related to the futuristic technologies seen in the series. In the February 2002 issue an article entitled “Parallel Universes” applied an historical perspective to *Star Trek*'s space travel back-story and compared it to humanity’s real-world “cosmic exploits.”34 It charted the evolution of ‘man’s’ journey into space from *Sputnik* and *Apollo 11* through Einstein’s theories about the speed of light and stopped at the *Mars Orbiter* mission launched in April 2001. These events were shown in contrast with *Star Trek* episodes that used them as stepping stones to future developments such as first contact with aliens, warp drive, and the human colonisation of Mars and made sense of them through extrapolating their historical significance in a possible future timeline. Perhaps the most telling example of *Star Trek*'s obsession with its own narrative and our history is its newest and most eager project to date: *Enterprise*. This is the franchise’s fifth
series – sixth including the 1973 animated children’s show – and is set in the year 2151, over one hundred years before any previous adventures.

In fact, fans are so concerned with getting the ‘history’ right that the co-creators, Rick Berman and Brannon Braga, have told the American press “they know they’re looking for trouble from the hardest of the hardcore” fans if they get anything wrong.\textsuperscript{35} One observer for the \textit{Radio Times} has pointed out that \textit{Enterprise} “will inherit a history more detailed and more catalogued than that of some small countries.”\textsuperscript{36} This may seem facetious but I believe that without its historical embellishments \textit{Star Trek} would not have survived as America’s definition of pure science fiction. However, there are limits to what \textit{Star Trek} does with its history. As Berman and Braga have intimated, there is a lot of ‘history’ they have to consider when producing the new series, as it is set before much of what has already appeared on screen and therefore already part of the canon. They cannot possibly cater for every fan who wants to see stories retold and past plots returned to because they are dealing with a series that is in production thirty five years after the original series. Many of the stories and fictional history laid out previously and set in stone by fans has to be readjusted or even written out in order for \textit{Enterprise} to tell its own entertaining stories. Since Berman and Braga have said that changes have to be made to the historical canon there has been immense interest from concerned fans on the Internet and there is evidence of a growing tension between fans and producers over what is considered important in the \textit{Star Trek} canon. The following quote from Rick Berman highlights the official stand on what is considered important and what position fans really occupy in relation to the canon:
Fans discussing the past, present, and future of *Star Trek* is something that has gone on forever... We are conscious of it. We are respectful of it. We have people who are in touch with it and who keep us abreast of what the feelings of the fans are. But we have to eventually do what we think is best. That’s not to say that some of the things that we hear don’t influence us to some degree, but we can’t let the fans create the show.37

Everything that appears on the television series or on the movie screen is deemed as official within the fictional *Star Trek* universe. Literature such as the technical manuals and fact files produced under license to Paramount are also seen as canonical because they expand upon material aired on screen and are used as points of reference for further episodes and movies. However, the novels and fan literature are not seen as canonical because the stories they tell have not ‘happened’, they have not taken place on screen and are therefore unofficial.38 Some are produced as officially licensed books by Paramount “who has decreed that anything that’s televised as *Star Trek* is ‘Star Trek fact’, whereas anything that’s printed is ‘Star Trek fiction.’”39 Nevertheless, however much the dichotomy between official and unofficial is loathed by fans it is necessary to have to have such a divide to ensure that the integrity of the series does not weaken and popularity does not diminish. As Michael Jindra intimates below, *Star Trek*’s fictional reality is entirely based on fans believing it to be true, or at least it being a possible version of the truth. Without an official canon fans would no longer be able to maintain their belief in the reality of *Star Trek*’s future history:

*Star Trek*, like many other shows, actively encourages a ‘suspension of disbelief’ and sets itself up as a ‘reality’ in which fans can exist. The
reality of this universe is important to many people... The coherence of this alternate universe must be maintained in order for fans to continue their 'suspension of disbelief.' As a result, there is a Star Trek 'canon'.

Both official and fan literatures have attempted to fill in the gaps between storylines and character backgrounds originally aired on screen. The expansion of the fictional universe outside of the studios, characterised by novels, technical manuals, encyclopaedias, conventions, comics, graphic novels, and fanzines, has made Star Trek into what can only be described as an alternative world. One in which most people would dearly love to live or at least look upon as the ideal. This utopian vision reflects that of the Puritans at the beginning of the seventeenth century and one that was continually expressed through the jeremiad. I shall explore these connections with Star Trek’s version of paradise in greater depth in Part Two. What is important to remember is that in the last ten years, since its fan base multiplied as a result of four synchronised television series, Star Trek’s own fictional historical narrative has given credence to its prognosis of future human endeavour. Licensed novelisations, fan culture, and Star Trek’s dramatisation of a history of humanity that has yet to emerge have cemented it as a certified cornerstone to American culture. It gives Americans a legitimate identity with which they can sympathise, and assume, in order to make Star Trek’s vision of the future seem more real.
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CHAPTER TWO

Telling Tales of the Future: *Star Trek’s* Exemplary Narratives

Narrative focuses our attention on a story, a sequence of events, through the direct mediation of a ‘telling’ which we both stare at and through, which is at once central and peripheral to the experience of the story, both absent and present in the consciousness of those being told the story.¹

If one were to take Robert Scholes and Robert Kellogg’s view that “to be a narrative no more and no less than a teller and a tale are required”, then *Star Trek* seemingly does not represent a narrative because there is an absence of a teller.² There are many stories played out week after week but who is telling them? In the quote above J. Hawthorn also points out that the ‘telling’ focuses our attention on the story so much that it itself can become central to the experience of the story; it can become part of the story and part of the recipient of the story. It is obvious from these analyses of narrative that the narrator is important, but it does not necessarily rule out *Star Trek* as a narrative. For example, *Star Trek’s* most famous line, “space, the final frontier,” comes from the opening narration first used in the episode “The Corbomite Maneuver” (1966). Every episode began with Kirk speaking to the audience, ‘telling’ them that what they were about to see was a true and correct account (or history) of the voyages of the crew aboard the Enterprise. There was a story about to be told and those immortal words were a harbinger of the wondrous tales about to unfold on screen. The tradition of the opening narration continued on *TNG* which highlighted the importance of the ‘telling’ aspect at the beginning of
Star Trek episodes and the fact that people were totally engaged in the telling of these stories and the way in which they were told. How every episode began with this narration was, as Hawthorn suggests about narrative, both “central and peripheral to the experience of the story,” and “both absent and present in the consciousness of those being told the story”:

“Space, the final frontier. These are the voyages of the Starship Enterprise. Its five-year mission: to explore strange new worlds, to seek out new life and new civilisations, to boldly go where no man has gone before.”

Just as Star Wars begins with the line “a long time ago in a galaxy far, far away…”, Star Trek also uses a narrative opening to begin its stories on screen. Children have all been read stories that start “once upon a time”, and knew that they were about to be told something; with Star Trek it is no different. This was Gene Roddenberry’s way of telling the audience his story, one which he had battled to get on television, so that they too could be enthused by and engaged in what he believed was the most important story of all: The human story. For David Carson, if Star Trek “dealt with racial tensions and tried to preach to the masses, the masses would not watch.” However, placing those issues on a science fiction drama set in the future extinguishes its preachiness and allows “a storyteller, be you a writer or director, the opportunity of telling a story that has something to say.” To a large degree this statement is a valid one, but we should be aware of how much other forms of television programming such as comedy or animation can relate to and comment on politically charged issues without being too obvious or lacking in sincerity.
The opening narration has entered popular imagination just like the characters of the shows, it was inevitable that it would be used on TNG but only after it had been updated. *Star Trek*'s mission statement was modernised to suit an age that recognised women were not just there to support the men as they explored the galaxy, but were there to do the exploring themselves. This was not fully validated until Kate Mulgrew became the first woman to command a starship and take the lead role in *Star Trek: Voyager*:

"Space. The final frontier. These are the voyages of the *Starship Enterprise*. Its continuing mission, to explore strange new worlds, to seek out new life and new civilisations, to boldly go where no one has gone before…"5

As I have already mentioned, *Star Trek* makes much use of the common cultural narratives to communicate its own form of historical discourse, and even then there are only a handful of them. I wish now to show the types of narratives *Star Trek* uses for its representation of history and the theoretical underpinnings that form the basis of its popularity and diverse appeal. According to the historian Kerwin Lee Klein, "[Hayden] White followed the lead of formalist literary critics Northrop Frye and Kenneth Burke, arguing that a limited number of plot forms and tropes characterised historical narratives."6 For Frye this meant that there were four archetypal plot modes, or *mythoi* as he liked to call them, that characterised Western literature. Klein lists them as the romance, the tragedy, the comedy, and the satire. In *Anatomy of Criticism* (1957) Frye uses different terminology, also breaking up the *mythoi* into specific forms such as "scriptural play" and irony: Epos, Prose, Drama, Lyric.7 There were many other categories that could be filtered out through the original
but Frye considered the main four to be of paramount importance. Kenneth Burke analysed the existence of common literary genres, or modes of thought that characterised the human understanding of history, determining the four tropes that White went on to unpack in his later work. What these two scholars ultimately suggest is that our understanding of the past, and therefore our understanding of ourselves, is inseparable from the manner in which we broadcast our history. This is the conclusion that White comes to in *The Content of the Form* (1987) as quoted below and it is also mirrored in Marshall McLuhan’s aphorism “The Medium is the Message” (1964):

"Narrative, far from being merely a form of discourse that can be filled with different contents, real or imaginary as the case may be, already possesses a content prior to any given actualization of it in speech or writing."

Following on from Frye’s and Burke’s analysis of literary plot forms, Klein recognises that White reads the usage of plots as a form of historical explanation. Historians had no experience or empirical evidence of what actually happened in history so they inadvertently borrowed from the common plots and narrative forms already present in literature to help manifest a coherent discourse from their material – this leads to the creation of politically and ideologically biased histories. This appropriation of literary methods to construct history is exactly what *Star Trek* does when it uses culturally significant metaphors and tropes to symbolise its own futuristic take on American history and society.

Klein goes further in his breakdown of White’s theories by recounting the four master tropes described in *Metahistory* (1973), White’s first and most
influential piece. There is a typology of rhetorical figures of speech made up of four tropes, they in turn govern the way we operate language: metaphor, metonymy, synecdoche, and irony. It is obvious here that White based much of his work on Burke and the premise that language, and therefore history, was reliant upon only a minimum number of narrative modes of production. The larger differences between White and his predecessors are counted for by the fact that White was dealing with the nature of writing history, whereas Frye and Burke were concerned with literature. However, White's work does provide a link between the two fields thanks to his utilisation of a common literary typology; again this is something that Star Trek employs to bridge the gap between telling good stories and mediating history, only its form of storytelling is mediated visually.

Metaphor is a trope of resemblance, replacing one object with another object that is taken to mean the same. Future America is a metaphorical representation of the present, with the Federation taking the place of the US or UN: In the sixties the Klingons were the Russians, the Romulans the Chinese. On DS9 the Cardassian enslavement of the Bajorans can be seen as a metaphor for the German treatment of the Jews during World War Two. Some scholars have criticised Star Trek for slotting in ethnic minorities and alien stereotypes claiming that they are token gestures, however, such sublimation was necessary when Star Trek first aired. Gene Roddenberry had to make one thing stand for another so that he could get stories about racism or prejudice past the television censors. On a slightly different level, the various crews made up of the main characters for each Star Trek series are interpreted as being representative of American society. The crew of the original series was created to symbolise
America in the 1960s – encompassing different races and ethnic backgrounds – even though mainstream white society at that time denied the cultural diversity that actually characterised America. Further developments to the composition of the crews signify the transformation of a pluralist American society to the multi-ethnic and multiculturally-minded society that is synonymous with the mid-to-late 1990s. On board TNG there were female doctors, a blind pilot, and children in command positions; later on DS9 we were introduced to an African-American single parent who had to command a space station; and in Voyager a female played the captain for the first time in a series. Through the allegorical representations that the separate crews offer the series – allegory is a narrative mode based on metaphor – Star Trek is able to act out its own social fantasies and still comment on the inequalities present in modern society.

In Roland Barthes' essay “The Nautilus and the Drunken Boat,” taken from the collection Mythologies (1993), he recognises that Jules Verne's fictional ship may be a symbol for departure, but it is also a symbol for closure. 12 Similarly, one could equate Star Trek's consistent use of a ship on which to set its stories with the departure/closure dichotomy. Just as Verne's ships have been used to cross great distances so too have the U.S.S. Enterprise and Voyager. But as Barthes' work intimates, these ships represent not only travel but also a habitat: “All the ships in Jules Verne are perfect cubby-holes, and the vastness of their circumnavigation further increase the bliss of their closure, the perfection of their inner humanity.” 13 Star Trek uses the ship as a vessel for enclosing humanity; each crew is but a microcosm of contemporary America. It encapsulates cross-sections of society within the boundaries of a
ship, or in DS9's case, a space station, using the miniaturised representation of society as a metaphor for humanity's own failings and successes.

Metonymy is the trope of contiguity, part-part relationships, where a single event may provide a causal link in a chain of events. With this trope there is no determined end but rather an incomplete and continuous series of events that form an unfinished narrative. Some Star Trek episodes have not only stood on their own as individual one-hour shows, they have also been part of larger story arcs that have typified entire series. This particular trait is best identified in DS9 where the last season in particular was devoted to the culmination of a war that was first brought to attention at the end of the second season.14 DS9 was created to be different from the more explorative ethos of the original Star Trek and its later offspring TNG. What characterised DS9 were the inner conflicts and social turmoil found on the space station that could also then be found in the different alien societies encountered every episode. The larger story arcs concerned the religious, cultural, and political ideologies of entire empires and the personal conflicts initiated when races collided in war. But individual episodes would also be concerned with those issues on a smaller scale – perhaps looking at certain key characters and their backgrounds – enabling the audience to fully understand the complexities of the larger story lines and become familiar with 'who’s who'.

What is important to bear in mind when looking at DS9 is that it is metonymic because some of its story arcs were not finalised and completed when the last episode, “What You Leave Behind”, was aired in 1999. The war may have ended but many plot lines remained unfinished and the audience was left wondering what would happen to some of their favourite characters. For
example, Captain Benjamin Sisko left the station to pursue his destiny as religious Emissary to the people of Bajor. Originally he was very wary of assuming this important religious role and throughout the seven years DS9 aired on television the very secular character was shown to be at odds with his religious duties. In the last episode, however, Sisko decided to continue as Emissary and live with the Wormhole Prophets that all Bajorans looked to for spiritual guidance; they would teach him how to lead his new-found people to salvation. In undertaking this spiritual quest in a non-corporeal universe Sisko had left his son Jake and pregnant wife Kasidy on board the station wondering whether he would ever return – it also left the fans wondering if he would ever return to the screen. This was a first for Star Trek because both previous series captains, Kirk and Picard, remained in Starfleet and their characters continued as they had left off; Kirk appeared in several movies and Picard is slowly doing the same. It is because DS9 was designed as something slightly different from the franchise norm that I think Sisko's character was written with less confidence and determination for the future. Sisko's metonymic exploration of spirituality reflects how DS9 in general viewed America's unfinished journey towards a totally free and democratic nation at the beginning of a new century [see Chapter Nine]. The audience was unsure of what would happen to him just as Americans are unsure of what will happen to them entering the second millennium as the world's only financially and culturally dominant superpower. It also highlighted the post-modern tack that Star Trek had taken with DS9, searching for a new definition of life and the reaffirmation of humanity at the centre of its stories.15
Synecdoche is the trope of integration, whereby the whole of a subject can be symbolised by a small part because it has some of the inherent qualities found in the former. Take for example the cartoon comedy series *South Park* (1997- ) and *The Simpsons* (1989- ). Both are set in archetypal and stereotypical American towns where the viewer can more than likely identify with the characters they watch because they recognise them as their neighbours, friends or even themselves. The communities that the cartoons parody not only represent ‘small town America’ but they can also be seen as sophisticated goldfish bowls where the viewer can scrutinise the daily occurrences of American life whilst maintaining a fictional safe distance. Whereas in reality the viewer has probably tired of getting involved with community life and can no longer see the lighter side of their daily tedium:

*The Simpsons* takes up real human issues everybody can recognize and thus ends up in many respects less “cartoonish” than other television programs. Its cartoon characters are more human, more fully rounded, than the supposedly real human beings in many situation comedies.

Above all, the show has created a believable human community: Springfield, USA.¹⁶

*The Simpsons* is set in the fictional town of Springfield which could be anywhere in the country, proving that the show not only represents the small town version of America but also the bigger one. The poignancy of the name Springfield is even more significant considering there is at least one town in every US state called Springfield – Illinois, Massachusetts, Ohio, Missouri to name but a few – but *The Simpsons* never identifies the specific Springfield in which the series is set. *South Park* is set in Colorado, a small mountain
community where everyone knows everybody else. The main characters are four young boys who could be any young boy in America; their families and friends are just the same. This series differs slightly from *The Simpsons* because it illustrates the stereotyped characters such as the American youth, bureaucratic power-seekers, and a prejudiced WASP lower class in hyperbole. It draws attention to the familiar stereotypes but also makes them look farcical and burlesque because of the way they have been animated and also because of the way they act.

In *Star Trek* it is possible to see synecdoche quite easily, each episode on its own is a small example of the overall humanistic message that the franchise tries to mediate. The ten feature films also provide a snapshot of what lies beneath *Star Trek*'s science fiction veneer, only transposed onto the big screen they tend to concentrate on one issue and form the action and plot around it. However, taken as a whole, the *Star Trek* feature films from *The Motion Picture* (1979) to *Star Trek VI: The Undiscovered Country* (1991) represent a completed story – one that concerns the famous crew from the original series. The use of synecdoche in *Star Trek*'s narrative suggests the whole ‘thing’ described is a totality – a system.

The big screen saga started in 1979 with Kirk as an admiral tired of not being in command of the Enterprise. In 1982 with *The Wrath of Khan* Kirk has regained the captain’s chair only to lose his closest friend Spock when the crew came up against an old adversary first seen in “Space Seed” (1967). As a sequel to Spock’s death, both *The Search for Spock* (1984) and *The Voyage Home* (1986) showed Kirk and his crew disobey orders and try to rescue Spock after they find out he was not dead. Then, as fugitives, they try to return home
to 23rd century Earth after saving two humpback whales from the 20th century. In what was touted as *Star Trek*’s last film with the original crew, *The Final Frontier* (1989) shows Kirk, McCoy, and Spock close to retirement asking questions about life and mortality. Yet, when Spock’s half brother Sybok commandeers the Enterprise to seek God, the three friends along with Chekov, Uhura, Sulu, and Scotty arrive at an understanding that there is much to come in life. Following on from this new-found zeal, *Star Trek VI* sees Kirk and the Enterprise on their last mission before retiring, trying to save the fragile peace between the Klingons and the Federation. For most of the characters it is the last time fans can see them on screen but the story brings closure to the epic voyages that had started twenty five years earlier. The six films resurrect, continue, and conclude the story of the Enterprise through the use of a synecdochic narrative, and as an appendix to that Kirk dies in *Star Trek Generations* (1994) drawing the curtain on a famous and most popular *Star Trek* era.

In *Star Trek: Voyager* there has been a number of episodes where contemporary newsworthy topics such as capital punishment, genetic engineering, and organised healthcare have provided a suitable allegorical basis for stories.\(^{17}\) This concern for current affairs is not a new plot device for *Star Trek* but it does tend to characterise *Voyager*’s ethos more than past series. *DS9* finished its run in 1999 and since *Voyager* was left as the stand-alone series on the relatively new UPN network it took the lead in addressing issues important to Americans at that time; not least because 2000 was an election year where those issues proved to be important battlegrounds for the presidential candidates. It could be said that the new series, *Enterprise*, does not rely so
much on the sort of contemporary issue stories that characterised *Voyager*’s final two seasons; returning instead to a more simplistic adventure format reminiscent of the original series. However, in *Voyager*’s entirety the aforementioned episodes contribute to the series’ concentration on an inner humanity and the individual’s search for the meaning of life.

Klein and White deem irony the hardest to pinpoint due to its intrinsic ability to negate the meanings of the other three tropes. Irony seeks to bend the rules and has a tendency to make a mockery of the complexities of language. Out of this trope comes a criticism of the other three, especially metaphor, because it broadens the horizons of language to include scepticism, satire, and cynicism. Star Trek’s irony comes in the form of its more comedic episodes, wherein the familiar characters and their recognisable traits are slightly out of tune with what the audience has come to expect. Also irony becomes apparent in episodes where the crew and/or story line pays homage to the original series, both recognising the historical narrative created by *Star Trek*, and appeasing fans’ desires for meta-narrative.

Self-recognition is a common feature in *Star Trek*. There are times when characters from different series swap over and intermingle with members of the other crews. Fans enjoy this because it allows them the chance to see their favourites from different series interact with each other. The best known coming together was in the Film *Generations* where the two captains of the Enterprise, James T. Kirk and Jean-Luc Picard, met and joined forces to stop an evil nemesis. The fictional time gap between the two characters was conveniently written out so that the film could provide the fans with what they had wanted to see since TNG had first aired in 1987.
The joining together of two or more of the series can work in two opposite ways. Firstly it could be of a benefit to the fictional reality that *Star Trek* has created because it legitimises the narrative universe in which the series are set. If different characters appear on other series then it indicates that both series in question are contemporary to each other, both series represent a larger fantasy through which the audience can ‘dream’. This trait is not unique to *Star Trek* and can be found in comic book superhero narratives. Richard Reynolds defines the super-ness of superheroes such as Batman, who incidentally does not possess gifted superpowers like other comic book characters such as Spider-Man or The Hulk, in terms of their interaction with the Superman crowd. Therefore, the integrity of the characters depends upon the existence of a “universe” in which all the characters owned by a particular company inhabit the same fictional world. In *Star Trek*’s case, the larger stories that have the Federation on the brink of destruction are made more urgent for the audience because other members of other crews become involved; the threat is not restricted to just one series.

On the other hand, character integration can undermine the fictional reality created because it admits to the audience that the characters are not real – this may be obvious to some but it can come as quite a shock to more engrossed fans. For example, if a favourite character is advertised to appear on another *Star Trek* series then some might cynically say that it is only to boost the ratings. This happened when Michael Dorn was hired to play his popular *TNG* character Worf on *DS9* from Season Four onwards. Some critics implied that it was not a move to improve stories but a move to improve the viewer ratings that were lagging behind competition such as *Hercules: The Legendary*
Journeys (1994-1999) and Xena: Warrior Princess (1995-2001). Crossover episodes are hard to judge and sometimes do fail to attract good press, but some of the most successful episodes have contained crossover plots and have been some of the most entertaining.

In 1996 Star Trek celebrated its 30th anniversary and both DS9 and Voyager aired special commemorative episodes in honour of this. In “Trials and Tribble-ations” the crew of DS9 has to go back in history to save the life of Captain Kirk. They do this by becoming members of the famous Enterprise crew first seen in the 1960s. The producers managed to integrate the actors into the story “The Trouble with Tribbles” (1967) by splicing their film with the original and recreating many of the older sets. This gave the audience the chance to see what the modern characters looked like against the 1960s version of the Star Trek universe. The new plot centred on the original whereby Kirk and his crew square up to the Klingons; at the same time Tribbles (small furry animals) infest the ship. A plot to blow up the ship by using a bomb disguised as a Tribble is undertaken by a Klingon posing as a human, only in the DS9 episode the future version of the bomber tries to influence history by helping himself in the past. Sisko and his crew become members of Kirk’s crew in order to prevent the bomb going off and save Kirk’s timeline from changing. Not only did the episode recognise the popularity of the original “The Trouble with Tribbles” but it also highlighted how much the audience appreciated a comedic embellishment of a previously aired episode. Star Trek’s own history was used as a basis for a plot, taking advantage of its popularity and treating itself with a little humour. The 1996 episode had recognised the cultural impact that the original 1967 episode had made and used that to tell a new story. At
the same time that this negated the previous narrative, it also emphasised the culturally significant place *Star Trek* still holds in American society.

For Thomas M. Disch the ability to poke fun at oneself was something that science fiction was not capable of; it took itself far too seriously and suffered as a result. In *The Dreams Our Stuff is Made Of* (1998) Disch criticised television science fiction as being rather over used and clichéd, the *sense of wonder* was no longer present. However, *Star Trek* was different but not because of its liberated approach to a multi-ethnic crew, he saw its popularity inadvertently grow out of the aesthetic quality of the series: Specifically the colourful pyjamas the actors wore as uniforms. The uniform “taught that conformity will be the order of the day in the future even more than in the present,” and that all we had to aspire to was working in an office (the Enterprise) “disguised as the future.” 20 This cock-eyed view of *Star Trek*’s impact not only makes a mockery of the series but also disregards the serious attempt at change that Roddenberry tried to make with it. By concentrating on its visual look, Disch was trying to point out that too much austerity and gloominess prevented science fiction series, including *Star Trek*, from having fun with what could be an exciting and adventure-filled format. However, *Star Trek* is much more than a visual series, hence the vast amount of fan literature and the success of printed word material.

Taking into account the views of Thomas Disch one can see *Star Trek* has recently tried to have a laugh with itself, especially after having celebrated thirty five years at the pinnacle of television science fiction. In “Trials and Tribble-ations” Odo pokes fun at the odd looking older version Klingons lacking the visible forehead ridges synonymous with the more modern Klingon
look. Klingons from the sixties were painted with shoe polish and wore long thin moustaches to make them look alien; the budget could not stretch to anything more imaginative. Today Star Trek has updated the look so as to make Klingons more menacing but of course this drew attention to the fact that they were originally ridgeless. Worf in the episode had to hide his ridges and explained the discrepancy between old and new looks by saying it is something that Klingons do not like to discuss with others. The audience watching could laugh at this because Star Trek chose to recognise the visual difference between the old and new series and decided to explain it in a humorous and ironic fashion.

Voyager’s birthday episode, “Flashback” (1996), also used a previous Star Trek story. On this occasion it was based on the feature film The Undiscovered Country and was specifically concerned with the popular original series character Sulu. In this episode the audience discovers that Voyager’s Tuvok was originally a member of Sulu’s crew, therefore linking him with the famous original series and timeline. Both Janeway and Tuvok have to confront his forgotten past by assuming roles on what fans recognise as the U.S.S. Excelsior whilst not changing the past. What both special episodes represent is a similar reverence for the Star Trek phenomenon that I have already said is distinguished by Audre Lorde’s ‘poetry as illumination’. Through this reverential recognition of past episodes, the audience is further absorbed into the fictional reality of the Star Trek universe, believing more and more that “it represents a future we would like to make real.” But reverential recognition does not just occur in the episodes, there have been countless comedy sketches, TV shows, and films that have made a joke out of Star Trek
and also paid it homage. The US comedy series *Saturday Night Live* (1975–) has on numerous occasions satirised *Star Trek*, even going as far as having William Shatner take part in a sketch famously telling freakish fans to “Get a Life!” *The Simpsons* has also mocked *Star Trek* by showing the old crew as geriatrics aboard the Enterprise in *Star Trek IX: So Very Tired*, when Sulu says “Sir, there are Klingons on the starboard bow,” Kirk replies “Again with the Klingons!” Practically every episode of the cartoon *Futurama* (1999-2003) (created by *The Simpsons*’ Matt Groening) has visual and thematic links to the classic series, no doubt partly due to the fact it is set in the future and *Star Trek* is notorious for promoting its own version of the future. Given that its central character, Fry, is transplanted from 20th century New York to the 31st, he brings these historic cultural references forward with him to the future where they are ironically laughed at by his friends because they represent a future that is archaic compared to their advanced timeline. In the episode “Where No Fan Has Gone Before” (2002) Fry determines to retrieve *Star Trek* episode tapes that were banished to a forbidden planet: “The world needs *Star Trek* to give people hope for the future,” Fry declares. Leela replies “But it’s set 800 years in the past!” The film *The Cable Guy* (1996) – a dark satire on the power of television – pays tribute to the famous scene from “Amok Time” (1967), when Spock challenges Kirk to a death match. It does this by placing the characters played by Jim Carrey and Matthew Broderick in a similar situation with the same music and weapons used in the original. Even Kate Mulgrew (Captain Janeway) has taken part in a comedy sketch with the cast from *Frasier* (1993-2004) as her crew on board *Voyager* for the television special *Star Trek: Thirty Years and Beyond* (1996). What these examples suggest is that whether *Star
Trek is mocked or idealised people have been affected by it and use its phenomenal appeal to express their own desires and highlight Star Trek’s idiosyncrasies in a humorous and comical way.

I hope to have explicated some of the underlying historical narrative modes of production that make Star Trek what it is, now I want simply to outline some of the different forms of fictional narrative that can be identified in many of its episodes and films. The first is the “multiform story” recognised by Janet H. Murray as a term that describes “a written or dramatic narrative that presents a single situation or plotline in multiple versions, versions that would be mutually exclusive in our ordinary experience.” This is a common style through which Star Trek tells its stories; many of its films and episodes are based on the premise that there is more than one alternative timeline.

In the original episode “Mirror, Mirror” (1967) Captain Kirk is accidentally transported onto an alternate version of the Enterprise where the normally peace-loving Federation is a war-mongering barbaric empire. He tries to persuade the opposite version of Spock to change in order for that timeline to be more like his own. This obviously brings about a short-term improvement for the alternate Enterprise but unfortunately in the long-term Kirk’s message of change proves to be the downfall of the Federation. We see the results of this in DS9 crossover episodes where humans have become galactic slaves to the Klingon, Bajoran, and Cardassian alliance: “Crossover” (1994), “Through the Looking Glass” (1995), “Shattered Mirror” (1996), “Resurrection” (1997), “The Emperor’s New Cloak” (1998). These episodes play out separate plotlines in which familiar characters are somewhat different to the originals and provide an equivalent but upside-down version of the Star Trek universe in
which the normal characters can be exchanged to accommodate an exciting story.

The multiform story is also used in such episodes as TNG's "Parallels" (1993), where Worf shifts through a number of alternative timelines. These range from having a family with his crewmate Deanna Troi to realising that his friends have been killed in the battle with the Borg from "The Best of Both Worlds". "Yesterday's Enterprise" (1990) also involves a multiform story, only this time it deals with Star Trek lore. The crew of Picard's Enterprise is transported into another time where they are at war with the Klingons, this is because a previous incarnation of the famous ship was somehow not destroyed and henceforth did not go down in history as the catalyst for forging peace between Klingons and Humans. Picard decides to sacrifice himself, and the Enterprise that should have made history, in order for the proper timeline to be restored. Voyager also uses this plot device to tell its stories. In the two part episode "Year of Hell" (1997) Captain Janeway and her crew fight to survive the attacks of an alien race intent on destroying the ship because they want to change history. Each time the ship survives an attack the timeline is altered slightly, killing many of the main characters and destroying entire planets. At the end of the episode, the original timeline is repaired so that it is as if the crew experienced nothing.

Star Trek uses this method of story telling because society requires a mode of expression that can accommodate different possibilities. People need a means through which they can exercise their complex and composite imagination; the multiform story provides that because it illustrates various

[The multiform narrative’s] alternate versions of reality are now part of the way we think, part of the way we experience the world. To be alive in the twentieth century is to be aware of the alternative possible selves, of alternative possible worlds, and of the limitless intersecting stories of the actual world. 22

With *Star Trek* the multiform story works because it has a narrative history which serves as a basis for many of its episodes; there is already a narrative framework in place for multiple plots to expand upon and characters to harmonise with. For fans its “alternate versions of reality” are part of the way they experience their own world and, as a result, part of how they identify themselves and want to imagine the future. As ever, science fiction succeeds in extrapolating ideas about the future by using contemporary methods of storytelling very much grounded in literary tradition. Rather than being a twenty-fourth century tale about the future, *Star Trek* can, and may well always, be considered a story about contemporary society and how we deal with our own past and present.
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CHAPTER THREE

Creating and Comparing Myth: *Star Trek* and *Star Wars*

One of the reasons for *Star Trek’s* popularity is that it does the work of myth... By confronting through narrative those problems that are among the most unmanageable in ordinary life, myth opens a space for creativity within the irreconcilable polarities of our existence.¹

According to Susan L. Schwartz "*Star Trek* is a vast modern mythos" that counteracts "the fickleness of American culture and its search for the rational, factual, and real", even though "it is not historically true."² To an extent Schwartz is correct in her assertion, *Star Trek* does play the part of a modern day myth; however, to say that it bears no resemblance to historical fact is inaccurate. As I have already pointed out, *Star Trek’s* cultural value with its own fans would be depreciated if it lacked grounding in historical fact. They watch the series because it is founded on an authentic representation of contemporary life inherently integrated with their history. Indeed, both *Star Trek* and *Star Wars* not only take historical facts and make compelling stories from them, they also use culturally inherited myths and symbols synonymous with the very roots of Western civilisation. In this section I want to examine those myths central to science fiction’s two most popular products which will highlight their similarities and differences, perhaps offering an explanation of why they became and still remain so popular with fans and academics. After a brief outline of how myth is used in *Star Trek* I revaluate Robert Jewett and John Shelton Lawrence’s seminal piece *The American Monomyth* (1977) in the context of *Star Trek’s* more recent incarnations. Following on from that, and in
response to the fact that there has been a substantial amount of previous work done on *Star Trek* and *Star Wars* individually but not together, I offer my own comparative analysis of how the two science fiction franchises compare in their creation and use of history and myth.

In 1987 Lane Roth wrote the essay “Death and Rebirth in *Star Trek II: The Wrath of Khan.*” In it the mythic qualities of the death/rebirth cycle are ascribed to the plot of *Star Trek*’s most popular feature film. The death and subsequent resurrection of Spock is given mythical significance thanks to the archetypal doubling motifs found in Western literature. Both Kirk and Spock undergo transformations that subscribe to the myth and thus formulate them as a “double hero,” whereby Spock sacrifices his life to save his crew and Kirk’s vision, or inner spirit, is modified to accept death as part of life and thereby understand what makes us human. Three *Star Trek* uses myth intelligently, it adapts its stories to incorporate familiar mythical paradigms that figure centrally within our own society, history, and culture. These stories may be centuries old and have been resigned to the past, but *Star Trek* breathes life back into them by retelling them in a yet-to-be-decided utopian future.

Myth is an important mode through which histories can be written and told; *Star Trek*’s use of myth is no different. Richard Slotkin describes myth as “the primary language of historical memory: a body of traditional stories that have, over time, been used to summarize the course of our collective history.” Myths not only make up these stories they also “assign ideological meanings to that history.” If *Star Trek* is history then the mythical stories it uses to produce its episodes carry meaning. Myth also serves as a mode of national identity-making; a shared history common to those who have the power becomes myth
when used to create a sense of collective cultural capital. Countries thrive on myths to create, substantiate, and preserve their national identity. Jeffrey Richards describes them as “episodes from their history that are removed from their context, shorn of complications and qualifications, stripped down to their essentials and endlessly repeated as manifestations of the nation’s character, worth and values.” Therefore they are imbued with what Slotkin calls “ideological meaning” because myths are created to represent nations and peoples who themselves have their own political and social agendas. For example, Star Trek’s ‘exploration imperative’ can be connected to the myth of the American frontier, but doing so endows Star Trek with numerous inherent culturally sanctioned meanings and ideological interpretations linked to westward expansion and Manifest Destiny. I will be examining Star Trek’s contradictions with regard to its infallible sense of morality and the exhibition of quite often fallible American ideology in close detail later in this thesis.

Even though Star Trek uses the fantastic future in which to set its allegorical stories I have stressed that it has looked to the past as means of broadcasting its messages. The use of myth in this storytelling process further entrenches Star Trek as history; it is not a fictional view of a brighter future but rather an ideological view of a real past. Vivian Sobchack’s earlier criticism of the series resonates through Robert Jewett and John Shelton Lawrence’s examination of Star Trek’s manipulation of myth taken from The American Monomyth:

Instead of a rigorously self-critical scientific outlook, Star Trek offers pseudo-empiricism, an empirical veneer of gadgetry, and crew talk applied to a mythical superstructure.
A myth common to *Star Trek* narrative is the American Monomyth, described by Jewett and Lawrence as "a tale of redemption." The classical monomyth concerned the rite of passage and initiation of a lone hero who undertook great voyages and adventures: for example the Greek heroes Hercules and Odysseus. The American version combines the lone hero motif with an inherent urge to do good and be redeemed, to bring about the salvation of those less fortunate: the most obvious example of this would be the messianic figure of Jesus Christ. Both the ‘initiation myth’ and the ‘salvation myth’ form the unique American Monomyth and at the root of them both is the underlying sense of freedom. In the case of Hercules and Odysseus freedom was personified by the pursuit to attain manhood through heroic acts of adventure, freedom for Jesus meant freedom from sin for those he had sacrificed his life to save. Jewett and Lawrence stress that the American Monomyth “secularizes the Judeo-Christian redemption dramas that have arisen on American soil.” Link this with Orlando Patterson’s statement that “Christianity, alone among the religions of salvation, made freedom the doctrinal core of its soteria,” and one can conclude that the desire for liberty, above all else, defines the Monomyth and the heroes that follow it. Yet protecting liberty and being redeemed does not save the hero from the obscurity of his isolation, he must leave those people he helps and go on his way to perhaps help others in distress. This idea is indicative of the archetypal Hollywood westerns such as *Shane* (1953), *The Searchers* (1956), and *The Magnificent Seven* (1960) or the more modern comic-superhero movies such as *Superman* (1978) and *Batman* (1989).

For J.P. Telotte in *Science Fiction Film* (2001), one method of analysing myth in these sorts of film is the Jungian psychoanalytical approach.
This approach “treats film as a primary myth and thus a key reflection of cultural identity.”\textsuperscript{10} For Jung the individual was central, their personal journey on the path of individuation – “an initiation into the demands of the human environment, combined with the gaining of a deep self-knowledge” – leads to a realisation and formation of the self.\textsuperscript{11} In terms of \textit{Star Trek}, the captain and the crew succeed in their mission by drawing together to defeat the enemy or save an alien society, in the process the individual learns to survive and achieves maturity. Louis Woods and Gray Harmon have also identified Jung’s concerns with opposites and the shadow in earlier episodes of the series “as they interact in the formation of the nature, structure and functioning of the human psyche.”\textsuperscript{12} Important episodes such as “The Enemy Within” (1966) and “Mirror, Mirror” exemplify \textit{Star Trek}’s tendency to analyse human duality through the personification of the “evil twin” or “doppelganger.”\textsuperscript{13} For characters such as the cowboy or superhero who act primarily on their own there is a point in a film when the self is activated, perhaps triggered by scenes of personal disaster such as losing a loved one or assuming their superpowers for the first time, and they embark on their own personal mission to attain individuation: In \textit{Star Wars}, Luke Skywalker decides to go with Ben Kenobi and become a Jedi after he sees his aunt and uncle killed at the hands of the Empire.\textsuperscript{14} Telotte points out that this method of analysis may not be as popular as the Freudian or Lacanian methodology but it “remains attractive for the way it manages to explain the compelling and apparently mythic power of film.”\textsuperscript{15}

The original series provides a wide range of texts that revolve around the spirit of the American Monomyth. Kirk was the main protagonist (culprit) when it came to interfering with entire planetary societies and small village
communities for the apparent greater good. By confronting the primary ideological core often represented by a central computer or omniscient machine, or a leader who has been misguided in his duties to practice democracy, Kirk would substantiate his desire to do good by defending his actions in the name of freedom for those people he thought were being oppressed. Kirk’s moral vision “partakes of the spirit and rhetoric of the Pax Americana,” whereby Kirk’s zeal for the righteousness of the mission transcends any doubts over interfering with other civilisations.\textsuperscript{16}

In “Return of the Archons” (1967) for example the crew of the \textit{Enterprise} beam down to a planet where all of the inhabitants seem heavily tranquillised, then at six o’clock almost all go crazy and start looting and fighting. After an investigation Kirk finds out that this behaviour is the will of Landru, the people’s leader. At the end of the episode Kirk and Spock confront Landru, a highly sophisticated computer left by the real Landru to show the people a better way; in order to spare their lives Kirk argues that the highest good requires the people to have freedom to express their creativity. In convincing the computer that it was restricting the people’s freedom and therefore detracting them from the better way, Landru short-circuits and the planet is set free. Another computer that controls the lives of an innocent society is seen in the episode “A Taste of Armageddon” (1967) where a bizarre war between two planets is governed entirely by computers, but with real deaths. The machines calculate who has died, and then those individuals report to be killed in disintegration chambers. Kirk and Spock are unsurprisingly appalled at this situation and try to influence the leaders in stopping the bloody war, yet they are captured and as a last resort Kirk destroys the main computer.
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This action forces the peoples from the two planets to face the prospect of real war, and given the devastating results, they opt to talk with each other about peace. These two episodes juxtapose Kirk, with all the characteristics of a moral and just hero, against machines that are amoral and do not realise the power they have over people's lives. When faced with Kirk's logical and emotional outbursts they cannot retaliate and so Kirk assumes the mantle of saviour and sets the seemingly oppressed people free to determine their own destinies.

In episodes such as "Errand of Mercy" (1967) and "The Omega Glory" Kirk tries to reason with humanoids instead of machines to bring salvation to vulnerable and misguided people. However, the results are the same. Kirk manages to convince the Yang leader in "The Omega Glory" that the holy words of scripture - bearing remarkable resemblance to the United States Constitution - are not only meant for the Yangs (Yankees) but also for their bitter enemy the Kohms (Communists). This not only proclaims Kirk as a redeeming heroic figure, showing the Yangs the error in their poor translation of the Constitution, but also as a saviour because he brings the long war to an end. Symbolically Kirk also brings an end to the Cold War, which of course distinguished the contemporary political mind set in America, thereby certifying him as an American hero of the present as well as the future. His superiority is exercised through his ability to properly translate and interpret the Yang's Constitution by linking it to the original historic document which, within the Star Trek narrative, was over six hundred years old.

Kirk's monomythic role in "Errand of Mercy" is turned against him as he tries to convince a community of simple farmers that they need his
protection from the Klingons. Despite his attempts at showing the Organians that the Klingons are going to subjugate them if they do not fight back the colonists refuse to resort to violence. In desperation Kirk storms the Klingons headquarters to prevent them from killing the Organians, unwittingly provoking them to use their telepathic powers to disarm both the Federation and Klingon troops. The Organians are revealed to be a superior peace-loving people who do not allow violence and thus offer an ultimatum to the warring commanders to stop fighting or their weapons will not function. Ironically, Kirk’s role as monomythic hero is reversed and he is himself saved from annihilation, redemption this time coming on behalf of the Organians who have already created their own version of a utopian paradise. This episode, together with “A Private Little War” (1968), “A Piece of the Action” (1968), and “The Apple” (1967), metaphorically comment on America’s involvement in Vietnam. According to Mark Lagon’s analysis of Star Trek of the sixties, this treatment “overtly examines the compulsion of American foreign policy makers to feel that they owe it to other countries to interfere in their affairs.” 17 As result, America’s belief in the monomyth comes under extreme pressure because Kirk represents both the aggressive version of American foreign policy and the more clandestine and socio-political versions synonymous with the Cold War.

To see an illustration of the monomyth in later series one need only look at the recent film Star Trek: Insurrection (1998) to get an impression of the mythic paradigms the series is employing to entertain its audience and fan base. The crew of the Enterprise-E is called to investigate the erratic behaviour of the android Data on the planet Ba’ku. After serious signs of foul play are
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discovered, Picard decides to remain on the planet against orders and tries to save the people of Ba’ku from a forced relocation reminiscent of the actions taken by the American government against Native Americans in the nineteenth century. The seven main characters: Picard, Riker, Data, Worf, LaForge, Crusher, and Troi act as redeeming heroes as they attempt to get the Ba’ku to safety and preserve their innocent tranquillity. In the process they assume the mythical status of the American cowboy by fulfilling all the requirements of the American Monomyth.

This plot strongly resembles that of *The Magnificent Seven* – and therefore its predecessor Akira Kurosawa’s *The Seven Samurai* (1954) – where seven skilled gunfighters are hired to protect a poor Mexican village, prepared to suffer the consequences and die in order to safeguard the innocent and protect their liberty. However, in *Insurrection*’s case the crew is likely to be court-martialled rather than killed and their skills are not wholly reliant on handling a gun. Nevertheless, iconographically there is a little interplay between the two films in a scene where Picard, Data, Worf, Crusher, and Troi have a Mexican stand-off with five robotic drones that have come to capture the Ba’ku. The five heroic crew members stare down the enemy with phasers in hand, music building up the tension reminiscent of the Elmer Bernstein piece, just like Chris, Vin and the rest of the Seven confront the vicious Calvera in a gun-slinging free-for-all last stand [see Appendix 2]. This scene is representative of the mythical paradigms *Star Trek* uses to make a connection with its audience and also how it uses familiar cinematic images to add to the movie’s overall entertainment level. However, with both elements combined *Star Trek* is able to update an over-used and out-of-date film genre by
transporting its iconography to the final frontier. At the same time, it can also use the culturally sanctioned meanings attributed to the original to disseminate its own contemporary and socially aware messages to Americans already acquainted with the cowboy and Western genre. In effect Star Trek returns to a mythical history to tell its stories about the erstwhile determined mythical future.

The essence of the American monomyth can be seen in many Star Trek episodes because the crew, specifically Kirk and the Enterprise, explore space and help those in need of assistance – albeit breaking the rules of the Prime Directive (the prohibition against influencing other cultures) in the process. After they have achieved their goal they leave and continue their mission to "seek out new life and new civilisations." According to Jay Goulding, this constant cycle of heroism on behalf of the crew is a "fundamental law of mythology – a never ending repetition." For him, Star Trek promises much in the way of freedom, equality, and self-actualisation but instead delivers imperialistic flag waving whereby Kirk and his crew "rarely come to rest... fleeing or flying to the next planet, to the next battlefield, to the next conquest, struggling, denying themselves and sometimes dying but always holding the flag upright."18 Delivering its own brand of Federation democracy is what drives Star Trek's mission on the screen in the form of classic science fiction, and, with its audience, in the mass consumption of common cultural myths. In the words of Jewett and Lawrence the hero of the monomyth mirrors exactly what Star Trek's most renowned captain did in nearly every episode, except for "recedes into obscurity" read "continues his five year mission":
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A community in a harmonious paradise is threatened by evil: normal institutions fail to contend with this threat: a selfless superhero emerges to renounce temptations and carry out the redemptive task: aided by fate, his decisive victory restores the community to its paradisal condition: the superhero then recedes into obscurity.¹⁹

The monomyth may have first characterised the original series but it has also provided TNG and Voyager with endless stories in which the redemptive qualities of the two crews could be exercised in order to bring salvation to the aliens in trouble. To a great extent, Voyager signals a return to the simpler adventure format of the original series yet the main theme seems to be based on Homer’s Odyssey: The mythical struggle to return home is the most important objective. However, the latter two series indicate awareness that the original conception of the Prime Directive was inadequate; Kirk could not resist interfering with entire societies because he thought he knew better. As a result the newer captains try to employ a little restraint in their aid, even going as far as risking court-martial to stop their superiors from interfering with innocent civilians – see for example Insurrection. Later in this thesis I shall be examining Star Trek’s expansionist ideology and the role the Prime Directive has within it. For now, I want to stress that the American monomyth lies at the root of why Star Trek keeps returning to these sorts of stories; it is a myth that carries great cultural significance for Americans therefore Star Trek inevitably uses it to frame much of its historical narrative.

Star Trek is not alone in the manipulation of mythical science fiction; the Star Wars trilogy created by George Lucas was an attempt to bring back hope to a nation when it seemed in short supply in 1977. His vision was to
resurrect the myths and legends that had once defined society, but had since been forgotten because people had more pressing social problems to deal with: The economy was at an all time low, the Vietnam War had just finished with no clear victor, and Watergate caused scandal within a government that had already lost public confidence. America was in definite need of a cultural tonic that would inspire people and speak to their concerns and at the same time “offer some timeless wisdom.” Star Wars was to be George Lucas’ prescription for America; this was in stark contrast with Lucas’ previous science fiction film THX 1138 (1971) which depicted a futuristic dystopian world where humans were reduced to bottom-line budgetary numbers and America was racked by racial, class, and economic tensions indicative of late twentieth century industrial society. However, as in Star Wars where “a new hope” was literally reborn to save a way of life, the eponymous hero THX provided some optimism with his climb to freedom outside of his underground prison.

The two science fiction phenomena appear to have the same basic creative foundations; both were designed to speak to Americans in need of social and moral guidance. George Lucas and Gene Roddenberry were responding to their own social times and acted upon the contemporary issues that faced America in the sixties and seventies. However, this is where the similarities between the two end. Firstly Star Trek has more-or-less continually been screened on television and film throughout its thirty five year history, Star Wars on the other hand has been restricted to the cinema and Lucas has only recently continued the saga with Episode I: The Phantom Menace (1999) and Episode II: Attack of the Clones (2002). This means that Star Trek is able to
keep up with contemporary social issues, as I have previously established, and its series are able to adapt and change to suit American popular taste. *Star Wars*, apart from its timely inception at the close of the seventies, relies upon the mediation of ancient myth to address American problems rather than being linked with newsworthy topics of the present day:

Lucas devoured the great themes: epic struggles between good and evil, heroes and villains, magical princes and ogres, heroines and evil princesses, the transmission from fathers to sons of the powers of both good and evil. What the myths revealed to Lucas, among other things, was the capacity of the human imagination to conceive alternate realities to cope with reality: figures and places and events that were before now or beyond now but were rich with meaning to our present.21

*Star Wars*, and to some extent *Star Trek*, have taken history and myth and transformed them into a new package, quite literally taking a postmodern approach to looking back at the past to learn about the present. This commodification of the past indicates a cultural engagement with nostalgia so intimate and impervious that, as Fredric Jameson has pointed out, “we are unable today to focus on our own present, as though we have become incapable of achieving aesthetic representations of our current experience.”22 *Star Trek*’s fixation with its own past in *Enterprise* is further evidence for its postmodern entanglement with history and myth, only *Star Trek* is romanticising about a history that has scientific and historical grounding in reality. Jameson also explains that *Star Wars*’ use of nostalgia to convey the past metonymically is indicative of an American yearning to return to more innocent times: the films and Saturday afternoon TV serials such as *Buck Rogers* (1939, 1950) and *Flash*
Adam Roberts links this yearning in *Star Wars* to a particular period of science fiction literary history: the Pulps of the Golden Age. As well as eulogising its sf heritage *Star Wars* “translates it into something larger-scale, bigger-budget, more sophisticated and glossy,” acting as an “intertextual force” looking backwards “over the history of the genre itself.” Telotte goes further and describes *Star Wars* as “homage to a great number of films and film types – the western, war films, Japanese samurai films – all of which have contributed to Lucas’s vision.” This trend is not unique to *Star Wars* but marks “the stirrings of a postmodern pastiche influence that has increasingly characterized our science fiction films.”

Where *Star Trek* has taken myth and “clothed [it],” according to William B. Tyrrell, “in the garb of science fiction” in order to present a possible and positive future, *Star Wars* has taken ancient myth and created an “alternate reality” admittedly set in the past. Within this new, and at the same time, ancient reality technological advancement and things of the future are set “a long time ago in a galaxy far, far away.” Steven Galipeau identifies it as a “mythic time” created by the “interweaving myths of technology and religion occurring in some other galaxy.” For *Star Trek*, mythology is a narrative tool with which it can illustrate and correct historical indiscretions, frame many of its episodes and plot lines, and create hope for the future. At the same time, it makes fans believe whole-heartedly that *Star Trek’s* reality has existed, still exists, and will continue to exist far beyond their lifetime. For *Star Wars* mythology is a historically-based series of symbols and characters which connect with human society and tell us how things were done in the past –
perhaps this is why some fans of Star Wars say it is not science fiction but rather science fantasy.\textsuperscript{28} For example:

\begin{quote}
"Most legends have their basis in facts."
\end{quote}

\begin{quote}
"...many of the truths we cling to depend greatly on our own point of view."
\end{quote}

Both of the quotes above infer how each series regards its method of mythic storytelling: Star Trek looks for a historical common ground with reality, "legends" having "their basis in facts"; Star Wars prefers to leave the story telling to its audiences, allowing them to form their own opinions from the mythical framework Lucas created from a variety of sources, "truths" depending "on our own point of view." Or, as Jay Goulding puts it more simply,

\begin{quote}
Star Trek as science fiction is overtly anti-mythic in its attempt to rationalize, systematize and package reality [à la Jewett and Lawrence's pseudo-empiricism], while Star Wars, as fantasy, is overtly myth-affirming, with its reliance on unseen magical forces which bring order to the personality and to the universe.\textsuperscript{29}
\end{quote}

To further argue this point, Jon Wagner and Jan Lundeen emphasise that "while fantasy may bear a superficial resemblance to traditional myth in its rustic and magical character," for example Star Wars' battle between good and evil, young heroes and ancient sorcery, science fiction like Star Trek "has a stronger functional parallel with older myths, because its futuristic setting entails a more serious kind of truth claim."\textsuperscript{30} Consequently, Star Trek begs
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questions such as ‘what if?’ and ‘what might be?’ that I have already identified are part of its compulsion to teach the audience how to “learn from the mistakes of the past.” Nevertheless, both have acquired mythic status in the present and with that go the hearts and imaginations of millions of fans.

What is striking about Star Trek and Star Wars is the enormous amount they can tell us about society; how much they represent contemporary trends and tastes is just as significant as what histories and myths they use to create their own versions of an alternate reality. Both franchises have turned to their own historical narratives to resurrect new and exciting stories to keep their fans involved and interested: Star Trek has created a pre-Kirk series that charts its own journey through very detailed and catalogued history; George Lucas has concentrated on fleshing out and substantiating his original trilogy by investing millions in making three prequels that he hopes will recapture the imagination of cinema goers. These acts of self-examination not only highlight science fiction’s trend of looking to its forebears, but they also show how much American society has become disgruntled with its own time; to all intents and purposes the present is having a knock-on effect on what science fiction audiences want to see on their screens. As a result, the mythic and futuristic times offered by both series offer a way out of dealing with contemporary life; it is not because audiences want to live in a mythic past but rather history and myth offer a better template to fantasise about and create the future. Brooks Landon’s claim that science fiction is not about “what the future might hold, but the inevitable hold of the present over the future” makes clear that it is the present that determines what constitutes our science fiction. Therefore, I would say that Star Trek and Star Wars both view myth as a means to
counteract the turmoil and uncertainty of that present American, and perhaps global, society.

History is a representation of the past; it is information transformed into story, which, over time, becomes part of a shared mythology. These stories and myths are told by Star Trek as futuristic narratives; sometimes they are embedded in symbols and tropes or, as in the case of 'going back in time,' in stories concerning the dilemma between right and wrong. The stories Star Trek recounts about the past in the future produce images that at the micro-level some Americans use to perceive themselves as individuals both separate from and within society, and, at a macro-level, use to recognise America as a community or nation. By telling the right stories, Star Trek can help America imagine itself acting as a community, pulling together to resolve its problems often tackled in weekly episodes, ultimately overcoming a national anxiety deeply-rooted in the conception of its own history.

Having defined what sustains Star Trek's aptitude for telling good stories, and described the assortment of tropes and plot devices it uses to mediate its own historical narrative, I now want to turn to one specific mode of American narration: The Puritan Jeremiad. In this I shall endeavour to illustrate Star Trek's historical and cultural links to this peculiar and exceptional form of rhetoric. The groundwork done in Part One should help to clarify some of the links I want to uncover in Part Two using the work of Sacvan Bercovitch and his seminal analysis, The American Jeremiad (1978).
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PART TWO

“For we must Consider that we shall be as a City upon a Hill, the eyes of all people are upon us”: The American Jeremiad and Star Trek’s Puritan Legacy

Where there is no revelation, the people cast off restraint; but blessed is he who keeps the law.

- Proverbs, 29:18, NIV.

“All your people must learn before you can reach for the stars.”


For Ziauddin Sardar “science fiction explores space,” but not the realms of outer space as one might think, instead he has observed that “as a genre the space that science fiction most intimately explores is interior and human; to tell future stories it recycles the structure and tropes of ancient narrative tradition and to devise dramatic tension it deploys issues and angst that are immediately present.”¹ In this section, with such a definition in mind, I want to extrapolate what interior spaces Star Trek explores and what sort of ancient narrative structure it uses to tell the kind of future stories to which we have grown accustomed in its thirty five year history. More specifically, Part Two concerns Star Trek’s links with the American foundational narrative: The American Jeremiad. It concentrates on how both the jeremiad and Star Trek refer back to the past in order to prophesy a better future. The Puritan experience was based on the assumption that they were going somewhere better as God’s chosen people; their exceptionalism would be proven to the rest of the world when
America became home to the new Zion. *Star Trek* also promotes a form of human exceptionalism based on the American version that has permeated its history. Its look at the future revolves around the premise that spatial expansion can bring cultural and social improvement to humanity but more exploration of the human soul is required in order to fulfil our universal destiny.

The jeremiad provided a link to the Puritans' ancestors by using their past sins as examples of how to continue and complete their divine mission in the future. *Star Trek* does the same by projecting America's historical and social transgressions, and its climate for change, onto the future universe in which it is set. The exceptionalist, progressive, expansive, prophetic, yet unfinished tones of the Puritan jeremiad resonate throughout *Star Trek* because it bases its ethos on centuries-old themes and tropes first recognisable in the American continent when the Pilgrims set foot on Plymouth Rock in 1620. When John Winthrop spoke of a 'City upon a Hill' he was not only laying out terms for the foundation of a community in New England, he was unwittingly producing a framework for the continuing progression of American exceptionalist tropes well into the 21st century and, as we see in *Star Trek*, into the 24th. It is this legacy which is at the heart of *Star Trek*'s message of a utopian future first mediated in the 1960s, and still being screened and written about after the turn of the century.

As we will begin to see in the following chapter, notions of self-improvement and individual change become increasingly important to both the Puritan errand and *Star Trek*'s utopian message. The exceptionalism of the chosen community cannot be achieved unless the individual is prepared to change. This change must be for both the good of the community and the good
of the individual and, as we see with regard to Star Trek, the individual has ultimate responsibility for their own actions and personal success. This is not a new development in the history of American culture; the archetype of the “self-made man” has been an integral part of the American myth of success and self-improvement. Since the publication of Benjamin Franklin’s “The Way to Wealth” (1757) and later his Autobiography (1868), originally written in four parts at different times in Franklin’s career, the image of the self-made man has permeated American success literature. Through concerted effort a man of limited means could rise up and assume a higher position within the community, shine out as an example of how hard work could improve the nation.

John Cawelti has identified three main strands of thought on the meaning of the self-made man. These three different interpretations are responsible for the persistence of the self-made man figure as popular hero in American society; through their continued synthesis the archetype survives. The first version of the self-made man emerges from the “conservative tradition of the middle-class Protestant ethic which stressed the values of piety, frugality, and diligence” in one’s life calling. According to Cawelti this tradition achieved its highest popularity with the publication of the Horatio Alger stories for children. The second version emphasised getting ahead, a largely economic definition of success. Cawelti describes it as having endorsed more secular qualities such as “initiative, aggressiveness, competitiveness, and forcefulness.” These elements of the self-made man were most often found in the self-help literature of the nineteenth century, and are still present in today’s money-driven society where “getting rich quick” is of
primary importance. Finally, the third version of the self-made man is perhaps the most important in the context of this section and my overall thesis. Cawelti defines its success being “tied to individual fulfilment and social progress rather than to wealth or status. This tradition also showed a greater concern for the social implications of individual mobility.” So, rather than looking out for oneself, this version of the self-made man was concerned with his success in relation to the community as a whole; personal change being linked to community progress. It is this version, first identified in the works of Franklin and Thomas Jefferson and developed by Ralph Waldo Emerson in his concept of self-reliance, which Cawelti describes as being “an increasingly important element of American thought,” and one which I believe is integral to the formulation of Star Trek’s future utopia.
Notes


CHAPTER FOUR

“The shifting signs of the times”: The Jeremiad and American Culture

A city on a hill cannot be hidden.

- Matthew, 5:14, NIV.

Star Trek’s connections with American Exceptionalism and the myth of the American Frontier have been analysed in a number of previous scholarly texts so I do not intend to cover the same ground here. Both Daniel Bernardi and Chris Gregory have located Star Trek within a rhetorical framework of the frontier and notions of the American Dream.¹ Such notions were particularly relevant during John F. Kennedy’s politics of the ‘New Frontier’ and Ronald Reagan’s ‘Cowboy Diplomacy’. Rick Worland has also examined Star Trek’s conception “as a latter day successor to the Western” combined with “romantic tales of the British Empire” borrowed from C.S. Forester’s Hornblower novels, and theorised that the original series provided “a distinctly American parable of international politics and domestic social issues of the 1960s.”² There is no question that Star Trek was, and is, grounded in quite nationalistic and exceptional politics that, as Bernardi asserts, “perpetuate a final frontier that is explored and domesticated for a dominantly white imagination.”³ Nevertheless, I intend to establish that Star Trek’s legacy stretches back further than the era of frontier politics and the idea of America’s Manifest Destiny, since those concepts are more recent national developments. I seek to demonstrate Star Trek’s bond with a more pure form of destiny rhetoric found in the ideology and theology of America’s Pilgrim Fathers, acknowledging that they were the
progenitors of American Exceptionalism and it was their actions that inspired belief in “a spiritualised conception of national identity.”

If *Star Trek* represents a relationship with America’s past then it has to have some sort of connection with it, not only the history it takes as its subject base, but some sort of rhetorical and historical language which it can use to communicate and mediate. The Jeremiad, specifically the jeremiad Sacvan Bercovitch writes about, is the glue that holds together the American past and the American future because it acts as a fluid typology that enables contemporary society to refer back for guidance. *Star Trek* acts as a marker for Americans, just as the jeremiad did for the Pilgrim Fathers and their descendants so that they could stay informed of their mission status. Described as a “litany of hope” for those who had lost faith in their mission, its usage and rhetoric of divine destiny need not be restricted to the Puritans. *Star Trek* inherited it from a distinct American tradition of lament and celebration embodied by intellectual luminaries such as Emerson, Thoreau, and Whitman; and more recently exemplified by Martin Luther King’s denouncement of segregation as a violation of “America’s errand to freedom” during the Civil Rights movement. Edward Bellamy’s famous utopian novel *Looking Backward* (1888) also celebrates the march toward America’s future. Written at a time when the Progressive Movement aimed to reform urban American society by returning to community values of the small town, “*Looking Backward* anticipated the movement for reform not through the rousing rhetoric of revolution but by espousing rationalist principles.” Much like the Puritan concern with the past and how it should influence the present, Bellamy stressed in his preface that the American utopia was achievable through faith
and hard work: “Nowhere can we find more solid ground for daring anticipation of human development during the next one thousand years, than by ‘Looking Backward’ upon the progress of the last one hundred.”

The American Jeremiad was the Puritans’ method of understanding both their place in the New World and their destiny as a godly community on the frontier; and has been described by Sacvan Bercovitch in *The American Jeremiad* as a “ritual designed to join social criticism to spiritual renewal, public to private identity, the shifting ‘signs of the times’ to certain traditional metaphors, themes, and symbols.”

*Star Trek* also behaves in this manner. In reliving moments of American History and mediating American cultural myths, it provides Americans with narratives with which they can form their own opinions about America’s foundational experience and ultimately even their own personal identities. It was created as a style of social commentary, intent on criticising America in the late sixties during a period of extreme social and political turmoil, and still today it continues to mediate pictures of contemporary society on a futuristic background. *Star Trek* uses traditional metaphors, themes and symbols known to the American audience in order to transmit those messages: Themes such as expansion, exploration, frontier life, liberty, individualism, good vs. evil etc. which form the basis of America’s foundational narrative. For the purpose of this chapter, I have specifically looked at three feature films: *Star Trek IV: The Voyage Home* (1986), *Star Trek V: The Final Frontier* (1989), and *Star Trek VI: The Undiscovered Country* (1991). These three films form what I call a “tri-part jeremiad”, referring specifically to the social and historical message they transmitted to an audience in the late eighties and their response to a unique political climate influenced
by the end of the Cold War, the twilight years of Ronald Reagan’s Presidency, and America’s transition to the status of the world’s only remaining superpower.¹⁰

To begin, I wish to briefly explain what the American Jeremiad was, and how it formed the basis for the Puritans’ understanding of America as the Promised Land in their past, present, and future. Then, moving on to a more detailed analysis of Star Trek’s puritan legacy, I will describe how the jeremiad can be viewed in the aforementioned movies in both a 20th and 24th century American context. Finally, in conclusion, I suggest that Star Trek’s prognosis for the future follows on coherently and chronologically from the jeremiad, America’s first distinctive literary genre, but also suffers due to the inevitable ideological undertones associated with such a political and idealistic mode of communication.

I

The jeremiad was a mode of religious denunciation imported from the Old World, derived from the sermons of the 15th and 16th centuries, and even dating back as far as the pulpits of medieval times. The word ‘jeremiad’ stems from the name of the Old Testament prophet Jeremiah, who was renowned for his emotional prophecies preaching God’s word. His name has been thought to mean ‘The Lord exalts’ or ‘The Lord establishes’ but a closer translation suggests ‘The Lord throws’ referring to a sense of hurling the prophet into a hostile world. He was sent as a messenger from God to warn the people of Judah of their impending destruction. The main theme of Jeremiah’s writings was judgement; particularly the judgement on God’s chosen people who had
broken their covenant with the Lord since being brought out of Egypt, as recorded in the book of *Exodus*. Jeremiah’s method of denouncing and redressing his enemies and highlighting their failures in front of God formed the basis of an important style of European complaint as the established Church tried to hold back the tide of Reformation and the Protestant churches legitimised their claims of true sainthood. Although it was based on a European tradition of preaching hell and damnation, the American version of the jeremiad developed to encompass a new-found zeal for hope in the future. The American Jeremiad was optimistic and promised success to those chosen to build a new Zion; most importantly it showed non-Puritans the infallibility of the Puritan cause and affirmed exultation. The American Jeremiad spoke to God’s chosen people differently from those who were typically the object of the European sermon. It concentrated on the ‘peculiar’ people on a ‘particular’ mission to do God’s will in the New World. Above all, the American Jeremiad characterised the Puritan ‘errand into the wilderness’, an errand that defined them as a people and as a community on the early American frontiers. Larzer Ziff sees the jeremiad as “not only a source of intellectual edification or spiritual admonition” but also a form of “reiteration and amplification of the mythology through which the community made sense of and drew strength from what was otherwise a most adverse reality” – living on the frontier of a harsh, unwelcoming land. Interestingly, Bercovitch sees the American Jeremiad as an evolving and progressive form of rhetoric as apposed to Perry Miller who saw the Jeremiad as a means to tell the Puritan community that they had lost their way and the errand had failed. This is where the jeremiad’s
connection to *Star Trek* becomes significant as the message is ultimately positive rather then disapproving and pessimistic.

For Bercovitch the American Jeremiad forgot the Old World and concentrated on the New World and the future paradise the Puritan community could bring about. It did look back on the past; this being what connected it to the previous form of rhetoric, but it looked back on the past in order to create a better future. More specifically, the American Jeremiad grew out of a sense of incompleteness because the Puritan errand had not failed, but rather stalled, and the jeremiad was a means to remind the community that they had more work to do in order to fulfil their destiny and complete their divine mission. There was a dichotomy that looked backwards and forwards, using both images to instil angst and fear but also joy and hope at the entry into Zion. By 1668 preachers believed that the ‘City upon a Hill’ had been laid to waste, left desolate and forsaken, and the Puritan community had got lost in the ‘wilderness’. Their generation had failed to continue what the original settlers had started and the ‘City’ had suffered as a result. Cotton Mather, in his *Magnalia Christi Americana* (1702) stressed that the work and sacrifice of their fathers had fallen short of their goals, the American mission had failed. He was well aware of how attitudes had changed and developed amongst Puritans so his jeremiad was written “not only... to glorify the founders of New England” but “also conceived with an awareness of the mission that New England had been assigned by Providence and with a concern for her apostasy from that calling.” To counteract this fall from grace Puritans had to redefine what they were about – they had to reshape their heritage. Through the jeremiad they substituted tribute for action, decrying failures by defining themselves as
dedicated Puritans like their fathers. The jeremiad became a mode of celebration, celebrating the work of their forefathers and redefining their work by celebrating its new successes.\textsuperscript{17} By doing this they made present the past, reclaiming the myth of the original Pilgrim Fathers in 1620, and as a result, celebrating life became a religious act. In effect the Puritan errand shifted from one meaning to another, from them seeing themselves as an outpost for the Puritan Reformation in the New World to fulfilling their flagging venture with “meaning by themselves and out of themselves.”\textsuperscript{18} The latter development was to characterise American notions of the individual, offering American Puritans an identity as a citizen and as a warrior in the “world-redeeming” vanguard of the Reformation.\textsuperscript{19}

The visionary force of the Puritan jeremiad lay in its use of such metaphors as garden and exodus, errand and trial, even a ‘City upon a Hill’. The idea of how the Puritan migrants saw their errand is best exemplified in John Winthrop’s ‘Modell of Christian Charity’ (1630), from which I have taken the title of Part Two, where, aboard the Arbella, Winthrop outlined how he and his fellow migrants were performing an errand – a job – not just for God but for the whole world to see throughout history: “For we must Consider that we shall be as a City upon a Hill, the eyes of all people are upon us.”\textsuperscript{20} If the errand had failed then not only would they have failed God but also history would see that original colony as a failure in the restoration of the Christian Church\textsuperscript{21} punishable by banishment from Heaven itself: “If we shall deal falsely with our god in this work... we shall be made a story and a by-word through the world.”\textsuperscript{22} However, succeed in God’s errand to sustain utopia in the New World and history would remember the colony as the first to do God’s
will, a community that would shine out as an example to the world. Winthrop’s sermon can be seen as the first New England Jeremiad, demonstrating the importance of denunciation and doom in the Puritan test of faith. As the years passed the Puritans became more ‘American’, giving rise to new themes of affirmation and exultation in their sermons. Yet the younger generations of native-born Puritans could not escape the original form of the jeremiad they had inherited from their fathers since it was unmistakably optimistic in outlook, “affirming to the world, and despite the world, the inviolability of the colonial cause.” Therefore, there was always a message of hope in the jeremiad, for no matter if the pilgrims had failed to establish a paradise in the New World, they always saw the prospect of completing their mission in the future as long as they learnt from the mistakes of the past and steered their journey back on course.

II

Before I continue with an analysis of the American Jeremiad found in the three aforementioned Star Trek movies, I want to highlight some of Star Trek’s other connections to America’s Puritan heritage. For Michael Michaud the “appeal of Star Trek appears to have lain not only in its interesting characters and settings but also in the optimistic and moral messages it conveyed.” This comment is not controversial, Star Trek was created as a means to portray a better future where humanity had learned from its mistakes and put all of its effort into exploring space. Space was to be the proving ground for the lessons learned back on Earth, the colonies that were set up were to be pockets of society established as examples of how much humanity had moved on; one
could call them miniature ‘Cities upon Hills’, shining out as beacons of hope. *Star Trek*’s vision of space exploration as a test of humanity’s worth is not a unique feature in American popular culture. Other science fiction dramas such as *Battlestar Galactica* (1978-1979) and *Buck Rogers in the 25th Century* (1979-1980) also drew upon space exploration as it appealed so powerfully to human aspirations; ironically this was at a time when America was losing interest in celestial ventures after originally pushing hard at becoming the predominant competitor in the “space race”.

However, more importantly, these aspirations did not grow from the need to win a contest; rather they were “rooted in cultural traditions as forceful as the terrestrial exploration saga and the myth of the frontier.” Television shows such as *Star Trek* and its ‘gung-ho’ and high-budget counterparts replayed these traditional aspirations in the new domain of space in the hope that they would be given new life and another chance at inspiring a new generation of Americans. Just as the jeremiad inspired a new generation of Puritans to rejuvenate their mission through learning from the past, *Star Trek*’s message of hope through space exploration relied on traditional myths and historical events transferred onto the frontiers of space. Or, as Howard McCurdy observed in his examination of space and the American imagination: “Space exploration is as much a re-creation of the past as a vision of the future.”

Examining *Star Trek*’s Puritan legacy also highlights how much other science fiction television series and movies relied on familiar themes of the Puritan Errand and divine mission. According to Mark Siegel as well as trying to replicate the fast-paced action and special effects of *Star Wars* (1977),
Battlestar Galactica’s main theme clearly implied “an SF solution to the old puzzle of creation, with Adama leading his fallen legions to Earth after being driven from a technological Eden by Lucifer.”²⁷ In the 1978 feature-length pilot, a “rag-tag” fleet of human survivors is lead by the giant battle cruiser Galactica as it searches for a new home on Earth. The surviving colonists were drawn from the original twelve colonies whose only knowledge of Earth was that it supported the legendary paradise world of the thirteenth colony. Along their way the fleet of space pilgrims were continually attacked by Cylons, man-made machines created as slaves, who “hate the human love for freedom and independence, and the human need to feel, to question, to affirm, and to rebel against oppression.”²⁸ The colonists’ endeavours were morally and ideologically juxtaposed against the Cylons’ inhuman and ‘alien’ savagery. This space opera role-play not only replicated the American colonial experience as played out between Puritan settlers and Indian inhabitants; it also replicated the stereotypical method of demonising the Indian as the savage warrior under control of the devil.²⁹ Thematically and ideologically, Star Trek also recreated the dichotomous relationship between Puritan and savage in the spin-off series Star Trek: Voyager (1995-2001).

Voyager consistently treated the Kazon – resident enemies from the first three seasons – as stereotypical Indians. These Indian stereotypes have roots dating back to Colonial America and have been mediated in so many Westerns throughout American cinema history that they have been ensconced into the psyche of every American. Ralph and Natasha Friar described this development in their book The Only Good Indian..., coming to the conclusion that, “The only real Indian is a Hollywood Indian.”³⁰ The Kazon specifically,
and in some ways the Native American character of Chakotay, seem to fit this description accurately. Chakotay was the first officer aboard the starship Voyager and his Indian roots were often touched upon in the series yet never really fully explored.  

The Kazon represented the 'Ignoble' or 'Savage' Indian. For them there was no hope of redemption, at least Chakotay was 'Noble' and therefore approachable by his fellow crewmates. The Kazon did not have this luxury since they were doomed to keep travelling the quadrant like nomads, continually fighting with each other in their quasi-tribal society. Whenever the Kazon made contact with the ship, combat ensued; they tried to steal technology from it because they knew of no other way to live. This 'alienated' the image of the Native American insofar as this situation plays on centuries-old stereotypes of them as vicious, nomadic, barbaric thieves with no hope of redemption from their savage and uncivilised way of life. To prove my point, the only crewmember who had any significant personal contact with the Kazon was the Indian character Chakotay, being the only one from Voyager who could cope in their uncivilised world. Each time the two sides met, whether by accident or design, Chakotay acted as mediator. Throughout American history the character of the noble savage was the only one able to understand the ways of the ignoble savage because he was redeemable. The original white settlers, including the Puritans, were too 'civilised' to be able to deal with the Indians on their own savage terms. William Bradford saw them as obstacles to a successful settlement at Plymouth calling them "savage people, who are cruel, barbarous and most treacherous." Even John Winthrop thought little of his Indian visitors aboard the Arbella when he commented that he neither feared
nor trusted them and that forty of his musketeers could drive out five hundred from the field if they so wished. Redemption has roots in the American Puritan Captivity Narratives where white colonists were captured by Indians and made to live as ‘savages’. Famous stories include Mary Rowlandson’s *The Sovereignty and Goodness of God* (1682), John William’s *The Redeemed Captive Returning to Zion* (1707) and John Demos’ modern historical novel based on the latter, *The Unredeemed Captive: A Family Story from Early America* (1995). They demonstrate the captivity experience and show that those who survived to return to the civilised community had been redeemed. This genre of colonial writing forced the Puritans to recognise the conflict between savagery and civility and proved to them that they were culturally superior to the Natives.

*Voyager’s* treatment of the Native American stereotype illustrated in the Kazon was similar to that of *Galactica’s* Cylons. Considering the amount of times the adolescent youth or ethnic minority have been substituted by the Indian in film and television, *Star Trek* and *Battlestar Galactica* would seem to have reversed this trend and replaced obvious Indian motifs with the Kazon and Cylons. By doing this they can exhibit the various stereotypes of Indians as nomads, savages, thieves, and tribal warriors within the framework of the alien ‘Other’. The outcome is isolation for the two hostile aliens, and therefore the Indian, because they do not compare favourably to the superior pilgrims that are travelling through and taking over their territory. As the Kazon and Cylon sects roam space looking for new territory, the Voyager and Galactica ships act as though they are ‘stagecoaches’ heading through ‘Indian country’ carrying settlers in the search for a part of space which they can call home. Gene
Roddenberry originally wanted to call *Star Trek Wagon Train to the Stars*. If we use this motif to view *Voyager*, then we can imagine the ship to be Roddenberry’s vision of the American expansion experience transferred onto the frontier of space. Similarly, the Kazon can be viewed as those Indians who were routinely portrayed in films as savages trying to hijack the stagecoach in an attempt to steal what was inside and kill the passengers. In the episode “Maneuvers” (1995) the Kazon-Nistrim ram-raided the ship in order to steal valuable transporter equipment, and in “Alliances” (1995) the ship had been under constant hit-and-run attack by the Kazon for a period of three weeks. Indian raids on the advancing symbols of civilisation, such as the frontier settlement, stagecoach or wagon train, were stereotypes created and developed in many movies in the heyday of the Western. *Stagecoach* (1939), *Wagon Wheels* (1934), and the more recent *The Last of the Mohicans* (1992) all use the generic Indian raid as a plot device to show how savage the Indians on the frontier were compared with the colonial settlers.

The journey to create an America worthy of the Puritan mission – a change for the better – encountered many obstacles. For the Puritans their main obstacle was what they believed in the savage nature of the wilderness, the so-called Indian ‘menace’ being a small part of the New World’s frontier. For those trying to create a future utopia in *Star Trek* the celestial frontier posed many similar problems for the various space-faring crews: the savagery of the Kazon in *Voyager* being a prime example. However, what appears to be the ultimate obstacle for future community progress is the challenge to change oneself. The individual, as we will see in the following chapter, has to learn to change and adapt in order to accept and perhaps bring about the utopia that
Star Trek promotes. In fact, learning about the individual and showing how the community can benefit from personal change is a major theme that runs throughout the “tri-part jeremiad” identified in the three Star Trek movies I want to analyse. Furthermore, this ‘change for the better’ analysed in Chapter Five will be of continued interest in Part Three where I will begin to look at how Star Trek fans describe their own lives and personal changes in relation to the utopian text.
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CHAPTER FIVE

A Change in the City: Star Trek’s Puritan Legacy and the
Politics of the Cold War

“People can be very frightened of change.”


As previously mentioned in Chapter One, Vivian Sobchack states that “despite all their ‘futuristic’ gadgetry and special effects... the Star Trek films are conservative and nostalgic, imaging the future by looking backward to the imagination of a textual past.”\(^1\) The films referred to were the first three feature films to include the original crew: The Motion Picture (1979), The Wrath of Khan (1982), and The Search for Spock (1984); specifically how their “futurism” entailed looking back on previous visions of the future in order to “re-enact the nostalgic drama of the television series’ own death and resurrection.” Together the three films represent a rebirth, death, resurrection cycle constituted by an “intertextually grounded pseudo-history” and illustrated through their constant references to “aging, regret, loss, and death” found at the heart of their narratives.\(^2\) The next three films in the cycle provide a dramatic departure from nostalgic intertextuality and represent a much more complicated engagement with history and the future.

I

In The Voyage Home Kirk and his crew are in exile on Vulcan after disobeying orders and returning to the forbidden planet of Genesis to rescue Spock’s body in The Search for Spock. The Klingon ship they had commandeered was
renamed HMS Bounty, indicating their mutinous journey. On their return home to face punishment the crew intercepts a message from Starfleet Command telling all ships to stay away from Earth as it is under attack by an unknown probe targeting the planet’s seas and disabling all technology and equipment. The probe itself emits loud and disruptive sounds that cannot be understood or countered. Spock translates these sounds as similar to whale song since they are aimed at the water and concludes that the probe’s calls cannot be answered because the Humpback whales in question have been extinct on Earth for two hundred years. In an effort to stop the probe destroying Earth the crew takes it upon itself to attempt time travel and go back in time to retrieve two whales so as to fulfil the probe’s wishes for contact. At the same time Kirk brings the whales back to the future to save Earth he is also saving the whale from its inevitable extinction due to man’s carelessness. Their self-appointed mission thus has two aims: Save the Earth in their future by going back in history to find the answer and save the whale in our present, accentuating the need for contemporary society to think about its impact on the environment and wildlife. According to Spock, “to hunt a species to extinction is not logical,” but however much the ecological example seems trite today it is the jeremiad-style message of learning from history that remains significant.

So as to save the chosen whales, George and Gracie, the film anthropomorphises them thereby making them worthy of salvation and justifying eliciting their help in saving 23rd century Earth. As both a warning to his captain and a reminder to the audience Spock says that, “if we were to assume those whales are ours to do with as we please we would be as guilty as those who caused their extinction.” So humans should become aware of the
effects their actions cause both in their immediate present with the treatment of other indigenous species and in the future with regard to the long term effects of over-whaling and the state of the Earth for future generations. Ultimately Kirk saves Earth from its own short-sightedness; the frailties and failures of our current generation are atoned for by his honourable mission to teach 23rd century Earth about the wrongs of history. When the whales reach the future the probe finally receives an answer to its dangerous song; humans have made up for their past sins and learnt from the mistake. The probe relents, showing it to be an omnipotent god-like creature who has demanded proof of humanity’s right to live and in so doing reaffirmed the message in Star Trek’s original ethos that we must learn from history.

On a narrative level, the television time-travelling series Quantum Leap (1989-1993) shared many of these qualities with Star Trek; both involved a determined sense of duty “to put right what once went wrong” and “change history for the better” as Quantum Leap’s opening credits stirringly eulogised. Nevertheless, its attempts at showing how history could be changed for the better were channelled through the very idealistic and strong-headed Sam Beckett who would stop at nothing to personally change history to facilitate his return to his own time. This is the exact opposite to what the characters can or are supposedly allowed to do in any of the Star Trek series or films since they are governed by a strict policy of non-interference: The Temporal Prime Directive. This directive does not allow for history to be changed, what happened in the past is quite literally ‘history’, rather it enables the narrative of the time-travelling storylines to posit questions such as ‘what can be learned from the lessons of history?’ and ‘how can we not repeat the same mistakes?’.
In this sense *Star Trek* acts as a moral guide to humanity's progress in life, making obvious what needs to be done but not providing its audience with all of the answers.

This use of history is particularly pertinent to the original series where the ethos of the show was very much a reaction to the cultural and social upheavals occurring at the time. Many episodes dealt with topical and historically significant problems such as racism and youth disenfranchisement or the political ramifications of the Vietnam War. *Star Trek*'s interaction with historical events in such episodes typically emphasised the choice between right and wrong and how those choices affected both the crew, usually Kirk, Spock and McCoy and the innocent inhabitants of an alien planet. Being set in the future disconnected the series from reality but signified that humanity had progressed from the current turmoil of the sixties. For those viewers who were daunted by the prospect of an escalation in the war in Vietnam or the threat of nuclear fallout, *Star Trek* prophesised that humans would survive the twentieth-century but at the same time stressed that dramatic change was needed to bring about the utopian future portrayed on screen.3

The fundamental philosophy in *The Final Frontier* is the human 'spiritual quest'. Whilst on shore leave, the crew of the Enterprise are asked to respond to a hostage situation on Nimbus III, the so-called “planet of galactic peace”, where a Vulcan religious zealot named Sybok is demanding a starship to take him and his followers beyond the great barrier to the centre of the galaxy. His intention is to find *Sha Ka Ree*, Eden, and meet with his maker. Unfortunately, on arrival at the planet at the centre of the galaxy Sybok’s god is revealed as a fraud whose only aim was to lure the starship into taking his
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presence outside of the barrier and spreading it throughout the galaxy. The meaning of Sybok’s pilgrimage was misunderstood; he believed that God could be sought on a distant planet whereas Kirk at the end of the film emphasizes by pounding his chest that, “maybe he’s not out there… maybe he’s right here – the human heart!” Sybok obtained loyalty from his followers by exploring their inner pain, drawing out the guilt that makes them who they are and releasing them from their torment. When trying to introduce Kirk to his pain and guilt he is unsuccessful because Kirk says that “they’re the things we carry with us – the things that make us who we are. If we lose them, we lose ourselves. I don’t want my pain taken away. I need my pain.”

According to Ian Maher within this film there is a distinct “parallel between the outward voyage of the Enterprise and the inward human search to find meaning and purpose in a vast and sometimes frightening universe.” This is nowhere more obvious than when the ship transcends the ‘final frontier’ only to find God does not reside there “but finds his home within the human heart” as a result of humanity’s never ending spiritual hunger to find out what awaits after death and in what form paradise will be offered to them. For the Puritans paradise was known to them, it was to be found in the New World and they had to make it work by using the jeremiad as a method of encouragement. In The Final Frontier Sybok realises too late that paradise does not come in the form he expected because his search was misguided and he lacked the proper means to find it. However, for Kirk, Spock, and McCoy the opportunity to find the answers they seek has not passed since they are willing to look within themselves and their friendship. This is reminiscent of the shift in the American Jeremiad’s emphasis on fulfilling the Puritan venture with “meaning
by themselves and out of themselves”, and at the same time reaffirming Star
Trek’s viewpoint that humans can shape their own destiny.

The third movie, The Undiscovered Country, deals with the possibility of peace between the Klingons and the Federation due to the fact the Klingons can no longer afford to maintain their huge defence budget. In establishing peace talks with the Klingon High Chancellor, the Federation seeks to help fund and encourage a treaty which will reduce both parties’ military force. However, the Klingon Chancellor is assassinated and Kirk and McCoy are arrested as scapegoats to cover up the secret plot between certain Klingon and Federation factions to stall the peace process. These factions did not believe in change and could not foresee peace between the two once sworn enemies so they used Kirk’s hatred of the Klingons as a motive for the assassination. Thanks to Spock the real perpetrators of the crime were revealed and the Enterprise was able to save the peace conference. However, Kirk was not ashamed of his feelings toward the Klingons, a fact recognised by the Chancellor when they met for dinner on board the Enterprise: “You don’t trust me, do you. I don’t blame you. If there is to be a ‘brave new world,’ our generation is going to have the hardest time living it.” Change is the biggest obstacle for Kirk and his crew as they try to enact peace. To get to the “undiscovered country” (a metaphorical Promised Land of peace in the future) takes courage and the ability to change history by looking within the human soul. This film unites familiar themes from the previous two movies with the need for faith in the goal of the mission, and uses them to obtain what is in simple terms entry into ‘a brave new world’ of peace. Being released in 1991
The Undiscovered Country can be seen as a jeremiad for post-Cold War America.

As in Hamlet the “undiscovered country” represents the unknown, and as Hamlet is fearful of taking his own life because he does not know what form death will take, so is Kirk fearful of what peace between the Klingons and the Federation might do to him. Both he and Spock discuss how they have grown old and that change has come at a high price: Their retirement from Starfleet. Yet Kirk is aware of the important steps he has taken to enable peace “to stand a chance” and cognisant of the next generation who have the chance to live in a more peaceful future. A reality uncovered in Star Trek: The Next Generation (1987-1994) where a Klingon serves aboard the Enterprise-D. The motivation for peace in The Undiscovered Country satisfies two dilemmas, firstly it brings a close to the narrative Cold War between Klingons and humans which by the time of TNG’s universe Gene Roddenberry wanted eradicated, and secondly it parallels contemporary society’s attempts at terminating an ideological conflict that was becoming irrelevant as the world turned to a global economy. Having faith in the fact “that the universe will unfold as it should” is what underlines the message of the film and is a considerable feature of the American Jeremiad’s original message to the Puritan settlers who themselves doubted the durability of their own divine mission.

In the three movies I have analysed there is a clear historical message being communicated through what I have termed a tri-part jeremiad: The three parts being the message, the means, and the motive. This arrangement mirrors Perry Miller’s understanding of the jeremiad as a form of triptych but in this case no segment outweighs another; thus condemnation does not prevail over
the prophetic vision of a better future. Firstly, in *The Voyage Home*, the plot centres on the crew travelling back in time to rescue two humpbacked whales in order to save the Earth of the future from being destroyed and in the process repopulate the species after its eventual extinction in the early 21st century. This plot clearly stresses the *message* that humanity can learn from the mistakes of the past by literally ‘saving the whale’ today and as a result change the future for the better. Secondly, in *The Final Frontier*, the crew travels to the centre of the galaxy to seek God. However, when the only god turns out to be a false idol they realise that what they were searching for resided in their own hearts all along. In other words, in the search for an answer to the question of life the journey will not take us beyond the boundaries of our own physical existence, it will make us turn inward to examine our humanity. Once we understand ourselves then our mission of self-edification will be complete: The *means* to redeem the human journey lies within ourselves. Lastly, in *The Undiscovered Country*, whilst the Federation attempts peace with the Klingons the crew battles to save their captain who was accused of the assassination of the Klingon leader. The story not only reflected the then current political climate of America at the end of the Cold War, it also spoke of an ideology of faith in the ongoing efforts to maintain peace and bring an end to ideological conflict. Ultimately, it suggested that the *motive* behind the eventual peace between the two superpowers was an ongoing mission toward a metaphorical Promised Land – an undiscovered country – which would require the ability to not only accept change but also live with it.
As Star Trek was addressing humanity’s rejuvenated mission in the latter part of the 1980s, America’s 40th President, Ronald W. Reagan, was attempting to make America great again. To do this he would inspire the people with mythic tales from the country’s once-celebrated past, hoping to finally forget such traumatic events as the assassination of JFK, defeat in Vietnam, and Watergate so that the country could look to a brighter future. As Jon Roper described, “if the past was a problem, it could be fixed through reinvention and revisionism”, and that was exactly what Reagan tried to do in his presidency: “Reagan reached into America’s mythological past to try to recall the driving optimism of the American dream.” Just as Kennedy had used the image of the frontier made famous by Frederick Jackson Turner, Reagan re-established America’s mission “in language redolent of John Winthrop and the Puritan ‘errand’”, hoping to overturn years of sorrow and regret after Vietnam and America’s failure to bring democracy to Southeast Asia:

With all the creative energy at our command, let us begin an era of national renewal... We have every right to dream heroic dreams... And as we renew ourselves here in our own land, we will be seen as having greater strength throughout the world. We will again be the exemplar of freedom and a beacon of hope for those who do not now have freedom.

As the above excerpt from Reagan’s 1981 Inaugural Address exemplifies, the celebratory and optimistic tones of the jeremiad had re-emerged to provide America with a renewed vision of how the future should and could be for Americans. At home Winthrop’s ‘City upon a Hill’ would be re-imagined to
provide Americans with the life they desired; and abroad it would again shine out as an example, “a beacon of hope”, to those nations who had scoffed at America’s failure to deliver its promises. Once more American exceptionalist rhetoric was employed to reaffirm America’s self-appointed task to make things right, only this time there was an uneasy sense of nationalistic patriotism permeating through Reagan’s idealistic orations; America and the Western World had a common enemy: The Soviet Union. To defeat the “evil empire” and win the Cold War would mean forgetting the immediate past and instead putting faith in something more pure, as Roper described it: “The restoration of faith in the nation’s imperialist mission, involved a sense of being unencumbered by historical embarrassment rather than of remaining haunted by the past.” The Puritan form of the jeremiad, based on an unerring religious belief in the New Israel, was adopted by Reagan to turn embarrassment into pride and transformed into what Frances Fitzgerald calls a “secularized, or, rather, a deicized version of nineteenth century Protestant beliefs about spiritual rebirth, reform and evangelism.” Reagan was not the first to employ such rhetorical tactics in American political history, many presidents, politicians and journalists before had heralded America’s mission using very celebratory language describing the nation’s duty to promote freedom, first to the Native Americans then to the Americas and finally to the rest of the world.

However, it was not enough to speak of America’s exceptional position, Reagan wanted more from the American voters and in his many official speeches throughout the 1980s he continually used the imagery of the Puritans setting sail for America in the Arbella to reinforce his message of “a glorious
tomorrow”. He equated the challenges facing modern Americans with Winthrop’s sermon about building the ‘City upon a Hill’ and demanded that: “[America] once again be full of leaders dedicated to building shining cities on hills, until our nation’s future is bright again with their collective glow. You have it within you to make it happen.”14 Paul D. Erickson recognised Reagan’s intelligent use of the American Jeremiad in his book Reagan Speaks (1985) and connected it to Bercovitch’s then-recent assertions about the optimistic nature of the jeremiad. He noted that Reagan applied “the tropes and strategies of his ultimate optimism to nearly every issue”, thereby “translating Christian regeneration into patriotism and civic duty.”15 To put it simply, the ability to change the world for the better lay within Americans themselves and his patriotic words of encouragement kept reminding them of that fact. As I have already mentioned, this was also a fact literally brought home to American audiences in 1986 and 1989 when The Voyage Home and The Final Frontier disseminated the same message of self-improvement through self-examination.

On the eve of his final week in office Reagan gave his Farewell Address to the Nation, and as on many previous occasions he looked back on America’s Puritan roots. He described how he had imagined America as “a tall, proud city built on rocks stronger than oceans.”16 Having used the Puritan analogy in no less than seven separate public speeches and engagements Reagan was not unfamiliar with the concept of imagining America as a city, nevertheless, in this specific address he intimated how anybody could become a citizen of that city, not just Americans: “If there had to be city walls, the walls had doors and the doors were open to anyone with the will and the heart to get there.”17 As a prelude to Kirk’s message about looking into the human
heart, Reagan emphasised that it was the power of those faithful who believed in America that helped the once-defeated nation regain its position as exemplar of world democracy: “We’ve done our part... My friends: We did it... We made a difference. We made the city stronger, we made the city freer, and we left her in good hands.” However, this euphoria was not in keeping with Puritan or even Star Trek tradition since the president believed his goal had been achieved at the end of his term of office. The American Jeremiad never suggested that the Puritan mission had been completed and certainly did not congratulate them on making the ‘City’ stronger. Likewise, Star Trek’s version of the jeremiad never confirmed whether the Promised Land had been reached or whether humanity had succeeded in making the future better than the past, rather it transmitted the message and the means through which people could do it, but showed humanity was forever on a journey to completion. The American Jeremiad provides a typological link between real life and Star Trek in the 1980s, but such subtle differences as to whether or not America had accomplished its lofty design keeps Star Trek detached from Ronald Reagan’s enveloping patriotic and entirely exclusive envisioning of what the future might bring. Instead Star Trek’s “tri-part jeremiad” stands out as an inclusive example that the abilities of one nation and the imagination of one President is not enough to fulfil mankind’s utopian destiny.

III

So what can be gained from using the jeremiad as a way of telling science fiction? In a positive sense the jeremiad works for Star Trek since its main message from the original series until now is that the future will be a better
place than today. No hunger, poverty, racism, or war. In order to achieve this state, humans as a society must learn from the past so as not to recreate those same problems in the future. The main focus of the jeremiad as I have said was that it was used as a guide to achieve the Puritan’s utopia in America. Transfer this onto the films discussed and we see that through Kirk’s example Star Trek is promoting a similar message as a guide to contemporary society that the imaginary future might be possible if we start to get things right now. Even coupled with the political developments of the 1980s which saw Reagan turn to America’s exceptionalist Puritan roots, Star Trek’s message still exemplifies a common goal of examining ourselves which resonates throughout the franchise and throughout its global fanbase. Nicholas Meyer, co-writer of The Voyage Home and director of The Undiscovered Country, reflecting on his involvement with the movie franchise in a recent interview, insists that the movies worked because Star Trek is made of more than just a “good story” or “vivid characters”:

It seems to me Star Trek works best as a pop allegory or a contemplation of problems with which we are familiar here and now… [Star Trek] IV was about the environment. VI was about Western-Soviet politics and fear of the future. 19

Perhaps its longevity, and the reason why it remained popular even when it was not on television, can be ascribed to the fact it uses the jeremiad form as a means to communicate with its audience about those very problems that Meyer indicates are “familiar here and now.” As I have tried to stress, the jeremiad was a very powerful way of telling early Americans what they had to do.
In what ways can *Star Trek*'s positive message be harmed by using the jeremiad? In an undeniable sense, the jeremiad was used by people who believed themselves to be exceptional – better than the rest of humanity. The jeremiad excluded everyone else. This fact was illustrated by the Puritan view that the Indians they encountered were savage warriors of Satan who had to be assimilated or eradicated so that America could fulfil its divine destiny. This sort of ideological background can therefore harm *Star Trek*'s aim which is to ultimately show the future as better than today, with everyone as an equal member of society not excluded from it. By examining Reagan's use of the jeremiad and the symbolism of John Winthrop I have tried to position *Star Trek*'s contemporary use of the jeremiad, but I have also tried to emphasise that there are differences between the exclusive politics of the 'cowboy' President and the inclusive politics of utopian science fiction drama. The jeremiad used to preach to America during the late eighties was very patriotic and relied on the ideal of America still being the exceptional country. In fact, as Reagan himself mistakenly thought, America had succeeded in creating the fabled 'City upon a Hill' thus proving its exceptionalism. Yet Reagan was falling into the same trap as his political predecessors who believed they had achieved national glory through freedom. What had actually occurred over time was the destruction of the American Frontier, the subjugation and extermination of Native Americans, the aggressive expansion into neighbouring territories in the name of manifest destiny, and the continual involvement with ideological military operations overseas. The jeremiad in the three films analysed did not proclaim that the Federation was the pinnacle of exceptionalism, or that the
journey had been completed, much more effort was required from humanity in order to prove its worthiness.

In connecting a literary theme such as the Jeremiad with the film and television phenomenon of Star Trek I wanted to address the developing interface between literature and media studies, particularly the convergence of methods used to analyse literature, film, and popular culture. Consequently, I have found that such an analysis has shed new light onto what some might say is an ‘overdone’ area of science fiction research. Ultimately, I want to stress that what connects Star Trek with America’s Puritan heritage is not an exceptional and exclusive view of the future but rather a determination and belief in the potential for humans to progress peacefully and make our future better than it could be. For Bercovitch “the Puritan legacy to subsequent American culture lies not in the theology or logic or social institutions, but in the realm of the imagination.”22 Star Trek is part of that legacy and thanks to the imagination of Gene Roddenberry and its millions of fans it has continued to fuel the same kind of hope and joy for America’s ‘errand’ into the future expanses of space as did the jeremiad for the Puritan’s desire to find salvation in the Promised Land.
Notes


2 Ibid. pp. 276-277.


5 Ibid. p. 171.

6 My understanding of Star Trek’s philosophical message through the term “tri-part jeremiad” shares a similar approach with the method of analysis of the original series as seen in Karin Blair’s Meaning in Star Trek. (Chambersberg, PA: Anima Books, 1977). Just as I have identified three contributing parts to the overall message mediated in the films, Blair has located her analysis of myth in the relationship between the trio of Kirk, Spock, and McCoy. She points to each character as representative of a specific mindset relevant to the period when Star Trek was off-air and gathering its huge fan base during the early 1970s: McCoy as a “distillation of the past” (pp. 47-61); Spock as a “catalyst for the future” (pp. 62-82); and Kirk as a “solution for the present” (pp. 83-98). With these attributes in mind one can see further connections with America’s Puritan tradition and how the jeremiad focused on learning from the past and taking action in the present to achieve perfection in the future.
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PART THREE

A Network of Support: Identification and Emotion in Star Trek

Fan Letters

“Americans of all ages, all stations in life, and all types of disposition, are forever forming associations... Nothing, in my view, deserves more attention than the intellectual and moral associations in America.”

- Alexis de Tocqueville, Democracy in America (1835).

“Is anybody out there?”

“Yes.”

- Sarjenka and Data. A Child initiates first contact with a passing space traveller, “Pen Pals” (1989).

Henry Jenkins uses Michel de Certeau’s term “textual poaching” to describe how fans rewrite Star Trek TV shows and movies in order to produce their own narratives which they then share amongst each other in the form of novels and music.¹ Constance Penley has also analysed artwork produced by these fans and Heather Joseph-Witham has looked at their costume making.² These studies have brought critical attention to what might have seemed an overdone and outdated subject and have highlighted how important Star Trek fan culture is to the fields of media and reception studies. Yet, their work is limited by its exclusive focus on those more marginal fans who are producers of new texts rather than more “typical” fans who consume the original text but do not write stories and filk music, dress up, or manipulate video material.³ The Star Trek movies and TV shows play an important role in the emotional and affective lives of American fans; therefore I want to investigate in the following three
chapters the ways in which fans actually talk about the show and their engagement with it. Specifically, given the long-running nature of the series, it will be necessary to address the differential characters and historically shifting contexts of audience reception.

As I have shown in Parts One and Two, the Star Trek text revolves around traditional American themes of utopia, community, and self-improvement. In Part Three, I want to show how these three themes are replicated in published letters exchanged by fans. The letters I analyse recount Star Trek’s impact on fans’ daily lives; for example, in Chapter Six Star Trek’s vision of a future utopia is consistently referred to in letters as the fans’ ideal future, a model for how America can be changed for the better. Alternatively, in Chapter Seven, some fans appear to draw on their communal love of Star Trek in making sense of traumatic and significant life events and in Chapter Eight fans talk about how the text helps them improve their social lives and makes them stronger, more confident, people. In sum, these letters highlight the ways in which fans use and adapt notions of utopia, community and self-improvement to help express their personal stories and feelings. In the process of the textual analysis of the aforementioned sources, I want to establish the precise role Star Trek plays in fans’ daily lives. As well as eliciting themes from the letters such as when fans watch, and to what extent particular moods determine the episodes they choose to watch, I want to understand and conceptualise the affective relationship Star Trek has with its fans. In the first half of my thesis I have explored the contributing historical, cultural, and media influences contributing to the popularity of Star Trek. In this section I intend to follow on from Part One’s assertion that “Star Trek acts as a
canonical reference to what makes America American," by analysing how much watching the show has reportedly helped its fans in daily life. Such an analysis of how fans identify with the series will also provide an understanding of a question I raised in Part One, which is referred to by Geoff King and Tanya Krzywinska in their comprehensive study of science fiction cinema: "[Science fiction] offers the pleasures of excitement, fantasy and escape, while also grappling with some of the oldest questions about what it is to be human."6

Finally, it is my intention to extrapolate how far one might regard the Star Trek fanbase as a collective "network of support". I believe that those fans who communicate through writing letters to fan magazines, as well as online chat rooms, are doing so in an attempt to contact fellow enthusiasts and share their own personal experiences, whether they are positive or emotionally traumatic.7 By doing so, the fans are able to reveal private and delicate information and at the same time realise that others may have had similar experiences. For instance, all the fans who are distressed at the loss of a friend or family member describe Star Trek as being integral to the recovery process which suggests that they see its multiple texts as a form of encouragement. When talking about this in letters read by other fans their affection is passed on through a cohesive fibrous network that allows for intimate but positive exchanges. Star Trek fan culture is a collective network, multi-layered and interwoven with numerous channels of communication – all of which offer communal support on many personal levels.8
Notes


3 Filk music is a term that describes science fiction folk singing. To clarify what I mean by “typical fan” I should point out that the fans I look at distinguish themselves from more passionate fans by invoking various discourses in their form of textual interaction: The fan letter. Letter-writing allows fans to express a particular kind of sociological and emotional discourse through a particular type of ‘imagined community’. The reasons behind this form of fan expression, as opposed to the extreme versions I have already described, seem to be connected to issues relating to inclusion, belonging, and being seen as ‘normal’/accepted in the public sphere.

To investigate this subject, I utilise a range of sources. I have had access to previously unresearched fan letters held in the Gene Roddenberry Star Trek Collection at the University of California, Los Angeles, a body of material augmented by original series scripts and production correspondence: Gene Roddenberry Star Trek Television Series Collection, 1966-1969. (Collection 62) Arts Library Special Collections, Young Research Library, University of California, Los Angeles. I have also incorporated, by way of comparison, research material such as British fan letters printed in the UK Star Trek Magazine and Explorer, the newsletter of the official UK Star Trek fan club: Science Fiction Foundation Collection. The Sydney Jones Library, University of Liverpool, Liverpool. The letters I primarily focus upon are taken from three edited collections: Nikki Stafford, ed., Trekkers: True Stories by Fans for Fans. (Toronto, ON: ECW Press, 2002), Susan Sackett, ed., Letters to Star Trek. (New York, NY: Ballantine, 1977), and Jacqueline Lichtenberg, Sondra Marshak, and Joan Winston, Star Trek Lives! (New York, NY: Bantam Books, 1975).

For the purposes of this thesis, however, I want to focus on those fans who have had their letters published in print rather than online. This is for two reasons: a. The publications I have looked at are products of the fan community, the magazine and newsletter are bought by subscription therefore cater for a specific market. The edited books were projects that specifically asked for fans who wanted to write letters, two of the books being published prior to the advent of the Internet; b. The Internet is simply too vast to be able to read every single fan response, most of which pertain to the television text rather than the fans' actual emotions, whereas the letters printed on paper are a result of a more focused effort to write their feelings by fans who want to communicate with other fans.

It should of course be stressed that I will be putting forward evidence for the existence of this "network of support", as seen in the function of the letters and the communal arena in which they are published. Therefore, I am not proposing that this network actually 'helps' fans overcome emotional distress or that Star Trek solves all of the world's problems. However, fans do recount how they believe that Star Trek has helped them and so it is legitimate to
assume that because they do believe in its capacity for support this very belief may be a factor in their self-improvement and improved life style.
"A reason to live": Star Trek's Utopia and Social Change

"If you can explain everything, what's left to believe in?"


Star Trek's goal is to promote the multicultural future of America, however impossible it may seem. Gene Roddenberry's ideological foundation for the series was to show that there was such a thing as 'Infinite Diversity in Infinite Combinations' (IDIC). That through a constant "didactic project to engage the experiences and politics of the 1960s," Star Trek could address real problems America was facing when it came to race and the politics of pluralism. Unfortunately, according to Daniel Benardi, this premise was "inconsistent and contradictory," and as a result Star Trek only succeeded in participating in the subordination of minorities on the screen and embedding them in a "white-history" narrative which Roddenberry had originally set out to attack. However, Star Trek's utopian message of diversity and peace continues to be one with which millions of its fans engage. Their letters indicate just how important Star Trek's conception of utopia is to their daily life and how their vision of life should progress. In effect, the fan letters I look at in this chapter show an enduring faith in the future that Roddenberry created on screen; going against current public and political discourses that emphasise our future will not be better than our present and that society will continue to decay rather than progress.

In political terms, Russell Jacoby describes an "era of acquiescence, in which we build our lives, families and careers with little expectation the future
will diverge from the present.” For him, on the eve of the new millennium, America’s “utopian spirit – a sense that the future could transcend the present – [had] vanished.” The main reason for this apathetical turn, according to Jacoby, is that America has abandoned its utopian ideals that sustained dissent and the inspiration for social change. Furthermore, the critics, writers, and intellectuals that once strove to attain these ideals have apparently given up their fight; Americans are losing the will to reclaim their vision of utopia. If this does not seem discouraging enough, Rick Altman believes America is losing its ability to even imagine a utopia, let alone achieve one. The science fiction genre once offered viewers the chance to imagine their own worlds, films of the fifties allowed audiences to talk about the possibilities of science and the images of science fiction. Today, Altman argues that movies like *Star Wars* and series like *Star Trek* have spoken to the masses and offered them a unified, commercialised vision of the world because producers are interested in creating larger, more homogenous audiences. Whereas science fiction “once served as a monument to real world configurations and concerns,” it has since “increasingly taken on what we might call a pseudo-memorial function. That is, they count on spectator memory to work their magic... Their minds filled with prepackaged memories provided by generic memory-masters.” Therefore, if this is the case, the fans who write letters about *Star Trek*’s future and its impact on their struggle to achieve a utopia are being brain-washed; they are not imagining their own worlds but are replicating a homogenised world separated from contemporary society and detached from the contexts of their own lives.
However, I do not believe this is the case with the fan letters I look at in this chapter. As Anthony Easthope points out, “someone will only invent a science fiction utopia if they are dissatisfied with the real world they live in,” consequently Star Trek fans not only take inspiration from the utopian framework that Roddenberry created they also want to imagine a better world because they do not believe that this world is good enough. Not only are the fans concerned for their own personal situation but they are also concerned for society as a whole and they want to stress how using Star Trek as a guide might help to steer people in the right direction. Social change, as well as utopia, is an important objective for the fans in these letters. Jacoby’s and Altman’s arguments that Americans are losing interest in changing society appears to not apply to these fans who hold fast to the idea that Roddenberry’s vision and the Star Trek text can be catalysts for social change. In fact, their attempts to imagine and write about a future utopia follows Fredric Jameson’s point of view that “‘to imagine utopia’ constitutes an important political act because it challenges and criticises the alienation of late capitalist society.” If they are not hardened political activists, Star Trek letter writers can be at least described as interested participants in social change.

Richard Dyer states that the two “taken-for-granted descriptions of entertainment, as ‘escape’ and as ‘wish-fulfilment’, point to its central thrust, namely, utopianism.” Utopia, the manifestation of ‘something better’, is imagined in entertainment through the desire to have something we cannot get in our own daily life. For Dyer, the particular form of entertainment he describes is the movie musical — a genre that can provide images of escapism and fulfilment. Like Star Trek, the musical provides audiences with a positive
representation of reality, where life is significantly different from the more humdrum daily grind that most people endure. However, Dyer sees limitations in entertainment’s depiction of utopia. It does not present an accurate model of what a utopia should be like, “as in the classic utopias of Sir Thomas More, William Morris, et al. Rather the utopianism is contained in the feelings it embodies.”

This is true for the musicals that Dyer has analysed but for Star Trek it cannot apply since the series portrays a future utopian reality that works and acts as a model for its fans. Star Trek possesses both the utopian world and the feelings it embodies, it is the feeling of utopia that fans talk about in their letters in connection with the conception of its reality. Indeed, the coupling of an authentic model for utopia and the relevant sensibilities that go with it persuades fans to believe that Star Trek gives them “a reason to live.”

As an American utopia, originally imagined by one person and loved by millions more, Star Trek shares similar ground with the utopian world imagined by L. Frank Baum in The Wonderful Wizard of Oz (1900) and its thirteen sequels. Baum created what has been described as a “socialist’ utopia” which saw Dorothy and Toto transported from a barren Kansas to Oz, a world of plenty and magical characters. In Oz everyone is equal, there is no poverty or hunger and (almost) everyone lives in peace and harmony – if there is trouble, such as the Wicked Witch of the West, then by the end of the story Dorothy and her friends easily triumph. Jack Zipes sees Oz as “a specific American utopia… a place and space in the American imagination,” and because Dorothy Gale and her family eventually come to live in Oz rather than continue farming in Kansas “it embodies that which is missing, lacking, absent in America.” America, at the time Baum wrote his first book, was going
through a national crisis: Farmers were struggling to make a living, depression and strikes characterised the 1890s, and war with Spain was testing the country’s mettle. To his readers, children in particular, Baum’s utopia offered something different. Oz’s popularity in the early part of the century continues to this day, according to Zipes it “stems from deep social and personal desires that many Americans feel are not being met in this rich and powerful country.”

Like Star Trek, then, Oz is an American utopia that many find attractive. Its vision of the simple life allows for escapism and wish-fulfilment. There is also an element of American self-help which characterises Dorothy, Scarecrow, Tin Man and Cowardly Lion’s quest. As they search for a way home to Kansas, brains, a heart, and courage, it soon becomes apparent that they had the ability to acquire those things all along. Dorothy could have used her magic slippers to go home at anytime, the Scarecrow was always smart but never applied his wisdom, the Tin Man was always compassionate but confused this with his desire to love, and the Cowardly Lion was always brave but he never before had people he cared about to defend. They lacked the faith in themselves to change. As the story progresses they find their faith to change and as a result all get their wish. Alison Lurie believes this to be particularly true of the 1939 adaptation; as a Hollywood allegory of the ‘rags to riches’ story The Wizard of Oz depicts Dorothy and her friends fulfilling their wishes through music, whereas at the same time, millions of Americans were still struggling in the Depression. However, as Dyer stipulates about the musical, Oz only gives the feeling of utopia – it is not an accurate model for it. Unlike Star Trek, The Wonderful Wizard of Oz and its sequels were “designed solely
to entertain the young” and were not didactic moralising stories that offered readers answers to the social problems of the day and possible ways of implementing them.¹⁶ One reason for this could be because the Land of Oz “has no overriding law or principle except variety.” Dorothy roams the country and meets extraordinary people and animals, getting into seemingly impossible dangers with them, and then moves quickly on to the next adventure with hardly any explanation or detail.¹⁷ Star Trek’s universe is the exact opposite, it is firmly rooted in the real and regimented – its history is based on actual events that have happened in our history. The enormous amount of scientific technology and techno-babble that characterises its future is painstakingly based on the science of today; its accuracy assures a convincing utopia. What is more, because the fans immerse themselves in this history, Star Trek’s American utopia seems more plausible as the boundaries between reality and fiction keep on merging.

Although Dyer’s understanding of entertainment and utopia does not include a suitable model, he does suggest ways in which entertainment can achieve utopia even if it is unclear how that utopia will function. “Dyer categorises the experience offered by entertainment into five ‘utopian solutions,’” suggesting that they are related to specific inadequacies, or problems, in society.¹⁸ These utopian solutions do appear in the letters because fans identify them in the Star Trek text; the fans have an idea of the utopia they are aiming for – they see it on the television screen every week. The five problems with their corresponding utopian solutions are as follows:¹⁹
### Social Problem | Utopian Solution
--- | ---
1. Scarcity and the unequal distribution of wealth; poverty in society | Abundance and material equality
2. Exhaustion; work as a grind; pressures of life | Expressions of energy; work and play united
3. Dreariness and monotony | Intensity; excitement and drama
4. Manipulation; the feeling of being controlled: sex roles, advertising etc. | Transparency: open, spontaneous, honest communications and relationships
5. Fragmentation: job mobility, rehousing, legislation against community | Belonging to a community; communal interests, community activities

The first problem is touched upon in a letter by Danielle Ruddy, particularly the ideas of poverty and inequality. She acknowledges the contemporary social problems of today such as war, hunger and racism and hopes “that one day Star Trek – a work of fiction – will become a reality.” Roddenberry’s vision is very important to that reality, she says that he provided the world “a glimpse of the future,” implying that it will happen, and that future utopia will be “one where mankind didn’t fight over land and money, where there was no hunger, and it didn’t matter what color, race, or gender you were.”20 Gerald Gurian goes one step further and writes about how Star Trek made a form of social contract with its audience as it played out its adventures of space travel in the future: “By the 24th century, we were assured that Earth would have solved the devastating problems of mass poverty, hunger, and disease.” Not only was Gurian convinced by Star Trek’s ‘promise’, he believed that this “overwhelmingly positive portrait of humanity” was a “major factor in the global appeal” of the franchise.21
Expressions of work and play united in fan letters often describe how the Star Trek text directly contributes to the person's work day; how their affection for a particular character or series influences their attitude to work and how much enjoyment they get from it. In a letter I examine in Chapter Ten with regard to Star Trek's pedagogical applications, Mark Emanuel Mendoza describes his career as being very closely tied to Star Trek: The Next Generation. He studied to be "exactly what Star Trek indeed is: a teacher." In fact he describes himself becoming a primary school teacher at the same time TNG "was born." Mendoza relates how the lessons he learnt from the show helped him in the classroom as he transferred those lessons to the children. It appears work for Mendoza is a combination of his passion for the show and his passion for teaching kids. Shamira, a bellydancer from New York, writes about her first initiations into the Star Trek universe – watching the Orion slave girl dance in the pilot episode "The Cage" (1964). This inspired her to become a bellydancer and now she can live the dream of being part of Star Trek by doing her job everyday. She describes the series as "a cosmic dance" painting a "romantic and exciting design in the universe, in human thought, in [her] mind," and she says she was drawn to both Star Trek and bellydancing because they "are fascinating, glamorous, and mysterious." Shamira's private life as a fan and her public life as a bellydancer feed off the energy she sees in the utopian future the series portrays. The character she first admired was the role model for a child wanting to become a professional dancer.

In the letter by Gerald Gurian previously discussed, issues over the "dreariness" of life, as described in Richard Dyer's list of social problems, are addressed alongside his opinions on Star Trek's utopian future. Gurian believes
that the series “played a pivotal role in shaping and influencing” his “character and basic understanding of right and wrong.” It was important to him that the original series was fun and provided “exciting action entertainment.” This is key to Dyer’s analysis of entertainment and utopia; that it was intense and exciting drama which could help take the viewers away from their ordinary lives and transport them to a place that was exciting and promising. Gurian believes this to be true of the series and was an important part of his viewing experience: “Star Trek provided a bona fide cast of larger-than-life heroes espousing core values such as honesty, integrity, loyalty, bravery, compassion, self-sacrifice, and perseverance despite seemingly insurmountable obstacles.”

Gurian’s statement about what the characters espoused also alludes to the fourth problem and solution proposed by Dyer: manipulation and transparency. Utopia is possible because the main Star Trek characters are seen as honest and compassionate, amongst other things, therefore helping to cure social problems such as racism, sexual discrimination, and prejudice: “Mankind would no longer be divided by petty politics or racial prejudice.”

For Douglas Mayo, Star Trek’s depiction of utopia gives him a place where he feels accepted and wanted. Being disabled has excluded him from some important social relationships in his life, how he relates to his family being the most significant. He sees Star Trek’s future as a template for how he can build relationships today and a place where he will be able to have those important relationships tomorrow:

Because of my CP [Cerebral Palsy], neither my parents nor my brothers knew to interact with me in any meaningful way, nor did they try... [At school] one month... I spotted a Star Trek book... Here was a world
through a story in a book that challenged humankind to rise above physical appearances, to work together as a team for a greater good, something I had wanted on a personal level all of my life... Through repeats I watched every episode of Star Trek. I understood Star Trek’s vision for humankind to take hold, a place where I would be accepted regardless of my disabilities, and I desperately wanted it in my own life. Star Trek for me became more than television, it became my hope of a better life...25

Problems relating to his disability – acceptance, inclusion, work, daily life – are in Mayo’s words addressed by the possibilities Star Trek’s utopian future offers; society will be more open and transparent, therefore utopia is assured.

The fifth pairing in Dyer’s definition of utopia in entertainment will be addressed in the next chapter regarding community and communal interests. However, it is important to state here that most fan letters I have studied described the notion of community in a variety of ways: from very simplistic terms such as watching the series with the family to meeting fellow enthusiasts at college and remaining friends for life. Underscoring these communal relationships is the idea that Star Trek as a television show gave fans the opportunity to meet people and have fun that would not have been ordinarily open to them. Dan Harris says in his letter that he is “grateful to Star Trek; besides being a great series, it is because of the show that I met my closet friend.” Some thirty-five years later Harris and his friend still reminisce about how they met at college, measuring their time as friends by how many Star Trek movies have come out since 1968.26
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Returning to a theme I touched upon earlier, the notion of *Star Trek*’s promise of utopia is a recurring component of fan letters. Jason Lighthall, like others, believes that *Star Trek* aims “to teach morals and values” and it does this by having “thousands of different species from different worlds who come together to try to better themselves as a civilisation, while trying to deal with social conflicts in the right way.”27 For Lighthall the fans have a big part to play in that mandate, for example he actively sought to join a fan group thus learning “better communication skills” and “to treat people with the utmost respect,” and he believes them to be “intelligent and sophisticated people who love to dream about what our future could be.”28 As we have already seen, Gerald Gurian believes that *Star Trek* “assured” its audience that social problems will be solved by the 24th century, which is a step beyond Lighthall’s belief in the possibilities of human achievement. However, both Lighthall and Gurian’s opinions differ from those of another fan whose belief in the future remains firmly rooted within the fictional text of the series. Marco Di Lalla “embraces” Roddenberry’s “ideas and visions,” confessing that “not a single day goes by without [him] wondering if, eventually, humanity will conquer all of its problems and difficulties” just like on *Star Trek*.29 Di Lalla writes that he thinks of *Star Trek* “as actually being the real fate reserved for our species,” intimating that not only will society change and utopia be achieved, but that screen events will become reality.30 A far leap one might think, but with the series’ attempts at basing the future on actual events and current technological developments, could some fans conclude anything different?

Throughout the fan letters I have studied, there is an identifiable narrative progression which talks about *Star Trek* moving utopia from a
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burgeoning ideal to a realised reality. Some fans believe in the possibility of achieving utopia but recognise that there is a lot of work to be done if society can be changed; other fans see that this change will occur, sooner rather than later, and *Star Trek* has a part to play in initiating that change. Finally, there are some letters that talk of *Star Trek*'s utopia as if that were the ultimate goal, that somehow Roddenberry's vision is an accurate depiction of what the future will be like. This last form of narrative would seem to be heavily based on ideas that I looked at in Chapter One, namely how *Star Trek*'s future history is taken by some fans as being part of America's real future; the merging of the two in the text somehow influencing the audience's take on reality. However, it is important to bear in mind when examining the content of the letters that the overall trend corresponds to a particular narrative of 'changing for the better' I first identified within the *Star Trek* text in Part Two. Fans recognise that work needs to be done to achieve utopia just as the Puritans recognised that they had to change in order to establish Zion in the New World. Accounts of how personal change lead to a better life replicate many of the narrative tropes identified in self-help literature and self-improvement narratives and will therefore be discussed in Chapter Eight, yet I should reiterate here that *Star Trek*'s version of a utopia remains the fans' ultimate goal. It appears that achieving that goal can be made easier by trying to implement one of two things: personal change or social change.

The following letter highlights the delicate position *Star Trek* occupied in the late sixties with regard to war in Vietnam and concepts of social change. Gregory Newman describes *Star Trek* as recognising "our world of war, racial prejudice, and poverty" giving "us hope of a future glorious world." 31 Scholars
such as H. Bruce Franklin have understood that it also tried to comment on a war that was rarely given coverage on television except for patriotic news reports. For Franklin, Star Trek "parabolically displaced the Vietnam War in time and space" showing just how much America was being transformed by conflict. These sorts of contemporary messages built into the original series are not lost on fans today; therefore they would not have been lost on those fans who watched the series for the first time or especially those fans who were directly affected by the war either through serving, getting wounded, or losing loved ones. DeForest Kelly, Dr. McCoy from the original series, when asked about the impact of Star Trek in the sixties identified the Vietnam veteran as someone who was especially open to the show's ethos: "We struck a note, a chord, with the youth of this country and particularly those who came back from Vietnam."

Franklin has identified a shift in Star Trek's attitude to the war similar to a shift seen in Newman's letter. Two episodes, "The City on the Edge of Forever" (1967) and "A Private Little War" (1968) represent Star Trek's belief that Vietnam "was merely an unpleasant necessity on the way to the future." Two later episodes, "The Omega Glory" (1968) and "Let That Be Your Last Battlefield" (1969), "openly call for a radical change of historic course, including an end to the Vietnam War and to the war at home", both signify a change due to the "desperation of the period." Newman's letter also recognises that war might have been needed, yet, instead of being found in a shift illustrated by Franklin's analysis, these two theories exist simultaneously because Newman does not see them as being different from one another but as a result of Star Trek's faith in the future. The letter endorses Franklin in that
the series was interested in both showing how the world could be different after
war had ended and also how important the war was in American society at the
time – perhaps war was the only way such a utopian future could be secured.
When Newman says that he and others “were fighting and dying in Vietnam
while prejudice, poverty, and drug wars raged around us, Star Trek kept alive a
dream of a better world,” it implies that Star Trek’s future was going to be the
result of such extreme sacrifice; something worth fighting for. However, he
also writes how Star Trek “gives us hope of a future glorious world, a world of
benevolent human beings of all races who work together with beings from
other worlds to peacefully explore the vast universe with awe, courage, hope,
love, and curiosity,” implying that war solves nothing and the only way Star
Trek’s future will become a reality is through a unification of peoples,
regardless of race and cultural differences. After, and despite the war, Newman
writes that “Star Trek kept Vietnam veterans focused” on the possibility of
peace, it helped them find the strength to continue applying its doctrine of
universal peace even when they had returned home. This suggests that not only
did his experience of the war return home with him – to be identified by the
term “veteran” – but also that the war on racism, drugs and poverty had
become personal to him and universal to those veterans who shared his love of
Star Trek.

The author’s opening statement that, “the year was 1966 and I was a
black soldier in the U.S. Army,” reveals the highly volatile situation in which
he was involved. In 1966 the US Secretary of Defense, Robert McNamara,
drew up “Project 100,000” which aimed at increasing manpower for the war by
conscripting large numbers of the poor; this would also serve to help rebuild
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"the fabric of black society" by "curing" them of "idleness, ignorance, and apathy." Such a policy caused immeasurable distrust within the African-American community and they received little comfort from the fact that blacks were disproportionately represented in the officer corps and were more likely to serve and die in combat than their white comrades. The war, it seemed, was not about fighting the enemy, but rather continuing to fight the kinds of overt racism that had confronted African Americans before they left for South East Asia. According to Tom Engelhardt, it was not only aspects of white racism that made their way to Vietnam but also the ideas that grew out of the Civil Rights and Black Power Movements. Furthermore, those ideas which found sympathetic ears in Vietnam returned home with black soldiers who joined militant organisations such as the Black Panthers because they felt that they still had fight left in them – that they would be the ones to lead an armed revolution in the streets. However, Newman’s letter emphasises that he saw a different outcome from his time in Vietnam. Rather than bringing home the “revolution” with the gun he saw that Star Trek’s view of the future could be achieved through adherence to the “dream of a better world”; a sentiment that, according to him, many Vietnam veterans followed and “focused on.” It would seem that Newman believes that direct action is not as important as the philosophy of unity and peace in which many fans – especially the veterans – should believe when there is “trouble” and times are hard.
Notes


2 Ibid. p. 30.


8 Ibid.


10 See L. Frank Baum, The Wonderful Wizard of Oz. (Chicago, IL: Reilly & Lee, 1900). The sequels are listed with publication date only: The Marvelous Land of Oz (1904), Ozma of Oz (1907), Dorothy and the Wizard in Oz (1908), The Road to Oz (1909), The Emerald City of Oz (1910), The Patchwork Girl of Oz (1913), Tik-Tok of Oz (1914), The Scarecrow of Oz (1915), Rinkitink in Oz (1916), The Lost Princess of Oz (1917), The Tin Woodman of Oz (1918), The Magic of Oz (1919), Glinda of Oz (1920).


19 This table is created from the five problems and solutions proposed by Dyer in “Entertainment and Utopia,” pp. 183-184 and summarised by Geraghty in “Soap Opera and Utopia,” p. 320.


24 Gerald Gurian, p. 126.


28 Ibid. p. 4.


30 Ibid. p. 169.


34 “The City on the Edge of Forever” received the Hugo Award for best Dramatic Presentation in 1968 and its writer also won the Writers’ Guild of America Award. Being sent in 1930s America, the plot centred on the relationship between Kirk and a woman called Edith Keeler who believed that America should not join the Second World War. After realising that his presence in the past was changing the timeline, keeping America out of the war because of Keeler’s peacekeeping efforts and allowing Hitler victory, Kirk decided to let her die in order to restore the proper timeline. In “A Private Little War” Kirk and his crew discover that the Klingons are providing weapons to some of the inhabitants of a peaceful planet. Kirk decides to intervene and give weapons to the rest of the primitive aliens so as to redress the imbalance and take revenge on the Klingons.
The plot of “The Omega Glory” was discussed in Chapter One. “Let That Be Your Last Battlefield” is a famous episode from the last season of the original series. Two aliens arrive on the ship; one alien’s face is split down the middle, half black and half white, while the second’s is half white and half black. They both hate each other but fail to recognise that the intense racial hatred that fuelled their peoples’ war has resulted in them being the only remaining survivors. In fact, both blame the other for the devastation and beam down to the surface of their war-torn planet to continue their fight.

Franklin, *Vietnam and Other American Fantasies*, p. 148.


Ibid. p. 249.
CHAPTER SEVEN

Help When Times are Hard: Coping with Trauma through the Star Trek Community

“How we deal with death is at least as important as how we deal with life, wouldn’t you say?”

Community, according to Zygmunt Bauman, “is a ‘warm’ place, a cosy and comfortable place.”1 Within a community we are safe from the dangers of the outside world and are able to find common comfort with the people that share in it. “In short, ‘community’ stands for the kind of world which is not, regrettably, available to us” even though it is the one thing we are always trying to achieve.2 One might say that Star Trek’s vision of utopia is equally unavailable to its fans as is the notion of community. However, in the previous chapter, letters indicated that fans do put faith in the future and are prepared to work for it. Likewise, in this chapter the concept of a Star Trek fan community is achievable. In their discussions of certain forms of trauma, fans are beginning to create a community through correspondence that in the short term they believe contributes to their individual rehabilitation. Sharing stories of trauma satisfies the most attractive tenant of community, what Bauman describes as counting on “each other’s good will.” In moments of sadness he sees the possibility of relying on other people’s good will as intrinsic to the fluid working of an established community: “When we fall on hard times and we are genuinely in need, people won’t ask us for collateral before deciding to bail us out of trouble.”3 Indeed, not only do letters in this chapter indicate that
some fans find comfort from telling their story or reading stories about other people’s experiences but Star Trek is seen as a supportive text that does not ask for anything in return. In effect, the ‘community’ is achievable so long as Star Trek continues to offer something to its fans.

Bauman’s assertions over the implausibility of community are resoundingly supported by the political scientist Robert Putnam. In his monumental work Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community (2000), Putnam sees America as having become less community orientated and Americans more disconnected and isolated from society. Where once Americans went bowling in organised leagues, part of a structured local community, within the last decade more and more Americans are “bowling alone” – symbolising the fragmentation of community life and lack of social connectedness. Securing a democracy means having a “strong and active civil society” where members of that society contribute to their local community; consequently, the creation of sound social networks is crucial to getting on in daily life. Today, Putnam sees decline in almost every area of American community life: local politics, clubs, organisation membership, church groups, sport and social societies, parent-teacher associations. The number of people willing to get involved with these kinds of groups and actively participate in local affairs has grown significantly smaller. However, Putnam has recorded an increase in mass-membership of national organisations, where “the only act of membership consists of writing a check for dues.” This means that they may “root for the same team” and “share some of the same interests” but ultimately they are “unaware of each other’s existence.” These new forms of grouping embody elements of the social connectedness that Putnam laments, but they
cannot provide real community because the members do not interact or care for each other – unlike *Star Trek*, they do not get people talking.

If it is possible to describe the *Star Trek* fan letters as evidence for the existence of a community then the act of writing them and sending them to be published can equate to what Putnam calls “writing a check for dues.” However, he believes that modern day organisations cannot stand as communities because members do not care for each other. This is obviously not the case for *Star Trek* fans: We have already seen that they routinely stress how much they care for society and the future, and as we will see in this chapter, they also show signs of sympathy and compassion toward fellow trauma sufferers. Instead, this characteristic would appear to follow what Robert Wuthnow describes as the rapid expansion of “support groups” in American culture. The support group “meets regularly and provides support or caring for those who participate in it”, the most familiar kind of group would be of the “self-help” variety such as ‘Alcoholics Anonymous’ or ‘Anger Management’. ⁸ The current trend for this new form of community searching is often criticised and lampooned in the media – one just has to watch an episode of *The Simpsons* (1989- ) or the recent film *Anger Management* (2003) to get an idea of its place in the national psyche – but as a form of social capital Putnam sees it as a positive development. However, Wuthnow does not believe the support group is effective in rebuilding communities: “Some small groups merely provide occasions for individuals to focus on themselves in the presence of others... We can imagine that [they] really substitute for families, neighbourhoods, and broader community attachments that may demand lifelong commitments, when, in fact, they do not.”⁹ As with all new forms of
social organisations, support groups do not “play the same role as traditional civic associations”; hence, America continues to become a fragmented society. The fan letters that talk about personal trauma share similar attributes with Wuthnow’s description of support groups and can therefore be considered as evidence for a particular kind of community – one that emphasises individual, shared experience but without any civic association. However, fans that underline *Star Trek*’s influences on their renewed outlook for the future appear to be moving beyond self-interest and into the area of civic duty – to achieve personal happiness or a better future we have to work together to achieve it.

One of the most prevalent forms of communal fan letter that can be found is what I call the “Help When Times are Hard” letter, one in which the sender has written about how much *Star Trek* helped them overcome very difficult social, emotional, and even physical obstacles in life. This type of letter communicates how fans use *Star Trek*’s message of peace and harmony as a source of communal hope and strength. What I intend to show is that *Star Trek* has always been seen as a form of support and counsel. Whether this development is part of wider social trends seen in American society, reflecting the increasing fragmentation and lack of any sense of community, is something that has already been considered in this chapter. That some fans see *Star Trek* as an important part of their lives is not in doubt, however, that fans should turn to it to seek comfort rather than their families, friends, or traditional forms of medical and psychological counselling is an important aspect of fandom that needs to be assessed. Ultimately, I suggest that all the letters I have collected and analysed are connected by a sense of mutual self-improvement and shared
life experience. These facets of letter writing are representative of a fan community very much part of contemporary American culture.

When studying fans through their personal correspondence it is perhaps too easy to attribute their confessions of being comforted by *Star Trek* to the connections between social and psychological conditions. Joli Jenson, in her work on fandom as pathology, describes how excessive fandom has been seen "as a form of psychological compensation, an attempt to make up for all that modern life lacks."¹² Fans are a potentially dangerous group of ostracised individuals who have nothing better to do than fantasise about their favourite TV show or star. Of course, this is a very narrow-minded view of cult fandom and Jenson points that out. At the very least, fandom is a form of community discourse that not only offers support to individuals through interaction with each other and the focal text but also helps to maintain people's own personal relationships with family, friends, and individuals. Fandom does not make up for things that are lacking in our lives, because that would seem to imply that we are all lacking important social skills, making us all some form of cultural hermit. Instead, as I want to build upon in this chapter, fandom offers a sense of personal empowerment where investment in *Star Trek* provides the necessary tools to help cope with events in daily life. As Lawrence Grossberg states, being a fan of a particular text allows people "to gain a certain amount of control over their affective life, which further enables them to invest in new forms of meaning, pleasure and identity in order to cope with new forms of pain, pessimism, frustration, alienation, terror and boredom."¹³ People have to deal with stressful events throughout their lives – whether they describe themselves as fans of something or not – and they all deal with them in their
own different ways. Sharing their individual experiences through the letter format opens up their discourse to a community that does have one commonality: An acknowledgement of *Star Trek*’s supportive qualities.

It is interesting that Grossberg does not specifically mention death as something which fans learn to cope with by investing in the text – perhaps coping with pain and pessimism might include coping with bereavement. However, pain is a topic that Camille Bacon-Smith has looked at in connection with the *Star Trek* female fan audience in her seminal work *Enterprising Women: Television Fandom and the Creation of Popular Myth* (1992). In her research of fan writing Bacon-Smith paid close attention to a form of literature known as “hurt-comfort” fiction. This term describes stories where one hero suffers – most often physical pain but sometimes illness – and the other hero comforts them. According to Bacon-Smith, these stories “say that one way of dealing with personal pain is to recognize the suffering of those we care about and return their attention and comfort.”

Even though these stories specifically refer to physical pain experienced by fictional characters, in some senses I would propose that Bacon-Smith’s statement could also apply to letters regarding the pain of bereavement. Fans seem to recognise that pain and respond to it by consulting the *Star Trek* text and writing their feelings down on paper. This act in itself shares the pain; other fans read the letters, respond, and thereby reply by comforting the person who is suffering. Furthermore, Bacon-Smith goes on to describe how writing these kinds of stories was not primarily for fun but rather it “fulfilled some of the deepest needs of community life.”
Fans who were experiencing turmoil in their lives responded by writing stories which put their favourite characters (usually Kirk and Spock) into a situation where one of them suffered and the other comforted. The literature was merely a symbol for the specific discourse of support that the fans were sharing. Often the fans would contact each other about the story just to talk about it before they wrote anything: “Isolation continues to break down as new readers discuss [the] work. The writer even finds satisfaction in helping others when fans tell [them] that [their] story has affected them and offer stories of their own in turn.” With regard to the letters I have gathered, Bacon-Smith’s theories about “hurt-comfort” fiction might possibly help us to understand why and how Star Trek fans turn to the text when they suffer a loss; how writing about that experience in the form of a letter will offer them some form of comfort. However, it is important to keep in mind that Bacon-Smith was examining a specific form of fan literature that had a specific readership and was almost entirely produced by women. The letters I examine have been written by all types of fans, all ages, both male and female, from those who never watched Star Trek before their trauma to those who dress up and take part in organised Star Trek fan activities. Therefore, I want to stress that Star Trek fan letter writing can be considered part of a larger social movement that not only acknowledges the series as an important factor in fans’ affective lives but also recognises certain intrinsic cultural elements that all people share when dealing with bereavement.

Trauma is “an enabling fiction, an explanatory tool for managing unquiet minds in an overwhelming world.” Kirby Farrell believes that trauma has this explanatory power because in some form or another “people feel, or
are prepared to feel... as if they have been traumatized."18 Whether it is a clinical syndrome or a literary trope, trauma functions as a “strategic fiction” that allows us to account for “a world that seems threateningly out of control.”19 In coping with death, injury, or psychological damage, utilising a traumatic narrative helps to overcome the senselessness of the traumatising event and helps manage and motivate how we get on with our lives in a stressful modern society.20 For Farrell, the act of talking about trauma and recounting its occurrence has some heroic meaning and as such it inspires the sufferer and their audience to make positive changes in their lives.21 Both in this chapter and Chapter Eight, sharing traumatic stories about how Star Trek helped people overcome stressful periods empowers the individual through a pattern of self-help narrative common in American society. As the American Jeremiad inspired the Puritan community to change and recreate utopia in the New World, Farrell sees their “vision of traumatic violation” as having “aroused in them a rage to start anew, with an astonishing indifference to hardship and death.”22 In all the letters analysed, trauma, and its shared recollection, is a channel for self-improvement and community-building.

The following letter from Kenneth Westfall, Vietnam veteran, continues to underscore the “dream” of a Star Trek future analysed in Chapter Six but his experience of Star Trek came after he had returned home from war. In this particular case, Star Trek was part of a rehabilitative process to recover from his wounds, both physical and mental:

After serving nine months in Vietnam, I was wounded and sent back to the States. As a result of this wound, a plate now replaces a small area of my forehead, which led to a disability retirement from the service in
May, 1968... Four more years went by, during which my opinion of mankind’s future was, to say the least, very grim... [When Star Trek and I finally met] it was as if an egotistical door of ignorance, tightly closed in my mind, was slowly pushed open and the onrush of thousands of dreams, possibilities, probabilities and theories, all mixed with hope, knocked it right off its hinges....

Kenneth Westfall’s letter deals with the more personally harrowing aspects of Vietnam such as injury and psychological trauma that affected thousands of veterans on their immediate return and many years following. Soldiers who fought and civilians who watched it unfold on television felt deeply traumatised in confronting their own mortality for the first time – conceding that America’s self-image was not invincible. Vietnam, more than any other war, “had demonstrated that the body politic could be dismembered.”

According to Fred Turner, the war had taught Americans that “the ties that held them together as a nation, ties that many Americans had long taken for granted as permanent and strong, could be cut.” Physical injuries such as those suffered by Kenneth Westfall epitomised and symbolised the pain America felt as a nation, however, Star Trek was his way of relieving and overcoming that pain: “It was as if an egotistical door of ignorance, tightly closed in my mind, was slowly pushed open.” This letter is a result of Westfall’s desire to finally exorcise the emotional trauma and feelings of guilt that had made him believe “mankind’s future was... very grim.” Marita Sturken sees national and personal recollections of the war as being part of America’s cultural memory and as such they serve “important needs for catharsis and healing.” His wish to recollect and retell such events is also one characteristic of a “central
dialectic of psychological trauma” which sits in opposition to the other, exemplified by the desire to forget or deny that those events had ever happened. The fact that the second characteristic is overcome through Westfall’s efforts to communicate his story to Gene Roddenberry (the letter was originally sent to Lincoln Enterprises) validates fans’ beliefs and the argument I will be pursuing in Chapter Eight, that writing to Star Trek and communicating with fellow fans motivates them to achieve their goals in life through a particular form of self-help discourse.

The act of telling a personal story to help recover from physical and emotional trauma, making it “part of a fully felt narrative,” is something which many Star Trek fans have attempted to do by either writing letters to their favourite actors or to fan magazines. This inclination to share their life experiences with others and relate how Star Trek has helped them is not limited to the war story I have discussed but also applies to letters that deal more personally with death, disability, and illness. Families and individuals use Star Trek as a means to release their feelings and share in its recuperative qualities.

According to social psychologist Colleen Murray, “death may be the last taboo issue in family science and family therapy,” and many individuals seem to deny the fact that death is an inevitable part of family life and all families will encounter the varied stresses that it can bring upon them. However, Star Trek’s related ability to provide emotional relief to those who have recently experienced bereavement seems not only to help individuals to cope with the loss but it also appears to teach them a life lesson. Virginia Walker’s letter exemplifies a dual property which Star Trek possesses: After a sustained period of familial loss, where three close people unexpectedly died,
the author recounts how she “came back to life” when introduced to *Star Trek*; Once the period of mourning had ended *Star Trek* taught her that life was too precious to waste on thinking about the past and that, “the only thing we ever really have is the future.”  

Such an epiphany is a common characteristic of letters sent by fans who have suffered traumatic events such as death and illness, yet often those fans had never watched *Star Trek* before they suffered their loss. Death and emotional distress, in the case of Virginia Walker, was a catalyst for her eventual introduction to the world of *Star Trek*; after watching several early episodes the author went on to write about how her involvement with organising a fan club and many national conventions offered her a new perspective on life: “Before I wore blinders... maybe I’ve grown up.”  

This intimates that *Star Trek* replicates, even replaces, the supportive role of the church which has been commonly recognised as one of the “positive factors” in emerging from mourning. Creativity, the second recognised factor, appears also to be part of *Star Trek*’s ability to help fans convalesce as the author describes how her “field of interest is now virtually unlimited.”

Spiritual development is a significant aspect of fan letters and many fans attribute their rehabilitation and conversion to *Star Trek*’s “baptism by television.” Susan Sackett likens their need to share such experiences with others to those newly-converted to a religion who become “its most fervent proselytes”; again this helps them recover from their emotional and physical loss. Finding comparisons between *Star Trek* fandom and religion is not a new scholarly pursuit but such investigations do provide an interesting insight into the letters I have analysed in this chapter. For William B. Tyrrell, *Star Trek* not only “offers the comfort of religion” but for its fans it represents a world where
they belong, just as Virginia Walker found that she “came back to life” when she was introduced to *Star Trek*.\(^{37}\) For Michael Jindra, *Star Trek* “does not have the thoroughgoing seriousness of established religions, but it is also not mere entertainment.” The combination and interplay of the two facets is a sign of its unique “vitality.”\(^{38}\)

It is this sort of “vitality”, linked with a supposed ability to aid in the memorialisation of deceased loved ones, which characterises fan letters and is exemplified in the letters read by both American and British audiences. *Star Trek*’s effectiveness in the mourning process is perhaps not restricted to an American audience but rather emphasises the universality of its message. The following letters share similar themes, the most noticeable is the fact that those who were grieving watched episodes of *Star Trek* to help overcome their grief and try to come to terms with their loss. Individually, the authors indicate that specific types of episodes helped with their own specific situations. Sandra Bunner, who lost her husband and son “in a tragic accident,” watches “certain episodes” thereby “bringing back special memories” of when they all watched them together.\(^{39}\) The *Voyager* episode “Imperfection” (2000)\(^{40}\) reminded Andrea Dearden about her father’s long-term illness and death.\(^{41}\) In another letter “heart-warming and emotional episodes of *Star Trek: Voyager*” helped Philip Arkinstall come to terms with the death of four close friends.\(^{42}\) These examples express that if some fans need an emotional pick-me-up, they might perhaps turn to a more dramatic and “heart-warming” episode, or if they need to be reminded or comforted then they might re-watch one or more episodes to recreate a special memory. The reason behind these varied uses of *Star Trek* episodes can be attributed to the fact that *Star Trek* is such an open text,
something I have explicated in Chapter One, and that to a large extent it has become reality for some people who want to believe that it is true, or, as David Gerrold puts it, "it represents a future we would like to make real." In fact, all of the letters I have discussed seem to question Daniel Bernardi’s theory that *Star Trek* is a constrictive and absolute *mega-text* because the fans take different personal meanings from episodes and often the emotions they feel when watching a specific episode change when they watch it a second or third time under less stressful circumstances. The *mega-text* is therefore not fixed and authoritarian but rather flexible and receptive.

For Mark Bird, the episode "Pen Pals", quoted in the introduction of Part Three, spoke to him at a particular time in his life. Being bullied at school and feeling isolated because his family kept moving meant that he could not settle into a stable environment during his teen years. Bird, who had not watched *Star Trek* before, recounts how he tuned in and watched, saying “it captured my feelings at the time perfectly: a lone voice crying out into the void, desperate for someone to listen to it.” Not only did the episode appear to reflect how he was feeling it also inspired him to feel better: “Then, out of the darkness, comes the sound of a single voice crying back... When I found *Star Trek* I discovered there were many people who watched it and enjoyed it as much as I did.” *Star Trek* represented an epiphany for Bird, he realised he was not alone and he could have friends, originally lacking in his life, by joining the *Star Trek* community and sharing how he felt with people who experienced similar emotions when watching the same episodes. In his letter, he describes how the physical act of looking for company in “Pen Pals” inspired him to search out others; finally finding them at conventions and fan
clubs who welcomed newcomers. His sense of belonging to a community is affirmed at the end of the letter when he, like many other letters previously analysed, starts to imagine a possible future. In this future “humankind will evolve to the point where differences can be overcome and where society can rebuild itself, working collectively”, with the *Star Trek* community as a guide, “to advance beyond the constraints of this world and expand to others.”46

The final letter written by Avril Storm Bourbon47 indicates a strong bond shared between certain *Star Trek* fans, a bond characterised by playing Klingon at conventions and social gatherings:

I have known and loved many friends I’ve met at conventions who also play Klingon, but the most Klingon of them all was my friend and “Captain”, Chuck. The man lived, breathed, and ate Klingdom; he knew every word to every [author’s emphasis] Klingon song ever sung on Trek, and was totally devoted to Klingon fandom. Sadly, he was killed in a car accident in February ’98, in a fierce El Niño rainstorm... To honor him as a Klingon we would gather around [his coffin] and send him out with a Klingon Death Howl... When our breaths were spent, our shoulders sagged with relief, like a weight had been lifted. We would always miss him, and remember him, but with joy... When people make fun of Trekkers, especially those of us who run around cons dressed as Klingons, and call us geeks and nerds, I shrug it off. Because I know better. Everyone needs something to believe in, to carry on. Everyone needs somewhere to belong.48

Her grief felt over the death of a close friend who “lived, breathed, and ate Klingdom” just as she does suggests a special sense of community that reacts
in similar ways to death as would a family. Robert Habenstein has noted that
“death initiates significant responses from those survivors who in some way
have personally or vicariously related to the deceased. Inevitably, the
collectivities in which the dead person held membership also react.”
Therefore, the Star Trek collective that role-played with the deceased suffered
just as much as his family; they chose to stay in character and mourn his death
in a different way by performing the Klingon Death Howl first seen on a Star

Such use of Star Trek ritual can only be understood if we refer back to
those critics who see it as a form of secular religion. Bourbon says that she did
not care what people thought of her dressing up as a Klingon because it
allowed her freedom within a community, “everyone needs somewhere to
belong” and that place would be with others who lived Klingon. William
Tyrrell sees such a declaration of devotion as a “ritual cry to a world where
[one] belongs, where [one] has it all together.” If Bourbon and her friends
then wish to live as Klingons – by the code laid out for them in certain episodes
and fleshed out in licensed Star Trek literature – then she would also want to
mourn death as a Klingon. The act of role-playing becomes less of a game but
rather a way of life (or death). Such a development raises some interesting
questions: Does this renunciation of traditional religious belief and ritual
indicate a breakdown in American society? Has the notion of a traditional
spiritual community, often desired when death affects a group, given way to a
reliance on fictional methods of emotional security that can be construed as
superficial? Perhaps in a sense one might agree that Star Trek does pose a
threat to traditional forms of communal bereavement and therefore endanger
established methods of caring such as therapy and attending church because it ultimately relies on a select few writers and producers to decide what should be included in the *Star Trek* universe. Therefore, the desire to make entertaining television programmes dictates the ritual content exemplified by the Klingon Death Howl. Perhaps Robert Putnam in *Bowling Alone* would disagree with this ‘alien’ approach to remembering the dead as it circumvents traditional customs by using television and mass media as the basis for collective mourning. However, Robert Bellah’s concept of a “civil religion” would counteract those disparaging suppositions. He sees “civil religion” as “an understanding of the American experience in the light of ultimate and universal reality,” which is how Bourbon, Walker, and all those who write about the death of a loved one see *Star Trek*’s vision of a better future (a future where the world lives in harmony) helping in their rehabilitation.

*Star Trek*, then, has taught those fans who turn to it in grief to cope with death, not be frightened or suspicious of it – even when death comes unexpectedly as in a car accident – but use it as form of personal strength. The community of fans to which Bird, Bourbon, and Walker belong acts as a network of support where those lessons offered by *Star Trek*’s vision, and expressed by Klingon Death Howls, can be shared. Writing about their experiences allows those who are reading the letters access into the community, making it even larger. Scholars and social critics who lament America’s demise as a nation of close communities would do well to consider how *Star Trek* fans cope with death through the examination and repeated watching of human and “heart-warming” stories shown on television. If “bereavement is complex, for it reaches to the heart of what it means to be
human and what it means to have a relationship,” then Star Trek fans would appear to have a sound understanding of the emotional and traumatic effects death has on the living, and the series would appear to have a humanising effect on its fans, teaching Americans the value of relationships and what will make them more human.

There is a sense that those fans who have written about their bereavement do so to find a voice. It is this voice that articulates the level of grief they are experiencing which ordinarily they may find hard to share in public with their friends. With so many similar stories to share the people who write these letters do so in an attempt to become part of a special community, one that offers support through a common dialogue based on the Star Trek fan experience. In the next chapter, we can see further development of a Star Trek fan community through letters pertaining to disability and illness. The discourse that these letters share is not only based on a need to communicate traumatic events, but also a common desire to “get better” and improve.
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Putnam sees television as being one of the four main reasons for the erosion of American social capital, therefore it is ironic that as a television series Star Trek has brought together people as a community (in this case a community based on Klingon culture) and given them common ground through which they can exercise their individual liberties. See Putnam, "Bowling Alone: America's Declining Social Capital," pp. 74-75.


CHAPTER EIGHT

The Pleasure of the Trek: Confessions of Self-Improvement and Individualism

"Like many of our people, they need something to believe in... just like I did... something larger than themselves... something that will give their lives meaning."

- Worf to Gowron, "Rightful Heir" (1993).

In the previous two chapters we have seen how fans write about Star Trek's utopian future and the possible communal effects it has on their daily lives. Notions of trauma and fan affection are bound up in a positive network of support where fans feed both off the series and off the correspondence of other fans. In this chapter I want to examine fan letters that share similarities with those previously discussed but also contain individual accounts of personal self-improvement. These letters recount how Star Trek, and even individual characters, provided inspiration for a personal change for the better. Such confessional narratives of self-improvement correspond to those narratives of self-help and success discussed in connection with the American Jeremiad and Star Trek in Part Two. With regard to the letters in this chapter, stories of self-made success, not necessarily financial, are retold as a form of personal healing. Improving one's character or way of life is seen as a real possibility thanks to the positive individualism of the series and is also an important step that has to be taken in order to achieve that utopia so celebrated in Chapter Six.

Before I continue with an analysis of the letters I want to try and theorise why Star Trek is seen to be able to offer its fans such inspiration and
guidance. Returning to a point I made in Chapter One, Star Trek is an open text and can therefore accommodate multiple personal and distinctive beliefs and opinions. Fans read into the series what they desire based on a framework of utopian futurism and collective improvement. Beyond the actual confirmed text of the series, fans are able to live out their own personal fantasies, as we have seen in slash fiction, and therefore bring to the text multiple readings that help inspire their own daily lives. In a sense Star Trek follows Roland Barthes’ analysis of narrative in The Pleasure of the Text (1975). Barthes describes two kinds of text: The Text of Pleasure and the Text of Bliss. The first text is closed to the reader, an ideological text that is easily consumed where the pleasure comes from the “comfortable practice of reading.”2 The second text, the text of bliss, is open and does not resolve the ideological contradictions encountered in the first. It forces the reader to critically and “actively engage with the text in the production of its meaning.”3 Separately, these two definitions of a text contradict each other and they are often used to differentiate between popular, mainstream culture and the radical avant-garde.4 However, in this particular case, Star Trek combines the two by its definition as a product of popular culture and its categorisation as a radical text created in the sixties in opposition to contemporary political, social, and cultural trends. As we have seen, its fans view it both as a pleasurable text, one in which they can immerse themselves without conflict, and also one with which they can actively engage and use to improve their own lives and the lives of people in their own community. The ‘pleasure of the Trek’ appears to be twofold – fans both love to watch it and critically engage with it. Personal stories that confess such pleasure and bliss conform to a particular narrative of self-help.
Throughout the eighties and nineties the television talk-show became America’s public voice; its audience members and guests are prepared to engage in often heated debate to reveal personal and private information that they believe will help them overcome particular problems in their lives – whether they be physical, emotional, or social. Like the fan letters I have examined, the forum provided by the talk-show encourages the audience – at home or in the studio – to “tell its own stories, to agree or disagree, confirm or contradict, confront or support the speaker, generating a polyphony or ‘cacophony of narratives’ on and beyond the small screen.” This ‘cacophony of narratives’ is a collection of confessions and personal revelations that both encourage and support fellow audience members; multiple self-help narratives contributing to the overall self-improvement ethos. Talk-shows can provide an emotionally safe environment where people can divulge very delicate information, and gain support for doing so; in many cases this can be dangerous as the physical set-up of the studio often leads to violent conflict and emotional distress. Fan letters negate such obvious safety problems while still offering readers and writers the chance to read what fellow fans have gone through and share. However, the benefits of the talk-show appear to continually outweigh the disadvantages of public violence and ridicule. The talk-show and fan letter can offer the capacity to gain personal power over one’s problems, what Joshua Gamson describes as breaking the “monopoly on truth.” In writing about the process of “coming out” Gamson talks about how personal testimony allows homosexuals to find self-empowerment. They reclaim truths taken from them in popular discourse and reiterate their own position within the contexts of their own sexuality.
Disclosing is a form of power-play that not only characterises the process of coming out but also talk-shows and the narrative form of the fan letter. “The ability to confess publicly has become a sign of power and control”\textsuperscript{8} that defines the letters I discuss in both this chapter and those preceding; in this case the belief in helping oneself and changing for the better is inexorably linked to Star Trek’s inbuilt narrative of self-improvement. Where once confession or therapy was an enclosed and private process, akin to the Catholic act of confessing one’s sins or consulting a qualified psychiatrist, confessing in the public sphere is now the American cultural norm, a reference to the therapy groups discussed in Chapter Seven. According to Jon Dovey, openly discussing personal stories through intimate speaking, or in this case letter writing, “is validated as part of the quest for psychic health, as part of our ‘right’ to selfhood.”\textsuperscript{9} Star Trek’s text can be seen as the fans’ map on this quest for selfhood since they often describe in their letters how characters and events inspire them to be better people and achieve personal happiness. Of course, it is dangerous to assume that the series really acts in this way – as we will see in some letters fans do point out that Star Trek only pushes them to change, nothing more – therefore we must remember that as individuals these fans, like all people, have the potential to improve or change, whether they believe Star Trek is to be congratulated or not.

According to Fred Pelka, “we live in a health chauvinist culture – a culture that often regards the disabled and ill as morally inferior to those who are able-bodied and healthy.”\textsuperscript{10} For Hanley Kanar this sentiment not only stands for America but also the seemingly utopian future of Star Trek which she maintains “still cannot envision a way to comfortably include individuals
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who have disabilities.”11 Even some of those who have suffered immense physical pain and suffering, either through an accident or disability, fail to realise the damage they do to the disabled community by speaking out and saying they will “find a cure!” Stereotypes of disabled people who cannot cope in the able-bodied world are further enforced thanks to “celebrity cripples” such as Christopher Reeve who constantly pledged that he would fight his disability; such feelings, according to Kanar, make the disabled body into a prison from which people should escape rather than something of which they should be proud.12 However, as we have seen with Douglas Mayo in Chapter Six, for him Star Trek did offer a vision of the future where his disability would be seen as normal: “A place where I would be accepted regardless of my disabilities.” How can two such conflicting ideas about the same series exist, and is there a compromise? It may lie in the concept of a Star Trek community which offers forms of emotional support to those who feel ostracised or isolated from American society for some of the same reasons Kanar accurately describes in her article.

Star Trek has almost completely ignored the disabled community in its vision of the future and Kanar has convincingly analysed Deep Space Nine’s treatment of disability in the episode “Melora” (1993). Leah Vande Berg has also examined how disability was encoded and stereotyped in the TNG episode “Ethics” (1992).13 What these analyses show is that Star Trek’s vision is flawed due to its reliance on contemporary cultural stereotypes; I have offered my own analysis of how the series is tarnished by its use of American history and myth as a basis for its future. However, for Douglas Mayo to say that “it became my hope of a better life” the series must offer something that remains
separate from such critical readings of its text. That something may well be the sense of community which I have already discussed in Chapter Seven.

Mayo indicates that he might have never recovered from the effect his childhood had on his self-esteem, but after seeing a glimpse of a better future in a Star Trek novel he wanted to make a difference:

In 1959, I was born prematurely with Cerebral Palsy (CP) affecting my entire right side. I was the second of eventually four boys, all close in age. As I grew older, I incorporated Star Trek’s hope and vision of what mankind can achieve as my own... When I rose to become the president of my local union I had the opportunity to put a number of Star Trek life lessons to use: how to be a good leader, make a tough decision, make a difference, look for other options. These are all valuable lessons that can be found through a variety of sources, but for me Star Trek is where I looked for affirmation.14

Throughout his letter, Mayo describes how his life was changed by watching Star Trek and using Captain Kirk as an example of what he could achieve. On account of his own hard work Mayo even recovered from a car accident that temporarily confined him to a chair, intense physical rehabilitation spurred on by the fact that James T. Kirk “did not accept defeat.”15 Star Trek did not dominate his life; one could suggest that his life was dominated by the desire to even the score with his brothers who did not try to relate to him as a child coping with CP. Star Trek was where he “looked for affirmation” and even though it might not have depicted disability in the future it taught “valuable lessons” in which Douglas Mayo truly believed. Despite neglecting a minority that feels outcast in American society Star Trek still represents a positive mind-
set which many of the minority use to help themselves. Importantly, people like Mayo are not wishing to be cured thereby harming the image of disability as stipulated by Kanar, they are trying to integrate into society despite the pain and prejudice. *Star Trek* is not their blueprint but their inspiration. The following letter from a British fan conveys similar attitudes to the series:

When my doctors and I found out that I had anorexia, I didn’t really take it in – until I ended up in hospital and was given only a few days to live. During those few days I went into the television room and happened to see *Star Trek: Voyager*. After that, I never missed an episode for the whole year I was there. I like *ST: VOY* because Captain Janeway is such a strong character. She would do anything to get her crew and herself safely home. In a way, it was like that with me, I had to do anything to eat and stay alive. The battle against my illness wasn’t easy. It was the hardest thing I’ve ever done. I have to thank Kate Mulgrew for being such an inspiring actress. *ST: VOY* showed me that I shouldn’t just lie there and let myself die, and that I had to stop thinking people like me for the way I look instead of the person I am. I’m not saying that *ST: VOY* cured me straight away; that took a lot of work, and sometimes my illness still causes me stress. And I’m not saying that *Star Trek* rules my life, because I have a career as a hairstylist and make-up artist. It’s just something I enjoy watching. People with anorexia need to find something which sends them in the right direction – and *Star Trek* did it for me!16

For Nicola Corbett watching *Star Trek* was not a guarantee for success, in fact she had never watched an episode before being in hospital had given her the
opportunity to sit down and experience one. It is important to remember that watching *Star Trek* did not cure her anorexia, “that took a lot of work,” and all three letters I have looked at in this section point out that it was not a magic cure. What *Star Trek* did do for Nicola Corbett was to offer a sense of not being alone in suffering with her illness, that her anorexia was not going to beat her and that she “shouldn’t just lie there.” Captain Janeway was not only a role model, a figure of strong will and determination, but she was also like a friend who inspired confidence in the way Nicola Corbett felt about herself and persuaded her that she had to stop worrying about physical appearances.

*Voyager* looks to have provided a form of aftercare that proved indispensable following a long period in hospital.

In coping with such extreme illness *Voyager* provided support and guidance just as would a close friend or a form of counselling: “People with anorexia need to find something which sends them in the right direction – and *Star Trek* did it for me!” This intimates that for those fans who feel isolated due to illness, recent bereavement, or disability *Star Trek* provides social connectedness integral to the healing process whether it be mental, physical, or a combination of the two. Robert Putnam states that, “social connectedness is one of the most powerful determinants of our well-being.”

Since he believes that America has lost its sense of social connectedness perhaps living within a fan community or even having a simple affiliation with a program like *Star Trek* could help in the prevention of, or recovery from, illness. Nicola Corbett’s letter comes from a British fan magazine, the following letter was written by an American and shares similar sentiments with Corbett. Both Mayo’s and the anonymous woman’s next letter exemplify a national affinity with well-being
that Putnam has researched in *Bowling Alone* and is something I wish to discuss further:

In March 1970, my husband died. Until July 1971, I was as a zombie (almost). In July 1971, I became ill and had to be hospitalized for three weeks. Of course, my doctor effected the physical recovery, but it was not until I started getting involved [author’s emphasis] in *Star Trek*, that my mental outlook vastly improved. All my family will say I am crazy for making the statement, but I [author’s emphasis] believe it to be true. When I started identifying with *Star Trek*, I started again to believe in the future of mankind…

Putnam sees “bowling alone” as one of America’s “most serious public health challenges” because as more and more Americans become isolated and detached from local communities their health will deteriorate. Such concern is corroborated by a substantial amount of statistical data that is printed in his book, all of which indicates a connection between poor health and lack of social capital or good health and strong social ties with families, friends, and clubs. One statistic, resulting from a survey taken over the past twenty years in America reports that a lack of social capital can be dangerous: “People who are socially disconnected are between two and five times more likely to die from all causes compared with matched individuals who have close ties with family, friends, and the community.” With this statistic in mind, it is no wonder that those fans who see themselves as part of a *Star Trek* community, whether they organise conventions or only contribute letters to magazines, attribute such a large part of their improved health and mental outlook to the positive sensations they get from watching the show.
Jason Lighthall’s letter discussed in Chapter Six describes how the characters on the series “are able to deal with... conflicts in a peaceful and diplomatic way” and by copying them he has “begun to learn new ways of dealing with people and respecting them in a more mature and social manner.” Far from taking an individual character such as Kirk or Janeway as his role-model, Lighthall sees every character as contributing to his personal improvement. What is more, all fans appear to share similar learning experiences because they share one purpose: To help make Roddenberry’s utopia a reality. Lighthall goes on to say “most people who are Star Trek fans are also highly motivated, and are more likely to succeed in life because of that”, implying that being a fan sets himself and fellow enthusiasts apart from other people. This form of exceptionalism is a familiar trope in American culture, identified in the Puritan literature of the 17th century, and Lighthall’s reference to a select group shows that he believes Star Trek fans are one of the few in America that can succeed in their mission. However, this mission of bringing about utopia is not a selfish one, as Lighthall verifies that “we want to change our future so that we can improve our life and the lives of others”; like the American Jeremiad, Lighthall’s letter confirms a message, a means, and a motive for personal change.

It is interesting to note that the letter stresses individual change within a supportive community: “Being part of the Star Trek community has changed me.” Marco Di Lalla’s letter also points to individual progress within the fan community. After surfing the Internet and finding fellow gaming enthusiasts, Di Lalla joined a Star Trek club that played role-playing games; as a result he has had “the opportunity to write great stories and share them with other people.
across the world.”23 By sending ideas back and forth Di Lalla was able to get supportive feedback from other fans which he believes contributes to the pleasure he gets when watching and writing *Star Trek* stories. In his group he can “talk freely and openly about [*Star Trek*] without being teased, laughed at, or called a freak.” These are strong words but it is obvious that Di Lalla feels threatened if he talks about his passion in public. The group to which he belongs gives him that safe place offered by the format of the talk-show to express his individuality. This in turn has given him what he calls “confidence, strength, and imagination.”24 These three qualities are replicated in other letters that depict personal achievement. Jeanna F. Gallo recounts how she started to write “fanfic for the old paper ‘zines” and then began to write scripts in an attempt to get them made into real episodes. Eventually one of her stories was made into the episode *TNG* episode “Sub Rosa” (1994) and another inspired the *Voyager* episode “Distant Origin” (1997). She found that as well as getting support from the show, the producers helped her enormously in trying to write and submit ideas to the studio: “They gave a lot of self-confidence and allowed a lifelong sci-fi fan and Trekker to make her tiny mark on *Trek* history.”25

Other fans talk about how particular actors have inspired them to be creative, not just in writing scripts, but also by helping to promote products. After buying and listening to Brent Spiner’s album, *Ol’ Yellow Eyes Is Back*, Kathy Warren writes in her letter how she felt so indebted to Spiner, for bringing his character Data to life, that she wanted to give something back to him. She decided to try and get the album played on local radio (without Spiner knowing) and promote it to radio stations around the country so that listeners could hear the music she enjoyed. Taking time out of her own life she strived
to get the album played everywhere she could think of in an effort to boost sales. Warren believed her personal efforts were justifiable because Spiner, and *Star Trek*, gave her so much to enjoy in her life. Furthermore, as an entertaining text it encourages the sort of self-confidence and imagination she gained and used when promoting the album: “It extols the highest virtues of humanity and shows us, in a very entertaining fashion, where we can use some serious improvement.”

In comparison to the Vietnam veterans discussed in the previous two chapters, another veteran recounted a very different experience about the war and how *Star Trek* helped him in an interview discussed in Star Trek Lives! (1975). In this particular case, Captain Pierre D. Kirk recounted that he had survived countless Vietcong ambushes by using *Star Trek* dialogue to confuse the enemy and get his company to safety.27 As the real Captain Kirk compared his situation with his fictional namesake he discussed how the army had used aspects of command and leadership exemplified in *Star Trek* to train new cadets at Officer Training School. As well as recognising Kirk’s shrewdness as a ‘soldier’ and ‘diplomat’, Pierre Kirk defended instances in the original series when the captain had to use force by stating that “in a real military situation a leader cannot say, ‘You guys go and do that.’ He says, instead, ‘Follow me’.”28 Such was Pierre Kirk’s passion for *Star Trek*, and particularly the character of Captain Kirk, he not only continued to believe in the series during combat in Vietnam but also used certain messages that he found useful and pertinent to him in order to survive quite dangerous and life-threatening scenarios. This example of popular culture intruding into real life was not uncommon in Vietnam and indicates how much the war had become intertwined with the
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American psyche. Perhaps the most renowned example of this is what doctors termed the “John Wayne Syndrome”. Just as Pierre Kirk assimilated fictional media elements into his Vietnam experience, young soldiers diagnosed with “John Wayne Syndrome” internalised the mythical ideals of “superhuman military bravery, skill, and invulnerability to guilt and grief” personified by the iconic roles played by Wayne in Westerns and War films. The image that he portrayed on screen was taken by the soldiers as a model of how they should fight the war. When John Wayne always came home a hero it made them believe the same would happen to them. However, the brutal and traumatic experiences of Vietnam proved that war was not like the movies and Wayne’s heroic image was entirely fallible. Even the popular American children’s toy, the Slinky, found its way into the combat zone; soldiers threw them into trees to “act as makeshift radio antennas.” What these cultural amalgamations intimate is that under extreme pressure people chose to put their faith in something they felt familiar with, something from their childhood or personal to them, in order to cope.

The example of Pierre Kirk is also interesting as it relates to phenomenon discussed in Richard Drinnon’s book *Facing West: The Metaphysics of Indian-Hating and Empire-Building* (1997). Specifically how, as on the American frontier with the demonisation of Indians, the demonisation of the Vietcong in Vietnam made it easier for Americans to kill them. The atrocity performed at My Lai in 1968 where over 200 civilians, including women and children, were murdered by an American company exemplifies how American soldiers began to imagine Vietnam as “Indian Country” where “savages” lurked behind every tree. For their part, the soldiers were like the
European settlers who had landed in America to tame the wilderness; the Vietnamese were like the savages who had to be converted in order to be redeemed. However, Pierre Kirk did not necessarily act out a version of ‘cowboys and Indians’ with his company but the use of Star Trek language and dialogue to interact with his comrades and presumably discuss the enemy suggests that combat had become for him some form of role-play where he interacted in a manner akin to the fictional series. Such role-playing could be seen to have had a relatively positive effect on Kirk who relieved the pressures of combat and command by connecting with his role model, Captain Kirk. However, Kirk’s war-zone metamorphosis into the ‘real’ Captain Kirk from the Enterprise has a detrimental effect on the popular conviction that Star Trek had an anti-war subtext to its stories – something that Franklin has already assessed with regard to early episodes as seen in Chapter Six. The appropriation of Star Trek language and Kirk’s strong character does indeed highlight how much fans report that they integrate the series into their lives, especially when they are experiencing a particularly stressful or daunting period. Pierre Kirk’s vision of self-hood, in that particular situation, merged with the fictional universe of Star Trek resulting in a feeling of personal strength.

Perhaps we could assume that without the support of the Star Trek episodes, and the notions of community and familiarity they bring to individuals, those people who have written letters might not have recovered so quickly and gained new belief “in the future of mankind.” William Shatner and Chip Walter have observed similar trends in their investigation of people who are working on the science of tomorrow; fans who have been inspired by Star Trek’s vision of the future to become scientists and engineers. Through
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interviews the authors identified how some fans went to college to learn about the science they saw on the program:

NASA engineers, artificial intelligence gurus, particle physicists, biochemists. They probably all had the wonderment disease before they saw a single Star Trek episode or read a page of science fiction, but seeing it must have acted like a reverse inoculation, a booster shot, that made the disease worse. 35

I want to underline here that part of the reason I believe fans look to Star Trek when they feel the need for emotional or mental support, and therefore transform the series into a collaborative medium, can be ascribed to the developments I analysed in the first half of the thesis. Star Trek provides a sense of an ideal world amplified by the fictional history of the future; in order to escape from such traumatic life events described in this section or to change their lives for the better, fans seek to assimilate all that they believe Star Trek stands for. It is such a belief in the deeply-rooted American trope of self-improvement that characterises Star Trek fandom and, as I have already examined in Part Two, can also be found in its Puritan form in the American Jeremiad and the Star Trek feature films. Of course, making out Star Trek to be a modern day (futuristic) version of the Ben Franklin/Horatio Alger myth of America is a damaging construct. A point alluded to by Hanley Kanar's argument that those wishing to find a cure for disability help to perpetuate stereotypes of disabled Americans and the disability pride movement. Therefore, I want to finally stress the important role fans play in their own forms of self-improvement, not forgetting their gratitude felt for doctors, friends, and family; they are not inhibited by their affection for the series.
Sharing their experiences in letters emphasises how much they want to communicate their feelings to other fans and is characteristic of a distinctive form of fan discourse.
Notes

1 Compare this to the immensely successful *Chicken Soup* series; popular volumes of collected personal stories aimed at inspiring different people at particular times, offering help and guidance for those who feel lost: See Jack Canfield and Mark Victor Hansen, eds. *Chicken Soup for the Soul: 101 Stories to Open the Heart and Rekindle the Spirit*. (Deerfield Beach, FL: Health Communications Incorporated, 1993). Since the publication of the first volume of ‘inspirational’ stories there have been over 57 sequels, resulting in 80 million copies sold in 32 languages around the world. Other *Chicken Soup* volumes range from those aimed at mothers, teenagers, children, the recently bereaved, and teachers to some aimed at quite specific groups including pet lovers, those who enjoy Christmas, Jewish mothers, baseball fans, and *NASCAR* enthusiasts: a history of the *Chicken Soup* volumes is accessible on the website. Available at: <URL: http://www.chickensoup.com/> [Accessed 13th April 2004].


In the episode "Ethics" Worf is seriously injured when a support beam breaks and causes a heavy container to fall on him. He is paralysed from the waist down. This news crushes Worf's Klingon pride, and he refuses to allow anyone, including his son Alexander, to see him. Worf, believing his life to be already over, asks Riker to assist in his ceremonial suicide, citing the belief that no Klingon should live as an object of pity or shame. But thanks to advanced Federation medicine and a mysterious Klingon biochemical reaction, Worf recovers on the operating table. This combination of miracle and science saves Worf from living as an object of pity.


Ibid.


Ibid. p. 171.


Ibid. p. 42.


Simon P. Newman identifies Wayne's role in *Sands of Iwo Jima* (1949) as exemplary of the mythic image he so often portrayed. His heroic WW2 character served to inspire the next generation of soldiers who where shipped off to Vietnam; fight as a hero, come home a hero (ironically Wayne's character dies at the end of the film). When they got there, however, they did not find war to be like it was for Wayne in the movies and as a result suffered serious psychological trauma. Assuming Wayne's image did not help them win the war and it did not entitle them to a hero's welcome on their return. Newman sees the Vietnam movies of the seventies as debunking the myths of the WW2 movies, specifically Wayne's iconic image. Wayne became a joke to those veterans portrayed in the films. As a consequence, Newman believes the Rambo films of the eighties were an attempt to inject national identity and purpose, absent from the previous Vietnam films, so that America's mythical image of war could be employed during the Cold War. See Simon P. Newman, "'It Don't Mean Nothing': Explaining the Inexplicable in Vietnam War Films and Fiction." Paper presented at the *British Association of American Studies* Annual Conference, Manchester Metropolitan University, 15th-18th April, (2004).


34 See Richard Slotkin, “Unit Pride: Ethnic Platoons and the Myths of American Nationality.” *American Literary History* 13.3 (2001): 469-498 for a comparison of *Star Trek*'s use of the war image in its episodes and the Hollywood platoon as an idealised version of American society pulling together. For Slotkin, whereas *Star Trek*'s original mission of space exploration has its roots in the genre of the Western film, its wars “come from the combat film and are fought by multiethnic, multiracial, and multigendered military units” (p. 493) contributing to the myth of oneness in American society.

PART FOUR

Fans on Film: Explorations of Future History and Star Trek

Fan Culture

“She has an illusion and you have reality. May you find your way as pleasant.”

- The Keeper to Pike, on Vina’s choice to stay with the Talosians, “The Cage” (1964).

“Surely you realise that Star Trek is just a TV show?”

“So was Brideshead Revisited!”

“You’re angry so I’m going to ignore that!”


In this section I look at how fans interact with the Star Trek series through a concern for the fictional text and what steps they take to help legitimise it for themselves as an alternative way of life. In Chapter Nine I examine fan letters that address the latest series Enterprise and how it represents a return to traditional American and Star Trek notions of exploration and utopia. Chapter Ten is devoted to an analysis of the science fiction fan film Galaxy Quest and the positive portrayal of Star Trek fans realising their individual potential within a supportive community. Together, these chapters define the Star Trek fan as both a provider of analytical comment (able to contribute to a specific debate centred on the Enterprise series) and subject of critical analysis (through the representation of the fan on the movie screen).

Fan audiences have been, and will always be, able to offer their own opinion on the object of their affection; and of course, the Star Trek audience is
no different. Henry Jenkins describes audiences as “active, critically aware and discriminating” and for the purposes of this section I want to look in closer detail at those fans of Star Trek who could be described as “critically aware and discriminating.”² By this I mean that Star Trek fans are able to recognise certain flaws and characteristics of a particular series that perhaps work against or counter the original ethos that Gene Roddenberry wanted to achieve when creating Star Trek. In the case of Enterprise, many fans are aware of how awkwardly the overtly-American opening credits sequence sits in relation to Star Trek’s vision of global equality and humanity working together without national prejudice. Fans’ reaction to this new development will be analysed in Chapter Nine. The Star Trek franchise is well known for its history of fan/text interaction, in fact its very survival was guaranteed by an enthusiastic letter campaign targeted at the studio.³ As Jenkins has observed, “Star Trek fans were, from the start, an activist audience, lobbying to keep the series on the air and later advocating specific changes in the programme content, the better to reflect its own agendas.”⁴ Therefore, Star Trek has a tradition of fan/text interaction that goes beyond simple expressions of affection to include interpretation, debate and, at times, conflict. What is more, these modes of interaction between the fans and the text are largely based on a detailed awareness of how the series has developed, evolved and, most importantly, how the fictional future history of the show inter-links with real history. The series’ visions of the future become the talking point between fans who immersed themselves in the minutiae of Star Trek’s taxonomic narrative history described in Chapter One. Nancy Baym’s analysis of soap fandom can help us to understand the reciprocity between Star Trek fans and the detailed
narrative. She maintains that "fans share knowledge of the show’s history [through online debates and correspondence], in part, because the genre demands it. Any soap has broadcast more material than any single fan can remember." In the case of Star Trek, there are thousands of characters, plot points, and historical entries that might be useful to remember depending on the individual fan’s level of interaction with specific episodes. This intertextuality becomes significant when a new episode, or indeed a new series like Enterprise, contests specified historical events within the fictional timeline. As we will see in Chapter Nine, sometimes fans contest the relationship between reality and Star Trek’s conception of the future. At other times, as we will see in Chapter Ten, the fans’ capacity to remember and have faith in the fictional narrative helps them to achieve new levels of self-improvement that were perhaps not open to them within mainstream society.

Issues over the validity of the Star Trek canon, expressed through fan letters published in specialist magazines and on the Internet, are not a new occurrence. What I want to stress is that fans are interested in making sure that their canon is not damaged or affected by the actions of the producers and writers of new episodes, or perhaps even by the opinions of some cultural critics who openly dislike Enterprise. The kinds of issues and debates surrounding Enterprise’s opening titles are ones that have been thrashed out and discussed by fans of other popular media products. For example, Star Wars fans are no strangers to intense debate as they try and defend their appropriation of George Lucas’ strictly rigid fictional narrative canon. Often friendly in nature, these debates revolve around notions of story accuracy and characterisation. However, in one particular case, regarding the new character
Jar Jar Binks, conflict arose over whether Lucas was right to include such a ‘childish’ and childlike main character. The fans’ hatred of the new addition to the canon was a “tactic aimed at preserving the fans’ ‘good’ object of *Star Wars* as ‘serious’ and ‘culturally significant’.”³ For fans of *Star Trek* this type of concern for seriousness and cultural significance is an important part of their correspondence relating to *Enterprise* and their depiction as an audience in the movie *Galaxy Quest*.
Notes

1 For the first two seasons of Enterprise the Star Trek name was absent from the show. In fact, this was the first Star Trek series from Paramount not to have the traditional moniker. It was felt by producers that dropping the Star Trek would signal to audiences that this was a fresh take on the future, stamping a sense of originality and uniqueness onto a Star Trek product without making it obvious. Unfortunately, partly due to falling ratings and a perceived lack of interest in the show because people were not aware that it was Star Trek, the famous signature was added at the start of Season Three. UPN communications director Diane Kuri emphasised that “by formally changing the show’s title, we will be able to further capitalise on and form a stronger connection to the famous and highly successful Star Trek franchise,” see “Star Trek is Back.” Star Trek Monthly Magazine, December (2003): 7. However, I believe that this shift was not entirely based on marketing strategies; it is pretty obvious that Enterprise was always a Star Trek show. Instead, this shift signals a return to Star Trek’s traditional roots – emphasising to fans that Enterprise is not that new at all. For the purpose of this analysis I shall continue to use the title Enterprise.


4 Jenkins, “Interactive Audiences?” p. 159.


6 For an analysis of fan relationships with the fictional narrative, particularly how fans use their knowledge of the narrative to gain cultural ownership see Nathan Hunt, “The Importance of Trivia: Ownership, Exclusion and Authority in Science Fiction Fandom.” In Mark Jancovich,


CHAPTER NINE


“... The game wouldn’t be worth playing if we knew what was going to happen.”


“It’s been a long road/ Getting from there to here.”


In this chapter I want to examine Star Trek’s faith in the future by comparing two of its most dissimilar series: Deep Space Nine (DS9) and Enterprise. DS9 is set in the 24th century; Enterprise takes the year 2151 as its starting point. Both series have distinctive views of how humanity deals with conflict, life in space, diplomacy, exploration, and our faith in the future. All of these qualities are represented in opposite ways. DS9 shows a more flawed and uncertain approach to our future progress in space; as if the future is undetermined and the human journey is far from complete. On the other hand, Enterprise’s optimistic and, I would say, innocent prediction of humanity’s first steps beyond the solar system arises because the history of the future is already ‘set in stone’. Specifically, much of Star Trek’s future history previously recorded in past series prevents Enterprise from covering new ground and expanding upon the voyage; it cannot deviate or change a narrative past that has literally happened already. Through an analysis of episodes in both series and Enterprise’s contentious opening title sequence I want to reveal how they deal with history and humanity’s future in space; how they have understood Star
Trek's central utopian principle whilst also trying to examine how we interact with each other in the present. I also want to stress that both series embody Star Trek's paradoxical view of a bright future based on a history that does not exist in the present. However, since much of Star Trek's popularity is based on its catalogued historical narrative through which its fans live out their own fantasies, the dangers of reflecting back on time are obviated through a process of self selection. Fans realise that much of this history is distorted so they can either choose to ignore it or assimilate it into their own imagination of the future.

The universe in which Deep Space Nine is set is an ambiguous one in comparison with the universes of previous Star Trek series. The writers and producers stressed that the characters were to be fallible, have obvious faults, and, most important of all, would face complex situations in space that no longer have easy answers. Its premise was suggested by Paramount executives as being: “Rather than a ‘Wagon Train to the Stars’, a ‘Rifleman’ in space.” The look and feel of the show would prove to be far darker and more serious than its contemporary The Next Generation, for example being set on a space station meant that if any exploring was to be done then the unknown would have to come to them. This confined setting implied that there would be more chance for character development. They would be allowed to grow as the stories they were involved in became more complicated and less resolvable in a single weekly episode. Chris Gregory argues that “DS9 concentrates more on the growth the characters experience as a result of the unfolding narratives of
the series itself” rather than their individual actions in separate and varied storylines. ³ For Gregory, DS9 bears a striking resemblance to a soap opera since it incorporates narrative structures very similar to those used in soap television such as complicated and involved character back-stories and interwoven story arcs, plus the highly developed historical narrative I discussed in Chapter One:

The stories are linked by continuing ‘soap opera’-type subplots such as Bashir’s ineffectual attempts to romance Jadzia, Sisko’s difficulties with his adolescent son, Jake, and Odo’s continual pursuit of Quark. It is emphasised that DS9 is a multicultural community in which there will be less focus on the ‘military’ life of Starfleet as seen on TNG’s Enterprise, and in which relationships between characters will be less bound by their rank and position.⁴

In Karin Blair’s article “Star Trek Old and New: From the Alien Embodied to the Alien Imagined” (1997), she distinguishes between the older, better known series and DS9 in order to evaluate the shift that has taken place from Star Trek’s outward exploration of society to a more inward-looking approach. DS9, in her opinion, tends to examine individual identities and personal relationships more than past series that were concerned with an expansion of humanity on the final frontier. Blair recognised that Star Trek returned to the enclosed space of the individual and how that individual interacts with others rather than continuing with outward exploration; American society as a whole needed to look inwards to examine the state of the nation as it drew near the end of the millennium and the dawn of a new global community:
Having reached a certain limit in outward exploration, we must come to know ourselves as collaborators in the making of our own networks and identities, which requires closure as well as openness, moral feeling and human decency as well as pragmatism, expansiveness and intelligent curiosity. Above all perhaps an acceptance of ambiguity is needed; values can give warmth as well as clarity.\(^5\)

From these examinations of *DS9* one can identify that the theme of ambiguity was an important part of the series’ ongoing narrative; as this chapter’s introductory quote taken from the 1993 series pilot episode states: “The game wouldn’t be worth playing if we knew what was going to happen.” Therefore, all that was previously assumed from other *Star Trek* series would be irrelevant. Even the ever-present optimism of *Star Trek* was not guaranteed since humanity was going to be tested on the frontier space station and some of the main characters were going to be found wanting. In terms of closure, the series finished without giving the audience all the answers; the crew did not stay together so fans were uncertain if they would see these characters again in a movie like the four which followed the end of *The Next Generation*.\(^6\)

In the final episode “What You Leave Behind” (1999) long-standing relationships come to an end and new beginnings form. Characters who have been friends for seven years such as Bashir and O’Brien have to say farewell as their careers and partners take precedence. Bashir finally becomes involved with the conjoined host Dax after several years of failed attempts at seduction. Chief O’Brien decides to leave the station and return to Earth with his family so that he can teach at Starfleet Academy. Colonel Kira, who originally despised the presence of the Federation and wanted more autonomy for her
planet Bajor, becomes commander of the station after Captain Sisko departs. Her partner, the shapeshifting Odo, decides to return to his people who had fought a war with the Federation so that they might understand that humanity does not pose a threat to their freedom. Worf leaves the station to be Federation Ambassador to the Klingon Empire. The Ferengi bartender Quark remains on board the station to continue his clandestine money-making schemes. Most significant of all, Jake Sisko and Kasidy Yates are left behind as Benjamin Sisko begins a journey of self-discovery as the Emissary for the celestial Prophets of Bajor. These non-corporeal beings act as protectors for the Bajorans and Sisko is their representative. After the war with the Dominion had finished Sisko believed his job was done, yet, the Prophets told him that his mission had only just begun. For the first time in a Star Trek series the main character, the captain, leaves his crew and family to fulfil another destiny. His whereabouts is unknown and it is unclear whether he will return. At the end of the episode, Jake and Kira look out into space unsure of what Ben Sisko is doing or if he will ever return. This ambiguous ending illustrates the nature of DS9's entire series and is indicative of its manipulation of the Star Trek mythos. Besides Worf, who continues to appear in TNG movies, every DS9 character has an open-ended future within the Trek universe.

Such uncertainty allows those fans the possibility to make it up for themselves so that they can experience more. There are no plans for any follow-up movies so fans can develop their favourite characters in their own ways. One example of this is in the new series of novels that has been published following the season finale. These imagine how the station survived after the war and how Jake, Kasidy and the rest of the crew have coped with
the loss of Captain Sisko. However, the stories are not part of the Star Trek canon, therefore, the events that take place in them are not legitimate within the future history. As I have pointed out in Chapter One, everything that appears on the television series or on the movie screen is deemed as official within the fictional Star Trek universe. Literature such as the technical manuals and fact files produced under license to Paramount are also seen as canonical because they expand upon material aired on screen and are used as points of reference for further episodes and movies. However, the novels and fan literature are not seen as canonical because the stories they tell have not ‘happened’, they have not taken place on screen and are therefore unofficial. Some are produced as officially-licensed books by Paramount “who has decreed that anything that’s televised as Star Trek is ‘Star Trek fact’, whereas anything that’s printed is ‘Star Trek fiction’.” This means the future of DS9 is still as undefined as it was after the final episode.

The overall ethos of DS9’s final episode focuses on having faith in the future even though the characters have no idea what is going to happen to them. Sisko tells his wife Kasidy that they may not be together for a long time but when he returns it might seem as if he were only gone a day. That is the nature of the Prophets who do not live in human linear time but rather live outside of time and can therefore deliver Sisko back to Kasidy before he had even left. Without the concept of linear time the Prophets do not understand history and do not understand humanity’s preoccupation with memory, remembering, and eulogising the past. When Sisko says to the Prophet Sarah (his mother) that his time as Emissary was nearly at an end she responds, “Your journey’s end lies not before you but behind you,” and he finally realises
his position as religious messenger for the prophets: his time on the station was only the beginning. This lack of narrative closure for the Sisko character is representative of the series’ failure to bring adequate closure to many of its ongoing stories. For many fans this gives them plenty of opportunity to imagine what might be next. However, for those who are aware of the detailed yet fictional history of the Federation, Enterprise does not provide this opportunity because it is playing out the history of the Federation as it should have happened – as it is meant to happen in order to reach the time of TNG, DS9, and Voyager. Star Trek’s historical confinement is something which needs explaining so that we can comprehend Enterprise’s turn to the past.

++++++

Star Trek is recall performed as prediction. It disguises the past, repackaging it in the future. In other words, future prognostication literally becomes a thing of the past. We have already seen the future. We have seen the future prescribed, inscribed, and etched into our memory on Star Trek. We know how it will all turn out.\textsuperscript{9}

The defining premise of Enterprise is the pioneer spirit, space exploration at its most rudimentary level, not much advanced from today. It charts the history of Roddenberry’s future, where fan favourites such as the transporter and warp drive are in their infancy. Enterprise provides definitive fan interaction and appreciation because it caters to their fascination with Star Trek continuity and the franchise’s penchant for describing the history of the future. The first few episodes exemplify this development by concentrating on key events in Star
Trek lore, for example the pilot episode “Broken Bow” (2001) reveals new secrets behind the birth of Starfleet and recounts how the Vulcans opposed humanity’s first steps toward the final frontier. “The Andorian Incident” (2001) expands upon this trend by concentrating on the Andorian species first seen in the original series, but not regularly used in more recent episodes, and builds up a whole new social and cultural history around their characters. What these stories are actually doing is called ‘retconning’, an “abbreviated term for the act of retroactively adjusting continuity,” and “is a long-established staple in the world of comics, where characters’ origins are forever being raked over, fleshed out and sometimes adjusted for perceived ‘newer’ audiences.” In other words, Enterprise is using retcons (an insertion into the fictional narrative chronology) as a means to construct the future history that both fascinates and compels the more serious fans. For those less concerned with the intricacies of Star Trek history the message exhibited in recent video advertising signals the franchise’s retrospective narrative agenda: Over a picture of the new ship’s Captain, Jonathan Archer, reads the tagline, “MEET KIRK’S CHILDHOOD HERO” [see Appendix 3]. It seems that the future is far closer than we think.

This pull towards the past has increased exponentially since the terrorist atrocities in New York, so much so that film makers are reportedly having to go further back in time to rediscover the “youth of mankind” in the classical world of Greek and Arthurian legend. Smallville: Superman the Early Years (2001- ), an American teen action drama about Superman’s life at high school, highlights this trend episodically by creating a totally new Superman for the new millennium. Instead of seeing him in his familiar costume taking on super-criminals in Metropolis, the audience gets to see how he developed his powers
and learnt to cope with girlfriends, school, and teen angst before leaving the familial innocence of farm life in Kansas. The regression from the city back to the countryside attests to the major impact September 11th had on the American psyche, nothing could have prevented those planes crashing into the World Trade Center and therefore the future looks more terrifying and insecure than ever before. Perhaps returning to the innocence of the past, seeing Clark Kent grow up to become Superman, reassures people that there may yet be hope to come from this “post-millennial confusion.” What is more, being set in the present affirms that this Superman is not just a comic book hero from the forties or a camp crusader from the seventies, but a living presence who shares in America’s current cultural and social dilemma.

According to Donna Minkowitz, “Enterprise was birthed before September 11, but it seems tailor-made for this time of alien-hating and macho heroism.” Her main reason is that it gives Star Trek “a convenient excuse for turning back the galactic clock on race and gender,” which Minkowitz sees as the two main failures of the new series. With regard to race, Enterprise supposedly champions white supremacism with its harsh depiction of the Vulcans as dominators who stand in the way of human creativity. In terms of gender, Enterprise’s world is chauvinistic because only two of the main crew are female: T’Pol’s only role is to stand in the background and warn the captain about his actions; Hoshi resembles Lt Uhura whereby she steps in to hail Starfleet and be mollycoddled by her male crewmates on away-missions. These criticisms of Star Trek’s treatment of race and gender are not new; they were often aimed at Gene Roddenberry and the original series and are the subject of many academic works. However, Minkowitz believes that following the
progress seen in *TNG*, *DS9*, and especially *Voyager* these developments are a step backward in science fiction broadcasting. Consequently, *Enterprise* not only returns to the narrative history of the Federation, it also replicates the inconsistent history of the original series where Roddenberry’s liberal humanitarian ethos was continually offset by conservative representations of race and his own stereotypical views on how women should dress in the future.

The opening titles on *Enterprise* are a departure for a *Star Trek* series; they are the first to be accompanied by a song: Diane Warren’s “Where My Heart Will Take Me” sung by Russell Watson. For Minkowitz, this new addition to *Star Trek* convention is just as regressive as previous incarnations with its “boasts about resisting alien domination.” To some extent this observation is correct, however, I believe that the opening titles embody more than just a xenophobic reaction to the international community. The scenes from human history depicting the evolution of spaceflight and humanity’s passion for exploration locate *Enterprise*, and therefore *Star Trek*, within a very specific tradition of American Exceptionalism [see Appendix 4]:

*Enterprise Title Sequence Visuals:* 16

A Kon-Tiki crossing the Pacific Ocean;

HMS Enterprise and nameplate;

Auguste and Jean Piccard, High Altitude Balloonists;

Charles Lindbergh and The Spirit of St Louis, 1927;

Space Shuttle Enterprise, unveiled by the *Star Trek* cast in 1976;

Amelia Earhart;

The Wright Brothers at Kitty Hawk, NC – 1903;

NASA Explorer Submarine;
The Bell X-1 and Chuck Yeager, 1947;
Alan Shepard and Apollo 14, 1971;
John Glenn aboard Space Shuttle Discovery, 1998;
Dr. Robert H. Goddard – Rocket Pioneer;
Apollo 11 Moon Landing, 1969;
Sojourner – The robotic Mars rover, 1997;
International Space Station;
Lunar Orbiter, 2039;
The Phoenix’s first test run, 2063;
NX 01 Enterprise, 2151.

It is this tradition that perhaps accounts for Enterprise’s turn to the past at a time of American uncertainty. When the nation feels threatened, deprived, or isolated American society requires an affirmation of its role within the larger global community. This role is depicted in the title sequence as being a leader in the development of spaceflight and a pioneer in the technological advancement of human civilisation, but it is not restricted to the fictional history of Star Trek. America saw its mission to land on the Moon and beat the Soviets in the space race as an extension of its mission to bring freedom to the world. The rhetoric of exceptionalism is part of America’s self-appointed mission and has its roots in the exploration and settling of the American continent first initiated by the God-fearing Pilgrim Fathers.

Star Trek’s appropriation of what appears to be an overtly-American version of history seen in the opening title sequence indicates that it is trying to ground its vision of the future in a mythic retelling of the past. Celebrating American achievements on the sea, in the air, and in space appears to be part of
a process of ‘reinterpretation’ or ‘revisioning’ of history which Sarah Neely describes as a ‘retrovision’. A “retrovision is a ‘vision into or of the past’ and implies an act of possessing the ability to read the past, in the way that one would possess a prophetic vision.”\(^{17}\) For Deborah Cartmell and I.Q. Hunter retrovisions are “makeovers of history” and I apply the term to *Enterprise* here since it is trying to re-fashion *Star Trek*’s universal history by making it part of a very specific American mythic history.\(^{18}\) Overall, the retrovisioning of *Star Trek* history is an appealing component of America’s return to its exceptional past. Deborah L. Madsen believes “exceptionalism has always offered a mythological refuge from the chaos of history and the uncertainty of life… it was the legacy of the Old World for the New.”\(^{19}\) *Enterprise* offers an American society unsure of the future the very same refuge through a revisioning of a celebratory past. Furthermore, as Madsen believes “exceptionalism *is now* the legacy of the United States for us all,”\(^{20}\) *Enterprise*’s future also appears to be the only one that we as a global community can achieve because it has eliminated all vestiges of humanity’s international achievements and replaced them with images of America’s attempts at exploring space.

The ethos of both the title sequence and the pilot episode “Broken Bow” is to *have faith in the past* because the past is reassuringly comforting compared to the political and social upheavals at the beginning of the 21st century. *Enterprise*’s “faith of the heart” as described in the title song persuades the audience that *Star Trek*’s future is going to be a reality; the exceptionalism personified by America’s aviation achievements in the credits proves that the future will be a bright one. Such optimism, however, can only come if you have faith in the past, celebrate American success, and ultimately
rely on America “getting” you “from there to here.” The optimism of *Star Trek’s* utopian future is still present but in a rather conservative and backward-looking form. *DS9*’s faith in the future is clearly focused on what is unknown, unseen, and uncontrollable. *Enterprise* seems to be saying that at the turn of the century, just three years after *DS9* ended, such uncertainty will not bring us any closer to *Star Trek*’s future: rather a predestined confidence will help us achieve utopia. As a result, the vast future history of the *Star Trek* universe diminishes as all of humanity’s accomplishments and desires are confined within the exceptional rhetoric of a mythical American history. *Enterprise*’s narrative can go no further than its forebears and likewise its prognosis for the future is foreshortened.

II

From my analysis of fan letters related to the new series I would suggest that the constrictiveness of *Star Trek’s* exceptional future history is weakened through their own engagement with the televisual text. Fans, particularly British fans, are well aware of the potentially isolating and offensive effects of the overtly American *Enterprise* opening titles. In a letter printed in the *Radio Times* one British viewer writes:

The montage of historical film in the opening credits of *Enterprise* presents a narrow view of the development of space travel, with no sign of the first space travellers – male, female or canine – who are presumably of the wrong nationality to be celebrated. I seem to remember that Ensign Chekov used to have something to say about such matters.21
The viewer is clearly trying to point out that the Star Trek canon is at risk of overlooking its international elements – the famous Russian ensign to name just one – and that its historical source is beginning to be restricted to an American version of future history. The viewer appears not to agree with Star Trek’s isolationist u-turn and points out that Enterprise is looking back on a very nationalistic and masculine version of events.

In this next letter entitled “Yanks for the Memory” sent to the UK Star Trek Magazine one fan tries to express his concerns about the Enterprise credits in an openly comedic fashion by pretending to be Vladimir Putin, yet, it still shows just how much fans pay attention to their favourite show:

Have you noticed that the opening credits show lovely images of Mankind’s achievements, or should I say American achievements? It seems that they are the only ones that do anything according to Enterprise. I think we should have seen maybe the first man in space (Yuri Gagarin), the first man-made object in space (Sputnik), the first space station (Mir) and let’s not forget also that we Russians did heaps of other cool things in space way before Mr. Armstrong went on his little trip! What would Chekov say about the above being missed out?  

This letter shows signs of sarcasm that hides a deeper concern over Enterprise’s lack of international history. The fan actually tries to list his own version of images to counteract those used in the credits, also assuming a Russian identity in which to do it. Positioning himself alongside Chekov in Star Trek’s mythos draws attention to the imbalance whilst also legitimising his own argument by using official canon. Ironically, the fan’s faith in Star Trek future history signified through his use of Chekov’s nationality and status
as original cast member overcomes the retrovisioning of the space race and *Enterprise*’s faith in the American past. Fan criticism of the titles is not restricted to an international arena, Americans too are writing to point out the nationalist overtones of the spaceflight imagery. In this following letter taken from an *Enterprise* forum on the Internet, one US fan indicates their appreciation of the musical accompaniment but again calls attention to the lack of Russian input:

lov [sic] the theme. Have been almost moved to tears by the eimagery [sic] until....

Only American space-craft are used in the titles. Oh I know there is the British Frigate (no USA at that time) and the International Space Station (mostly US), but what about Sputnik, Gargarin? [sic] Especially if you consider how repetitive and hackneyed some of those NASA shots are (that Apollo stage burning up in Earth's atmosphere, puleaze! [sic] Is that an invitation to litter or what?).

In reality, *Star Trek*’s shift to the right in its latest series is not as significant as the fans’ (both American and British) desire to critically engage with the important issues that pervade society in the early part of a new century. They are very aware of *Star Trek*’s pluralist tradition and important standing in American popular culture; they are determined not to let it become the product of a narrow-minded return to the past, rather the symbol of a refreshingly open-ended future.

For those who decide what actually goes into the canon by means of the episodes and official literature, the future history and the realities of the
universe around it is an exciting way of telling important stories. Such stories have captured imaginations and taught several generations the valuable life-lessons from past, present, and future society. As seen in Part Three, the official Star Trek canon has become the fans' template for life, a blueprint for how society should and could be. In Enterprise future history acts as an emblematic and implicit marker for past and present American cultural values; far removed from the more ambiguous characteristics of DS9's vision of the future. Because of this limitation, Daniel Bernardi has theorised that the fans' canon is vulnerable to contamination from the same social issues that tarnish America's own literary and historical canon. Nevertheless, perhaps the fact that there is a divide between the fans' own interpretation of the text and what appears on screen allows Star Trek to escape being totally affected by the same ideological problems America suffers. Furthermore, as my analysis of DS9 has shown, not all Star Trek series celebrate American history as does Enterprise. DS9 is itself a serious attempt at criticising the human reliance on history as an outline for the future. Fans can and do criticise the fictional reality provided by Star Trek, thereby creating strong individual identities and negating the dangers of a naturalising and ultimately over-powering historical narrative.
Notes


4 Ibid. p.74.


13 Ibid.


16 In the build-up to the third season of *Enterprise* in September 2003 the *Star Trek* website posted a timeline that charts the history of space exploration. Included in this timeline are key dates in the history of Star Trek’s future narrative, many of which are pictured in the opening credits sequence. See “Key Events in Exploration History.” Available at: <URL: http://www.startrek.com/startrek/view/features/documentaries/article/462.html> [Accessed 10th September 2003]. Below are a few extracts:

1799 - Henry Spencer of Baltimore, Maryland, builds a sailing ship, a schooner named Enterprise.
1903 - The Wright Brothers build and fly the first motorized airplane in Kitty Hawk, North Carolina, forever giving mankind "wings."

1957 - Earth's Space Age, and the so-called space race, begins when the USSR puts an artificial satellite, Sputnik-1, into orbit around the planet. Although unverified, it has been reported that in October of this year a Vulcan scientific party observes Earth and the Sputnik launch. After three weeks of surreptitious intelligence gathering, the party is forced to land on the planet. The fate of the four Vulcans remains a mystery.

1976 - NASA unveils Enterprise (Space Shuttle OV-101), the prototype for its new fleet of reusable Earth-orbiting shuttles. Flight testing begins the following year.

2063 - In the post-war era, Zefram Cochrane converts an intercontinental ballistic missile (ICBM) into the first faster-than-light, or warp, spaceship – the Phoenix. On April 5, the Phoenix's test flight attracts the attention of other space travelers [sic] and "first contact" is soon made between humans and Vulcans.

c.2143 - Starfleet pilot Jonathan Archer meets engineer Charles "Tri" Tucker III while the two officers are working together on tests for the warp-capable NX-Alpha and Beta ships. Henry Archer, Jonathan's father, was the main designer of the NX ship engine.

2151 - With veteran Starfleet pilot Jonathan Archer at the helm, the first Warp 5 capable ship, the Enterprise NX-01, is sent on its first mission. The actual launch is pushed forward to April 15 when a diplomatic crisis escalates. The ship's assignment: to return a mysterious Klingon who landed on Earth at Broken Bow, Oklahoma, back to his homeworld.


20 Ibid.


CHAPTER TEN

“Oh my God, it’s real!”: Crossing the Frontiers of Star Trek Fandom in Galaxy Quest

“Can you talk to people in space on those?”
- Jason Nesmith questions the usefulness of his prop communicator, Galaxy Quest (1999).

“And you people... you’re all astronauts on some kind of... star trek?”

Star Trek is one of the world’s most popular television series and its audience’s affective investment, in terms of depth and duration, has arguably surpassed that of any other U.S. show. Through an analysis of the science fiction comedy ‘spoof’ Galaxy Quest (inspired by the Star Trek phenomenon) I want to argue that Star Trek fans are revealed as part of a supportive community within the American cultural mainstream. Crossing between narrative reality and the fictional reality in which the fans engross themselves, the renowned sf series is a major subject of the film. Its fans are portrayed as functional agents who both watch and enjoy the show and are rewarded for their devotion by being incorporated into the very narrative that feeds their passion for the series. Indeed, I want to emphasise that Galaxy Quest achieves two objectives as a science fiction movie: Firstly, it replicates images of space as the final frontier, affirming concepts of the American Western and the ideology of American Exceptionalism through popular culture (as of course does the Star Trek series); Secondly, and more importantly, it portrays fans of such popular
culture as strong and competent individuals who are part of a supportive and culturally integrated group. This is in contrast to some media stereotypes which portray fans as members of an insular community of 'geeks' and 'nerds' who avoid contact with a perceived normal society. By way of joining these two objectives, I intend to focus on the film's exploration of the frontier concept through the transgression of personal boundaries. For example, in many instances the figure of the fan (both alien and human) is motivated and empowered through an act of individual achievement reinforced by a devotion to their object of affection – the *Galaxy Quest* TV show. This demonstration of cult fan behaviour is a refreshingly original presentation of *Star Trek* fans since it underlines just how important notions of self improvement are to the science fiction community and the *Star Trek* narrative.

Initially, I want to draw attention to the visual and narrative connections between *Galaxy Quest* and *Star Trek*. From these comedic references we can identify the film's attempts at creating a frontier narrative where space and space travel are seen as redeeming features. The main characters are initially tested on this final frontier and are found wanting because they do not believe in its reality. The heroic figure of the ship's commander is representative of the fictional figure of the cowboy, familiar to the Western genre, and he plays an active role in convincing his crew that space travel and extra-terrestrial life are a reality. Following on from this examination of the film's narrative I want to highlight how *Galaxy Quest* directly portrays *Star Trek* fandom as a positive social pursuit. Using the show as a template for life, *Star Trek* fans (and *Galaxy Quest* fans) feel that they are able to improve themselves. However, it is only after accepting the frontier of space as a reality, the next step toward the future
of humanity, that the crew in the film are able to complete their mission and
achieve a level of self confidence that had been previously unavailable to them.
_Galaxy Quest_, and therefore _Star Trek_, appears to only acknowledge the
American vision of the frontier – a place of individual achievement – meaning
the characters are being assimilated into what we already know to be an
exclusive and over-powering ideology as described by Daniel Bernardi. Nevertheless, through an examination of _Star Trek_ fan letters I show how both
American and international fans avoid such cultural assimilation and instead
tend to concentrate on the series’ humanitarian and universal philosophical
messages as their means of self-improvement.

I

Twenty years after the original _Galaxy Quest_ TV series was cancelled its stars
continue to make convention appearances and earn a living by opening
shopping malls and signing autographs. Just as _Star Trek_’s original series was
cancelled after three years, the cast of _Galaxy Quest_ have found themselves
unemployed and typecast, unable to break away from the characters they
played and hated. Jason Nesmith, who played the hero Commander Peter
Quincy Taggert, is reviled by his fellow actors because he has proven to be the
most popular with fans and has not missed a chance to make his own publicity
deals without them. It is widely established that _Star Trek_’s William Shatner
was loathed by his fellow actors because he was seen as loud and obnoxious,
often regarded by the fans as the symbol of the show and regarded by his
colleagues as selfish. Rather light-heartedly, Shatner responded to Taggert’s
color by saying: “Certainly I don’t know what Tim Allen was doing. He
seemed to be the head of a group of actors and for the life of me I was trying to understand who he was imitating!"³ In his and many of the original cast’s autobiographies this issue is discussed and their feelings have been made public. Shatner has long ago apologised for his behaviour during the production of Star Trek, however, Nichelle Nichols admits in her autobiography that: “Even now, I’m not so sure he is convinced or really understands how we felt or what we suffered."⁴ Galaxy Quest creates this same dynamic to acknowledge the original series but also to create tension that can be resolved as the actors are forced to assume their roles for real and work with each other to save the day. It is while Nesmith is trying to broker a deal for himself, excluding the rest of the cast, that he is enlisted by the real aliens called Thermians to assume command of his old ship and help them defeat their enemy Saris. As the story develops Nesmith begins to realise that this is a ‘real’ situation and that the Thermians have copied everything from the Galaxy Quest TV show in order to defend themselves from Saris and his army. After returning to recruit his crew, Gwen Demarco as Lieutenant Tawny Madison and Alexander Dane as Dr Lazarus to name just two, the once-fictional ship NSEA Protector is literally brought to life to defend Thermia and recreate the action-adventure series called Galaxy Quest.

The actors who play the main characters Nesmith, Demarco and Dane – Tim Allen, Sigourney Weaver, Alan Rickman – bring certain filmic intertexts to the roles they play. In Galaxy Quest Dane is supposed to be an out-of-work Shakespearean actor who prefers the stage to routine convention appearances. Rickman plays the role with a knowing arrogance balanced with a stereotypical British accent to emphasise the character’s attitude to the job. His theatrical
Englishness also alludes to the English actor Patrick Stewart who plays Captain Picard. Weaver of course is predominately known for her role as Ellen Ripley in *Alien* (1979) and its sequels, in *Galaxy Quest* her character purposely appears to be the antithesis of Ripley therefore increasing the role’s comedic value: Demarco is vain, air-headed, and overtly sexualised; Ripley is tough, independent, and smart. Tim Allen’s star persona is partly linked to his “bluff machismo” from the sit-com *Home Improvement* (1991-1999) but more importantly it is positioned alongside notions of the frontier and space thanks to him voicing the character of Buzz Lightyear in *Toy Story* (1995) and *Toy Story 2* (1999). The tension between Buzz the astronaut and Tom Hank’s Woody the cowboy represents what Paul Wells calls a recognition “that the frontier is no longer about an implied communality or consensuality in pursuit of the way west, or the conquering of the universe, but the management of identity and purpose” in a fragmented world. In terms of *Galaxy Quest* then Allen as Hollywood star, Nesmith as TV star, and Taggert as fictional hero are inherently tied up with Allen’s previous role of Buzz which in itself suggests problems over the reality of space travel and dual identity.5

The Thermians refer to the episodes as “historical documents” and believe that all of the characters, situations, and technology aired on screen are real; they live by the teachings of *Galaxy Quest*. This mirrors the situation with *Star Trek* where many fans try to recreate costumes, props, and even mannerisms so as to be exactly like their favourite character.6 In many cases those who dress as Klingons have even tried to adapt their social lives to the rituals and customs Klingon characters perform on screen. Peter Chvany has examined the activities of the Klingon fan community on the Internet and
asserts that they have become “American ethnics”; a community of people whose investment in convention performances and the fictional history of the Klingon culture “gives way to belief in their reality, to conviction, to ‘identity’.” The similarities between Star Trek and Galaxy Quest do not end here, many of the props, gadgets, technology, aliens, and language used in the film pay homage to the original series. For example, the ‘NSEA’ designation of the Protector is a homonym of the prefix ‘NC’ used in ship numbering in Star Trek. The desert planet scene where Nesmith has to fight a giant CGI rock monster is a tribute to William Shatner’s idea for the finale to Star Trek V: The Final Frontier (1989). Shatner wanted rock monsters to attack Captain Kirk whilst stranded on a planet and trying to get back to his ship. However, after one experimental monster suit and a hefty sum of money, it was considered too expensive and unrealistic so Shatner had to make do without the monsters. One Galaxy Quest fan website even creates a franchise back-story for the film; borrowing the front covers of some famous Star Trek publications and inserting characters and images from the movie, the website imagines a world where Galaxy Quest is Star Trek [see Appendix 5]. These few examples show how the writers and director of Galaxy Quest managed to weave textual and extra-textual references into the narrative that catered for fans of Star Trek who have the inside knowledge to recognise them. The movie appears to be aiming at two target audiences: The comedy film audience and the Star Trek fanbase.

As a frontier narrative Galaxy Quest recycles the myth of the American hero, the captain of the ship being the one that the Thermians call upon to help them. Just as Robert Jewett and John Shelton Lawrence have analysed this phenomenon in The American Monomyth (1977), Michael Coyne notes that the
American Western as a film genre revolved around "either a community, essentially a social construct, or an odyssey. "10 Most Westerns actually combined these two tropes, having a lone cowboy figure enter a community to save it from ruthless cattle herders or gangs of thugs, then continue on his own lonely quest never to be seen again. The comedy ¡Three Amigos! (1986) parodies this tendency in Westerns by having three out-of-work actors revive their cowboy movie roles to help save a Mexican village. Believing that they only have to show up and perform a few tricks the actors suddenly realise, as do the crew in Galaxy Quest, that this performance is actually for real and that their lives are as much at stake as those of the vulnerable villagers.11 Richard Slotkin has recognised science fiction’s, and indeed Star Trek’s, debt to the Western and stated that the series projects "a myth of historical progress similar to that in the progressive Westerns and ‘empire’ movies of the 30s and 40s."12 In Galaxy Quest Nesmith as Commander Taggert is the Thermians’ only hope, through the combination of individual action and cast teamwork he can save them from Saris. For Slotkin, "the tale of individual action" by the cowboy "is presented as the key to a world-historical (or cosmic-historical) struggle between darkness and light,"13 therefore Nesmith’s role as Taggert is crucial for the Thermians’ survival and his own redemption as a failing TV star. However, instead of returning to a life of solitude (like the eponymous hero in Shane (1953)), continuing to attend conventions and scratch a living for himself, Nesmith and the whole crew get a new series on television.

It is clear that Taggert is modelled on the character of Captain Kirk and Nesmith on the real life persona of William Shatner, therefore his position as catalyst his very important.14 Taggert enables both the aliens and the fans to
have faith in their own actions and in so doing allows them to become intrinsic ‘actors’ in the ‘plot’ to save Thermia. When pioneers were on the American frontier all they had to live by was their ability to adapt to the terrain. Most often Indian techniques were their only means of survival so they were employed; they learned to adapt. Often this would turn the pioneer into what Frederick Jackson Turner termed “a new product,” because he had dropped the old ways of the Europe he had left behind and become like the Indian.¹⁵ This ‘new man’ would go on to expand across the western frontier, bringing with him the civilisation he knew back home. Galaxy Quest is repeating this transformation on the frontier of space and like Star Trek it is emphasising that the celestial frontier is a positive medium for the evolution of humanity. The belief that the future of humanity lies in the stars is not new, it is central to the aesthetic, scientific, and political movement referred to as Astrofuturism. According to De Witt Douglas Kilgore Astrofuturism “posits the space frontier as a site of renewal, a place where we can resolve the domestic and global battles that have paralyzed our progress on earth. It thus mirrors and codifies the tensions that characterize America’s dream of its future.”¹⁶ Such was America’s fascination with space being the next frontier to be colonised, NASA spokesmen continually campaigned for more money by using American pioneers and explorers such as Lewis and Clark as examples of American creativity and ingenuity.¹⁷ The ideology that drove the exploration of the American frontier was to be the model with which Astrofuturists could plan their next assault on space.

As well as acting like the cowboy hero and saving the Thermians, Nesmith plays an essential part in trying to convince his fellow actors that
"Oh my God, it's real!"

*Galaxy Quest* is real and that all of the narrative elements that made up the original scripts have been recreated by the Thermians. I want to analyse a scene from the movie that both reveals the reality of this situation to his crew and pays tribute to a famous scene from *Star Trek: The Motion Picture* (1979). Nesmith asks his crew if they would like to see the ship, as they are still not convinced that their trip into orbit was real. As the crew enters a lift onboard the Thermians’ spaceport they are unaware that they are about to see the Protector in full view. The glass lift travels down the length of the spaceport giving the whole crew a chance to see the ship, it gleams white in the darkness of space as floodlights and work crews bounce off its hull. Just as in *The Motion Picture* where the Enterprise is being prepared for departure in dry-dock, the Protector awaits its famous command crew and the Thermians watch in awe as the launch time approaches. Alexander Dane, who played Dr Lazarus in the original TV show, stares at the ship and gasps, "Oh my God, it's real!" and in so doing confirms to himself, the crew, and the audience that *Galaxy Quest* has become reality. It is as if the seriousness and validity of the situation is not confirmed until the ship is visually identified. Compare this to the aforementioned scene in *The Motion Picture* and we get an idea of how important the visual identification of the ship is to these two movies. Both the Protector and the Enterprise confirm to the characters that things are real, in the first case that the Thermians are telling the truth and in the last that the Enterprise has returned after being absent from our screens since 1969 [see Appendix 6].
Vivian Sobchack has described the Star Trek movies as a nostalgic and historical series. The scene where Kirk sees his old ship for the first time is particularly exemplary of the film’s nostalgic turn to the past:

The “futurism” of the Star Trek films is nostalgically backward-looking to earlier versions of the future – perhaps best dramatized in Star Trek: The Motion Picture when Kirk (and the film) nostalgically gazes at the refitted but still familiar (and now technologically old-fashioned) starship Enterprise for what seemed to some less nostalgic spectators an interminable length of overreverent screen time. 18

Galaxy Quest’s version of this does call attention to the nostalgia felt for the original TV show and the intimation in Dane’s voice as he expresses his disbelief does suggest that he is as reverent as perhaps Kirk might have been in Star Trek. However, this scene in Galaxy Quest does not have the same meaning as it does in The Motion Picture. The crew are made up of actors and have no experience with the reality of space flight, conversely for Kirk his life was the starship Enterprise and so his reverence for its form and rebirth in the dry-dock alludes to something rather more emotional and deeply-felt. Daniel Bernardi has described how sensually this scene is played out, with soft music and glowing lights, Kirk’s face reveals his affection for his old ship rather like that shown toward a woman. Both he and Scotty admire the ship for so long that it becomes voyeuristic in nature: “Like the representations of women in Hollywood cinema, the Enterprise is a feminized figure eliciting scopic desire.”19 In quite a crucial way the ship in Star Trek belies its reality since it becomes an object of male obsession and female eroticism; something that perhaps Kirk and Scott cannot obtain. Christine Wertheim has similarly argued
that a scene in *Star Trek: First Contact* also reflects an overtly-sexual veneration of space flight. She sees Commander Riker’s and Lt. Commander LeForge’s fascination for the Phoenix (the first vessel to fly at warp speed) as a primal sexual encounter: “Cooing over this artefact, touching in it awe like two little boys wanking a giant collective member.”²⁰ Perhaps in this case actually touching the ship gives them a sense of reality. In *Galaxy Quest* a similar scene only serves to prove to the crew that they are living out reality and that ideas of fantasy are irrelevant; there are no sexual overtones.

No matter how these scenes have been analysed – I believe the psychoanalytical approach to *First Contact* totally misinterprets what the actual scene was trying to promote – I feel that they all have similar effects on the fan audience. Both the *Star Trek* and *Galaxy Quest* scenes visually confirm the reality of the ships, therefore providing proof that the narrative has some basis in fact. For the Thermians, this fictional narrative has always been real to them, yet, for Nesmith and his crew, it is only a recent revelation. For the fan audience the *Star Trek* scene was a cinematic reality that confirmed their affection for the series. Such a concentration on the visualisation of *Star Trek*’s fictional reality contributes to the fans’ personal investment in the narrative and the overall “mega-text” of the franchise. As maintained by Piers Britton and Simon Barker, “realism prevails in the design” of series such as *Star Trek* because it is “essentially escapist; suspension of disbelief is crucial.”²¹ Therefore, the visual fascination for the details of the Enterprise and Protector enables the audience and Nesmith’s crew to achieve that crucial suspension of disbelief making the narrative all the more real. In 1976, before the new Enterprise was unveiled by its captain to movie audiences, fans’ personal
investment in the franchise was at an all-time high as they managed to convince NASA to name the first space shuttle after the famous starship. An intense letter campaign supported by George Takei (Sulu from the original series) convinced officials that its new space program should follow the futuristic example set by Star Trek. In this instance of fan devotion reality was directly affected by fiction, the "mega-text" would from then on always include the test vehicle Enterprise as the first space-faring vessel to bear the name. This moment in America's history of space exploration has become entwined with Star Trek's vision of the future — fiction influencing fact influencing fiction.

II

Using the Galaxy Quest episodes to rebuild their society, the Thermians copy everything to the last detail; even down to the favourite meals of the main characters. Such enthusiasm for the series came about because Thermia was almost destroyed by Saris. In order to survive they used the messages and examples seen in Galaxy Quest. They literally became new people, changed, through want of survival, into individuals that live by the teachings of Taggert and his crew. The Thermian leader Mathesar recounts when asked about the historical documents:

"Yes, for the past hundred years our society had fallen into disarray. Our goals, our values had become scattered, but since the transmission we have modelled every aspect of our society from your example, and it has saved us... your courage, and teamwork, and friendship through..."
adversity. In fact all you see around you has been taken from the lessons garnered from the historical documents.”

The harshness of the final frontier of space forced the Thermians into changing the structure of their society so that they could survive; in effect they crossed the frontier of their own personal boundaries as well as the frontier of space. The documents were seen as the best examples of how to survive on this new and violent border. Being fans of the series their need for a stable reality called for the assimilation of a fictional narrative with which they were already familiar. Life on Thermia was enriched by their passion for a series which emphasised individual achievement and social betterment through helping alien life across the galaxy and Taggert was a hero they could rely upon; his ethos was fundamental to the rebuilding of a stable, organised, and productive society. As I have previously examined in Part Two and Chapter Eight, the archetype of the “self-made man” has been an integral part of the American myth of success and self-improvement. Indeed, some fan letters closely mirror self-help literature as they describe Star Trek’s role as catalyst for their own personal progress. In the film this idea runs concurrently with the astrofuturist premise that the frontier is a place of renewal; both notions of improvement and rebirth characterise the Thermian and fan attitude to the Galaxy Quest TV show and its main characters. As an aside, the film’s use and the Thermians’ mastery of technology also imply “individual power and toughness;” something which Rupert Wilkinson describes as “servo-assisted virility” meaning the individual’s mastery over the machine.\(^{24}\) Cult fans are being positively portrayed by the aforementioned dichotomy which contrasts profoundly with images of the Star Trek fan so routinely mocked on shows
such as *Saturday Night Live* (1975-) and caricatured by the ‘Comic Book Guy’ in *The Simpsons* (1989-).

Human fans of *Galaxy Quest* are also vindicated in the belief that it is real. As Taggart and Madison are trying to stop the self-destruct system set off by Saris, they contact Brandon, a convention attendee and committed fan, using the hand-held communicator. Taggart had accidentally swapped his with Brandon’s at a convention but did not notice because he had lost his temper and told Brandon to stop believing *Galaxy Quest* was real. When they reach him he is sitting making a model of the Protector in his bedroom, surrounded by posters, figures, books, and drawings from the *Galaxy Quest* series, yet he is seemingly unperturbed that his toy communicator is flashing and calling out to him from space. Taggart asks Brandon to help them find the self-destruct room, knowing that he would be the best-equipped person to ask, but Brandon reminds him that *Galaxy Quest* is not real because he had said so at the convention. Taggart desperately shouts “It’s real!” and Brandon ecstatically replies “I knew, I knew it!”; his whole belief system seemingly being affirmed in one short moment. Just as the crew confronted the ship and realised *Galaxy Quest* was a reality, Brandon’s epiphany is equally significant to the film. Both he and his friends had always maintained that the show was real, so their hobby was to collect and learn all that could be learnt about the ship and its technology. Taggart’s plea for help was their reward for such devotion. Along with his three friends working online Brandon is able to guide Taggart and Madison to the right room and help them stop the ship from exploding. As they do this, Brandon also tells Taggart about the secret “Omega 13” weapon; something which many fans believe to be a mechanism for going back in time.
This is only Internet speculation, however, because *Galaxy Quest* was cancelled before the secret of “Omega 13” could be revealed. This information will become invaluable at the end of the film as Taggert has to activate the “Omega 13” to go back thirteen seconds in time and stop Saris from shooting him and his crew.

The actions of Brandon and his friends are crucial to the resolution of the film and to the success of Taggert’s mission to save the Themians. Without their ‘nerdy’ pursuit Taggert would have not been able to stop the ship from exploding. In the scenes described above Brandon’s world is quickly legitimised as he helps Taggert. His parents are seen to be worried that he spends too much time making models locked in his room and not playing outside with his friends. However, it is his (and his friends’) inside knowledge gained by spending so many hours locked away that saves the Thermians, therefore, it is a worth-while hobby. Even more importantly, it is when Taggert calls on him and confirms that Brandon’s ‘world’ – his room – is real that Brandon takes on a new active persona. He becomes useful in ways that his parents would not have thought possible. He crosses between the lines of fiction and reality and at the same time changes from being an introverted boy to an energetic doer – a new man – who puts his acquired knowledge to good practical use. The fictional frontier of *Galaxy Quest* has positively changed Brandon’s character into someone who is valuable to the community, an individual who can help the community with their problems. Mathesar had said that Thermia had copied the crew’s “courage, and teamwork, and friendship through adversity” in order to survive extermination, somewhat differently, Brandon had achieved the same things in order to help the Thermians.
The theory of social representations might help illustrate the significance of the “historical documents” to the Thermians and fans in *Galaxy Quest* and, likewise perhaps, certain episodes to fans of *Star Trek*.26 “Social representations” according to Martha Augoustinos and Iain Walker “refer to the stock of common knowledge and information which people share... they are comprised of both conceptual and pictorial elements. Through these, members of a society are able to construct social reality.”27 The original episodes of *Galaxy Quest* formed both an ideological and visual template for how the Thermians could rebuild their society and how Brandon could become “a new man”. For Serge Moscovici, social representations “are cognitive systems with a logic and language of their own” and like the documents the Thermians and fans employed “they do not represent simply ‘opinions about’, ‘images of’ or ‘attitudes towards’ but ‘theories’ or ‘branches of knowledge’ in their own right, for the discovery and organisation of reality.”28 Therefore, on a purely cognitive level, the *Galaxy Quest* TV show represents a reality for the social construction of their world. Social psychology in this respect suggests that fans of media texts, as portrayed in the movie, take the episodes as signifiers of reality. Their reality becomes the fictional narrative depicted on screen and in return that futuristic text becomes the world in which they live. For some fans of *Star Trek* the line between fiction and reality is often blurred when they act, dress, and live as their favourite character.29 This is not to say that they believe *Star Trek* is real, like the Thermians believe about *Galaxy Quest*, but using the theory of social representations does draw attention to the fans who articulate through writing letters that the series plays an important role in their lives.
I want to now turn to these letters written by *Star Trek* fans because even though *Galaxy Quest* does portray media fans as positive contributors to society it is only when that society conforms to a certain American ideal that they become empowered. Historically, attitudes toward self-improvement and the frontier have consistently been focused on a very exclusive part of American society. They have excluded groups that do not agree with the way that a white, Anglo, male society has viewed American progress. For example, Native Americans were excluded from America’s vision of the new frontier because they were obstacles to the supposed advancement of civilisation. In letters that express *Star Trek* fans’ appreciation for the series the frontier of space and ideas of self-improvement are discussed but the focus remains on a very international and diverse vision that maintains space is there for everyone to explore and anyone can change their life for the better. Both American and British fans voice similar opinions about *Star Trek*, as do the Thermians and fans about *Galaxy Quest*, but these opinions are devoid of the so-called “mega-text” that looms large over the fictional narrative of both TV series. It is actually those fans who try to live the narrative – the people who dress and act Klingon examined by Heather Joseph-Witham or Peter Chvany – who have become the image of *Star Trek* fandom and are therefore stereotyped as introverted people isolated from society. The letters I analyse indicate that fans of cult media texts are able to separate fiction from reality, unlike those in the film, and can focus on the philosophical message of *Star Trek* rather than its obvious narrative.

In a letter published in the edited collection entitled *Trekkers*, Mark Emanuel Mendoza articulates how watching *Star Trek* inspired him to become
a teacher. Not only does he thank Gene Roddenberry for this motivating nudge along his career path he also states how he tries to use the characters and episodes as moral guidelines when he teaches young people. He believes that the positive and moral messages that Roddenberry encoded into the stories are helpful in teaching children about life and its many pitfalls:

... *Star Trek* is not really science fiction. It takes place in space and there are Klingons and Gorn to deal with, but I fervently believe that *Star Trek* is LIFE. The virtues and skills taught by this landmark piece of Americana are ones that helped develop leadership and humanity and continue to do so.\(^{31}\)

Mendoza goes on to hypothesise that the only way that a bright future can be assured, where Roddenberry's dream of utopia can become a reality, is to follow his example. The key factor is knowledge and *Star Trek* for him inspires the pursuit of knowledge because it is a series based on both physical and personal discovery:

The Key to the future is knowledge. But much more than knowledge, a person should have insight and enlightenment. I believe that the characters we have all come to know personally and to love are so important to us because they are all part of every one of us. My job is to teach my students to be Data and to start them on a mission of discovery.\(^ {32}\)

Mendoza appears not to be the only one that believes *Star Trek* can be useful in the classroom. Two teachers from a North Hollywood high school have been using examples from *Star Trek* episodes to help teach subjects such as maths and science to children who would have previously found these subjects hard-
going. Indeed, utilising jargon and illustrations from the series in the classroom has helped "kids get involved in spite of themselves" according to the school’s headmistress. The two teachers, who are fans, dress up to make the classes seem more fun and use the futuristic lexicon to make maths and science more accessible. It is not the fact they are teaching Trek as if it is a possible reality (warp drives and transporters), but they recognise its huge impact as popular culture icon, as does Mendoza.

Apart from Star Trek’s instructive merits Mendoza also points up its ability to help develop interpersonal skills such as working with people in a group and taking charge. For him Star Trek taught tolerance and acceptance of different individuals and their talents: “I learned about the advantages of having a diverse command crew, each with his or her own talents and limitations.” Having the ability to work with people from all walks of life has helped him achieve a respected position within his local school district. Even coming from an ethnically-diverse home town such as El Paso, and being of Hispanic descent, Mendoza still sees Star Trek as a positive influence on children of all races. Its vision of an exceptional American future, based on the conquest of the final frontier, incorporating all that once excluded people of a non-WASP background is seemingly overlooked by Mendoza because he believes its utopian philosophy and moralising advice are more important. Similarly, Karen Anijar’s book Teaching Toward the 24th Century (2000) attempts to create oppositional pedagogies through aspects of Star Trek’s narrative and the fans’ personal investment so as to contest the type of imperial logic that characterises America’s reliance on the myth of the frontier as the model for international relations. For the fans of Galaxy Quest believing in
the reality of the technology was just as vital, if not more so, as believing in its supportive narrative. On the contrary, in Mendoza’s letter and through the Hollywood teachers’ example it is noticeable that believing in the technological structure of the future is not as essential as the Roddenberry “utopian dream” which drives many *Star Trek* fans to write about how they feel.

International fans are also aware of the utopian message that Roddenberry’s *Star Trek* was trying to communicate and thus contradict its faith in the exclusive and over-powering dogma of the American frontier as seen in *Galaxy Quest*. In the following letters British and Australian fans respond to the concept of self-improvement and the frontier being the catalyst for personal growth but they do not see it as a national ideology. Instead, trying to improve oneself is the key to a future where nations and boundaries do not exist. One Australian fan writes:

> There are many futuristic movies where the Earth has been blown to pieces, or all the races in the universe apart from ourselves turn out to be brutal savages, waiting to tear us limb from limb. *Star Trek* shows that we will pull through, and humans will care for Earth, and take pride in it, in the 24th century. Action, adventure, good humour and good morals as well. 36

It is clear from this letter that the writer believes that humans will put all other differences aside and concentrate on making Earth the utopian paradise that *Star Trek* so often depicts. Implicit within the fan’s opinion is the notion that we will start to realise that the best way to create Roddenberry’s future is to concentrate on the environment rather than personal gain and national
supremacy. Earth as a world of many nations, pulling in many directions with different agendas, should work as a unit so the future can be bright. No one nation – or one nation’s method – should oversee this transformation. Fans appear to be emphasising in their letters to other fans that national identity is not what distinguishes them as people; rather, one could say they are identifiable as global Astrofuturists without the links to “America’s dream” as described by De Witt Douglas Kilgore.\(^{37}\)

The last letter, written by a British female fan, also ignores national ideology as a defining factor in the reality of Star Trek’s narrative. In this instance, Samantha Hattingh feels that a particular character (Captain Janeway) has made Star Trek all the more real as she has influenced her life in many different ways:

This year I celebrate my 30\(^{th}\) birthday… [and] I look back and reflect on the people who’ve had a profound influence on my life… at the top of my list would be the formidable Captain Kathryn Janeway. No one will report on what I’ve said and convey it back to the remarkable creators of and writers for the character of Captain Janeway. Likewise, Kate Mulgrew will never hear how her masterful portrayal of a powerful, fictional, futuristic character helped to shape my ordinary life.\(^{38}\)

Acting as both an inspiration and a role model, the Janeway character performs rather like Taggert in Galaxy Quest; he is a role model to the Thermians as Janeway is to Hattingh. However, it is evident in the letter that Hattingh can differentiate between fact and fiction and states that Janeway is fictional – something which Mathesar cannot do with regard to the characters in Galaxy
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*Quest.* He had to be tricked into believing that the show is a fake so that Saris will not kill him but all the while he survives his punishment by keeping faith that Taggert will save him just like in the “historical documents”. Hattingh appears to admire Kate Mulgrew’s ability to portray a strong female lead rather than believe in the character of Janeway. In general, the narrative does not intrude into Hattingh’s life as much as it does for those fans who dress up like their favourite character. Therefore, *Star Trek* does not dominate her perception of personal identity as Peter Chvany argues it does for those Klingon enthusiasts who have become new self-styled “American ethnics”.

**III**

*Galaxy Quest,* and its inspiration *Star Trek,* examine perceptions of the frontier as both a physical and personal boundary. As a film that parodied sf fandom stereotypes *Galaxy Quest* succeeded in deconstructing existing images of the cult fan as a lonely, insular individual and instead demonstrated that cult fans form a supportive community that share in their passion for the sf text. The documented reality that served as the Thermians’ blueprint for a new society also gave its human fans confidence to express their own personalities. They were able to transgress personal boundaries set by the society in which they lived and assume a more active and resilient identity. Space as the final frontier was the canvas on which the fans could map out their new personas; it helped them become who they ideally wanted to be. Yet, as I have explained, space in the American imagination is linked to some very complex historical ideologies such as expansion, self-improvement, and exceptionalism that serve only to exclude those deemed unfit. This sits awkwardly with *Star Trek*’s ethos which
posits that everyone should be included in a utopian future. Hence, I have tried to show that fans of *Star Trek*, unlike their filmic counterparts, are able to interact with the textual narrative on a more multi-layered level and are therefore aware of these veiled meanings attached to space and the frontier.

Unlike the Thermians who believe in everything that *Galaxy Quest* represented, the *Star Trek* fans I have looked at recognise that only certain aspects of the series can be useful to copy or admire. International fans appear to appreciate American cultural images such as the self-made man, but they transform them, making them accessible to all people. The future of the human race is more important than national identities. Crossing the frontier in *Galaxy Quest* gave sf fans a chance to realise their potential, believing in the reality of the program inspired them to be better individuals. For those fans who expressed their feelings through writing letters, crossing boundaries was only part of the act. The real achievement was to believe in themselves, so that they could find the courage to make changes in their own lives. *Star Trek* could help a little in doing this but what the series really represented to them was the dream of a better future; to achieve that they would have to work in the real world and not the fictional one seen on screen.
Notes

1 It is interesting to note that *Star Trek* is not mentioned in connection with *Galaxy Quest* in any of its DVD/video release packaging or marketing material. Also, interviews and documentaries featured on the 2001 DVD release neither mention nor posit *Star Trek* and its fans as inspiration for the movie or its obvious target audience. What is stressed as the basis for *Galaxy Quest*’s possible appeal for its perceived audience is the concept that a group of actors from a once-popular TV show have to assume their fictional identities to save the day for real. According to producer Mark Johnson, this concept “had great comic potential” (see inside production notes, *Galaxy Quest* DVD, 2001). For the purposes of this chapter, it is important to point out here that the fact fans are not seen as the “comic potential” for the movie intimates that they are not the subject of ridicule. Rather their development as individuals represents the serious thrust of the film’s message.


3 William Shatner, along with other stars of *Star Trek*, have watched and enjoyed *Galaxy Quest*. Shatner’s quote is taken from an interview he gave on the *Star Trek* website 8/11/2001, my excerpt was printed on the *Galaxy Quest* website along with other star comments. Available at: <URL: http://www.questarian.com/Databank/gq-trek_talks.htm> [Accessed 4th December 2003].
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11 Geoff King believes that the actors’ position in Galaxy Quest as participants in a real space drama, is “a scenario in which continued parody of the television show [Star Trek] gains increased dimension and comic effect.” A similar effect can be seen in ¡Three Amigos! and to some extent in Spaceballs (1987), although the latter the parody, aimed at Star Wars, is more “a thinly developed play” on original plot; King sees this as “a hook for the deployment of a variety of broad parodic gags.” See King, Film Comedy. (London: Wallflower Press, 2002), p. 128. See also Dan Harries, Film Parody. (London: BFI, 2000) for a comprehensive analysis of parody in film.
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13 Ibid.


20 Christine Wertheim, "*Star Trek: First Contact*: The Hybrid, the Whore and the Machine." In Ziauddin Sardar and Sean Cubitt, eds. *Aliens R Us: The Other in Science Fiction Cinema*. (London: Pluto Press, 2002), p. 75. Wertheim actually makes a mistake here; Riker and Geordie are not the ones to be interrupted whilst admiring the Phoenix. Data and Picard are caught "cooing" over the artefact. In this scene it is interesting to note that Picard says that after seeing it displayed in the Smithsonian Institute (rather like the Enterprise model on display today) touching the Phoenix makes it all the more real to him.


23 Ironically the Space Shuttle Enterprise never went into space; it was used as a dummy by NASA scientists. It was originally going to be called Constitution. Again after fan intervention, the Space Shuttle Enterprise's future has been secured: It has been relocated to the new
National Air and Space Museum’s Steven F. Udvar-Hazy Center to the east of Dulles Airport outside Washington D.C. In Star Trek the shuttle Enterprise has become intertwined with its historical version of space exploration; officially being the first of eight spacecraft to bear the name. The famous Enterprise captained by Kirk is a Constitution class vessel. Of course, the latest series is also called Enterprise.


In a deleted scene included on the special 2001 DVD release of *Galaxy Quest* a similar thing happens with the Thermians. While they show Taggert and his crew around the engine room a number of Thermians ask Tech. Sgt. Chen to help them with an engineering problem they cannot solve. Of course as Chen the problem would be easy to solve but as Fred Kwan the actor he has no idea what to say. In his attempt to retain his fictional persona, and get the answer, Kwan prods the Thermians for their own theories on what to do, merely saying ‘and’ and ‘so’ when the Thermian engineer posits an idea. This method drives the Thermians to come up with their own solution thus confirming to themselves and the audience that they had the power within themselves all along. By way of retaining the fictional reality of the *Galaxy Quest* TV show – and for comic effect – the Thermians praise Kwan for his ‘genius’ as Tech. Sgt. Chen even though he did not offer any advice.

25 In a deleted scene included on the special 2001 DVD release of *Galaxy Quest* a similar thing happens with the Thermians. While they show Taggert and his crew around the engine room a number of Thermians ask Tech. Sgt. Chen to help them with an engineering problem they cannot solve. Of course as Chen the problem would be easy to solve but as Fred Kwan the actor he has no idea what to say. In his attempt to retain his fictional persona, and get the answer, Kwan prods the Thermians for their own theories on what to do, merely saying ‘and’ and ‘so’ when the Thermian engineer posits an idea. This method drives the Thermians to come up with their own solution thus confirming to themselves and the audience that they had the power within themselves all along. By way of retaining the fictional reality of the *Galaxy Quest* TV show – and for comic effect – the Thermians praise Kwan for his ‘genius’ as Tech. Sgt. Chen even though he did not offer any advice.
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32 Ibid. p. 33.


34 Mendoza, “Real Life,” p. 32.


37 Kilgore, Astrofuturism, p. 2.

CONCLUSION

Bringing the Memory to Life

“Five-card stud, nothing wild. And the sky’s the limit.”

- Picard to his crew in the final episode, “All Good Things…” (1994).

This thesis has not set out to question the capacity in which Star Trek affects the daily lives of its fans, nor has it sought to criticise or examine their various and intricate fan activities so often taken as being representative of cult fan behaviour in general. Rather, this thesis has attempted to highlight the underlying American cultural myths and narratives that make up and support the fictional future history of the Star Trek universe. At the same time, we have been able to see how and why the series is so popular with its fans through an analysis of their letters that not only share the same themes identified in the fictional text but also serve to create and maintain a supportive and sympathetic fan community. By engaging with the text through its characteristically American utopian, communal, and self-improvement narratives, fans are able to express and confess their most personal life experiences; writing and reading fan letters has become a cathartic and collective act of self-help at a time when many critics would consider American society to be at its most divided.

Parts One and Two of the thesis examined the tropic qualities of the Star Trek text, particularly how the series uses history, narrative and myth to create a fictional reality in which future stories can be set and fans can seriously engage. This reality becomes a framework to which fans can relate and can modify in the day-to-day running of their lives. In Chapter One I questioned the notion that Star Trek’s text is a universalising yet closed
narrative; maintaining that even though critics and fans may see it as a
domineering and exclusive text there is constant potential for multiple re-
readings. The openness of the historical text thus allows individuals from all
parts of the globe to connect with specific storylines that appeal to them. I also
highlighted how this has led to problems for the *Star Trek* writing team as they
continually find themselves at odds with fans who want to protect the text with
which they feel great affinity. Chapter Two looked more closely at *Star Trek*’s
narrative, positing that there are only a select few exemplary narratives used to
continually reinvent new plotlines. These exemplary narratives work to shore-up
and prolong the series within its fictional future history. As a series about
the future, *Star Trek* presents the viewer with a range of possibilities for reality,
albeit possibilities that rely on prescribed notions of history and, as Chapter
Three asserted, American myth. Comparing *Star Trek* with another well-known
science fiction franchise, *Star Wars*, I argued that the former constructed its
reality out of present day American cultural myths such as the myth of the
Frontier and the American Monomyth. The latter uses ancient myth to tell its
tale, thus consigning the fictional reality of the *Star Wars* universe to a time
and place out of reach for those fans who might wish to see it become reality.
The technologically-defined outlook on *Star Trek* helps maintain the possibility
that fans can see its future come to fruition, even though its narrative is very
much based on the myths and stories of American history.

Part Two was a close textual analysis of *Star Trek*’s original series,
with particular emphasis on the final three movies: *The Voyage Home*, *The
Final Frontier*, and *The Undiscovered Country*. After studying the literary
trope of the American Jeremiad, a form of Puritan lament based on their
struggle to establish and maintain paradise in early America, I identified its modern reappearance in American popular culture. At a time in America when Ronald Reagan was using the Puritans as an example in his fight against the “Evil Empire” and the Cold War, Star Trek was also using the American Jeremiad to tell its own story of how we might be able to change history for the better and achieve utopia. Through a strict adherence to what I called a “tri-part jeremiad” the message was that fans could follow Star Trek’s model of “learning from mistakes made in the past” in order to change the future; like the Puritans who realised that their religious mistakes had to be atoned for, humanity could also be redeemed if they literally “saved the whales”. The arguments laid down in Chapter Five on the instructive and moralising nature of the Star Trek narrative provided the theoretical framework for analysing the fan letters introduced in Part Three of the thesis.

Having maintained that Star Trek represents an open and reflective text in the first part of this thesis, Chapters Six, Seven and Eight examined how fans wrote, expressed, and shared their attachment to the series through the fan letter. Star Trek’s utopia is one based on historical realities, often borrowed from the experiences of the American past. This utopia also provided the subject matter for many fans who wrote about their goals in life and the possibilities of Star Trek’s future. Furthermore, using the work of Richard Dyer on entertainment and utopia, I maintained that the dream of Roddenberry’s future was not the only focus for fans; they were also concerned for society as a whole. It was evident in their letters that they believed Star Trek could be used as a guide to steer people in the right direction; social change, as well as utopia, was an important objective for the fans. In order to
accomplish social change, I argued in Chapter Seven that fan letters constitute a form of support, a network through which fans can communicate with other fans and share their experiences of hardship with others who may have been through similar trials. Only by joining and sharing in the community could fans realistically engage with the *Star Trek* text; they often spoke of how they saw its potential for change, yet they consistently asserted that it was only within themselves that they would find the drive to do it. Chapter Eight followed up this investigation into the self-help narratives of *Star Trek*; examining letters where fans talked of personal struggle and change, they claimed that the fictional text helped only up to a point – pulling themselves up by the proverbial “boot straps” was the key to self-improvement. Here I also compared the composition of the fan letter to the format of the talk show, arguing that by sharing with others *Star Trek* fans were able to make significant life changes. Alongside the letters drawn upon in Part Three I traced how notions of utopia, community, and self-improvement developed in American culture. Social critics such as Robert Putnam, who warned of American’s turn to an individualistic and divided society, have argued that popular culture texts can be blamed for the fact Americans are figuratively and literally “bowling alone”. However, through my analysis I demonstrated that the *Star Trek* community was not divided; rather that, through engagement with the supportive, polysemic, yet distinctly American text, fans were able to set communal goals for which individuals could aim.

In the last part of the thesis I attempted to set the themes and issues raised in my analysis of the fan letters into a critical and contemporary context. Chapter Nine compared *Deep Space Nine* and *Enterprise*, emphasising *Star
Trek’s latest use of nationalistic and idealistic representations of humanity’s future in space. Paying particular attention to Enterprise’s opening title sequence it could be seen how Star Trek continues to rely on out-dated symbols of American Exceptionalism. However, by looking at recent fan letters I also pointed out that this is a fact not lost on American and international fans who continue to engage with their favourite text yet remain crucially distant and able to comment on the complexities of history, myth and national identity. Consequentially, the way in which fans still remain part of, yet distant from, the fictional text was the focus for the last chapter on Star Trek fandom and Galaxy Quest. In Chapter Ten I observed how the recurrent themes of utopia, community and self-improvement are played out in a filmic representation of a fictional cult text. They were shown to be strikingly relevant to both the imaginary fan on-screen and the real fan who invests their energy in Star Trek off-screen. The positive and empowering narrative tied up within Galaxy Quest’s comedic representation of fandom acknowledges the huge role cult texts play within fans’ daily lives, but it also shows how fans move away from complete dependence on it and instead use it as a stepping stone to enact personal change. In the specific scenes analysed, Brandon’s knowledge of the fictional text was useful for participating in a special community and also intrinsic to his own self-edification. As with the fan letters, Galaxy Quest showed how fans engaging with other fans are able to feel empowered by a fictional text as well as being part of an intricate network of support.
Bringing the Memory to Life

From Fan Protest to Fan Support

In 2003 I visited the Gene Roddenberry *Star Trek* Television Series Collection held at the University of California, Los Angeles. Within the collection there are hundreds of pictures, scripts, memos and fan letters sent to Roddenberry and the studio right from the very first month *Star Trek* aired on US TV screens. Throughout many of the letters fans speak of their affection for the new and "politically minded" science fiction series\(^1\); describing themselves as self-confessed "nuts", they are keen to see the series succeed and take over from where contemporary series such as *Lost in Space* (1965-1968) and *Bewitched* (1964-1972) had failed to ignite their imaginations.\(^2\) Yet, as pointed out in the introduction to this thesis, as soon as the series had aired fans were concerned about it being cancelled.

Written during the first season, letters in the collection concentrate on how to save the series from possible termination; in fact, fans wrote to Gene Roddenberry with many ingenious and humorous ideas to grab the attention of studio executives. Kay Anderson in 1966 wrote to say that she would buy hundreds of Playtex bras and cut out their labels, mailing them off to Playtex to show them the success of advertising during *Star Trek*’s programme breaks and encourage them to continue doing so. If this did not work then she would move on to the Plymouth car lot and tear off the sale stickers on the windscreens, sending them to the Chrysler Corp. saying that she had bought several cars because of *Star Trek*.\(^3\) Similarly, S.D. Landers wrote to inform the producers that they were going to start smoking menthol cigarettes to support the show and prevent its cancellation – perhaps a step too far for fans in these health conscious times?\(^4\) Unlike the fervent letter campaigns described in the
introduction and the fan letters discussed in Part Three of the thesis, these letters signal a distinct awareness of the economical impact that large groups of fans could have on the making and breaking of a television series. Fans were keen to point out to network sponsors that they were a valid and potentially strong viewing group that had to be catered for. Perhaps this is a trend to which current fans should return as Star Trek: Enterprise has recently defied attempts to be saved and has been cancelled by executives at UPN – the first series since the original not to go the distance of seven seasons. Besides the economic concerns fans had for the series in the late sixties, it is important to remember the significance that the letter as a form of fan expression had on both the franchise and the fan community as a whole. As soon as fans realised that they had a voice they continued to use the letter format as their mouthpiece. Edited collections and fan memoirs carried on this tradition in the period when Star Trek was off-air; fan communities were built around common interests and the desire to see a new series on the television.

The letters looked at in this thesis both acknowledge and move beyond the original aim of letters in the late sixties. These new letters talk of how Star Trek has affected fans’ lives, how its vision of a utopian future where all people can work together and maintain paradise provides the inspiration to continue facing hardship in their daily routines. Picking up on the narratives that drive the show’s utopian ethos, the fan letters I have examined balance the contradictory notions of community and individualism by stressing the importance of Roddenberry’s vision of the future. By working together and improving oneself both physically and mentally, utopia is achievable. Star Trek’s own brand of American myth is described in many letters as the
blueprint to humanity’s self-help plan. Only through adherence to such goals can all people, not only Americans, start to move beyond devastating events and experiences such as war, severe illness, or the death of a loved one. However, as many critics have pointed out, Star Trek’s reliance on American cultural narratives destabilises the series’ pretences of being a liberal and humanitarian vision of the future; the future has to be American in order for it to be a reality. To some extent this is true, and an unavoidable criticism of Star Trek. In Chapters Five and Nine I have shown how, as did the didactic and ideologically-dominant Puritan American Jeremiad, the Star Trek text manipulates history – especially when it uses America’s potentially exclusive belief in its exceptional past as a guide.

Nonetheless, I have also argued in this thesis that the fictional reality of the series carries significant personal meaning for millions of fans worldwide; these individual meanings become the impetus for personal change, not the actual text itself. Fans are aware of the many thematic contradictions that the series produces yet they do not wish to blindly copy them to the last detail. As Terry Eagleton asserts, “the most intriguing texts for criticism are not those which can be read, but those which are ‘writable’ (scriptible) (sic) – texts which encourage the critic to carve them up... produce his or her semi-arbitrary play of meaning athwart the work itself.”6 The Star Trek text is but one channel of support and counsel, actually communicating with the community of fans through letter writing is the other important channel through which fans enact change. Benedict Anderson, in writing about the concept of nationhood, describes the nation as an “imagined community” where individual members “will never know most of their fellow-members,
meet them, or even hear them, yet in the minds of each lives the image of their communion." The same can be said of the Star Trek fans who write letters describing their personal experiences, sharing them with fellow enthusiasts; they may never meet but through the familiarity with the Star Trek text they can begin to understand and relate to the larger community. At the same time, they use other people’s lives as inspiration for their own, trying to improve and help themselves so as to make the future a better place than the present.

From Fan Epistolary to Fan Orality

This thesis has examined expressions of fan identity in written form, acknowledging the themes of utopia, community and self-improvement shared between Star Trek and the fan letter. As I have maintained, analyses of fan activity in general have tended to focus on the more extreme forms of fan writing, namely slash fiction and personal re-workings of the fictional text such as new scripts and filk music. However, through an exploration of American fan letters I have shown that not all fans express their opinions and passion for the series through textual poaching; instead, they express notions of identity and emotion through the intricate and delicate channels of personal revelation based around the Star Trek text. Writing, sharing and revealing personal traumas and experiences has created what I have called a “network of support”; fans learn lessons from both the Star Trek text and fellow fans, adapting them to suit their own lives. Outside the confines of this thesis, it may well prove interesting to examine letters and comments published on the Internet, since there are literally thousands of personal websites, weblogs, and online diaries that could contain their own networks of support. The Internet, as opposed to
the handwritten letter, would provide an instant source of reassurance; fans wishing to share personal thoughts and experiences in relation to the *Star Trek* text could log-on and communicate with fans thousands of miles away in seconds. Physically writing the letter, submitting it for publication, and waiting for a response ensures that fans must hold on so as to participate in the network – bearing in mind that in the meantime their personal situation may have changed. This initial observation suggests to me that handwritten fan letters fulfil a different purpose than those comments posted on the Internet. The personal stories and tales of extreme trauma, recounted over long periods of time, symbolise *Star Trek*'s life-long appeal and attraction for fans. The lengthy writing and waiting process ingrained in the fan letter reflects the perpetual attachment that many fans have to the *Star Trek* text. Those fans who have grown up having spent years overcoming trauma, illness or bereavement describe how they have done so alongside the continued growth and development of the series.

If the Internet is another direction one could go after researching the fan letter, then the *Star Trek* convention represents a physically different, if not thematically similar, space that requires detailed analysis. Whilst visiting the Roddenberry collection in March 2003 I also attended the annual Grand Slam *Star Trek* Convention in Pasadena. Previous studies of the *Star Trek* convention have compared it to a religious pilgrimage, where fans travel to meet other fans, discuss their mutual love for the series and analyse its “sacred” text within complex debates over authenticity and Roddenberry’s vision. I would not disagree that the convention represents some form of secular pilgrimage. It was distinctly obvious at the Grand Slam event that the level of
fan devotion to the text is intensified, particularly when certain stars come on stage and talk to the audience. Older stars, such as William Shatner and Leonard Nimoy, joked with the crowd by recounting times when *Star Trek*’s quality has been called into question by non-fans or when later series such as *Star Trek: Voyager* or *Enterprise* have declared themselves to be proper *Trek*. Shatner and Nimoy consider themselves to be authentic *Star Trek*, somehow more representative of Gene Roddenberry’s vision, unlike other actors and series such as Scott Bakula or *Enterprise* (when Nimoy reasserted his claims at being the “original” Vulcan the audience responded with laughter and applause). However, with debates over authenticity and the sacred aside, what was fascinating about the convention space (which would require another thesis to investigate) was how fans used it as a place for sharing their personal stories of hardship and trauma just like those fans writing letters.

The audience’s affection for actress Nichelle Nichols provided the greatest example of this phenomenon. Appearing on stage, Nichols recounted her years on *Star Trek*. Her stories, so often told in interviews and previous conventions, become part of what fans expect to hear. Their convention experience relies on Nichols retelling her stories so much, especially the one about Martin Luther King as described in my introduction, that they become a form of oral tradition. Jan Vansina sees oral traditions as being “documents of the present, because they are told in the present. Yet they also embody a message from the past, so they are expressions of the past at the same time.”

Fans construct their own memories from those repeated stories and experiences and take inspiration from them just as much as they do from the official *Star Trek* text. The mythos has expanded to include the mutually reassuring and
interdependent stories that surround the actors, actresses and fans. When Nichols asked for questions from the crowd she only received requests from fans eager to hear again how she was cornered by Dr. King or inspired Whoopi Goldberg to become an actress and comedian; once they got them, the fans dutifully responded with cheers and applause. This call and response continued when an African American woman got up to thank Nichols for being her role-model when she was growing up. Almost in tears, the fan shared with Nichols and the audience how much Star Trek and Lt. Uhura supported and inspired her during very hard times in her childhood. Chapter Eight of this thesis identified fan letters that described how fans used Star Trek to change their lives, the inherently American theme of self-improvement was both recognised and utilised as a way to understand the effects the series had on personal experience. Within that chapter I also drew comparisons between letters of self-help and the narrative format of the talk-show, indicating that when fans attempt to share feelings they also attempt to gain strength from the receptive fan community and the polysemic nature of the Star Trek text. Likewise, in terms of the convention setting, the fan’s desire to share her personal experiences with Nichols and the audience reflects the openness and supportiveness of the talk-show format as seen in The Oprah Winfrey Show (1986- ), as well as the belief in individual agency so important to American society. In effect the oral and confessional characteristics of the Star Trek convention may well follow Jane Shattuc’s claim about Oprah in that it reveals “a profound political change: the authority of everyday lived experience, whether in reactionary or progressive form.”12 Moving from the self-edifying nature of fan epistolary to the empowerment of knowledge gained through fan
orality, it would be the logical next step to progress from this thesis and investigate how oral histories within *Star Trek* fandom reflect, maintain and subvert notions of American identity.

Memory too has been an important function in the fans’ interaction with the *Star Trek* text: they write about moments when the series helped them overcome difficulties in the past or they remember the exact time that they first saw *Star Trek*. At the convention, memory played an important part in the fans’ sharing of oral history: Nichelle Nichols’ own personal memories became enmeshed with the fans’ lived experience of the *Star Trek* text. Perhaps one way we can look at *Star Trek* fandom is through the concept of “prosthetic memory”, what Alison Landsberg describes as “a new form of memory largely made possible by the commodification of mass culture... [which] can make people feel themselves a part of larger histories, of narratives that go beyond the confines of the nuclear family and that transcend the heretofore insurmountable barriers of race and ethnicity.”13 In studying the ethnically mixed audience that sat listening to Nichols and the female fan talking about race and *Star Trek*, and those African American Vietnam War veterans that wrote about their hopes and dreams for America in their fan letters, the recitation and memorialisation of *Star Trek* history and fan experience can be seen as part of contemporary America’s desire to renegotiate the past. Landsberg believes prosthetic memory can help achieve what America always set out to be, a utopia. Building and sharing memories through the universalising text of *Star Trek* might represent the future that Roddenberry was trying to depict. In bringing the memory to life, fans are not only writing
about how they have invested in *Star Trek* in the past but how also they might possibly invest in humanity for the future.
Notes


3 Letter from Kay Anderson (December 4th 1966): Box 28, folder 1.

4 Letter from S.D. Landers (December 16th 1966): Box 28, folder 1.


9 A recent article in the Journal of American Studies may possibly signal the academic turn to analysing the significance of the Internet in American culture. In Viviane Serfaty, “Online Diaries: Towards a Structural Approach.” Journal of American Studies 38.3 (2004): 457-471 the author examines the links between weblogs (blogs) and Emerson’s concept of self-reliance. The two represent America’s constant attempts at exploring notions of the self and the nation’s continued process of becoming.
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